107th Congress 1st Session

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Report 107–258

MAKING APPROPRIATIONS FOR ENERGY AND WATER DE-VELOPMENT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2002, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES

OCTOBER 30, 2001.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. CALLAHAN, from the committee of conference, submitted the following

CONFERENCE REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 2311]

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 2311) "making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2002, and for other purposes", having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment, insert:

That the following sums are appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2002, for energy and water development, and for other purposes, namely:

TITLE I

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

CORPS OF ENGINEERS-CIVIL

The following appropriations shall be expended under the direction of the Secretary of the Army and the supervision of the Chief of Engineers for authorized civil functions of the Department of the Army pertaining to rivers and harbors, flood control, beach erosion, and related purposes.

75-839

General Investigations

For expenses necessary for the collection and study of basic information pertaining to river and harbor, flood control, shore protection, and related projects, restudy of authorized projects, miscellaneous investigations, and, when authorized by laws, surveys and detailed studies and plans and specifications of projects prior to construction, \$154,350,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use funds appropriated herein to continue preconstruction engineering and design of the Murrieta Creek, California, flood protection and environmental enhancement project and is further directed to continue with the project in accordance with cost sharing established for the Murrieta Creek project in Pub-lic Law 106–377: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use the feasibility report prepared under the authority of section 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948, as amended, as the basis for the Rock Creek-Keefer Slough Flood Control Project, Butte County, California, and is further directed to use funds appropriated herein for preconstruction engineering and design of the project: Provided further, That in conducting the Southwest Valley Flood Damage Reduction Study, Albuquerque, New Mexico, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, shall include an evaluation of flood damage reduction measures that would otherwise be excluded from the feasibility analysis based on policies regarding the frequency of flooding, the drainage areas, and the amount of runoff: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to conduct studies for flood damage reduction, environmental protection, environmental restoration, water supply, water quality, and other purposes in Tuscaloosa County, Alabama, and shall provide a comprehensive plan for the development, conservation, disposal, and utilization of water and related land resources, for flood damage reduction and allied purposes, including the determination of the need for a reservoir to satisfy municipal and industrial water supply needs: Provided further, That using \$1,000,000 of the funds provided herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to conduct a comprehensive watershed study at full Federal expense to provide a framework for implementing activities to improve environmental quality of the Lake Tahoe Basin and the Sec-retary shall submit a feasibility level report within 30 months of en-actment of this Act: Provided further, That Appendix D, Chapter 5 of Public Law 106-554 is amended in the last sentence under the subheading titled "General Investigations" by striking "a cost shared feasibility study of" and inserting "planning, engineering and design activities for".

CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

For the prosecution of river and harbor, flood control, shore protection, and related projects authorized by laws; and detailed studies, and plans and specifications, of projects (including those for development with participation or under consideration for participation by States, local governments, or private groups) authorized or made eligible for selection by law (but such studies shall not constitute a commitment of the Government to construction), \$1,715,951,000, to remain available until expended, of which such sums as are necessary for the Federal share of construction costs for facilities under the Dredged Material Disposal Facilities program shall be derived from the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund, as authorized by Public Law 104–303; and of which such sums as are necessary pursuant to Public Law 99–662 shall be derived from the Inland Waterways Trust Fund, for one-half of the costs of construction and rehabilitation of inland waterways projects, including rehabilitation costs for the Lock and Dam 11, Mississippi River, Iowa; Lock and Dam 12, Mississippi River, Iowa; Lock and Dam 24, Mississippi River, Illinois and Missouri; Lock and Dam 3, Mississippi River, Minnesota; and London Locks and Dam, Kanawha River, West Virginia, projects; and of which funds are provided for the following projects in the amounts specified:

San Timoteo Creek (Santa Ana River Mainstem), California, \$8,000,000;

Indianapolis Central Waterfront, Indiana, \$9,000,000;

Southern and Eastern Kentucky, Kentucky, \$4,000,000;

Clover Fork, City of Cumberland, Town of Martin, Pike County (including Levisa Fork and Tug Fork Tributaries), Bell County, Floyd County, Martin County, and Harlan County, Kentucky, elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River, Kentucky, \$15,450,000; and

Lower Mingo County (Kermit), Upper Mingo County (including County Tributaries), Wayne County, and McDowell County, West Virginia, elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River project, \$5,900,000:

Provided, That using \$1,000,000 of the funds appropriated herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to modify the Carr Creek Lake, Kentucky, project at full Federal expense to provide additional water supply storage for the Upper Kentucky River Basin: Provided further, That with \$1,200,000 of the funds appropriated herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to undertake design deficiency repairs to the Bois Brule Drainage and Levee District, Missouri, project, authorized and constructed under the authority of the Flood Control Act of 1936 with cost sharing consistent with the original project authorization: Provided further, That in accordance with section 332 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999, the Secretary of the Army is directed to increase the authorized level of protection of the Bois Brule Drainage and Levee District, Missouri, project from 50 years to 100 years using \$700,000 of the funds appropriated herein, and the project costs allocated to the incremental increase in the level of protection shall be cost shared consistent with section 103(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986, notwithstanding section 202(a) of the Water Re-sources Development Act of 1996: Provided further, That using \$200,000 of the funds provided herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to conduct, at full Federal expense, technical studies of individual ditch systems identified by the State of Hawaii, and to assist the State in diversification by helping to define the cost of repairing and maintaining se-

lected ditch systems: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use \$1,300,000 of the funds appropriated herein to continue construction of the navigation project at Kaumalapau Harbor, Hawaii: Provided further, That with \$800,000 of the funds provided herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to continue preparation of a General Reevaluation Report of the Oak Island, Caswell Beach, and Holden Beach segments of the Brunswick County Beaches project in North Carolina: Provided fur-ther, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use \$500,000 to undertake the Bowie County Levee Project, which is defined as Alternative B Local Sponsor Option, in the Corps of Engineers document entitled Bowie County Local Flood Protection, Red River, Texas, Project Design Memorandum No. 1, Bowie County Levee, dated April 1997: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army is directed to use \$4,000,000 of the funds provided herein for the Dam Safety and Seepage/Stability Correction Program to continue construction of seepage control features at Waterbury Dam, Vermont: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, using up to \$200,000 of the funds provided herein, is directed to complete the Aloha-Rigolette, Louisiana, project at full Federal expense: Pro-vided further, That using \$500,000 of the funds provided herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to proceed with the Shoalwater Bay Shoreline, Washington, project: Provided further, That all studies for the Shoalwater Bay Shoreline project shall be cost shared in the same proportion as the construction implementation costs: Provided further, That using \$2,500,000 of the funds provided herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to proceed with a final design and initiate construction for the repair and replacement of the Jicarilla Municipal Water System in the town of Dulce, New Mexico: Provided further, That using \$750,000 of the funds provided herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to proceed with the Missouri River Restoration Project and that erosion control measures implemented shall be primarily through nonstructural means such as planting of native vegetation, buffer strips, conservation easements, setbacks, and agri-cultural best management practices: Provided further, That with \$10,000,000 of the funds provided herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to construct the Dallas Floodway Extension, Texas, project, including the Cadillac Heights feature, generally in accordance with the Chief of Engineers report dated December 7, 1999: Provided further, That the deadline for the report required under section 154(g) of Public Law 106-554 is extended to December 31, 2002: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use unexpended funds appropriated in Public Law 105-62, under the heading Construction, General for Salyersville, Kentucky, to construct additional recreation improvements at the Buckhorn Lake, Kentucky, project: Provided further, That using \$1,000,000 of the funds provided herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to initiate construction on the Seward Harbor, Alaska, project in accordance with the Report of the Chief of Engineers dated June 8, 1999 and the economic

justification contained therein: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use up to \$900,000 of funds previously appropriated to reimburse the City of Venice, Florida, for the costs incurred by the City prior to October 1998 for work accomplished by the City related to the relocation of the stormwater outfalls and the construction of the artificial reef that comprises an integral part of the project for beach nourishment, in Sarasota County, Florida: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use funds appropriated herein, for emergency bank stabilization measures at Lakeshore Park in Knoxville, Tennessee: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to continue the Dickenson County Detailed Project Report as generally defined in Plan 4 of the Huntington District Engineer's Draft Supplement to the Section 202 General Plan for Flood Damage Reduction dated April 1997, including all Russell Fork tributary streams within the County and special considerations as may be appropriate to address the unique relocations and resettlement needs for the flood prone communities within the County: Provided further, That, with respect to the environmental infrastructure project in Lebanon, New Hampshire, for which funds are made available under this heading, the non-Federal interest shall receive credit toward the non-Federal share of the cost of the project for work performed before the date of execution of the project cooperation agreement, if the Secretary determines the work is integral to the project: Provided further, That, for the Raritan River Basin, Green Brook Sub-Basin, New Jersey, project, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to implement the locally preferred plan for the element in the western portion of Middlesex Borough, New Jersey, which includes the buyout of up to 22 homes, the flood proofing of four commercial buildings along Prospect Place and Union Avenue, and the buyout of up to three commercial buildings along Raritan and Lincoln Avenues, at a total estimated cost of \$15,000,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$11,500,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$3,500,000.

Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries, Arkansas, Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, and Tennessee

For expenses necessary for prosecuting work of flood control, rescue work, repair, restoration, or maintenance of flood control projects threatened or destroyed by flood, as authorized by law (33 U.S.C. 702a and 702g–1), \$345,992,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to convey to the Board of Mississippi Levee Commissioners any and all fee owned real property interests deemed excess to Army needs for disposal by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers at its Casting Plant and its Bank Grading and Mat Loading Fleeting Area located in Greenville, Mississippi. This real property shall be used by the Board of Mississippi Levee Commissioners for the operation and maintenance of the Mississippi River and Tributaries Project as it deems necessary.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, GENERAL

For expenses necessary for the preservation, operation, maintenance, and care of existing river and harbor, flood control, and re-lated works, including such sums as may be necessary for the maintenance of harbor channels provided by a State, municipality or other public agency, outside of harbor lines, and serving essential needs of general commerce and navigation; surveys and charting of northern and northwestern lakes and connecting waters; clearing and straightening channels; and removal of obstructions to navigation, \$1,874,803,000, to remain available until expended, of which such sums as become available in the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund, pursuant to Public Law 99-662, may be derived from that Fund, and of which such sums as become available from the special account established by the Land and Water Conservation Act of 1965, as amended (16 U.S.C. 460l), may be derived from that account for construction, operation, and maintenance of outdoor recreation facilities: Provided, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed, within funds available for the Mobile Harbor, Alabama, project, to remove, transport, dispose, and remediate contaminated sediments in and adjacent to the Federal navigation projects for the Arlington Channel and the Garrows Bend Channel at Federal expense, and a non-Federal sponsor shall provide all necessary lands, easements, rights-of-way, and relocations that may be required for the disposal of dredged material: Provided further, That using funds appropriated herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to perform cultural resource mitigation and recreation improvements at Waco Lake, Texas, at full Federal expense notwith-standing the provisions of the Water Supply Act of 1958: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use funds appropriated herein to grade the basin within the Hansen Dam feature of the Los Angeles County Drainage Area, California, project to enhance and maintain flood capacity and to provide for future use of the basin for compatible purposes consistent with the Master Plan, including recreation and environmental restoration: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use funds appropriated herein to fully investigate the development of an upland disposal site recycling program on the Black Warrior and Tombigbee Rivers, Alabama-Coosa Rivers, and the Mobile River projects: Provided further, That of funds appropriated herein for the Intracoastal Waterway, Delaware River to Chesapeake Bay, Delaware and Maryland, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to reimburse the State of Delaware for normal operation and maintenance costs incurred by the State of Delaware for the SR1 Bridge from station 58+00 to station 293+00 between May 12, 1997 and September 30, 2002. Reimburse-ment costs shall not exceed \$1,277,000: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to use funds appropriated herein to remove and reinstall the docks and causeway, in kind, and continue breakwater repairs at Astoria East Boat Basin, Oregon: Provided further, That using funds appropriated herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to dredge a channel from

the mouth of Wheeling Creek to Tunnel Green Park in Wheeling, West Virginia: Provided further, That the project for the Apalachi-cola, Chattahoochee, and Flint Rivers Navigation, authorized by section 2 of the River and Harbor Act of March 2, 1945 (Public Law 79-14) and modified by the first section of the River and Harbor Act of 1946 (60 Stat. 635, chapter 595), is modified to authorize the Secretary, as part of navigation maintenance activities, to develop and implement a plan to be integrated into the long-term dredged material management plan being developed for the Corley Slough reach, as required by conditions of the State of Florida water quality certification, for periodically removing sandy dredged material from the disposal area known as Site 40, located at mile 36.5 of the Apalachicola River, and from other disposal sites that the Secretary may determine to be needed for the purpose of reuse of the disposal areas, by transporting and depositing the sand for environmentally acceptable beneficial uses in coastal areas of Florida to be determined in coordination with the State of Florida: Provided further, That the Secretary is authorized to acquire all lands, easements, and rights-of-way that may be determined by the Secretary, in consultation with the affected State, to be required for dredged material disposal areas to implement a long-term dredge material management plan: Provided further, That the long-term management plan shall be developed in coordination with the State of Florida no later than 2 years from the date of enactment of this Act: Provided fur-ther, That, of the funds provided herein, \$4,900,000 shall be made available for these purposes and \$8,000,000 shall be made available for normal operation and maintenance of the Apalachicola, Chattahoochee, and Flint Rivers navigation project.

FLOOD CONTROL AND COASTAL EMERGENCIES

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 107–20, \$25,000,000 are hereby rescinded.

REGULATORY PROGRAM

For expenses necessary for administration of laws pertaining to regulation of navigable waters and wetlands, \$127,000,000, to remain available until expended.

FORMERLY UTILIZED SITES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM

For expenses necessary to clean up contamination from sites throughout the United States resulting from work performed as part of the Nation's early atomic energy program, \$140,000,000, to remain available until expended.

General Expenses

For expenses necessary for general administration and related functions in the Office of the Chief of Engineers and offices of the Division Engineers, activities of the Humphreys Engineer Center Support Activity, the Institute for Water Resources, and headquarters support functions at the USACE Finance Center, \$153,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That no part of any other appropriation provided in title I of this Act shall be available to fund the activities of the Office of the Chief of Engineers or the executive direction and management activities of the division offices: Provided further, That none of these funds shall be available to support an office of congressional affairs within the executive office of the Chief of Engineers.

Administrative Provisions

Appropriations in this title shall be available for official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed \$5,000); and during the current fiscal year the Revolving Fund, Corps of Engineers, shall be available for purchase (not to exceed 100 for replacement only) and hire of passenger motor vehicles.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

SEC. 101. (a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of the Army shall convey to the Blue Township Fire District, Blue Township, Kansas, by quitclaim deed and without consideration, all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of land consisting of approximately 4.35 acres located in Pottawatomie County, Tuttle Creek Lake, Kansas.

(b) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary.

(c) REVERSION.—If the Secretary determines that the property conveyed under subsection (a) ceases to be held in public ownership or to be used as a site for a fire station, all right, title, and interest in and to the property shall revert to the United States, at the option of the United States.

SEC. 102. For those shore protection projects funded in this Act which have Project Cooperation Agreements in place, the Secretary of the Army is directed to proceed with those projects in accordance with the cost sharing specified in the Project Cooperation Agreement: Provided, That the Secretary of the Army shall not accept or solicit non-Federal voluntary contributions for shore protection work in excess of the minimum requirements established by law; except that, when voluntary contributions are tendered by a non-Federal sponsor for the prosecution of work outside the authorized scope of the Federal project at full non-Federal expense, the Secretary is authorized to accept said contributions.

SEC. 103. Agreements proposed for execution by the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works or the United States Army Corps of Engineers after the date of the enactment of this Act pursuant to section 4 of the Rivers and Harbor Act of 1915, Public Law 64–291; section 11 of the River and Harbor Act of 1925, Public Law 68–585; the Civil Functions Appropriations Act, 1936, Public Law 75–208; section 215 of the Flood Control Act of 1968, as amended, Public Law 90–483; sections 104, 203, and 204 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986, as amended, Public Law 99–662; section 206 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992, as amended, Public Law 102–580; section 211 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996, Public Law 104–303; and any other specific project authority, shall be limited to credits and reimbursements per project not to exceed \$10,000,000 in each fiscal year, and total credits and reimbursements for all applicable projects not to exceed \$50,000,000 in each fiscal year.

SEC. 104. ST. GEORGES BRIDGE, DELAWARE. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to carry out any activity relating to closure or removal of the St. Georges Bridge across the Intracoastal Waterway, Delaware River to Chesapeake Bay, Delaware and Maryland, including a hearing or any other activity relating to preparation of an environmental impact statement concerning the closure or removal.

SEC. 105. The non-Federal interest shall receive credit towards the lands, easements, relocations, rights-of-way, and disposal areas required for the Lava Hot Springs restoration project in Idaho, and acquired by the non-Federal interest before execution of the project cooperation agreement: Provided, That the Secretary shall provide credit for work only if the Secretary determines such work to be integral to the project.

SEC. 106. GUADALUPE RIVER, CALIFORNIA. The project for flood control, Guadalupe River, California, authorized by section 401 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986, and the Energy and Water Development Appropriation Acts of 1990 and 1992, is modified to authorize the Secretary to construct the project substantially in accordance with the General Reevaluation and Environmental Report for Proposed Project Modifications, dated February 2001, at a total cost of \$226,800,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$128,700,000, and estimated non-Federal cost of \$98,100,000.

SEC. 107. DESIGNATION OF NONNAVIGABILITY FOR PORTIONS OF GLOUCESTER COUNTY, NEW JERSEY. (a) DESIGNATION.-

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Army (referred to in section as the "Secretary") shall designate as nonnavigable the areas described in paragraph (3) unless the Secretary, after consultation with local and regional public officials (including local and regional planning organizations), makes a determination that 1 or more projects proposed to be carried out in 1 or more areas described in paragraph (2) are not in the public interest.

(2) DESCRIPTION OF AREAS.—The areas referred to in para-graph (1) are certain parcels of property situated in the West Deptford Township, Gloucester County, New Jersey, as depicted on Tax Assessment Map #26, Block #328, Lots #1, 1.03, 1.08, and 1.09, more fully described as follows:

(A) Beginning at the point in the easterly line of Church Street (49.50 feet wide), said beginning point being the following 2 courses from the intersection of the centerline of Church Street with the curved northerly right-of-way line of Pennsylvania-Reading Seashore Lines Railroad (66.00 feet wide)-

(i) along said centerline of Church Street N. 11°28′50″ E. 38.56 feet; thence

(ii) along the same N. 61°28'35" E. 32.31 feet to the point of beginning.

(B) Said beginning point also being the end of the thirteenth course and from said beginning point runs; thence, along the aformentioned Easterly line of Church Street-

(i) N. 11°28'50" E. 1052.14 feet; thence

(ii) crossing Church Street, N. 34°19'51" W. 1590.16 feet; thence

(iii) N. 27°56'37" W. 3674.36 feet; thence

(iv) N. 35°33'54" W. 975.59 feet; thence

(v) N. 57°04'39" W. 481.04 feet; thence

(vi) N. 36°22'55" W. 870.00 feet to a point in the Pierhead and Bulkhead Line along the Southeasterly shore of the Delaware River; thence

(vii) along the same line N. 53°37'05" E. 1256.19 feet: thence

(viii) still along the same, N. 86°10'29" E. 1692.61 feet; thence, still along the same the following thirteenth courses

(ix) S. 67°44'20" E. 1090.00 feet to a point in the Pierhead and Bulkhead Line along the Southwesterly shore of Woodbury Creek; thence

(x) S. 39°44′20″ E. 507.10 feet; thence (xi) S. 31°01'38" E. 1062.95 feet; thence (xii) S. $34^{\circ}34'20''$ E. 475.00 feet; thence (xiii) S. $32^{\circ}20'28''$ E. 254.18 feet; thence (xiv) S. $52^{\circ}55'49''$ E. 964.95 feet; thence (xv) S. $56^{\circ}24'40''$ E. 366.60 feet; thence (xvi) S. 80°31'50'' E. 100.51 feet; thence (xvii) N. 75°30'00" E. 120.00 feet; thence (xviii) N. 53°09'00" E. 486.50 feet; thence (xix) N. 81°18'00" E. 132.00 feet; thence (xxi) S. 56°35′00″ E. 115.11 feet; thence (xxi) S. 42°00′00″ E. 271.00 feet; thence (xxii) S. 48°30′00″ E. 287.13 feet to a point in the

Northwesterly line of Grove Avenue (59.75 feet wide); thence

(xxiii) S. 23°09'50" W. 4120.49 feet; thence (xxiv) N. 66°50'10" W. 251.78 feet; thence

(xxv) S. 36°05'20" E. 228.64 feet; thence

(xxvi) S. 58°53'00" W. 1158.36 feet to a point in the Southwesterly line of said River Lane; thence

(xxvii) S. 41°31'35" E. 113.50 feet; thence (xxviii) S. 61°28'35" W. 863.52 feet to the point of beginning.

(C)(i) Except as provided in clause (ii), beginning at a point in the centerline of Church Street (49.50 feet wide) where the same is intersected by the curved northerly line of Pennsylvania-Reading Seashore Lines Railroad right-ofway (66.00 feet wide), along that Railroad, on a curve to the left, having a radius of 1465.69 feet, an arc distance of 1132.14 feet-

(I) N. 88°45'47" W. 1104.21 feet; thence (II) S. 69°06'30" W. 1758.95 feet; thence (III) N. 23°04'43" W. 600.19 feet; thence (IV) N. 19°15'32" W. 3004.57 feet; thence

(V) N. $44^{\circ}52'41''$ W. 897.74 feet; thence

(VI) N. 32°26'05" W. 2765.99 feet to a point in the

Pierhead and Bulkhead Line along the Southeasterly shore of the Delaware River; thence

(VII) N. 53°37'05" E. 2770.00 feet; thence

(VIII) S. 36°22'55" E. 870.00 feet; thence

(IX) S. 57°04'39" E. 481.04 feet; thence

(X) S. 35°33′54″ E. 975.59 feet; thence (XI) S. 27°56′37″ E. 3674.36 feet; thence

(XII) crossing Church Street, S. 34°19'51" E. 1590.16 feet to a point in the easterly line of Church Street: thence

(XIII) S. 11°28'50" W. 1052.14 feet; thence

(XIV) S. 61°28'35" W. 32.31 feet; thence

(XV) S. 11°28'50" W. 38.56 feet to the point of beginning.

(ii) The parcel described in clause (i) does not include the parcel beginning at the point in the centerline of Church Street (49.50 feet wide), that point being N. 11°28'50" E. 796.36 feet, measured along the centerline, from its intersection with the curved northerly right-of-way line of Pennsylvania-Reading Seashore Lines Railroad (66.00 feet wide)-

(I) N. 78°27'40" W. 118.47 feet; thence

(II) N. 15°48'40" W. 120.51 feet; thence

(III) N. 77°53'00" E 189.58 feet to a point in the centerline of Church Street; thence

(IV) S. 11°28'50" W. 183.10 feet to the point of beginning.

(b) LIMITS ON APPLICABILITY; REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The designation under subsection (a)(1)shall apply to those parts of the areas described in subsection (a) that are or will be bulkheaded and filled or otherwise occupied by permanent structures, including marina facilities.

(2) APPLICABLE LAW.—All activities described in paragraph (1) shall be subject to all applicable Federal law, including-

(A) the Act of March 3, 1899 (30 Stat. 1121, chapter 425):

(B) section 404 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1344); and

(C) the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.).

(c) TERMINATION OF DESIGNATION.—If, on the date that is 20 years after the date of enactment of this Act, any area or portion of an area described in subsection (a)(3) is not bulkheaded, filled, or otherwise occupied by permanent structures (including marina facilities) in accordance with subsection (b), or if work in connection with any activity authorized under subsection (b) is not commenced by the date that is 5 years after the date on which permits for the work are issued, the designation of nonnavigability under subsection (a)(1) for that area or portion of an area shall terminate.

SEC. 108. NOME HARBOR TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS. Section 101(a)(1) of Public Law 106–53 (the Water Resources Development Act of 1999) is amended by-

(1) striking "\$25,651,000" and inserting in its place "\$39,000,000"; and

(2) striking "\$20,192,000" and inserting in its place "\$33,541,000".

SEC. 109. Section 211 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000, Public Law 106–541, is amended by adding the following language at the end of subsection (d):

"(e) ENGINEERING RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER.—The Engineering Research and Development Center is exempt from the requirements of this section.".

SEC. 110. Section 514(g) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999, Public Law 106–53, is amended by striking "fiscal years 2000 and 2001" and inserting in lieu thereof "fiscal years 2000 through 2002".

SEC. 111. The Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to modify the pump station intake structure and discharge line to preclude ice from interfering with pump operations at Fort Fairfield, Maine, flood control project: Provided, That all design and construction costs associated with the modifications of the Fort Fairfield, Maine, project shall be at Federal expense.

SEC. 112. CERRILLOS DAM, PUERTO RICO. The Secretary of the Army shall reassess the allocation of Federal and non-Federal costs for construction of the Cerrillos Dam, carried out as part of the project for flood control, Portugues and Bucana Rivers, Puerto Rico.

SEC. 113. STUDY OF CORPS CAPABILITY TO CONSERVE FISH AND WILDLIFE. Section 704(b) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2263(b)) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (1), (2), (3), and (4) as subparagraphs (A), (B), (C), and (D), respectively;

(2) by striking "(b) The Secretary" and inserting the following:

"(b) PROJECTS.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary"; and

(3) by striking "The non-Federal share of the cost of any project under this section shall be 25 percent." and inserting the following:

"(2) COST SHARING.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—The non-Federal share of the cost of any project under this subsection shall be 25 percent.

"(B) FORM.—The non-Federal share may be provided through in-kind services, including the provision by the non-Federal interest of shell stock material that is determined by the Chief of Engineers to be suitable for use in carrying out the project.

"(C) APPLICABILITY.—The non-Federal interest shall be credited with the value of in-kind services provided on or after October 1, 2000, for a project described in paragraph (1) completed on or after that date, if the Secretary determines that the work is integral to the project.".

SEC. 114. The flood control project for the Ramapo River at Oakland, New Jersey, authorized by section 401(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986, Public Law 99–662, as amended by section 301(a)(9) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996, Public Law 104–33, is modified to authorize the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, to construct the project at a total cost of \$18,000,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$13,500,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$4,500,000 less any credits allowed under applicable laws. SEC. 115. Except for the historic scheduled maintenance dredging in the Delaware River, none of the funds appropriated in this Act shall be used to operate the dredge MCFARLAND other than for urgent dredging, emergencies and in support of national defense.

SEC. 116. The Secretary may not expend funds to accelerate the schedule to finalize the Record of Decision for the revision of the Missouri River Master Water Control Manual and any associated changes to the Missouri River Annual Operating Plan. During consideration of revisions to the manual in fiscal year 2002, the Secretary may consider and propose alternatives for achieving species recovery other than the alternatives specifically prescribed by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service in the biological opinion of the Service. The Secretary shall consider the views of other Federal agencies, non-Federal agencies, and individuals to ensure that other congressionally authorized purposes are maintained.

TITLE II

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT COMPLETION ACCOUNT

For carrying out activities authorized by the Central Utah Project Completion Act, \$34,918,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$10,749,000 shall be deposited into the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account for use by the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission.

In addition, for necessary expenses incurred in carrying out related responsibilities of the Secretary of the Interior, \$1,310,000, to remain available until expended.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

The following appropriations shall be expended to execute authorized functions of the Bureau of Reclamation:

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For management, development, and restoration of water and related natural resources and for related activities, including the operation, maintenance, and rehabilitation of reclamation and other facilities, participation in fulfilling related Federal responsibilities to Native Americans, and related grants to, and cooperative and other agreements with, State and local governments, Indian tribes, and others, \$762,531,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$14,649,000 shall be available for transfer to the Upper Colorado River Basin Fund and \$31,442,000 shall be available for transfer to the Lower Colorado River Basin Development Fund; of which such amounts as may be necessary may be advanced to the Colorado River Dam Fund; of which \$8,000,000 shall be for on-reservation water development, feasibility studies, and related administrative costs under Public Law 106–163; and of which not more than \$500,000 is for high priority projects which shall be carried out by the Youth Conservation Corps, as authorized by 16 U.S.C.

1706: Provided, That such transfers may be increased or decreased within the overall appropriation under this heading: Provided further, That of the total appropriated, the amount for program activities that can be financed by the Reclamation Fund or the Bureau of Reclamation special fee account established by 16 U.S.C. 4601-6a(i) shall be derived from that Fund or account: Provided further, That funds contributed under 43 U.S.C. 395 are available until expended for the purposes for which contributed: Provided further, That funds advanced under 43 U.S.C. 397a shall be credited to this account and are available until expended for the same purposes as the sums appropriated under this heading: Provided further, That \$12,000,000 of the funds appropriated herein shall be deposited in the San Gabriel Basin Restoration Fund established by section 110 of division B, title I of Public Law 106-554, of which \$1,000,000 shall be for remediation in the Central Basin Municipal Water District: Provided further, That funds available for expenditure for the Departmental Irrigation Drainage Program may be expended by the Bureau of Reclamation for site remediation on a non-reimbursable basis: Provided further, That section 301 of Public Law 102–250, Reclamation States Emergency Drought Relief Act of 1991, as amended, is amended further by inserting "2001, and 2002" in lieu of "and 2001": Provided further, That of such funds, not more than \$1,500,000 shall be available to the Secretary for completion of a feasibility study for the Santa Fe-Pojoaque Regional Water System, New Mexico: Provided further, That the study shall be completed by September 30, 2002.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

For the cost of direct loans and/or grants, \$7,215,000, to remain available until expended, as authorized by the Small Reclamation Projects Act of August 6, 1956, as amended (43 U.S.C. 422a-422l): Provided, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended: Provided further, That these funds are available to subsidize gross obligations for the principal amount of direct loans not to exceed \$26,000,000.

In addition, for administrative expenses necessary to carry out the program for direct loans and/or grants, \$280,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of the total sums appropriated, the amount of program activities that can be financed by the Reclamation Fund shall be derived from that Fund.

CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT RESTORATION FUND

For carrying out the programs, projects, plans, and habitat restoration, improvement, and acquisition provisions of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act, \$55,039,000, to be derived from such sums as may be collected in the Central Valley Project Restoration Fund pursuant to sections 3407(d), 3404(c)(3), 3405(f), and 3406(c)(1) of Public Law 102–575, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the Bureau of Reclamation is directed to assess and collect the full amount of the additional mitigation and restoration payments authorized by section 3407(d) of Public Law 102-575.

POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION

For necessary expenses of policy, administration, and related functions in the office of the Commissioner, the Denver office, and offices in the five regions of the Bureau of Reclamation, to remain available until expended, \$52,968,000, to be derived from the Reclamation Fund and be nonreimbursable as provided in 43 U.S.C. 377: Provided, That no part of any other appropriation in this Act shall be available for activities or functions budgeted as policy and administration expenses.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

Appropriations for the Bureau of Reclamation shall be available for purchase of not to exceed four passenger motor vehicles for replacement only.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

SEC. 201. In order to increase opportunities for Indian tribes to develop, manage, and protect their water resources, the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Commissioner of the Bureau of Reclamation, is authorized to enter into grants and cooperative agreements with any Indian tribe, institution of higher education, national Indian organization, or tribal organization pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 6301–6308. Nothing in this Act is intended to modify or limit the provisions of the Indian Self Determination Act (25 U.S.C. 45 et seq.).

SEC. 202. SAN GABRIEL BASIN, CALIFORNIA. (a) ADMINISTRA-TION OF RESTORATION FUND.—Section 110(a)(2) of the Miscellaneous Appropriations Act, 2001 (as enacted into law by section 1(a)(4) of Public Law 106–554) is amended by striking "the Secretary of the Army" and inserting "the Secretary of the Interior".

(b) PURPOSES OF RESTORATION FUND.—Section 110(a)(3)(A) of such Act is amended by striking clauses (i) and (ii) and inserting the following:

"(i) to provide grants to the San Gabriel Basin Water Quality Authority and the Central Basin Municipal Water District to reimburse such agencies for the Federal share of the costs associated with designing and constructing water quality projects to be administered by such agencies; and

"(ii) to provide grants to reimburse the San Gabriel Basin Water Quality Authority and the Central Basin Municipal Water District for the Federal share of the costs required to operate any project constructed under this section for a period not to exceed 10 years, following the initial date of operation of the project.".

this section for a period not to exceed 10 years, following the initial date of operation of the project.". (c) COST-SHARING LIMITATION.—Section 110(a)(3)(B) of such Act (114 Stat. 2763A–223) is amended by adding at the end the following:

> "(iii) CREDITS TOWARD NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—For purposes of clause (ii), the Secretary shall credit the San Gabriel Basin Water Quality Authority with the value of all prior expenditures by non-Federal interests

made after February 11, 1993, that are compatible with the purposes of this section, including—

"(I) all expenditures made by non-Federal interests to design and construct water quality projects, including expenditures associated with environmental analyses and public involvement activities that were required to implement the water quality projects in compliance with applicable Federal and State laws; and

"(II) all expenditures made by non-Federal interests to acquire lands, easements, rights-of-way, relocations, disposal areas, and water rights that were required to implement a water quality project.".

SEC. 203. The Secretary of the Interior is authorized and directed to use not to exceed \$1,000,000 of the funds appropriated under title II to refund amounts received by the United States as payments for charges assessed by the Secretary prior to January 1, 1994 for failure to file certain certification or reporting forms prior to the receipt of irrigation water, pursuant to sections 206 and 224(c) of the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982 (43 U.S.C. 390ff, 390ww(c)), including the amount of associated interest assessed by the Secretary and paid to the United States pursuant to section 224(i) of the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982 (43 U.S.C. 390ww(i)).

SEC. 204. LOWER COLORADO RIVER BASIN DEVELOPMENT FUND. (a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding section 403(f) of the Colorado River Basin Project Act (43 U.S.C. 1543(f)), no amount from the Lower Colorado River Basin Development Fund shall be paid to the general fund of the Treasury until each provision of the Stipulation Regarding a Stay and for Ultimate Judgment Upon the Satisfaction of Conditions, filed in United States district court on May 3, 2000, in Central Arizona Water Conservation District v. United States (No. CIV 95–625–TUC–WDB (EHC), No. CIV 95–1720–OHX–EHC (Consolidated Action)) is met.

(b) PAYMENT TO GENERAL FUND.—If any of the provisions of the stipulation referred to in subsection (a) are not met by the date that is 3 years after the date of enactment of this Act, payments to the general fund of the Treasury shall resume in accordance with section 403(f) of the Colorado River Basin Project Act (43 U.S.C. 1543(f)).

(c) AUTHORIZATION.—Amounts in the Lower Colorado River Basin Development Fund that but for this section would be returned to the general fund of the Treasury shall not be expended until further Act of Congress.

SEC. 205. (a) None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this Act may be used to determine the final point of discharge for the interceptor drain for the San Luis Unit until development by the Secretary of the Interior and the State of California of a plan, which shall conform to the water quality standards of the State of California as approved by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, to minimize any detrimental effect of the San Luis drainage waters.

(b) The costs of the Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and the costs of the San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program shall be classified by the Secretary of the Interior as reimbursable or nonreimbursable and collected until fully repaid pursuant to the "Cleanup Program—Alternative Repayment Plan" and the "SJVDP—Alternative Repayment Plan" described in the report entitled "Repayment Report, Kesterson Reservoir Cleanup Program and San Joaquin Valley Drainage Program, February 1995", prepared by the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation. Any future obligations of funds by the United States relating to, or providing for, drainage service or drainage studies for the San Luis Unit shall be fully reimbursable by San Luis Unit beneficiaries of such service or studies pursuant to Federal reclamation law. SEC. 206. The Secretary of the Interior, in accepting payments

SEC. 206. The Secretary of the Interior, in accepting payments for the reimbursable expenses incurred for the replacement, repair, and extraordinary maintenance with regard to the Valve Rehabilitation Project at the Arrowrock Dam on the Arrowrock Division of the Boise Project in Idaho, shall recover no more than \$6,900,000 of such expenses according to the application of the current formula for charging users for reimbursable operation and maintenance expenses at Bureau of Reclamation facilities on the Boise Project, and shall recover this portion of such expenses over a period of 15 years. SEC. 207. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made

SEC. 207. None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available by this or any other Act may be used to pay the salaries and expenses of personnel to purchase or lease water in the Middle Rio Grande or the Carlsbad Projects in New Mexico unless said purchase or lease is in compliance with the purchase requirements of section 202 of Public Law 106–60.

SEC. 208. None of the funds made available in this Act may be used by the Bureau of Reclamation (either directly or by making the funds available to an entity under a contract) for the issuance of permits for, or any other activity related to the management of, commercial rafting activities within the Auburn State Recreation Area, California, until the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 12151 et seq.) are met with respect to such commercial rafting activities.

SEC. 209. (a) Section 101(a)(6)(C) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999, Public Law 106–53, is amended to read as follows:

"(C) Makeup of water shortages caused by flood control operation.—

"(i) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Interior shall enter into, or modify, such agreements with the Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency regarding the operation of Folsom Dam and Reservoir as may be necessary in order that, notwithstanding any prior agreement or provision of law, 100 percent of the water needed to make up for any water shortage caused by variable flood control operation during any year at Folsom Dam, and resulting in a significant impact on recreation at Folsom Reservoir shall be replaced, to the extent the water is available for purchase, by the Secretary of the Interior.

i(ii) COST SHARING.—Seventy-five percent of the costs of the replacement water provided under clause (i) shall be paid for on a non-reimbursable basis by the Secretary of the Interior at Federal expense. The re-

maining 25 percent of such costs shall be provided by the Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency.

"(iii) LIMITATION.—To the extent that any funds in excess of the non-Federal share are provided by the Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency, the Secretary shall reimburse such non-Federal interests for such excess funds. Costs for replacement water may not exceed 125 percent of the current average market price for raw water, as determined by the Secretary of the Interior.".

(b) CONFORMING CHANGE.—Section 101(a)(1)(D)(ii) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996, Public Law 104–303, is amended by striking "during" and all that follows through "thereafter".

TITLE III

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

ENERGY PROGRAMS

ENERGY SUPPLY

For Department of Energy expenses including the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment, and other expenses necessary for energy supply activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion; and the purchase of not to exceed 17 passenger motor vehicles for replacement only, \$666,726,000, to remain available until expended.

Non-Defense Environmental Management

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for non-defense environmental management activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, \$236,372,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That funding for the West Valley Demonstration Project shall be reduced in subsequent fiscal years to the minimum necessary to maintain the project in a safe and stable condition, unless, not later than September 30, 2002, the Secretary: (1) provides written notification to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate that agreement has been reached with the State of New York on the final scope of Federal activities at the West Valley site and on the respective Federal and State cost shares for those activities; (2) submits a written copy of that agreement to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate; and $(\bar{3})$ provides a written certification that the Federal actions proposed in the agreement will be in full compliance with all relevant Federal statutes and are in the best interest of the Federal government.

