BOB STUMP NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2003
REPORT
OF THE
COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
ON
H.R. 4546
together with
ADDITIONAL AND DISSENTING VIEWS
[Including cost estimate of the Congressional Budget Office]
MAY 3, 2002.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

**BOB STUMP NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2003** 

107TH CONGRESS       2nd Session         HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES	REPORT 107–436
BOB STUMP NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZAT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2003 	TION ACT
REPORT	
OF THE	
COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERV HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIV	
ON	
H.R. 4546	
together with	
ADDITIONAL AND DISSENTING VI	EWS
[Including cost estimate of the Congressional Budg	et Office]
MAY 3, 2002.—Committed to the Committee of the Whol State of the Union and ordered to be print	
U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 79–403 WASHINGTON : 2003	

### HOUSE COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

ONE HUNDRED SEVENTH CONGRESS BOB STUMP, Arizona, Chairman DUNCAN HUNTER, California JAMES V. HANSEN, Utah CURT WELDON, Pennsylvania JOEL HEFLEY, Colorado JIM SAXTON, New Jersey JOHN M. MCHUGH, New York TERRY EVERETT, Alabama ROSCOE G. BARTLETT, Maryland HOWARD P. "BUCK" McKEON, California J.C. WATTS, JR., Oklahoma MAC THORNBERRY, Texas JOHN N. HOSTETTLER, Indiana SAXBY CHAMBLISS, Georgia VAN HILLEARY, Tennessee JOE SCARBOROUGH, Florida WALTER B. JONES, North Carolina LINDSEY GRAHAM, South Carolina JIM RYUN, Kansas BOB RILEY, Alabama JIM GIBBONS, Nevada ROBIN HAYES, North Carolina HEATHER WILSON, New Mexico KEN CALVERT, California **ROB SIMMONS**, Connecticut ANDER CREHSHAW, Florida MARK STEVEN KIRK, Illinois JO ANN DAVIS, Virginia ED SCHROCK, Virginia W. TODD AKIN, Missouri RANDY FORBES, Virginia JEFF MILLER, Florida JOE WILSON, South Carolina

izona, Chairman IKE SKELTON, Missouri JOHN SPRATT, JR., South Carolina SOLOMON P. ORTIZ, Texas LANE EVANS, Illinois GENE TAYLOR, Mississippi NEIL ABERCROMBIE, Hawaii MARTY MEEHAN, Massachusetts ROBERT A. UNDERWOOD, Guam ROD R. BLAGOJEVICH, Illinois SILVESTRE REYES, Texas THOMAS H. ALLEN, Maine VIC SNYDER, Arkansas JIM TURNER, Texas ADAM SMITH, Washington LORETTA SANCHEZ, California JAMES H. MALONEY, Connecticut MIKE MCINTYRE, North Carolina CIRO D. RODRIGUEZ, Texas CYNTHIA A. MCKINNEY, Georgia ELLEN O. TAUSCHER, California ROBERT A. BRADY, Pennsylvania ROBERT A. BRADY, Pennsylvania ROBERT A. BRADY, New Jersey BARON P. HILL, Indiana MIKE THOMPSON, California JOHN B. LARSON, Connecticut SUSAN A. DAVIS, California JAMES R. LANGEVIN, Rhode Island RICK LARSEN, Washington

ROBERT S. RANGEL, Staff Director

## CONTENTS

P I I AI A I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	age
Explanation of the Committee Amendments	1
Purpose	2
Relationship of Authorization to Appropriations	$2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 3$
Summary of Authorization in the Bill	2
Summary of Table of Authorizations Rationale for the Committee Bill	3
Rationale for the Committee Bill	10
Hearings DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION	14
DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION	14
TITLE I—PROCUREMENT	14
OVERVIEW	14
Aircraft Procurement, Army	17
Overview	17
Items of Special Interest	21
AH–64 modifications	21
Airborne communications	21
Aircraft survivability equipment (ASE)	22
Aircrew integrated systems	22
Avionics support equipment	23
CH-47 cargo helicopter modifications	23
Helicopter new training	23
UH–60 Blackhawk	24
UH–60 modifications	24
Missile Procurement, Army	25
Overview	25
Items of Special Interest Army tactical missile system (ATACMS) summary/ATACMS block	28
Army tactical missile system (ATACMS) summary/ATACMS block	
II system summary	28
Hellfire system summary Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	29
Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	29
Overview	29
Items of Special Interest	32
Bradley base sustaimnent	32
Improved recovery vehicle (IRV)	32
M113 carrier modifications	32
M249 squad automatic weapon (SAW)	33
Ammunition Procurement, Army	33
Overview	33
Items of Special Interest	37
Army ammunition procurement	37
Army ammunition production and load, assemble, and pack (LAP)	
capacity	37
Other Procurement, Army	38
Overview	38
Items of Special Interest Automated data processing equipment (ADPE)	47
Automated data processing equipment (ADPE)	47
Combat support medical	47
Family of heavy tactical vehicles	48
High mobility multi-purpose wheeled vehicles (HMMWVs)	48
Information system security program (ISSP)	49
Items less than \$5.0 million (construction equipment)	49
Joint tactical area command systems	49
Lightweight maintenance enclosure (LME)	49
Single channel ground and airborne radio systems (SINCGARS) fam-	
ily	50

1V	Pa
Small tug	га
Small tug Stamis tactical computers (STACOMP)	Į
Striker family	ł
Striker family Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Army	ł
Overview	Ę
Items of Special Interest	5
Chemical agents and munitions destruction	Į
Aircraft Procurement, Navy Overview	Į
Items of Special Interest	Į
AV–8B series modifications	ł
E–2 modifications	Ę
EA-6B modifications	Ę
H–1 series modifications	6
H–60 series modifications Joint primary air training system (JPATS)	6
MH-60S	6
P–3 series modifications	é
T-45 training system (TS)	è
UC-35	é
Weapons Procurement, Navy	6
Overview	6
Items of Special Interest	6
AIM-9X missile	6
Hellfire II missile Tomahawk missile	(
Ammunition Procurement, Navy/Marine Corps	é
Overview	è
Items of Special Interest	7
Marine Corps ammunition procurement	7
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy	5
Overview	2
Items of Special Interest Carrier replacement program advance procurement	-
CVN-69 refueling complex overhaul (RCOH)	÷
Landing craft air cushion (LCAC) service life extension program	
(SLEP)	7
(SLEP) Minehunter small waterplane area twin hull (SWATH) boats	7
Other Procurement, Navy	2
Overview	
Items of Special Interest Advanced integrated electronic warfare system (AIEWS)	8
Environmental support equipment	6
Gun fire control equipment	ě
Operating forces industrial plant equipment	8
Other aviation support equipment	8
Other supply support equipment	8
Other training equipment	8
Procurement, Marine Corps Overview	8
Items of Special Interest	(
Family of construction equipment	į
Night vision equipment	ġ
Radio systems	ę
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	9
Overview	9
Items of Special Interest	
B–2 modifications C–130H	
C-130H C-130J	Č,
C–1309 C–130 modifications	10
F-15 modifications	10
F–16 modifications	10
Fixed aircrew standardized seats	1(
HH–60G pave hawk upgrades	10
Miscellaneous production charges	10
Predator B unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV)	1(

### IV

Ammunition Procurement, Air Force	
Overview	
Items of Special Interest	
Air Force Ammunition Procurement	•
Missile Procurement, Air Force	
Overview	•
Items of Special Interest Advanced extremely high frequency satellite	•
Advanced extremely high frequency satellite	•
Minuteman III modifications	•
Other Procurement, Air Force	
Overview	•
Items of Special Interest	
Combat arms training system (CATS)	
Combat training ranges	
Eagle vision	
General information technology	•
GeoBase centralized geographic information system (GIS)	
Point of maintenance initiative	•
Thinpack parachutes	•
Procurement, Defense-Wide Overview	•
Items of Special Interest	•
Army special operations aviation (ARSOA) avionics re-capitalization	1
and enhanced situational awareness	
Chemical/biological defense procurement program	
Computer assisted medical diagnostics	
Portable intelligence collection and relay capability (PICRC)	
Special operations forces (SOF) small arms and weapons	•
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense	
Overview	•
Items of Special Interest Chemical agents and munitions destruction	•
EGISLATIVE PROVISIONS	•
Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations	•
Sections 101–107—Authorization of Appropriations	
Subtitle B—Navy Programs	
Section 111—Shipbuilding Initiative	
Subtitle C—Air Force Programs	
Section 121-Multiyear Procurement Authority for C-130J Aircraf	ť
Program	•
Subtitle D—Other Programs	•
Section 141—Revisions to Multiyear Contracting Authority Relating	
to Structuring of Contracts Section 142—Transfer of Technology Items and Equipment in Suppor	•
of Homeland Security	U
of Homeland Security Section 143—Destruction of Existing Stockpile of Lethal Chemica	i
Agents and Munitions	
Section 144-Report on Department of Defense Unmanned Aerial Vehi	-
cle Systems	
Section 145—Report on Impact of Army Aviation Modernization Plan	1
on the Army National Guard	
LE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION	
OVERVIEW	•
Army RDT&E	•
Overview	•
Items of Special Interest	•
Advanced Army composite bridge	
Advanced battery technology demonstration and validation program	
Advanced fuel cell technology	•
Advanced threat infrared countermeasures/common missile warning	r
system	
Aircrew coordination training	
Aircrew coordination training Applied communications and information networking (ACIN) pro	-
gram	
Anti-material sniper rifle	

v

	Pa
Asbestos pilot project	. 1
Automated document conversion	. 1
Bipolar wafer cell nickel-metal hydride battery	$1 \\ 1$
Clothing and equipment technology Crusader	1
Digital glue technology	1
Dismounted situation awareness system	
Distance Learning	ī
Eliminating arthropod-borne infectious disease	. 1
Energy and sustainability research	. 1
Enhanced area air defense system short range air defense integrated	
kinetic energy system	. 1
Explosively formed penetrators	. 1
Eye-safe laser	. 1
Family of systems simulator	
Fuel catalyst research and evaluation	
Global combat support system	. 1.
Helmet mounted thermal imaging system	
Hemoglobin based oxygen carrier Human factors engineering technology	1
Hyperspectral long-wave imager for the tactical environment	1
Intelligence command global information portal	
Javelin	. 1.
Landmine warfare/barrier engineering development	1
Lightweight x-band radar	. 14
M795 extended range, high explosive baseburner projectile	. 1
Metabolically engineered tissues for trauma	. 1
Metallic particles in defense applications obscurant smokes	. 1
Metrology	
Micro electro-mechanical systems inertial measurement unit/global	
positioning system	. 1
Mini-backpack unmanned aerial vehicle Mobile tactical high energy laser	1
Night vision fusion	1
Night vision fusion Non-traditional intelligence analysis toolset	1
Nuclear biological, and chemical agent removal	1
P3 micro-power devices for missile defense applications	ī
Rotary multi-fuel auxiliary power unit	ī
Stable hemostat	. 1
Textile electronic garments for combat casualty care	. 1
Thermionic technology	. 1
Unmanned aerial vehicle/unmanned ground vehicle demonstration	1
program	
Volumetrically controlled manufacturing	
Weapons and munitions engineering development	. 1
Shoulder-launched multipurpose assault weapon-disposable (con-	
fined space)	
Common remotely operated weapon system Wire detection and obstacle avoidance system for helicopters	$1 \\ 1$
Navy RDT&E	
Overview	
Items of Special Interest	
Acoustic rapid commercial-off-the-shelf technology insertion	
Adanced cable design for mine/submarine warfare	ī
Advanced camouflage coating demonstration	1
Advanced composite radome materials	. 1
Advanced composite sail	. 1
Advanced deployable system burial capability	. 1
Advanced ducted electric propulsion pod	. 1
Advanced extended echo-ranging sonobuoy development	. 1
Advanced land attack missile	. 1
Advanced light strike vehicle	. 1
Advanced smart propulsor product model	. 1
Advanced stealth ship radar	. 1
Advanced variable speed drive systems	
AEGIS baseline 7 phase II open architecture Affordable towed array construction	$1 \\ 1$
Anoruable towed array construction	. 1

### VI

Affordable weapon	0
Anti-submarine warfare, mine countermeasures, and ship self-de- fense initiative	1
Anti-submarine warfare synthetic training environment	
Autonomous maritime navigation 17	
Aviation integrated life support system	
Aviation-shipboard information technology initiative	
Biomedical research imaging	
Commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) carbon fiber qualification	
Compatible processor upgrade 17	
DP-2 thrust vectoring system	
Electric propulsion/ship power system distributed test bed	_
Electronic interconnection research and development program	
Airborne electronic attack follow-on 17	
Location of global positioning system interferers 17	5
Electro-optical framing sensor	
Extended range guided munitions	
Formable aligned carbon thermosets	
Hawk AN/TPS-59 radar service life extension program	
High brightness electron source program	
High temperature superconducting AC synchronous Navy propulsion	
motor and generator	
Hybrid fiber optic/wireless communications	
Integrated maritime picture system of systems	
Interrogator for high-speed retro reflectometer communications 17	
Joint mission high-speed vessel	
Joint operational test bed	
Knowledge projection for fleet maintenance       17         Laser aim scoring system       18	
Laser welding and cutting	
Littoral support craft—experimental 18	
Low cost swarm unmanned aerial vehicle program	
Marine mammal research program	
Mark–48 advanced capability torpedo improvements	
Medical threat detection system	
Mobile fire support system	
Modeling and simulation of surgical procedures for battlefield trau-	
ma	
Multi-purpose sensor         18           National shipbuilding research program         18	
Naval collaboration tool set	
Navy common command and decision system	
Navy support of research in oceanography 18	
Navy tactical unmanned aerial vehicle	
Nonlinear dynamics stochastic resonance         18           Ocean modeling for mine and expeditionary warfare         18	
Organ transfer technology	
Photovoltaic energy park	
Portable digital precision location system	
Portable sterile water production device	
Rapid retargeting       18         Real-time heart rate variability monitor       18	
Real-time precision tracking radar	
SEALS Mark 5 patrol craft modification 18	
Shipboard integrated data environment	
Ship self defense electronic warfare systems       19         Ship service fuel cell       19	
Smip service rulei celi	
Sniper rifle improvements 19	
Stationary lighter than air platform 19	
Submarine payloads and sensors program 19	1

VII

### Page

,	Page
Submarine tactical warfare system	191
Superconducting DC homopolar motor	191
Supply chain best practices Surface navy integrated undersea tactical technology	192
Surface navy integrated undersea tactical technology	192
Target location designated handoff system	$192 \\ 193$
Vacuum electronics Water purifications-expeditionary warfare	193
Wide bandgap semiconductor materials	193
Air Force RDT&É	194
Overview	194
Items of Special Interest	204
Advanced aluminum aerostructures	$\begin{array}{c} 204 \\ 204 \end{array}$
Advanced concept ejection seat II improvement Advanced thermal protection systems	$\frac{204}{204}$
Aging landing gear life extension program	$204 \\ 204$
Air combat training ranges	205
Air Force manufacturing technology program	205
Air Force/national systems cooperation	206
Ceramic matrix composites	206
Compass Call upgrades	206
Electronic countermeasures upgrades for the generic radar target	206
generator Electronic warfare development	$\begin{array}{c} 206 \\ 207 \end{array}$
Precision location and identification	207
Comet infra-red countermeasures system	$\bar{207}$
Global Hawk high altitude endurance unmanned aerial vehicle	207
Air Force	207
Navy	208
GPS-II program adjustment	209
Guidance, propulsion, and re-entry vehicle demonstration/validation . High-accuracy network determination system	$\begin{array}{c} 209 \\ 209 \end{array}$
Identification of time critical programs	$\frac{203}{210}$
Information operation technology and fusion initiative	210
Information security and intrusion detection development	210
Integrated high payoff rocket propulsion technology	211
Joint air to surface standoff missile	211
Joint integrated satellite communications technology	211
Joint services work station Laser induced surface improvement technology	$\begin{array}{c} 211 \\ 212 \end{array}$
Lithium ion battery development	$\frac{212}{212}$
Lithium ion battery development Low emission/efficient hybrid aviation refueling truck propulsion	$\bar{2}12$
Metals affordability initiative	212
Missile technology demonstration	213
Network centric collaborative targeting	213
Pulse detonation engine	213
Rapid attack support system	$213 \\ 213$
Scorpius Space Technology	$\frac{213}{214}$
Streaker small launch vehicle	$214 \\ 214$
Super wideband compressive receiver	214
Synthetic theatre operations research model	214
Texas regional institute for environmental studies	215
Thermal management for space structures	215
Thrust vector control and infrared signature reduction	$\frac{215}{215}$
Upper atmospheric and astronomical training Vacuum pump	$\frac{215}{216}$
Wind-corrected munitions dispenser development	$\frac{210}{216}$
Defense Wide RDT&E	216
Overview	216
Items of Special Interest	225
Advanced sensor applications program	225
Aircraft affordability initiative	225
Backscatter mobile truck system Ballistic Missile Defense	$\frac{225}{225}$
Silicon carbide based widebandgap technology	$\frac{225}{226}$
Ballistic Missile Defense System	$\frac{220}{226}$
Terminal Defense Segment	227
-	

	Page
Midcourse Defense Segment	227
Boost Defense Segment	228
Sensors Segment	229
THAAD and PAC-3	229
Cooperative Programs	229
Exploration of Alternative Approaches	230
Ballistic missile defense baseline reports	230
Cobra blue force tracking equipment	231
Chemical/biological defense research, development, test and evalua-	231
tion program Engineered pathogen identification and countermeasures program .	231
Rapid antibody-based biological countermeasures	232
Multi-wavelength surface scanning biological sensor	232
Chemical-biological regenerative air filters	232
Chemical-biological mass spectrometer II	232
Asymmetric protocols for biological defense enhancement	233
Mustard gas prophylactic	233
Biological defense homeland security test bed	233
C3I intelligence programs	234
Combat Sent data distribution upgrade	234
Combating terrorism technology support	235
Lightweight biological detectors	235
Chemical-biological electrostatic decontamination system	235
Facial recognition technology	235
Magnetic quadrupole resonance explosives detection	235
Commercial imagery to support military requirements	236
Complex systems engineering Computer science and internet security degree program	236 236
Defense agency science and technology funding	230
Defense counterintelligence programs	238
Defense experimental program to stimulate competitive research	238
Defense imagery and mapping program	238
Defense manufacturing supply chain management	238
Defense travel system	239
Enhance techniques for the detection of explosives	239
Environmental security technical certification program	239
Integrated optoelectronics technology	239
Interdisciplinary bilogical nanoscience research	239
Joint technology office	240
Kinetic energy-anti satellite system	240
Medical free electron laser	240
Multi-function self-aligned gate	241 241
Multi-link antenna system National collaborative environment	241
"Smart" fuzing	241
Snike urhan warfare system	242
Spike urban warfare system Strategic environmental research and development program	242
Tactical missile recycling	242
Thermobaric warhead development	242
Unmanned aerial vehicles major acquisition programs	243
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	243
Overview	243
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS	245
Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations	245
Section 201—Authorization of Appropriations	245
Section 202—Amount for basic and applied research	245
Subtitle B—Program Requirements, Restrictions, and Limitations	245
Section 211—RAH-66 Comanche Aircraft Program	245
Section 212—Management Responsibility for Navy Mine Counter-	245
measures Programs Section 213—Extension of Authority to Carry Out Pilot Program for	<b>24</b> 0
Revitalizing the Laboratories and Test and Evaluation Centers of	
the Department of Defense	246
Section 214—Revised Requirements for Plan for Manufacturing Tech-	<u>_</u> (
nology Program	246
Section 215—Technology Transition Initiative	246
Section 216—Defense Acquisition Challenge Program	247