URANIUM FACILITIES MAINTENANCE AND REMEDIATION

For necessary expenses to maintain, decontaminate, decommission, and otherwise remediate uranium processing facilities, \$418,425,000, of which \$299,641,000 shall be derived from the Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund, all of which shall remain available until expended.

Science

For Department of Energy expenses including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment, and other expenses necessary for science activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, and purchase of not to exceed 25 passenger motor vehicles for replacement only, \$3,233,100,000, to remain available until expended.

NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

For nuclear waste disposal activities to carry out the purposes of Public Law 97–425, as amended, including the acquisition of real property or facility construction or expansion, \$95,000,000, to remain available until expended and to be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund: Provided, That not to exceed \$2,500,000 shall be provided to the State of Nevada solely for expenditures, other than salaries and expenses of State employees, to conduct scientific oversight responsibilities pursuant to the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, Public Law 97–425, as amended: Provided further, That \$6,000,000 shall be provided to affected units of local governments, as defined in Public Law 97-425, to conduct appropriate activities pursuant to the Act: Provided further, That the distribution of the funds as determined by the units of local government shall be approved by the Department of Energy: Provided further, That the funds for the State of Nevada shall be made available solely to the Nevada Divi-sion of Emergency Management by direct payment and units of local government by direct payment: Provided further, That within 90 days of the completion of each Federal fiscal year, the Nevada Division of Emergency Management and the Governor of the State of Nevada and each local entity shall provide certification to the Department of Energy that all funds expended from such payments have been expended for activities authorized by Public Law 97–425 and this Act. Failure to provide such certification shall cause such entity to be prohibited from any further funding provided for simi-lar activities: Provided further, That none of the funds herein appropriated may be: (1) used directly or indirectly to influence legislative action on any matter pending before Congress or a State legislature or for lobbying activity as provided in 18 U.S.C. 1913; (2) used for litigation expenses; or (3) used to support multi-State efforts or other coalition building activities inconsistent with the restrictions contained in this Act: Provided further, That all proceeds and recoveries realized by the Secretary in carrying out activities authorized by the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, Public Law 97-425, as amended, including but not limited to, any proceeds from

the sale of assets, shall be available without further appropriation and shall remain available until expended.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For salaries and expenses of the Department of Energy necessary for departmental administration in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the hire of passenger motor vehicles and official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed \$35,000), \$210,853,000, to remain available until expended, plus such additional amounts as necessary to cover increases in the estimated amount of cost of work for others notwithstanding the provisions of the Anti-Deficiency Act (31 U.S.C. 1511 et seq.): Provided, That such increases in cost of work are offset by revenue increases of the same or greater amount, to remain available until expended: Provided further, That moneys received by the Department for miscellaneous revenues estimated to total \$137,810,000 in fiscal year 2002 may be retained and used for operating expenses within this account, and may remain available until expended, as authorized by section 201 of Public Law 95–238, notwithstanding the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 3302: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of miscellaneous revenues received during fiscal year 2002 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2002 appropriation from the General Fund estimated at not more than \$73,043,000.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, \$32,430,000, to remain available until expended.

ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

WEAPONS ACTIVITIES

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other incidental expenses necessary for atomic energy defense weapons activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion; and the purchase of not to exceed 11 passenger motor vehicles for replacement only, \$5,429,238,000, to remain available until expended.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other incidental expenses necessary for atomic energy defense, defense nuclear nonproliferation activities, in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, \$803,586,000, to remain available until expended.

NAVAL REACTORS

For Department of Energy expenses necessary for naval reactors activities to carry out the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition (by purchase, condemnation, construction, or otherwise) of real property, plant, and capital equipment, facilities, and facility expansion, \$688,045,000, to remain available until expended.

OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR

For necessary expenses of the Office of the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration, including official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed \$12,000), \$312,596,000, to remain available until expended.

ENVIRONMENTAL AND OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for atomic energy defense environmental restoration and waste management activities in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion; and the purchase of not to exceed 30 passenger motor vehicles, of which 27 shall be for replacement only, \$5,234,576,000, to remain available until expended.

Defense Facilities Closure Projects

For expenses of the Department of Energy to accelerate the closure of defense environmental management sites, including the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other necessary expenses, \$1,092,878,000, to remain available until expended.

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRIVATIZATION

For Department of Energy expenses for privatization projects necessary for atomic energy defense environmental management activities authorized by the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), \$153,537,000, to remain available until expended.

OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

For Department of Energy expenses, including the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment and other expenses necessary for atomic energy defense, other defense activities, in carrying out the purposes of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including the acquisition or condemnation of any real property or any facility or for plant or facility acquisition, construction, or expansion, \$544,044,000, to remain available until expended.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

For nuclear waste disposal activities to carry out the purposes of Public Law 97–425, as amended, including the acquisition of real property or facility construction or expansion, \$280,000,000, to remain available until expended.

POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS

BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION FUND

Expenditures from the Bonneville Power Administration Fund, established pursuant to Public Law 93–454, are approved for official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed \$1,500.

During fiscal year 2002, no new direct loan obligations may be made.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHEASTERN POWER Administration

For necessary expenses of operation and maintenance of power transmission facilities and of marketing electric power and energy, including transmission wheeling and ancillary services, pursuant to the provisions of section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944 (16 U.S.C. 825s), as applied to the southeastern power area, \$4,891,000, to remain available until expended; in addition, notwithstanding the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 3302, up to \$8,000,000 collected by the Southeastern Power Administration pursuant to the Flood Control Act to recover purchase power and wheeling expenses shall be credited to this account as offsetting collections, to remain available until expended for the sole purpose of making purchase power and wheeling expenditures.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHWESTERN POWER Administration

For necessary expenses of operation and maintenance of power transmission facilities and of marketing electric power and energy, and for construction and acquisition of transmission lines, substations and appurtenant facilities, and for administrative expenses, including official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed \$1,500 in carrying out the provisions of section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944 (16 U.S.C. 825s), as applied to the southwestern power area, \$28,038,000, to remain available until expended; in addition, notwithstanding the provisions of 31 U.S.C. 3302, not to exceed \$5,200,000 in reimbursements, to remain available until expended: Provided, That up to \$1,512,000 collected by the Southwestern Power Administration pursuant to the Flood Control Act to recover purchase power and wheeling expenses shall be credited to this account as offsetting collections, to remain available until expended for the sole purpose of making purchase power and wheeling expenditures.

Construction, Rehabilitation, Operation and Maintenance, Western Area Power Administration

For carrying out the functions authorized by title III, section 302(a)(1)(E) of the Act of August 4, 1977 (42 U.S.C. 7152), and other related activities including conservation and renewable resources programs as authorized, including official reception and representation expenses in an amount not to exceed \$1,500, \$171,938,000, to remain available until expended, of which \$166,651,000 shall be derived from the Department of the Interior Reclamation Fund: Provided, That of the amount herein appropriated, \$6,000,000 is for deposit into the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Account pursuant to title IV of the Reclamation Projects Authorization and Adjustment Act of 1992: Provided further, That up to \$152,624,000 collected by the Western Area Power Administration pursuant to the Flood Control Act of 1944 and the Reclamation Project Act of 1939 to recover purchase power and wheeling expenses shall be credited to this account as offsetting collections, to remain available until expended for the sole purpose of making purchase power and wheeling expenses and wheeling expenditures.

FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND

For operation, maintenance, and emergency costs for the hydroelectric facilities at the Falcon and Amistad Dams, \$2,663,000, to remain available until expended, and to be derived from the Falcon and Amistad Operating and Maintenance Fund of the Western Area Power Administration, as provided in section 423 of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1994 and 1995.

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission to carry out the provisions of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.), including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, the hire of passenger motor vehicles, and official reception and representation expenses (not to exceed \$3,000), \$184,155,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, not to exceed \$184,155,000 of revenues from fees and annual charges, and other services and collections in fiscal year 2002 shall be retained and used for necessary expenses in this account, and shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated from the General Fund shall be reduced as revenues are received during fiscal year 2002 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2002 appropriation from the General Fund estimated at not more than \$0: Provided further, That the Commission is authorized an additional 5 senior executive service positions.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

SEC. 301. (a) None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to award a management and operating contract, or award a significant extension or expansion to an existing management and operating contract, unless such contract is awarded using competitive procedures or the Secretary of Energy grants, on a case-by-case basis, a waiver to allow for such a deviation. The Secretary may not delegate the authority to grant such a waiver.

(b) At least 60 days before a contract award for which the Secretary intends to grant such a waiver, the Secretary shall submit to the Subcommittees on Energy and Water Development of the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report notifying the Subcommittees of the waiver and setting forth, in specificity, the substantive reasons why the Secretary believes the requirement for competition should be waived for this particular award.

SEC. 302. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to—

(1) develop or implement a workforce restructuring plan that covers employees of the Department of Energy; or

(2) provide enhanced severance payments or other benefits for employees of the Department of Energy,

under section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102–484; 42 U.S.C. 7274h).

SEC. 303. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to augment the \$20,000,000 made available for obligation by this Act for severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants under section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102–484; 42 U.S.C. 7274h) unless the Department of Energy submits a reprogramming request subject to approval by the appropriate Congressional committees.

SEC. 304. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used to prepare or initiate Requests For Proposals (RFPs) for a program if the program has not been funded by Congress.

(TRANSFERS OF UNEXPENDED BALANCES)

SEC. 305. The unexpended balances of prior appropriations provided for activities in this Act may be transferred to appropriation accounts for such activities established pursuant to this title. Balances so transferred may be merged with funds in the applicable established accounts and thereafter may be accounted for as one fund for the same time period as originally enacted.

SEC. 306. None of the funds in this or any other Act for the Administrator of the Bonneville Power Administration may be used to enter into any agreement to perform energy efficiency services outside the legally defined Bonneville service territory, with the exception of services provided internationally, including services provided on a reimbursable basis, unless the Administrator certifies in advance that such services are not available from private sector businesses.

SEC. 307. When the Department of Energy makes a user facility available to universities and other potential users, or seeks input from universities and other potential users regarding significant characteristics or equipment in a user facility or a proposed user facility, the Department shall ensure broad public notice of such availability or such need for input to universities and other potential users. When the Department of Energy considers the participation of a university or other potential user as a formal partner in the establishment or operation of a user facility, the Department shall employ full and open competition in selecting such a partner. For purposes of this section, the term "user facility" includes, but is not limited to: (1) a user facility as described in section 2203(a)(2)of the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (42 U.S.C. 13503(a)(2)); (2) a National Nuclear Security Administration Defense Programs Technology Deployment Center/User Facility; and (3) any other Departmental facility designated by the Department as a user facility.

SEC. 308. None of the funds in this Act may be used to dispose of transuranic waste in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant which contains concentrations of plutonium in excess of 20 percent by weight for the aggregate of any material category on the date of enactment of this Act, or is generated after such date. For the purposes of this section, the material categories of transuranic waste at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site include: (1) ash residues; (2) salt residues; (3) wet residues; (4) direct repackage residues; and (5) scrub alloy as referenced in the "Final Environmental Impact Statement on Management of Certain Plutonium Residues and Scrub Alloy Stored at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site".

SEC. 309. The Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration may authorize the plant manager of a covered nuclear weapons production plant to engage in research, development, and demonstration activities with respect to the engineering and manufacturing capabilities at such plant in order to maintain and enhance such capabilities at such plant: Provided, That of the amount allocated to a covered nuclear weapons production plant each fiscal year from amounts available to the Department of Energy for such fiscal year for national security programs, not more than an amount equal to 2 percent of such amount may be used for these activities: Provided further, That for purposes of this section, the term "covered nuclear weapons production plant" means the following:

(1) the Kansas City Plant, Kansas City, Missouri;

(2) the Y-12 Plant, Oak Ridge, Tennessee;

(3) the Pantex Plant, Amarillo, Texas; and

(4) the Savannah River Plant, South Carolina.

SEC. 310. The Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration may authorize the manager of the Nevada Operations Office to engage in research, development, and demonstration activities with respect to the development, test, and evaluation capabilities necessary for operations and readiness of the Nevada Test Site: Provided, That of the amount allocated to the Nevada Operations Office each fiscal year from amounts available to the Department of Energy for such fiscal year for national security programs at the Nevada Test Site, not more than an amount equal to 2 percent of such amount may be used for these activities.

SEC. 311. DEPLETED URANIUM HEXAFLUORIDE. Section 1 of Public Law 105–204 is amended in subsection (b)—

(1) by inserting "except as provided in subsection (c)," after "1321–349),"; and

(2) by striking "fiscal year 2002" and inserting "fiscal year 2005".

Sec. 312. Prohibition of Oil and Gas Drilling in the Finger Lakes National Forest, New York. No Federal permit or lease shall be issued for oil or gas drilling in the Finger Lakes National Forest, New York, during fiscal year 2002.

TITLE IV

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION

For expenses necessary to carry out the programs authorized by the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965, as amended, notwithstanding section 405 of said Act, and, for necessary expenses for the Federal Co-Chairman and the alternate on the Appalachian Regional Commission, for payment of the Federal share of the administrative expenses of the Commission, including services as authorized by 5 U.S.C. 3109, and hire of passenger motor vehicles, \$71,290,000, to remain available until expended.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board in carrying out activities authorized by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended by Public Law 100–456, section 1441, \$18,500,000, to remain available until expended.

Delta Regional Authority

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Delta Regional Authority and to carry out its activities, as authorized by the Delta Regional Authority Act of 2000, \$10,000,000, to remain available until expended.

Denali Commission

For expenses of the Denali Commission including the purchase, construction and acquisition of plant and capital equipment as necessary and other expenses, \$38,000,000, to remain available until expended.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Commission in carrying out the purposes of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended, and the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, including official representation expenses (not to exceed \$15,000), and purchase of promotional items for use in the recruitment of individuals for employment, \$516,900,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That of the amount appropriated herein, \$23,650,000 shall be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund: Provided further, That revenues from licensing fees, inspection services, and other services and collections estimated at \$473,520,000 in fiscal year 2002 shall be retained and used for necessary salaries and expenses in this account, notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302, and shall remain available until expended: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of revenues received during fiscal year 2002 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2002 appropriation estimated at not more than \$43,380,000: Provided further, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, no funds made available under this or any other Act may be expended by the Commission to implement or enforce any part of 10 C.F.R. Part 35, as adopted by the Commission on October 23, 2000, with respect to diagnostic nuclear medicine, except those parts which establish training and experience requirements for persons seeking licensing as authorized users, until such time as the Commission has reexamined 10 C.F.R. Part 35 and provided a report to the Congress which explains why the burden imposed by 10 C.F.R. Part 35 could not be further reduced.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

For necessary expenses of the Office of Inspector General in carrying out the provisions of the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, \$6,180,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That revenues from licensing fees, inspection services, and other services and collections estimated at \$5,933,000 in fiscal year 2002 shall be retained and be available until expended, for necessary salaries and expenses in this account notwithstanding 31 U.S.C. 3302: Provided further, That the sum herein appropriated shall be reduced by the amount of revenues received during fiscal year 2002 so as to result in a final fiscal year 2002 appropriation estimated at not more than \$247,000.

NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For necessary expenses of the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board, as authorized by Public Law 100–203, section 5051, \$3,100,000, to be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund, and to remain available until expended.

TITLE V

GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 501. None of the funds appropriated by this Act may be used in any way, directly or indirectly, to influence congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in 18 U.S.C. 1913.

SEC. 502. (a) PURCHASE OF AMERICAN-MADE EQUIPMENT AND PRODUCTS.—It is the sense of the Congress that, to the greatest extent practicable, all equipment and products purchased with funds made available in this Act should be American-made.

(b) NOTICE REQUIREMENT.—In providing financial assistance to, or entering into any contract with, any entity using funds made available in this Act, the head of each Federal agency, to the greatest extent practicable, shall provide to such entity a notice describing the statement made in subsection (a) by the Congress.

(c) PROHIBITION OF CONTRACTS WITH PERSONS FALSELY LABEL-ING PRODUCTS AS MADE IN AMERICA.—If it has been finally determined by a court or Federal agency that any person intentionally affixed a label bearing a "Made in America" inscription, or any inscription with the same meaning, to any product sold in or shipped to the United States that is not made in the United States, the person shall be ineligible to receive any contract or subcontract made with funds made available in this Act, pursuant to the debarment, suspension, and ineligibility procedures described in sections 9.400 through 9.409 of title 48, Code of Federal Regulations. SEC. 503. The Secretary of the Army shall conduct and submit

SEC. 503. The Secretary of the Army shall conduct and submit to Congress a study that examines the known and potential environmental effects of oil and gas drilling activity in the Great Lakes (including effects on the shorelines and water of the Great Lakes): Provided, That during the fiscal years 2002 and 2003, no Federal or State permit or lease shall be issued for new oil and gas slant, directional, or offshore drilling in or under one or more of the Great Lakes.

This Act may be cited as the "Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2002".

And the Senate agree to the same.

Sonny Callahan, Harold Rogers, Rodney P. Frelinghuysen, Tom Latham, Roger F. Wicker, Zach Wamp, Jo Ann Emerson, John T. Doolittle, Bill Young, Peter J. Visclosky, Ed Pastor, James E. Clyburn, Lucille Roybal-Allard, Managers on the Part of the House.

PETE V. DOMENICI, THAD COCHRAN, MITCH MCCONNELL, ROBERT F. BENNETT, CONRAD BURNS, LARRY CRAIG, TED STEVENS, HARRY REID, ROBERT C. BYRD, FRITZ HOLLINGS. PATTY MURRAY, BYRON L. DORGAN, DIANNE FEINSTEIN, TOM HARKIN, DANIEL K. INOUYE, Managers on the Part of the Senate.

JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The managers on the part of the House and the Senate at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 2311) making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2002, and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House and the Senate in explanation of the effects of the action agreed upon by the managers and recommended in the accompanying conference report.

The language and allocations set forth in House Report 107– 112 and Senate Report 107–39 should be complied with unless specifically addressed to the contrary in the conference report and statement of the managers. Report language included by the House which is not contradicted by the report of the Senate or the statement of the managers, and Senate report language which is not contradicted by the report of the House or the statement of the managers is approved by the committee of conference. The statement of the managers, while repeating some report language for emphasis, does not intend to negate the language referred to above unless expressly provided herein. In cases where both the House report and Senate report address a particular issue not specifically addressed in the conference report or joint statement of managers, the conferees have determined that the House and Senate reports are not inconsistent and are to be interpreted accordingly. In cases in which the House or Senate have directed the submission of a report, such report is to be submitted to both House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

Senate amendment: The Senate deleted the entire House bill after the enacting clause and inserted the Senate bill. The conference agreement includes a revised bill.

INTRODUCTION

RESPONSE TO TERRORISM

The conferees commend the personnel of the agencies funded in this bill for their dedication and professionalism in their response to the heinous and cowardly terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon on September 11, 2001.

Trade Center and the Pentagon on September 11, 2001. The Army Corps of Engineers had a very prominent role in crisis response, engineering assessment, and recovery at the attack sites. The conferees believe that this disaster has again shown the wisdom of the current structure and alignment of the Corps of Engineers within the Department of Defense. The conferees continue to expect the Congress to be fully consulted before any proposed changes affecting the Corps or the unique role of the Chief of Engineers are implemented. The Department of Energy redoubled efforts to maximize and ensure absolute security of our Nation's nuclear weapons, nuclear materials, and critical scientific and weapons infrastructure. In a quiet, unheralded manner the professionals throughout the country at the Army Corps of Engineers and the Department of the Interior's Bureau of Reclamation have spent much time and personal effort to ensure the safety of many of the Nation's critical water resources. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission remains vigilant about security at the nation's commercial nuclear power reactors. The conferees note that both Federal and contractor employees have made significant contributions at sometimes great personal sacrifice on behalf of our Nation, and we are grateful for their efforts.

The conferees are aware that a number of requirements have surfaced since the terrorist attacks to address the cost of improved security at facilities funded in this bill. These requirements are evolving and are expected to be addressed within the \$40 billion emergency supplemental appropriation that the Congress provided immediately following the terrorist attack. If additional require-ments are identified during the year, the conferees expect each agency to follow normal reprogramming procedures to address those requirements. For the Corps of Engineers Operation and Maintenance, General, account, the Corps of Engineers shall submit to the House and Senate Committees for approval, any reprogramming of funds directly related to enhanced security at its projects. If all known enhanced security requirements cannot be fully met through fiscal year 2002 appropriations, the conferees direct that each agency in this bill budget for any such remaining costs in the fiscal year 2003 budget submission to Congress. The conferees direct the Secretaries of the Army, Energy, and Interior to each submit a report to the Appropriations Committees of Congress by February 15, 2002 which specifically identifies in detail all known physical security requirements that have surfaced since the terrorist attacks, and the degree to which each has been met through fiscal year 2002 appropriations and the fiscal year 2003 budget request.

TITLE I

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Corps of Engineers. Additional items of conference agreement are discussed below.

GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

The conference agreement appropriates \$154,350,000 for General Investigations instead of \$163,260,000 as proposed by the House and \$152,402,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees have agreed to provide \$350,000 for the Corps of Engineers to initiate and complete a reconnaissance study to evaluate environmental restoration, recreation, and related purposes for the Middle Rio Grande, Bosque, New Mexico. The conferees are aware of the unique nature of this study and encourage the Corps of Engineers to establish a regional inter-agency and inter-state steering committee to leverage lessons learned from the Rio Salado, Phoenix and Tempe Reaches, Arizona, and Tres Rio, Arizona, environmental restoration projects as well as experience from within the agency.

The conference agreement includes \$1,200,000 for the Upper Trinity River Basin, Texas, project as proposed by the House and the Senate. The additional amount provided will allow for completion of the Dallas Floodway and Stemmons North Industrial Corridor studies, for continuation of studies on the Clear and West Forks of the Trinity River including the evaluation of existing flood control improvements and the identification of additional measures at their confluence needed to protect the urban center of Fort Worth, and the Big Fossil Creek Watershed, and for initiation of a new study.

The conferees have provided \$100,000 for the Corps of Engineers to address the historic flooding problem at the Sparks Arroyo Colonia in El Paso County, Texas.

The conferees have provided \$100,000 for the Nueces River and Tributaries, Texas, project for a reconnaissance study of recharge structures located on the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone in the Nueces River Basin.

Within the amount provided for Flood Plain Management Services, \$100,000 is to update a flood plain study for Tripps Run in the City of Falls Church, Virginia. In addition, the amount provided for Flood Plain Management Services includes \$1,300,000 for the development of a Foundational Floodplain Management Geographic Information System for East Baton Rouge Parish, Louisiana, containing essential graphic and non-graphic detailed databases.

Within the amount provided for the Planning Assistance to States Program, \$50,000 is for the preparation of a Comprehensive Drainage Basin Plan for Francis Bland Floodway Ditch (Eight Mile Creek) and tributaries in the vicinity of Paragould, Arkansas, and \$100,000 is for the Corps of Engineers to provide planning assistance to develop a master plan for Elk Creek Lake in Fleming County, Kentucky. In addition, the conferees urge the Corps of Engineers to initiate an investigation of the streambank erosion problems in the East Baton Rouge Parish Canal in Baker, Louisiana, and desalinization efforts at Tularosa Basin in Alamogordo, New Mexico. The amount provided for the Planning Assistance to States program also includes \$150,000 for the Corps of Engineers to provide planning assistance to the Choctawhatchee, Pea, and Yellow Rivers Watershed Management Authority. The conferees have also included \$400,000 for the Corps of Engineers to conduct, at full Federal expense as required by section 1156 of Public Law 99-662, a review of plans developed by the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands for improvements to its water infrastructure in order to prepare a report for transmission to Congress that could

be used as the basis for an authorization for the Federal government to assist the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands with those improvements.

The conference agreement includes \$29,300,000 for Research and Development. Within the amount provided, \$4,100,000 is to continue the National Shoreline Erosion Control Development and Demonstration Program authorized by section 227 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996, including \$1,300,000 for the Corps of Engineers to demonstrate the effectiveness of erosion control systems consisting of permeable groins installed perpendicular to the shoreline which reduce wave and current energy allowing a portion of the sediment load to fall out of suspension at Gulf State Park in Gulf Shores, Alabama, and \$800,000 to continue the research being conducted at Allegan County, Michigan, in cooperation with Western Michigan University. In addition, the conferees encourage the Corps of Engineers to fully investigate the use of electro-osmotic-pulse technologies at facilities where chronic water seepage and floods are problematic. The conferees urge the Corps of Engineers to test the effectiveness of the Aqua Levee Emergency Flood Control System, and report back to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations on the feasibility of deploying this emergency flood control system for use in fighting floods. The amount provided for Research and Development also includes \$300,000 for the Corps of Engineers to prepare an implementation plan and complete a detailed project design for the Seabrook Harbor, New Hampshire, Demonstration Project under the authority of section 227 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House which directs the Corps of Engineers to continue preconstruction engineering and design of the Murrieta Creek, California, project in accordance with the cost sharing established in Public Law 106–377. The language has been amended to delete the dollar amount; however, the conference agreement includes \$1,000,000 for the project as proposed by the House.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House which directs the Corps of Engineers to use the feasibility report prepared under the authority of section 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948, as amended, as the basis for the Rock Creek-Keefer Slough Flood Control Project in Butte County, California. The language has been amended to delete the dollar amount; however, the conference agreement includes \$200,000 for the project as proposed by the House and the Senate.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House regarding the Southwest Valley Flood Damage Reduction Study in New Mexico which directs the Corps of Engineers to include in the study an evaluation of flood reduction measures that would otherwise be excluded based on policies regarding the frequency of flooding, the drainage area, and the amount of runoff.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which directs the Corps of Engineers to conduct studies for flood damage reduction, environmental protection, environmental restoration, water supply, water quality, and other purposes in Tuscaloosa County, Alabama. The language has been amended to delete the dollar amount; however, the conference agreement includes \$100,000 for the study as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees have included language in the bill which directs the Corps of Engineers to conduct a comprehensive watershed study to provide a framework for implementing activities to improve the environmental quality of the Lake Tahoe Basin in Nevada and California.

The conference agreement includes language which amends the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2001, to provide that funds for the Lower St. Anthony Falls, Minnesota, project may be used for planning, engineering and design activities.

The conference agreement deletes bill language proposed by the Senate providing \$500,000 for the Port of Iberia, Louisiana, study. Funds for this project have been included in the overall amount appropriated for General Investigations.

The conference agreement deletes bill language proposed by the Senate providing \$100,000 for a Chesapeake Bay shoreline erosion study, including an examination of management measures that could be undertaken to address the sediments behind the dams on the Lower Susquehanna River. Funds for this project have been included in the overall amount appropriated for General Investigations.

The conference agreement deletes bill language proposed by the Senate providing \$300,000 for the North Georgia Water Planning District Watershed study in Georgia. Funds for this project have been included in the overall amount appropriated for General Investigations.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate regarding drilling for oil or gas in the Great Lakes. This matter has been addressed in Title V, General Provisions.

CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

The conference agreement appropriates \$1,715,951,000 for Construction, General instead of \$1,671,854,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,570,798,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes \$2,000,000 for the St. Johns County, Florida, project. The conferees are aware that additional funds may be required in fiscal year 2002 to complete this project. Therefore, the Corps of Engineers is urged to transfer up to an additional \$9,000,000 from available funds as necessary to complete this project. The conferees approve of this procedure and direct the Corps of Engineers to take all steps necessary to complete this project.

The conference agreement includes \$40,000,000 for the Olmsted Locks and Dam project. The conferees agree that none of the funds are to be used to reimburse the Claims and Judgment Fund.

The conferees have provided \$13,000,000 for the Inner Harbor Navigation Canal Lock project in Louisiana. While the conferees continue to support the renovation of the 80-year old locks in the Inner Harbor Navigation Canal, they are aware of recent allegations regarding potential adverse impacts of the project on vehicular traffic crossing the canal and direct the Corps of Engineers to work with the Old Arabi Neighborhood Association, Regional Planning Commission, St. Bernard Parish, the Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development, and the U.S. Coast Guard to determine if the project will cause vehicular traffic problems and on solutions to any confirmed problems.

The conference agreement includes \$950,000 for the Chesapeake Bay Environmental Restoration and Protection program, including \$200,000 for the Taylors Island marsh creation and shoreline protection project, and \$750,000 for upgrades to the Smith Island wastewater treatment plant.

The conference agreement includes \$4,000,000 for the Northeastern Minnesota Environmental Infrastructure program, including \$250,000 to assist the City of Biwabik, Minnesota, with its sewer and water utility reconstruction along 7th and 8th avenues.

The conference agreement includes \$500,000 for the Rural Montana project. Within the funds provided, the Corps of Engineers is directed to give consideration to projects at Helena, Laurel, and Conrad, Montana.

The conferees are aware of the urgent need to facilitate efficient construction of improvements for New York and New Jersey Harbor to meet the needs of navigation interests and save significant Federal and non-Federal resources. Therefore, the conferees direct the Secretary of the Army to combine the previously authorized Arthur Kill Channel, Howland Hook Marine Terminal, New York and New Jersey, project; the Kill Van Kull and Newark Bay Channel, New York and New Jersey, project; the New York and Adjacent Channels, Port Jersey Channel, New Jersey, project; and the New York and New Jersey Harbor, New York and New Jersey, project into a single project designated the New York and New Jersey Harbor, New York and New Jersey, project. The conferees have combined the Construction, General and General Investigations budget amounts for these projects and provided \$88,500,000 for the New York and New Jersey Harbor project. The Secretary of the Army is directed to use these funds to continue construction of the combined New York and New Jersey Harbor project to the depths authorized in the Water Resources Development Act of 2000.

The conferees have provided \$8,000,000 to continue the Rural Nevada project. Within the funds provided, the Corps of Engineers is directed to give consideration to projects at Mesquite, Silver Springs, Lawton-Verdi, Moapa, Elko County, McGill, and Boulder City, Nevada.

The conference agreement includes \$3,000,000 for the Mill Creek, Ohio, project as proposed by the House and the Senate. The additional funds provided above the budget request are to be used to accelerate completion of the General Reevaluation Report and develop an early warning system to alert businesses and residents in the watershed of possible floods.

The conference agreement includes \$3,000,000 for the Ohio Environmental Infrastructure program. The amount provided includes \$1,500,000 to assist the City of Springfield, Ohio, with its wastewater treatment and sewer improvement needs.

The conference agreement includes \$10,000,000 for the South Central Pennsylvania Environmental Improvement Program. These funds are available to carry out improvements in Armstrong, Cambria, Indiana, Fayette, Somerset, and Westmoreland Counties in Pennsylvania.

The conference agreement includes \$500,000 for the Corps of Engineers to complete preconstruction engineering and design of the Goshen Dam, Virginia, project. The conferees agree that upon completion of preconstruction engineering and design, the Corps of Engineers may initiate construction of the project using available funds.

The conferees have provided an additional \$500,000 for the Mud Mountain Dam, White River, Washington, project for the design of fish passage facilities.

The conference agreement includes a total of \$41,100,000 for the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River project. The amount provided includes funds for the individual project elements as described in the House and Senate reports.

The conference agreement includes \$4,000,000 for the Aquatic Plant Control Program. With the funds provided, the Corps of Engineers is directed to undertake the projects listed in the House and Senate reports. The amount provided for the removal of aquatic weeds in the Lavaca and Navidad Rivers in Texas is \$300,000.

The conferees direct the Corps of Engineers to undertake the projects listed in the House and Senate reports and any additional projects described below for the various continuing authorities programs. For those projects in the continuing authorities program that are named in both the House and Senate reports, the conferees direct the Corps of Engineers to use the higher of the two reports funding recommendation for that project. The recommended funding levels for these programs are as follows: Section 206— \$20,000,000; Section 204—\$1,500,000; Section 14—\$9,000,000; Sec-tion 205—\$40,000,000; Section 111—\$1,470,000; Section 107— \$15,000,000; Section 1135—\$20,400,000; Section 103—\$5,000,000; and Section 208-\$1,000,000. The conferees are aware that there are funding requirements for ongoing continuing authorities projects that may not be accommodated within the funds provided for each program. It is not the intent of the conferees that ongoing projects be terminated. If additional funds are needed during the year to keep ongoing work in any program on schedule, the conferees urge the Corps of Engineers to reprogram funds into the program

The amount provided for the Section 1135 program does not include funds for the Garrows Bend Restoration project in Mobile, Alabama. That project has been funded in the Operation and Maintenance account. The amount provided for the Section 1135 program includes \$250,000 for a feasibility study of restoration activities at Horseshoe Lake, Arkansas, and \$400,000 for the Tunica Lake Weir, Mississippi, project.

The amount provided for the Section 206 program includes \$100,000 for the Milford Pond restoration project in Massachusetts; \$10,000 for the Borough of Fair Haven, Monmouth County, New Jersey, project; and \$10,000 for the Grover's Mill Pond, Township of West Windsor, Mercer County, New Jersey, project. Funds are not included for the Lake Weamaconk, New York, project and the Oak Orchard Creek and Tonawanda Creek Watersheds, New York, project. As part of the fiscal year 2001 appropriations process, the Secretary of the Army was directed to reimburse the East Bay Municipal Utility District for expenses at Penn Mine located in Calaveras County, California. The conferees have learned that reimbursement has not occurred as required. The conferees direct the Secretary to reimburse the East Bay Municipal Utility District \$4,100,000 from funds previously appropriated under the Section 206 program for costs incurred at Penn Mine for work carried out by East Bay Municipal Utility District for the project. Such amounts shall be made available to the East Bay Municipal Utility District not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

The amount provided for the Section 205 program includes \$424,000 for the Sumava, Indiana, project and \$1,000,000 for the Deer Creek, Illinois, project. In addition, the conferees urge the Corps of Engineers to proceed with design of the Mad Creek flood control project in Iowa.

The amount provided for the Section 111 program includes \$170,000 for the Dauphin Island, Alabama, project.

The amount provided for the Section 107 program includes \$3,000,000 for the Lake Shore State Park, Wisconsin, project.

The conferees have included language in the bill earmarking funds for the following projects in the amounts specified: San Timoteo Creek (Santa Ana River Mainstem), California, \$8,000,000; Indianapolis Central Waterfront, Indiana, \$9,000,000; Southern and Eastern Kentucky, \$4,000,000; Clover Fork, City of Cumberland, Town of Martin, Pike County (including Levisa Fork and Tug Fork Tributaries), Bell County, Floyd County, Martin County, and Harlan County, Kentucky, elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River project, \$15,450,000; and the Lower Mingo County (Kermit), Upper Mingo County (including County Tributaries), Wayne County, and McDowell County, West Virginia, elements of the Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River project, \$5,900,000.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House regarding the San Gabriel Basin Restoration Fund project. Funds for this project are included in the Bureau of Reclamation's Water and Related Resources account.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House which directs the Corps of Engineers to modify the Carr Creek Lake, Kentucky, project to provide additional water supply storage for the Upper Kentucky River Basin.

The conferees have included language proposed by the House directing the Corps of Engineers to undertake design deficiency repairs to the Bois Brule Drainage and Levee District, Missouri, project with cost sharing consistent with the original project authorization and to increase the authorized level of protection of the Bois Brule Drainage and Levee District, Missouri, project from 50 to 100 years.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which directs the Corps of Engineers to conduct technical studies of individual ditch systems identified by the State of Hawaii and to assist the State in diversification by helping define the cost of repairing and maintaining selected ditch systems. The conference agreement also includes language proposed by the Senate which directs the Corps of Engineers to use \$1,300,000 to continue construction of the Kaumalapau Harbor, Hawaii, project.

The conferees have agreed to include language proposed by the Senate regarding the Brunswick County Beaches, North Carolina, project. The language has been amended to direct the Corps of Engineers to continue preparation of a General Reevaluation Report for the Oak Island, Caswell Beach, and Holden Beach segments of the project.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate directing the Corps of Engineers to undertake the Bowie County Levee, Texas, project.

The conferees have included language proposed by the Senate directing the Corps of Engineers to use \$4,000,000 of the funds provided for the Dam Safety and Seepage/Stability Correction program to continue construction of seepage control features at Waterbury Dam, Vermont.

The conference agreement includes language directing the Corps of Engineers to complete the Aloha-Rigolette, Louisiana, project.

The conference agreement includes language directing the Corps of Engineers to proceed with the Shoalwater Bay Shoreline, Washington, project.

The conferees have agreed to include language in the bill directing the Corps of Engineers to proceed with a final design and initiate construction for the repair and replacement of the Jicarilla Municipal Water System in Dulce, New Mexico.

The conference agreement includes language which directs the Corps of Engineers to proceed with the Missouri River Restoration project and which provides that erosion control measures implemented shall be primarily through nonstructural means such as planting of native vegetation, bugger strips, conservation easements, setbacks, and agricultural best management practices.

The conference agreement includes language directing the Corps of Engineers to construct the Dallas Floodway Extension, Texas, project in accordance with the Chief of Engineers report dated December 7, 1999.

The conferees have included language in the bill extending by one year the due date for a progress report required by the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2001, on implementing a program of environmental infrastructure improvements in northern Wisconsin.

The conference agreement includes language directing the Corps of Engineers to use funds previously appropriated for the Salyersville, Kentucky, project to construct additional recreation improvements at the Buckhorn Lake, Kentucky, project.

The conference agreement includes language directing the Corps of Engineers to initiate construction of the Seward Harbor, Alaska, project in accordance with the Report of the Chief of Engineers dated June 8, 1999.

The conferees have included language directing the Corps of Engineers to use previously appropriated funds to reimburse the City of Venice, Florida, for work accomplished by the City as part of the Sarasota County, Florida, project. The conference agreement includes language directing the Corps of Engineers to undertake emergency bank protection measures at Lakeshore Park in Knoxville, Tennessee.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which directs the Corps of Engineers to continue the Dickenson County, Virginia, Detailed Project Report.

The conferees have included language proposed by the Senate providing that the non-Federal sponsor for the Lebanon, New Hampshire, project shall receive credit toward the non-Federal cost of the project for work performed before execution of the project cooperation agreement.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House under Operation and Maintenance regarding the Raritan River Basin, Green Brook Sub-Basin, New Jersey, project. The Senate had proposed similar language under General Provisions, Corps of Engineers—Civil.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate regarding the Horseshoe Lake, Arkansas, project. Funds for this project have been included within the amount provided for the Section 1135 program.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing funds for the Red River Emergency Bank Protection, Arkansas, project. The amount appropriated for Construction, General includes \$3,000,000 for this project.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate regarding the Embrey Dam, Virginia, project. Funds for this project have been included in the amount appropriated for Construction, General.

The conferees direct that \$2,000,000 of the funds provided in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2001, for the Abandoned and Inactive Noncoal Mine Restoration Program shall be provided for clean-up activities in Nevada.

FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, ARKANSAS, IL-LINOIS, KENTUCKY, LOUISIANA, MISSISSIPPI, MISSOURI, AND TEN-NESSEE

The conference agreement appropriates \$345,992,000 for Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries, instead of \$347,655,000 as proposed by the House and \$328,011,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes \$45,000,000 for the Channel Improvement construction program. The amount provided includes \$500,000 to initiate dike construction at Keyes Point, Arkansas; Kate Aubrey, Arkansas; and Ashport-Goldust, Arkansas and Tennessee.

The conference agreement includes \$49,547,000 for the Mississippi River Levees construction program. The amount provided includes \$4,100,000 to construct improvements in the vicinity of New Madrid, Missouri, as described in the House Report. In addition, the conferees have included \$600,000 for the Corps of Engineers to prepare a design and cost estimate for the Lower Mississippi River Museum and Riverfront Interpretive Site at Vicksburg, Mississippi, generally in accordance with the conceptual plan prepared by the City of Vicksburg, as authorized by the Water Resources Development Act of 1992, and amended by the Water Resources Development Act of 2000.

The conference agreement includes \$12,000,000 to continue construction of the Grand Prairie project in Arkansas, including construction of features to withdraw water from the White River. The conferees are aware that the irrigation district that would be the local sponsor for this project has not yet been formed. Formation of the district would be a significant step in advancing this project.

The conferees have provided \$25,400,000 for the Atchafalaya Basin project and direct the Corps of Engineers to use these funds for the Bayou Yokely pumping station and other projects within the basin. Further, the conferees restrict funds from being used on any action that would decrease the water quality on Bayou Lafourche until water quality experts responsible for municipal water supplies from the bayou support these project elements.

The conferees recognize that the realization of benefits derived from the Atchafalaya Basin Floodway System project is dependent upon the continuation of construction engineering and design work for water management and recreational features of the Myette Point, Buffalo Cove, and Flat Lake elements. The Corps of Engineers is directed to continue work on these components.

The conference agreement includes language directing the Corps of Engineers to convey certain real property to the Board of Mississippi Levee Commissioners.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, GENERAL

The conference agreement appropriates \$1,874,803,000 for Operation and Maintenance, General instead of \$1,864,464,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,833,263,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes \$29,600,000 for the Mobile Harbor, Alabama, project. The amount provided includes \$5,000,000 for the Corps of Engineers to remove, transport, dispose, and remediate sediments in the Arlington Channel and in the Garrows Bend Channel in Mobile Harbor, Alabama, and in areas adjacent to these Federal navigation channels. The conferees have included language in the bill directing the Corps of Engineers to proceed with this work.

The conference agreement includes \$1,000,000 above the budget request for the St. Mary's River, Michigan, project for additional dredging of the lower St. Mary's River.

The conferees have provided \$9,911,000 for the Garrison Dam, Lake Sakakawea, North Dakota, project, an increase of \$800,000 over the budget request. The additional funds are provided for maintenance and upgrading of recreational facilities and for mosquito control in Williston, North Dakota.

Of the amount provided for the Delaware River, Philadelphia to the Sea, project, \$2,000,000 is for the Corps of Engineers to continue construction of facilities to control erosion of the shoreline in the vicinity of Pea Patch Island located in the Delaware River east of Delaware City, Delaware.

The conferees direct the Corps of Engineers to use the funds provided above the budget request for the Francis E. Walter Dam, Pennsylvania, project to conduct a road relocation study at the dam.

The amounts provided above the budget request for the Little Goose Lock and Dam, Washington; The Dalles Lock and Dam, Oregon and Washington; Bonneville Lock and Dam, Oregon and Washington; and John Day Lock and Dam, Oregon and Washington, projects are to fund new requirements implementing the Federal Columbia River Power System biological opinion.

Pursuant to Public Law 105–104 and Public Law 105–105, the States of Alabama, Florida, and Georgia have been engaged in negotiations since 1997 over the reallocation of water storage in Federal reservoirs operated by the Corps of Engineers in the Apalachicola-Chattahoochee-Flint and Alabama-Coosa-Tallaposa River Basins. The conferees understand that the States may be close to reaching an agreement on new allocation formulas that will reallocate storage at the Federal reservoirs located on these river basins. The conferees recognize that these projects were constructed pursuant to Acts of Congress which prescribed how the reservoirs shall operate. The conferees therefore request that the Corps report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations on how the Corps will account for hydropower benefits lost as a result of the new allocation formulas.

The conference agreement includes \$5,000,000 for the transfer of the Fox River project in Wisconsin to the State of Wisconsin. The conferees are aware that additional funds will be required to complete the transfer, and urge the Corps of Engineers to reprogram the necessary funds in fiscal year 2002. If the transfer cannot be completed in fiscal year 2002, it is the intent of the conferees to provide the additional funds in fiscal year 2003 for this effort.

The conferees are aware of the lead-time required to repair and rehabilitate recreational facilities for the upcoming Lewis and Clark Bicentennial Commemoration. Therefore, the Corps of Engineers may, within available funds, perform maintenance and repair of these facilities as is considered necessary to accommodate the anticipated visitor population.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House directing the Corps of Engineers to perform cultural resource mitigation and recreation improvements at Waco Lake, Texas. The language has been amended to delete the dollar amount; however, the conference agreement includes \$1,500,000 for this project as proposed by the House.

The conferees have included language proposed by the House which directs the Corps of Engineers to grade the basin within the Hansen Dam feature of the Los Angeles County Drainage Area, California, project to enhance and maintain flood control and provide for future use of the basin for compatible purposes consistent with the Master Plan. The language has been amended to delete the dollar amount; however, the conference agreement includes \$2,000,000 for this work as proposed by the House.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House which directs the Corps of Engineers to investigate the development of an upland disposal site recycling program. The language has been amended so that the following projects are to be included in this program: Black Warrior and Tombigbee Rivers; Alabama-Coosa Rivers; and Mobile River. The language has been amended to delete the dollar amount; however, the conference agreement includes \$1,000,000 for the work as proposed by the House.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which directs the Corps of Engineers to reimburse the State of Delaware for operation and maintenance costs incurred by the State for the SR1 Bridge over the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal.

The conferees have included language proposed by the Senate directing the Corps of Engineers to remove and reinstall the docks and causeway at Astoria East Boat Basin in Oregon. The language has been amended to also direct the Corps of Engineers to continue the breakwater repairs at the project. The language has also been amended to delete the dollar amount; however, the conference agreement includes \$3,000,000 for this work.

The conferees have included language proposed by the Senate directing the Corps of Engineers to dredge a channel from the mouth of Wheeling Creek to Tunnel Green Park in Wheeling, West Virginia. The language has been amended to delete the dollar amount; however, the conference agreement includes \$2,000,000 for this project as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which provides for the development of a long-term dredged material management plan for the Apalachicola, Chattahoochee, and Flint Rivers project. The language has been amended to provide that \$4,900,000 shall be available for the dredged material management plan and the \$8,000,000 shall be available for operation and maintenance of the project. The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the House regarding the Raritan River Basin, Green Brook Sub-Basin, New Jersey, project. This language has been included under the Construction, General account.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing funds for a study of the best use of sand dredged from Morehead City Harbor, North Carolina, and providing funds for dredging of the Sagamore Creek Channel in New Hampshire. Funds for these projects have been provided in the amount appropriated for Operation and Maintenance, General.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing funds for activities related to selection of a permanent disposal site for environmentally sound dredged material from projects in the State of Rhode Island. Funds for this work are included in the amount provided for the Providence River and Harbor project.

The conferees agree that centralized management of project funds is efficient and is allowed under current guidelines for certain activities. These activities include but are not limited to the program development system known as the Automated Budget System; the National Recreation Reservation System; the provision of uniforms for those required to wear them; the Volunteer Clearinghouse; the Water Safety Program; the transition from governmentowned/contractor-operated to private ownership and operation of the SHOALS system; and the Sign Standards Program. The conferees direct the Corps of Engineers to disclose the costs of these activities in its budget justifications.

FLOOD CONTROL AND COASTAL EMERGENCIES

(RESCISSION)

The conferees have agreed to rescind \$25,000,000 of the \$50,000,000 appropriated in Public Law 107–20 for Flood Control and Coastal Emergencies. Corps of Engineers requirements under this program have been less than anticipated.

REGULATORY PROGRAM

The conference agreement appropriates \$127,000,000 for the Regulatory Program instead of \$128,000,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate.

FORMERLY UTILIZED SITES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM

The conference agreement appropriates \$140,000,000 for the Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program as proposed by the House and the Senate.

REVOLVING FUND

The conferees have learned that the Corps of Engineers is considering a proposal to finance a major new software development from the assets of the Revolving Fund. This Fund was established in 1953 to acquire plant and equipment that would be utilized by more than one project. The conferees have noted that in recent years the Fund has been used to acquire and develop automation systems and have from time to time expressed concern with this use of the Fund. Before the conferees will concur in further use of the Fund in this manner, the Corps is directed to present appropriate justification to the House and Senate Appropriations Subcommittees on Energy and Water Development. This justification must include an appropriate and complete economic analysis.

GENERAL EXPENSES

The conference agreement appropriates \$153,000,000 for General Expenses as proposed by the House and the Senate. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House which prohibits the use of funds to support a congressional affairs office within the executive office of the Chief of Engineers.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

Section 101. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House directing the Secretary of the Army to transfer property at Tuttle Creek Lake, Kansas, to the Blue Township Fire District, Blue Township, Kansas.

Section 102. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House which directs the Secretary of the Army to carry out shore protection projects in accordance with the cost sharing provisions contained in existing project cooperation agreements with an amendment to include the text of section 111 of the Senate bill which provides that the Secretary of the Army may not accept or solicit non-Federal contributions for shore protection projects in excess of the minimum requirements established by law.

Section 103. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which places a limit on credits and reimbursements allowable per project and annually.

Section 104. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which directs that none of the funds made available in fiscal year 2002 may used to carry out any activity related to closure or removal of the St. Georges Bridge across the Intracoastal Waterway, Delaware River to Chesapeake Bay.

Section 105. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which provides that the non-Federal sponsor for the Lava Hot Springs Restoration project in Idaho shall receive credit for lands, easements, relocations, rights-of-way, and disposal areas acquired before execution of the project cooperation agreement.

Section 106. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate amending the authorization for the Guadalupe River, California, project. Section 107. The conference agreement includes language pro-

Section 107. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate regarding a designation of nonnavigability for portions of Gloucester County, New Jersey.

Section 108. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate making technical corrections to the authorization for the Nome Harbor, Alaska, project.

Section 109. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which amends section 211 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000. The language has been amended to make a technical correction.

Section 110. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which extends the authorization for appropriations for the Missouri and Middle Mississippi Rivers Enhancement Project by one year.

Section 111. The conference agreement amends language proposed by the Senate regarding the correction of a design deficiency for the Fort Fairfield, Maine, project.

Section 112. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate directing the Secretary of the Army to reassess the allocation of Federal and non-Federal costs for construction of the Cerrillos Dam project in Puerto Rico.

Section 113. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate amending the cost sharing provisions of section 704 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986.

Section 114. The conference agreement includes language amending the authorization for the Ramapo River at Oakland, New Jersey, project.

Section 115. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House regarding the use of the dredge McFARLAND. The provision has been amended by deleting the reference to placing the dredge in the active ready reserve. The conferees agree that this limitation on the use of the McFARLAND should not be considered a precedent for any other Corps of Engineers dredge, especially any dredge operating in the ports and harbors of the Northwest, where fewer commercial dredges are available and travel times to move dredges to that part of country are longer than on the east and gulf coasts. The conferees direct the General Accounting Office to conduct an economic and technical study to evaluate the benefits and impacts of the minimum dredge fleet. The study shall include an assessment on the capability and capacity of the private dredging industry to effectively respond to and accomplish the unique work the dredge McFARLAND has historically performed, with the viewpoints of all stakeholders included. The conferees expect the study to be completed within 180 days and the results transmitted to the authorization and appropriations committees.

Section 116. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate regarding revisions to the Missouri River Master Water Control Manual.

Provisions not included in the conference agreement.—The conference agreement does not include language proposed by the House regarding the San Gabriel Basin Restoration Project in California. This matter has been addressed in Title II.

The conference agreement does not include language proposed by the House regarding revisions to the Missouri River Master Water Control Manual.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate regarding funding for the Demonstration Erosion Control project in Mississippi, and the Perry Lake, Kansas, project. Funding for those projects is included in the amounts appropriated for Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries, and Operation and Maintenance, General, respectively.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate regarding the Mad Creek flood control project, which has been funded within the amount provided for the section 205 program under Construction, General.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate regarding dredging of the McClellan-Kerr Arkansas River Navigation Project. The conferees agree that the Corps of Engineers should undertake advance maintenance of the project when appropriate to facilitate the movement of commercial navigation traffic.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate regarding the Raritan River Basin, Green Brook Sub-Basin, New Jersey, project. This matter has been addressed under Construction, General.

INVESTIGATIONS
GENERAL
ENGINEERS -
CORPS OF

CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	100 250 250 250 250 250 250 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1
INVESTI		
BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	300 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	
PROJECT TITLE ALABAMA	ALABAMA RIVER BELOW CLAIBORNE LOCK AND DAM, AL BALDWIN COUNTY SHORE PROTECTION, AL BALDWIN COUNTY WATERSHEDS, AL	AKUTAN HARBOR, AK

1 2 5 6 7 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ł	1,500
50 100 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 12	124	100 143 100 300 400 400 400 400 400 400
150 226	ł	2330 2330 2330 2330 2330 2330 2330 2330
- 22 02 - 46 02 02 02 - 50 02 - 50 02 - 50 02 - 50 02 - 50 02 - 50 02 - 50 02 - 50 02 - 50 02 - 50 02 - 50 02 0	124	
KENAI RIVER BLUFF EROSION, AK KETCHIKAN HARBOR, AK KOTZEBUE SMALL BOAT HARBOR, AK LITTLE DIOMEDE HARBOR, AK MEKORYUK HARBOR, AK MEKORYUK HARBOR, AK MATAUUSKA REOSION CONTROL, AK PERTYVILLE HARBOR, AK OUINHAGAK HARBOR, AK SAINT GEORGE NAVIGATION IMPROVEMENTS, AK SAINT GEORGE NAVIGATION, AK SAINT GEORGE NAVIGATION, AK SAIMAY RIVER FLOOD CONTROL UNALAKLET HARBOR, AK UNALAKLET HARBOR, AK	AMERICAN SAMOA TUTUILA HARBOR, ASarizona ARIZONA	AGUA FRIA RIVER, AZ. COLONIAS ALONG THE US - MEXICO BORDER, AZ. GILA RIVER, NORTHEAST PHOENIX DRAINAGE AREA, AZ. LITTLE COLORADO RIVER, AZ. PIMA COUNTY, AZ. RILLITO RIVER, PIMA COUNTY, AZ. RILLITO RIVER, PIMA COUNTY, AZ. RID DE FLAG, FLAGSTAFF, AZ. RID SALADO ESTE, AZ. RID SALADO ESTE, SALT RIVER, AZ. RID SALADO ESTE, SALT RIVER, AZ. SANTA CRUZ RIVER, PASEO DE LAS IGLESIAS, AZ. TRES RIOS, AZ.

GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	
GENERAL	
3	
ENGINEERS	
Ч	
CORPS OF	

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	QUEST	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	CE PLANNING
TUCSON DRAINAGE AREA, AZ. VA SHLY-AY AKIMEL SALT RIVER RESTORATION PROJECT, AZ		208	400	410
ARKANSAS				
ARKANSAS RIVER LEVEES, AR	1,200 450 2331 2331	187 200 200 200 200 200	7, 200 263 263 263 263	187 500 200 200
ALISO CREEK MAINSTEM, CA. AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED, CA. ARANA GULCH WATERSHED, CA. ARANA GULCH WATERSHED, CA. ARROYO PASAJERO, CANTROL PARANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANA	338 348 100 110 100 110 100 100 100 100 100 10	2,000 20 300 300	20000000000000000000000000000000000000	2,600 20 20 20 750 750

, 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200
2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200
250 251 251 251 251 251 251 251 251 251 251
200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200
HUNTINGTON BEACH COASTAL BLUFF EROSION, CA. LAGUNA DE SANTA ROSA, CA. LLAGAS CREEK, CA. LLAGAS CREEK, CA. LOS ANGELES HARBOR MAIN CHANNEL DEEPENING, CA. LOWER MISSION CREEK, CA. MALIBU CREEK MATERSHED, CA. MARINA COUNTY SHORELINE, SAN CLEMENTE CREEK, CA. MODAVE RIVER FORKS DAM, CA. NERPORT BAY HARBOR, CA. NEMPORT BAY KARBOR, CA. NEMPORT BAY KARDOR, CA. NEMPORT BAY KARDON, CA. NEMPORT BAY SAUTA ANA RIVER BASIN, CA. NEMPORT BAY SAUTA ANA RIVER BASIN STUDY, CA. NEMPORT BAY SAUTA ANA RIVER BASIN, CA. NEMPORT BAY SAUTA ANA RIVER BASIN, CA. NEMPORT BAY SAUTA ANA RIVER BASIN REVERSANCH PALAS AND RIVER ANA RIVER PALAS AND RIVER AND MILDLIFE HABITAT RESTORATION, CA. PORT OF STOCKON, CA. NEMPORT PALAS VEREES, CA. NEMPORT PALAS VEREES, CA. NEMPORT BASIN STUDY, CA. NEMPORT BASIN STUDY, CA. NEMPORT BASIN STUDY, CA. NEMPORT

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	QUEST	CONFERENCE	NCE
	INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	PLANNING	INVESTIGATIONS PI	PLANNING
RUSSIAN RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, CA	4,479 200 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250		25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	

300 300 50 780 780		;;	200	300 300
200 200 300 100 100 100 100 100 100	250 175 400	55	100	240 500 375 100 100 200
400 400 300 50 50 710 780	::::	::	:::	
200 300 250 250 251 251	250 200	សួស	::	240 240 300 100 300
TIJUANA RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, CA TULE RIVER, CA	CHATFIELD, CHERRY CREEK AND BEAR CREEK RESERVOIRS, CO. FOUNTAIN CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES, CO ZUNI AND SUN VALLEY REACHES, SOUTH PLATTE RIVER, CO COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS	ROTA HARBOR MODIFICATIONS, CMMI	CHRISTINA RIVER WATERSHEAD, DE	BISCAYNE BAY, FL

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

.

::::	3460	2,100	:::
150 50 150	2 400 130 137 137 137 137 137 137 137 137	500	450 420 100
::::	, 415 3460	2,100	:::
150 250 250	130 400 255 311 311 300 311 200 311 200	250 100	450
GOOSE CREEK, DAKLEY, ID	ALEXANDER AND PULASKI COUNTIES, IL DES PLAINES RIVER, IL (PHASE II). TILLINOIS BEACH STATE PARK (INTERIM 1), IL ILLINOIS BEACH STATE PARK (INTERIM 1), IL ILLINOIS RIVER BASIN RESTORATION, IL ILLINOIS RIVER EASIN RESTORATION, IL ILLINOIS RIVER BASIN, IL & IN. NUTWOOD LEVEE, IL NUTWOOD LEVEE, IL NUTWOOD LEVEE, IL NUTWOOD LEVEE, IL NUTWOOD LEVEE, IL PEORIA RIVEFRONT DEVELOPMENT, IL PEORIA RIVEFRONT DEVELOPMENT, IL PEORIA RIVEFRONT DEVELOPMENT, IL NUTWOOD LEVEE, IL UPPER MISS & ILLINOIS NAV STUDY, IL, IA, MN, MO & WI UPPER MISS RIVER COMPREHENSIVE STUDY, IL, IA, MN, M WAUKEGAN HARBOR, IL WOOD RIVER LEVEE, IL	INDIANA HARBOR, IN	DES MOINES AND RACCOON RIVERS, IA FORT DODGE, IA

GATIONS
INVESTI
GENERAL
ł
ENGINEERS
ч
CORPS

CONFERENCE IGATIONS PLANNING	133 250 200		300 300 500 300 300 300 300 400 400
BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING INVESTIGATIONS	1211	2,372 1,	300 50 50 50 50 50 50
BUDGET	133	 252 325 264 200 1,500	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200
PROJECT TITLE	KANSAS TOPEKA, KS	GREENUP LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, KY & OH LICKING RIVER, CYNTHIANA, KY METKOPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, JEFFERSON COUNTY, KY METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, MILL CREEK BASIN, KY METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, SOUTHWEST, KY NORTH FORK LICKING RIVER, KY NORTH FORK LICKING RIVER, KY OHIO RIVER MAIN STEM SYSTEMS STUDY, KY, IL, IN, PA, WV	AMITE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, LA. ASCENSION PARISH, LA

	50		9 9 8 9 8 8 9 8 7
1,072 100 500 500 197 197	458 240 250 250 250 100	700 100 100 100	150 200 200
9	50 50 300	330	
1,072 100 300 100 197	458 240 250 87 87 190	100 300 100	3 8 8 3 1 8 1 1
LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, LA ORLEANS PARISH, LA	ANACOSTIA RIVER FEDERAL WATERSHED IMPACT ASSESSMENT, M ANACOSTIA RIVER, PG COUNTY LEVEE, MD & DC BALTIMORE METRO, GWYNNS FALLS, MD CHESAPEARE BAY SHORELINE, MD, VA, PA & DE CHUBERLAND, MD EASTEN SHORE, MD CUMER POTOME ESTULATY WATERSHED, MATTAWOMAN, MD LOWER POTOMAC ESTULATY WATERSHED, ST MARY'S, MD MIDDLE POTOMAC ESTULARY WATERSHED, ST MARY'S, MD SMITH ISLAND ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, MD	BLACKSTONE RIVER WATERSHED RESTORATION, MA & RI BLACKSTONE RIVER WATERSHED RESTORATION, MA & RI BOSTON HARBOR, MA (45-FOOT CHANNEL) COASTAL MASSACHUSETTS ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, MA MUDY RIVER, BROOKLINE AND BOSTON, MA SOMERSET AND SEARSBURG DAMS, DEERFIELD RIVER, MA & VT. MICHIGAN	BELLE ISLE SHORELINE, DETROIT, MI

GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	
GENERAL	
ENGINEERS	
Ъ	
~	
CORPS OF	

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	PLANNING
DETROIT RIVER MASTER PLAN, MI, IN, MN, NY, OH, PA GREAT LAKES NAV SYST STUDY, MI, IL, IN, MN, NY, OH, PA ROUGE RIVER WATERSHED, MI SAULT STE MARIE (REPLACEMENT LOCK), MI ST CLAIR RIVER AND LAKE ST CLAIR, MI	501	1,530	200 200 200 200	
MINNESOTA LOWER ST ANTHONY FALLS RAPIDS RESTORATION, MN MINNESOTA DAM SAFETY, MN	500 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 700	100	500 200	1 8 1 8 8 8 1 9 8 8 1 9
MISSISSIPPI HANCOCK COUNTY, MS	::		100	1 8 2 8 1 8
CHESTERFIELD, MO. HANNIBAL HARBOR, MO. KANSAS CITYS, MO & KS. MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, UNITS L455 & R460-471, MO MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, UNITS L455 & R460-471, MO NEW MADRID HARBOR, MO. ST LOUIS FLOOD PROTECTION, MO. ST LOUIS ALDOD PROTECTION, MO. ST LOUIS HARBOR, MO & IL. SPRINGFIELD, MO	580 580 581 581 580 581 581 581 581 581 581 581 581 581 581	605 747	500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500	605 242 284

150		25		400 656 590		200				300 263 200 200 200
100		325		350 200 		100 725 1,000 200 200		100 500		100 200 100
150		25		400 656 656		: 00 : 201 :		::		300 263 69
::		 325		350		200		300		200 75
SWOPE PARK INDUSTRIAL AREA, KANSAS CITY, MO	MONTANA	LOWER YELLOWSTONE RIVER DIVERSION DAM, MT	NEBRASKA	ANTELOPE CREEK, LINCOLN, NE	NEVADA	LAS VEGAS WASH, NORTH LAS VEGAS, NV. LOWER LAS VEGAS WASH WETLANDS, NV. TAHOE BASIN, NV & CA. TRUCKEE MEADOWS, NV	NEW HAMPSHIRE	CONNECTICUT RIVER ECO SYSTEM RESTORATION, NH & VT MERRIMACK RIVER BASIN, NH	NEW JERSEY	BARNEGAT BAY, NJ

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLAN	QUEST PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS P	PLANNING
NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, ENV RESTORATION, NJ. NEW JERSEY SHORE PROTECTION, HERFORD/CAPE MAY INLET NEW JERSEY SHORE INE ALTERNATIVE LONG-TERM NOURISHMENT PASSAIC RIVER, HARRISON, NJ PASSAIC RIVER, NJ PASSAIC RIVER, NJ RAHMAR RIVER BASIN, NJ RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, HIGHLANDS, NJ RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, KEYPORT, NJ RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, CONMADO, NJ RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, LEONARDO, NJ RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, UNION BEACH, NJ RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, DORT MONOUTH, NJ RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, NON BEACH, NJ SHEWSBURY RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, NJ SOUTH RIVER, RARITAN RIVER BASIN, NJ STONY BROOK, MILLSTONE RIVER BASIN, NJ UNDED SASSOC, PILLESTONE RIVER BASIN, NJ	2012 2012 2012 2012 2012 2012 2012 2012	191111111111111111111111111111111111111	200 - 200 201 - 200 201 - 200 201 - 200 201 - 200 201 - 200 201 - 200 200 -	150 400 150 150 400
UPPER PASSAIC RIVER AND IRIBULARIES, NJ UPPER ROCKAWAY RIVER, NJ	200 200	: : :	200	2 8 1 3 8 1 3 8 8
MIDDLE RIO GRANDE, BOSQUE, NM	300		350 300 475	
AUSABLE RIVER BASIN, ESSEX AND CLINTON COUNTIES, NY BOQUET RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, ESSEX COUNTY, NY BRONX RIVER BASIN, NY DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMPREHENSIVE, NY,NJ,DE,PA	50550		2000 2000 2000	

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

	1,000 1,000 1	1,700 60	583
409 75 75 75 750 750 750 750 750 750 750 75	400 200 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 3	::	:
, 2		1,700 60	583
, 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	7500 100 100 100 150 100 150		4 2 2
FLUSHING BAY AND CREEK, NY FREEPORT CREEK, VILLAGE OF FREEPORT, NY HUDSON - RARITAN ESTUARY, NY & NJ HUDSON - RARITAN ESTUARY, NY & NJ JAMAICA BAY, MARINE PARK AND PLUMB BEACH, AYVERNE, NY JAMAICA BAY, MARINE PARK AND PLUMB BEACH, AYVERNE, NY LAKE MONTAUK HARBOR, NY LINDENHURST, NY LINDENHURST, NY NORTH SHORE OF LONG ISLAND, SAHAROKEN, NY NORTH SHORE OF LONG ISLAND, BAYVILLE, NY SOUTH SHORE OF LONG ISLAND, NY SOUTH SHORE OF LONG ISLAND, NY UPPER DELAWARE RIVER WATERSHED, NY	NORTH CAROLINA BOGUE BANKS, NC	NORTH DAKOTA DEVILS LAKE, NDGRAFTON, PARK RIVER, NDOHIO OHIO	ASHTABULA RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, OH

CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING 226 350 375 375 375 100 369 369 170 BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING 1 226 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 CIMARRON RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, OK, KS, NM & CO..... MIAMI AND VICINITY, OK..... OOLOGAH LAKE WATERSHED STUDY, OK...... SOUTHEAST OKLAHOMA WATER RESOURCE STUDY, OK..... WARR ACRES, OK...... BELPRE RIVERFRONT PARK, OH............... PROJECT TITLE OKLAHOMA OREGON

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

60

500 369 369 AMAZON CREEK, OR......LOWER RESTORATION, OR & WA... LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, OR & WA... TILLAMOCK BAY AND ESTUARY ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, OR... WILLAMETTE RIVER BASIN REVIEW, OR...... WILLAMETTE RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL DREDGING, OR...... WILLAMETTE RIVER FLOODPLAIN RESTORATION, OR.....

8 3 5		160		20 25		, , ,		500		
250 100		150 50		655 50 500 100 100 195		225		 105 280		200
::		160		22 22						1
250		150 50		555 50 125 100 195		25		105		200
PENNSYLVANIA BLOOMSBURG, PA	RHODE ISLAND	QUONSET DAVISVILLE PORT, RIRHODE ISLAND ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, RI	SOUTH CAROLINA	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, SC BROAD RIVER BASIN, SC CHARLESTON ESTUARY, SC CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC PAULEYS ISLAND, SC PAULEYS ISLAND, SC REEDY RIVER, SC SANTEE DELTA ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, SC WACCAMAW RIVER, SC	SOUTH DAKOTA	NIOBRARA RIVER AND MISSOURI RIVER, SD	TENNESSEE	CHICKAMAUGA LOCK, TN	TEXAS	BOIS D'ARC CREEK, BONHAM, TX

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

BUDGET REQUEST CONFERENCE IGATIONS PLANNING INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING
100 100 100 100 100 378 100 378 1100 100
INVESTIGATIONS 1,100 1,100 1,100 100 100 100 10

	2 8 8 8 7 1	475 284 500 295 295 295	1,000 375 375
	100		300 250 100 225 200 225 200 200 200 200 200 200 2
		4.75 284 295 	200
	100	100 100 100 100 100 100	200 250 254 255 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200
UTAH	PARK CITY, UT	AIW, BRIDGES AT DEEP CREEK, VA. CHESAPEAKE BAY SHORELINE, HAMPTON, VA. ELIZABETH RIVER, HAMPTON ROADS, VA FOUR MILE RUN, VA	BELLINGHAM BAY, WA

UTAH

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	QUEST PLANNING	CONFERENCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	PLANNING
WEST VIRGINIA				
ERICKSON/WOOD COUNTY PUBLIC PORT, WV ISLAND CREEK AT LOGAN, WV LITTLE KANAWHA RIVER, WV MERCER COUNTY, WV NEW RIVER BASIN, WV, NC & VA PARKERSBURG/VIENNA, WV		483	200	600 983 100 300 400
MI SCONSIN				
BARABOO RIVER, WI	240	}	240	: : :
MYOMING				
JACKSON HOLE RESTORATION, WY	3 3 2	175	2 8 8	175
MISCELLANEOUS				
COASTAL FIELD DATA COLLECTION	2,200	, , , , , ,	3,200) 1
FIDDD DAMAGE DATA	400		007	:
FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT SERVICES.	8,200	1	9,500	:
GREAT LAKES FISHERY AND ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION		4	200	1 3 8
GREAT LAKES REMEDIAL ACTION PROGRAM (SEC. 401)	3	8 8 4	2,000	*
HYDROLOGIC STUDIES	500	1 1 1	500	:
INTERNATIONAL WATER STUDIES.	500	•	200	3 5 8
JOHN GLENN GREAT LAKES BASIN PROGRAM		ļ	200	1 3
NATIONAL SHORELINE	200		2000	1 t 1
OTHER COORDINATION PROGRAMS	1,200	1	1,200	1 3 1

	3 1 H	*	:) ; ;	:::	3		1 1 1	3 4 1		46,379
6,900	400	1 1 1	300	29,300	100	200	200	650	-68,119		96,274 33,726 107,971 46,379
) 1 1			1 1 1	:	:	;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;		:			33,726
6,500	400	10	300	24,000	100	200	200	650	-24,050	************	96,274
PLANNING ASSISTANCE TO STATES	PRECIPITATION STUDIES (NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE)	PROJECT MONITORING.	REMOTE SENSING/GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM SUPPORT	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTERS	STREAM GAGING (U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY)	TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS.	TRI-SERVICE CADD/GIS TECHNOLOGY CENTER	REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE		TOTAL, GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

.

·····		·
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
ALABAMA		
MOBILE HARBOR, AL WALTER F GEORGE POWERHOUSE AND DAM, AL & GA (MAJOR REH WALTER F GEORGE POWERPLANT, AL & GA (MAJOR REHAB)	2,300 12,325 3,000	2,300 11,325 3,000
ALASKA		
CHIGNIK HARBOR, AK. NOME HARBOR IMPROVEMENTS, AK. ST PAUL HARBOR, AK. SEWARD HARBOR, AK. WRANGELL HARBOR, AK.	3,300 2,200 700	3,300 2,200 700 1,000 1,000
ARIZONA		
NOGALES WASH & TRIBUTARIES, AZ RIO SALADO, PHOENIX AND TEMPE REACHES, AZ	13,200	500 20,000
ARKANSAS		
FOURCHE BAYOU BASIN, AR GREERS FERRY LAKE WATER LINE, AR MCCLELLAN - KERR ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, AR. MONTGOMERY POINT LOCK AND DAM, AR. RED RIVER WATERWAY, INDEX, AR TO DENISON DAM, TX RED RIVER EMERGENCY BANK PROTECTION, AR RED RIVER BELOW DENISON DAM, AR, LA, OK & TX	3,000 18,000	180 300 3,000 23,000 3,000 3,000 2,000
CALIFORNIA		
AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED, CA. AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED, CA (FOLSOM DAM MODIFICATIONS CAMBRIA SEAWATER DESALINATION, CA. CITY OF SANTA CLARITA, CA. CORTE MADERA CREEK, CA. CORTE MADERA CREEK, CA. COYOTE AND BERRYESSA CREEKS, CA. FARMINGTON RECHARGE DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM, CA. GUADALUPE RIVER, CA. HAMILTON AIRFIELD WETLANDS RESTORATION, CA. HARBOR/SOUTH BAY WATER RECYCLING, CA. IMPERIAL BEACH, SILVER STRAND SHORELINE, CA. LOS ANGELES HARBOR MAIN CHANNEL DEEPENING, CA. LOWER SACRAMENTO AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA. LOWER WALNUT CREEK, CA. MARYSVILLE/YUBA CITY LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA. MID-VALLEY AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA. MID-VALLEY AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA. NAPA RIVER, CA. OAKLAND HARBOR, CA (50 FOOT PROJECT). PETALUMA RIVER, CA. SACRAMENTO RIVER BAKK PROTECTION PROJECT, CA. SACRAMENTO RIVER BAKK PROTECTION PROJECT, CA. SACRAMENTO RIVER, GLENN-COLUSA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, CA SAN FRANCISCO BAY TO STOCKTON, CA. SAN RAMON VALLEY RECYCLED WATER, CA. SANTA ANA RIVER MAINSTEM, CA. SANTA PAULA CREEK, CA.	13,000 4,500 250 600 1,000 1,000 1,000 3,000 1,431 4,075 500 2,263 5,500 2,000 2,263 5,500 2,000 2,263 5,500 2,000 2,263 5,500 2,000 2,263 5,500 2,000 2,263 5,500 2,000 2,263 5,500 2,000 2,263 5,500 2,000 2,263 5,500 2,000 2,263 5,500 2,000 1,000 1,000 2,263 5,500 2,000 2,263 5,500 2,000 1,000 2,263 5,500 2,000 1,000 2,263 5,500 2,000 2,263 5,500 2,000 1,000 2,263 5,500 2,000 1,000 2,263 5,500 2,000 2,263 5,500 2,000 1,000 2,263 5,500 2,000 2,263 5,500 2,000 1,000 2,263 2,263 2,263 2,000 1,000 2,263 2,263 2,000 2,263 2,000 2,263 2,000 2,000 2,263 2,000	$\begin{array}{c} 14,000\\ 7,000\\ 100\\ 1,500\\ 250\\ 750\\ 600\\ 8,000\\ 4,500\\ 5,000\\ 5,000\\ 2,825\\ 1,431\\ 250\\ 4,075\\ 5,000\\ 2,263\\ 7,000\\ 10,000\\ 2,326\\ 300\\ 4,000\\ 250\\ 3,490\\ 500\\ 3,490\\ 500\\ 3,490\\ 500\\ 3,490\\ 500\\ 1,700\\ 1,700\\ \end{array}$
SOUTH SACRAMENTO COUNTY STREAMS, CA STOCKTON METROPOLITIAN FLOOD CONTROL REIMBURSEMENT, CA	1,000	2,100 6,000

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
ALABAMA		
SUCCESS DAM, TULE RIVER, CA (DAM SAFETY) SURFSIDE - SUNSET - NEWPORT BEACH, CA TULE RIVER, CA UPPER SACRAMENTO AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA WEST SACRAMENTO, CA	1,000 300 1,463 1,368	1,000 2,500 1,125 1,463 1,368
DELAWARE		
DELAWARE BAY COASTLINE, ROOSEVELT INLET/LEWES BEACH, D DELAWARE COAST PROTECTION, DE DELAWARE COAST, REHOBOTH BEACH TO DEWEY BEACH, DE	270 100	500 353 100
FLORIDA		
BREVARD COUNTY, FL. BROWARD COUNTY, FL. CANAVERAL HARBOR, FL. CANAVERAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, FL. DADE COUNTY, FL. DUVAL COUNTY, FL. UVAL COUNTY, FL. EVERGLADES AND SOUTH FLORIDA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, FL FLORIDA KEYS WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENTS, FL. JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL. JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL. JIM WOODRUFF LOCK AND DAM POWERHOUSE, FL & GA (MAJOR R KISSIMMEE RIVER, FL. MANATEE COUNTY, FL. MANATEE COUNTY, FL. MANATEE HARBOR CHANNEL, FL. PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL. PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL. PALM SHIP RIDGE, FL. PANAMA CITY HARBOR, FL. PORT EVERGLADES, FL. ST JOHN'S COUNTY, FL. TAMPA HARBOR, FL.	200 200 5,701 95,278 8,000 19,876 1,457 4,300 25,846 1,000 5,274 200 7,299 1,215 300 5,00	6,000 1,000 5,701 95,278 12,000 2,000 19,876 500 5,300 4,300 25,846 1,000 2,000 5,274 2,000 5,270 1,215 2,000 3,000 2,000 4,000 5,000 5,000 2,000 2,000 3,000 2,000 2,000 3,000 3,000 2,000 3,000 3,000 2,000 3,000
GEORGIA		
BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GA. BUFORD POWERHOUSE, GA (MAJOR REHAB) HARTWELL LAKE POWERHOUSE, GA & SC (MAJOR REHAB) LOWER SAVANNAH RIVER BASIN, GA & SC. OATES CREEK, RICHMOND COUNTY, GA (DEF CORR) RICHARD B RUSSELL DAM AND LAKE, GA & SC. THURMOND LAKE POWERHOUSE, GA & SC (MAJOR REHAB)	4,084 3,000 4,500 1,300 632 3,000 6,500	7,000 3,000 4,500 1,300 632 3,000 6,500
HAWAII		
HAWAII WATER MANAGEMENT, HI IAO STREAM FLOOD CONTROL, MAUI, HI (DEF CORR) KAUMALAPAU HARBOR, HI KIKIAOLA SMALL BOAT HARBOR, KAUAI, HI MAALAEA HARBOR, MAUI, HI	400 1,275 325	200 400 1,300 1,275 325