IX

	Page
Subtitle C—Ballistic Missile Defense	248
Section 231-Limitation on Obligation of Funds for Procurement of	
(PAC-3) Missiles Pending Submission of Required Certification	248
Section 232-Responsibility of Missile Defense Agency for Research,	
Development, Test, and Evaluation Related to System Improvements	0.40
of Programs Transferred to Military Departments	248
Section 233—Amendments to Reflect Change in Name of Ballistic Mis-	248
sile Defense Organization to Missile Defense Agency TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	$\frac{240}{248}$
OVERVIEW	$\frac{240}{248}$
ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST	280
Budget Request Adjustments	280
Excess Foreign Currencies Reductions	281
Joint Chiefs of Staff Training Exercises	281
Budget Request Display Issues Accrual Accounting for Civil Service Retirement and Health Programs .	281
Accrual Accounting for Civil Service Retirement and Health Programs .	281
Defense Emergency Response Fund	282
Information Technology (IT) Issues	282
Overview	282
Requirements	283
Budget Reviews	283
Performance-based contracting	284
Program management	284
Defense Messaging System (DMS) Defense Integrated Military Human Resources Systems	$\frac{284}{285}$
Global Command Support System-Army	$\frac{200}{285}$
Supply Maintenance Aviation Reengineering Team	286
Warfighters Simulation System	286
Wireless Priority Service	286
Environmental Issues	286
Morale, Welfare, and Recreation Issues	289
Appropriated Fund Support for Morale, Welfare, and Recreation Pro-	
grams	289
Defense Commissary Agency Funding and Staffing	289
Force Protection for Morale, Welfare, and Recreation Activities	290
Support of Privatized Housing Areas	290
Other Issues	290
Automatic Identification Technology/Radio Frequency Identification	$290 \\ 291$
Cold Weather Clothing Commercial Technologies for Maintenance Activities	291
Industrial Mobilization Capacity/Unutilized Plant Capacity	292
Fuel Savings Technology	292
Energy Savings Performance Contracts	293
Long Tenn Depot Strategy	293
National Defense Sealift Fund Issues	294
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS	294
Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations	294
Section 301—Operation and Maintenance Funding	294
Section 302—Working Capital Funds	294
Section 303—Armed Forces Retirement Home	294
Subtitle B—Environmental Issues	294
Section 311-Incidental Taking of Migratory Birds During Military	004
Readiness Activity	294
Section 312—Military Readiness and the Conservation of Protected Species	295
Section 313—Single Point of Contact for Policy and Budgeting Issues	230
Regarding Unexploded Ordnance, Discarded Military Munitions, and	
Munitions Constituents	295
Subtitle C—Commissaries and Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentalities .	295
Section 321—Authority for Each Military Department to Provide Base	
Operating Support to Fisher Houses	295
Section 322–Use of Commissary Stores and MWR Retail Facilities	
by Members of National Guard Serving in National Emergency	295
Section 323—Uniform Funding and Management of Morale, Welfare,	
and Recreation Programs	296
Subtitle D—Workplace and Depot Issues	296

Х

### .

	Page
Section 331—Notification Requirements in Connection with Required	
Studies for Conversion of Commercial or Industrial Type Functions	
to Contractor Performance	296
Section 332—Waiver Authority Regarding Prohibition on Contracts for	
Performance of Security-Guard Functions	296
Section 333—Exclusion of Certain Expenditures from Percentage Limi-	
tation on Contracting for Performance of Depot-Level Maintenance	
and Repair Workloads	296
Section 334-Repeal of Obsolete Provision Regarding Depot-Level	
Maintenance and Repair Workloads That Were Performed at Closed	007
or Realigned Military Installations	297
Section 335—Clarification of Required Core Logistics Capabilities Subtitle E—Defense Dependents Education	$297 \\ 297$
	291
Section 341—Assistance to Local Educational Agencies that Benefit Dependents of Members of the Armed Forces and Department of	
Defense Civilian Employees	297
Section 342—Availability of Quarters Allowance for Unaccompanied	231
Defense Department Teacher Required to Reside on Overseas Mili-	
tary Installation	297
Section 343—Provision of Summer School Programs for Students Who	201
Attend Defense Dependents' Education System	297
Subtitle F—Information Technology	298
Section 351—Navy-Marine Corps Intranet Contract	298
Section 352—Annual Submission of Information on National Security	
and Information Technology Capital Assets	298
Section 353—Implementation of Policy Regarding Certain Commercial	
Off-the-Shelf Information Technology Products	299
Section 354-Installation and Connection Policy and Procedures Re-	
garding Defense Switch Network	299
Subtitle G—Other Matters	299
Section 361—Distribution of Monthly Reports on Allocation of Funds	
Within Operation and Maintenance Budget Subactivities	299
Section 362—Minimum Deduction From Pay of Certain Members of	000
the Armed Forces to Support Armed Forces Retirement Home	299
Section 363—Condition on Conversion of Defense Security Service to	900
a Working Capital Funded Entity Section 364—Continuation of Arsenal Support Program Initiative	$\frac{300}{300}$
Section 365—Training Range Sustainment Plan, Global Status of Re-	300
sources and Training System, and Training Range Inventory	300
Section 366—Amendments to Certain Education and Nutrition Laws	300
Relating to Acquisition and Improvement of Military Housing	300
TITLE IV—MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS	301
OVERVIEW	301
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS	302
Subtitle A—Active Forces	302
Section 401—End Strengths for Active Forces	302
Section 402—Revision in Permanent End Strength Minimum Levels	302
Section 403—Authority for Military Department Secretaries to Increase	
Active-Duty End Strengths By Up to One Percent	303
Section 404—General and Flag Officer Management	303
Section 405—Extension of Certain Authorities Relating to Management	
of Numbers of General and Flag Officers in Certain Grades	303
Subtitle B—Reserve Forces	304
Section 411—End Strengths for Selected Reserve	304
Section 412—End Strengths for Reserves on Active Duty in Support	904
of the Reserves Section 413—End Strengths for Military Technicians (Dual Status)	304
Section 413—End Strengths for Military Technicians (Dual Status)	304
cians	305
Subtitle C—Authorization of Appropriations	305
Section 421—Authorization of appropriations for Military Personnel	305
Section 421—Authorization of appropriations for Military Personnel TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY	306
OVERVIEW	306
OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST	306
Absentee Voting for Military Members	306
Compensation and Benefits for Reserve Component Members	307
Consideration of Innovative Readiness Training Initiatives	307

XI

	Page
Review and Report on Increased Participation of U.S. Navy Officers	8-
in Intermediary and Senior War Colleges	308
Uniting Through Reading	308
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS	308
Subtitle A—General Personnel Management Authorities	308
Section 501-Increase in Number of Deputy Commandants of the Ma-	
rine Corps	308
Section 502-Extension of Good-of-the-Service Waiver Authority for Of-	
ficers Appointed to a Reserve Chief or Guard Director Position	308
Subtitle B—Reserve Component Management	309
Section 511—Reviews of National Guard Strength Accounting and Management and Other Issues	309
Section 512—Courts-Martial for the National Guard When not in Fed-	309
eral Service	309
Section 513—Matching Funds Requirements Under National Guard	000
Youth Challenge Program	310
Subtitle C—Reserve Component Officer Personnel Policy	310
Section 521—Exemption from Active Status Strength Limitation for	
Reserve Component General and Flag Officers Serving on Active	
Duty in Certain Joint Duty Assignments Designated by the Chair-	
man of the Joint Chiefs of Staff	310
Section 522—Eligibility for Consideration for Promotion to Grade of	
Major General for Certain Reserve Component Brigadier Generals	
Who do not Otherwise Qualify for Consideration for Promotion Under the One-Year Rule	310
Section 523—Retention of Promotion Eligibility for Reserve Component	310
General and Flag Officers Transferred to an Inactive Status	311
Section 524—Authority for Limited Extension of Medical Deferment	011
of Mandatory Retirement or Separation for Reserve Officers	311
Subtitle D—Education and Training	311
Section 531—Authority for Phased Increase to 4,400 in Authorized	
Strengths for the Service Academies	311
Section 532-Enhancement of Reserve Component Delayed Training	
Program	312
Subtitle E—Decorations and Awards	312
Section 541—Waiver of Time Limitations for Award of Certain Decora-	910
tions to Certain Persons Section 542—Option to Convert Award of Armed Forces Expeditionary	312
Medal Awarded for Operation Frequent Wind to Vietnam Service	
Medal	312
Subtitle F—Administrative Matters	312
Section 551—Staffing and Funding of the Defense Prisoner of War/	
Missing Personnel Office	312
Section 552—Three-Year Freeze on Reductions of Personnel of Agencies	
Responsible for Review and Correction of Military Records	312
Section 553—Department of Defense Support for Persons Participating	010
in Military Funeral Honors Details	313
Section 554—Authority for Use of Volunteers as Proctors for Adminis-	313
tration of Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery Test Section 555—Annual Report on Status of Female Members of the	515
Armed Forces	313
Subtitle G—Benefits	313
Section 561—Voluntary Leave Sharing Program for Members of the	
Armed Forces	313
Armed Forces Section 562—Enhanced Flexibility in Medical Loan Repayment Pro-	
gram	313
Section 563—Expansion of Overseas Tour Extension Benefits	314
Section 564—Vehicle Storage in Lieu of Transportation When Member	
is Ordered to a Nonforeign Duty Station Outside Continental United	014
States	$314 \\ 314$
Subtitle H—Military Justice Matters Section 571—Right of Convicted Accused to Request Sentencing by	514
Military Judge	314
Section 572—Report on Desirability and Feasibility of Consolidating	014
Separate Courses of Basic Instruction for Judge Advocates	315
TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS	315
OVERVIEW	315

XII

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST
Integrating Basic Allowance for Subsistence into Basic Pay
Montgomery G.I. Bill for Members of the Selected Reserve
Reducing the Gap Between Military and Private Sector Pay Increases
Use of the Critical Skills Retention Bonus for Linguists
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS
Subtitle A—Pay and Allowances
Section 601—Increase in Basic Pay for Fiscal Year 2003
Section 602-Expansion of Basic Allowance for Housing Low-Cost or
No-Cost Moves Authority to Members Assigned to Duty Outside
United States
Subtitle B—Bonuses and Special and Incentive Pays
Section 611—One-Year Extension of Certain Bonus and Special Pay
Authorities for Reserve Forces
Section 612-One-Year Extension of Certain Bonus and Special Pay
Authorities for Certain Health Care Professionals
Section 613—One-Year Extension of Special Pay and Bonus Authorities
for Nuclear Officers
Section 614-One-Year Extension of Other Bonus and Special Pay Au-
thorities
Section 615-Minimum Levels of Hardship Duty Pay for Duty on the
Ground in Antarctica or on Arctic Icepack
Section 616—Increase in Maximum Rates for Prior Service Enlistment
Bonus
Section 617—Retention Incentives for Health Care Providers Qualified
in a Critical Military Skill
Subtitle C—Travel and Transportation Allowances
Section 631-Extension of Leave Travel Deferral Period for Members
Performing Consecutive Overseas Tours of Duty
Subtitle D—Retired Pay and Survivors Benefits
Section 641—Phase in of Full Concurrent Receipt of Military Retired
Pay and Veterans Disability Compensation for Military Retirees with
Disabilities Rated at 60 Percent or Higher
Section 642—Change in Service Requirements for Eligibility for Retired
Pay for Non-Regular Service
Section 643—Elimination of Possible Inversion in Retired Pay Cost-
of-Living Adjustment for Initial COLA Computation
Section 644—Technical Revisions to So-Called "Forgotten Widows" An-
nuity Program Subtitle E—Reserve Component Montgomery GI Bill
Section 651—Extension of Montgomery G.I. Bill-Selected Reserve Eligi-
bility Period
Subtitle F—Other Matters Section 661—Addition of Definition of Continental United States in
Title 37 TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE MATTERS
OVERVIEW
ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST
Claims Processing for Under-65 Medicare-Eligible Beneficiaries
Defense Enrollment and Eligibility Enrollment Reporting System Im-
provements
Force Health Protection
Military Health Care System Information Management
TRICARE Access Standards for Appointments
Waiver of TRICARE Senior Pharmacy Deductible for Beneficiaries in
Nursing Homes
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS
Subtitle A—Health Care Program Improvements
Section 701—Elimination of Requirement for TRICARE
Preauthorization of Inpatient Mental Health Care for Medicare-Eligi-
ble Beneficiaries
Section 702—Expansion of TRICARE Prime Remote for Certain De-
pendents
Section 703—Enabling Dependents of Certain Members Who Died
While on Active Duty to Enroll in the TRICARE Dental Program
Section 704—Improvements Regarding the Department of Defense
Medicare—Eligible Retiree Health Care Fund

XIII

### Page Section 705—Certification of Institutional Non-Institutional Providers 327 327 Subtitle B—Reports Section 711—Comptroller General Report on TRICARE Claims Proc-327 essing ...... Section 712—Comptroller General Report on Provision of Care Under 327 the TRICARE Program ..... 328 Section 713—Repeal of Report Requirement TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST 328 329 329Acquisition program and management ..... Defense Logistics Agency's Best Value Contracting ..... 329 330 Report on Small Business Concerns Owned and Controlled by Women .. 330 LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS 330 Section 801-Plan for Acquisition Management Professional Exchange Pilot Program ...... Section 802—Evaluation of Training, Knowledge, and Resources Re-garding Negotiation of Intellectual Property Arrangements 330 331 Section 803—Limitation Period for Task and Delivery Order Contracts . Section 804—One-Year Extension of Program Applying Simplified Pro-331 cedure to Certain Commercial items; Report 331 Section 805-Authority to Make Inflation Adjustment to Simplified 332 cedures Applicable to the Civilian Acquisition Workforce ..... 332Section 807-Modification of Scope of Ball and Roller Bearings Covered 332 for Purposes of Procurement Limitation Section 808—Rapid Acquisition and Deployment Procedures ..... 332 Section 809—Quick-Reaction Special Projects Acquisition Team ...... 332 Section 810-Report on Development of Anti-Cyberterrorism Technology ..... Section 811—Contracting with Federal Prison Industries ...... TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGE-333 333 333 MENT ..... 333 333 LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS Section 901—Change in Title the Secretary of the Navy to the Sec-333 retary of the Navy and Marine Corps ...... Section 902—Report on Implementation of United States Northern 333 333 Command ...... Section 903—National Defense Mission of Coast Guard to be Included 334in Future Quadrennial Defense Reviews ..... Section 904-Change in Year for Submission of Quadrennial Defense 334Readiness of the Armed Forces ..... 334Section 906-Conforming Amendment to Reflect Disestablishment of Department of Defense Consequence Management Program Integra-335 335 ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST ...... Chartering of Special Purpose Vessels ..... 335 335 Counter-Drug Activities 335 Overview ...... 335 Items of Special Interest ..... 336 DEA Support ..... 336 Mexico Information Analysis Center ..... 337 National Guard C–26 Aircraft ..... 337 Riverine Training Deployments ..... 337 337 337 Transit Zone Maritime Patrol Aircraft ..... 337 Principles of Federal Appropriation ...... Security Requirements for Contractor Employees ..... 337

Strategic Force Structure Plan	
U.S. Strategic Deterrent	
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS	••
Subtitle A—Financial Matters	
Section 1001—Transfer Authority	
Section 1002-Authorization of Supplemental Appropriations for Fisca	ıl
Year 2002	••
Section 1003—Uniform Standards Throughout Department of Defens	se
for Exposure of Personnel to Pecuniary Liability for Loss of Govern	
ment Property	••
Section 1004—Accountable Officials In the Department of Defense	••
Section 1005—Improvements in Purchase Card Management	
Section 1006—Authority to Transfer Funds Within a Major Acquisition	n
Program from Procurement to RDT&E	
Section 1007-Development and Procurement of Financial and Nor	1-
financial Management Systems	••
Subtitle B—Reports	••
Section 1011-After-Action Reports on the Conduct of Military Open	C-
ations Conducted as Part of Operation Enduring Freedom	••
Section 1012-Report on Biological Weapons Defense and Counter-Pro	)-
liferation	••
Section 1013—Requirement That Department of Defense Reports t	
Congress Be Accompanied by Electronic Version	
Section 1014—Strategic Force Structure Plan for Nuclear Weapons an	d
Delivery Systems	••
Section 1015–Report on Establishment of a Joint National Trainin	g
Complex and Joint Opposing Forces Section 1016—Repeal of Various Reports Required of the Department	
of Defense	16
of Defense	••
Section 1017—Report on the Role of the Department of Defense i	n
Supporting Homeland Security Section 1018—Report by the National Academy of Sciences on Effect	
of Nuclear Farth Penetrators and Other Weapons	,D
of Nuclear Earth Penetrators and Other Weapons Section 1019—Report by the National Academy of Sciences on Effect	
of Nuclear Interceptors	,D
Subtitle C—Other Matters	••
Section 1021—Sense of Congress on Maintenance of a Reliable, Flex	 i-
ble, and Robust Strategic Deterrent	
Section 1022—Time for Transmittal of Annual Defense Authorizatio	n
Legislative Proposal	
Section 1023—Technical and Clerical Amendments	
Section 1024-War Risk Insurance for Vessels in Support of NATC	)_
Approved Operations	
Section 1025—Conveyance, Navy drydock, Portland, Oregon	
Section 1026—Additional Weapons of Mass Destruction Civil Suppor	
Teams	••
TITLE XI—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CIVILIAN PERSONNEL	••
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS	
Section 1101—Eligibility of Department of Defense Nonappropriate	d
Fund Employees For Long-Tenn Care Insurance	
Section 1102—Extension of Department of Defense Authority to Mak	e
Lump-Sum Severance Payments	
Section 1103—Common Occupational and Health Standards for Di	f-
ferential Payments as a Consequence of Exposure To Asbestos	••
Section 1104—Continuation of Federal Employee Health Benefits Pro	)-
gram Eligibility	
Section 1105—Triennial Full-Scale Federal Wage System Wage Sur	r-
vevs	
TITLE XII-MATTERS RELATING TO OTHER NATIONS	
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS	
Section 1201—Support of United Nations-Sponsored Efforts to Inspec	ct
and Monitor Iraqi Weapons Activities	
Section 1202—Strengthening the Defense of Taiwan	
Section 1203—Administrative Services and Support for Foreign Liaiso	n
Officers	••
Section 1204—Additional Countries Covered by Loan Guarantee Pro	
gram	

XV

••••	Page
Section 1205—Limitation on Funding for Joint Data Exchange Center Section 1206—Limitation on Number of Military Personnel in Colom-	348
bia TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION WITH STATES OF THE FORMER SOVIET UNION	348 349
OVERVIEW	$349 \\ 349$
ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST	351
Arms Elimination Projects in Russia Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention	$351 \\ 351$
Chemical Weapons Destruction in Russia	351
Defense and Military Contacts	352
Fissile Material Storage Facility	352
Nuclear Weapons Storage Security in Russia Nuclear Weapons Transportation Security	$353 \\ 353$
Other Assessments and Administrative Support	353
Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination of Kazakhstan	353
Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination of Ukraine	354
Russian Proliferation to Iran	$354 \\ 355$
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS Section 1301—Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs	500
and Funds	355
Section 1302—Funding Allocations	355
Section 1303—Prohibition Against Use of Funds Until Submission of Reports	355
Section 1304—Report on Use of Revenue Generated by Activities Car-	000
ried Out Under Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs	355
Section 1305—Prohibition Against Use of Funds for Second Wing of Fissile Material Storage Facility	356
Section 1306—Sense of Congress and Report Requirement Regarding	
Russian Proliferation to Iran Section 1307—Prohibition Against Use of Cooperative Threat Reduction	356
Funds Outside the States of the Former Soviet Union	356
Section 1308—Limited Waiver of Restriction on Use of Funds	356
Section 1309—Limitation on Use of Funds Until Submission of Report	050
on Defense and Military Contacts Activities TITLE XIV—UTAH TEST AND TRAINING RANGE	$356 \\ 357$
OVERVIEW	357
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS	357
Section 1401—Definition of Utah Test and Training Range	357
Section 1402—Military Operations and Overflights at Utah Test and Training Bange	358
Training Range Section 1403—Designation and Management of Lands in Utah Test	000
and Training Range	358
Section 1404—Designation of Pilot Range Wilderness Section 1405—Designation of Cedar Mountain Wilderness	$358 \\ 358$
DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS	358
PURPOSE	358
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION OVERVIEW	358
TITLE XXI—ARMY SUMMARY	$375 \\ 375$
ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST	375
Planning and Design	375
Unspecified Minor Construction	375
Water Tanks, Fort Bliss, Texas LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS	$375 \\ 375$
Section 2101—Authorized Army Construction and Land Acquisition	575
Projects	375
Section 2102—Family Housing	375
Section 2103—Improvements to Military Family Housing Units	$375 \\ 376$
Section 2104—Authorization of Appropriations, Army Section 2105—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal	010
Year 2002 Projects	376
TITLE XXII—NAVY	376
SUMMARY ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST	$376 \\ 376$
Electromagnetic Aircraft Launch System Land Based Test Site	376