-

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
ILLINOIS		•
CHAIN OF ROCKS CANAL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IL (DEF CORR) CHICAGO SHORELINE, IL DEL PLAINES RIVER, IL. EAST ST LOUIS, INTERIOR FLOOD CONTROL, IL. LOCK AND DAM 24, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IL & MO (MAJOR REH LOVES PARK, IL MADISON/ST CLAIR COUNTIES, IL. MCCOOK AND THORNTON RESERVOIRS, IL. MELVIN PRICE LOCK AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, IL & KY UPPER MISS RVR SYSTEM ENV MGMT PROGRAM, IL, IA, MN, MO	3,617 24,000 1,000 8,038 1,600 500 34,000 21,000	1,000 688 8,038 1,600 1,000 17,000 500
INDIANA		
CALUMET REGION, IN CITY OF INDIANAPOLIS, IN. INDIANA HARBOR, IN (CONFINED DISPOSAL FACILITY) INDIANA SHORELINE EROSION, IN INDIANAPOLIS CENTRAL WATERFRONT, IN INDIANAPOLIS, WHITE RIVER (NORTH), IN LITTLE CALUMET RIVER, IN MISSISSINEWA LAKE, IN (MAJOR REHAB) OHIO RIVER GREENWAY PUBLIC ACCESS, IN	5,000 3,600 4,000 8,500 2,400	250 5,000 1,000 9,000 3,600 4,500 9,000
IOWA		
LOCK AND DAM 11, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IA (MAJOR REHAB) LOCK AND DAM 12, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IA (MAJOR REHAB) MISSOURI RIVER FISH AND WILDLIFE MITIGATION, IA, NE, K MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, IA, NE, KS & MO PERRY CREEK, IA	4,906 11,000 8,500 4,000	500 4,906 12,609 9,200 4,000
KANSAS		
ARKANSAS CITY, KS	3,050	5,100
CARR CREEK LAKE, KY DEWEY LAKE, KY (DAM SAFETY). KENTUCKY LOCK AND DAM, TENNESSEE RIVER, KY LOUISVILLE WATERFRONT, KY. MCALPINE LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, KY & IN METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, BEARGRASS CREEK, KY METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, POND CREEK, KY POND CREEK, KY. SOUTHERN AND EASTERN KENTUCKY, KY.	2,900 14,400 13,632 2,575 1,400	18,632 2,575
LOUISIANA		
ALOHA - RIGOLETTE, LA. ASCENSION PARISH (ENV. INFRASTRUCTURE), LA. COMITE RIVER, LA. EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH, LA. EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH (ENV. INFRASTRUCTURE), LA. GRAND ISLE AND VICINITY, LA. INNER HARBOR NAVIGATION CANAL LOCK, LA. J BENNETT JOHNSTON WATERWAY, LA. LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN AND VICINITY, LA (HURRICANE PROTECT	500 500 10,000 16,555 7,500	1,000 150 200

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET	CONFERENCE
LAROSE TO GOLDEN MEADOW, LA (HURRICANE PROTECTION) LIVINGSTON PARISH (ENV. INFRASTRUCTURE), LA MISSISSIPPI RIVER SHIP CHANNEL, GULF TO BATON ROUGE, L MISSISSIPPI RIVER, GULF OUTLET, LA NEW ORLEANS TO VENICE, LA (HURRICANE PROTECTION) OUACHITA RIVER LEVEES, AR & LA SOUTHEAST LOUISIANA, LA WEST BANK AND VICINITY, NEW ORLEANS, LA	575 500 2,000 51,908 12,000	200 575 500 2,000 1,500 60,000
MARYLAND		
ANACOSTIA RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, MD ASSATEAGUE ISLAND, MD ATLANTIC COAST OF MARYLAND, MD BALTIMORE HARBOR ANCHORAGES AND CHANNELS, MD & VA CHESAPEAKE BAY ENV. RESTORATION AND PROTECTION, MD CHESAPEAKE BAY OVSTER RECOVERY, MD & VA CUMBERLAND, MD POPLAR ISLAND, MD.	10,000 2,300 8,000 1,500 18,200	4,271 8,000 950
MASSACHUSETTS	10,200	10,000
CAPE COD CANAL RAILROAD BRIDGE, MA (MAJOR REHAB) WEST HILL DAM, MA (MAJOR REHAB)	12,500 9,000	12,500 9,000
MICHIGAN		
GENESEE COUNTY DRAIN, GENESEE COUNTY, MI NEGAUNEE, MI SAULT STE MARIE (REPLACEMENT LOCK), MI TWELVE TOWNS DRAIN RETENTION TREATMENT FACILITY, MI		250 150 3,000 250
MINNESOTA		
BRECKENRIDGE, MN. CROOKSTON, MN. LOCK AND DAM 3, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MN (MAJOR REHAB) LOWER ST ANTHONY FALLS, MN. MILLELACS REGIONAL WASTEWATER, MN. NORTHEASTERN, MN. PINE RIVER DAM, CROSS LAKE, MN (DAM SAFETY) STILLWATER, MN.	2,000 800 630	500 2,000 800 2,000 4,000 630 2,000
MISSISSIPPI		
DESOTO COUNTY, MS GULFPORT HARBOR, MS MISSISSIPPI (SEC. 592, P.L. 106-53), MS PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS	100 1,930	3,400
MISSOURI		
BLUE RIVER BASIN, KANSAS CITY, MO BLUE RIVER CHANNEL, KANSAS CITY, MO BOIS BRULE DRAINAGE AND LEVEE DISTRICT, MO CAPE GIRARDEAU, JACKSON, MO MERAMEC RIVER BASIN, VALLEY PARK LEVEE, MO MISS RIVER BTWN THE OHIO AND MO RIVERS (REG WORKS), MO ST LOUIS, MO STE GENEVIEVE, MO TABLE ROCK LAKE, MO & AR (DAM SAFETY)	675 8,400 1,717 1,200 4,000 850 5,900	675 10,400 1,200 1,717 1,200 4,000 4,000 850 5,900

PROJECT TITLE		CONFERENCE
MONTANA		,
FORT PECK DAM, MT FORT PECK FISH HATCHERY, MT RURAL MONTANA, MT		700 1,500 500
NEBRASKA		
ANTELOPE CREEK, NE MISSOURI NATIONAL RECREATIONAL RIVER, NE & SD WOOD RIVER, GRAND ISLAND, NE	1,800 4,000	300 1,800 4,000
NEVADA		
RURAL NEVADA, NV TROPICANA AND FLAMINGO WASHES, NV	22,000	8,000 30,000
NEW HAMPSHIRE		
ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, LEBANON, NH		2,000
NEW JERSEY		
BRIGANTINE INLET TO GREAT EGG INLET, NJ (ABSECON ISLAN CAPE MAY INLET TO LOWER TOUNSHIP, NJ DELAWARE RIVER MAIN CHANNEL, NJ, PA & DE GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET AND PECK BEACH, NJ LOWER CAPE MAY MEADOWS, NJ NEW YORK HARBOR & ADJACENT CHANNELS, PORT JERSEY CHANN PASSAIC RIVER PRESERVATION OF NATURAL STORAGE AREAS, N PASSAIC RIVER PRESERVATION OF NATURAL STORAGE AREAS, N PASSAIC RIVER STREAMBANK RESTORATION, NJ. RAMAPO AND MAHWAH RIVERS, MAHWAH, NJ AND SUFFERN, NY RAMAPO RIVER AT OAKLAND, NJ. RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, NJ. RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, NJ. SANDY HOOK TO BARNEGAT INLET, NJ. TOWNSENDS INLET TO CAPE MAY INLET, NJ. NEW MEXICO ACEQUIAS IRRIGATION SYSTEM, NM. ALAMOGORDO, NM.	100 780 10,000 130 22,000 5,400 100 4,949 100 10,000 5,000 2,000 2,000 3,500	250 5,400 2,500 4,949 400 10,000 5,000 2,000 2,000 3,500
CENTRAL NEW MEXICO, NM. JICARILLA APACHE TRIBE, NM. MIDDLE RIO GRANDE FLOOD PROTECTION, BERNALILLO TO BELE RIO GRANDE FLOODWAY, SAN ACACIA TO BOSQUE DEL APACHE,.	600 300	5,000 2,500 600 300
NEW YORK		
ARTHUR KILL CHANNEL, HOWLAND HOOK MARINE TERMINAL, NY. ATLANTIC COAST OF NYC, ROCKAWAY INLET TO NORTON POINT, EAST ROCKAWAY INLET TO ROCKAWAY INLET AND JAMAICA BAY, FIRE ISLAND INLET TO JONES INLET, NY. FIRE ISLAND INLET TO MONTAUK POINT, NY. KILL VAN KULL AND NEWARK BAY CHANNEL, NY & NJ. NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY HARBOR, NY & NJ. NEW YORK CITY WATERSHED, NY.	15,000 300 1,230 4,700 2,275 44,000	900 2,284 7,700 2,275 88,500 3,000 8,000
NORTH CAROLINA		
BRUNSWICK COUNTY BEACHES, OCEAN ISLE BEACH PORTION, NC MANTEO (SHALLOWBAG) BAY, NC	300	800 300

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
STANLY COUNTY WASTEWATER INFRASTRUCTURE, NC WEST ONSLOW BEACH AND NEW RIVER INLET, NC WILMINGTON HARBOR, NC WRIGHTSVILLE BEACH, NC	300 43,159 550	500 700 47,000 1,140
NORTH DAKOTA		
BUFORD - TRENTON IRRIGATION DISTRICT LAND ACQUISITION, GARRISON DAM AND POWER PLANT, ND (MAJOR REHAB) GRAFTON, PARK RIVER, ND GRAND FORKS, ND - EAST GRAND FORKS, MN HOMME LAKE, ND (DAM SAFETY) SHEYENNE RIVER, ND	3,000 7,000 25,954 2,400 2,000	5,000 7,000 500 31,000 2,400 2,000
OHIO		
LOWER GIRARD LAKE DAM, OH METROPOLITAN REGION OF CINCINNATI, DUCK CREEK, OH MILL CREEK, OH. OHIO ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE, OH. OTTAWA RIVER, OH. WEST COLUMBUS, OH.	2,700 2,000 7,200	1,000 2,700 3,000 3,000 300 11,000
OKLAHOMA		
SKIATOOK LAKE, OK (DAM SAFETY) TENKILLER FERRY LAKE, OK (DAM SAFETY)	1,800 3,700	1,800 3,700
OREGON		
BONNEVILLE POWERHOUSE PHASE II, OR & WA (MAJOR REHAB). COLUMBIA RIVER TREATY FISHING ACCESS SITES, OR & WA ELK CREEK LAKE, OR LOWER COLUMBIA RIVER BASIN BANK PROTECTION, OR & WA WILLAMETTE RIVER TEMPERATURE CONTROL, OR	10,000 5,000 2,000 100 8,000	10,000 5,000 2,000 100 9,000
PENNSYLVANIA		
3 RIVERS, WET WEATHER DEMO PROGRAM, PA JOHNSTOWN, PA (MAJOR REHAB) LOCKS AND DAMS 2, 3 AND 4, MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA NANTY GLO, PA NORTHEAST PENNSYLVANIA, PA PRESQUE ISLE PENNSVLVANIA, PA SAW MILL RUW, PITISBURGH, PA SCHUYLKILL RIVER PARK, PA. SOUTH CENTRAL PENN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM WYOMING VALLEY, PA (LEVEE RAISING).	3,082 34,470 392 4,138 19,000	400 3,082 40,470 1,000 3,000 728 4,138 1,000 10,000 19,000
PUERTO RICO		
ARECIBO RIVER, PR. PORTUGUES AND BUCANA RIVERS, PR. RIO DE LA PLATA, PR. RIO GRANDE DE LOIZA, PR. RIO GRANDE DE MANATI, PR. RIO PUERTO NUEVO, PR.	500 5,409 500 1,500 9,000	500 5,409 500 500 1,500 9,000
SOUTH CAROLINA		
CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC (DEEPENING & WIDENING) FOLLY BEACH, SC HARTWELL LK,CLEMSON UPPER & LOWER DIVERSION, SC (DAM S LAKES MARION AND MOULTRIE. SC	6,365 2,500	8,865 200 2,500 11,648

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
SOUTH DAKOTA		
BIG SIOUX RIVER, SIOUX FALLS, SD CHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX TRIBE, LOWER BRULE SIOUX, SD MISSOURI RIVER RESTORATION, SD PIERRE, SD.	6,000 3,000 6,000	6,000 7,000 750 6,000
TENNESSEE		
BLACK FOX, MURFREE AND OAKLANDS SPRINGS WETLANDS, TN		2,000
TEXAS		
BOSQUE AND LEON RIVERS, TX. BRAYS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX. CHANNEL TO VICTORIA, TX. CLEAR CREEK, TX. DALLAS FLOODWAY EXTENSION, TRINITY RIVER PROJECT, TX. EL PASO, TX. HOUSTON - GALVESTON NAVIGATION CHANNELS, TX. JOHNSON CREEK, UPPER TRINITY BASIN, ARLINGTON, TX MOUTH OF COLORADO RIVER, TX. NECHES RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES SALTWATER BARRIER, TX. NORTH PADRE ISLAND, PACKERY CHANNEL, TX. RED RIVER BASIN CHLORIDE CONTROL, TX & OK. RED RIVER BASIN CHLORIDE CONTROL, TX & OK. SAN ANTONIO CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, TX. SINS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX. WALLISVILLE LAKE, TX.	4,066 5,565 1,200 2,000 3,400 28,785 2,900 8,068 8,668 8,668 8,668	2,500 4,066 5,565 1,200 0,000 33,785 5,500 1,000 1,000 2,100 2,500 1,000 2,500 1,000 2,617
UTAH		
UPPER JORDAN RIVER, UT	500	500
VERMONT		
VERMONT DAMS REMEDIATION, VT	••••	200
VIRGINIA AIWW BRIDGE AT GREAT BRIDGE, VA. EMBREY DAM, VA. JOHN H KERR DAM AND RESERVOIR, VA & NC (MAJOR REHAB). LYNCHBURG COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOW, VA. NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS (DEEPENING), VA. RICHMOND COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOW, VA. RICHMOND COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOW, VA. ROANOKE RIVER UPPER BASIN, HEADWATERS AREA, VA. SANDBRIDGE BEACH, VA. VIRGINIA BEACH, VA (HURRICANE PROTECTION).	7,000 4,800 486 3,000 3,380 9,000	7,000 2,000 4,800 1,000 486 1,000 3,000 3,380 9,000
WASHINGTON		
COLUMBIA RIVER FISH MITIGATION, WA, OR & ID GRAYS HARBOR, WA HOWARD HANSEN DAM, WA LOWER SNAKE RIVER FISH & WILDLIFE COMPENSATION, WA, OR MT ST HELENS SEDIMENT CONTROL, WA MUD MOUNTAIN DAM, WA (DAM SAFETY) SHOALWATER BAY SHORELINE EROSION, WA THE DALLES POWERHOUSE (UNITS 1-14), WA & OR (MAJOR REH	81,000 325 2,555 545 3,300 7,000	81,000 325 3,000 2,555 545 3,800 500 7,000

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
WEST VIRGINIA	×	
BLUESTONE LAKE, WV (DAM SAFETY). CENTRAL WEST VIRGINIA, WV. GREENBRIER RIVER BASIN, WV. LEVISA AND TUG FORKS AND UPPER CUMBERLAND RIVER, WV, V LONDON LOCKS AND DAM, KANAWHA RIVER, WV (MAJOR REHAB). LOWER MUD RIVER, WV. MARMET LOCK, KANAWHA RIVER, WV. ROBERT C BYRD LOCKS AND DAM, OHIO RIVER, WV & OH SOUTHERN WEST VIRGINIA, WV. TYGART LAKE, WV (DAM SAFETY). WEST VIRGINIA AND PENNSYLVANIA FLOOD CONTROL, WV & PA. WINFIELD LOCKS AND DAM, KANAWHA RIVER, WV.	8,000 16,700 4,300 1,300 1,300 1,461 6,00	12,000 3,000 1,200 41,100 8,700 750 27,100 3,000 1,461 2,300 2,700
WISCONSIN		
LAFARGE LAKE, WI	5,150	6,150
MISCELLANEOUS		
AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION (SECTION 206) AQUATIC PLANT CONTROL PROGRAM BENEFICIAL USES OF DREDGED MATERIAL (SECTION 204) DAM SAFETY AND SEEPAGE/STABILITY CORRECTION PROGRAM DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL FACILITIES PROGRAM EMERGENCY STREAMBANK & SHORELINE PROTECTION (SEC. 14). EMPLOYEES' COMPENSATION FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS (SECTION 205) INLAND WATERWAYS USERS BOARD - BOARD EXPENSE INLAND WATERWAYS USERS BOARD - CORPS EXPENSE NAVIGATION MITIGATION PROJECT (SECTION 107) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF THE ENVIRONME SHORELINE PROTECTION PROJECT (SECTION 103) SNAGGING AND CLEARING PROJECT (SECTION 208) REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE	15,000 3,000 5,000 9,000 7,000 20,000 30,000 45 185 5000 7,000 21,000 5,000 1,000 -156,580	20,000 4,000 1,500 9,000 20,000 40,000 40,000 45 185 1,470 15,000 20,400 5,000 1,000 -263,684
TOTAL, CONSTRUCTION GENERAL	1,324,000	1,715,951

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE

GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

SURVEYS:		
GENERAL STUDIES:		
ALEXANDRIA, LA TO THE GULF OF MEXICO	500	500
BAYOU METO BASIN		1,500
DONALDSONVILLE TO THE GULF, LA	700	1,100
SPRING BAYOU, LA	500	500
COLDWATER RIVER BASIN BELOW ARKABUTLA LAKE, MS	200	200
OLIVE BRANCH, MS	300	100
MEMPHIS METRO AREA, TN & MS	394	394
MORGANZA, LA TO THE GULF OF MEXICO	4.000	5,250
SOUTHEAST ARKANSAS, AR	·	400
WOLF RIVER, MEMPHIS, TN	205	205
TENSAS RIVER BASIN, LA		100
COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA	615	615
	*	*********
SUBTOTAL, GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	7,414	10,864
	***********	=======================================

CONSTRUCTION

CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN FRANCIS BLAND FLOODWAY DITCH (EIGHT MILE CREEK), AR GRAND PRAIRIE REGION, AR. HELENA AND VICINITY, AR. MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. ST FRANCIS BASIN, AR & MO. ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, FLOODWAY SYSTEM, LA. ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, FLOODWAY SYSTEM, LA. MISSISSIPPI AND LOUISIANA ESTUARINE AREAS, LA & MS MISSISSIPPI AND LOUISIANA ESTUARINE, A. HORN LAKE CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES, MS YAZOO BASIN: BACKWATER PUMP, MS. BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER, MS. DEMONSTRATION EROSION CONTROL, MS. MAIN STEM, MS. REFORMULATION UNIT, MS. TRIBUTARIES, MS. UPPER YAZOO PROJECTS, MS. ST JOHNS BAYOU AND NEW MADRID FLOODWAY, MO NONCONNAH CREEK, FLOOD CONTROL FEATURE, TN & MS WEST TENNESSEE TRIBUTARIES, TN.	43,405 915 1,675 43,457 3,230 7,160 23,400 3,022 25 1,600 2,628 (8,550) 500 1,000 2,628 25 25 200 6,800 1,300 25	45,000 915 12,000 1,675 50,057 4,230 7,600 25,400 3,022 2,900 3,653 300 (35,249) 4,000 1,250 19,000 1,250 19,000 25 200 10,749 1,000 1,615 25
	140,542	194,666
MAINTENANCE		
CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN HELENA HARBOR, PHILLIPS COUNTY, AR INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER, NORTH BANK, AR	61,462 434 480 419	61,462 434 480 419

HELENA HARBOR, PHILLIPS COUNTY, AR	434	434
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR	480	480
LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER, NORTH BANK, AR	419	419
LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER, SOUTH BANK, AR	10	10
MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN.	7,650	8,250
ST FRANCIS BASIN, AR & MO	6,678	12,000
TENSAS BASIN, BOEUF AND TENSAS RIVERS, AR & LA	2,000	3,250
WHITE RIVER BACKWATER, AR	1,102	1,102
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IL	43	43
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KY	29	29
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, FLOODWAY SYSTEM, LA	2,065	2,065
ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA	10,661	11,500

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST CONFEREN	CE

GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

BATON ROUGE HARBOR, DEVIL SWAMP, LA. BAYOU COCODRIE AND TRIBUTARIES, LA. BONNET CARRE, LA. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, LA. LOWER RED RIVER, SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA. MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, LA. OLD RIVER, LA. TENSAS BASIN, RED RIVER BACKWATER, LA. GREENVILLE HARBOR, MS. YAZOO BASIN: ARKABUTLA LAKE, MS. BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER, MS. BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER, MS. GREENWOOD, MS. GREENWOOD, MS. GREMADA LAKE, MS. MAIN STEM, MS. SARDIS LAKE, MS. MILL M WHITTINGTON AUXILIARY CHANNEL, MS. YAZOO BACKWATER AREA, MS.	216 56 1,854 422 6,239 916 6,116 2,500 (21,260) (21,260) (21,260) (21,260) (21,260) (21,260) (21,260) (21,260) (21,260) (21,260) (250) 4,500 2,500 (250) 4,500 3,500 3,500 3,500 (250) 4,500 (21,260) (21,260) (21,260) (21,260) (21,260) (21,260) (21,260) (21,260) (21,260) (21,260) (21,260) (21,260) (25,500) (21,260) (25,500) (21,260) (25,500) (21,260) (25,500) (21,260) (25,500) (21,260) (25,500) (21,260) (25,500) (25	216 56 2,814 422 6,239 916 6,116 2,500 645 249 494 (31,807) 7,000 3,700 5,000 5,000 5,500 275 8,500 275 8,500 1,197 55 180 150 143 8,500 86 1,118 1,097
SUBTOTAL, MAINTENANCE		164,462
REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE	-12,400	
TOTAL, FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES	280,000	

BUDGET PROJECT TITLE REQUEST CONFERENCE _____ AI ARAMA 219 ALABAMA - COOSA COMPREHENSIVE WATER STUDY, AL..... 219 6,180 1.555 ALABAMA - COOSA RIVER, AL... BAYOU CODEN, AL... BAYOU LA BATRE, AL. BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, AL. DAUPHIN ISLAND BAY, AL. DOG AND FOWL RIVERS, AL... FLY CREEK, AL. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AL... MISPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AL. MILLERS FERRY LOCK AND DAM, WILLIAM "BILL" DANNELLY LA MOBILE AREA DIGITAL MAPPING, AL. ALABAMA - COOSA RIVER, AL.... 500 50 200 21, 23,700 100 20 20 250 600 450 450 200 200 5,000 5.000 100 100 7,200 4,900 3,000 MOBILE AREA DIGITAL MAPPING, AL. MOBILE HARBOR, AL. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AL. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AL. ROBERT F HENRY LOCK AND DAM, AL. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, AL. TENNESSEE - TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY, AL & MS. TENNESSEE - TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY, AL & MS. TENNESSEE - TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY, AL & MS. 18,900 29,600 1,000 1,000 350 350 5,600 5 000 80 80 23,800 24,300 2,000 6,565 6,565 WALTER F GEORGE LOCK AND DAM, AL & GA..... ALASKA ANCHORAGE HARBOR, AK.... BETHEL HARBOR, AK.... CHENA RIVER LAKES, AK.... COOK INLET SHOALS, AK... DILLINGHAM HARBOR, AK... OMER WARBOR AK 2,788 1,788 416 416 1,659 1.659 2,200 2,200 384 384 DILLINGHAM HARBOR, AK. HOMER HARBOR, AK. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AK. KETCHIKAN HARBOR, BAR POINT, AK. KODIAK HARBOR, AK. 181 35 181 35 160 160 750 ---NAKNEK RIVER, AK. NINILCHIK HARBOR, AK. NOME HARBOR, AK. PELICAN HARBOR, AK. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AK. 1,000 173 173 1,458 1,458 600 527 527 ARIZONA 1,306 1,306 ALAMO LAKE, AZ..... INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AZ..... PAINTED ROCK DAM, AZ..... SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, AZ.... 86 86 1,310 1,310 6. 32 32 WHITLOW RANCH DAM, AZ..... 184 184 ARKANSAS BEAVER LAKE, AR. BLAKELY MT DAM, LAKE OUACHITA, AR. BLUE MOUNTAIN LAKE, AR. BULL SHOALS LAKE, AR. 4,343 4.343 4,734 4,734 1,148 4,402 4,402 BULL SHOALS LAKE, AR. DARDANELLE LOCK AND DAM, AR. DEGRAY LAKE, AR. DIERKS LAKE, AR. GILLHAM LAKE, AR. GILLHAM LAKE, AR. HELENA HARBOR, PHILLIPS COUNTY, AR. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, AR. 4,235 4,235 947 947 946 946 841 841 4,873 4,873 340 308 308

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
MCCLELLAN - KERR ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, AR. MILWOOD LAKE, AR. NARROWS DAM, LAKE GREESON, AR. NIMROD LAKE, AR. OSCEOLA HARBOR, AR. OUACHITA AND BLACK RIVERS, AR & LA. OZARK - JETA TAYLOR LOCK AND DAM, AR. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, AR. WHITE RIVER, AR. YELLOW BEND PORT, AR.	22,338 1,559 3,308 1,319 3,255 7,127 3,912 10 195	3,255 610 7,127 3,912 10
CALIFORNIA BLACK BUTTE LAKE, CA BODEGA BAY, CA BUCHANAN DAM, H V EASTMAN LAKE, CA COYOTE VALLEY DAM, LAKE MENDOCINO, CA DRY CREEK (WARM SPRINGS) LAKE AND CHANNEL, CA FARMINGTON DAM, CA. HIDDEN DAM, HENSLEY LAKE, CA HUMBOLDT HARBOR AND BAY, CA INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, CA LOS ANGELES - LONG BEACH HARBOR MODEL, CA LOS ANGELES - LONG BEACH HARBOR MODEL, CA LOS ANGELES - LONG BEACH HARBORS, CA MARINA DEL REY, CA MERCED COUNTY STREAMS, CA MOJAVE RIVER DAM, CA NEW MELONES LAKE, CA NEW MEGAN LAKE, CA NEW MELONES LAKE, DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL, CA NEW MOGAN LAKE, CA PILLAR POINT HARBOR, CA PORT HUENEME,	1,952 40 3,537 4,604 299 1,687 3,516 1,171 836 170 200 4,691 4,691 40 314 273 3,860 1,922 1,573 40 10,127 1,270 2,443 40 1,224 3,889 1,964 1,766 1,766	40 3,537 4,604 299 1,687 3,516 1,171 1,476 170 3,200 6,691 40 314 273 3,860 2,500 1,922 1,573 40 10,127 1,270 2,500 2,443 40 1,224 2,000 4,389 1,964 1,766
SACRAMENTO RIVER SHALLOW DRAFT CHANNEL, CA	132 140 40 1,700 2,366 2,501 998 3,537 2,020 1,504 1,969 1,635	140 40 1,700 2,500 2,700 3,800 1,800 3,537 2,020 1,504 1,504 1,969

1,747 2,980 102	1,747 4,145 102
420 797 525 70 3,454 249 733	420 1,097 825 70 3,454 249 1,033
490 454 221 979 424 294 485 516 711	490 454 221 979 424 294 485 516 711
12,223 888 140 140 2,985	13,500 888 140 140 2,985
928 48	928 48
3,966 11,591 700 3,037 49 520 100 2,173 4,040 5,719 20 3,700	6,896 150 11,591 1,000 700 3,037 2,000 520 100 2,173 4,040 5,719 20 3,700
	797 525 700 3,454 249 733 490 454 221 979 424 485 516 711 12,223 888 140 2,985 516 140 2,985 928 48 300 3,966 3,966 1,591

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
PALM BEACH HARBOR, FL. PANAMA CITY HARBOR, FL. PENSACOLA HARBOR, FL. PONCE DE LEON INLET, FL. PORT ST JOE HARBOR, FL. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, FL. REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH, FL. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, FL. SUWANEE RIVER, FL. TAMPA HARBOR, FL. WITHLACCOCHIE RIVER, FL.	3,253 1,000 500 2,032 500 3,634 50 4,163 34	3,253 1,000 500 2,032 500 3,634 50 2,000 4,163 34
GEORGIA		
ALLATODNA LAKE, GA APALACHICOLA, CHATTAHOOCHEE AND FLINT RIVERS, GA, AL & ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, GA BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GA BUFORD DAM AND LAKE SIDNEY LANIER, GA CARTERS DAM AND LAKE, GA HARTWELL LAKE, GA & SC INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, GA J STROM THURMOND LAKE, GA & SC RICHARD B RUSSELL DAM AND LAKE, GA & SC SAVANNAH HARBOR, GA SAVANNAH RIVER BELOW AUGUSTA, GA WEST POINT DAM AND LAKE, GA & AL HAWAII	5,427 1,237 2,172 3,902 7,525 7,600 11,876 41 10,325 6,564 12,911 215 4,865	6,333 12,900 2,172 3,902 7,525 8,800 11,876 41 10,325 6,564 14,000 215 4,865
BARBERS POINT HARBOR, HI. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, HI. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, HI.	344 122 508	344 122 508
ALBENI FALLS DAM, ID DWORSHAK DAM AND RESERVOIR, ID. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ID. LUCKY PEAK LAKE, ID SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, ID ILLINOIS	1,475 4,002 75 1,526 342	75
CALUMET HARBOR AND RIVER, IL & IN. CALUMET HARBOR AND RIVER, IL & IN. CHICAGO HARBOR, IL. CHICAGO RIVER, IL. FARM CREEK RESERVOIRS, IL. ILLINOIS WATERWAY (MVR PORTION), IL & IN. ILLINOIS WATERWAY (MVR PORTION), IL & IN. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IL. KASKASKIA RIVER NAVIGATION, IL. LAKE MICHIGAN DIVERSION, IL. LAKE SHELBYVILLE, IL. MISS RIVER BTWN MO RIVER AND MINNEAPOLIS (MVR PORTION) MISS RIVER BTWN MO RIVER AND MINNEAPOLIS (MVS PORTION) MISS RIVER BTWN MO RIVER AND MINNEAPOLIS (MVS PORTION) MISS RIVER BTWN MO RIVER AND MINNEAPOLIS (MVS PORTION) PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, IL. REND LAKE, IL. SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, IL. WAUKEGAN HARBOR, IL.	3,709 4,962 2,662 362 170 21,881 1,610 758 1,159 1,037 6,071 42,431 13,897 43 4,760 97 770	3,709 4,962 2,662 170 21,881 1,610 758 1,650 1,037 6,071 42,431 13,897 43 4,760 97 770

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
BROOKVILLE LAKE, IN BURNS WATERWAY HARBOR, IN CAGLES MILL LAKE, IN INDIANA HARBOR, IN INDIANA HARBOR, IN JEDWARD ROUSH LAKE, IN MICHIGAN CITY HARBOR, IN MICHIGAN CITY HARBOR, IN MISSISSINEWA LAKE, IN PATOKA LAKE, IN PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, IN SALAMONIE LAKE, IN SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, IN	792 3,977 674 829 64 102 690 1,495 803 819 757 42 710 90	792 3,977 674 829 64 102 690 1,495 803 819 757 42 710 90
CORALVILLE LAKE, IA INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, IA MISSOURI RIVER - KENSLERS BEND, NE TO SIOUX CITY, IA MISSOURI RIVER - RULO TO MOUTH, IA, NE, KS & MO MISSOURI RIVER - SIOUX CITY TO RULO, IA & NE RATHBUN LAKE, IA RED ROCK DAM AND LAKE RED ROCK, IA SAYLORVILLE LAKE, IA	2,735 812 148 3,270 2,263 2,195 3,356 3,887	2,735 812 148 6,880 2,263 2,195 5,182 3,887
KANSAS CLINTON LAKE, KS COUNCIL GROVE LAKE, KS EL DORADO LAKE, KS ELK CITY LAKE, KS FALL RIVER LAKE, KS INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KS JOHN REDMOND DAM AND RESERVOIR, KS KANOPOLIS LAKE, KS MARION LAKE, KS PEARSON - SKUBITZ BIG HILL LAKE, KS PEARSON - SKUBITZ BIG HILL LAKE, KS SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, KS TUTLE CREEK LAKE, KS	2,201 1,116 478 526 973 1,014 45 1,100 1,507 1,422 2,006 1,997 898 2,055 2,130 185 456 2,004 2,069	1,997 898 3,000 2,130 185 456 2,004
KENTUCKY BARKLEY DAM AND LAKE BARKLEY, KY & TN BARREN RIVER LAKE, KY BIG SANDY HARBOR, KY BUCKHORN LAKE, KY CARE CREEK LAKE, KY CAVE RUN LAKE, KY DEWEY LAKE, KY ELVIS STAHR (HICKMAN) HARBOR. FISHTRAP LAKE, KY GRAYSON LAKE, KY GREEN AND BARREN RIVERS, KY	6,896 1,900 1,099 1,440 1,656 834 1,371 2,095 1,332 1,079	1,900 1,999 1,560 1,776 834 1,371 460 2,995 1,332

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
GREEN RIVER LAKE, KY. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, KY. KENTUCKY RIVER, KY. LAUREL RIVER LAKE, KY. MARTINS FORK LAKE, KY. MIDDLESBORO CUMBERLAND RIVER BASIN, KY. OHI'O RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, KY, IL, IN & OH. OHI'O RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, KY, IL, IN & OH. OHI'O RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, KY, IL, IN & OH. PAINTSVILLE LAKE, KY. ROUGH RIVER LAKE, KY. TAYLORSVILLE LAKE, KY. WOLF CREEK DAM, LAKE CUMBERLAND, KY. YATESVILLE LAKE, KY. LOUISIANA	2,107 87 913 1,311 1617 106 1,808 28,572 5,180 1,178 2,069 993 5,407 1,136	2,107 87 913 1,311 617 106 1,808 28,572 5,180 1,178 2,069 993 5,407 1,136
ATCHAFALAYA RIVER AND BAYOUS CHENE, BOEUF AND BLACK, L BARATARIA BAY WATERWAY, LA	13,181	13,181 2,000
BAYOU BODCAU RESERVOIR, LA BAYOU LAFOURCHE AND LAFOURCHE JUMP WATERWAY, LA BAYOU PIERRE, LA	652 730 28	652 730 28
BAYOU SEGNETTE WATERWAY, LA BAYOU TECHE, LA CADDO LAKE, LA. CALCASIEU RIVER AND PASS, LA. FRESHWATER BAYOU, LA. GULF INTRACCASTAL WATERWAY, LA. HOUMA NAVIGATION CANAL, LA. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, LA. J BENNETT JOHNSTON WATERWAY, LA. LAKE PROVIDENCE HARBOR, LA. MADISON PARISH PORT, LA.	92 12,773 1,595 18,195 3,343 549 8,477	740 2,000 92 12,773 3,595 19,500 3,343 549 11,477 592 120
MERMENTAU RIVER, LA. MISSISSIPPI RIVER OUTLETS AT VENICE, LA. MISSISSIPPI RIVER, BATON ROUGE TO THE GULF OF MEXICO,. MISSISSIPPI RIVER, GULF OUTLET, LA. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, LA. REMOVAL OF AGUATIC GROWTH, LA. WALLACE LAKE, LA. WATERWAY FROM IWW TO BAYOU DULAC, LA.	933 1,937 55,831 13,111 80 2,000 154	1,258 1,937 55,831 15,111 80 2,000 154 500
MAINE		
PENOBSCOT RIVER, ME. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, ME. SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, ME. UNION RIVER, ME.	1,130 17 230	275 1,130 17 230
MARYLAND		
BALTIMORE HARBOR (DRIFT REMOVAL), MD. BALTIMORE HARBOR (DRIFT REMOVAL), MD. BALTIMORE HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS), BALTIMORE HARBOR AND CHANNELS (50 FOOT), MD. CUMBERLAND, MD AND RIDGELEY, WV. HERRING BAY AND ROCKHOLD CREEK, MD. HONGA RIVER AND TAR BAY - BACK CREEK, MD. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MD. JENNINGS RANDOLPH LAKE, MD & WV. NANTICOKE HARBOR, MD. NANTICOKE HARBOR, MD. NANTICOKE HARBOR, MD. NANTICOKE HARBOR, MD. NANTICOKE RIVER NORTHWEST FORK, MD. NEALE SOUND, CHARLES COUNTY, MD. OCEAN CITY HARBOR AND INLET AND SINEPUXENT BAY, MD	464 650 22,568 157 330 2,074 865 2,798	464 650 22,568 157 500 300 330 3,074 700 865 677 2,798

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MD RHODES POINT TO TYLERTON, MD SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, MD TOLCHESTER CHANNEL, MD TWITCH COVE AND BIG THOROFARE RIVER, MD WICOMICO RIVER, MD MASSACHUSEITS	459 736 142 1,901 742 450	459 736 142 1,901 742 450
MASSACHUSELIS		
ANDREWS RIVER, MA. AUNT LYDIA'S COVE, MA. BARRE FALLS DAM, MA. BUFFUMVILLE LAKE, MA. CAPE COD CANAL, MA. CHARLES RIVER NATURAL VALLEY STORAGE AREA, MA. CONANT BROOK LAKE, MA. EAST BRIMFIELD LAKE, MA. HODGES VILLAGE DAM, MA. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MA. KNIGHTVILLE DAM, MA. LITTLEVILLE LAKE, MA. NEW BEDFORD FAIRHAVEN AND ACUSHNET HURRICANE BARRIER, PLYMOUTH HARBOR, MA. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MA. SCITUATE HARBOR, MA. TULLY LAKE, MA.	489 511 427 10, 150 234 325 378 416 125 648 476 3, 356 3, 536 665 607	130 300 489 511 427 10,150 294 234 325 378 416 125 648 476 358 3,356 3,536 1,500 665 607
WESTVILLE LAKE, MA	397	397
CHANNELS IN LAKE ST CLAIR, MI. CHANNELS IN LAKE ST CLAIR, MI. DETROIT RIVER, MI. FRANKFORT HARBOR, MI. GRAND HAVEN HARBOR, MI. GRAND MARAIS HARBOR, MI. GRAND TRAVERSE BAY HARBOR, MI. HOLLAND HARBOR, MI. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MI. KEWEENAW WATERWAY, MI. LELAND HARBOR, MI. LUDINGTON HARBOR, MI. MANISTEE HARBOR, MI. MARQUETTE HARBOR, MI. MONROE HARBOR, MI. MUSKEGON HARBOR, MI. PONTAGE LAKE HARBOR, MI. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MI. ROUGE RIVER, MI. SAGINAW RIVER, MI. SOUTH HAVEN HARBOR, MI. SOUTH HAVEN HARBOR, MI. SOUTH HAVEN HARBOR, MI. SOUTH HAVEN, MI. SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MI. SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MI.	118 122 3,692 47 2,239 554 205 804 191 103 42 239 104 52 451 1,544 185 2,518 2,518 2,518 2,518 2,518 2,518 2,518 1,587 1,587 1,587 1,587 1,587 1,587 1,587 1,587 1,587 2,518 2,529 2,518 2,529 2,518 2,529 2,518 2,529 2,5	$\begin{array}{c} 118\\ 122\\ 3,692\\ 47\\ 2,239\\ 200\\ 10\\ 554\\ 205\\ 804\\ 191\\ 103\\ 42\\ 239\\ 104\\ 52\\ 451\\ 1,544\\ 185\\ 2,518\\ 275\\ 87\\ 1,231\\ 10\\ 1,563\\ 759\\ 638\\ 18,418\\ 3,295\end{array}$

PROJECT TITLE BUDGET REQUEST CONFERENCE MINNESOTA BIGSTONE LAKE WHETSTONE RIVER, MN & SD..... DULUTH - SUPERIOR HARBOR, MN & WI.... INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, MN.... 217 217 2,692 2,692 101 101 573 LAC QUI PARLE LAKES, MINNESOTA RIVER, MN...... MINNESOTA RIVER, MN.... MISS RIVER BTWN MO RIVER AND MINNEAPOLIS (MVP PORTION) 573 130 130 45.329 45 ,329 ORVELL LAKE, MN..... PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MN..... 337 337 7 7 RED LAKE RESERVOIR, MN..... RESERVOIRS AT HEADWATERS OF MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MN..... SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, MN..... 146 146 3,552 3,552 94 MISSISSIPPI BILOXI HARBOR, MS..... CLAIRBORNE COUNTY PORT, MS..... EAST FORK, TOMBIGBEE RIVER, MS..... 30 30 122 170 170 2,100 2,100 126 120 1,584 1,584 PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS. PEARL RIVER, MS & LA. ROSEDALE HARBOR, MS. YAZOO RIVER, MS. 4,200 4,200 250 250 661 ---- - -115 MISSOURT CARUTHERSVILLE HARBOR, MO..... 240 CLARENCE CANNON DAM AND MARK TWAIN LAKE, MO..... 6,196 6,196 2,184 3,819 8,215 8,215 142 800 800 LITTLE BLUE RIVER LAKES, MO... LONG BRANCH LAKE, MO... MISS RIVER BTWN THE OHIO AND MO RIVERS (REG WORKS), MO NEW MADRID HARBOR, MO... POMME DE TERRE LAKE, MO... PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, MO... SMITHVILLE LAKE, MO... SOUTHEAST MISSOURI PORT, MISSISSIPPI RIVER... 876 876 13,068 13,068 2,204 2,204 10 10 1,128 1,128 400 ---4.065 4.065 6,826 8,826 10 10 MONTANA FT PECK DAM AND LAKE, MT..... 4,342 4,342 LIBBY DAM, LAKE KOOCANUSA, MT..... NEBRASKA GAVINS POINT DAM, LEWIS AND CLARK LAKE, NE & SD..... 6,495 6,745 GAVINS POINT DAM, LEWIS AND CLARK LAKE, NE & SD..... HARLAN COUNTY LAKE, NE... MISSOURI NATIONAL RECREATIONAL RIVER, NE... MISSOURI R MASTER WTR CONTROL MANUAL, NE, IA, KS, MO,. MISSOURI RIVER BASIN COLLABORATIVE WATER PLANNING (NWO PAPILLION CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES LAKES, NE.... SALT CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES, NE.... SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NE... 2,019 2,019 275 ---500 500 80 80 125 125 611 847 611 847 329 329

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
NEVADA		
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NV MARTIS CREEK LAKE, NV & CA PINE AND MATHEWS CANYONS LAKES, NV	43 576 210	43 576 210
NEW HAMPSHIRE		
BLACKWATER DAM, NH COCHECO RIVER. EDWARD MACDOWELL LAKE, NH. FRANKLIN FALLS DAM, NH. HOPKINTON - EVERETT LAKES, NH. OTTER BROOK LAKE, NH. PORTSMOUTH HARBOR AND PISCATAQUA RIVER, NH. SAGAMORE CREEK CHANNEL, NH. SURRY MOUNTAIN LAKE, NH.	607 460 1,104 1,412 781 287 749	607 300 460 1,104 1,412 781 287 400 749
NEW JERSEY		
BARNEGAT INLET, NJ COLD SPRING INLET, NJ DELAWARE RIVER AT CAMDEN, NJ. DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA TO THE SEA, NJ, PA & DE DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA, PA TO TRENTON, NJ NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NJ. NEWARK BAY, HACKENSACK AND PASSAIC RIVERS, NJ. PASSAIC RIVER HLOOD WARNING SYSTEMS, NJ. SHARK RIVER, NJ. SHREWSBURY RIVER, MAIN CHANNEL, NJ.	1,400 410 19 17,105 3,465 2,800 2,900 425 100	3,200 410 19 19,105 3,465 2,800 2,900 425 100 130
NEW MEXICO		
ABIQUIU DAM, NM COCHITI LAKE, NM CONCHAS LAKE, NM GALISTED DAM, NM JEMEZ CANYON DAM, NM JEMEZ CANYON DAM, NM SANTA ROSA DAM AND LAKE, NM SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, NM TWO RIVERS DAM, NM UPPER RIO GRANDE WATER OPERATIONS MODEL	1,556 2,209 1,932 368 80 541 1,049 130 328	3,056 2,209 1,932 468 80 541 1,229 130 328 1,500
NEW YORK		
ALMOND LAKE, NY. ARKPORT DAM, NY. BLACK ROCK CHANNEL AND TONAWANDA HARBOR, NY. BUFFALO HARBOR, NY. DUNKIKK HARBOR, NY. EAST RIVER, NY. EAST RIVER, NY. FIRE ISLAND INLET, NY. FIRE ISLAND INLET TO JONES INLET, NY. FIRE ISLAND INLET TO JONES INLET, NY. FILUSHING BAY AND CREEK, NY. GREAT KILLS HARBOR, NY. GREAT SOULS BAY HARBOR, NY. GREAT SOULS BAY HARBOR, NY. GREAT SOULS BAY HARBOR, NY. HUDSON RIVER, NY (MAINT). HUDSON RIVER, NY (MAINT). HUDSON RIVER, NY (O&C). INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NY. JAMAICA BAY, NY. JONES INLET, NY.	463 252 2,795 515 280 600 250 513 2,300 3,000 3,000 2,525 1,340 509 250 100	463 252 2,795 515 280 600 250 513 2,300 4,000 1,000 1,000 2,525 1,340 509 250 100

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
LAKE MONTAUK HARBOR, NY. LITTLE SODUS BAY HARBOR, NY. LONG ISLAND INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NY. MORICHES INLET, NY. MT MORRIS LAKE, NY. NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY CHANNELS, NY. NEW YORK HARBOR (DRIFT REMOVAL), NY & NJ. NEW YORK HARBOR (DRIFT REMOVAL), NY & NJ. NEW YORK HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS), NEW YORK HARBOR, NY. PKOJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, NY. ROCHESTER HARBOR, NY. SAG HARBOR, NY. SAG HARBOR, NY. SAG HARBOR, NY. SAG HARBOR, NY. SUTHERN NEW YORK FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS, NY. SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, NY. WHITNEY POINT LAKE, NY.	80 50 70 80 2,616 4,250 5,030 750 5,570 20 2,563 35 475 925 100 750 479 564	80 50 70 2,616 4,250 5,030 5,570 2,563 35 475 925 100 750 479 564
NORTH CAROLINA ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NC B EVERETT JORDAN DAM AND LAKE, NC BEAUFORT HARBOR, NC BOGUE INLET AND CHANNEL, NC	2,391 3,065 35 1,267	5,000 3,065 35 1,267
CAPE FEAR RIVER ABOVE WILMINGTON, NC CAROLINA BEACH INLET, NC. FALLS LAKE, NC. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, NC LOCKWOODS FOLLY RIVER, NC. MANTEO (SHALLOWBAG) BAY, NC. MASONBORO INLET AND CONNECTING CHANNELS, NC NGREHEAD CITY HARBOR, NC. NEW RIVER INLET, NC. NEW TOPSAIL INLET AND CONNECTING CHANNELS, NC PAMLICO AND TAR RIVERS, NC.	486 1,060 1,516 22 895 4,863 2,245 4,450 1,235 940 139 64	486 1,060 1,516 22 1,895 4,863 2,945 4,750 1,235 940 139 64
ROANOKE RIVER, NC W KERR SCOTT DAM AND RESERVOIR, NC WILMINGTON HARBOR, NC NORTH DAKOTA	100 2,253 5,105	100 2,253 5,105
BOWMAN - HALEY LAKE, ND. GARRISON DAM, LAKE SAKAKAWEA, ND. HOMME LAKE, ND. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, ND. LAKE ASHTABULA AND BALDHILL DAM, ND. PIPESTEM LAKE, ND. SOURIS RIVER, ND.	210 9,111 164 52 1,264 402 385	210 9,911 164 52 1,264 402 385
OHIO		
ALUM CREEK LAKE, OH. ASHTABULA HARBOR, OH. BERLIN LAKE, OH. CAESAR CREEK LAKE, OH. CLARENCE J BROWN DAM, OH. CLEVELAND HARBOR, OH. CONNEAUT HARBOR, OH. DEER CREEK LAKE, OH. DELAWARE LAKE, OH. DILLON LAKE, OH.	799 2,051 1,872 1,142 723 3,700 903 642 527	799 2,051 1,872 1,142 723 3,700 30 903 642 527

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
FAIRPORT HARBOR, OH	1,235 1,040 166 1,100 25 809 1,054 6,284 358 680 1,080 85 30 950 190 3,211 229 10 476 816	1,235 1,040 166 1,105 809 1,054 6,284 358 680 1,080 85 30 950 190 3,211 229 10 476 816
OKLAHOMA		
ARCADIA LAKE, OK BIRCH LAKE, OK BROKEN BOW LAKE, OK. CANDY LAKE, OK. COPAN LAKE, OK. COPAN LAKE, OK. EUFAULA LAKE, OK. FORT GIBSON LAKE, OK. FORT SUPPLY LAKE, OK. FORT SUPPLY LAKE, OK. HUGO LAKE, OK. MCCLELLAN - KERR ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, OK. OOLOGAH LAKE, OK. PINE CREEK LAKE, OK. ROBERT S KERR LOCK AND DAM AND RESERVOIRS, OK. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, OK. SKIATOOK LAKE, OK. HUGO LAKE,	429 572 1,549 824 6,277 4,144 879 234 572 1,670 406 91 1,840 5,553 3,025 1,843 5,553 1,843 5,130 91 1,700 5,130 913 3,700 913 4,1444,144 4,144 4,144 4,144 4,1444,144 4,144 4,144 4,144 4,144 4,144 4,1444,144 4,144 4,144 4,144 4,1444,144 4,144 4,144 4,144 4,144 4,144 4,144 4,144 4,144 4,144 4,1444,144 4,144 4,144 4,1444,144 4,144 4,1444,144 4,144 4,1444,144 4,144 4,1444,144 4,144 4,1444,144 4,144 4,1444,144 4,144 4,1444,144 4,1444,144 4,1444,14	429 572 1,549 824 6,277 4,144 4,144 879 234 572 1,800 406 5,553 3,025 1,843 1,840 5,553 3,025 1,843 1,843 5,553 3,025 1,170 5,130 913 3,228 1,426 3,557 6,72
OREGON		
APPLEGATE LAKE, OR. BLUE RIVER LAKE, OR. BONNEVILLE LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA. CHETCO RIVER, OR. COLUMBIA & LWR WILLAMETTE R BLW VANCOUVER, WA & PORTLA COLUMBIA RIVER AT THE MOUTH, OR & WA. COLUMBIA RIVER BETWEEN VANCOUVER, WA AND THE DALLES, O COOS BAY, OR. COQUILLE RIVER, OR.	720 260 5,430 402 13,042 7,818 352 4,692 193	720 260 5,880 402 16,042 8,018 352 4,692 193

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
COTTAGE GROVE LAKE, OR COUGAR LAKE, OR DEPOE BAY, OR DETROIT LAKE, OR FALL CREEK LAKE, OR FALL CREEK LAKE, OR FERN RIDGE LAKE, OR GREEN PETER - FOSTER LAKES, OR HILLS CREEK LAKE, OR INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, OR JOHN DAY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA LOSK ORFORD, OR NCNARY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA PORT ORFORD, OR ROGUE RIVER AT GOLD BEACH, OR. SUPART CONDITION SURVEYS, OR SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, OR ILAMOON CHANNEL, OR WILLAMETTE RIVER AT WILLAMETTE FALLS, OR WILLAMETTE RIVER ANN HARBOR, OR WILLAMETTE RIVER BANK PROTECTION, OR	981 752 3 584 649 722 952 952 1,196 4,056 1,818 3,049 3,650 631 200 674 631 200 674 631 200 674 1818 14 161 161 14 834 291 830 2,354	981 752 3 584 649 722 952 1,196 377 176 4,356 1,818 3,049 3,650 631 200 674 631 200 674 69 781 161 134 834 291 68 830 2,454
PENNSYLVANIA	2,001	
ALLEGHENY RIVER, PA	6,015 622 229 945 2,285 945 1,887 2,001 676 1,322 707 729 797 365 95 1,115 1,189 977 1,093 14,203 19,321 58 88 482 15 3,902 1,315 2,252 3350 65 2,501 2,262 221 761	6,015 622 229 1,355 2,285 945 1,887 2,001 676 1,322 70 729 1,097 365 95 1,115 1,189 977 1,097 1,097 1,097 1,097 1,097 1,097 1,093 14,203 19,321 58 88 482 1,315 2,252 350 2,501 3,012 221 761

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
YORK INDIAN ROCK DAM, PA YOUGHIOGHENY RIVER LAKE, PA & MD	547 1,871	547 1,871
RHODE ISLAND		
PROVIDENCE RIVER AND HARBOR, RI	2,110	2,610
SOUTH CAROLINA		
ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, SC CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC COOPER RIVER, CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC FOLLY RIVER, SC GEORGETOWN HARBOR, SC INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, SC MURRELLS INLET, SC PORT ROYAL HARBOR, SC PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, SC. SHIPYARD RIVER, SC TOWN CREEK, SC SOUTH DAKOTA	1,575 5,171 3,201 748 5,738 26 169 45 45 486 305	45
BIG BEND DAM, LAKE SHARPE, SD. COLD BROOK LAKE, SD. COTTONMOOD SPRINGS LAKE, SD. FORT RANDALL DAM, LAKE FRANCIS CASE, SD. LAKE TRAVERSE, SD & MN. MISSOURI R BETWEEN FORT PECK DAM AND GAVINS PT, SD, MT OAHE DAM, LAKE OAHE, SD & ND. SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, SD.	6,136 433 197 8,044 531 625 9,480 306	6,386 433 197 8,294 531 625 9,730 306
TENNESSEE		
CENTER HILL LAKE, TN CHEATHAM LOCK AND DAM, TN CHICKAMAUGA LOCK, TN CORDELL HULL DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN DALE HOLLOW LAKE, TN INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TN J PERCY PRIEST DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN OLD HICKORY LOCK AND DAM, TN. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, TN. TENNESSEE RIVER, TN WOLF RIVER HARBOR, TN	4,757 4,217 2,315 3,910 4,217 97 3,222 5,981 19 16,422	97 3,222 5,981 19
TEXAS		
AQUILLA LAKE, TX. ARKANSAS - RED RIVER BASINS CHLORIDE CONTROL - AREA VI BARBOUR TERMINAL CHANNEL, TX. BARDWELL LAKE, TX. BAYPORT SHIP CHANNEL, TX. BELTON LAKE, TX. BENBROOK LAKE, TX. BUFFALO BAYOU AND TRIBUTARIES, TX. CANYON LAKE, TX. CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, TX. DENISON DAM, LAKE TEXOMA, TX. ESTELLINE SPRINGS EXPERIMENTAL PROJECT, TX. FERELLS BRIDGE DAM, LAKE O' THE PINES, TX. GALVESTON HARBOR, TX. GALVESTON HARBOR AND CHANNEL, TX.	708 1,267 577 1,499 2,275 2,578 2,290 1,222 2,977 2,743 5,399 5,532 5,532 5,532 5,532 5,532 5,532 5,532 5,534 6,950 130	708 1,267 577 2,275 2,578 2,290 1,222 2,977 2,743 5,399 5,532 5,539 5,532 5,554 6,950 130

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
GIWW, CHANNEL TO VICTORIA, TX GRANGER DAM AND LAKE, TX GRANGER DAM AND LAKE, TX GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, TX HORDS CREEK LAKE, TX HORDS ON SHIP CHANNEL, TX INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, TX JIN CHAPMAN LAKE, TX LAKE KEMP, TX NOTH SAN GABRIE DAM, TX MOUTH OF THE COLORADO RIVER, TX NOTH SAN GABRIEL DAM AND LAKE GEORGETOWN, TX O C FISHER DAM AND LAKE, TX PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, TX RAY ROBERTS LAKE, TX SABINE - NECHES WATERWAY, TX SAM RAYBURN DAM AND RESERVOIR, TX SCHEDUL ING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, TX SOMERVILLE LAKE, TX TILHOUSE HOLLOW DAM, TX TEXAS WATER ALLOCATION ASSESSMENT, TX TRINITY RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, TX WACO LAKE, TX WALLISVILLE LAKE, TX WALLISVILLE LAKE, TX WALLISVILLE LAKE, TX WALTSVILLE LAKE, TX WALTSV	585 1,535 2,478 19,994 1,190 7,555 452 1,189 784 143 2,485 3,253 1,665 2,480 1,596 1,748 893 976 1,659 1,659 1,659 1,659 1,659 1,659 1,500 1,748 2,555 1,719 1,500 1,748 1,000 2,412 1,320 4,227 2,611	585 1,535 2,478 19,994 1,190 13,000 452 1,189 784 143 2,485 3,253 1,665 2,480 1,596 1,748 893 976 2,259 15 821 14,272 4,417 243 2,555 1,719 1,500 1,748 2,000 3,912 1,320 4,800 2,611
UTAH INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, UT	75	75
SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, UT	390	390
BALL MOUNTAIN LAKE, VT. BURLINGTON HARBOR BREAKWATER, VT. NARROWS OF LAKE CHAMPLAIN, VT & NY. NORTH HARTLAND LAKE, VT. NORTH SPRINGFIELD LAKE, VT. TOWNSHEND LAKE, VT. UNION VILLAGE DAM, VT.	743 250 95 635 700 764 506	743 2,000 95 635 700 764 651
VIRGINIA		
APPOMATTOX RIVER, VA. ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY - ACC, VA. CHINCOTEAGUE BAY CHANNEL, VA. CHINCOTEAGUE INLET, VA. GATHRIGHT DAM AND LAKE MOOMAW, VA. HAMPTON RDS, NORFOLK & NEWPORT NEWS HBR, VA (DRIFT REM INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, VA. JAMES RIVER CHANNEL, VA. JOHN H KERR LAKE, VA & NC. JOHN H KERR LAKE, VA & NC. JOHN W FLANNAGAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, VA. LYNNHAVEN INLET, VA. NORFOLK HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS), V	749 1,795 835 430 898 1,535 1,095 59 3,680 10,013 1,387 916 215	749 1,795 835 430 898 1,535 1,095 59 3,680 10,013 1,387 916 215

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
NORFOLK HARBOR, VA. NORTH FORK OF POUND RIVER LAKE, VA. PAGAN RIVER, VA. PHILPOTT LAKE, VA. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, VA. RUDEE INLET, VA. WATERWAY ON THE COAST OF VIRGINIA, VA. WINTER HARBOR, VA.	6,439 328 145 3,865 630 1,053 1,190 155	6,439 328 145 3,865 630 1,053 1,190 1,000 155
WASHINGTON CHIEF JOSEPH DAM, WA COLUMBIA RIVER AT BAKER BAY, WA & OR COLUMBIA RIVER BETWEEN CHINOOK AND SAND ISLAND, WA EDIZ HOOK, WA EVERETT HARBOR AND SNOHOMISH RIVER, WA GRAYS HARBOR AND CHEHALIS RIVER, WA HOWARD HANSON DAM, WA ICE HARBOR LOCK AND DAM, WA LAKE WASHINGTON SHIP CANAL, WA LITTLE GOOSE LOCK AND DAM, WA LOWER GRANITE LOCK AND DAM, WA LOWER GRANITE LOCK AND DAM, WA LOWER MONUMENTAL LOCK AND DAM, WA LOWER MONUMENTAL LOCK AND DAM, WA MILL CREEK LAKE, WA MILL CREEK LAKE, WA MILL CREEK LAKE, WA MUD MOUNTAIN DAM, WA NEAH BAY, WA PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, WA PUGET SOUND AND TRIBUTARY WATERS, WA SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, WA SCHEDULING RESERVOIR OPERATIONS, WA STILLAGUAMISH RIVER, WA STILLAGUAMISH RIVER, WA SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, WA SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATE	848 28 36 718 1,275 1,275 1,739 3,249 2,230 1,290 6,114 2,230 3,016 3,19 2,300 2,319 2,300 2,53 2,300 2,53 2,300 2,53 2,53 2,53 2,53 2,53 2,53 2,53 2,53	848 28 36 718 1,345 15,075 1,739 2,249 2,23 7,200 1,590 6,114 2,230 3,016 319 2,319 2,319 2,319 30 253 938 1,760 427 300 620 240 58 515 123 3,261 885

WEST VIRGINIA

BEECH FORK LAKE, WV. BLUESTONE LAKE, WV. BURNSVILLE LAKE, WV. EAST LYNN LAKE, WV. ELKINS, WV. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WV. KANAWHA RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, WV. OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, WV. OHIO RIVER COCKS AND DAMS, WV. OHIO RIVER COCKS AND DAMS, WV. STONEWALL JACKSON LAKE, WV. STONEWALL JACKSON LAKE, WV. SUMMERSVILLE LAKE, WV. SUMMERSVILLE LAKE, WV. SUMTON LAKE, WV. TYGART LAKE, WV. WHEELING CREEK, VA.	1,074 1,231 1,783 1,687 18 211 6,799 16,738 2,407 1,582 888 1,458 2,016 3,223	1,074 6,661 1,783 1,687 18 211 6,799 20,053 2,407 1,582 888 1,458 2,016 3,223 2,000
WISCONSIN		
EAU GALLE RIVER LAKE, WI	736	736

LAD GALLE RIVER EACH, WITTATTATTATTATTATTATTATTATTATTAT	1.00	100
FOX RIVER, WI	4,004	9,004
GREEN BAY HARBOR, WI	1,641	1,641

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET REQUEST	CONFERENCE
INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS, WI. KENOSHA HARBOR, WI. LAFARGE HARBOR, WI. LAFARGE LAKE, WI. MANITOWOC HARBOR, WI. PENSAUKEE HARBOR, WI. PORT WING HARBOR, WI. PROJECT CONDITION SURVEYS, WI. SHEBOYGAN HARBOR, WI. STURGEON BAY HARBOR, WI. STURGEON BAY HARBOR AND LAKE MICHIGAN SHIP CANAL, WI. SURVEILLANCE OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS, WI. TWO RIVERS HARBOR, WI.	17 1,122 210 56 249 603 488 260 8 46 2,625 42 1,102	42
WYOMING		
JACKSON HOLE LEVEES, WY	1,198	1,198
AQUATIC NUISANCE CONTROL RESEARCH COASTAL INLET RESEARCH PROGRAM CULTURAL RESOURCES (NAGPRA/CURATION) DREDGING DATA AND LOCK PERFORMANCE MONITORING SYSTEM DREDGING OPERATIONS AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH (DOER). DREDGING OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT (DOTS) PROGRAM EARTHQUAKE HAZARDS PROGRAM FOR BUILDINGS AND LIFELINES GREAT LAKES SEDIMENT TRANSPORT MODELS HARBOR MAINTENANCE FEE DATA COLLECTION INLAND WATERWAY NAVIGATION CHARTS MANAGEMENT TOOLS FOR O&M MONITORING OF COASTAL NAVIGATION PROJECTS NATIONAL DAM SECURITY PROGRAM NATIONAL DAM SECURITY PROGRAM PROTECTING, CLEARING AND STRAIGHTENING COARDINATOR PERFORMANCE BASED BUDGETING SUPPORT PROGRAM PROTECTING, CLEARING AND STRAIGHTENING CHANNELS(SEC 3) RECREATION MANAGEMENT SUPPORT PROGRAM REGIONAL SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT SEDIMENT DEMO PROGRAM REGIONAL SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT SEDIMENT DEMO PROGRAM REMOVAL OF SUNKEN VESSELS WATER OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT (WOTS) PROGRAM REMOVAL OF SUNKEN VESSELS WATERBORNE COMMERCE STATISTICS REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE		700 2,750 1,500 8,000 7,000 7,000 1,500 575 4,000 575 4,000 1,700 4,000 415 500 1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500 4,000 -73,662
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	1,745,000	1,874,803

TITLE II

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT

CENTRAL UTAH PROJECT COMPLETION ACCOUNT

The conference agreement appropriates \$36,228,000 to carry out the provisions of the Central Utah Project Completion Act as proposed by the House and the Senate. The conferees are in agreement with the language in the Senate report regarding the Uinta Basin Replacement Project.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Bureau of Reclamation. Additional items of conference agreement are discussed below.

WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES

The conference agreement appropriates \$762,531,000 for Water and Related Resources instead of \$691,160,000 as proposed by the House and \$732,496,000 as proposed by the Senate.

House and \$732,496,000 as proposed by the Senate. The amount provided for the American River Division of the Central Valley Project includes \$3,500,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation to reimburse the City of Folsom, California, for costs associated with the replacement of the Natoma Pipeline System, which is owned and operated by the Bureau of Reclamation and is the single water supply source for the City.

The amount provided for the East Side Division of the Central Valley Project includes \$1,000,000 for water and sewer system upgrades and a visitor capacity study at New Melones Lake.

The amount provided for Miscellaneous Project Programs of the Central Valley Project includes an additional \$1,000,000 for the Banta-Carbona Irrigation District's fish screen project.

The amount provided for the Sacramento River Division of the Central Valley Project includes \$2,600,000 for the Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District Fish Screen Improvement Project; \$750,000 for detailed, site-specific environmental assessment and permitting work associated with Sites Reservoir, including an evaluation of both the GCID Main Canal and the Tehama-Colusa Canal as a means to convey water to the proposed reservoir; and \$300,000 for the Colusa Basin Drainage District's Integrated Resources Management Plan.

The conference agreement provides \$2,500,000 for the Lake Tahoe Regional Wetlands Development program. In addition to the individual projects referenced in the House and Senate reports, the conferees agree that the funds may be used for projects throughout the Lake Tahoe basin in California and Nevada.

The conferees have provided an additional \$11,200,000 for the Middle Rio Grande, New Mexico, project for the Bureau of Reclamation to continue the efforts of the Middle Rio Grande Collaborative Program Workgroup and its support activities to water users

and species along the Middle Rio Grande. These efforts are intended to promote long and short term activities, with priority given to fulfillment of biological opinion requirements, to benefit species and water users pursuant to a Memorandum of Understanding signed by the relevant agencies and interested parties. The additional funds provided are for the following activities: \$4,300,000 for modifications to river habitat; \$2,180,000 for silvery minnow population management; \$1,100,000 for monitoring of stream effects on the silvery minnow; \$120,000 to combat non-native species; \$640,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation's repayment obligations; \$950,000 for water quality studies and improvements; \$1,900,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation's purchase of water; and for associated program management. The conferees direct the Bureau of Reclamation to consult with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service on the silvery minnow monitoring and habitat efforts. In addition, the Bureau of Reclamation is directed to collaborate with universities in geographical proximity to the silvery minnow and possessing established experience and expertise in working with the silvery minnow.

The Colorado River Quantification Settlement Agreement is critically important to the long-term reliability of water supplies in Southern California and the entire Southwest. The conferees urge the Secretary of the Interior and parties to the Agreement to make every effort to bring about its timely and cost-effective implementation, including identifying the administrative and legislative actions necessary to meet the applicable deadlines.

The conferees have provided \$15,000,000 for the Klamath Project in Oregon. Of that amount, \$5,000,000 is to continue construction of the A-Canal.

The conference agreement includes \$2,582,000 for the Drought Emergency Assistance program. Within that amount, \$2,000,000 is for the Bureau of Reclamation to establish a Weather Damage Modification Program, including a regional weather modification research program involving the states of Oklahoma, Texas, Kansas, New Mexico, and Nevada. In addition, funds may be made available for leasing of water for specific drought related purposes from willing lessors in compliance with existing State laws and administered under State water priority allocation. Such leases may be entered into with an option to purchase provided that the purchase is approved by the State in which the purchase takes place and the purchase does not cause economic harm within the State in which the purchase is made.

Within the amount provided for the Wetlands Development Program, \$500,000 is for the Bureau of Reclamation to undertake a project to restore natural vegetation along the lower Colorado River in the vicinity of Yuma, Arizona.

The conference agreement includes language which provides that \$12,000,000 of the funds appropriated for Water and Related Resources shall be deposited in the San Gabriel Basin, California, Restoration Fund, of which \$1,000,000 shall be for remediation in the Central Basin Municipal Water District.

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate providing \$1,500,000 to complete a feasibility study for the Sante Fe-Pojoaque Regional Water System in New Mexico. The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate making \$4,000,000 available for the West River/Lyman Jones Rural Water System to provide rural, municipal, and industrial drinking water for Philip, South Dakota. Funds for this work have been provided within the amount available for the Mni Wiconi project.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate regarding financial assistance for the preparation of drought contingency plans.

The conference agreement deletes language proposed by the Senate providing funds for the Hopi/Western Navajo Water Development Plan in Arizona, and the Savage Rapids Dam on the Rogue River in Oregon. Funds for these projects have been included within the amount appropriated for Water and Related Resources.

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The conference agreement appropriates \$7,495,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation Loan Program Account as proposed by the House and the Senate.

CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT RESTORATION FUND

The conference agreement appropriates \$55,039,000 for the Central Valley Project Restoration Fund as proposed by the House and the Senate.

Within the amount appropriated for the Central Valley Project Restoration Fund, the conferees expect the Bureau of Reclamation to use \$9,000,000 for the Anadromous Fish Screen Program, including work on the American Basin Fish Screen and Habitat Improvement Project (Natomas Municipal Water Company) as well as the fish screen projects being undertaken by the Sutter Mutual Water Company and Reclamation District 108.

CALIFORNIA BAY-DELTA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION

The conference agreement includes no funds for the California Bay-Delta Ecosystem Restoration program as proposed by the House and the Senate.

The conferees have provided an additional \$30,000,000 within the various units of the Central Valley Project under the Water and Related Resources account for activities that support the goals of the California Bay-Delta Ecosystem Restoration Program, instead of \$40,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees are aware that legislation to authorize this multi-year, multi-billion dollar program has been introduced in the House and the Senate, but has yet to be enacted. Absent such an authorization, it will be difficult for the Congress to continue its support for this program. Therefore, the conferees strongly urge the parties involved to work to enact an authorization for the program so additional funding can be considered in the fiscal year 2003 appropriations cycle. The additional funds provided in support of the program are to be used as follows:

Delta Division: \$7,500,000 for oversight activities; \$1,000,000 for planning activities associated with enlarging Los Vaqueros Reservoir; \$200,000 for the DMC Intertie with the California Aque-

duct; \$150,000 to evaluate operations alternatives for the Delta Cross Channel Reoperation; and \$3,000,000 to construct the Tracy Test Fish Facility.

Friant Division: \$2,500,000 to continue developing a plan of study for an investigation of storage in the Upper San Joaquin Watershed.

Miscellaneous Project Programs: \$12,500,000 for the Environmental Water Account; \$200,000 for water use efficiency pilot studies; and \$200,000 to conduct a NEPA analysis and operate the clearinghouse for the water transfer program.

Sacramento River Division: \$750,000 to continue planning activities related to Sites Reservoir.

San Felipe Division: \$100,000 to provide technical assistance to the Santa Clara Valley Water District in conducting operational appraisal studies.

Shasta Division: \$1,900,000 to continue evaluating the potential impacts of the proposed Shasta Dam raise.

POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement appropriates \$52,968,000 for Policy and Administration as proposed by the House and the Senate.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Section 201. The conference agreement includes language authorizing the Bureau of Reclamation to continue its program of providing grants to institutions of higher learning to support the training of Native Americans to manage natural resources.

Section 202. The conference agreement includes language amending the authorization for the San Gabriel Basin Restoration project.

Section 203. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate regarding refunds of fees assessed for failure to file certain certification or reporting forms under the Reclamation Reform Act.

Section 204. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate regarding the Lower Colorado River Basin Development Fund.

Section 205. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House under Title V, General Provisions regarding the San Luis Unit and the Kesterson Reservoir in California. The Senate had proposed similar language under General Provisions, Department of the Interior.

Section 206. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate regarding the valve rehabilitation project at the Arrowrock Dam on the Arrowrock Division of the Boise project in Idaho.

Section 207. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate establishing requirements for the purchase or lease of water from the Middle Rio Grande or Carlsbad projects in New Mexico. Section 208. The conference agreement includes language pro-posed by the House regarding the issuance of permits for commer-cial rafting within the Auburn State Recreation Area, California.

cial raiting within the Auburn State Recreation Area, California. Section 209. The conference agreement amends House lan-guage regarding the makeup of water shortages caused by the op-eration of Folsom Dam and Reservoir in California for flood control. *Provisions not included in the conference agreement*.—The con-ference agreement does not include language proposed by the Sen-ate regarding the use of funds provided for Drought Emergency As-sistance sistance.