XVI

** * **
North Chicago Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center And
Naval Hospital, Great Lakes, Illinois
Planning and Design
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS
Section 2201—Authorized Navy Construction and Land Acquisition Projects
Section 2202—Family Housing
Section 2203—Improvements to Military Family Housing Units
Section 2204—Authorization of Appropriations, Navy
Section 2204—Authorization of Appropriations, Navy Section 2205—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal
Year 2002 Project
TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE
SUMMARY
ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST C–17 Assault Strips
Planning and Design
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS
Section 2301—Authorized Air Force Construction and Land Acquisi-
tion
Section 2302—Family Housing
Section 2303—Improvements of Military Family Housing Units
Section 2304—Authorization of Appropriations, Air Force
TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES
SUMMARY ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST
Planning and Design
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS Section 2401—Authorized Defense Agencies Construction and Land Ac-
quisition Projects
Section 2402—Improvements to Military Family Housing Units
Section 2403—Energy Conservation Projects
Section 2404—Authorization of Appropriations, Defense Agencies
Section 2405–Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal
Year 2000 Project Section 2406—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal
Year 1999 Project
Section 2407—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal
Year 1997 Project TITLE XXV—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION INFRA-
TITLE XXV—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION INFRA-
STRUCTURE
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS
Section 2501-Authorized NATO Construction and Land Acquisition
Projects Section 2502—Authorization of Appropriations, NATO
TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES
SUMMARY
ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST
Dual Use Reserve Facilities
Joint Army Reserve and National Guard Reserve Center
Planning and Design, Air National Guard
Planning and Design, Army National Guard
Unspecified Minor Construction
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS Section 2601—Authorized Guard and Reserve Construction and Land
Acquisition Projects
Acquisition Projects TITLE XXVII—EXPIRATION AND EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS
Section 2701—Expiration of Authorizations and Amounts Required to
Be Specified by Law
Section 2702—Extensions of Authorizations of Certain Fiscal Year 2000
Projects
Section 2703—Extension of Authorizations of Certain Fiscal Year 1999
Projects
Section 2704—Effective Date TITLE XXVIII—GENERAL PROVISIONS
ITTLE AAVIII—GENERAL PROVISIONS ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST
Impact of Privatized Housing on Local School Systems

### XVII

	1 age
Integrated Water Management System on Guam	383
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS	384
Subtitle A—Military Construction Program and Military Family Housing	
Changes	384
Social Section 2801 Changes to Alternative Authority for Acquisition and	001
Section 2801—Changes to Alternative Authority for Acquisition and	004
Improvement of Military Housing	384
Section 2802-Modification of Authority to Carry Out Construction	
Projects as Part of Environmental Response Action	384
Section 2803—Leasing of Military Family Housing in Korea	384
Subtitle B—Real Property and Facilities Administration	384
Section 2811—Agreements with Private Entities to Limit Encroach	001
month and Other Construct on Military Training Testing and	
ments and Other Constraints on Military Training, Testing, and	004
Operations	384
Section 2812—Conveyance of Surplus Real Property for Natural Re-	
source Conservation Purposes Section 2813—National Emergency Exemption from Screening and Other Requirements of McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act for	385
Section 2813—National Emergency Exemption from Screening and	
Other Requirements of McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act for	
Property Used in Support of Response Activities	385
Section 2814—Demonstration Program on Reduction in Long-Term Fa-	000
	905
cility Maintenance Costs	385
Section 2815—Expanded Authority to Transfer Property at Military	
Installations to Be Closed to Persons Who Construct or Provide Mili-	
tary Family Housing	385
Subtitle C-Land Conveyances	386
Part I—Army Conveyances	386
Section 2821—Land Conveyances, Lands in Alaska No Longer Required	000
for National Conversion of Democratic Lands in Alaska No Longer Required	900
for National Guard Purposes Section 2822—Land Conveyance, Fort Campbell, Kentucky	386
Section 2822—Land Conveyance, Fort Campbell, Kentucky	386
Section 2823—Land Conveyance, Army Reserve Training Center, Buf-	
falo, Minnesota	386
Section 2824—Land Conveyance, Fort Bliss, Texas	386
Section 2825—Land Conveyance, Fort Hood, Texas	386
Part II_Navy Conveyances	387
Part II—Navy Conveyances Section 2831—Land Conveyance, Marine Corps Air Station, Miramar,	001
Section 2651—Land Conveyance, Marine Corps An Station, Miraman,	907
San Diego, California	387
Section 2832—Boundary Adjustments, Marine Corps Base, Quantico,	
and Prince William Forest Park, Virginia	387
Part III—Air Force Conveyances	-387
Section 2841-Land Conveyance, Wendover Air Force Base Auxiliary	
Field, Nevada	387
Subtitle D—Other Matters	387
Section 2861—Easement for Construction of Roads or Highways, Ma-	001
	907
rine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton, California	387
Section 2862—Sale of Excess Treated Water and Wastewater Treat-	
ment Capacity, Marine Corps Base, Camp Lejeune, North Carolina	388
Section 2863—Ratification of Agreement Regarding Adak Naval Com-	
plex, Alaska, and Related Land Conveyances	388
Section 2864—Special Requirements for Adding Military Installations	
to Closure List	388
DIVISION C-DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AU-	000
THORIZATIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS	900
	388
TITLE XXXI-DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PRO-	
GRAMS	388
OVERVIEW	388
ITEM OF SPECIAL INTEREST	406
Full Funding for Retiree Costs in the Fiscal Year 2003 Budget Request	406
	400
NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION	
NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION	406
NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION OVERVIEW	$\begin{array}{c} 406 \\ 406 \end{array}$
NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST	$406 \\ 406 \\ 407$
NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Adjustments to the Budget Request	$406 \\ 406 \\ 407 \\ 407$
NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Adjustments to the Budget Request Reductions	$406 \\ 406 \\ 407 \\ 407 \\ 407 \\ 407$
NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Adjustments to the Budget Request Reductions Increases	400 406 406 407 407 407 407
NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Adjustments to the Budget Request Reductions Increases	$406 \\ 406 \\ 407 \\ 407 \\ 407 \\ 407$
NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Adjustments to the Budget Request Reductions Increases	$\begin{array}{r} 406 \\ 406 \\ 407 \\ 407 \\ 407 \\ 407 \\ 407 \\ 408 \end{array}$
NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Adjustments to the Budget Request Reductions Increases Federal Workforce Restructuring Foster Panel Assessment of NNSA Reform Efforts	$\begin{array}{r} 406 \\ 406 \\ 407 \\ 407 \\ 407 \\ 407 \\ 407 \\ 408 \\ 409 \end{array}$
NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Adjustments to the Budget Request Reductions Increases Federal Workforce Restructuring Foster Panel Assessment of NNSA Reform Efforts Pit Manufacturing and Certification Campaign	$\begin{array}{r} 406\\ 406\\ 407\\ 407\\ 407\\ 407\\ 407\\ 408\\ 409\\ 409\\ 409\end{array}$
NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION OVERVIEW ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Adjustments to the Budget Request Reductions Increases Federal Workforce Restructuring Foster Panel Assessment of NNSA Reform Efforts	$\begin{array}{r} 406 \\ 406 \\ 407 \\ 407 \\ 407 \\ 407 \\ 407 \\ 408 \\ 409 \end{array}$

Page

VIV	
ЛIЛ	

	Page
Test Readiness	411
Tritium Readiness Campaign	412
ENVIRONMENTAL AND OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	412
ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST Adjustments to the Budget Request	$\begin{array}{c} 412 \\ 412 \end{array}$
Environmental Management Cleanup Reform Program	412
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS	414
Subtitle A—National Security Programs Authorizations	414
Section 3101—National Nuclear Security Administration	414
Section 3102—Environmental and Other Defense Activities	414
Subtitle B—Department of Energy National Security Authorizations Gen-	414
eral Provisions Section 3120—Short Title; Definitions	$414 \\ 414$
Section 3121—Reprogramming	414
Section 3122—Minor Construction Projects	415
Section 3123—Limits on Construction Projects	415
Section 3124—Fund Transfer Authority	415
Section 3125—Authority for Conceptual and Construction Design	415
Section 3126—Authority for Emergency Planning, Design, and Con- struction Activities	415
Section 3127—Funds Available for All National Security Programs of	410
the Department of Energy	415
Section 3128—Availability of Funds	415
Section 3129—Transfer of Defense Environmental Management Funds.	416
Section 3130—Transfer of Weapons Activities Funds	416
Section 3131—Scope of Authority to Carry Out Plant Projects	416
Subtitle C—Program Authorizations, Restrictions, and Limitations Section 3141—One-Year Extension of Panel to Assess the Reliability,	416
Safety, and Security of the United States Nuclear Stockpile	416
Section 3142—Transfer to National Nuclear Security Administration	110
of the Department of Defense's Cooperative Threat Reduction Pro-	
gram Relating to Elimination of Weapons Grade Plutonium in Rus-	
	416
Section 3143—Repeal of Requirement for Reports on Obligations of Funds for Programs on Fissile Materials in Russia	417
Section 3144—Annual Certification to the President and Congress on	417
the Condition of the United States Nuclear Weapons Stockpile	417
Section 3145—Plan for Achieving One-Year Readiness for Resumption	
by the United States of Underground Nuclear Weapons Tests	417
Subtitle D—Provisions Relating to Defense Environmental Management .	417
Section 3151-Defense Environmental Management Cleanup Reform	41.7
Program Section 3152—Report on Status of Environmental Management Initia-	417
tives to Accelerate the Reduction of Environmental Risks and Chal-	
lenges Posed by the Legacy of the Cold War	418
TITLE XXXII—DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD	418
ITEM OF SPECIAL INTEREST	418
Full Funding for Retiree Costs in the Fiscal Year 2003 Budget Request	418
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS	419
Section 3201—Authorization TITLE XXXIII—NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE	$419 \\ 419$
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS	419
Section 3301—Authorized Uses Of Stockpile Funds	419
TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES	419
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS	419
Section 3401—Authorization of Appropriations	419
TITLE XXXV—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST	$\begin{array}{c} 419 \\ 419 \end{array}$
Full Funding for Retiree Costs in the Fiscal Year 2003 Budget	419
Blanket Approval of Vessel Time Charters	420
Blanket Approval of Vessel Time Charters Financial Assistance to States to Prepare Vessels for Use as Artificial	
Fish Reefs	420
Loan Guarantee Program	421
Marketing Efforts to Reduce Potential Losses	421
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS Section 3501—Authoirization of Appropriations for Fiscal Year 2003	$\begin{array}{c} 422 \\ 422 \end{array}$
Section 3502—Authorization of Transfer the USS Sphinx	422
······································	

	Page
Section 3503-Financial Assistance to States for Preparation of Obso-	
lete Vessels for Use as Artificial Reefs	422
Section 3504—Independent Analysis of Title XI Insurance Guarantee	
Applications	422
Departmental Data	422
Department of Defense Authorization Request	422
Military Construction Authorization Request	423
Committee Position	424
Communications From Other Committees	424
Fiscal Data	430
Congressional Budget Office Estimate	430
Congressional Budget Office Cost Estimate	430
Committee Cost Estimate	448
Oversight Findings	448
General Performance Goals and Objectives	449
Constitutional Authority Statement	450
Statement of Federal Mandates	450
Roll Call Votes	451
Changes in Existing Law Made by the Bill, as Reported	460
Additional views of Ike Skelton, John Spratt, Lane Evans, Neil Abercrombie,	
Marty Meehan, Thomas H. Allen, Loretta Sanchez, Ellen O. Tauscher,	
Robert A. Brady, Robert E. Andrews, Baron P. Hill, James R. Langevin,	
Rick Larsen, Adam Smith, Robert A. Underwood	580
Additional views of Ike Skelton, Robert E. Andrews, Lane Evans, Ellen O.	
Tauscher, Marty Meehan, Vic Snyder, John Spratt, Susan A. Davis, Rick	
Larsen, Silvestre Reyes, James H. Maloney, John B. Larson, Loretta	
Sanchez, Baron P. Hill, Thomas H. Allen, Robert A. Brady, Cynthia A.	
McKinney, Adam Smith, James R. Langevin, Ciro D. Rodriguez	582
Additional views of W. Todd Akin	585
Dissenting views of Cynthia A. McKinney	586

### XX

107TH CONGRESS 2d Session

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Report 107–436

### NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2003

MAY 3, 2002.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

Mr. STUMP, from the Committee on Armed Services, submitted the following

### REPORT

### together with

### ADDITIONAL AND DISSENTING VIEWS

### [To accompany H.R. 4546]

### [Including cost estimate of the Congressional Budget Office]

The Committee on Armed Services, to whom was referred the bill (H.R. 4546) to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2003 for military activities of the Department of Defense, and for military construction, to prescribe military personnel strengths for fiscal year 2003, and for other purposes, having considered the same, report favorably thereon with amendments and recommend that the bill as amended do pass.

The amendments are as follows:

The amendment strikes all after the enacting clause off the bill and inserts a new text which appears in italic type in the reported bill.

The title of the bill is amended to reflect the amendment to the text of the bill.

### EXPLANATION OF THE COMMITTEE AMENDMENTS

The committee adopted an amendment in the nature of a substitute during the consideration of H.R. 4546. The title of the bill is amended to reflect the amendment to the text of the bill. The remainder of the report discusses the bill, as amended.

### PURPOSE

The bill would—(1) Authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2003 for procurement and for research, development, test and evaluation (RDT&E); (2) Authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2003 for operation and maintenance (O&M) and for working capital funds; (3) Authorize for fiscal year 2003: (a) the personnel strength for each active duty component of the military departments; (b) the personnel strength for the Selected Reserve for each reserve component of the armed forces; (c) the military training student loads for each of the active and reserve components of the military departments; (4) Modify various elements of compensation for military personnel and impose certain requirements and limitations on personnel actions in the defense establishment; (5) Authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2003 for military construction and family housing; (6) Authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2003 for the Department of Energy national security programs; (7) Modify provisions related to the National Defense Stockpile; and (8) Authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2003 for the Maritime Administration.

### RELATIONSHIP OF AUTHORIZATION TO APPROPRIATIONS

The bill does not generally provide budget authority. The bill authorizes appropriations. Subsequent appropriation acts provide budget authority. The bill addresses the following categories in the Department of Defense budget: procurement; research, development, test and evaluation; operation and maintenance; working capital funds, military personnel; and military construction and family housing. The bill also addresses Department of Energy National Security Programs and the Maritime Administration.

Active duty and reserve personnel strengths authorized in this bill and legislation affecting compensation for military personnel determine the remaining appropriation requirements of the Department of Defense. However, this bill does not provide authorization of specific dollar amounts for personnel.

### SUMMARY OF AUTHORIZATION IN THE BILL

The President requested budget authority of \$396.8 billion for the national defense budget function for fiscal year 2003. Of this amount, the President requested \$378.3 billion for the Department of Defense, including \$9.0 billion for military construction and family housing. Of this amount requested for the Department of Defense, \$10.0 billion has been designated as a reserve fund and will receive separate treatment pending submission of a detailed budget request. The defense budget request for fiscal year 2003 also included \$16.4 billion for Department of Energy national security programs and the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board.

The committee recommends an overall level of \$382.8 billion in budget authority. This amount represents an increase of approximately \$39.5 billion from the amount authorized for appropriation by the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107–107).

### SUMMARY TABLE OF AUTHORIZATIONS

The following table provides a summary of the amounts requested and that would be authorized for appropriation in the bill (in the column labeled "Budget Authority Implication of Committee Recommendation") and the committee's estimate of how the committee's recommendations relate to the budget totals for the national defense function. For purposes of estimating the budget authority implications of committee action, the table reflects the numbers contained in the President's budget for proposals not in the committee's legislative jurisdiction.

## SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FY 2003 (Dollars in Thousands)

Account Title	FY 2003 Authorization Request	FY 2003 Budget Authority Request	Committee Change From Request	FY 2003 Committee Recommendation	FY 2003 BA Implication Committee of Committee Recommendation
PROCUREMENT					
Aircraft Procurement, Army	2,061,027	2,061,027	239,300	2,300,327	2,300,327
Missile Procurement, Army	1,642,296	1,642,296	51,600	1,693,896	1,693,896
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	2,248,558	2,248,558	124,400	2,372,958	2,372,958
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	1,159,426	1,159,426	160,600		1,320,026
Other Procurement, Army	5,168,453	5,168,453	950,994	6,119,447	6,119,447
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Army					
Operation & Maintenance	974,238	974,238	(974,238)	0	0
Procurement	213,278	213,278	(213,278)	0	0
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	302,683	302,683	(302,683)	0	0
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	8,203,955	8,203,955	767,600	8,971,555	8,971,555
Weapons Procurement, Navy	1,832,617	1,832,617	84,000	1,916,617	1,916,617
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps	1,015,153	1,015,153	89,300	1,104,453	1,104,453
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy	8,191,194	8,191,194	1,088,300	9,279,494	9,279,494
Other Procurement, Navy	4,347,024	4,347,024	180,739	4,527,763	4,527,763
Procurement, Marine Corps	1,288,383	1,288,383	63,600	1,351,983	1,351,983
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	12,067,405	12,067,405	455,350	12,522,755	12,522,755
Missile Procurement, Air Force	3,575,162	3,575,162	(92,523)		3,482,639
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	1,133,864	1,133,864	43,000	1,176,864	1,176,864
Other Procurement, Air Force	10,523,946	10,523,946	383,784	10,907,730	10,907,730
Procurement, Defense-wide	2,688,515	2,688,515	(67,506)	2,621,009	2,621,009
Procurement, National Guard & Reserve Equipment	0	0	0	0	o
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense					
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	974,238	974,238	974,238
Procurement	0	0	213,278	213,278	213,278
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	0	0	302,683	302,683	302,683
Procurement, Defense Health Program	278,742	0	0	278,742	0
Procurement, Office of the Inspector General	2,000	0	0	2,000	0
Defense Production Act Purchases	0	73,057	0	0	73,057
Total Procurement	68,917,919	68,710,234	4,522,538	73,440,457	73,232,772

# SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FY 2003 (Dollars in Thousands)

Account Title	FY 2003 Authorization Request	FY 2003 Budget Authority Request	Committee Change From Request	FY 2003 Committee Recommendation	BA Implication of Committee Recommendation
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION					
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army	6,918,494	6,918,494	14,825	6,933,319	6,933,319
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy	12,501,630	12,501,630	772,910	13,274,540	13,274,540
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Air Force	17,601,233	17,601,233	1,201,951	18,803,184	18,803,184
Research, Development, Test & Evatuation, Defense-wide	16,613,551	16,613,551	577,686	17,191,237	17,191,237
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense Health Program	67,214	0	0	67,214	0
Operational Test & Evaluation, Defense	222,054	222,054	0	222,054	222,054
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	53,924,176	53,856,962	2,567,372	56,491,548	56,424,334
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE & WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS					
Operation and Maintenance					
Operation and Maintenance, Army	24,581,055	24,581,055	(421.322)	24,159,733	24,159,733
Operation and Maintenance, Navy	29,028,813	29,028,813	400,063		29,428,876
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	3,357,952	3,357,952	230,560		3,588,512
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	27,304,623	27,304,623	(5,219)	2	27,299,404
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide	14,515,304	14,515,304	(145,267)	14,370,037	14,370,037
Office of the Inspector General	163,440	165,440	(8,275)	155,165	157,165
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	1,923,330	1,923,330	(5,220)	) 1,918,110	1,918,110
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	1,165,961	1,165,961	67,798	1,233,759	1,233,759
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	185,532	185,532	0	185,532	185,532
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	2,190,917	2,190,917	3,802		2,194,719
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	4,136,822	4,136,822	163,945	4,300,767	4,300,767
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	4,150,961	4,150,961	(73,116)	4,077,845	4,077,845
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces	9,925	9,925	(311)	9,614	9,614
Environmental Restoration, Army	395,900	395,900	0	395,900	395,900
Environmental Restoration, Navy	256,948	256,948	0	256,948	256,948
Environmental Restoration, Air Force	389,773	389,773	0	389,773	389,773
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide	23,498	23,498	0	23,498	23,498
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites	212,102	212,102	0	212,102	212,102
Drug Interdiction and Counter-drug Activities, Defense	848,907	848,907	0	848,907	848,907
Defense Health Program	14,360,271	14,706,227	(117,730)	14,242,541	14,588,497
Cooperative Threat Reduction	416,700	416,700	0	416,700	416,700
Support for International Sporting Competitions. Defense	19,000	19.000	0	19.000	19.000

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FY 2003 (Dollars in Thousands)	
--	--

Account Title	FY 2003 Authorization Request	FY 2003 Budget Authority Request	Committee Change From Request	FY 2003 BA Implication Committee of Committee Recommendation Recommendation	BA Implication of Committee Recommendation
Overseas Military Investment Recovery		0	0		
Disposal of DoD Reaf Property		16,000	0		16,000
Lease of DoD Real Property		14,000	0		14,000
Payment to Kaho' Olawe Island Fund	25,000		0	25,000	25,000
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, & Civic Aid	58,400		0	58,400	58,400
National Science Center, Army		30	0		30
Burdensharing		454,000	0		454,000
Rocky Mountain Arsenaí		6,000	0		6,000
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund	50,000	53,000	(20,000)	0	-
Defense Emergency Response Fund	20,055,000	20,055,000	(20,055,000)	0	-
Defense Cooperation		5,000	0	0	5,000
Subtotal Operation and Maintenance	149,826,134	150,672,120	(20,015,292)	129,810,842	130,653,828
Revolving and Management Funds					
Defense Working Capital Funds	2,338,759	2,338,759	(833,803)	1,504,956	1,504,956
National Defense Sealift Fund	934,129	934,129	0	934,129	934,129
National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund		(331,000)	0		(332,000)
Armed Forces Retirement Home			0		
Other			0		
Subtotal Revolving and Management Funds	3,272,888	2,941,888	(833,803)	2,439,085	2,107,085
Total Operation and Maintenance & Working Capital Funds	153,099,022	153,614,008	(20,849,095)	132,249,927	132,760,913
MILITARY PERSONNEL					
Military Personnel	94,295,658	94,295,658	(570,630)	93,725,028	93,725,028
Total Military Personnel	94.295.658	94.295.658	(570,630)	93.725.028	93,725,028

## SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FY 2003 (Dollars in Thousands)

Account Title	FY 2003 Authorization Request	FY 2003 Budget Authority Request	Committee Change From Request	FY 2003 Committee Recommendation	FY 2003 BA Implication Committee of Committee Recommendation
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION					
Military Construction, Army	1,476,521	1,476,521	44,912	1,521,433	1,521,433
Military Construction, Navy	895,131	895,131	350,454	1,245,585	1,245,585
Military Construction, Air Force	644,090	644,090	285,631	929,721	929,721
Military Construction, Defense-wide	687,535	740,535	92,361	779,896	832,896
Military Construction, Army National Guard	101,595	101,595	69, 198	170,793	170,793
Military Construction, Air National Guard	53,473	53,473	65,793	119,266	119,266
Military Construction, Army Reserve	58,779	58,779	28,010	86,789	86,789
Military Construction, Naval Reserve	51,554	51,554	15,417	66,971	66,971
Military Construction, Air Force Reserve	31,900	31,900	36,676	68,576	68,576
Base Realignment and Closure IV	545,138	545,138	0	545,138	545,138
NATO Security Investment Program	168,200	168,200	0	168,200	168,200
Total Military Construction	4,713,916	4,766,916	988,452	5,702,368	5,755,368
FAMILY HOUSING					
Family Housing Construction, Army	283,346	283,346	(4,920)	278,426	278,426
Family Housing Support, Army	1,122,274	1,122,274	0	1,122,274	1,122,274
Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine Corps	375,700	375,700	1,916	377,616	377,616
Family Housing Support, Navy and Marine Corps	867,788	867,788	0	867,788	867,788
Family Housing Construction, Air Force	676,694	676,694	4,348	681,042	681,042
Family Housing Support, Air Force	844,419	844,419	29,631	874,050	874,050
Family Housing Construction, Defense-wide	5,480	5,480	D	5,480	5,480
Family Housing Support, Defense-wide	42,432	42,432	0	42,432	42,432
Homeowners Assistance Fund	0	0	0		0
DoD Family Housing Improvement Fund	2,000	2,000	0	2,000	2,000
Total Family Housing	4,220,133	4,220,133	30,975	4,251,108	4,251,108
TOTAL MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING	8,934,049	8,987,049	1,019,427	9,953,476	10,006,476

SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FY 2003 (Dollars in Thousands)	DEFENSE AUTH( (Dollars in Thousands)	THORIZATIC <sup>nds)</sup>	ONS FOR F	Y 2003	
Account Title	FY 2003 Authorization Request	FY 2003 Budget Authority Request	Committee Change From Request	FY 2003 Committee Recommendation	BA Implication of Committee Recommendation
OTHER DOD MILITARY					
Spending of Card Refunds		10,000	0	D	0
General Transfer Authority [non-additive]		[2,000,000]			
National Security Education Trust Fund		8,000	0		8,000
Other Trust Funds		36,000	0		36,000
Interfund Transfers		0	0		0
Surcharge Collections		35,000	0		35,000
Foreign Employee Separation Pay		22,000	0		22,000
Voluntary Separation Incentive Trust Fund		159,000	0		159,000
Host Nation Support - Relocation		9,000	0		000'6
Offsetting Receipts and Other		(1,497,000)	0		(1,497,000)
Total Other DoD Military	0	(1,218,000)	0	0	(1,228,000)
TOTAL DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MILITARY (051)	379,170,824	378,245,911	(13,310,388)	365,860,436	364,921,523
ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES (053)					
Weapons Activities	5,869,379	5,869,379	67,621	5,937,000	5,937,000
Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management	4,558,360	4,558,360	(14,227)	4,544,133	4,544,133
Defense Environmental Management Privatization	158,399	158,399	0	158,399	158,399
Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal	315,000	315,000	0		315,000
Environmental Management Cleanup Reform	800,000	800,000	0		800,000
Other Defense Actitivities	472,156	472,156	(14,492)		457,664
Defense Facilities Closure Projects	1,091,314	1,091,314	0	1,091,314	1,091,314
NNSA Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation	1,113,630	1,113,630	(39,000)	1,074,630	1,074,630
Naval Reactors	708,020	708,020	(1,230)	706,790	706,790
Energy Employees Compensation Initiative - Admin Expenses		107,000	0		105,000
Energy Employees Compensation Initiative		662,000	0		662,000
RECA Portion of Energy vyorkets Comp Formerly Hilitard Sites Remedial Action Program		141 000	c		141 000
Office of the Administrator	347 705	347 705	(31 776)	315 929	315 929
Defense Nuclear Counterintelligence					2401010
Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board	19,494	19,494	(484)	19,000	19,000
TOTAL ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES (053)	15,453,457	16,363,457	(33,598)	15,419,859	16,327,859

## SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FY 2003 (Dollars in Thousands)

Account Title	FY 2003 Authorization Request	FY 2003 Budget Authority Request	Committee Change From Request	FY 2003 Committee Recommendation	BA Implication of Committee Recommendation
DEFENSE RELATED ACTIVITIES (054)					
Department of Justice - Radiation Exposure Compensation Trust Fund					
Payments to Individuals			0		Ð
Administrative Expenses		2,000	0		2,000
Salaries and Expenses					
Department of Justice - Radiation Exposure Compensation Trust Fund		143,000	0		143,000
Department of Justice - Federal Bureau of Investigation Special Program			0		0
Department of Justice - FBI Salaries and Expenses		490,000	0		473,000
Department of Justice - National Drug Intelligence Center		34,000	0		34,000
Department of Transportation - Coast Guard Operations		340,000	0		340,000
Department of Transportation - MARAD Maritime Security Program		000'66	0		000'66
Department of Commerce - Export Administration			0		0
Federal Emergency Management Agency			0		0
Salaries and Expenses	32,000	32,000	(32,000)	0	31,000
Planning and Assistance	19,000	19,000	(19,000)	0	19,000
CIA Retirement and Disability System		351,000	0		223,000
National Science Foundation - Antarctic research activities		68,000	0		68,000
Selective Service System - Salaries and Expenses		26,000	0		26,000
Intelligence Community Management Account		117,000	0		114,000
TOTAL DEFENSE-RELATED ACTIVITIES (054)	51,000	1,721,000	(51,000)	•	1,572,000
<u>TOTAL NATIONAL DEFENSE FUNCTION (060)</u>	394,675,281	396,330,368	(13,394,986)	381,280,295	382,821,382

### RATIONALE FOR THE COMMITTEE BILL

The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (H.R. 4546) demonstrates the committee's continuing responsibility and commitment to the national security of the United States in the wake of September 11th—a date that now marks the most lethal single attack on the United States in our nation's history.

H.R. 4546 is the first defense authorization bill in decades that was drafted with our country at war. Accordingly, this bill sends an important signal of unwavering support for the American soldiers, sailors, airmen, and Marines who are fighting the global war against terrorism. This commitment is evident by the fact that H.R. 4546 would authorize—

• The largest relative increase in defense spending since 1966;

• The largest defense budget (in inflation-adjusted terms) since fiscal year 1990;

• The fifth straight year of real increases in defense spending, after 13 consecutive years of real cuts to defense budgets; and

• The largest increase in military manpower since 1986.

The committee is convinced that the U.S. military is finally on the road to recovery, as the coming fiscal year will set a modern day high-water mark for the U.S. defense program. This bill would ensure that the Armed Forces are fully capable and ready to execute their assigned missions while transforming themselves to meet future threats; enhance the nation's ability to deter and defend against strategic threats, and to counter the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction; advance efforts to protect our homeland from a variety of threats; and support the President's campaign to defeat international terrorism.

The unconventional nature of the military campaign against terrorism required that Congress accordingly adjust its traditional budget process to allow for greater flexibility in financing the significant costs of these operations. In keeping with this approach, the committee divided the annual defense authorization bill into two separate components.

H.R. 4546 would authorize \$383.4 billion for defense activities during fiscal year 2003. This bill provides for the base defense budget for the upcoming fiscal year, and largely covers the costs associated with the normal rate of investment and operations of the Department of Defense. The second bill, H.R. 4547, the Cost of War on Terrorism Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003, will supplement H.R. 4546 by covering the known costs of continuing Operations NOBLE EAGLE and ENDURING FREEDOM, as well as miscellaneous DOD incremental costs directly associated with the war on terrorism. In addition, H.R. 4547 would serve as the legislative vehicle for further authorization action once the Administration submits a detailed budget proposal for the \$10 billion contingency fund identified in the budget request.

The committee believes this approach—dividing the annual defense bill into two discrete components—represents the most workable implementation of the flexible budgeting proposal contained in both the President's budget request and the House-passed Concurrent Resolution on the Budget. Equally important, this approach would facilitate appropriate Congressional oversight of this remaining portion of the proposed defense budget by ensuring regularorder consideration and action.

In keeping with this approach, the committee carefully scrutinized the base defense budget and identified a discrete category of items that, due to their direct relationship to the conduct of the war against terrorism, are more appropriately funded through this second bill, H.R. 4547. These items, which total \$3.4 billion of the \$10 billion expected to be authorized by H.R. 4547, would cover the following war-related costs: replacement weapons and equipment used or lost in the war; one-time upgrades or special operational costs; and personnel costs.

When combined, the core defense authorization bill, H.R. 4546, which is funded at \$383.4 billion, and the second defense authorization bill, H.R. 4547, which is expected to be funded at \$10 billion, would fully support the President's budget request of \$393.4 billion for the national defense function. The committee is pleased with the Administration's overall request for defense, but believes that even greater spending will be required to ensure that the military is properly manned, trained, equipped, and organized to deal not only with today's threats, but with emerging, unforeseen, and asymmetric ones as well.

### Strategic Defense, Deterrence, and Forces

H.R. 4546 would promote U.S. national security and strategic readiness by moving forward with research and development of multi-tiered missile defense systems, and implementing several of the findings and conclusions of the Nuclear Posture Review (NPR).

Recent reports by the Intelligence Community state that the ballistic missile threat to the United States continues to grow. A December, 2001, National Intelligence Estimate (NIE) concluded that "The probability that a missile with a weapon of mass destruction will be used against U.S. forces or interests is higher today than most of the Cold War, and it will continue to grow as the capabilities of potential adversaries mature." The NIE added that short and medium range ballistic missiles already pose a significant threat overseas to U.S. interests, military forces, and allies.

North Korean missiles under development are capable of striking the United States, and Iran could attempt to launch an Intercontinental Ballistic Missile (ICBM) by 2005. Both countries also have active nuclear weapons programs designed to develop nuclear warheads for their missiles. And were it not for international sanctions and prohibitions, Iraq would have similar capabilities—which have only been delayed by a few years because of Iraq's continuing, covert efforts.

Russia maintains the most robust ballistic missile force capable of reaching the United States, but resource problems, force structure decisions, and expected agreements with the United States will force a significant reduction in Russia's strategic forces over the next several years. Conversely, China continues its multi-year effort to modernize and expand its strategic forces, including the development of new road-mobile missiles and submarine-launched missiles.

As a result of these disturbing threat reports, and the urgent need to eliminate the United States vulnerability to ballistic missiles, the committee endorses the President's ballistic missile defense program, and supports the proposed layered defense system and realistic testing program. Therefore, the bill fully supports and marginally increases the President's \$7.8 billion budget request for missile defenses.

Beyond the constitutional and moral obligation to defend the nation, the committee believes that missile defenses are critical to deterring enemies from attacking the United States or its interests. Missile defenses also prevent adversaries from attempting to intimidate or blackmail the United States from acting in its interests by removing the enemy's missile trump card and changing their strategic calculus. Finally, missile defenses promote stability by dissuading other nations from building ballistic missiles in the first place, given that such endeavors become too costly and too risky if their missiles can be defeated.

The other component to effective strategic defenses and deterrence is robust, flexible, and capable nuclear forces. The Bush Administration's Nuclear Posture Review (NPR) of January 2002 provided a comprehensive road map to achieving the capabilities necessary for today's strategic environment, and to deal with future, unforeseen threats. The NPR calls for a new triad of offensive forces, defensive capabilities, and a reinvigorated nuclear industry/ infrastructure. The committee held hearings and received briefings from senior government officials, and other experts, on the need to revitalize the United States nuclear weapons industry, and to enhance our nuclear weapons infrastructure. As a result, the bill would, among other things, endorse the President's Nuclear Posture Review and task the Administration to develop a plan for enhanced nuclear test readiness.

### Combating Terrorism

Recently, the Director of Central Intelligence (DCI) appeared before Congress and stated that the al Qaeda terrorist network is the most immediate and serious threat that the country faces, that it will continue to attack the United States and our interests abroad, and that its pursuit of weapons of mass destruction is particularly alarming. The DCI added that American military facilities around the world are at particular risk. This is not surprising given the attack on the USS *Cole* in Yemen two years ago, the 1996 attack on the Khobar Towers in Saudi Arabia, and the fact that the U.S. military is the most visible symbol of American strength and values.

Given these threats, both here in the United States and abroad, the committee bill endorses the President's efforts to combat terrorism by supporting the Department of Defense's request of \$7.3 billion for combating terrorism activities. This request includes \$6.0 billion for antiterrorism, \$676.0 million for counterterrorism, \$360.0 million for terrorism consequence management, and \$223.0 million for counterintelligence efforts.

The antiterrorism measures proposed by the Department include increased security and vigilance for key personnel, installations, and equipment. These activities will complement the actions of other federal agencies and friendly nations.

The committee supports the Department's plans for terrorism consequence management, which are focused on two major areas:

the preparedness of installation emergency responders to mitigate the effects of an attack involving chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear, or high-yield explosives; and the support provided to a lead federal agency when foreign, state, and local governments request assistance.

The Department defines counterterrorism as "offensive measures taken to prevent, deter, and respond to terrorism." The committee endorses this definition, recognizes the Department's exceptional history with regard to counterterrorism operations; applauds the military's current efforts in Afghanistan, the Philippines, and elsewhere; and supports the Department's efforts to ensure U.S. Special Operations Forces are the best manned, trained, armed, equipped, and supported in the world.

Good intelligence is the first line of defense against terrorism. As such, the committee supports the Department's efforts to improve intelligence collection, analysis, and dissemination to commanders in a timely manner.

### Putting People First

The committee believes that the key to capable and flexible armed forces is the people—military and civilian alike, and the families that stand with them—who have dedicated themselves to the defense of the country. As such, the committee believes that the Department of Defense must take special care to ensure that their readiness, morale and quality of life are enhanced.

The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 would not only ensure that our military personnel are well trained and equipped, but also reflects the committee's concern about the impact that the war on terrorism is having on service members and their families. Despite years of overuse and budgetary neglect, the Armed Forces have performed magnificently in the wake of the September 11th attacks on the nation. Notwithstanding their exceptional successes in Afghanistan, and their deployments to the Philippines, Georgia, and Central Asia, the committee believes that wartime operations have exacerbated the same debilitating stresses of high operations and personnel tempos that existed before the global war on terrorism.

The Committee fully supports the Secretary's efforts to reduce operational and mission requirements, and to free up force structure to reduce the "tooth to tail" ratio. Accordingly, the committee bill would increase active duty end strength by 12,600 from the requested levels, and provide an additional \$550.0 million to support this increase.

Because "people" are the key to a great military force, this bill gives the Department the resources it needs to recruit and retain quality people at all levels, improve the quality of life for service members and their families, and to take better care of military retirees and veterans. As in the past, the committee bill would provide a pay raise that combines both across-the-board and targeted increases for mid-grade noncommissioned officers and officers. The bill would also reduce out-of-pocket housing expenses for military personnel, authorize concurrent receipt of military retired pay and disability payments for the most severely disabled military retirees, and implement several changes to improve health care for military personnel.

Finally, taking care of our military personnel also means providing them with the best equipment, weapons, resources, and technology available to do their jobs and accomplish their missions. But given the billions of dollars of unfunded requirements, coupled with the need to fight a war abroad and protect the homeland from terrorism, adequate military readiness will be difficult to sustain over the long term. Many of the military's weapons systems and platforms are long past their initial design lifespan, so maintenance problems and costs will continue to degrade mission capability. That said, the committee enhanced the President's budget request by providing the additional investments in the technology and weapons that will give our soldiers, sailors, airmen and Marines the advantages on the modern battlefield that will help guarantee success. The committee remains committed to making even larger investments in the future to ensure that our service members remain the best armed, equipped, and capable in the world.

### Conclusion

In H.R. 4546, the committee has focused its efforts on improving military readiness, taking care of DOD personnel and their families, strengthening our strategic defenses and forces, combating terrorism, stemming the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction, and preparing for an extended war against terrorism. As a result, the committee believes its message is clear—that the House Armed Services Committee is completely committed to defending the United States, the American people, and our interests by restoring the strength and improving the capabilities of our nation's Armed Forces.

### HEARINGS

Committee consideration of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 results from hearings that began on February 6, 2002 and that were completed on April 11, 2002. The full committee conducted 8 sessions. In addition, a total of 22 sessions were conducted by five different subcommittees and two panels of the committee on various titles of the bill.

### DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION

### TITLE I—PROCUREMENT

### **OVERVIEW**

The fiscal year 2003 Department of Defense (DOD) procurement request subject to authorization was \$68,637.2 million, \$6,430.3 million higher than the fiscal year 2002 authorization.

The budget request also included \$3,382.4 million in procurement-related equipment and items, as part of the Defense Emergency Response Fund request, resulting in a \$9,812.7 million budget request increase over the fiscal year 2002 authorized level.

The committee increased the authorization for procurement by \$2,933.3 million and transferred \$1,793.2 million from procurement

to H.R. 4547, for a net increase to the budget request of \$4,522.5 million, and a total procurement authorization of \$73,440.5 million.

TITLE ! - PROCUREMENT	(Dollars in Thousands)
-----------------------	------------------------

	FY2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY MISSU F PROCUREMENT, ARMY	2,061,027 1 642 296	239,300	2,300,327 1 603 806
PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY	2.248.558	124,400	2.372.958
PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY	1,159,426	160,600	1,320,026
OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY	5,168,453	950,994	6,119,447
CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, ARMY	1,490,199	(1,490,199)	
TOTAL ARMY	13,769,959	36,695	13,806,654
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY	8,203,955	767,600	8,971,555
WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY	1,832,617	84,000	1,916,617
PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY & MARINE CORPS	1,015,153	89,300	1,104,453
SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY	8,191,194	1,088,300	9,279,494
OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY	4,347,024	180,739	4,527,763
PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS	1,288,383	63,600	1,351,983
ΤΟΤΑL ΝΑΥΥ	24,878,326	2,273,539	27,151,865
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	12,067,405	455,350	12,522,755
PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE	1,133,864	43,000	1,176,864
MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	3,575,162	(92,523)	3,482,639
OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	10,523,946	383,784	10,907,730
TOTAL AIR FORCE	27,300,377	789,611	28,089,988
PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEF	2,688,515 -	(67,506) 1,490,199	2,621,009 1,490,199
TOTAL DEFENSE-WIDE	2,688,515	1,422,693	4,111,208
PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM	278,742		278,742
PROCUREMENT, OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL	2,000		2,000
GRAND TOTAL DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE	68,917,919	4,522,538	73,440,457

### AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY

### Overview

The budget request contained \$2,061.0 million for Aircraft Pro-curement, Army in fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends authorization of \$2,300.3 million for fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on afford-ability considerations.

5	PROGRAM TITLE	AUTH R	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	ITTEE FROM JEST	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION	003 ITTEE INDATION
		QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY						
	AIRCRAFT						
	FIXED WING						
	UTILITY F/W (MR) AIRCRAFT						•
	ROTARY						
	UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP)	12	176,408	80	115,300	20	291,708
	UH-60L ARNG			[+2]	[+52,600]	[+2]	[+52,600]
	HH-60L ARNG			(e+	[+47,700]	[+3]	[+47,700]
	UH-60L simulator				[+15,000]		[+15,000]
2	UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP)	,	(23,047)				(23,047
	UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP)		•			•	
e	UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP)					•	
	UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP)	,	,			•	'
	UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP)		,			,	•
	IIH-BU BI ACKHAWK (MYP)	•				,	
	LIH-ED RI ACKHAWK (MYP)	,	21.134			,	21.134
		1	2 EN7				2 507
			3 218				3.218
			2 4 2	Q	00000		0000
	HELIVOPIEM NEW INANNA	1	•	0.9.7	1.0 ADOI	0 19-1	9,000 L.0 8001
				[o+]	[nna's+]	[o+]	1+3,00
1	TOTAL AIRCRAFT		180,220		124,900		305,120
	MODIFICATION OF AIRCHAFT						
ю	GUARDRAIL MODS (TIARA)		9,229		5,000	1	14,229
	DERF				[+5,000]		[+2'000]
9	ARL MODS (TIABA)		20,873			,	20,873
~	AH1F MODS					,	
æ	AH-64 MODS	,	93,622		39,000		132,622
	DSC / HUMS				[+8,000]		[+8,000]
	0008				[+5,000]		[+2,000]
	Combination Magazine / Crashworthy Fuel Tanks				[+18,000]		[+18,000]
	TESS ARNG				[+8,000]		[+8,000]
co o	CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS	1	399,783		13,500	,	413,283
	Crashworthy Crew Seats				[+13,500]		[+13,50
6	CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS	,	(17,722)		•	•	(17,722)
10	CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS	,				,	

NG REAL COST 01Y. COST 01Y. COST 01Y. COST 01/165 - 16,954 460 - 7460 - 7460 - 7460 - 7460 - 41,663 - 7,697 - 7,697 - 7,697 - 7,697 - 7,697 - 7,697 - 7,697 - 7,697 - 7,697 - 7,694 - 7,738 - 7,738 - 7,738 - 7,738 - 7,738 - 7,738 - 7,738 - 7,7394 - 7,738 -	Line	PROGRAM TITLE	FY AUTHO REG	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	TEE FROM ST	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION	003 ITTEE NDATION
CH-77 CARGO HELICOFTER MODS       21,185         CH-77 CARGO AIRPLANE MODS       16,954         UTILITY/CARGO AIRPLANE MODS       16,954         UTILITY/CARGO AIRPLANE MODS       16,954         AIRCRAFT LONG RANGE MODS       16,954         AIRCRAFT LONG RANGE MODS       682,007         AIRCRAFT LONG RANGE MODS       682,007         AIRCRAFT LONG RANGE MODS       682,007         LONGBOW       29,713         UH-1 MODS       24,406         AIRDANK ANDONS       24,406         AIRDANK ANDONS       29,700         AIRDANK ANDONS       20,414         AIRDANK ANDONS       70,414         AIRDANK ANDULUP       70,414         AIRDANK ANDULUP       70,414         ORMA REPARTE AND			<u>01Y.</u>	COST	QTY.	- COST	QTY.	COST
CH47/ICH     16,47/ICH       UTUT/ICH     16,564       ABICIANT LONG FANCER ANDS     16,564       ABICIANT LONG FANCER MODS     740       ABICIANT LONG FANCE MODS     82,077       ABICIANT LONG FANCE MODS     82,077       ADMEDIANT LONG FANCE MODS     82,077       LONGBOW     23,713       LONGBOW     23,713       LONGBOW     74,005       LONGBON     70,414       Dataster Upgrada     70,414       ATIM ROLLP     7,697	CH-47 CARG	O HELICOPTER MODS		21,185				21,185
10,864 10,864 14,865 14,865 14,865 14,865 14,865 14,14 14,865 14,14 14,865 14,14 14,863 14,14 14,863 14,14 14,863 14,14 14,165 14,14 14,165 14,14 14,165 14,14 14,165 14,14 14,165	CH-47 ICH		•	•				'
460 460 62,004 62,004 62,014 64,014 64,0	UTILITY/CAR	GO AIRPLANE MODS		16,954				16,954
7,697 1,000 1,	OH-58 MODS		z	460			,	460
882,007 882,007 28,200 29,166 29,166 29,7005 1,662 1,662 1,662 1,662 1,662 1,662 1,663 1,664 1,663 1,7697 1,664 1,663 1,7697 1,663 1,7697 1,663 1,7697 1,663 1,7697 1,663 1,7697 1,777 1,7697 1,777 1,7697 1,777 1,7	AIRCRAFT L(	DNG RANGE MODS		744				744
(26.226) (26.226) (26.226) (29.2006 (1.663 (1.663 (1.667 (1.697	LONGBOW		,	892,007				892,007
29.713 29.713 29.7003 97,003 7,14 7,697 7,798 7,697 7,798 7,697 7,798 7,697 7,798 7,697 7,798 7,697 7,798 7,798 7,798 7,697 7,798 7,697 7,798 7,799 7,	LONGBOW		•	(26,226)				(26,226)
29,713 29,700 1,663 1,663 1,663 1,663 1,663 1,664 1,664 1,663 1,664 1,663 1,664 1,663 1,664 1,663 1,664 1,663 1,663 1,700 1,663 1,700 1,663 1,700 1,166 1,166 1,1663 1,1664 1,1663 1,1664	LONGBOW		,				,	
28.713 2. 28.713 4.1.663 4.1.663 4.1.663 7.003 6. 7 7.697 1.1.692,308 1.1.692 1.1.6	LONGBOW						,	1
а 41,863 42,406 97,003 97,003 1,1,863 1,1,863 1,1,863 1,1,863 1,1,863 1,1,863 1,1,863 1,1,863 1,1,863 1,1,863 1,1,863 1,1,863 1,1,863 1,1,863 1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,	LONGBOW		,	29,713			,	29,713
42,406 42,406 42,406 42,406 42,406 42,406 42,406 42,406 42,406 42,406 42,406 42,406 41,4 41,4 41,4 41,4 41,4 41,4 41,4 41,4 41,6 41,7 41,6 41,6 41,6 41,6 41,6 41,6 41,6 41,6 41,6 41,6 41,6 41,6 41,6 41,6 41,6 41,6 41,6 41,7 41,6 41,6 41,6 41,7 41,6 41,6 41,7 41,7	UH-1 MODS		ı	,			,	•
с	UH-60 MODS		ł	41,863		8,000	·	49,863
на станования и	Crashwort	ny fuel tanks Active / ARNG				[+6,000]		[+6,000]
ES 22,700 7,697 1,592,308 1,592,308 1,597 1,59	Deicing Sy	stem Upgrade				[+2,000]		[+2,000]
e 57,003 e 70,414 e 70,714 e 70,7	KIOWA WAR	ROF		42,406			,	42,406
ES 1.0414 1.092.303 1.092.303 1.097 1.097 1.097 1.094 1.004 1.0	AIRBORNE A	VIONICS	,	97,003			×	97,003
- 70,414 - 70,414 - 7,697 - 7,798 - 7,	ASE MODS (;	SIRFC)	,	•			,	'
с 70,414 1,592,308 1,592,308 1,592,308 1,592,308 1,597 1,597 1,597 1,599 1,5091 1,5091 1,5091	GATM			•			,	•
L 1.002 308 1.002 308 1.002 308 1.002 308 1.002 308 1.002 308 1.002 308 1.002 308 1.002 1	GATM ROLLI	đ		70,414			k	70,414
PARTS         7,697           PARTS         7,697           Aclutries         7,697           Aclutries         7,697           UPMENT         7,694           Sets         27,738           Enol         7,494           Art         7,494           Art         7,494           Art         7,494	TOTAL MOD	FICATION OF AIRCRAFT		1,692,308		65,500		1,757,808
7,697 7,794 1	SPARES ANI SPARE PART	3 REPAIR PARTS 'S (AIR)	,	7,697			,	7,697
- 27.738 - 7.494 - 7.494 - 18.091 - 18.091	TOTAL SPA	RES AND REPAIR PARTS		7,697				7,697
	GROUND SU	PPORT AVIONICS						
	AHCHAFI &	URVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT	,	r		5,000	,	5,000
- 227.738 - 7,494 - 18,091 - 18,091	AN / AVH	ZA Laser Detecting Sets				[1+5,0U0]		[+5,000]
- 27.738 - 7.494 - 18.091 	OTHER SUP		Ŧ					,
7,494 [ 18.091 [	AIRBORNE C	OMMAND & CONTROL	,	27,738				27.738
- 18,091	AVIONICS SI	JPPORT EQUIPMENT	•	7,494		8,000		15,494
	ANVIS Go	ggles octinus ecclusionent				[+8,000]		[+8,000]
	AFICREW IN	AIRCREW INTEGRATED SYSTEMS		15,031		1004 20	•	10,01

Line		AUTHO	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMIN CHANGI REQI	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY: COMA RECOMM	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
		QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
	HGU-56-P IHADSS ARNG				[+1.300]		[+1.300]
٣	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL		64,410			,	64.410
R	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	,	704				704
ŝ	LAUNCHER, 2.75 ROCKET	،	2.677			,	2 677
8	AIRBORNE COMMUNICATIONS		44,473		8,500	,	52,973
	ARC 220 HF Radio				[+8.500]		[+8.500]
ß	CLOSED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENT	,				·	
	TOTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES		180,802		48,900		229,702
	TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY		2,061,027		239,300		2,300,327

### **Items of Special Interest**

### AH–64 modifications

The budget request contained \$93.6 million for AH–64 modifications, but included no funds to procure the digital source collector (DSC) health usage monitoring system (HUMS); nor the oil debris detection system (ODDS); nor combination ammunition storage magazine and crashworthy ballistically, self-sealing, internal auxiliary fuel tanks; nor a tactical engagement simulation system (TESS) for the Army National Guard (ARNG).

The DSC-HUMS, a pre-planned product improvement for the vibration management enhancement program, is an on-board, crashsurvivable memory unit that records voice, flight data, and electronic diagnostic data from the 1533 multiplex data bus. The system aids post mishap investigations and troubleshooting field maintenance failures.

The ODDS is an on-board detection system that alerts aircrews to the presence of metal chips in engines and propeller gear boxes, which allows flights to be terminated prior to catastrophic failure of critical components. The system also permits the clearing of smaller particles that routinely accumulate in engine oil and cause false impending engine failure alarms resulting in unnecessary termination of aircraft missions and costly engine diagnostics.

The committee notes that requirements for additional combination ammunition storage magazine and crashworthy ballistically, self-sealing, internal auxiliary fuel tanks exist for both AH–64A Apache and AH–64D Apache Longbow aircraft. These tanks replace externally-mounted, non-crashworthy, non self-sealing fuel tanks and are currently installed in 101st Airborne Division (Air Assualt) Apaches operating in Operation Enduring Freedom and provide a 26 percent increase in additional fuel capacity while preserving the capability to carry 300 rounds of 30 millimeter ammunition.

The TESS is an advanced imbedded training system to enhance combat training and readiness for Apache aircrews and provides communications, decentralized engagement tracking and prosecution, and real time casualty assessment, which enables aircrews to conduct collective training at their home stations. The committee believes that the TESS would allow ARNG pilots to train more proficiently and help maintain similar proficiency levels of active component AH-64 aircrews.

The committee recommends \$132.6 million for AH–64 modifications, an increase of \$8.0 million to procure DSC-HUMS; an increase of \$8.0 million in PE 64746A for continued development of DSC-HUMS for the Apache Longbow; an increase of \$5.0 million to procure ODDS; an increase of \$18.0 million to procure combination ammunition storage magazine and crashworthy ballistically, selfsealing, internal auxiliary fuel tanks; and \$8.0 million for one TESS for the ARNG, for a total increase of \$39.0 million for procurement upgrades for the AH–64 Apache fleet.

### Airborne communications

The budget request contained \$44.5 million for the procurement of 10 AN/ARC-220 aviation non-line-of-sight (NLOS) high frequency (HF) radios, 262 AN/VRC-100 NLOS HF ground radios and associated A-kits for installation of AN/ARC-220 radios into AH-64 Apache attack helicopters.

The AN/ARC-220 aviation NLOS HF radio provides secure voice and data communications between Army helicopters flying nap-ofthe-earth missions and beyond-line-of-sight tactical operations centers. The committee notes that the Task Force Hawk after action report identified the lack of such capability in AH-64 Apache attack helicopters as a major deficiency for conducting long-range strike missions. Further, the committee understands that the Army has recently increased its basis of issue of the AN/ARC-220 radio to one per AH-64 Apache versus the earlier basis of issue of one per every two aircraft.

Based on the Task Force Hawk shortfalls, the increased requirement, and the committee's belief that this communications requirement is essential to effectively employ Apache aircraft for deep strike missions and ground offensives, the committee recommends \$53.0 million, an increase of \$8.5 million, to procure an additional 375 AN/ARC-220 aviation NLOS HF radios.

### *Aircraft survivability equipment (ASE)*

The budget request contained no funds to procure ASE.

The AN/AVR–2A laser detecting set (LDS) is the only device in the Army capable of providing warning to helicopter crews when they have been illuminated by a laser-targeted weapon. It detects, identifies, and characterizes threats 360-degrees-around and plusor-minus 45 degrees above-and-below an aircraft.

The committee continues to be concerned with the growing laser threat to helicopter aircrews and notes the limited fielding of this system to force package one aircraft only. The committee understands that the Army plans to budget for LDS beginning in fiscal year 2004 to complete LDS kit installation on the AH–64D Apache Longbow and UH–60M Blackhawk helicopters. Based on a growing laser threat to Army helicopters and consistent with prior year actions, the committee recommends an increase of \$5.0 million to accelerate procurement and fielding of AN/AVR–2A LDS kits.

### *Aircrew integrated systems*

The budget request contained \$15.2 million for the procurement of aircrew integrated systems, but included no funds to either procure cockpit airbag systems (CABS) or HGU–56P, AH–64 Apache helicopter integrated helmet and display sight subsystems (IHADSS) for the Army National Guard (ARNG).

The CABS is a crash-activated, inflatable protection system, which provides head and body supplemental restraint for helicopter aviators, reducing death and injury caused by the body and head impacting against cockpit structures in the event of a crash or hard landing. The committee is highly supportive of technological advances that contribute to improved aircraft crashworthiness and aircrew safety, and, also notes that the Army Chief of Staff has identified \$26.1 million for the procurement of CABS as a top fiscal year 2003 unfunded priority. The IHADSS is comprised of an AH– 64A Apache helicopter flight helmet, which provides crash protection and noise attenuation and a small monocular display which provides line-of-sight as well as flight critical video and symbology information to the pilot. The committee believes these helmets provide aviators with better situational awareness and safety of flight information and note that not all ARNG Apache units have these helmets.

The committee recommends \$42.6 million for aircrew integrated systems, an increase of \$26.1 million to procure CABS and \$1.3 million for the procurement of 64 IHADSS for the ARNG.

### Avionics support equipment

The budget request contained \$7.5 million to procure AN/AVS-6(V)3 aviator's night vision imaging systems (ANVIS) and AN/AVS-7 heads-up displays.

AVS-7 heads-up displays. The AN/AVS-6(V)3 ANVIS is a helmet-mounted, twin-tube, image-intensified (I2), generation IV, night vision system that significantly enhances night flight operations in conditions that vary from overcast starlight to strong urban lighting. Currently fielded systems comprise older generation II or generation III night vision technology. AN/AVS-6(V)3 ANVIS generation IV technology provides a 200 percent improvement in visual acuity and range performance in high light levels and a 65 percent improvement in visual acuity and range performance in low light levels over current technology, fielded from fiscal years 1985 through 1993.

The committee believes that improved safety of flight operations would result from this enhanced generation IV technology and recommends \$15.5 million, an increase of \$8.0 million, to accelerate the procurement of AN/AVS-6(V)3 ANVIS.

### CH-47 cargo helicopter modifications

The budget request contained \$382.1 million for MH-47/CH-47F special operations and cargo helicopter modifications, but included no funds for crashworthy crew seats.

While existing pilot and co-pilot seats offer some protection in the event of a hard impact landing or a crash, crew chiefs and load master personnel do not have crashworthy crew seats to provide increased protection from the acceleration forces created by such a landing or crash, thereby avoiding serious injuries or, in extreme cases, fatalities to soldiers. The committee notes that the Army Chief of Staff has identified a fiscal year 2003 unfunded requirement to accelerate procurement of crashworthy crew seats into the CH-47 Chinook helicopter.

The committee recommends \$395.6 million, an increase of \$13.5 million, to procure crashworthy crew seats for CH-47 cargo helicopters.

### Helicopter new training

The budget request contained no funds to procure TH-67 Creek training helicopters.

The committee notes the continued shortfall in visual flight, instrument flight, and basic combat skills training helicopters which will occur with the anticipated retirement of Vietnam-era UH-1 and OH-58 A/C aircraft as outlined in the fiscal year 2000 Army Aviation Modernization Plan. The committee understands that the Army does not intend to replace these retiring aircraft due to affordability.

Based on the need to replace the aging Huey and OH–58 A/C training fleet as soon as possible and the need to provide quality

training for Army aviators, the committee recommends an increase of \$9.6 million for six TH-67 helicopters.

### UH–60 Blackhawk

The budget request contained \$153.4 million for the procurement of 12 UH–60L Blackhawk utility helicopters for the Army National Guard (ARNG), but included no funds for HH–60L enhanced medical evacuation (MEDEVAC) helicopters for the ARNG or for a UH– 60L full motion simulator.

The Blackhawk is the Army's primary utility helicopter for air assault, general support and aero medical evacuation missions. The HH–60L MEDEVAC helicopter provides enhanced medical evacuation and treatment of six litter or seven ambulatory patients in a state-of-the-art medical treatment cabin interior.

The committee is aware that the national command authority's rapid reaction force 18th Airborne Corps has a requirement for one additional UH–60L Blackhawk full motion simulator and that this simulator is not planned to be budgeted for until fiscal year 2005. However, aviation units from this corps are currently deployed in combat operations in Operation Enduring Freedom and must maintain the highest levels of readiness and training as a rotational alert force.

The committee recommends \$268.7 million, an increase of \$52.6 million to procure five additional UH–60L Blackhawks for the ARNG and \$47.7 million to procure three HH–60L MEDEVAC variants for the ARNG, and an increase of \$15.0 million for one UH–60L Blackhawk full motion simulator for the 18th Airborne Corps, for a total increase of \$115.3 million.

### UH-60 modifications

The budget request contained \$41.9 million for UH–60 modifications, of which \$10.3 million was for crashworthy external fuel systems, but no funds were included for this system for Army National Guard (ARNG) UH–60 combat search and rescue aircraft or for UH–60 deicing system upgrades.

UH-60 crashworthy external fuel systems are self-sealing, ballistically-tolerant tanks that replace existing 230 gallon noncrashworthy external fuel tanks originally intended only for ferry flights. However, expanding Army aviation missions have increasingly required these non-crashworthy tanks to be used to extend UH-60 tactical mission ranges, which create safety risks to flight crews, passengers, and aircraft that require individual mission waivers by individual commands.

The committee understands that the original UH–60 series aircraft were built with a marginally capable deicing system and that an upgrade is underway to improve its performance.

As a result of potential safety risks created by existing systems, the committee recommends \$49.9 million for UH–60 modifications, an increase of \$6.0 million, for crashworthy external fuel systems for both ARNG combat search and rescue aircraft and active Army UH–60s, and an increase of \$2.0 million for UH–60 series aircraft deicing system upgrades, for a total increase of \$8.0 million.

### MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY

### Overview

The budget request contained \$1,642.3 million for Missile Pro-curement, Army in fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends authorization of \$1,693.9 million for fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on afford-ability considerations.

		AUTHO	AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMIN CHANG REQ	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION	UUS ITTEE INDATION
		aty.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
L	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY						
	OTHER MISSILES						
	SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM						
	PATRIOT SYSTEM SUMMARY	72	471,670			72	471,670
	STINGER SYSTEM SUMMARY	160	30,893			160	30,893
~	AVENGER SYSTEM SUMMARY	,	. '				. '
	AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM						
	HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY	1,797	193,875		40,000	1.797	233,875
	Laser Hellfire II Missiles				[+40.000]		[+40.000]
	HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY		(6.479)				(9.479)
	ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYSTEM						
S	JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY	1.725	259,456			1.725	259.456
	JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY		(8.950)				(8.950)
9	LINE OF SIGHT ANTI-TANK (LOSAT) SYSTEM SUM	144	27.298			144	27.298
	LINE OF SIGHT ANTI-TANK (LOSAT) SYSTEM SUM	,	(8.361)				(9.361)
	LINE OF SIGHT ANTI-TANK (LOSAT) SYSTEM SUM	,	. '			,	
-	MLRS ROCKET						•
~	GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS)	108	29,698			108	29.698
9	MLRS REDUCED RANGE PRACTICE ROCKETS (RBPR)	5.646	15.924			5.646	15.924
11	MLRS LAUNCHER SYSTEMS	35	141.131			35	141.131
얻	HIMARS LAUNCHER	34	128,402			34	128,402
m	ARMY TACTICAL MSL SYS (ATACMS) - SYS SUM	•	9,050		38,000	,	47,050
	QRP Missiles				[+38,000]		[+38,000
4	ATACMS BLKII SYSTEM SUMMARY		49,687		(26.400)	ĩ	23,287
	Engineering Services / Production Engineering Support				[-26,400]		[-26,400]
	TOTAL OTHER MISSILES		1,329,294		51,600		1,380,894
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES						
e	MUDIFICATIONS DATRICT MODE		100 F3F				10 7 11 7
s	PALHIUI MOUS	,	151,307			,	151,307
φļ	STINGER MODS		1,492				1,492
~ <	AVENGER MODS					,	•
χ	11 AS/LOW MUDS	ı	296,962			,	59,962
ი	MLRS MODS	·	31,734			ı	31,734
1	TOTAL MODIFICATIONS OF MISSILES		244,495				244,495
	COADTO AND DEDAID DADTO						

		AUTHC	AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	ROM	COMV	COMMITTEE COMMENDATION
		QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
8	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		55,924				55,924
E	TOTAL SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	****	55,924		,		55,924
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES AIR DEFENSE TARGETS	¢	3,468			,	3,408
	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MISSILES)		206				205
	MISSILE DEMILITARIZATION	,	4,895				4,895
	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT	I	3,373			,	3,373
1	TOTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES	a Pred Martin Martin a An	12,583		-		12,583
	TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY		1,642,296		51,600		1,693,896

### Items of Special Interest

### Army tactical missile system (ATACMS) summary/ATACMS block II system summary

The budget request contained \$9.1 million for the fielding of prior year procured missiles, but included no funds to procure ATACMS quick reaction program (QRP) unitary warhead missiles. The budget request also included \$49.7 million for engineering services, production engineering support and related activities for ATACMS block II missiles, however, no block II missiles are planned to be produced in fiscal year 2003.