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	CONFE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	CONFERENCE CES FACILITIES ENT OM&R
WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES		0 0 1 1 1 2 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5)))))))))))))))))))	
ARIZONA				
AK CHIN WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT ACT PROJECT	31,392 31,392 3,103 575 2575 4,055 285 200 200 1,658	6,282 50 9,355 18,037	31, 392 31, 392 3, 603 1, 000 575 575 4, 055 500 1, 055 1, 000	6,282 50 9,355 18,037
CALIFORNIA				
CACHUMA PROJECT	640 1,000 1,800	426 	640 1,000 1,800	426
MERICAN RIVER DIVISION AUBURN-FOLSOM SOUTH UNIT DELTA DIVISION EAST SIDE DIVISION FRIANT DIVISION MISCELLANEOUS PROJECT PROGRAMS REPLACEMENTS, ADDITIONS, AND EXTRAORDINARY MAINT.	2,387 1,947 12,182 604 12,637	10,996 5,053 3,630 2,923 879 11,000	5,887 1,947 24,032 24,032 4,603 26,537 26,537	10,996 5,053 4,630 2,923 879 11,000

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

t

98

1, 682 7, 7, 682 7, 386 7, 386 7, 417 7, 410 7, 417 7, 410 7, 417 7, 410 7, 417 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7	1,202 7,913 26,000 4,472 1,787 1,787 332 23
7, 121 580 7, 751 7, 75	16,000 49 74 421 421 421
1,682 7,380 7,322 7,322 7,322 7,17 7,322 7,17 7,17 7,17 7,17 7,17 7,17 7,17 7,	1, 202 7, 913 26, 000 4, 472 573 1, 787 23 23
4, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7,	12,000 127 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74
SACRAMENTO RIVER DIVISION	ANIMAS-LA PLATA PROJECT, CRSP SECTION 5 & 8. COLLBRAN PROJECT

	CONFERENCE CONFERENCE CES FACILITIES	2,119 62 4,021 27	;	6,071 3,272	269	294 541
	CONFE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	 88 326 368	300	1,526 11,000 509 1,968 262	594	4,000 440 321 8,000
NOI.	REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	2,119 62 4,021 27	:	6,071 3,272	269	294 541
BUREAU OF RECLAMATION	BUDGET RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	88 326 368	1	1,526 11,000 165 1,968 262	594	8,000
BURE	PROJECT TITLE	PARADOX VALLEY UNIT, CRBSCP, TITLE II PINE RIVER PROJECT SAN LUIS VALLEY PROJECT	HAWAII HAWAII WATER RESOURCES STUDY, HIIDAHO IDAHO	BOISE AREA PROJECTS	KANSAS KANSAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	FORT PECK DRY PRAIRIE RURAL WATER SYSTEM

×
S
◄
2
B
ш
z

t	32		2,089	8,967 175 175 2,591 2,591	 4,228
	23		120 6,347 1,400 2,000 1,500 1,500 200	7,000 1,689 13,884 13,884 1,200 1,200 1,200 20 217 217	354 250 22,500
	32		2,089 	8,967 50 2,591 	4,228
	23		6, 347 1,000	1,689 2,684 2,684 2,684 2,684 2,684 2,684 2,14 2,60 2,60 2,60 2,60 2,17	354 250 21,011
NEBRASKA	MIRAGE FLATS PROJECT	NEVADA	HALFWAY WASH, NV	ALBUQUERQUE METRO AREA WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE CARTERN NEW MEXICO WATER SUPPLY EASTERN NEW MEXICO WATER SUPPLY MIDDLE RIO GRANDE PROJECT NAVAJO GALUP WATER SUPPLY PECOS RIVER BASIN WATER SUPAGE PROJECT NAVAJO GALUP WATER SUPPLY RIO GRANDE PROJECT RIO GRANDE PROJECT RIO GRANDE PROJECT SAN JUAN RIVER BASIN INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM. SAN JUAN REV REGIONAL WATER SYSTEM SOUTHERN NEW MEXICO/WEST TEXAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM. TUCUMCARI PROJECT NORTH DAKOTA NORTH DAKOTA	DAKOTAS INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM

BUKE	BUREAU UF RELLAMAIIUN	NOT		
PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	CONFE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	CONFERENCE CES FACILITIES IENT OM&R
OKLAHOMA	0 3 2 2 2 2 3 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5			
ARBUCKLE PROJECT	583	186 569 276 183 731 731	;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;	186 569 276 183 731 731 280
OREGON				
REND FFFD CANAL DIDFLINE DOUTECT	1		105	3 1 1
CROOKED RIVER PROJECT	278	418	278	418
DESCHUTES ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PROJECT	500	;	750	
DESCHUTES PROJECT	360	138	360	138
DESCHUTES PROJECT-WICKUP DAM	* (12,000	2 7 1	12,000
EASIERN UKEGUN PRUJELIS	54U 150	267	340	267
GRANTS PASS PROJECT) ; - ;		200	
KLAMATH PROJECT	12,277	483	15,000	483
UKEGUN INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM	457 317		457	
TUALATIN PROJECT.	149	107	671	107
TUALATIN VALLEY WATER SUPPLY FEASIBILITY STUDY	100		100	
UMATILLA BASIN PROJECT, PHASE III STUDY	50		50	
UMATILLA PROJECT	406	2,227	409	2,227
SOUTH DAKOTA				
CROW CREEK TRIBE RESERVATION WIDE M & I WATER SUPPLY	3] \$	1 1 1	100	4 3 5

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

 40 7,489 30	104 104 421 335 335	8 363 353 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	9,119
2,000 15,000 30,000 3,400	30 1,000 197	310 310 330 330 330 330 330 355 46 330 355 300 355 300 355 300 355 300 355 300 355 300 355 300 355 300 355 300 310 310 310 310 310 310 310 310 310	4 , 044
7,489 30	104 104 421 335 888 335	200 6 8 363 6 8 	9, 119
20,000	30 300 197	310 370 370 370 370 300 370 300 370 370 37	4,044 425
LEWIS AND CLARK RURAL WATER SYSTEM	BALMORHEA PROJECT	ULAH HYRUM PROJECT MOON LAKE PROJECT NAVAJO SANDSTONE AQUIFER RECHARGE STUDY NEWTON PROJECT NEWTON PROJECT NORTHERN UTAH INVESTIGATIONS PROGRAM OGDEN RIVER PROJECT SCOFIELD PROJECT SCOFIELD PROJECT SCOFIELD PROJECT SCOFIELD PROJECT SCOFIELD PROJECT SCOFIELD PROJECT STRAWBERY VALLEY PROJECT WEER BASIN PROJECT WEER BASIN PROJECT WEER RASIN PROJECT	WASHINGTON COLUMBIA BASIN PROJECT

	CONFERENCE CONFERENCE CES FACILITIES	6, 753	4,654 1,412 925 	1,821 821 1,700 17,900 17,900 5,110 5,110 5,110
	CONFE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	516 11,100	55408 5408	10, 329 5, 349 7, 577 1, 500 1, 50
LION	REQUEST FACILITIES OM&R	6, 753	4,654 1,412 925 	1, 821 821 17, 700 17, 700 7, 7, 7, 700 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7
BUREAU OF RECLAMATION	BUDGET RESOURCES MANAGEMENT	516 10,600	57.4 0.8 0.4 0.8	10,929 5,349 7,577 13,738 3,738 3,738 13,522 13,882 13,882 13,882 1,661
BURE	PROJECT TITLE	YAKIMA PROJECT	WYOMING KENDRICK PROJECT	COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL, TITLE II: PROGRAM & COLORADO RIVER WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT, SECTION 5 COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT, SECTION 8, R&F&ML COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT, SECTION 8, R&F&ML COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT, SECTION 8, R&F&ML DAM SAFETY PROGRAM: DAM SAFETY PROGRAM: DAM SAFETY PROGRAM: DEPARTMENT DAM SAFETY PROGRAM SAFETY EVALUATION OF EXISTING DAMS SAFETY OF DAMS CORRECTIVE ACTION STUDIES DEPARTMENT LIRIGATION OF EXISTING DAMS DEPARTMENTAL IRIGATION OF RYSTING PAMS DEPARTMENTAL IRIGATION OF RYSTANCE DEPARTMENTAL IRIGATION DRAINAGE PROGRAM EFFICIENCY INCENTIVES PROGRAM ENVIRONMENTAL & INTERAGENCY COORDINATION ENVIRONMENTAL & INTERAGENCY COORDINATION ENVIRONMENTAL & INTERAGENCY COORDINATION OF EXISTING ENVIRONMENTAL & INTERAGENCY COORDINATION OF EXISTING ENVIRONMENTAL SECUERY IMPLEMENTATION ENVIRONMENTAL & INTERAGENCY COORDINATION OF EXISTING SAFETY PROGRAM

NE DECI AMATION

29, 747 345 345 345	1,755 	305,823
7,700 6,500 13,103 7,103 3,183 590 4,63 7,922 2,300 2,300 2,300 2,300	1,150 3,290 4,000 660 940 1,500 1,500 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 7	456,708
29, 747 29, 747 29, 745	1, 755 	304,698
1,861 7,690 13,103 2,000 13,103 3,183 590 3,183 590 592 2,694 2,694	1,150 3,290 500 660 940 1,894 1,894 1,650 7 7,507 7,507 7,507	343,299
GENERAL PLANNING STUDIES	SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY: ADVANCED WATER TREATMENT DESALINATION PROGRAM ADVANCED WATER TREATMENT DESALINATION PROGRAM DESALINATION RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM DESALINATION RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM HYDROELECTRIC INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION/ENHANCEMEN TECHNOLOGY ADVANCEMENT WATERSHED/RIVER SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT PROGRAM SITE SECURITY SOIL AND MOISTURE CONSERVATION TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO STATES TITLE XVI, WATER RECLAMATION AND REUSE PROGRAM UNITED STATES/MEXICO BORDER ISSUES - TECHNICAL SUPPORT WATER MANAGEMENT & CONSERVATION PROGRAM WATER MANAGEMENT & CONSERVATION PROGRAM WATER MANAGEMENT & CONSERVATION PROGRAM	TOTAL, WATER AND RELATED RESOURCES

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

BUDGET REQUEST CONFERENCE CONFERENCE RESOURCES FACILITIES RESOURCES FACILITIES MANAGEMENT OM&R			1,239 1,239 401 401 5,575 5,575		280 280	267'2
BUDGET REQUEST PROJECT TITLE RESOURCES FACILITIES MANAGEMENT OM&R	LOAN PROGRAM	CALIFORNIA	CASTROVILLE IRRIGATION WATER SUPPLY PROJECT SALINAS VALLEY WATER RECLAMATION	VARIOUS	LOAN ADMINISTRATION	TOTAL, LOAN PROGRAM

TITLE III

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Department of Energy. Additional items of conference agreement are discussed below.

PROJECT MANAGEMENT

The conferees strongly support efforts of the Office of Engineering and Construction Management (OECM) to improve the Department's construction and project management. The Department has announced plans to merge the Office of the Chief Financial Officer (the current location of OECM) with the Office of Management and Administration to form a new Office of Management, Budget and Evaluation. The Committees on Appropriations have been assured that this change will broaden the duties, scope, responsibilities, and authorities of OECM. The conferees understand that the Department intends to enable OECM to more effectively bring needed culture changes to its project management community.

Congress supported creation of OECM as a final attempt to correct the Department's weaknesses in project management. The conferees expect OECM to be fully funded to support enhanced systems development and deployment, training, process improvements, and accountability. The conferees acknowledge that the expanded mission of this office encompasses project closure, facilities, and infrastructure management activities and urge the Secretary to give priority to retaining within the Department the technical skills needed for federal project and real property management. The conferees recommend that, at each site, the Secretary designate a management office to coordinate project and real property management improvements with this headquarters office.

The conferees also expect the National Research Council to continue to monitor the Department's efforts in project management.

FACILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE

The conferees have provided funding in several programs for facilities and infrastructure improvement projects to allow the Department to begin to correct its worst deferred maintenance deficiencies and eliminate excess facilities. The conferees make this initial investment in critical infrastructure so the Department can begin to institute life-cycle asset management improvement processes throughout its complex and expect that at least 25 percent of the funds provided will be spent to eliminate excess facilities.

The conferees direct each site (not slated for closure) to prepare a ten-year site plan prescribing space utilization activities that stabilize, then reduce its baseline for maintenance costs by: (1) consolidating operations where practicable; (2) eliminating excess buildings; (3) employing cost efficiencies; and (4) addressing mission-critical requirements through an appropriate mix of renovations and new construction. Beginning in fiscal year 2003, to ensure sustained improvement in project and real property management, the conferees direct the Department to present an integrated facilities and infrastructure budget request. This budget should identify program maintenance projects for buildings and facilities by site. To the extent that indirect funding supports maintenance, the budget should also report, by site, expenditures in the previous year and estimate the percentage to be applied in fiscal year 2003. The conferees expect the Department to retain up-to-date corporate-level management information on the condition of its buildings and facilities and annual expenditures on maintenance for its complex.

For new construction projects requested in fiscal year 2003, the conferees expect the budget to show the square footage of each new project, and request funding for elimination by transfer, sale, or demolition of excess buildings and facilities of equivalent size. This excess reduction to new construction formula does not apply to environmental management closure sites. The conferees expect the fiscal year 2003 budget to contain funds to eliminate excess facilities based on the greatest impact on long-term costs and risks. The Department should apply this requirement to each site. Only if deemed impracticable due to critical mission requirements, through a case-by-case waiver approved by the Secretary through the Chief Financial Officer, should the requirement be met through the reduction of excess facilities at another site. The Department will collect information from all sites on the square footage of excess property sold, transferred, or demolished each year and submit a report 45 days after the President's budget is presented to Congress.

45 days after the President's budget is presented to Congress. The conferees expect the Chief Financial Officer to issue such directives as are necessary to ensure that: each site prepares a tenyear site plan; annual property reports reflect accurately the Department's entire real property inventory, including the current status of maintenance and disposition of excess property at each site; program budgets request funding for elimination of excess facilities by square footage proportional to new facilities requested; and project and real property offices in the field adhere to corporate guidelines for managing new projects, closeouts, and maintenance of all facilities.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY STAFFING

The conferees share the concerns raised by the House that the new National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) structure may have had the unintended consequence of unnecessarily increasing the Department's overall personnel costs, particularly at the headquarters, from a Department-wide perspective. The conferees further agree that the Secretary of Energy should submit a report to the Appropriations and Armed Services Committees of Congress concerning staffing increases arising from the creation of NNSA, as the House intended, as well as the "before and after" staffing levels of each office and activity affected by the reorganization. However, the report should also address the broader administrative support staffing concerns below and potential staffing reductions to NNSA or other DOE offices if administrative support functions could be staffed more efficiently. The Secretary shall submit the report by January 31, 2002. With the new NNSA organization now in place, this affords a good opportunity for the Secretary of Energy and the Congress to take a fresh look at the management, effectiveness, and cost-effectiveness of the Department of Energy's administrative support functions at both the headquarters and field levels. Support functions include personnel, finance, contracting, facilities management, vehicle management, logistics, information management, public affairs, and congressional affairs.

The conferees note that other organizations in the Department of Energy, such as the Inspector General and Naval Reactors, independently perform some of their own administrative support functions such as congressional affairs. The Inspector General of the Department of Energy has interpreted its charter under the Inspector Generals Act, particularly in regards to its perceived need to conduct its own congressional affairs, differently than any of the military services which, for example, use "corporate" congressional affairs offices to interface between the Congress and all sub-elements of headquarters organizations including agency inspector generals.

Fragmentation of administrative support functions may also dilute the ability of the Secretary of Energy to manage the Department to meet Departmental strategic goals such as improved financial and contract management. To the extent that the Department invests in unnecessary administrative support costs in a fixed or limited growth budget environment, resources are diverted from higher-priority mission areas.

In submitting the plan on the staffing effects of the NNSA legislation and subsequent implementation, the conferees encourage the Secretary to focus on ensuring that the Department of Energy has the optimal administrative support structure to maximize mission effectiveness and minimize administrative support costs. As stated in the House report, the conferees encourage the Secretary to submit legislative proposals where appropriate to meet this objective.

ALTERNATIVE FINANCING APPROACHES

The Secretary of Energy is directed to conduct a study of alternative financing approaches, to include third-party-type methods, for infrastructure and facility construction projects across the Department. This study is due to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by March 30, 2002.

EXTERNAL REGULATION

The Department is directed to prepare an implementation plan for the transition to external regulation at the Department's nondefense science laboratories. For the purpose of preparing this plan, the Department should assume that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) would take over regulatory responsibility for nuclear safety at the Department's non-defense science laboratories, and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) would take over regulatory responsibility for worker safety at these laboratories. The conferees expect the Department to coordinate with NRC and OSHA, and to build upon the previous external regulation pilot programs, in developing this plan. For plan-

ning purposes, external regulation would apply to the five multiprogram and five single-purpose laboratories under the Office of Science, and the Department should assume external regulation to become effective beginning in fiscal year 2004. The implementation plan for external regulation is not to address nuclear weapons facilities, environmental remediation sites, or other Department laboratories, facilities, and sites. The implementation plan should address all details necessary to implement external regulation, including an estimate of the additional resources needed by the NRC and OSHA, corresponding reductions in funding and staffing at the Department, specific facilities or classes of facilities for which external regulation cannot be implemented in a timely manner, necessary changes to existing management and operating contracts, and changes in statutory language necessary to effect the transition to external regulation. This plan is due to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by May 31, 2002. Note that this provision only requires the Department to produce an implementation plan for external regulation for a limited set of DOE facilities; the actual transition to external regulation for those facilities will require additional legislative direction.

REPROGRAMMINGS

The conference agreement does not provide the Department of Energy with any internal reprogramming flexibility in fiscal year 2002 unless specifically identified by the House, Senate, or conference agreement. Any reallocation of new or prior year budget authority or prior year deobligations must be submitted to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations in advance, in writing, and may not be implemented prior to approval by the Committees.

LABORATORY DIRECTED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

The conference agreement does not include bill language proposed by either the House or the Senate regarding the Laboratory Directed Research and Development (LDRD) program. The conferees recognize the benefits of LDRD and expect LDRD activities to continue at previously authorized levels. However, when accepting funds from another federal agency that will be used for LDRD activities, the Department of Energy shall notify that agency in writing how much will be used for LDRD activities. In addition, the conferees direct the Secretary of Energy to include in the annual report to Congress on all LDRD activities an affirmation that all LDRD activities derived from funds of other agencies have been conducted in a manner that supports science and technology development that benefits the programs of the sponsoring agencies and is consistent with the Appropriations Acts that provided funds to those agencies.

ADDITIONAL DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY REQUIREMENTS

The conferees agree with the House report language and support the reporting requirements for basic research for energy technologies, independent centers, augmenting Federal staff, budget justification requirements, sale of land, and reprogramming guidelines.

REDUCTIONS NECESSARY TO ACCOMMODATE SPECIFIC PROGRAM DIRECTIONS

The Department is directed to provide a report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by January 15, 2002, on the actual application of any general reductions of funding or use of prior year balances contained in the conference agreement. In general, such reductions should not be applied disproportionately against any program, project, or activity. However, the conferees are aware there may be instances where proportional reductions would adversely impact critical programs and other allocations may be necessary.

ENERGY SUPPLY

The conference agreement provides \$666,726,000 for Energy Supply instead of \$639,317,000 as proposed by the House and \$736,139,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement does not include bill language proposed by the Senate earmarking funds for certain purposes.

RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES

The conference agreement provides \$396,000,000 instead of \$376,817,000 as proposed by the House and \$435,600,000 as proposed by the Senate for renewable energy resources. The conference agreement does not include language specifying funding allocations as contained in the separate House and Senate reports.

Biomass/biofuels.—The conference agreement includes \$93,000,000 for biomass/biofuels. The conferees have combined the subprograms for power systems and transportation into a single program for biomass/biofuels and no longer provide separate allocations for power systems and transportation.

The conference agreement includes \$2,500,000 to support a cost-shared Agricultural Waste Methane Power Generation Facility in California; \$2,000,000 to support a cost-shared agricultural mixed waste biorefinery in Alabama using the thermal depolymerization technology; \$1,500,000 to support the Black Belt Bioenergy Demonstration Project in Alabama; \$1,000,000 for micro-combustion research at Oak Ridge National Laboratory in collaboration with the technology's inventor; \$2,000,000 for the Biorenew-able Resource Consortium; \$3,000,000 for the Iroquois Bio-Energy Cooperative project in Indiana; \$3,000,000 for the Gridley Rice Straw project in California; and \$1,000,000 for the switchgrass project of the Great Plains Institute for Sustainable Development in Minnesota.

The conference agreement includes \$4,000,000 for the Iowa switchgrass project; \$1,000,000 for the Consortium for Plant Biotechnology Research; \$3,000,000 for the McNeil biomass plant in Burlington, Vermont, and \$750,000 for the methane energy and agriculture development project in Tillamook Bay, Oregon. The conference agreement includes \$1,000,000 for the continuation and expansion of the ongoing demonstration of the oxygenated diesel fuel particulate matter emission reduction project in Clark County, Nevada, the cities of Riverside, Compton, Linwood, and Pasadena, California, and Ventura County, California; \$2,000,000 for the

Michigan Biotechnology Initiative; \$3,000,000 for the Prime LLC of South Dakota integrated ethanol complex, including an ethanol unit, waste treatment system, and enclosed cattle feed lot; \$300,000 for the Biomass Energy Resource Center project in Vermont; \$2,000,000 to continue the Sealaska ethanol project (subject to a non-Federal match) at the fiscal year 2001 level; \$3,000,000 for the Biomass Gasification Research Center in Birmingham, Alabama; and \$3,000,000 for the Winona, Mississippi, biomass project, where the current investment in the plant shall count as the required demonstration project cost share. The conferees direct the Department to continue funding for the Energy and Environment Research Center at last year's level. The conferees encourage the Department to continue the integrated approach to bioenergy activities and recommend the use of up to \$18,000,000 within available funds for the Integrated Biomass Research and Development Program. The conferees urge the Department to form strong public-private-university partnerships in this program.

Geothermal.—The conference agreement includes \$29,000,000 for geothermal activities. The conference agreement includes sufficient funding to maintain university research on geothermal technologies at the fiscal year 2001 funding level of \$2,600,000. The conference agreement also includes \$2,000,000 in final funding for the Lake County Basin geothermal project in Lake County, California; \$2,000,000 for the Santa Rosa geysers project in California; \$2,500,000 for Geopowering the West; and \$1,000,000 for the UNR Geothermal Energy Center demonstration project.

Hydrogen.—The conference agreement includes \$31,000,000 for hydrogen activities. The conference agreement includes \$1,000,000 for the Fuel Cell Technology Assessment and Demonstration at the University of Alabama at Birmingham; \$350,000 for the Big Sky Economic Development Authority demonstration fuel cell technologies; \$500,000 for the gasification of Iowa switchgrass and its use in fuel cells; \$1,500,000 for the ITM Syngas project; \$1,500,000 for the fuel cell installation project at Gallatin County, Montana; and \$1,000,000 for continued demonstration of the hydrogen locomotive and front-end loader projects.

Hydropower.—The conference agreement includes \$5,300,000 for hydropower. The conference agreement includes \$400,000 to plan a hydroelectric power generation facility at Gustavus, Alaska, subject to a local match for construction; and \$1,900,000 for the completion of the Power Creek hydroelectric project in Alaska. No additional funds will be made available for this project.

Solar Energy.—The conference agreement includes \$95,000,000 for solar energy programs. The conferees have combined the concentrating solar power, photovoltaic energy systems, and solar building technology subprograms into a single program for solar energy. The conferees urge the Department to fund these subprograms in roughly the same proportions as they were funded in fiscal year 2001.

The conference agreement includes \$8,700,000 for basic research/university programs on photovoltaics; \$18,500,000 to continue the thin film partnership program; \$3,000,000 for continuation of the Million Solar Roofs program; \$2,000,000 for the Southeast and Southwest photovoltaic experiment stations; and \$3,000,000 for the Navajo electrification project. The Department is directed to continue with deployment of the 1.0 MW dish engine and to continue activities associated with the 25kW dish system. Additionally, the conferees direct the Department to develop and scope out an initiative to fulfill the goal of having 1,000 MW of new parabolic trough, power tower, and dish engine solar capacity supplying the southwestern United States by the year 2006. A report on this initiative is due to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by March 1, 2002.

The conference agreement includes \$4,000,000 for technical analysis, technical assistance, and the harmonization of multi-program activities that address the resource opportunities and electric power needs of the southwestern United States. The expertise of the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) is to be made available through a site office in Nevada. NREL will provide expertise through a virtual laboratory concept, serving as a portal for electronic communications, information sharing, data warehousing, and partnerships among universities, researchers, technology developers, and those interested in deployment.

Wind.—The conference agreement includes \$41,000,000 for wind programs. The conferees have provided \$500,000 for the remote location pilot project at the Toledo Harbor Lighthouse; \$1,000,000 for the Washington Electric Cooperative wind energy generating facility in Vermont; \$500,000 for the Turtle Mountain Community College project in North Dakota; \$1,000,000 for the Kotzebue project in Alaska; \$250,000 for a wind generation facility to serve St. Paul and Unalaska, Alaska; and \$500,000 for the small wind program being developed by the Vermont Department of Public Service. The Wind Powering America initiative is to be continued at last year's funding level.

Electric energy systems and storage.—The conference agreement includes \$63,000,000 for electric energy systems and storage. The conferees have combined the subprograms for high temperature superconducting research and development, energy storage systems, and transmission reliability into a single program for electric energy systems and storage.

The conference agreement includes \$4,000,000 to initiate field testing of aluminum ceramic fiber composite conductors; \$1,000,000 for the fuel cell powered home using the Smart Energy Management Control System in Alabama; \$2,000,000 for the UADispatch Outage Management System in Alabama; \$3,000,000 for distributed generation demonstration projects in Indiana, focusing on the problems of interconnection, grid impact, and remote dispatch; \$1,000,000 to initiate development of a bipolar nickel metal hydride battery storage system; \$2,000,000 for Glenallen power generation upgrades, including extension of electricity to residents of Lake Louise; \$2,000,000 for the Kachemak Bay Power System to extend and upgrade marine power cabling to provide power to the villages of Seldovia, Nanwalek, and Port Graham, Alaska; \$3,000,000 for the Swan Lake-Lake Tyee electrical intertie pursuant to the Southeast Alaska intertie authorization enacted into law last year; and \$3,000,000 to complete the Prince of Wales Island electrical intertie. The conferees note that \$20,000,000 has been provided in State and local funds and this Federal amount represents the final installment needed to complete the project. The conference agreement also includes \$3,000,000, within available funds, for NREL for research, development, and demonstration of advanced thermal energy storage technology integrated with renewable thermal energy technology. The conferees provide \$500,000 to support the joint effort between New Mexico Tech and the Natural Energy Laboratory of Hawaii to integrate, demonstrate, and deploy distributed energy systems.

The conference agreement also includes the budget request for the proposed work between industrial consortia and national laboratories to develop high-performance, low-cost, second-generation, high temperature super-conducting wire.

Renewable Support and Implementation.—The conference agreement includes \$14,500,000 for renewable support and implementation programs.

The conference agreement provides \$1,500,000 for departmental energy management.

The conference agreement includes \$3,000,000 for the international renewable energy program. Of this amount, \$1,000,000 is to be provided to International Utility Efficiency Partnerships, Inc., for continuation of joint implementation project development. The conferees expect the Department to work with the Department of Commerce, the U.S. Agency for International Development, and other relevant agencies, to complete, and begin implementation of, a five-year strategic plan to open and expand export markets for U.S. clean energy technologies. The conferees urge the Administration to include adequate funding for this initiative in its Fiscal Year 2003 budget submission.

The conference agreement includes \$4,000,000 for the renewable energy production incentive program.

The conference agreement includes \$3,000,000 for renewable Indian energy resources. The conferees expect these funds to be administered as competitively awarded grants to federally-recognized tribes throughout the United States.

The conference agreement includes \$3,000,000 for renewable program support, of which \$1,500,000 is to support the National Alliance for Clean Energy Incubators.

National Renewable Energy Laboratory.—The conference agreement includes \$5,000,000 for the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), the same as the budget request.

Program direction.—The conference agreement includes \$19,200,000 for program direction, the same as the budget request.

NUCLEAR ENERGY

The conference agreement provides \$250,456,000 for nuclear energy activities instead of \$224,130,000 as proposed by the House and \$264,069,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement does not include language specifying funding allocations as contained in the separate House and Senate reports. Within the funds available, the conferences include \$400,000 for the Secretary to contract with the nation's sole remaining uranium converter for the purpose of performing research and development to improve the environmental and economic performance of U.S. uranium conversion operations.

Advanced radioisotope power systems.—The conference agreement includes \$29,000,000 to maintain the infrastructure necessary to support future national security needs and National Aeronautics and Space Administration missions.

Isotope support.—The conference agreement includes a total program level of \$26,177,000 for the isotope program. This amount is reduced by offsetting collections of \$9,000,000 to be received in fiscal year 2002, resulting in a net appropriation of \$17,177,000. The conference agreement includes \$2,494,000 for the Isotope Production Facility at the Los Alamos National Laboratory.

The conferees encourage the Department to continue to explore the concept of extracting medically valuable isotopes from the excess uranium 233 stored in Building 3019 at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee. Within available funds, the Department is urged to proceed with a Request for Proposals (RFP) for this project after submission to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations of a budget-quality project plan which presents all costs, including the estimated life-cycle costs for storage and disposal of the excess uranium 233, and is crafted in a manner that would not increase the total costs for decontamination and decommissioning of Building 3019. The Department is reminded to consider the end use of the U233-derived material for clinical trials when preparing the RFP and evaluating proposals for this project, and may require the contractor to be capable of meeting the Good Manufacturing Practice requirements of the Food and Drug Administration with respect to the production of actinium 225.

University reactor fuel assistance and support.—The conference agreement includes \$17,500,000, \$5,526,000 more than the budget request. The conferees direct the Department to use the additional resources to begin implementing the recommendations contained in the April 2001 Final Report of the University Research Reactor Task Force of the Nuclear Energy Research Advisory Committee (NERAC), specifically, to establish geographically distributed regional university research reactor user facilities and geographically distributed training and education reactor facilities. The Department is expected to use a peer-reviewed process in selecting which facilities will receive Department support, and to involve fully the nuclear engineering and nuclear medicine communities in this process. The Department is directed to report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by May 31, 2002, on its plan to implement the NERAC Task Force recommendations. The program should also include substantial financial support from the nuclear industry.

Research and development.—The conference agreement provides \$51,000,000 for nuclear energy research and development activities.

The conference agreement includes \$7,000,000, \$2,500,000 more than the budget request, for nuclear energy plant optimization. The conferees direct the Department to ensure that projects are funded jointly with non-Federal partners and that the total non-Federal contributions are equal to or in excess of total Department contributions to projects funded in this program. The conferees have provided \$32,000,000 for the Nuclear Energy Research Initiative (NERI).

The conference agreement includes a total of \$12,000,000 for nuclear energy technologies, an increase of \$7,500,000 over the budget request. The conference agreement includes \$4,000,000 for completion of the Generation IV Technology Roadmap; and \$3,000,000 for advanced reactor development consistent with the longer term recommendations of the Generation IV Technology Roadmap and to continue research begun in the current fiscal year on small, modular nuclear reactors. The conferees encourage the Department to implement the recommendations of the Nuclear Energy Research Advisory Committee's Near-Term Deployment Group to support industry applications to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) for Early Site Permits, Combined Operating Licenses, and Design Certifications. The conference agreement provides \$3,000,000 to share with industry the cost of these new NRC licensing processes. The conference agreement also provides \$2,000,000 for fuel testing, code verification and validation, and materials testing at national laboratories in support of license applications for new reactor designs.

Infrastructure.—The conference agreement provides a total of \$82,529,000. The conference agreement provides \$35,357,000 for ANL-West Operations, which includes \$2,000,000 for the advanced test reactor research and development upgrade initiative. The conference agreement also provides \$8,733,000 for Test Reactor Area landlord activities. Funds provided by the Senate to initiate conceptual design for a remote-handled transuranic waste facility at ANL-West have been transferred to the environmental management program.

The conference agreement provides the budget request of \$38,439,000 for the Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF). No funds may be obligated for any purpose other than deactivation at FFTF until 90 days after receipt of the Secretary's recommendations for alternative actions at FFTF and the approval of those recommended alternative actions by the House and Senate Committee on Appropriations.

Nuclear facilities management.—The conference agreement provides \$30,250,000 as proposed by the House. This amount includes \$4,200,000 for the EBR–II shutdown, \$16,200,000 for the disposition of spent nuclear fuel and legacy materials, and \$9,850,000 for disposition technology activities.

Program direction.—The conference agreement includes \$23,000,000 for program direction, a reduction of \$2,062,000 from the budget request.

ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH

The conference agreement includes \$30,500,000 for non-defense environment, safety and health activities, which includes \$19,527,000 for program direction. When combined with \$117,688,000 provided for defense environment, safety and health activities, the conference agreement makes a total of \$148,188,000 available for environment, safety and health activities, a reduction of \$1,912,000 from the total budget request for these activities. This funding reduction does not reflect any reduction in the Department's environment, safety, and health responsibilities, nor in the conferees' expectation that the Department will fulfill those responsibilities in a thorough and professional manner. However, the conferees do expect the Department to take steps to reduce its current headquarters staffing levels and reduce its reliance on support contractors to execute its responsibilities. The conference agreement includes \$600,000 to be transferred to the Occupational Safety and Health Administration for worker health and safety at those sites transferred to non-Federal entities and for the Department's non-nuclear facilities not covered under the Atomic Energy Act.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

The conference agreement provides \$7,770,000, including \$1,400,000 for the Technical Information Management program and \$6,370,000 for program direction.

FUNDING ADJUSTMENTS

The conference agreement includes a general reduction of \$18,000,000.

NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

The conference agreement provides \$236,372,000 for Non-Defense Environmental Management instead of \$227,872,000 as proposed by the House and \$228,553,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes \$43,000,000 for site closure and \$64,119,000 for site/project completion activities, the same as the budget request. The conferees encourage the Department to accelerate cleanup along the Columbia River in Hanford's 300 Area.

celerate cleanup along the Columbia River in Hanford's 300 Area. *Post 2006 completion.*—The conference agreement includes \$125,753,000 for Post 2006 completion activities, an increase of \$5,700,000 over the budget request. Additional funding of \$3,700,000 is provided to maintain the cleanup activities at the Energy Technology Engineering Center in California. The conference agreement includes \$2,000,000 for stabilization activities at the Atlas uranium mill tailings site in Utah as proposed by the House.

West Valley.—The conference agreement provides a total of \$90,000,000 for the West Valley Demonstration Site in New York. However, the conferees remain concerned about the lack of agreement between the Department and the State of New York regarding the scope of Federal cleanup activities at the site and the respective Federal and State cost shares for those activities. While the recent resumption of negotiations is encouraging, the lack of agreement remains, as the General Accounting Office noted, the most significant impediment to completing cleanup of this site.

The conference agreement provides \$90,000,000 for cleanup activities at the West Valley Demonstration Project in fiscal year 2002. Funding in subsequent fiscal years shall be reduced to the minimum necessary to maintain the project in a safe and stable condition, unless, not later than September 30, 2002, the Secretary: provides written notification to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations that an agreement has been reached with the State of New York defining the final scope of Federal cleanup activities at the West Valley site and the respective Federal and State cost shares for those cleanup activities; submits that proposed agreement to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations; and provides a written certification that the Federal activities proposed in that agreement will be in full compliance with all relevant Federal statutes, including the West Valley Demonstration Project Act of 1980 and the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, as amended, and are in the best interest of the Federal government. The Committees do not require the Secretary to submit a fully executed final agreement, but rather a draft agreement sufficiently complete to demonstrate that all principal issues in dispute have been resolved.

Excess facilities.—The conference agreement provides \$3,500,000, an increase of \$2,119,000 over the budget request, for excess facilities to begin actual decontamination and decommissioning of excess facilities owned by the environmental management program.

URANIUM FACILITIES MAINTENANCE AND REMEDIATION

The conference agreement provides \$418,425,000 for uranium activities instead of \$393,425,000 as proposed by the House and \$408,725,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund.—The conference agreement includes \$299,641,000 for the uranium enrichment decontamination and decommissioning (D&D) fund. Additional funding of \$27,000,000 is provided for continued cleanup at Paducah, Kentucky, and \$30,000,000 is provided for continued the East Tennessee Technology Park in Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

The conference agreement does not include funding recommended in this account by the Senate for uranium conversion activities. This issue is addressed in the Energy Supply appropriation account.

Other Uranium Activities.—The conference agreement provides \$123,784,000 for other uranium activities. The conferees have included the budget request of \$110,784,000 for operating expenses associated with the maintenance of facilities and inventories and pre-existing liabilities and consolidated the funding for these activities into one program.

The conference agreement provides the budget request of \$10,000,000 for Project 02–U–101, Depleted Uranium Hexafluoride Conversion Project, in Paducah, Kentucky, and Portsmouth, Ohio, and transfers this project from the uranium enrichment D&D program to other uranium activities.

The conference agreement also provides \$3,000,000 as proposed by the Senate to continue Project 96–U–201, DUF6 Cylinder Storage Yard, at Paducah, Kentucky.

Funding adjustment.—The conference agreement includes the use of \$5,000,000 of prior year unobligated and uncosted balances.

SCIENCE

The conference agreement provides \$3,233,100,000 instead of \$3,166,395,000 as proposed by the House and \$3,268,816,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement does not include

language specifying funding allocations as contained in the separate House and Senate reports. The conference agreement does not include bill language proposed by the Senate earmarking funds for specific purposes.

High energy physics.—The conference agreement provides \$716,100,000 for high energy physics, the same as the budget request. The conferees encourage strong support for university research and for research on low temperature superconductors to support high energy physics requirements. General Purpose Equipment and General Plant Projects should be funded for Office of Science laboratories at fiscal year 2001 levels. Funds provided by the Senate for a demonstration of the mass of the neutrino at the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant have been transferred to the environmental management program.

Nuclear physics.—The conference agreement provides \$360,510,000 for nuclear physics, the same as the budget request. The conferees urge the Department to use these funds to enhance operation of the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) at the Brookhaven National Laboratory and the Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility in Virginia.

Biological and environmental research.—The conference agreement includes \$527,405,000 for biological and environmental research. The conferees have included \$11,405,000 to complete the construction of the Laboratory for Comparative Functional Genomics at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. The conference amount includes a total of \$18,000,000 for the low dose effects program; \$3,500,000 in additional funding for computer upgrades and capital equipment costs at the Environmental Molecular Science Laboratory; and includes funding to continue the free air carbon dioxide experiments at the fiscal year 2001 level.

oxide experiments at the fiscal year 2001 level. The conference agreement includes \$2,600,000 for the positron emission tomography center at the University of South Alabama; \$4,000,000 for the Gulf Coast Cancer Center and Research Institute; \$2,000,000 for the University of Alabama at Birmingham center for nuclear magnetic resonance imaging; \$1,000,000 for University of South Alabama research, in cooperation with industry and the Cooperative Research Network of the National Rural Electric Cooperative Association, on a fuel cell powered home using the Smart Energy Management Control System; \$1,650,000 for the new library and regional resource learning center at Spring Hill College; \$100,000 for the South Alabama Medical Education Outreach Program; \$2,250,000 for the University of Florida Genetics Institute; \$2,700,000 for a new linear accelerator for the Baystate Medical Center; \$1,200,000 for the Cancer Institute of New Jersey; \$1,000,000 for the Institute for Molecular and Biomedical Science at the University of Arizona; \$1,000,000 for the Stanley Scott Can-cer Center at Louisiana State University; \$1,000,000 for the Infotonics Center of Excellence in Rochester, New York; \$500,000 for the Joint Collaboration on Advanced Nanotechnology and Sensors with the University of New Orleans, Louisiana State University, and Louisiana Tech; \$500,000 for the Breast Cancer Program at the North Shore-Long Island Jewish Health System; \$500,000 for a functional magnetic resonance imaging machine at the University of Texas at Dallas and the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center's Center for Brain, Cognition, and Behavior; \$500,000 for the Integrated Environmental Research and Services program at Alabama A&M University; and \$500,000 for the energy efficiency initiative at the Carolinas Health Care System.

The conference agreement includes \$3,000,000 for the Multidisciplinary Research Facility at the College of Engineering, University of Notre Dame; \$500,000 for a linear accelerator for the Burbank Regional Cancer Center in Fitchburg, Massachusetts; \$500,000 for Hampshire College's National Center for Science Education; \$1,000,000 for the Audubon Biomedical Science and Technology Park at Columbia University; \$1,000,000 for the McFadden Science Center at Texas Wesleyan University; \$1,000,000 for the emergency power supply system at Cedars-Sinai Medical Center; \$1,000,000 for the Rush-Presbyterian-St.Luke's Medical Center; \$1.000.000 for a nanoscience facility at Purdue University: \$1,000,000 for the Julie and Ben Rogers Cancer Institute; \$1,000,000 for the School of Public Health at the University of South Carolina; \$1,000,000 for the continued development of the Life Sciences Building at Brown University; \$1,000,000 for environmental modeling at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill; \$1,000,000 to support renovation of the Science, Technology, and Engineering Research Complex at Jackson State University; and \$1,000,000 for the PowerGrid simulator at Drexel University and the New Jersey Institute of Technology.

The conference agreement includes \$7,000,000 for the positron emission tomography facility at West Virginia University; \$2,000,000 for a linear accelerator for the University Medical Center of Southern Nevada; \$250,000 for the research foundation of the University of Nevada-Las Vegas; \$200,000 for the University of Nevada-Las Vegas to continue study of the biological effects of exposure to low-level radioactivity; \$500,000 for a biomolecular nuclear magnetic resonance instrument at the Medical University of South Carolina; \$1,000,000 for the Oncology Center of the Medical University of South Carolina; \$3,000,000 for the National Center of Excellence in Photonics and Microsystems in New York; \$500,000 for the Institute of Comparative Genomics at the American Museum of Natural History; \$750,000 for the Inland Northwest Nat-ural Resources Research Center at Gonzaga University; \$500,000 for the Hall of Paleontology at the Field Museum; \$500,000 for the Center for Catalysis at Iowa State University; \$1,000,000 for the Human Genome Project at the University of Southern California; \$500,000 for biomedical research at Creighton University; \$500,000 for the Child Health Institute of New Brunswick, New Jersey; \$500,000 for the Oregon Renewable Energy Center; \$1,000,000 for superconductor research at Boston College; \$500,000 for the Natural Energy Laboratory in Hawaii; and \$800,000 for the Rochester Institute of Technology microelectronics technology program.

The conference agreement includes \$11,000,000 for operations and capital investment at the Mental Illness and Neuroscience Discovery Institute; and \$2,000,000 for the University of Missouri-Columbia to expand the federal investment in the university's nuclear medicine and cancer research capital program.

Basic energy sciences.—The conference agreement includes \$1,003,705,000 for basic energy sciences. The conference agreement

includes the full amount of the budget request for the Spallation Neutron Source and the SPEAR 3 upgrade at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory. The conferees have included \$3,000,000 to initiate project engineering and design (PED) for three user facilities for nanoscale science research (Project 02–SC– 002), and the budget request of \$7,685,000 for the Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research (EPSCoR). For purposes of reprogramming in fiscal year 2002, the Department may reallocate funding among all operating accounts within Basic Energy Sciences.