The ATACMS is a surface-to-surface, global positioning systemguided missile for deep-strike attacks against tactical surface-tosurface and surface-to-air missile sites; logistics elements; and command, control, communications complexes.

The committee is aware of the ATACMS QRP upgrade that will incorporate Standoff Land Attack Missile-Expanded Response warheads into ATACMS Block IA missiles. This warhead upgrade is designed to limit collateral damage when used against targets in urban environments and is a direct outgrowth of the Army's inability to conduct deep strike missions against such targets with its existing ATACMS missile inventory during Operation Allied Force. The committee also notes that the Army received \$38.0 million in fiscal year 2002 Defense Emergency Response Fund supplemental funding to accelerate this conversion initiative.

The committee notes that \$5.0 million and \$6.1 million was appropriated for engineering services and production engineering support, respectively, in fiscal year 2002 for the production of 6 ATACMS block II missiles and 83 brilliant antitank submunitions (BAT). However, \$25.3 million and \$12.0 million is requested for engineering services and production engineering support, respectively, in fiscal year 2003, yet no missile or submunition production is planned. The committee is concerned about the fiscal year 2003 request including a five-fold increase for engineering services and just under twice the amount for production engineering support over the amounts appropriated for these fiscal year 2002 production requirements. The committee also notes that the Army is currently reviewing and restructuring the ATACMS block II and BAT program and considering arming unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV) with BAT pre-planned product improved (P3I) submunitions instead of expending BAT P3I submunitions from missiles.

The committee believes that the Army should have the capability to provide joint force commanders with a surface-to-surface deep strike option, which creates limited collateral damage in urban environments. Also, the committee is supportive of expanding UAV capability with weapons, which have been effectively demonstrated in Operation Enduring Freedom.

The committee recommends \$47.1 for ATACMS missile system summary, an increase of \$38.0 million, to upgrade ATACMS Block IA missiles to the QRP configuration. Also, the committee recommends \$23.3 million for ATACMS block II system summary, a decrease of \$20.3 million and \$6.1 million from engineering services and production engineering support, respectively, for a total decrease of \$26.4 million, since no production is planned in fiscal year 2003 for ATACMS block II missiles or BAT P3I submunitions.

### Hellfire system summary

The budget request contained \$184.4 million for the procurement of Longbow Hellfire missiles, but included no funds to procure laser Hellfire II missiles.

The committee notes that the Army Chief of Staff has identified a fiscal year 2003, \$80.2 million unfunded requirement for laser Hellfire II missiles.

The committee recommends \$224.4 million for Hellfire system summary, a \$40.0 million increase, for laser Hellfire II missiles.

### WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES, ARMY

### Overview

The budget request contained \$2,248.6 million for procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army for fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends authorization of \$2,373.0 million for fiscal year 2003.

The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on affordability considerations.

TITLE I - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands)
---

Line	PROGRAM TITLE	AUTHC AUTHC	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	ITTEE E FROM JEST	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION	003 ITTEE NDATION
		QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
	PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY						
	TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES						
	TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES						
	ABRAMS TRNG DEV MOD		5,504			,	5,504
	BRADILEY BASE SUSTAINMENT		407.237	45	60.000	45	467.237
	<ul> <li>Bradley "A0" to "A20DS" ARNG</li> </ul>			[+45]	[+60,000]	[+45]	[+60,000]
	BRADLEY BASE SUSTAINMENT	3	(10,184)			, '	(10,184
	BRADLEY BASE SUSTAINMENT					,	, ' ,
	BRADLEY BASE SUSTAINMENT		,				
	BRADLEY BASE SUSTAINMENT						'
	BRADLEY FVS TRAINING DEVICES						
	HAB TRAINING DEVICES	•				,	
	BRADLEY FVS TRAINING DEVICES (MOD)		8.532				8.532
	ABRAMS TANK TRAINING DEVICES		12,061				12.061
	INTERIM ARMORED VEHICLE (IAV) FAMILY	332	811,831			332	811.831
თ	COMMAND & CONTROL VEHICLE	,	•			,	. '
	COMMAND & CONTROL VEHICLE						'
	MODIFICATION OF TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES						
9	CARRIER, MOD		60,305				60.305
Ξ	FIST VEHICLE (MOD)		6,966				6.966
<sup>21</sup>	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP, FIST VEHICLE	•	692				692
13	BFVS SERIES (MOD)	3	35.033				35.033
44	HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109A6 (MOD)		17,361			,	17,361
	FAASV PIP TO FLEET		2,944			•	2,944
16	IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88 MOD)	16	50,311	15	45,800	31	96,111
	ARNG			[+15]	[+45,800]	[+15]	[+45,800]
17	HEAVY ASSAULT BRIDGE (HAB) SYS (MOD)					•	
	ARMORED VEH LAUNCH BRIDGE (AVLB) (MOD)	1	10,021			•	10,021
	M1 ABRAMS TANK (MOD)		191,413				191,413
	M1A1D RETROFIT		•				
	SYSTEM ENHANCEMENT PGM: SEP M1A2	31	123,697			31	123,697
ន	ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM		586,859				586,859
	ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM	,	(210,591)				(210,591
	ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM		•				
	ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM	•	•				'
	ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM	•	•			•	'
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
24	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (TCV-WTCV)		146				146

Line	PROGRAM TITLE	FY AUTHOI REG	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMM CHANGI REQI	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2 COMM RECOMME	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
		<u>ατγ.</u>	COST	ατγ.	COST	ατγ.	cost
	TOTAL TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES		2,120,038		105,800		2,225,838
	WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES						
ى د	WEAPONS AND UTHER COMBAT VEHICLES ARMOR MACHINE GUN. 7.62MM M240 SERIES	2.217	21.334			2.217	21.334
27	MACHINE GUN, 5.56MM (SAW)				18,600	· ·	18,600
~	MEAUSAW GRENADELALINCHER AUTO JOMM MK19.3	660	16,663		[+18,600]	660	[+18,600] 16.662
	81MM MORTAR (ROLL)	138	9.821			138	9.821
8	M16 RIFLE	5,631	3,104			5.631	3.104
-	XM107, CAL. 50, SNIPER RIFLE	600	8,913			600	8,913
0	5.56 CARBINE M4	12,505	9,155			12,505	9,155
~	HOWITZER LT WT 155MM (T)	•					•
	MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH						
**	MARK-19 MODIFICATIONS	•	2,743			·	2,743
10	M4 CARBINE MODS	ļ	9,267				9,26
36	SQUAD AUTOMATIC WEAPON (MOD)	,	4,119			,	4,119
~	MEDIUM MACHINE GUNS (MODS)		•				,
~	HOWITZER, TOWED, 155MM, M198 (MODS)		•				•
<b>م</b>	M119 MODIFICATIONS		4,852			,	4,852
~	M16 RIFLE MODS		•			•	1
_	MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV)	1	817			i	817
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
47	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV)		1,265				1,26
<del>4</del> 3	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (WOCV-WTCV)		5,832			•	5,832
44	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS		3,246				3,24
45	SMALL ARMS (SOLDIER ENH PROG)		1,954				1,954
ŵ	CLOSED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS						
	TOTAL WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES		103,085		18,600		121,685
	SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS						
	SPARES						
47	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS (WTCV)		25,435			,	25,435
	TOTAL SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS		25,435		1		25,435

### **Items of Special Interest**

### Bradley base sustainment

The budget request contained \$397.1 million for the procurement of Bradley A3 fighting vehicle upgrades, including \$3.5 million for fielding Army National Guard (ARNG) A2 Operation Desert Storm (ODS) variants.

The Bradley A2ODS is an upgraded first-generation Bradley A0, which enhances its lethality, survivability, and mobility, as well as the situational awareness of its crew. Modifications include installation of a laser range finder, Global Positioning System navigation capability, a combat identification system, a driver's thermal viewer and a missile countermeasure device.

When the Army completes all of its planned upgrades to the Bradley, the active fleet will include a mix of the most advanced A3 variant, along with A2 and A2ODS versions. The committee understands that beginning in fiscal year 2003, approximately 400 ARNG Bradley A0s will remain unmodified since this upgrade program was initiated. Because of major survivability deficiencies, Bradley A0s were not mobilized during the Persian Gulf War. However, as part of the new ARNG enhanced brigades, the committee notes that some of these A0 vehicles will be required to deploy with active Army forces.

Because ARNG enhanced brigades will comprise an increasing percentage of the Army's warfighting capability in the future, the committee recommends \$457.1 million for Bradley base sustainment, an increase of \$60.0 million, to upgrade an additional 45 Bradley A0 vehicles to the A2ODS variant for the ARNG.

### Improved recovery vehicle (IRV)

The budget request contained \$50.3 million for the procurement of 16 M88A2 IRVs, but included no funds for the procurement of these vehicles for the Army National Guard (ARNG).

The 56-ton M88A1 is capable of towing only vehicles weighing less than 60 tons. Consequently, two M88A1s are required to safely tow an Abrams tank if it becomes immobile due to combat damage or mechanical failure. The M88A2 IRV upgrade is a jointly procured system for both the Army and Marine Corps and includes increased engine horsepower, as well as braking, steering, winch, lift, and suspension capabilities required to safely recover Abrams tanks and other heavy combat systems. The committee understands that the Army will terminate this program in fiscal year 2006 as one of its 18 programs it identified for termination through the future years defense program to reprioritize funds for transformation, however, these upgrades will be procured to fulfill heavy counter attack corps requirements. The committee also notes that the ARNG has a shortfall of IRVs in its enhanced separate brigade force structure.

The committee recommends \$96.1 million, an increase of \$45.8 million, for 15 additional M88A2 IRV upgrades for the ARNG.

### M113 carrier modifications

The budget request contained \$60.3 million for M113 carrier modifications, of which \$14.9 million was for M113 "A3" upgrades,

and \$45.4 million was for new T–150 track for the United Statesbased Counter Attack Corps.

The M113A3 upgrade program, forecast to add an additional 20 years of service life to the vehicle, includes installation of a new engine, transmission, external armored fuel tanks, driver controls, and internal Kevlar spall liners. The committee notes, however, that the M113A3 upgrade program is one of 11 programs to be terminated by the Army in fiscal year 2003 in order to afford higher transformation priorities.

While the committee is supportive of transformation and understands the need to reallocate resources to accelerate improved transformational technologies, in this instance it believes that the Army's decision to not upgrade the remaining forward deployed 112, 2nd Infantry Division M113A2s in the Republic of Korea, and the 185, 1st Armored Division and 167, 1st Infantry Division M113A2s in Europe, will at a minimum, leave the soldiers in these front line units vulnerable and lacking increased maneuver capability in the potentially unstable and high threat environments they are required to operate in. Unless the Secretary of the Army can present the committee with a valid plan as to why the Army would not complete these particular unit vehicle upgrades prior to terminating the "A3" upgrade program after fiscal year 2003, the committee recommends that the entire \$60.3 million requested for fiscal year 2003 be only for M113A3 upgrades for these units.

### M249 squad automatic weapon (SAW)

The budget request contained no funds for the procurement of the M249 SAW. The M249 SAW is a lightweight machine gun capable of delivering a sustained volume of automatic, accurate, and highly lethal fire up to ranges of 800 meters. It is being widely fielded throughout the Army to airborne, artillery, light and mechanized infantry, and aviation units. The committee notes that this has been one of the infantry's critical weapon systems in the Army's deployment to Afghanistan for Operation Enduring Freedom. As a result of a review and increased requirements, the committee understands that the Army Chief of Staff has identified an \$18.6 million fiscal year 2003 unfunded requirement for an additional 9,580 guns, which will fulfill the service's total procurement objective of 89,428 guns.

The committee recommends an increase of \$18.6 million for this purpose to complete the procurement objective of M249 SAWs.

### AMMUNITION PROCUREMENT, ARMY

### Overview

The budget request contained \$1,159.4 million for Ammunition Procurement, Army in fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends authorization of \$1,320.0 million for fiscal year 2003.

The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on affordability considerations.

		AUTHO	AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	CHANG	COMMITEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	COMN	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
		ατγ.	COST	QTY.	COST	ατγ.	COST
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY						
	SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION						
-	CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES	1	89,870		14,305	,	104,175
	DERF				[+6'305]		[+6'302]
	Lake City Production Line upgrade				[+5,000]		[+2,000]
N	CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES	1	15,975		2,199	1	18,174
	DERF				[+2,199]		[+2,199]
n	CTG, 9MM, ALL TYPES	•	13,508			,	13,508
4	CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES	•	50,575		4,021	,	54,596
	DERF				[+4,021]		[+4,021]
ß	CTG, 20MM, ALL TYPES	ĩ	•				•
ø	CTG, 25MM, ALL TYPES		33,087		23,000	,	56,087
	APFSDS-T M919				[+23,000]		[+23,000]
~	CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES	x	9,795			,	9,795
<u>م</u>	CTG, 40MM, ALL TYPES		71,703		5,369	,	77,072
	DERF				[+5,369]		[+5.369]
	MORTAR AMMUNITION						
Ð	60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES		31,696			,	31,696
0	81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES	r	3,582		2,800		6,382
	M816				[+2,800]		[+2,800]
Ŧ	CTG, MORTAR, 120MM, ALL TYPES		50,425		13,800	,	64,225
	M934A1				[+7,800]		[+7,800]
	IR Illum M983				[+3,000]		[+3,000]
	Pine Bluff Arsenal Production Line Upgrade				[+3,000] .		[+3,000]
	IANK AMMUNIJUN						
N	CTG TANK 105MM: ALL TYPES	t	14,100			,	14,100
13	120MM TANK TRAINING, ALL TYPES	r	154,963			·	154,963
4	CTG, TANK, 120MM TACTICAL, ALL TYPES	ı	43,254				43,254
	ARTILLERY AMMUNITION						
5	CTG ARTY 75MM BLANK M337A1	101	4,201			101	4.201
9	CTG ARTY 105MM DPICM M915		. '			,	. '
7	CTG ARTY 105MM M927	,				,	`
18	CTG ARTY 105MM ILLUM M314 SERIES	9	5,208			9	5,208
თ	PROJ ARTY 155MM SMOKE WP M825	,				,	1
റ്റ	CTG ARTY 105MM HE M1 W/O FUZE		25,200		1,637	•	26,837
	DERF				[+1,637]		[+1,637]
21	PROJECTILE 155 MILLIMETER DP BASEBLED M864 P7	,	22,300				22,300
,					4 4 4 4 4		

Line	PROGRAM TITLE	FY AUTHO RE	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMN CHANGI REQI	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 3 COMN RECOMM	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
		QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
١	REMOTE AREA DENIAL ARTILLERY MUNITION (RADAM)	ang manana manang mining na manana kana kana kana kana na mata na manang manang manang mang na garang manang ma Ma	+			~	-
24	PROJ ARTY 155MM HE M107	155	30,200			155	30,200
24A	PROJ ARTY 155MM ILLUM M110	,	. 1		10,000	,	10,000
25	MODULAR ARTILLERY CHARGE SYSTEM (MACS), ALL T	•	122,411		20,000		142,411
	ARTILLERY FUZES						
26	ARTILLERY FUZES, ALL TYPES	¢	69,180			,	69,180
			100 01				00.01
51	MINE, IHAINING, ALL LYPES	•	12,661				12,661
	MINE AT VOLCANO, ALE TYPES	•					
-	WIDE AHEA MUNITIONS POCKETS	•	12,466			•	12,466
	DI INICED DEFEATING AN INITION (PDAM)		7 795		10.000		17 705
3 8	POCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES	e	22,400		000101		22,400
	OTHER AMMUNITION						
32	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES	•	28,001		5,000	,	33,001
	Modernization Demolition Initiators				[+5,000]		[+2,000]
33	GRENADES, ALL TYPES	,	37,552		3,221	,	40,773
	DERF				[+3,221]		[+3,221]
34	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES		11,935		946		12,881
	DERF				[+946]		[+946]
35	SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES	•	3,942			•	3,942
	MISCELLANEOUS						
	AMMO COMPONENTS, ALL TYPES	•	7,953			ı	7,953
	NON-LETHAL AMMUNITION, ALL TYPES	ı	5,890			ı	5,890
89	CAD/PAD ALL TYPES	ı	4,800			ĸ	4,800
~	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	,	8,739		302		9,041
	DERF				[+302]		[+302]
40	AMMUNITION PECULIAR EQUIPMENT	,	4,792				4,792
41	FIRST DESTINATION THANSPORTATION (AMMO)		5,836			۲	5,836
42	CLOSEOUT LIABILITIES		10,017			·	10,017
	TOTAL AMMUNITION		1,046,012		140,600		1,186,612
	AMMUNITION PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT						
43	PROVISION OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		42,655			,	42,655
4	LAYAWAY OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		6,990			•	6,990
ŝ	MAINTENANCE OF INACTIVE FACILITIES	•	9,082				9,082
ω	CONVENTIONAL AMMO DEMILITARIZATION		50,030			•	50,030

	PROGRAM TILE	AUTHOF	FY 2003 UTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	free From Est	FY 2 COMN RECOMME	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
-		QTY.	COST	ΩΤΥ.	COST QTY.	QTY.	COST
ARMS INITIATIVE			4,657		20,000	,	24,657
TOTAL AMMUNITION PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT	TION BASE SUPPORT		113,414		20,000		133,414
TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY	MUNITION, ARMY		1,159,426	and the second	160,600		1,320,026

TITLE I - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands)

### **Items of Special Interest**

### Army ammunition procurement

The budget request contained \$1,159.4 million for procurement of ammunition and production base support. The committee recommends an additional \$133.6 million, for the following types of ammunition programs, of which ammunition production is among the top unfunded requirements identified by the Army Chief of Staff in fiscal year 2003:

### [In millions of dollars]

Small/Medium Caliber Ammunition:	
CTG 5.56mm, all types (production line upgrade)	5.0
CTG 25mm, APFSDS-T M919	23.0
Mortar Ammunition:	
81mm M816	2.8
CTG 120mm M934A1	7.8
CTG 120mm IR Illum M983	3.0
Pine Bluff Arsenal production line upgrade	3.0
Artillery Ammunition:	
Projectile, Artillery 155mm Illum M110	10.0
Projectile, Artillery 155mm HE M795	24.0
Modular Artillery Charge System	20.0
Rockets: Bunker Defeating Munition	10.0
Demolition Munitions, All Types: Modernization Demolition Initiators	5.0
Production Base Support: ARMS Initiative	20.0

### Army ammunition production and load, assemble, and pack (LAP) capacity

The committee understands that the Department of the Army has issued a request for information with regard to consolidation of its four government owned, contractor operated, ammunition LAP facilities beginning in fiscal year 2003. However, it also understands that the necessary funds to execute a contract related to consolidation are not included in the future years defense program. The committee notes that the Army has identified a \$544.0 million fiscal year 2003 unfunded requirement for conventional ammunition, its largest annual unfunded requirement over the past five fiscal years, as a result of growing shortfalls in war reserve ammunition and increased marksmanship training requirements identified by the Army Chief of Staff to fight the war on terrorism. While the committee is a proponent of streamlining and eliminating excess capacity within the ammunition industrial base, it is aware that unique job skills exist in these production facilities and that the correct industrial production and LAP capacity and related skills must be maintained to meet surge production requirements.

The committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide a report to the congressional defense committees by January 15, 2003, which outlines the conventional ammunition industrial base requirements, including LAP capacity, to fulfill the ammunition requirements for the Department's new capabilities-based strategy and Army Chief of Staff unfunded requirements.

### OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY

### Overview

The budget request contained \$5,168.5 million for Other Procure-ment, Army in fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends au-thorization of \$6,119.4 million for fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on afford-ability considerations.

## TITLE I - PROCUREMENT

OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARIW TACTICAL, NUE AND SUPPORT VEHICLES         OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARIW TACTICAL, AND SUPPORT VEHICLES           TACTICAL, AND SUPPORT VEHICLES         TACTICAL, AND SUPPORT VEHICLES         8,650           TACTICAL, AND SUPPORT VEHICLES         TACTICAL, AND SUPPORT VEHICLES         8,650           TACTICAL, TARALLERDOLLY SETS         550         9,055           SEMITTALLER VAN GOG SUPPLY VET AVHI. MT32A2C         9,650         9,650           SEMITTALLER VAN GOG SUPPLY VET AVHI. MT32A2C         9,650         9,650           MITI 40, UPA PURT, PURT WHLD VET (MMWV)         111,00         17,079         180,733           FIRUND TACTICAL, VEHICLES (FHTV)         70         17,079         14,438           MOREND TROCK, DOWN, 207 (CCE)         70         14,438         14,438           AMMORED SECURITY VEHICLES (FHTV)         70         14,438         14,438           AMMORED SECURITY VEHICLES (FHTV)         70         14,438         16,733           FIBER TRUCK, FRANCES         70         14,438         17,079           AMMORED SECURITY VEHICLES (FHTV)         70         17,079         14,438           TRUCK, TRACTOL, VEHICLES (FHTV)         70         14,438         100           TRUCK, TRACTOL, VEHICLES (FHTV)         70         14,438         17,079	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST		COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION	003 TTEE NDATION
TOTTER PROCENT STARM     TOTTER PROCENT STARM       TACTICAL VEHICLES     TACTICAL VEHICLES       TACTICAL VEHICLES     50000       TACTICAL VEHICLES     50000       SEMITPALLERS, FLARBEIS     90000       MI114     00000       MI114     000000       MI114     000000       MI114     0000000       MI114     00000000       MI114     00000000000       MI114     0000000000000       MI114     0000000000000000000       MI114     000000000000000000000000000000000       MI114     000000000000000000000000000000000000			COST	ατγ.	COST
ACTICAL TRALLERS SUPPORT VEHICLES     8.660       ACTICAL VEHICLES     5.710AL VEHICLES       ACTICAL TRALLERS INTERCOLLY SETS     8.660       SEMITFALLER VARCES     9.053       SEMITFALLER VARCES     9.053       SEMITFALLER VARCES     9.056       SEMITFALLER VARCES     9.057       SEMITFALLER VARCES     9.057       SEMITFALLER VARCES     9.061       MILT UP-Amor     9.070       MILT UP-Amor     9.070       MILT UP-Amor     9.070       MILT OF MEDUMI TOCIOLA VEHICLES     9.070       MANOFED SELONITY VEHICLES (SAN)     9.074       MANOFED SELONITY VEHICLES (SAN)     9.074       MANOFED SELONITY VEHICLES (SAN)     9.000       TRUCK, TIANCTOR, AURICLES (SAN)     9.000       TRUCK, TRACTOR, AND TAPIC, MARSING     9.000       TRUCK, TRACTOR, AND TAPICLES (SAN)     9.000       TRUCK, TRACTOR, AND TAPICLES (SAN)     9.000       TRUCK, TRACTOR, AND TAPICLES (SAN)     9.000       TRUCK, TRACTOR, AND TAPICLAL THUCK ENT SERV P     6.000       TRUCK, TRACTOR, AND TAPICLES (SAN)     9.000 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
TACTICAL TRAILERSOOLY SETS     6.60       SEMITFALLERS TANKERS     9.60       SEMITFALLERS TANKERS     9.60       SEMITFALLERS TANKERS     9.00       SEMITFALLERS TANKERS     9.00       SEMITFALLERS TANKERS     9.00       SEMITFALLERS TANKERS     9.00       SEMITFALLER VAN CGO SUPPLY 12T AWHL M128AAC     1.06       MIT14 Up-Amon     1.00       MIT14 Up-Amon     1.01       MIT14 Up-Amon     1.17.079       MonORD ESCURITY VEHICLES (REV)     0.114.48       MONORICERS TAN SCORT (CE OLIP     1.14.48       MONORICERT (NOP AND VELLES (REV)     0.00					
ATULATION THALFROLLY SETS         6.00           SEMITTALERS, TAWRERS         9.005           FAMILY OF HEAVY TACINGL         7.0           TRUCK, DUMP, 2017 CCB         7.0           AMILY OF HEAVY TACINGLA VEHICLES (FHTV)         9.0           MORENED SEQUENTY VEHICLES (FHTV)         9.0           MORENED SEQUENTY VEHICLES (FHTV)         9.0           MORENED SEQUENTY VEHICLES (FHTV)         9.0           MORENED SECURITY VEHICLES (FHTV)         9.0           MO					
SEMITALEERS, FAIRERS         30.055           SEMITALEER VARCES         30.055           SEMITALEER VARCES SUPER VIZT WHL MI39AZC         156.783           HIMOR MULTI-UUER WHLD VEH (HMWW)         17.079           MITH UP-Amor         196.783           MITH UP-Amor         196.733           MITH UP-Amor         197.079           MITH UP-Amor         210.477           MITH UP-Amor         210.477           MITH UP-Amor         210.477           MITH UP-Amor         210.477           MILE TAUCK, UNE ADD VEHICLES (ASV)         210.471           MANORED SECURITY VEHICLES (ASV)         210.441           MANORED SECURITY VEHICLES (ASV)         210.441           MONING DEVICE, 51H WHELL         210.471           MONING DEVICE, 51H WHELL         210.471           MONING DEVICE, 51H WHELL         210.411           MONING DEVICE, 51H WHELL         210.4384           MONING DEVICE, 51H WHELL         210.411           MONING DEVICE, 61H WHING         210.4384	ı	8,690			8,690
SEMITFALEEN JANKER         7.862           SEMITFALEEN JANKER         7.862           MITTALEEN VAN GOS SUPER Y 2T AWH, MT39ACC         9.6,783         180           MITTALEEN VAN GOS SUPER Y 2T AWH, MT39ACC         9.17,079         14005           MITTALEEN VAN GOS SUPER YET AWH, MT39ACC         9.17,079         14005           MITTALEEN VAN GOS SUPER YET AWH, MT39ACC         9.17,079         14005           MITTALEEN VAN GOS SUPER YET AWANG         7.0         17,079           FAMITY OF HEAV TACINGLY VEHICLES (FHTV)         9.14,438         21,047           FAMITY OF HEAV TACINGLY VEHICLES (FHTV)         9.24,788         9.14,438           ANOWGING TI RAKING SYNCH         2.00         14,438           FAMITY OF HEAV TACINGLY WEHCLES (FHTV)         9.24,788         9.14,438           ANOWGING TI RAKING SYNCH         2.0         4.4348           TRUCK, TRAKING SYNCH         2.0         4.4979           TRUCK, TRAKING SYNCH         3.00         4.4979           TRUCK, TRAKING SYNCH         3.00         4.973           TRUCK, TRAKING SYNCH         3.140         4.973           TRUCK, TRAKING SYNCH         5.0         4.979           TRUCK, TRAKING SYNCH         5.0         4.979           TRUCK, TRAKING SWIGKS)         5.0		39,095			39,095
Bill MORED SUPEY 127 WHL MT20ASC         196,783         180           HI MOB MULT-PUNR WHLD VEH (HMWW)         17,079         17,079         14160           M114 Up-Amor         70         17,079         14160           M114 Up-Amor         70         17,079         14160           FAMILY OF MEDUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTY)         681,373         21,047           FAMILY OF MEDUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTY)         221,047         21,047           FAMILY OF HERFULXES A SSOCIATED FIREFOHTING EQUIPMEN         21,047         21,047           MANOEDE SCLIENT VEH CLICLAL FUNCK         21,047         21,047           MANOEDE SCLIENT VEHICLES (FHTV)         20,052         20,052           MORED SCLIENT VEHICLES (FHTV)         20,041         20,052           MONED SCLIENT VEHICLES (FHTV)         20,052         21,047           MORED SCLIENT VEHICLES (FHTV)         20,042         20,052           M		7,862		•	7,862
MITHA UP-ATTION         196,783         190         141           MILE VERTING         17,079         17,079         141           FILE VERTION         17,070         17,079         141           FUNCK, DUMP, 201 (CCE)         17,079         17,079         141           FUNCK, DUMP, 201 (CCE)         17,079         17,079         141           FILE TRUCK & ASSCONTED FIREFIGHTING         20,047         21,047         21,047           FAILY OF FLACE         141         24,768         14,438         14,438           MORED SECURITY VEHICLES (ASV)         20         14,438         20,055         14,438           MORED SECURITY VEHICLES (ASV)         20         14,438         20,055         14,438           TOWIN DENVICE         100         20         40         20,055         14,438           TENCK, FIRANCION, INE NOT COLUPTITUCK EXT SERV P         652         119,854         100         100           MORTICAL VEHICLES         100         652         119,854         100         113,854         100           MORTICAL VEHICLES         100         100         114,878         113,854         100         113,854         100         114,878         113,854         1100         114,878         <	,			ı	
TRUCK JOUND: 201 (CCB)         70         770         1470         1410           FRUCK JOUND: 201 (CCB)         70         770         17079         1410           FAMILY OF MEDUMI ACTICAL VEH (FMTY)         9         21,047         21,047         21,047           FAMILY OF HEAVY TACINGAL VEH (FMTY)         9         21,047         21,047         21,047           FAMILY OF HEAVY TACINGAL VEH (SMTY)         9         21,047         21,047         21,047           FAMILY OF HEAVY TACINGAL VEHICLES (FHTV)         0         20,047         21,047         21,047           Wowment Tracking Stein, Amm Plasene         2         21,047         22,028         21,048         14,448           Wowment Tracking Stein, Amm Plasene         2         20,228         14,448         20,88         14,448           Wowment Tracking Stein, Amm Plasene         2         20,228         14,448         20,88         14,944           THUCK, TIAUCOR, YABO TOFIL TRUCK EXT SERV P         652         114,984         100         20,28         1100         20,28         20,28         20,28         20,28         20,28         20,28         20,28         20,28         20,28         20,28         20,28         20,28         20,28         20,28         20,28				180	227,8
TRUCK, DUM: 2011 (CGL)     70     17.079       FAMILY OF MEDUM, TACTICAL VEH (FMY)     681.377     21.047       FRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMEN     291.373     21.047       FRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMEN     291.373     21.047       FIRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMEN     291.373     21.047       MONEDE SECURITY VEHICLES (ASV)     20     14.438       TRUCK, FIRACTOR, LINE HALL, MATSM916     2005     2005       TRUCK, FIRACTOR, LINE HALL, MATSM916     2005     2005       TRUCK, FIRACTOR, AND TYPE, MATS (CS)     20     400     2005       TRUCK, FIRACTOR, AND TYPE, MATSUCK     2005     21.984     21.0384       MONFRICT, FIRACTOR, AND TYPE, MATSUCK     2005     21.984     21.984       MONFRICT, FIRACTOR, VIENCERS     20000     2005     21.984       MODIFICATION OF IN SUCCEDIP     71.055     21.985     21.985       MODIFICATION OF IN SUCCEDIP     71.055     71.753     21.753       MONTACTICAL VEHICLES     20000     2005     21.753       MONTACTICAL VEHICLES     20000     2005     21.		[+18(	0] [+31,100]	[+180]	[+31,100]
FAMILY OF HEAVY TCTICAL VEH (FMTV)     081373       FAMILY OF HEAVY TCTICAL VEH (FMTV)     081373       FAMILY OF HEAVY TCTICAL VEH (FMTV)     081373       FAMILY OF HEAVY TCTICAL VEHICLES (FHTV)     081373       RAMIRY OF HEAVY TCTICAL VEHICLES (FHTV)     081373       Answein Tracking Systems, Amy Reserve     292,788       ANNORED SECURITY VEHICLES (FHTV)     0       ANNORED SECURITY VEHICLES (FHTV)     0       ANNORED SECURITY VEHICLES (FHTV)     0       TRUCK, TRACIOR, YEBO TACING, AND TYPE, M33 (CS)     0       TRUCK, TRACTOR, VEHICLES     0       TRUCK, TRACTOR, VEHICLES     0       TRUCK, TRACTOR, VEHICLES     0       TRUCK, TRACTOR, VEHICLES     1       MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP     0       MODIFICATION OF INSTRUCES     0       MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP     0	20	17,079		202	17,079
FIRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMEN     21,047       FAMILY OF HERVY TACTICAL VENICLES (FHTV)     242,788       Movement Tacking System, and Reserve     21,448       Movement Tacking System, and Reserve     20,14,488       Movement Tacking System, and Reserve     20,14,488       Movement Tacking System, and Reserve     20,14,488       Movement Tacking System, and Reserve     20,056       FILOK, TRACTOR, AND TFE, MBTS (CS)     40     2,005       HVY EXPANDED MOILE TACTICAL THUCK EXT SERV P     652     119,884       HVY EXPANDED MOILE TACTICAL THUCK EXT SERV P     652     119,884       MODFIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP     73,220     73,220       MODFIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP     67     73,220       MONFACTICAL VENCES     73,220     73,220       MONFACTICAL VENCES     74,277     27     1,773       MONFACTICAL VENCES     74,277     27     1,733       MONFACTICAL VENCES     71,733     1,737,335		681,373			681.5
FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (FHTV)     242,768       RAWICK TRACTOR, VEHICLES (FHTV)     20       ANMORED SECURITY VEHICLES (FHTV)     20       ANMORED SECURITY VEHICLES (FHTV)     20       ANMORED SECURITY VEHICLES (FRUM)     20       TRUCK, TRACTOR, LINE HALL, M915M916     2005       TRUCK, TRACTOR, LINE HALL, M915M916     2005       TRUCK, TRACTOR, LINE HALL, M915M916     2005       TRUCK, TRACTOR, TARD TYPE, M878 (CS)     40       TURE HALL ESP     51       TURE HALL ESP     51       MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP     52       MODIFICATION OF IN SUCCES     57       TERA: LERST HARGES AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT     57       OREAT TORAL VEHICLES     1,437,535       MONTACTICAL VEHICLES     1,437,535       ORMINICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT     57       JORM - JOINT COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM (SPAC     58,966       JORM - JOINT COMMUNICATIONS     57       J		21.047		,	21.0
Thurk Frakting System, Army Fraserine     20     14,438       AnimoReto Scuentry VerhicLes (ASV)     20     14,438       Thurk Frakting     20     2032       Thurk Frakting     20     2032       Thurk Frakting     20     2032       Thurk Frakting     20     2032       Thurk Frakting     20     2035       Thurk Frakting     20     2035       Thurk Frakting     50     2035       Thurk Frakting     50     406       Thurk Frakting     50     406       Thurk Frakting     50     406       The HALL Ban     50     407       MODIFIGATION OF IN SUC EQUIP     5     497       MODIFIGATION OF IN SUC EQUIP     5     73,320       TIERN LANS THAN SUM (Trac Verh)     652     119,864       MODIFICATION OF INSUCE     73,320     4,979       Non-TACTICAL VERICLES     7     73,320       DERF- Amade SUV Transfer to HA, 4347     7     7       PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES     7     7,733       NONTACTICAL VEHICLES     7     7,733       NONTACTICAL VEHICLES     7     7       NONTACTICAL VEHICLES     7     7       NONTACTICAL VEHICLES     7     7       NONTACTICAL VEHICLES		242,768	9,000		251.768
THUCK, TRACTOR, LINE HALL, MaTSMA16         20         14,438           THUCK, TRACTOR, LINE HALL, MaTSMA16         50,50         50,52           TRUCK, TRACTOR, LINE HALL, MaTSMA16         0         20,05           TRUCK, TRACTOR, LINE HALL, MaTSMA16         0         20,05           TRUCK, TRACTOR, VARDE         0         20,05           TRUCK, TRACTOR, VARDE         0         20,05           TRUCK, TRACTOR, VARDE         0         20,05           TILS, HAN SG, MI (TAC VEH)         65,2         119,864           ND FIGTOR, TON OF IN SUC COURT         1         4,97           NODIFICATION OF IN SUC COURT         1         4,97           TEAR LESS THAN SG, MI (TAC VEH)         6         51           NODIFICATION OF IN SUC COURT         1         4,97           TEAR LARTING ACCOURT         6         51           TEAR LARTING ACCOURT         6         51           DERF- Armood SUV / Transfer to H.R. 45,47         7         7           ASSENGER ACRAFINING ACCOURT         7         7           NONTACTICAL VEHICLES         1,153         1,753           NONTACTICAL VEHICLES         7         1,437,535           TOTAL TACTUCAL ACTICAL VEHICLES         1,437,535         1,753			[000'6+]		0.6+]
TRUCK, TRACTOR, LINE HAUL, MATSMAT6     50,829       TRUCK, TRACTOR, VABD TYPE, MAR3 (CS)     40     50,829       TRUCK, TRACTOR, YABD TYPE, MAR3 (CS)     50,820     4,884       UNE MALL ESP     50,820     50,820       NODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP     5     1,934       NODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP     5     4,979       NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES     5     4,979       NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES     7,1753     1,100       NONTACTICAL VEHICLES     7,1753     1,1753       NONTACTICAL VEHICLES     7,1753     1,1753       NONTACTICAL VEHICLES     7,1753     1,1753       ORENT- AND SUPPORT VEHICLES     7,1753     1,1753       NONTACTICAL VEHICLES     7,1753     1,1753       ORMAN LORAN AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT     27     1,1753       COMMINICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT     5     1,187,535       COMMINICATIONS AND ELECTRONING     5     1,1753       JOSE EQUIPMENT (IOREDOM)     5     6     206       JOSE EQUIPMENT (COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM (SPAC     5     3,166       SHET LIFE COMMUNICATIONS	20	14.438		20	14.
TRUCK, TRACTOR, YARD TYPE, MAREL         40         2005           TRUCK, TRACTOR, YARD TYPE, MAR9 (CS)         652         4,884           HYY EXPANDED MOBILE TACTICAL TRUCK EXT SERV P         652         119,884           HYY EXPANDED MOBILE TACTICAL TRUCK EXT SERV P         652         119,884           MODFICATION OF IN SUC EQUIP         73,320         73,320           MODIFICATION OF INSUE COUP         73,320         73,320           TERN LESS THAN \$5,000 (TAC VEH)         6         561         100           DERF- AND SUPPORT VEHICLES         73,320         73,320         1,773           NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES         7         1,773         1,773           DERF- AND SUPPORT VEHICLES         7         1,773         1,773           NONIXACTICAL VEHICLES         7         1,773         1,773           DOMENTACTICAL VEHICLES         7         1,773         1,773           ONNIXACTICAL VEHICLES         7         1,773         1,773           DOMENTACTICAL VEHICLES         7         1,487,7835         1,487,7835           COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT         7         1,773         1,773           DOMENT INFORMORY PROJES         1,487,875         6         1,497,4355           COMMUNICATIONS AND ELE		50,829			50.5
THUCK, THACTOR, VARD TYPE, M878 (CS)     50     4,884       HYY EXPANDED MOBILE TACTICAL TRUCK EXT SERV P     552     119,854       LINE HALL ESP     -     73,320       TEMD FLASTION OF IN SYCE EQUIP     -     73,320       TEMD FLASTION OF IN SYCE EQUIP     -     7,320       NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES     -     4,979       NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES     -     7,320       DEFF- Amored SUV / Transfer to H.R. 4,57     -     2,95       NONTACTICAL VEHICLES     -     1,753       NONTACTICAL VEHICLES     -     1,753       TOTAL TACTICAL VEHICLES     -     1,753       ORMINICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT     -     2,77       COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT     -     6, 120       JGSE EQUIPMENT (USERDOM)     -     6, 120       JGSE EQUIPMENT (ORTIONS SYSTEM (SPAC     -     6, 120       JGSE EQUIPMENT (STRONS SYSTEM (SPAC     -     6, 120       JGSE EQUIPMENT (STRONS SYSTEM (SPAC     -     6, 120       JGSE EQUIPMENT (STRONS SYSTEM (SPAC     -     3,166	40	2.005		40	20
HVY EXPANDED MOBILE TACTICAL TRUCK EXT SERV P     652     119,854       LINE HALL ESP     -     -     73,320       MODIFICATION OF IN SYC EQUIP     -     -     73,320       MODIFICATION OF IN SYC EQUIP     -     73,320       MODIFICATION OF IN SYC EQUIP     -     73,320       MON-TACTICAL VEHICLES     -     73,320       HEAVY ARMORED SEDAN     6     581     100       DERF- Amode SUV Transfer burb, 4547     6     581     100       PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES     -     1,487,635     1,753       NONTACTICAL VEHICLES     -     1,487,635     1,753       NONTACTICAL VEHICLES     -     1,487,635     1,753       COMMN-JOINT COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONCE GUIPMENT     27     1,753       COMMN-JOINT COMMUNICATIONS     -     6,120       JOINT COMMNUCATIONS AND ELECTRONCE GUIPMENT     -     6,120       JOINT COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONCE GUIPMENT     -     6,120       JOINT COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM (SPAC     -     6,120       JOINT COMMUNICATIONS     -     6,120	50	4.884		50	4
MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP TEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (TAC VEH) HEAVY ARHORED SEDAN HEAVY ARHORED SEDAN HEAVY ARHORED SEDAN DEFER ARHYNG VEHICLES HEAVY ARHORED SEDAN DEFER ARHYNG VEHICLES NON ACTICAL VEHICLES 01HER TOTAL TACTICAL VEHICLES NON ACTICAL VEHICLES 01HER TOTAL TACTICAL VEHICLES NON ACTICAL VEHICLES TOTAL TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES TOTAL TECTICAL AND	652	119.854		652	119,854
TEMS LESS THAN SEO FUNCTION OF IN SYCE CUIP TEMS LESS THAN SEO (TAC VEH) NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES DEFIC - Amored SUV / Transler to H.R. 4547 PERSENCER CARRYING VEHICLES NONTACTICAL VEHICLES NONTACTICAL VEHICLES TOTAL TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES TOTAL TACTICAL ORDININGATIONS COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT COMM - JOINT COMMUNICATIONS COMM - JOINT COMMUNICATIONS SHF TEM STEPAL				,	
HEAN ESS THAN \$5.00 (Trac VEH)         4.979           NON-TACTICAL VENICLES         5.91           HEAVY ARNOFED SEDAN         5.91           HEAVY ARNOFED SEDAN         5.91           DERF- Amored SUV Transien but. 4547         5.95           PASSENCER CARRYING VEHICLES         7.753           NONTACTICAL VEHICLES         7.753           NONTACTICAL VEHICLES         7.487,835           TOTAL TACTICAL VEHICLES         7.487,835           COMM - JOINT COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT         6.120           JUSE EQUIPMENT (IOREDOM)         6.120           JUSE EQUIPATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT         6.120           JUSE EQUIPMENT (IOREDOM)         6.120           JUSE EQUIPMENT (EXERCOM)         6.120           JUSE EQUIPMENT (EXERCIDES         9.9.906           SHF TERM         3.3.166		73,320		,	73,320
HEAVY ARNORED SEDAN         8         561         100           HEAVY ARNORED SEDAN         5         561         100           DERF- Ammored SUV / Transler to H.R. 447         2         295         (100)           DERF- Ammored SUV / Transler to H.R. 447         27         1,753         (100)           PASSENGET CARHYING VEHICLES         27         1,753         (100)         1           NONTACTICAL VEHICLES         27         1,753         1,753         1,487,635           TOTAL TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES         1,487,635         1,487,635         1,487,635           COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT         27         1,753         1,53           COMMUNICATIONS NO ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT         6,120         6,120           JCSE EQUIPMENT (INSELDOM)         5,120         6,120         6,120           JCSE EQUIPMENT (INSELDOM)         6,120         6,120         6,120           JCSE EQUIPMENT (INSELDOM)         5,87EM (SPAC         8,9366         6,120           SHE TERM         3,166         3,3166         3,166		4,979			4,979
HEAVY AMORED SEDM         581         100           DERF-AMMORED SELVER         785         100           DERF-Ammored SUV / Transler to H.1. 4547         295         (100)           PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES         7         7,53           NONTACTICAL VEHICLES         7         1,753           TOTAL TACTICAL VEHICLES         1,487,635         7,487,635           TOTAL TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES         1,487,635         1,487,635           COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT         6,120         6,120           COMM - JOINT COMMUNICATIONS         5,120         6,120           JGSE EQUIPMENT (USEEDCOM)         6,120         6,120           JGSE EQUIPMENT (CATIONS SYSTEM (SPAC         89,060         99,060           FFERM         54F TERM         33,166         33,166					
DERF-AMENIGS (100)         1           DERF-AMENIGS (2014)         295           PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES         27           NONIACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER         27           NONIACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER         27           TOTAL TACTICAL VEHICLES         1,487,635           COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT         1,487,635           COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT         6,120           JUSE EQUIPMENT (INSERDOM)         6,120           JUSE EQUIPMENT (EXENDOM)         6,120           JUSE EQUIPMENT (EXENDOM)         6,120           JUSE EQUIPMENT (EXENDOM)         6,120           JUSE EQUIPMENT (EXENDOM)         33,166	9			106	11,281
ASERVER CARRYING VEHICLES - 295 NONTACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER 27 1,753 TOTAL TACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER 27 1,487,435 COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT UCSE EQUIPMENT (LGTICONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT UCSE EQUIPMENT (LGTICONS SYSTEM (SPAC - 89,906 SHF TERM - 33,166			00) (10,700)	(100)	(10,
NONTACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER         27         1,753           TOTAL TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES         1,487,635         1,487,635           COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT         6,1487,635         6,200           COMMN TOTORN AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT         6,120         6,120           COMMN TOTORN AND PROGRAM         6,120         6,120           JGSE EQUIPMENT (USERDOM)         6,120         6,120           DEFENSE SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM (SPAC         89,806         89,806           SHF TERM         745         7,80         89,806	,	295			
TOTAL TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES     1,487,835       COMMNUCATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT     6,120       COMMN-UOINT COMMUNICATIONS     6,120       JCSE EQUIPMENT     6,120       JCSE EQUIPMENT     6,120       DEFENSE SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS     99,906       SHF TERM     33,166	27	1,753		27	1,753
COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT COMM - JOINT COMMUNICATIONS COMBAT IDENTIFICATION PROGRAM JCSE EQUIPMENT (USERECON) COMM - SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM (SPAC SHF TERM	F	,487,635	40,100		1,527,735
COMMIT TO THE COMMUNICATION PROGRAM UCSE EQUIPMENT (USREDCOM) UCSE EQUIPMENT (USREDCOM) COMM - SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM (SPAC SHF TERM					
JCSE EQUIMENT (URDATED ON) JCSE EQUIMENT (URDATED ON) COMM - SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SHF TERM					
UCSE EQUIPMENT (USHELOCOM) COMM - SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS DEFENSIS SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM (SPAC SHF TERM					Ċ
COMME - SMICLUTE COMMUNICATIONS DEFENSE SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM (SPAC SHF TERM		6,120		,	6,120
DEFENSE PALELLITE COMMUNICATIONS 310 LEW (SFAC	,	90 900			<i>a</i> ua ua
		33 166			03,0U0 22 166
SAT TEDM FMILT (SDACE)		00,100		•	100
		7,041			140%1