Advanced scientific computing research.—The conference agreement includes \$158,050,000 for advanced scientific computing research (ASCR). The conferees support the use of available funds for the Scientific Discovery Through Advanced Computing (SciDAC) program and for terascale operating systems development. The conferees urge the Department to maximize the involvement of universities in the ASCR program, so that both the Department and the academic community can share in the latest technology developments in this field.

Energy research analyses.—The conference agreement includes \$1,000,000 for energy research analyses, the same amount provided by the House and the Senate.

Multiprogram energy labs—facility support.—The conference agreement includes \$30,175,000 for multi-program energy labs-facility support, the same as the budget request.

Fusion energy sciences.—The conference agreement includes \$248,495,000, as proposed by both the House and Senate, for fusion energy sciences.

Facilities and infrastructure.—The conference agreement includes 10,000,000 for a new Facilities and Infrastructure program, as proposed by the House, to address infrastructure needs at the Department's science laboratories.

Safeguards and security.—The conference agreement includes \$55,412,000 for safeguards and security activities at laboratories and facilities managed by the Office of Science.

Program Direction.—The conference agreement includes \$139,960,000 for program direction. This amount includes \$63,000,000 for field offices, \$72,500,000 for headquarters, and \$4,460,000 for science education. The control level for fiscal year 2002 is at the program account level of program direction.

Funding adjustments.—A general reduction of \$12,800,000 has been applied to this account, as well as the security charge for reimbursable work of \$4,912,000 included in the budget request.

NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

The conference agreement provides \$95,000,000 for Nuclear Waste Disposal, instead of \$133,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$25,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. When combined with the \$280,000,000 appropriated from the Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal account, a total of \$375,000,000 will be available for program activities in fiscal year 2002. The conference agreement includes not to exceed \$2,500,000 for the State of Nevada and \$6,000,000 for affected units of local government. The conferees direct the Department to focus all available resources on completing a quality Site Recommendation report, and the accompanying final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), in a timely manner. The final Site Recommendation and final EIS were due in July 2001, and the conferees expect that these will be delivered to Congress no later than February 28, 2002. The conferees acknowledge that certain scientific and engineering work is directly related to the Site Recommendation and to resolving the technical concerns of the NRC and the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board, and that such work should not automatically terminate upon submission of the Site Recommendation. However, if the Site Recommendation is negative, the conferees expect the Department to terminate promptly all such activities and take the steps necessary to remediate the site.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

The conference agreement provides \$210,853,000 for Departmental Administration expenses instead of \$209,611,000 as proposed by the House and \$208,948,000 as proposed by the Senate. Funding adjustments include a transfer of \$22,000,000 from Other Defense Activities and the use of \$10,000,000 of prior year balances. Revenues of \$137,810,000 are estimated to be received in fiscal year 2002, resulting in a net appropriation of \$73,043,000.

The conference agreement does not include language proposed by the House allowing the Department to transfer funds previously appropriated for Year 2000 (Y2K) activities to this account. The Y2K funds expired on September 30, 2001.

Specific funding levels for each Departmental organization are provided in the accompanying table.

Office of Management, Budget and Evaluation.—The conference agreement provides \$107,000,000 for the Office of Management, Budget and Evaluation. This is a new organization created by merging the Office of Management and Administration with the Office of the Chief Financial Officer (including the Office of Engineering and Construction Management). This reorganization is expected to improve program and project management by bringing together acquisitions, performance appraisals, and funding decisions.

The conferees expect the Department to increase the current staffing levels and fully fund the program activities of the Office of Engineering and Construction Management.

Corporate Management Information Program.—The conferees have provided a total of \$15,000,000 for the Department's Corporate Management Information Program in two accounts: \$5,000,000 in Departmental Administration and \$10,000,000 in Other Defense Activities. The Department had requested a total of \$20,000,000 in the Other Defense Activities account.

\$20,000,000 in the Other Defense Activities account. *Reprogramming guidelines.*—The conference agreement provides reprogramming authority of \$1,000,000 or 10 percent, whichever is less, within the Departmental Administration account without submission of a reprogramming to be approved by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations. No individual program account may be increased or decreased by more than this amount during the fiscal year using this reprogramming authority. Congressional notification within 30 days of the use of this reprogramming authority is required. Transfers which would result in increases or decreases in excess of \$1,000,000 or 10 percent to an individual program account require prior notification and approval.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

The conference agreement provides \$32,430,000 for the Inspector General as proposed by the House instead of \$30,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

The National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA), a semiautonomous agency within the Department of Energy, manages and operates the Nation's nuclear weapons, nuclear nonproliferation, and naval reactors activities.

Nuclear posture review.—The conferees have provided a significant increase above the President's budget request and above the House bill in nuclear weapons activities, to include refurbishment of specific nuclear weapons as well as generic nuclear weapons-related process and infrastructure improvements. The basis for providing these additional funds is informal information provided by the NNSA at the Committees' request, rather than a formal budget request from the Administration. The information largely addresses on-going programs and generic process improvements, and does not identify the need to develop a specific new nuclear weapon in fiscal year 2002. The conferees agree that these investments are vital to ensuring that the NNSA can efficiently support Department of Defense schedules and requirements to maintain the highest levels of performance for our nation's nuclear weapons, while maximizing safety for NNSA employees and contractors performing the stockpile stewardship mission.

The conferees are concerned that NNSA not spend funds early in fiscal year 2002 that turn out to be wasted effort once the Nuclear Posture Review and its implementation by the Administration and the Congress is completed. The conferees are also concerned that the NNSA not spend funds in fiscal year 2002 that presuppose the outcome of the Nuclear Posture Review or thwart the ability of Congress to provide effective and timely oversight. It is the conferees' intent and instruction that the NNSA use the funds in its budget request and the additional funds provided herein for nuclear weapons activities only for generic process and infrastructure improvements and to continue on-going weapon refurbishment activities. NNSA should minimize weapon-unique investments in fis-cal year 2002 in those instances where NNSA knows today that there is uncertainty about the long-term viability of the nuclear weapon or its delivery system. The NNSA may not use funds in fiscal year 2002 to initiate new weapons development programs or to initiate new warhead refurbishment programs that have not been formally identified to and approved by the Congress, other than through formal written reprogramming requests to the Armed Services and Appropriations Committees of Congress.

The conferees are concerned in particular about the W-80 warhead refurbishment for air-launched cruise missiles. The Department of Energy has the means to extend the life of the W-80 warhead by tens of years, yet the Department of Defense has yet to budget any funds to extend the life of its air-launched cruise missiles. Even if the life of the W-80 warhead and cruise missile were extended in an integrated and synchronized manner, the question of the desirability of extending the life of the B-52 aircraft fleet (already 40 years old) for a similar extended timeframe would need to be addressed by both the Administration and Congress. Because of the uncertainty surrounding these issues, the conferees des-ignate funding for W-80 warhead life extension in fiscal year 2002 to be of special interest. Use of fiscal year 2002 funds for the unique costs to develop or implement W-80 warhead refurbishment that involve long-term life extension require advance written notification to and approval by the Armed Services and Appropriations Committees of Congress.

NNSA budget justifications.—The conferees agree that NNSA budget justification material for major nuclear weapon acquisition programs is currently not sufficient to assure adequate Congressional oversight of these very important programs. NNSA, in conjunction with the Department of Defense, is expected to propose significant investment in strategic weapon systems (to include refurbishments and life extensions) during the next 10 years to meet military requirements once the Administration's Nuclear Posture Review is completed. The Congress will have to examine these proposals in detail and will likely be asked to agree to higher levels of annual spending for these initiatives. It is vital that NNSA articulate the investment costs and benefits of such proposals in a clear and consistent manner.

The conferees direct the Administrator to submit Selected Acquisition Reports (SAR) once a year to the Armed Services and Appropriations Committees of Congress, to accompany the fiscal year 2003 and subsequent President's Budgets. The reports should be similar in content and format to those submitted to Congress by the Department of Defense pursuant to section 2432 of Title 10 of United States Code. The NNSA should identify criteria for designating its major defense acquisition programs, as the Defense Department has done, and then report annually on systems which meet them. The NNSA should also identify criteria for when to start SAR reporting for a given weapon system, and when to end it. SAR systems are generally those which require a significant development cost (hundreds of millions of dollars) or significant acquisition cost (billions of dollars). The conferees anticipate that this reporting requirement will not place an undue burden on the NNSA. If a system is to be refurbished in a block-approach, the SAR report must address information on each and all blocks of the program.

The conferees further direct that the Comptroller General review the NNSA's fiscal year 2003 submission of selected acquisition reports within 90 days of their submission to Congress, and assess whether they adequately and thoroughly identify information equivalent to what the Department of Defense provides Congress in its SAR reports. The conferees also direct the NNSA to include detailed information in the budget justification documents for its fiscal year 2003 and subsequent President's budget requests to Congress by weapon system. The budget should clearly show the unique and the fully-loaded cost of each weapon activity, to include refurbishments and conceptual study and/or development of new weapons.

Construction projects.—The conference agreement includes a significant increase in funding for new and ongoing construction projects and a new program for facilities and infrastructure upgrades. While these increases are necessary to maintain the nuclear weapons complex, the conferees are concerned that these increases will tax the existing project management expertise of the NNSA and its contractors. To ensure that construction project funding is properly executed, the conferees direct the NNSA's Office of Project Management Support to review each of these projects and verify that the conceptual design and at least 35 percent of the detailed design are completed before construction funds are obligated. The NNSA is strongly encouraged to use the expertise resident in the Department's Office of Construction and Engineering Management for this purpose.

Nuclear Weapons Council Reporting.-The Armed Services Committees require annual reporting on the activities of the Nuclear Weapons Council, a joint Department of Defense and Energy activity that manages nuclear weapons. This document is a key tool for the Appropriations and Armed Services Committees of Congress to perform effective oversight of our nation's nuclear weapons. The Secretary of Energy submitted the fiscal year 2000 report (dated October 1, 2000) on September 26, 2001. The conferees question the utility of a report (under 20 pages) whose information is about a year old when submitted, and whether the Departments of Energy and Defense take seriously the need to responsibly support Congressional oversight of nuclear weapons on a timely basis. Reports to Congress on a previous fiscal year's activities, to be relevant to the authorization and appropriations process, should be submitted for Committees to use during their hearings in the spring of the following year. Waiting until the end of the fiscal year to submit the information inhibits the hearing process, the authorization process, and the appropriations process as well as depriving Members of Congress charged with an important oversight responsibility from effectively performing their duty due to lack of timely information. The conferees direct the Secretary of Energy to submit future reports by March 1 of each year.

WEAPONS ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement provides \$5,429,238,000 for Weapons Activities instead of \$5,123,888,000 as proposed by the House and \$6,062,891,000 as proposed by the Senate. The Administration's budget request for Weapons Activities was \$5,300,025,000 which included \$271,137,000 for program direction activities. The conference recommendation transfers all program direction funding to the Office of the NNSA Administrator account which has the effect of reducing the fiscal year 2002 budget request for Weapons Activities to \$5,028,888,000. Thus, the conference recommendation is \$400,850,000 over the budget request for nuclear weapons programmatic activities.

Statutory language proposed by the Senate to earmark funds for technology partnerships and community reuse organizations has not been included. The conferees direct the NNSA to fully utilize technology partnerships supportive of its missions, including the support of small business interactions including technology clusters around the laboratories.

Reprogramming.—The conference agreement provides limited reprogramming authority within the Weapons Activities account without submission of a reprogramming to be approved in advance by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations. The reprogramming thresholds will be as follows: directed stockpile work, science campaigns, engineering campaigns, inertial confinement fusion, advanced simulation and computing, pit manufacturing and certification, readiness campaigns, and operating expenses for readiness in technical base and facilities. This should provide the needed flexibility to manage these programs.

In addition, funding of not more than \$5,000,000 may be transferred between each of these categories and each construction project subject to the following limitations: only one transfer may be made to or from any program or project; the transfer must be necessary to address a risk to health, safety or the environment or to assure the most efficient use of weapons activities funds at a site; and funds may not be used for an item for which Congress has specifically denied funds or for a new program or project that has not been authorized by Congress.

Congressional notification within 30 days of the use of this reprogramming authority is required. Transfers during the fiscal year which would result in increases or decreases in excess of \$5,000,000 or which would be subject to the limitations outlined in the previous paragraph require prior notification and approval from the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

Directed stockpile work.—The conference agreement includes \$1,045,814,000 for directed stockpile work instead of \$1,043,791,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,081,337,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Campaigns.—The conference agreement consolidates the individual campaigns into six major groups: science campaigns, engineering campaigns, inertial confinement fusion, advanced simulation and computing, pit manufacturing and certification, and readiness campaigns. Funding for individual campaigns is shown on the accompanying table.

For science campaigns, the conference agreement provides \$269,703,000, an increase of \$8,583,000 over the budget request. From within available funds, an additional \$25,000,000 is provided for advanced radiography to continue research, development and conceptual design for an advanced hydrodynamic test facility, including further development and evaluation of proton radiography techniques.

For engineering campaigns, the conference agreement provides \$245,225,000, an increase of \$9,469,000 over the budget request, to meet additional program requirements.

For inertial confinement fusion, the conference agreement provides \$506,443,000, an increase of \$39,500,000 over the budget request, and includes several program funding adjustments. The conference agreement includes \$10,000,000 for the Naval Research Laboratory, the same as the budget request. Funding of \$24,500,000 has been provided to further development of high average power lasers.

The conference agreement includes \$35,450,000 for the Laboratory for Laser Energetics at the University of Rochester, an increase of \$2,000,000 over the budget request, to be used for development of critical short-pulse laser technologies that should be extensible to producing very high power laser capability on the National Ignition Facility as well as existing large fusion research lasers like Omega.

The conference agreement provides an additional \$7,000,000 for enhanced National Ignition Facility (NIF) diagnostics and cryogenic target activities, and \$245,000,000, the same as the budget request, for continued construction of the NIF.

The conferees understand the Department is preparing a National Petawatt Strategic Plan and support completion of this initiative, including within the strategic planning the research and development of supporting technologies necessary to ensure U.S. leadership in ultra-short-pulse laser technology. Funding of \$3,000,000 is provided for conceptual and preliminary engineering design studies for a petawatt-class laser at the Sandia National Laboratory's Z machine, and \$1,000,000 is provided to initiate development of critical short-pulse laser technologies like damage-resistant gratings.

The conferees strongly support university participation in this program and have provided \$9,886,000 for university grants/other ICF support, an increase of \$4,500,000 over the budget request. This includes \$2,500,000 to complete the installation and initiate operation of a petawatt laser or high-power, short-pulse laser at the University of Nevada-Reno. The conferees believe that early access to an operating petawatt-class laser will provide opportunities for exploring technology options to incorporate in the next generation of petawatt lasers. The conferees direct the Department to provide a monthly status report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations on the status of the University of Nevada-Reno project. The conferees have included the additional \$2,000,000 for university grants to encourage greater participation of universities in the Department's programs and as a means of training new scientists in high energy density and laser physics.

For advanced simulation and computing, the conference agreement provides \$729,847,000, a decrease of \$8,185,000 from the budget request. The reduction in operating expenses should be taken against lower priority activities. The conference agreement allocates funding of \$8,400,000 for Project 01–D–101, the Distributed Information Systems Laboratory at Sandia; \$22,000,000 for Project 00–D–103, the Terascale Simulation Facility at Livermore; and \$13,377,000 for Project 00–D–107, the Joint Computational Engineering Laboratory at Sandia. Each of these projects has experienced significant reductions in prior years due to funding constraints. For pit manufacturing and certification, the conference agreement provides \$219,000,000, an increase of \$90,455,000 over the budget request of \$128,545,000. On September 28, 2001, the NNSA Administrator notified the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations that the fiscal year 2002 projected cost for pit manufacturing and certification was \$213,000,000. In addition, the conferees have provided the budget request of \$2,000,000 for pit manufacturing and certification activities not specifically supporting the W88 and \$4,000,000 for preconceptual design activities for a new pit manufacturing facility. From within the funds provided, the conference agreement includes full funding for subcritical experiments to be performed at the Nevada Test Site. Additional funding is provided within the Readiness in Technical Base and Facilities program to support facilities and activities critical to the success of the pit manufacturing and certification campaign.

For readiness campaigns, the conference agreement provides \$196,886,000, an increase of \$31,869,000 over the budget request. This includes, at a minimum, an additional \$24,000,000 for the Y-12 Plant in Oak Ridge, Tennessee. No funding is provided for Project 98–D–126, Accelerator Production of Tritium, the same as the budget request.

For readiness in technical base and facilities, the conference agreement provides \$1,553,124,000, an increase of \$106,136,000 over the budget request, and includes several funding adjustments.

Within funds provided for operations of facilities, the conferees direct that, at a minimum, an additional \$25,000,000 be provided for the Pantex Plant in Texas and an additional \$10,000,000 be provided for the Y-12 Plant in Oak Ridge, Tennessee. The conference agreement also includes an additional \$10,000,000 for the Z machine refurbishment at Sandia; \$10,000,000 to consolidate and enhance counter-terrorism activities and programs at the National Center for Combating Terrorism at the Nevada Test Site; and \$1,500,000 for technology partnerships with industry as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement does not provide additional funding to process uranium-233 as proposed by the Senate. This issue is addressed in the Energy Supply account.

Within funds provided for program readiness, the conference agreement includes additional funding of \$10,000,000 for the operation of pulsed power facilities at Sandia National Laboratory. Additional funding of \$9,094,000 above the budget request is provided to maintain Nevada Test Site readiness and maintain materials processing and component manufacturing readiness consistent with the 1993 Presidential directive concerning underground nuclear testing.

Within funds provided for special projects, the conference agreement includes \$1,000,000 for the Remote Sensing Laboratory to enhance pilot proficiency, aircraft safety, and aviation support elements; \$1,000,000 for final funding for the tumor registry in the State of Nevada; \$250,000 to prepare a plan to preserve the history of the Manhattan project; \$1,000,000 for installation of exhibits at the Atomic Testing History Institute; and the budget request for the Los Alamos County Schools and the New Mexico Education Enrichment Foundation. The conference agreement includes \$90,310,000 for materials recycling, \$8,199,000 for containers, \$10,643,000 for storage, and \$88,923,000 for nuclear weapons incident response, as proposed by the Senate.

For construction projects, the conference agreement includes several adjustments to the budget request. Funding of \$22,830,000 is provided for Project 02–D–103, Project Engineering and Design (PE&D), including \$4,000,000 for architecture and engineering services for modernization of surface support facilities for the U1A complex at the Nevada Test Site; \$4,750,000 for Project 02–D–105, Engineering Technology Complex Upgrade at Livermore; \$3,507,000 for Project 02–D–107, Electrical Power Systems Upgrades at the Nevada Test Site; \$16,379,000 for Project 01–D–103, PE&D, including \$2,693,000 for electrical power systems upgrades at the Nevada Test Site; \$67,000,000 for Project 01–D–108, Microsystems and Engineering Sciences Applications Complex at Sandia; and \$2,000,000 for Project 99–D–108, Renovate Existing Roadways at the Nevada Test Site. No funds are provided for Project 01–D– 124, HEU Storage Facility at the Y–12 Plant in Tennessee.

Funding of \$3,300,000 is provided for Project 01–D–107, Atlas Relocation at the Nevada Test Site. The total estimated cost of this project has increased by \$4,123,000 to \$16,312,000.

Facilities and Infrastructure.—The conference agreement includes \$200,000,000 to establish a new program for facilities and infrastructure (F&I). The Department had requested no funding for this program. The conferees agree with the House report language on the F&I program and direct that at least 25 percent of this funding be used to dispose of excess facilities that will provide the greatest impact on reducing long-term costs and risks.

Secure Transportation Asset.—The conference agreement provides \$123,300,000 as proposed by the Senate, an increase of \$1,500,000 over the budget request.

Safeguards and security.—The conference agreement includes \$448,881,000, the same as the budget request, for safeguards and security activities at laboratories and facilities managed by the National Nuclear Security Administration.

Program direction.—The budget request included \$271,137,000 for program direction activities in this account. The conference agreement transfers this funding to the Office of the NNSA Administrator account.

Funding adjustments.—The conference agreement includes an adjustment of \$28,985,000 for a security charge for reimbursable work, as proposed in the budget, and a general reduction of \$80,000,000.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION

The conference agreement provides \$803,586,000 for Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation instead of \$845,341,000 as proposed by the House and \$880,500,000 as proposed by the Senate. The Administration's budget request for Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation was \$773,700,000 which included \$51,459,000 for program direction activities. The conference recommendation transfers all program direction funding to the Office of the NNSA Administrator account which has the effect of reducing the budget request for Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation to \$722,241,000. Thus, the conference recommendation is an increase of \$81,345,000 over the budget request.

Statutory language proposed by the Senate to earmark funding for official reception and representation expenses has not been included. This activity is funded in the Office of the NNSA Administrator account.

Limitation on Russian and Newly Independent States' (NIS) program funds.—The conferees are concerned about the amount of funding for Russian and NIS programs which remains in the United States for Department of Energy contractors and laboratories rather than going to the facilities in Russia and the NIS. The conferees expect the Department to continue to increase the level of funding provided to Russia versus the funding which remains in the United States for Department of Energy contractors and laboratories in each subsequent year. The conferees direct the Department to apply the lowest possible laboratory overhead rates and to increase the percent of funding spent in Russia. The Department is to provide a report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations by January 31, 2002, and each subsequent year on the amount of funding provided to Russia and NIS in each program area. The Department should work with the Committees on the specific information to be included in the report.

Nonproliferation and verification research and development.— The conference agreement provides \$244,306,000 for nonproliferation and verification research and development. This includes \$19,510,900 for ground-based systems for treaty monitoring, an increase of \$7,000,000 over the budget request. From within available funds, \$4,000,000 is provided to establish the Remote Systems Test and Engineering Center at the Remote Sensing Laboratory and \$2,500,000 for the Incorporated Research Institutions for Seismology PASSCAL Instrument Center. The Department is urged to review the potential value of the Caucasus Seismic Information Network to the nuclear explosion monitoring national security mission.

The conferees continue to support more opportunity for open competition in appropriate areas of the nonproliferation and verification research and development program. The conferees expect the Department to continue to implement recommendations provided by the external review group in support of open competition and direct the Department to initiate a free and open competitive process for at least 25 percent of its research and development activities during fiscal year 2002 for ground-based systems treaty monitoring. The competitive process should be open to all Federal and non-Federal entities.

Arms control.—The conference agreement provides \$75,741,000 for arms control activities, instead of the budget request of \$101,500,000, due to several funding transfers. The conference agreement transfers \$4,000,000 for the Second Line of Defense program to the International Materials Protection, Control and Accounting program. Funding of \$28,759,000 for the NIS nonproliferation program for the Initiatives for Proliferation Prevention and the Nuclear Cities Initiative has been transferred to a new program, "Russian Transition Initiatives." Funding of \$15,945,000, an increase of \$7,000,000 over the budget request, has been provided for spent nuclear fuel activities in Kazakhstan. No additional funds are provided for spent nuclear fuel storage and a geologic repository in Russia.

International materials protection, control and accounting (MPC&A).—The conference agreement includes \$173,000,000 for the MPC&A program including \$4,000,000 for the Second Line of Defense program which was transferred from the Arms Control program.

Russian Transition Initiatives.—The conference agreement provides \$42,000,000 for the Initiatives for Proliferation Prevention program and the Nuclear Cities Initiative. These programs were transferred from the arms control program. The conferees expect the Department to provide a single program manager responsible for both programs and have provided the Department the flexibility to allocate the funding between the two programs. The program manager should also ensure close coordination with other Federal agencies that direct money to scientists working in closed cities.

HEU transparency implementation.—The conference agreement provides \$13,950,000, the same as the budget request.

International nuclear safety.—The conference agreement provides \$10,000,000 for the international nuclear safety program, a reduction of \$3,800,000 from the budget request. This funding is to be used only for activities in support of completing the upgrades to Soviet-designed nuclear reactors. From within available funds, the conference agreement provides \$1,500,000 to transfer and implement proven U.S.-developed Mechanical Stress Improvement Process technology requested by the Russian Federation. The Department is to provide a status report on the progress of this project by March 31, 2002.

Fissile materials disposition.—The conference agreement provides \$302,422,000 for fissile materials disposition, an increase of \$12,333,000 over the budget request. Limitations on the amount of funding which remains in the United States shall not apply to the fissile material disposition programs.

The conference agreement includes \$5,000,000 to support the joint United States-Russian program to develop an advanced reactor for plutonium disposition. The United States should take advantage of this technology for a possible next generation nuclear power reactor for United States and foreign markets. Therefore, the Department should explore opportunities to develop and exploit this technology for commercial purposes.

The conferees are concerned that the Administration's consideration of alternative plutonium disposition and management scenarios, combined with a much lower than expected budget request, have introduced substantial instability into both the Russian and U.S. components of the plutonium disposition program. The conferees regard this program as one of the most important nonproliferation initiatives undertaken between the United States and Russia. It is also closely integrated into the Department's environmental cleanup and material management programs. The instabilities injected into this program are jeopardizing the future of this program, both in this country and in Russia, and may result in the permanent loss of this significant opportunity. The conferees understand that the issue of plutonium disposition at the Savannah River Site will be fully addressed in the Fiscal Year 2002 Defense Authorization Act. However, the conferees direct the Secretary of Energy to consult with the Governor of the State of South Carolina regarding any decisions or plans of the Secretary related to the disposition of surplus defense plutonium located at the Savannah River Site. The Secretary is also directed to submit to Congress a plan for disposal of surplus defense plutonium currently located at the Savannah River site and for disposal of defense plutonium and defense plutonium materials to be shipped to the Savannah River Site in the future. This plan is due by February 1, 2002.

The conferees further direct the Secretary to provide 30 days notice to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations before resuming shipments of defense plutonium and defense plutonium materials to the Savannah River Site.

Until further approval from the Committees on Appropriations, the conferees expect that funds set aside for plutonium disposition in Public Law 105–227, the Omnibus Consolidated and Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1999, shall only be used in a manner consistent with the current plutonium disposition program.

At the request of the Department, the conference agreement makes the following changes to the Department's budget request. Funding of \$5,000,000 is reallocated from Project 99–D–141, the Pit Disassembly and Conversion Facility, to operating expenses in support of this project. Funding of \$29,340,000, an increase of \$5,340,000 over the budget request, is provided for Project 01–D– 407, the HEU Blend Down Project. Funding of \$65,993,000, an increase of \$2,993,000 over the budget request, is provided for Project 99–D–143, the Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility. These increases totaling \$8,333,000 are funded through balances remaining from prior year construction projects.

from prior year construction projects. *Program direction.*—The budget request included \$51,459,000 for program direction activities in this account. The conference agreement transfers this funding to the Office of the NNSA Administrator account.

Funding adjustments.—The conference agreement includes funding adjustments of \$57,833,000. This includes the use of \$42,000,000 of prior year balances, as requested in the budget; \$8,333,000 from prior year balances in fissile materials disposition construction projects; and \$7,500,000 from prior year unobligated and uncosted balances.

NAVAL REACTORS

The conference agreement provides \$688,045,000 for Naval Reactors, the same as the budget request.

OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR

The conference agreement provides \$312,596,000 for the Office of the Administrator instead of \$10,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$15,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement consolidates program direction funds of \$337,596,000 requested in the weapons activities, defense nuclear nonproliferation, and office of the administrator appropriation accounts. Total funding of \$312,596,000 has been provided, a reduction of \$25,000,000 from the original request. This reduction anticipates efficiencies to be gained through this consolidation and the use of prior year unobligated balances from the three merged program direction accounts.

The conferees do not support increasing the total number of staff in the NNSA. While there is broad agreement that NNSA may not have the appropriate skill mix in its existing work force, there is also broad agreement that simply adding more people is not the answer.

Statutory language providing \$12,000 for official reception and representation expenses has been included.

ENVIRONMENTAL AND OTHER DEFENSE RELATED ACTIVITIES

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT

The conference agreement provides \$5,234,576,000 for Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management instead of \$5,174,539,000 as proposed by the House and \$5,389,868,000 as proposed by the Senate. Additional funding of \$1,092,878,000 is contained in the Defense Facilities Closure Projects account and \$153,537,000 in the Defense Environmental Management Privatization account for a total of \$6,480,991,000 provided for all defense environmental management activities.

The conference agreement provides for the purchase of not to exceed 30 passenger motor vehicles as proposed by the House.

The conferees believe the significant cleanup issues before the Department at the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant in Kentucky require continued strong management oversight from Headquarters. The conferees direct that the Secretary provide for the management of environmental matters (including planning and budgetary activities) with respect to the plant through the Assistant Secretary of Energy for Environmental Management. The Assistant Secretary shall ensure that direct communication and thorough consultation exists at all times between herself and the head of the Paducah environmental cleanup programs on all relevant matters.

Low level waste disposal.—The conferees agree that the Department, where cost-effective, should use existing Federal contracts for the disposal of low-level and mixed low-level waste at commercial off-site disposal facilities. Further, before proceeding with any new on-site disposal cell, the Department is directed to submit to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations an objective analysis comparing the life-cycle costs of on-site versus off-site disposal alternatives. Such analysis must address the concerns identified by the General Accounting Office in its recent report (GAO-01-441), which found that the Department has not made accurate estimates of waste volumes and transportation costs when comparing on-site versus off-site alternatives.

Site/Project Completion.—The conference agreement provides additional funding to mitigate funding shortfalls at the following sites: \$18,000,000 for the Idaho site; \$20,000,000 for the Savannah River Site in South Carolina; \$34,300,000 for the Hanford site in Richland, Washington; and \$7,000,000 for South Valley, Kansas City, Pantex, and Sandia.

The conference agreement includes \$9,000,000 to expedite the remediation and conveyance of up to 2000 acres of land for the use of Pueblo of San Ildefonso and approximately 100 acres to the County of Los Alamos consistent with the direction of section 632 of Public Law 105–119.

Funding of 20,000,000 has been provided for a new construction project, Project 02-D-420, Plutonium Packaging and Stabilization, at the Savannah River Site. At the request of the Department, the conference agreement consolidates funding from the following sources for this project: 7,500,000 from current and prior year balances in Project 01-D-414, Project Engineering and Design (PE&D); 4,000,000 from prior year balances available from cancellation of Project 01-D-415, 235-F Packaging and Stabilization project; and 8,500,000 from prior year balances provided to the Savannah River Site in fiscal year 2001 for plutonium stabilization activities.

Funding of \$2,754,000 is provided for Project 01–D–414, Project Engineering and Design, as proposed by the House.

Post 2006 Completion.—The conference agreement provides additional funding over the budget request for several activities. Additional funding of \$105,000,000 is provided for the Idaho site. From within these funds, \$15,000,000 is to initiate activities associated with the demonstration of waste retrieval at the subsurface disposal area at the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL); \$700,000 is to continue conceptual design activities for a subsurface geosciences laboratory at Idaho; \$4,000,000 is for the Subsurface Science Research Institute operated by the Inland Northwest Research Alliance and the INEEL; and up to \$750,000 is to evaluate the need for a remote-handled transuranic waste facility at ANL-West and initiate conceptual design if needed.

The conferees encourage the Department of Energy to use alternative dispute resolution to resolve claims relating to the contract dispute on Pit 9 at Idaho.

Additional funding of \$125,000,000 is provided for the Savannah River Site in South Carolina. From within available funds, \$8,000,000 is provided for the Savannah River Ecology Laboratory, an increase of \$2,000,000 over the budget request, and \$800,000 is provided to continue the Department's relationship with the University of South Carolina's Center for Water Resources.

Additional funding of \$110,000,000 is provided for the Hanford site in Richland, Washington, to support the River Corridor Initiative. From within available funds, \$8,481,000 is provided for the hazardous waste worker training program, an increase of \$7,481,000 over the budget request, and \$600,000 is provided for State of Oregon oversight activities. The Department is expected to continue making PILT payments at last year's level to counties that have the Hanford reservation within their boundaries.

Additional funding of \$3,400,000 is provided for cleanup activities at the Nevada Test Site and \$3,000,000 to continue the underground test area groundwater flow characterization drilling program. Additional funding of \$10,000,000 is provided to continue remediation, waste management, and nuclear materials stewardship activities at Los Alamos National Laboratory and to support New Mexico State Agreements-in-Principal requirements.

Additional funding of \$10,000,000 is provided for cleanup activities at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory.

Additional funding of \$28,100,000 is provided to the Carlsbad field office. This includes \$17,100,000 for Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) operations; \$7,000,000 to implement program-wide best practices to optimize waste processing, develop new technology solutions, and develop a mobile/modular approach for small quantity sites; \$3,000,000 to continue the U.S.-Mexico Border Health Commission/Materials Corridor Partnership Initiative; and \$1,000,000 for research, development, and initial demonstration in support of an experiment to be conducted at WIPP to evaluate the mass of the neutrino.

Office of River Protection.—The conference agreement provides \$1,033,468,000, an increase of \$221,000,000 over the budget request, for the Office of River Protection at the Hanford site in Washington. Funding of \$665,000,000 has been provided for Project 01–D–416, the Hanford Waste Treatment Plant, to vitrify the high-level waste in underground tanks.

While the conferees share Washington State's concern regarding the Administration's inadequate budget request for the Office of River Protection and Hanford cleanup activities and recognize the right of the State to levy fines under the Tri-Party Agreement, the conferees question the constructiveness of the State's imposition of weekly fines due to the Department's failure to begin construction on the waste treatment plant. As demonstrated in this conference, the conferees continue to adequately support this project and believe the weekly fines may only be serving to distract site managers from the mission of cleanup.

Science and technology development.—The conference agreement provides \$255,768,000 for the science and technology development program. The conference agreement provides \$4,000,000 for the next round of new and innovative research grants in the environmental management science program in fiscal year 2002.

The conference agreement includes \$4,000,000 for the international agreement with AEA Technology; \$7,000,000 for the Department's cooperative agreement with the Florida International University; \$27,100,000 for the D&D focus area program; \$33,800,000 for industry and university programs; \$5,000,000 for the Western Environmental Technology Office; \$4,000,000 to continue evaluation, development and demonstration of the Advanced Vitrification System; \$3,000,000 to continue engineering, development and deployment of remote monitoring systems for the underground test area; \$5,000,000 for the Diagnostic Instrumentation and Analysis Laboratory; and \$4,350,000 for the university robotics research program.

Limitation on multi-year funding agreements.—The Department is directed not to sign any new funding agreement that commits more than one year of funding for science and technology activities with any entity. The following types of agreements are exempt from this direction: basic and applied research projects that have been competitively awarded; competitively awarded science and technology projects that are phased such that funding for the succeeding phases is contingent upon successful performance, continued scientific merit, and mission relevance of the work to environmental management; and projects requiring significant infrastructure investment which will be cost shared between the Department and the performing entity. For new science and technology projects not meeting one of the above exemptions, the Department shall provide written notification to the Committees of its intent to enter into an agreement that commits more than one year of funding a minimum of 60 days prior to award. This notification must provide a detailed description of the project, the expected benefits, and a justification for multiple year funding.

Excess facilities.—The conference agreement includes \$5,000,000, an increase of \$3,700,000 over the budget request, for excess facilities. These funds are to be used to initiate D&D of excess facilities owned by the environmental management program.

Safeguards and security.—The conference agreement includes \$205,621,000, the same as the budget request, for safeguards and security activities at laboratories and facilities managed by the Office of Environmental Management.

Program direction.—The conferees have provided \$355,761,000, the same as the budget request, for the program direction account.

Funding adjustments.—The conference agreement includes the use of 56,770,000 of prior year balances, an increase of 20,000,000 over the budget request, which funds Project 02-D-420 at the Savannah River Site. A security charge for reimbursable work of 5,391,000, the same as the budget request, is included, and a general reduction of 92,110,000, due to funding constraints.

DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS

The conference agreement provides \$1,092,878,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$1,080,538,000 as proposed by the Senate. Funding is provided for the following projects: \$620,504,000 for the Rocky Flats Site in Colorado; \$295,299,000 for Fernald, Ohio; \$91,000,000 for the Mound site in Ohio; \$16,000,000 for the Ashtabula site in Ohio; and \$16,100,000 for the Columbus environmental management project in Ohio. The conferees expect the Department to request adequate funds to keep each of these projects on schedule for closure by 2006 or earlier.

Funding of \$53,975,000 is provided for safeguards and security. Any savings resulting from safeguards and security costs are to be retained and used for cleanup activities at the closure sites.

DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRIVATIZATION

The conference agreement provides \$153,537,000 for the defense environmental management privatization program instead of \$143,208,000 as proposed by the House and \$157,537,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement includes \$13,329,000 for the Paducah Disposal Facility in Kentucky, the same as the budget request.

Funding of \$52,000,000 has been provided for the Advanced Mixed Waste Treatment Project (AMWTP) in Idaho, an increase of \$12,000,000 over the budget request of \$40,000,000. Funding for the AMWTP does not include financing and termination liability costs for fiscal year 2002 that would be required of the Department of Energy in the unlikely event of a termination for convenience as stipulated in the project contract.

OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement provides \$544,044,000 for Other Defense Activities instead of \$487,464,000 as proposed by the House and \$564,168,000 as proposed by the Senate. Details of the conference agreement are provided below.

SECURITY AND EMERGENCY OPERATIONS

For security and emergency operations funding managed at Headquarters, the conference agreement provides \$250,427,000, a reduction of \$18,823,000 from the budget request. The conference agreement provides total safeguards and security funding of \$1,004,716,000 which includes \$754,289,000 for safeguards and security activities at Departmental field offices and facilities. For field sites, this is an increase of \$63,451,000 over fiscal year 2001 funding of \$665,178,000 for safeguards and security activities.

Funding of \$116,500,000 is provided for nuclear safeguards and security, including \$2,500,000 to procure safety locks to meet Federal specifications.

The conference agreement provides \$44,927,000 for security investigations, the same as the budget request.

Funding of \$10,000,000 is provided for the Corporate Management Information System in this account, a reduction of \$10,000,000 from the budget request, and \$5,000,000 is provided in the Departmental Administration account.

Program direction.—The conference agreement provides \$79,000,000 for program direction, a decrease of \$4,135,000 from the budget request.

INTELLIGENCE

The conference agreement includes \$40,844,000, the same as the budget request, for the Department's intelligence program.

COUNTERINTELLIGENCE

The conference agreement includes \$46,000,000, a reduction of \$389,000 from the budget request, for the Department's counterintelligence program.

ADVANCED ACCELERATOR APPLICATIONS

The conference agreement provides \$50,000,000 to continue research on advanced accelerator applications, including \$4,500,000 for research and development of technologies for economic and environmentally-sound refinement of spent nuclear fuel at the University of Nevada-Las Vegas; \$4,000,000 for reactor-based transmutation studies; and \$1,500,000 for the Idaho Accelerator Center. No funds are provided for Project 98–D–126, Accelerator Production of Tritium.

The President's National Energy Policy of May 2001 acknowledged the potential of reprocessing and transmutation technologies to reduce the quantity and long-term toxicity of spent nuclear fuel, and recommended further consideration of such technologies. The Advanced Accelerator Applications program will provide the technical information to support a future policy decision on these options.

The Department is directed to prepare a report for Congress by May 1, 2002, providing a comparison of the chemical and pyro-reprocessing, accelerator-driven transmutation, and fast reactor transmutation alternatives, fully disclosing all waste streams and estimating the life-cycle costs to construct, operate, and decommission and decontaminate all necessary facilities. The Department should also compare the proliferation resistance of the various technologies. The baseline for all comparisons should be the oncethrough fuel cycle as presently used in the United States, and the amount of spent nuclear fuel presently scheduled for disposal in the geologic repository. The conferees expect this report to present the Department's strategy for siting the new processing and disposal facilities that would be required for the various reprocessing and transmutation alternatives, again assuming a capacity sufficient to process the amount of spent fuel presently scheduled for geologic disposal. The conferees encourage the participation of international collaborators, industrial partners, and U.S. universities in this effort.

INDEPENDENT OVERSIGHT AND PERFORMANCE ASSURANCE

The conference agreement provides \$14,904,000, the same as the budget request, for the independent oversight and performance assurance program. The conferees are aware that additional duties for environmental oversight have been assigned to this office and expect the Department to submit a reprogramming to transfer an estimated \$7,000,000 to support these oversight activities which have been funded previously in the environment, safety and health program.

ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH (DEFENSE)

The conference agreement provides \$117,688,000 for defenserelated environment, safety and health activities. From within available funds, \$53,438,000 is provided for health effects studies and \$13,500,000 for the Radiation Effects Research Foundation, the same as the budget request. The conferees have provided \$5,000,000 to continue a program at the University of Nevada-Las Vegas for Department-wide management of electronic records; \$1,750,000 for the University of Louisville and the University of Kentucky to perform epidemiological studies of workers; and \$1,000,000 for health studies of workers at the Iowa Army Ammunition Plant.

The U.S. government is currently renegotiating its diplomatic, defense, and economic relationship with the Government of the Republic of the Marshall Islands (RMI). The conferees urge the U.S. government to provide a single, combined package of assistance to support the medical and public health infrastructure needs of the Marshall Islands and believe that the negotiations should include discussion of the transition of the environmental monitoring program to the RMI. The conference agreement includes \$22,000,000 for program direction, a reduction of \$1,293,000 from the budget request.

WORKER AND COMMUNITY TRANSITION

The conference agreement provides \$20,000,000 for the worker and community transition program as proposed by the Senate. Funding of \$900,000 has been provided for infrastructure improvements at the former Pinellas weapons plant.

The conference agreement provides that no funds may be used to augment the \$20,000,000 made available for obligation for severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants unless the Department of Energy submits a reprogramming request subject to approval by the appropriate Congressional committees.

NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT

The conference agreement provides \$22,000,000 for national security programs administrative support instead of \$25,000,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate.

OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS

The conference agreement provides \$2,893,000 for the Office of Hearings and Appeals, the same as the budget request.

FUNDING ADJUSTMENTS

Funding adjustments include a security charge for reimbursable work of \$712,000 and a general reduction of \$20,000,000. The general reduction should be applied to programs which have unobligated balances carried over from prior fiscal years and lower priority program activities.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

The conference agreement provides \$280,000,000 for the defense contribution to the nuclear waste repository program instead of \$310,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$250,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS

BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION FUND

The conference agreement does not incorporate Senate language providing new borrowing authority to the Bonneville Power Administration. No new direct loan obligations may be made during fiscal year 2002 as proposed by the House.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement includes \$4,891,000, the same as the budget request, for the Southeastern Power Administration.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement includes \$28,038,000, the same as the budget request, for the Southwestern Power Administration.

CONSTRUCTION, REHABILITATION, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION

The conference agreement provides \$171,938,000, instead of \$172,165,000 as proposed by the House and \$169,465,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement does not include bill language proposed by the Senate earmarking funds for specific activities.

Of the amount appropriated, not less than \$200,000 shall be provided for corridor review and environmental review required for construction of a 230 kv transmission line between Belfield and Hettinger, North Dakota. These funds shall be non-reimbursable. Within the amount appropriated, not less than \$200,000 shall be provided for the Western Area Power Administration to conduct a technical analysis of the costs and feasibility of transmission expansion methods and technologies. These funds shall be non-reimbursable. Western shall publish a study by July 31, 2002, that contains a recommendation of the most cost-effective methods and technologies to enhance electricity transmission from lignite and wind energy.

The amount appropriated for construction and rehabilitation includes \$2,700,000 to fund high priority portions of the South of Phoenix portion of the Parker-Davis Project transmission system. The Federal share of the upfront costs is to be recovered through the transmission rates of the Parker-Davis Project. Western should pursue additional funds from those utilities requiring additional transmission capacity, and the conferees expect that any funding received will be used to offset future appropriations requirements. Funding of \$6,000,000 is provided for the Utah Reclamation

Mitigation and Conservation Account.

The conference agreement provides \$109,378,000 for program direction, a reduction of \$5,000,000 from the budget request.

FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND

The conference agreement includes \$2,663,000, the same as the budget request, for the Falcon and Amistad Operating and Maintenance Fund.

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes \$184,155,000, a \$3,000,000 increase over the budget request for the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. The conference agreement also includes statutory language authorizing an additional five senior executive service positions for the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. The conference agreement does not include bill language proposed by the House prohibiting the use of funds to authorize construction of the Gulfstream Natural Gas Project.

The conferees direct the Commission to submit a report to Congress by January 31, 2002, on the economic impacts on western utilities and ratepayers associated with the Commission's emergency order imposing price caps on daily spot power sales resulting from the inability of western load serving utilities to recover costs from daily sales of excess power from long-term forward contracts.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Sec. 301. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House that none of the funds may be used to award a management and operating contract unless such contract is awarded using competitive procedures, or the Secretary of Energy grants a waiver to allow for such a deviation. At least 60 days before the Secretary grants such a waiver, the Secretary must submit a report setting forth, in specificity, the substantive reasons why the requirement for competition should be waived. This language slightly modifies a provision carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts. Sec. 302. The conference agreement includes a provision pro-

Sec. 302. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that none of the funds may be used to prepare or implement workforce restructuring plans or provide enhanced severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants for Federal employees of the Department of Energy under section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 1993, Public Law 102–484. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 303. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the Senate that none of the funds may be used to augment the \$20,000,000 made available for obligation for severance payments and other benefits and community assistance grants unless the Department of Energy submits a reprogramming request subject to approval by the appropriate Congressional committees. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts. Sec. 304. The conference agreement includes a provision pro-

Sec. 304. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that none of the funds may be used to prepare or initiate Requests for Proposals for a program if the program has not been funded by Congress in the current fiscal year. This provision also precludes the Department from initiating activities for new programs which have been proposed in the budget request, but which have not yet been funded by Congress. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

(TRANSFERS OF UNEXPENDED BALANCES)

Sec. 305. The conference agreement includes a provision proposed by the House and Senate that permits the transfer and merger of unexpended balances of prior appropriations with appropriation accounts established in this bill. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts. Sec. 306. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the House prohibiting the Bonneville Power Administration from performing energy efficiency services outside the legally defined Bonneville service territory unless the Administrator certifies in advance that such services are not available from private sector businesses. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 307. The conference agreement amends section 308 as proposed by the House regarding notice and competition required for Department of Energy user facilities.

Sec. 308. The conference agreement includes language limiting the types of waste that can be disposed of in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant in New Mexico. None of the funds may be used to dispose of transuranic waste in excess of 20 percent plutonium by weight for the aggregate of any material category. At the Rocky Flats site, this provision includes ash residues; salt residues; wet residues; direct repackage residues; and scrub alloy as referenced in the "Final Environmental Impact Statement on Management of Certain Plutonium Residues and Scrub Alloy Stored at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site". This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 309. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate allowing the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration to authorize certain nuclear weapons production plants to use not more than 2 percent of available funds for research, development and demonstration activities. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 310. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate allowing the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration to authorize the manager of the Nevada Operations Office to use not more than 2 percent of available funds for research, development and demonstration activities necessary for operations and readiness of the Nevada Test Site.

Sec. 311. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate amending section 1 of Public Law 105–204 pertaining to depleted uranium hexafluoride by extending the date to fiscal year 2005.

Sec. 312. The conference agreement modifies language proposed by the Senate prohibiting oil and gas drilling in the Finger Lakes National Forest, New York. No Federal permit or lease shall be issued during fiscal year 2002.

Provisions not adopted by the conference.—The conference agreement deletes section 307 of the House bill and section 306 of the Senate bill pertaining to LDRD.

The conference agreement deletes section 309 of the Senate bill allowing each Federal power marketing administration to engage in activities relating to the formation and operation of a regional transmission organization.

The conference agreement deletes section 312 of the Senate bill requiring the Secretary of Energy to conduct a study of alternative financing approaches for infrastructure and facility construction projects at the Department of Energy. This reporting requirement is addressed in the statement of the managers.

The conference agreement deletes section 313 of the Senate bill requiring the Secretary of Energy to implement certain reporting structures for the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant in Kentucky. This requirement is addressed in the statement of the managers.

The conference agreement deletes section 314 of the Senate bill expressing the sense of the Senate on Yucca Mountain.

The conference agreement deletes section 315 of the Senate bill pertaining to consultations with the State of South Carolina on the disposition of plutonium. This issue is addressed in the statement of the managers.

CONFERENCE RECOMMENDATIONS

The conference agreement's detailed funding recommendations for programs in title III are contained in the following table.

Department of Energy (in thousands)

	Budget Request	Conference
ENERGY SUPPLY		
RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES		
Renewable energy technologies Biomass/biofuels energy systems Geothermal technology development Hydrogen research Hydropower Solar energy Wind energy systems	13,900	29,000
Total, Renewable energy technologies	191,157	294,300
Electric energy systems and storage	51,746	63,000
Renewable support and implementation Departmental energy management International renewable energy program Renewable energy production incentive program Renewable Indian energy resources Renewable program support Total. Renewable support and implementation	1,000 2,500 3,991 2,059	1,500 3,000 4,000 3,000 3,000
Total, Renewable support and implementation	9,550	
National renewable energy laboratory Program direction	5,000 19,200	5,000 19,200
TOTAL, RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES	276,653	396, 000
NUCLEAR ENERGY		
Advanced radioisotope power system	29,094	29,000
Isotopes Isotope support and production Construction		-
99-E-201 Isotope production facility (LANL) Subtotal, Isotope support and production	27 177	26 177
Offsetting collections		
Total, Isotopes	18,177	17,177
University reactor fuel assistance and support	11,974	
Research and development Nuclear energy plant optimization Nuclear energy research initiative Nuclear energy technologies	4,500 18,079 4,500	7,000 32,000 12,000
Total, Research and development	27,079	51,000
Infrastructure ANL-West operations Fast flux test facility (FFTF)	34,107	

	Budget Request	Conference
Test reactor area landlord Construction 99-E-200 Test reactor area electrical utility	7,283	7,283
upgrade, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID	950	950
95-E-201 Test reactor area fire and life safety improvements, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID	500	500
Subtotal, Construction		1,450
Subtotal, Test reactor area landlord	8,733	8,733
Total, Infrastructure		82,529
Nuclear facilities management EBR-II shutdown Disposition of spent fuel and legacy materials Disposition technology activities	4,200 16,267 9,990	4,200 16,200 9,850
Total, Nuclear facilities management	30,457	30,250
Program direction	25,062	23,000
TOTAL, NUCLEAR ENERGY	223,122	250,456
ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH		
Office of Environment, Safety and Health (non-defense) Program direction	14,973 20,527	10,973 19,527
TOTAL, ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH	35,500	
ENERGY SUPPORT ACTIVITIES		
Technical information management program Program direction	1,600 7,370	1,400 6,370
TOTAL, ENERGY SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	8,970	
Subtotal, Energy supply	544,245	684,726
General reduction	 2=2222=28655	-18,000
TOTAL, ENERGY SUPPLY	544,245	666,726
NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT		
Site closure Site/project completion	43,000 64,119	43,000 64,119

	Budget Request	Conference
Post 2006 completion Excess facilities	120,053 1,381	125,753 3,500
TOTAL, NON-DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT		236,372
URANIUM FACILITIES MAINTENANCE AND REMEDIATION		
Uranium Enrichment Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund Decontamination and decommissioning Uranium/thorium reimbursement Depleted UF6 conversion project	241,641	298,641 1,000
Total, Uranium enrichment D&D fund	252,641	
Other Uranium Activities Maintenance and pre-existing liabilities	110,784	110,784
02-U-101 Depleted uranium hexafluoride conversion project, Paducah, KY and Portsmouth, OH	**-	10,000
96-U-201 DUF6 cylinder storage yard, Paducah, KY		
Total, Other uranium activities	110,784	123,784
Use of prior year balances	***	-5,000
TOTAL, URANIUM FACILITIES MAINTENANCE AND REMEDIATION	363,425	418,425
SCIENCE		
High energy physics Research and technology	247,870	247,870
Facility operations Construction	456,830	456,830
98-G-304 Neutrinos at the main injector, Fermilab	11,400	11,400
Subtotal, Facility operations	468,230	468,230
Total, High energy physics	716,100	716,100
Nuclear physics	360,510	360,510
Biological and environmental research Construction		-
01-E-300 Laboratory for Comparative and Functional Genomics, ORNL	10,000	11,405
Total, Biological and environmental research	442,970	
Basic energy sciences Materials sciences. Chemical sciences. Engineering and geosciences. Energy biosciences.	434,353 218,714 38,938 32,400	434,353 218,714 38,938

·	Budget Request	Conference
Construction		
02-SC-002 Project engineering and design (VL)	4,000	3,000
99-E-334 Spallation neutron source (ORNL)	276,300	276,300
Subtotal, Construction	280,300	279,300
Total, Basic energy sciences	1,004,705	1,003,705
Advanced scientific computing research Energy research analyses	163,050 1,000	158,050 1,000
Multiprogram energy labs - facility support Infrastructure support Oak Ridge landlord Construction MEL-001 Multiprogram energy laboratory		
infrastructure projects, various locations	18,613	18,613
02-SC-001 Multiprogram energy laboratories, project engineering design, various locations	3,183	3,183
Subtotal, Construction	21,796	21,796
Total, Multiprogram energy labs - fac. support	30,175	30,175
Fusion energy sciences program Facilities and infrastructure Safeguards and security	248,495 55,412	248,495 10,000 55,412
Program direction Field offices Headquarters Science education		63,000 72,500 4,460
Total, Program direction		139,960
Subtotal, Science	3,164,802	3,250,812
General reduction Less security charge for reimbursable work	-4,912	-12,800 -4,912
TOTAL, SCIENCE	3,159,890 =======	3,233,100
Repository program Program direction	70,577 64,402	39,000 56,000
TOTAL, NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL		95,000

·	Budget Request	Conference
DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION		
Administrative operations Salaries and expenses		
Office of the Secretary Board of contract appeals Office of Management, Budget and Evaluation Chief financial officer	4,700 911 36,464	4,700 911 107,000
Congressional and intergovernmental affairs Economic impact and diversity General coursel	5,478 5,230 23,058	4,500 5,000 22,724
International affairs Management and administration Policy office	8,481 76,392 6,649	8,481
Public affairs		********
Program support Minority economic impact Policy analysis and system studies Environmental policy studies Corporate management information program	420	400 600
Subtotal, Program support		7,200
Total, Administrative operations	174,781	171,016
Cost of work for others	71,837	71,837
Subtotal, Departmental Administration	246.618	242.853
Use of prior year balances and other adjustments Funding from other defense activities	-25,000	-10,000 -22,000
Total, Departmental administration (gross)	_ 221,618	210,853
Miscellaneous revenues	-137,810	-137,810
TOTAL, DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION (net)	83,808	73,043
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL		
Office of Inspector General	31,430	32,430
ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES		
NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION		
WEAPONS ACTIVITIES		
Directed stockpile work Stockpile research and development Stockpile maintenance Stockpile evaluation Dismantlement/disposal Production support Field engineering, training and manuals	305,460 362,493 180,834 35,414 152,890 6,700	349,000 350,000 178,500 27,000 134,896 6,418

	Budget Request	Conference
Campaigns Science campaigns		
Primary certification	55,530	52,500
Dynamic materials properties	97,810	87,400
Advanced radiography Secondary certification and nuclear systems	60,510	85,803
margins	47,270	44,000
Subtotal, Science campaigns	261,120	269,703
Engineering campaigns		
Enhanced surety	34,797	37,000
Weapons system engineering certification	24,043	26,665
Nuclear survivability	19,050	23,694
Enhanced surveillance	82,333	82,333
Advanced design and production technologies	75,533	75,533
Subtotal, Engineering campaigns	235,756	245,225
Inertial confinement fusion and high yield	222,943	261,443
96-D-111 National ignition facility, LLNL	245,000	245,000
Subtotal, Inertial confinement fusion	467,943	506,443
Advanced simulation and computing	711,185	675,000
01-D-101 Distributed information systems laboratory, SNL, Livermore, CA	5,400	8,400
00-D-103, Terascale simulation facility, LLNL, Livermore, CA	5,000	22,000
00-D-105 Strategic computing complex, LANL, Los Alamos, NM	11,070	11,070
00-D-107 Joint computational engineering	5,377	12 277
laboratory, SNL, Albuquerque, NM		
Subtotal, Construction	26,847	54,847
Subtotal, Advanced simulation and computing	738,032	729,847
•	A	219,000
Pit manufacturing and certification	128,545	217,000
Readiness campaigns		
Secondary readiness	23,169	47,169
assembly/disassembly readiness	3,960	6,846
Non-nuclear readiness	12,204	18,187
Materials readiness	1,209	1,209

	Budget Request	Conference
Tritium readiness Construction		
98-D-125 Tritium extraction facility, SR	81,125	81,125
Subtotal, Tritium readiness		
Subtotal, Readiness campaigns		196,886
Total, Campaigns	1,996,413	2,167,104
Readiness in technical base and facilities Operations of facilities. Program readiness. Special projects. Material recycle and recovery. Containers. Storage. Nuclear weapons incident response.	188,126 64,493 101,311 8,199 10,643	897,800 192,000 60,385 90,310 8,199 10,643 88,923
Subtotal, Readiness in technical base and fac	1,292,324	1,348,260
Construction 02-D-103 Project engineering and design, various locations 02-D-105 Engineering technology complex upgrade,	9,180	22,830
LLNL02-D-107 Electrical power systems safety		4,750
communications and bus upgrades, NV	3,507	3,507
01-D-103 Project engineering and design (PE&D), various locations	45,379	16,379
D1-D-107 Atlas relocation, Nevada test site		3,300
01-D-108 Microsystems and engineering sciences applications complex (MESA), SNL	2,000	67,000
01-D-124 HEU storage facility, Y-12 plant, Oak Ridge, TN	9,500	** **
01-D-126 Weapons Evaluation Test Laboratory Pantex Plant, Amarillo, TX	7,700	7,700
01-D-800 Sensitive compartmented information facility, LLNL	12,993	12,993
99-D-103 Isotope sciences facilities, LLNL, Livermore, CA	4,400	4,400
99-D-104 Protection of real property (roof reconstruction-Phase II), LLNL, Livermore, CA	2,800	2,800
99-D-106 Model validation & system certification center, SNL, Albuquerque, NM	4,955	4,955
99-D-108 Renovate existing roadways, Nevada Test Site, NV	•••	2,000

151

·	Budget Request	Conference
99-D-125 Replace boilers and controls, Kansas City plant, Kansas City, MO	300	300
99-D-127 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Kansas City plant, Kansas City, MO	22,200	22,200
99-D-128 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Pantex consolidation, Amarillo, TX	3,300	3,300
98-D-123 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Tritium factory modernization and consolidation, Savannah River, SC	13,700	13,700
98-D-124 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Y-12 consolidation, Oak Ridge, TN	6,850	6,850
97-D-123 Structural upgrades, Kansas City plant, Kansas City, KS	3,000	3,000
96-D-102 Stockpile stewardship facilities revitalization (Phase VI), various locations	2,900	2,900
Subtotal, Construction		
Total, Readiness in technical base and facilities.	1,446,988	1,553,124
Facilities and infrastructure		
Secure transportation asset Operations and equipment Program direction	77,571 44,229	79,071 44,229
Total, Secure transportation asset	121,800	123,300
Safeguards and security Construction	439,281	439,281
99-D-132 SMRI nuclear material safeguards and security upgrade project (LANL), Los Alamos, NM	9,600	9,600
Total, Safeguards and security	448,881	448,881
Subtotal, Weapons activities	5,057,873	5,538,223
Program direction (transferred to Office of the Administrator) General reduction Less security charge for reimbursable work	271,137	-80,000 -28,985
TOTAL, WEAPONS ACTIVITIES	5,300,025	5,429,238

Budget Request Conference DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION Nonproliferation and verification, R&D..... 170,296 208,500 Construction 00-D-192 Nonproliferation and international security center (NISC), LANL..... 35 806 35,806 Total, Nonproliferation and verification, R&D..... 206,102 244.306 -----------Arms control..... 101,500 75,741 ARTERNEEVE AFFERREESE Nonproliferation programs with Russia International materials protection, control, and accounting..... Russian transition initiative..... 138,800 173,000 42,000 13,950 13,950 HEU transparency implementation..... International nuclear safety..... 13,800 10,000 Fissile materials disposition U.S. surplus materials disposition..... 130,089 135,089 61,000 Russian surplus materials disposition..... 57,000 Construction 01-0-407 Highly enriched uranium (HEU) blend dow Savannah River, SC..... 24,000 29,340 99-D-141 Pit disassembly and conversion facility various locations..... 16,000 11,000 99-D-143 Mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility various locations..... 63,000 65,993 Subtotal, Construction..... 103,000 106,333 Subtotal, Fissile materials disposition..... 290,089 302,422 ------Total, Nonproliferation programs with Russia..... 456,639 541,372 -----Subtotal, Defense nuclear nonproliferation..... 764,241 861,419 Program direction (transferred to Office of the Administrator). Use of prior year balances. 51,459 -42,000 -57,833 TOTAL, DEFENSE NUCLEAR NONPROLIFERATION..... 773,700 803,586 NAVAL REACTORS Naval reactors development.... 652,245 652,245 Construction 01-D-200 Major office replacement building, Schenectady, NY..... 9,000 9.000 90-N-102 Expended core facility dry cell project, Naval Reactors Facility, ID..... 4,200 4,200 ----Subtotal, Construction..... 13,200 13,200 -----Total, Naval reactors development..... 665,445 665.445

· ·	Budget Request	
Program direction	22,600	22,600
TOTAL, NAVAL REACTORS	688,045	688,045
OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR		
Office of the Administrator Transferred from other accounts	15,000 (322,596)	312,596
Total, Program level	337,596	312,596
TOTAL, OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR	337,596	312,596
TOTAL, NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION	6,776,770	7,233,465
DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MGMT.		
Site/project completion Operation and maintenance Construction	872,030	960,330
02-D-402 Intec cathodic protection system expansion project, INEEL, Idaho Falls, ID	3,256	3,256
02-D-420 Plutonium packaging and stabilization, Savannah River	•••	20,000
01-D-414 Preliminary project, engineering and design (PE&D), various locations	6,254	2,754
99-D-402 Tank farm support services, F&H area, Savannah River site, Aiken, SC	5,040	5,040
99-D-404 Health physics instrumentation laboratory (INEL), ID	2,700	2,700
98-D-453 Plutonium stabilization and handling system for PFP, Richland, WA	1,910	1,910
96-D-471 CFC HVAC/chiller retrofit, Savannah River site, Aiken, SC		4,244
92-D-140 F&H canyon exhaust upgrades, Savannah River, SC	15,790	
86-D-103 Decontamination and waste treatment facility (LLNL), Livermore, CA	762	762
Subtotal, Construction		40,666
Total, Site/project completion	911,986	1,000,996
Post 2006 completion Operation and maintenance Uranium enrichment D&D fund contribution Construction 93-D-187 High-level waste removal from filled waste tanks, Savannah River, SC	420,000	2,105,479 420,000 6,754
	0,,)4	0,104

Budget Request Conference Office of River Protection Operation and maintenance. 272,151 328,151 Operation and maintenance. 500,000 665,000 97-0-402 Tank farm restoration and safe operations, Richland, WA. 500,000 665,000 97-0-402 Tank farm restoration and safe operations, Richland, WA. 6,844 6,844 Subtotal, Construction 540,317 705,317 Subtotal, Office of River Protection. 812,468 1,033,468 Total, Post 2006 completion. 2,920,201 3,565,701 Subtotal, Defense environmental management. 2,920,201 3,565,761 Subtotal, Defense environmental management. 4,500,869 5,388,847 Total, Defense environmental management. -36,770 -56,770 Subtotal, Defense environmental management. -36,770 -56,770 Total, DEFENSE ENVIRON. RESTORATION AND WASTE MGMT 4,568,708 5,234,576 TOTAL, DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS 1,004,636 1,039,003 Site closure. 1,004,636 1,039,003 TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT. 5,740,783 6,480,991 OTH			
Operation and maintenance.272,151328,151Construction01-D-416 Hanford waste treatment plant, Richland, WA500,000665,00097-D-402 Tank farm restoration and safe operations, Richland, WA33,47333,47394-D-407 Initial tank retrieval systems, Richland, WA6,8446,844Subtotal, Construction540,317705,317Subtotal, Office of River Protection812,4681,033,468Total, Post 2006 completion2,920,2013,565,701Science and technology196,000255,768Excess facilities1,3005,000Safeguards and security205,621205,621Program direction355,761355,761Subtotal, Defense environmental management4,590,8695,388,847Steese facilities-36,770-56,770Subtotal, Defense environmental management4,548,7085,234,576Use of prior year balances-36,770-56,770Stectory1,004,6361,038,903Safeguards and security1,002,878TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRON. RESTORATION AND WASTE MGMT4,548,7085,234,576DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS1,002,6361,038,903Site closure1,004,6361,038,903Safeguards and security5,740,7836,480,991TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT5,740,7836,480,991Other national security programs Security and emergency operations Nuclear safeguards and security121,188116,500Other national security programs Security and e			Conference
Operation and maintenance.272,151328,151Construction01-D-416 Hanford waste treatment plant, Richland, WA500,000665,00097-D-402 Tank farm restoration and safe operations, Richland, WA33,47333,47394-D-407 Initial tank retrieval systems, Richland, WA6,8446,844Subtotal, Construction540,317705,317Subtotal, Office of River Protection812,4681,033,468Total, Post 2006 completion2,920,2013,565,701Science and technology196,000255,768Excess facilities1,3005,000Safeguards and security205,621205,621Program direction355,761355,761Subtotal, Defense environmental management4,590,8695,388,847Steese facilities-36,770-56,770Subtotal, Defense environmental management4,548,7085,234,576Use of prior year balances-36,770-56,770Stectory1,004,6361,038,903Safeguards and security1,002,878TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRON. RESTORATION AND WASTE MGMT4,548,7085,234,576DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS1,002,6361,038,903Site closure1,004,6361,038,903Safeguards and security5,740,7836,480,991TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT5,740,7836,480,991Other national security programs Security and emergency operations Nuclear safeguards and security121,188116,500Other national security programs Security and e			
Richland, WA	Operation and maintenanceConstruction	272, 151	328,151
operations, Richland, WA		500,000	665,000
Richland, WA	97-D-402 Tank farm restoration and safe operations, Richland, WA	33,473	33,473
Subtotal, Construction		6,844	6,844
Subtotal, Office of River Protection	Subtotal, Construction		
Science and technology Science and technology	Subtotal, Office of River Protection		
Subtotal, Defense environmental management.4,590,8695,388,847Use of prior year balances36,770-56,770General reduction	Total, Post 2006 completion	2,920,201	3,565,701
Subtotal, Defense environmental management.4,590,8695,388,847Use of prior year balances36,770-56,770General reduction	Excess facilities Safeguards and security	196,000 1,300 205,621 355,761	255,768 5,000 205,621 355,761
Less security charge for reimbursable work	Subtotal, Defense environmental management	4,590,869	5,388,847
DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS Site closure	General reduction	-5,391	-5,391
Site closure1,004,6361,038,903Safeguards and security45,90253,975TOTAL, DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS1,050,5381,092,878DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRIVATIZATION141,537153,537Privatization initiatives, various locations141,537153,537TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT5,740,7836,480,991OTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT5,740,7836,480,991OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES0ther national security programs Security and emergency operations Nuclear safeguards and security	TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRON. RESTORATION AND WASTE MGMT		
Safeguards and security	DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS		
DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRIVATIZATION Privatization initiatives, various locations	Site closure Safeguards and security	1,004,636 45,902	1,0 38 ,903 53,975
DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRIVATIZATION Privatization initiatives, various locations	TOTAL, DEFENSE FACILITIES CLOSURE PROJECTS		1,092,878
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT	DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PRIVATIZATION	*====	**====
OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES Other national security programs Security and emergency operations Nuclear safeguards and security	Privatization initiatives, various locations		153,537
OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES Other national security programs Security and emergency operations Nuclear safeguards and security	TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT	5,740,783	6,480,991
Security and emergency operations Nuclear safeguards and security	OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES		
Subtotal, Security and emergency operations 269,250 250,427	Security and emergency operations Nuclear safeguards and security Security investigations Corporate management information program	44,927	44,927 10,000
	Subtotal, Security and emergency operations	269,250	250,427

	Budget Request	
Intelligence Counterintelligence Advanced accelerator applications	40,844 46,389	40,844 46,000 50,000
Independent oversight and performance assurance Program direction	14,904	14,904
Environment, safety and health (Defense) Program direction - EH	91,307 23,293	
Subtotal, Environment, safety & health (Defense)	114,600	117,688
Worker and community transition Program direction - WT	21,246 3,200	18,000 2,000
Subtotal, Worker and community transition		
National Security programs administrative support Office of hearings and appeals	25,000 2,893	22,000 2,893
Subtotal, Other defense activities	538,326	564,756
Use of prior year balances Less security charge for reimbursable work	-10,000 -712	-20,000 -712
TOTAL, OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	527,614	544,044
DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL		
Defense nuclear waste disposal	310,000	
TOTAL, ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	13,355,167	
POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS		
SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Operation and maintenance Purchase power and wheeling Program direction	34,463 4,891	34,463 4,891
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance		
Offsetting collections Offsetting collections (P.L. 106-377)	-34,463	-8,000 -26,463
TOTAL, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION		
SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION	***********	
Operation and maintenance Operating expenses. Purchase power and wheeling Program direction Construction	3,339 1,800 18,668 6,031	3,339 1,800 18,668 6,031
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance		29,838
Offsetting collections Offsetting collections (P.L. 106-377)	-1,800	-1,512 -288
TOTAL, SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION	28,038	28,038

	Budget Request	Conference
WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION		
Oranakian and maintaine		
Operation and maintenance Construction and rehabilitation	16 064	18 74/
System operation and maintenance	37,796	37.796
Purchase power and wheeling	186,124	186,124
Program direction	114,378	109,378
Utah mitigation and conservation	1,227	18,764 37,796 186,124 109,378 6,000
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance		358,062
Offsetting collections	-186,124	-152.624
Offsetting collections Offsetting collections (P.L. 106-377)		-33,500
TOTAL, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION		
TOTAL, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION		171,958
FALCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND		
Operation and maintenance	2 663	3 447
	===========	2222222222
TOTAL, POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS		207,530
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION		
Federal energy regulatory commission	181 155	18/ 155
FERC revenues	-181,155	184,155 -184,155
TOTAL, FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION		***

GRAND TOTAL, DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	18,106,554	19,501,126
 A second mean and 		**********
ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT ACCOUNTS		
Energy programs:		
Energy supply	544,245	666,726
Non-defense environmental management	228,553	236,372
Uranium facilities maintenance and remediation	363,425	418,425
Science Nuclear waste disposal	3,159,890 134,979	3,233,100 95,000
	*	
Departmental administration	A. 221,618	210,853 -137,810
Revenues	-137,810	-137,810
Total, Departmental administration	83,808	73,043
Office of the Inspector General	31,430	32,430
Total, Energy programs	4 546 330	4 755 no4
	-10,000	040100104
Environmental nonteration and wast		
Environmental restoration and waste management: Defense function	15 7/0 7075	14 180 001-
Non-defense function	(591 978)	(0,400,991) (654 707)
Total, Environmental restoration and waste mgmt	(6,332,761)	(7,135,788)

	Budget Request	Conference
Atomic energy defense activities: National Nuclear Security Administration: Weapons activities Defense nuclear nonproliferation Naval reactors Office of the Administrator	773,700	5,429,238 803,586 688,045 312,596
Subtotal, National Nuclear Security Admin	6,776,770	7,233,465
Defense environmental restoration and waste mgmt Defense facilities closure projects Defense environmental management privatization	1,050,538	5,234,576 1,092,878 153,537
Subtotal, Defense environmental management	5,740,783	6,480,991
Other defense activities Defense nuclear waste disposal		544,044 280,000
Total, Atomic energy defense activities	13,355,167	14,538,500
Power marketing administrations: Southeastern Power Administration Southwestern Power Administration Western Area Power Administration Falcon and Amistad operating and maintenance fund	4,891 28,038 169,465 2,663	4,891 28,038 171,938 2,663
Total, Power marketing administrations	205,057	207,530
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission: Salaries and expenses Revenues	181,155 -181,155	184,155 -184,155
Total, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission		
Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal (rescission) Defense environmental privatization (rescission)		
TOTAL, ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT ACCOUNTS	18,106,554	

TITLE IV

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION

The conference agreement includes \$71,290,000 for the Appalachian Regional Commission as proposed by the House instead of \$66,290,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees support the Appalachian-Turkish Trade Project to promote trade and investment opportunities. Funding of \$5,000,000 has been provided for a child development research center at the University of Alabama.

From within available funds, the conferees have provided \$250,000 for the University of Georgia to conduct a study to determine the feasibility of creating a commission to carry out a comprehensive program of economic and human resource development of the so-called Black Belt Region.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes \$18,500,000 for the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board as proposed by the House and Senate.

DELTA REGIONAL AUTHORITY

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes \$10,000,000 for the Delta Regional Authority instead of \$20,000,000 as proposed by the Senate and no funding as proposed by the House. The conferees expect the Authority to submit quarterly financial reports providing detailed accounting data on the expenditure of funds during fiscal year 2002 and thereafter. The conferees also expect to receive from the Authority a detailed budget justification if funds are requested in fiscal year 2003.

DENALI COMMISSION

The conference agreement includes \$38,000,000 for the Denali Commission instead of \$40,000,000 as proposed by the Senate and no funding as proposed by the House. The conferees expect the Denali Commission to submit quarterly financial reports providing detailed accounting data on the expenditure of funds during fiscal year 2002 and thereafter. The conferees also expect to receive from the Commission a detailed budget justification if funds are requested in fiscal year 2003.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement includes \$516,900,000 as proposed by the House and the Senate, to be offset by revenues of \$473,520,000, as proposed by the House, for a net appropriation of \$43,380,000. This reflects the statutory language adopted by the conference in the prior fiscal year to reduce the fee recovery requirement to 96 percent in fiscal year 2002. The conference amount provides an increase of \$10,000,000 over the budget request, with the standard formula for fee recovery being applied to this added increment of funding.

The conference agreement includes language prohibiting the implementation or enforcement of the revised 10 C.F.R. Part 35, as adopted by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission on October 23, 2000, with respect to diagnostic nuclear medicine, except for those parts of the new rule which establish revised training and experience requirements for persons seeking licensing as authorized users, until after the Commission has provided a report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations explaining why the regulatory burden could not be reduced further in the new rule without adversely affecting public health and safety. The conferees direct the Commission to submit this report not later than January 31, 2002. The language included in the conference agreement is only an interim measure until a more permanent solution can be reached, either by the authorization committees or through a revised rulemaking.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The conference agreement includes \$6,180,000 as proposed by the House, to be offset by revenues of \$5,933,000, for a net appropriation of \$247,000. This reflects the statutory language adopted by the conference in the prior fiscal year to reduce the fee recovery requirement to 96 percent in fiscal year 2002.

NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The conference agreement provides \$3,100,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$3,500,000 as proposed by the Senate.

TITLE V

GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sec. 501. The conference agreement includes language directing that none of the funds in this Act may be used in any way, directly or indirectly, to influence congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in section 1913 of title 18, United States Code. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 502. The conference agreement includes language regarding the purchase of American-made equipment and products, and prohibiting contracts with persons falsely labeling products as made in America. This provision has been carried in previous Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts.

Sec. 503. The conference agreement modifies language proposed by the Senate to require the Secretary of the Army to conduct a study on the environmental effects of oil and gas drilling in the Great Lakes and prohibit Federal and State issuance of permits or leases for new drilling from October 1, 2001 through September 30, 2003.

Provisions not adopted.—The conference agreement deletes Section 503 of the House bill providing that no funds may be used to determine the final point of discharge for the interceptor drain for the San Luis Unit of the Central Valley Project until certain conditions are met. This provision has been moved to Title II of the bill as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement deletes Section 505 of the House bill

pertaining to the Buy American Act. The conference agreement deletes Section 506 of the House bill prohibiting the use of funds to drill for gas and oil in the Mosquito Creek Reservoir in Ohio.

CONFERENCE TOTAL—WITH COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for the fiscal year 2002 recommended by the Committee of Conference, with comparisons to the fiscal year 2001 amount, the 2002 budget estimates, and the House and Senate bills for 2002 follow:

4,512,565 3,008,002 4,195,000
1 105 000
+,130,000
5,448,837
5,086,000
+573,435
2,077,998
+891,000
-362,837
22

HAROLD ROGERS, RODNEY P. FRELINGHUYSEN, TOM LATHAM, ROGER F. WICKER, ZACH WAMP, JO ANN EMERSON, JOHN T. DOOLITTLE, BILL YOUNG, PETER J. VISCLOSKY, ED PASTOR, JAMES E. CLYBURN, LUCILLE ROYBAL-ALLARD, Managers on the Part of the House.

PETE V. DOMENICI, THAD COCHRAN, MITCH MCCONNELL, ROBERT F. BENNETT, CONRAD BURNS, LARRY CRAIG, TED STEVENS, HARRY REID, ROBERT C. **BYRD**, FRITZ HOLLINGS, PATTY MURRAY, BYRON L. DORGAN, DIANNE FEINSTEIN, TOM HARKIN, DANIEL K. INOUYE, Managers on the Part of the Senate.