		AUTHO RE	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMP CHANG REQ	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION	003 ITTEE NDATION
		QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
27	SMART-T (SPACE)	-	24,467				24,467
8	SCAMP (SPACE)		1.559			,	1,559
g	GLOBAL REDCST SVC - GRS	,	11 402			,	11 402
28			11 002				11 000
2	MOU OF #YOVO EQUIT (INV OAL)		20010			•	00,11
2			01110				
5	AHMY GLUBAL CMU & CUNIHOL SYS (AGUUS)		21,149			1	21,149
	COMM - CUMBAT COMMUNICATIONS						
8	ARMY DATA DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (DATA RADIO)	•	74,835			•	74,835
g	SINCGARS FAMILY	•	30,141		83,200		113,341
	DERF- Civil Support Teams, ARNG				[+22,100]		[+22,100
	Improved HFR. Army Reserve				[+61,100]		[+61,100]
7	TRACTOR CAGE		4 1 1 2			,	4 112
5	IOINT TACTICAL AREA COMMAND SYSTEMS	,	869		6 000	,	6,869
	AN / ABS-6 /V) COTS Insertion   Innrarias				[+6.000]		[+6 000]
ģ			75 005		facata 1		75 005
2 0							
2	COMMO-EFEC EGOIL FIELDING	ł	12,324			•	12°.4
88	SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM COMM/ELECTRONICS	,	6,114				6,114
o O	COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LOCATOR (CSEL)	1,186	16,879			1,186	16,879
4	MEDICAL COMM FOR CBT CASUALTY CARE (MC4)	•	4,975				4,975
	COMM - INTELLIGENCE COMM						
41	CI AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE	,	1,755			,	1,755
	INFORMATION SECURITY						
42	TSEC - APMY KEY MGT SYS (AKMS)		10.150			,	10.150
43	INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM-ISSP	•	39,055		40,700	,	79,755
	DERF- Secure Terminal Equipment				[+15.700]		(+15.700
	DERF- Secure Wireless Devices				[+3,000]		1+3.000
	Samua Tarminal Eminment				[114 DOM]		114 000
	COMM - I ONG HALIF COMMUNICATIONS				[2024]11]		000
YY			070 6				010 6
. 4			26 726				36 725
2 9			00000				
ę i			800°0			•	950'0
44	ELECTROMAG COMP PHOG (EMCP)	1	461			•	461
48	WW TECH CON IMP PROG (WWTCIP)	*	2,991			1	2,991
	COMM - BASE COMMUNICATIONS						
49	INFORMATION SYSTEMS	,	279,592		215,000	,	494,592
	DERF- Relocation Sites				[+215,000]		[+215,000]
8	DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM (DMS)		26,829			•	26,829
5	LOCAL AREA NETWORK (LAN)	•	127,244			•	127,244
\$	PENTAGON INFORMATION MGT AND THI FCOM		14 501				14 501

Line	PROGRAM TITLE	AUTHC AUTHC RE	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMN CHANG REQ	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION	003 ITTEE NDATION
		QTY.	COST	QTY.	· COST	QTY.	COST
	ELECT EQUIP - NAT FOR INT PROG (NFIP)						
53	FOREIGN COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROG (FCI)		1,624				1,624
-	GENERAL DEFENSE INTELL PROG (GDIP)	ı	20,258		5,994	,	26,252
	DERF- Classified				[+5,994]		[+5,994]
	ELECT EQUIP - TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA)						
10	ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYS (ASAS) (TIARA)		57,886			•	57,886
22	JTT/CIBS-M (TIARA)	13	4.824			13	4.824
~	PROPHET GROUND (TIARA)	46	20.226		15.000	46	35.226
	DERF				[+15.000]		f+15.000
85	TUAV	12	84.290		11.000	12	95.290
	DERF		-		[+11.000]		(+11,000)
	APAAV COMMANN GROUND STATION (CGR)	1	R ROO			,	8,620
			14 080			1	14 080
33			00011				50°E
	URUG INTERDICTION FROGRAM (UIP) (TIARIA)	,	5				•
	IACT EXPLOITATION OF NATL CAPABILITES (TAHA	•	•				•
~	TACTICAL EXPLOITATION SYSTEM (TIARA)	1	17,576				17,576
_	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GRND SYSTEM (DCGS) (JMIP)		2,617		9,000		11,617
	DERF				[+9,000]		[+9,000]
	TROJAN (TIARA)	•	4,873			•	4,873
99	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (INTEL SPT) (TIARA)	,	1,655			·	1,655
	CI HUMINT INFO MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (CHIMS)		9,735			,	9,735
~	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (TIARA)	•	3,675		4,500	•	8,175
	DERF- TIARA				[+2,000]		[+2,000]
	DERF. JMEG				[+2.500]		[+2,500]
	ELECT EQUIP - ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW)						•
~	SHORTSTOP	,	•				•
20	COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES		2,310				2,310
	ELECT EQUIP - TACTICAL SURV. (TAC SURV)						
_	FAAD GBS	,	31				31
~	SENTINEL MODS	,	26,519			•	26,519
~	NIGHT VISION DEVICES		60,475			,	60,475
	LONG PANGE ADVANCED SCOUT SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	105	49.927			105	49,927
10	LTWT VIDEO RECON SYSTEM (LWVRS)	476	14,318			476	14,318
ú	NIGHT VISION, THERMAL WPN SIGHT	2,970	52,071			2,970	52,0
~	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION / AIMING LIGHT	•	•				'
ഹ	ARTILLERY ACCURACY EQUIP		5,402			,	5,402
26	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (MMS)	•	346			,	346
0	MOD OF INEVICE OF IT (MUS)		64.6				ò
		•					

GIV         COST         GIV         GIV <t< th=""><th>Line</th><th>PROGRAM TITLE</th><th>FY AUTHO RE</th><th>FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST</th><th>COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST</th><th>ITTEE E FROM JEST</th><th>FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION</th><th>003 ITTEE ENDATION</th></t<>	Line	PROGRAM TITLE	FY AUTHO RE	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	ITTEE E FROM JEST	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION	003 ITTEE ENDATION
CODO FINSCE COUPY CERT SURV.)         33.33			aty.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
CFORE XM BATTLE CAM FING NET (LD         35         524         1.733         6.24         1.733         6           COMPTICE MALLISTICS: MORTANGENINDER (LD         35         598         598         538 <td>쩛</td> <td>MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (TAC SURV)</td> <td>,</td> <td>33,283</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>33,283</td>	쩛	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (TAC SURV)	,	33,283				33,283
Computeriser function         35         9,92         35         35           Computeriser function         35         9,92         35         35           Computeriser function         37         37         37         37         37           Montrine fire (SYS ERNORS)         37         27         230         37         37           Montrine fire (SYS ERNORS)         37         27         230         27         20         27           Montrine fire (SYS ERNORS)         37         237         <	83	FORCE XXI BATTLE CMD BRIGADE & BELOW (FBCB2)	1.783	65,294			1.783	65.294
COMPUTER BALISTICS: MOFT/AFIA: 500         113         2974         113         2974         113         27           MOFT/AFTER_CONTROL SYSTEM         77,200         27,32         27,41         113         27,32         113         27,32         113         27,32         113         27,32         113         27,32         113         27,32         113         27,32         113         27,32         113         27,32         113         27,32         113         27,32         113         27,32         113         27,32         113         27,32         114         114         114,33         114         114,33         113         27,33         114         114,33         114         114,33         114         114,33         114         114,43	4	LIGHTWEIGHT LASER DESIGNATOR/RANGEFINDER (LLD	35	8.962			58	8.962
MOTIVATE ECONTIOL SYSTEM         113         2273         2734         113         2           INFEGATED MET SYSTEMS (METS) - THATA         27         7.200         2.37         7.200         27           INTCRIAL CSYSTEMS         2.375         2.376         2.376         2.375         2.375         2.375         2.375         2.375         2.376         2.375 <td>32</td> <td>COMPUTER BALLISTICS: MORTAR M-30</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>;,</td> <td></td>	32	COMPUTER BALLISTICS: MORTAR M-30					;,	
TrickAL Constraints:         7         7.20         27         7.20         27         7.20         27         7.20         27         7.20         27         7.20         27         7.20         27         7.20         27         7.20         27         7.20         27         7	92	MORTAR FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM	113	29.794			113	29.794
AD FERT FOULD       TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS       42.332         AD FAT TAC CAL OF EAST ONS CENTERS       42.332         AD FAT TAC DATA SYSTEMS       42.332         AD FAT TAC DATA SYSTEMS       42.332         AD FAT TAC DATA SYSTEMS       42.332         AD FAT TAC DATA SYSTEM       12.417         AD FAT TAC DATA SYSTEM       12.413         AD FAT SCHWATE ENHOLD FYSTEM       12.413         FAD CONTROL SYSTEM       2.4739         FAD CONTROL SYSTEM       2.44500         FAD CONTROL SYSTEM       3.446         FAD CONTROL SYSTEM       3.453         FAD CONTROL SYSTEM       3.450         FAD CONTROL SYSTEM       1.1496         FAD CONTROL SYSTEM       1.1446         CONTROL SYSTEM       1.1446         CONTROL SYSTEM       1.1442         CONTROL SYSTEM       1.1446         CONTROL	22	INTEGRATED MET SYS SENSORS (IMETS) - TIARA	27	7.230			27	7.230
ATCICAL OFERATIONS CERTERS         42.332         42.332         42.332           AVE FA CUEAR SYS (AFATDSFC         2.977         2.977         2.977           AND OF INSUC EQUIP. AFATDS         2.977         2.977         2.977           MOD OF INSUC EQUIP. AFATDS         2.976         2.977         2.973           MOD OF INSUC EQUIP. AFATDS         2.975         2.973         2.973           MOD OF INSUC EQUIP. AFATDS         2.975         2.973         2.973           MOD OF INSUC EQUIP. AFATDS         2.975         2.973         2.973           CHAFT SOC SUPTON TOLENS (CSGSS)         2.975         2.973         2.973           FAND C2         2.974         1.617.126         2.973         2.973           FAND C3         2.984         1.617         1.617.126         2.975           FAND C4         3.984         2.943         2.943         2.943           FAND C4         3.981         2.943         3.944         1.1496         2.943           FAND C4         ANNGENERT MANGENERT         3.944         1.1496         2.943         2.943           FAND C4         CAMBI         3.976         3.944         1.1496         3.944         1.1496         3.944         1.9100001		ELECT EQUIP - TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS					ì	
ADV FAT YC CONTA SYS / FFT CTRL, SYS (AFATDSFIC         -         7,723         -         7,723         -         -         7,723         -         -         7,723         -         -         -         7,723         -	38	TACTICAL OPERATIONS CENTERS		42,332			,	42.332
MOD OF INSYC EQUIP, AFATDS         2.976         2.976         2.976           MOD OF INSYC EQUIP, AFATDS         2.9779         2.9759         2.9759         2.97509         2.9779         2.9779         2.9759         2.9759         2.97509         2.9759         2.9759         2.9759         2.9759         2.9759         2.9759         2.9759         2.9759         2.9759         2.9759         2.97559         2.97559         2.97559	ŝ	ADV FA TAC DATA SYS / EFF CTRL SYS (AFATDS/EC	,	74,723			,	74.723
Light Weight TECHNICAL FIRE DIRECTION SYS (LWT         12,413         1           Light Weight TECHNICAL FIRE DIRECTION SYS (LWT         139         2,413         1           RAD C2         23,750         23,750         23,750         2         2           RAD C2         21,611         24,750         24,750         2         2         2           RAD C2         21,611         14,150         14,150         1         1         2	õ	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP. AFATDS	•	2.976			,	2.97
CMBT SVC SUPT CONTFIGL SYS (CSSCS)         139         24,989         139         2 <td>듒</td> <td>LIGHT WEIGHT TECHNICAL FIRE DIRECTION SYS (LWT</td> <td></td> <td>12,413</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td>12.41</td>	듒	LIGHT WEIGHT TECHNICAL FIRE DIRECTION SYS (LWT		12,413			,	12.41
FAX C2         24,773         2         24,773         2         2           FAX C2         SPIRKER FAMILY         5         2         24,773         2         2           FAX D2         STRIKER FAMILY         5         4         5         2	8	CMBT SVC SUPT CONTROL SYS (CSSCS)	139	24 989			130	24 98
AFIA       3.53       5.75       3.53       5.75         AFIA       15.125       5.73       6       3.55         FIFIKER FAMILY       5.4       26.33       6       6         AFNG       15.125       5.125       6       4.500       60         AFNG       15.125       5.125       6       4.500       60       2         AFNG       17.701       5.4       26.35       6       2       2         AFNG       17.701       5.4       26.35       6       2       2         AFNG       17.701       5.4       26.35       6       2       2         DGTECH       17.801       14.4500       14.4500       14       3       2       2       2       2       2       1 <td< td=""><td>ŝ</td><td>FAAD C2</td><td>~</td><td>24.779</td><td></td><td></td><td>•</td><td>24.77</td></td<>	ŝ	FAAD C2	~	24.779			•	24.77
FORWARD ENTERVIEW DEVICE / LIGHTWEIGHT FED (FED/L STRIKET FAMLY         5,4         28,543         6         4,500         60         7           TRIKET FAMLY         STRIKET FAMLY         5,4         28,543         6         4,500         60         7           TRIKET FAMLY         STRIKET FAMLY         5,4         28,543         6         4,500         60         7           TRIKET FAMLY         STRIKET FAMLY         5,4         28,543         6         4,500         60         7           TOGTED         TOTOL         TAMS         11,496         11,496         14,450         14 <t< td=""><td>7</td><td>AR &amp; MSLIDFFENSE PLANNING &amp; CONTROL SYS (AMD</td><td>,</td><td>9 750</td><td></td><td></td><td>,</td><td>1 0</td></t<>	7	AR & MSLIDFFENSE PLANNING & CONTROL SYS (AMD	,	9 750			,	1 0
STRIKER FAMILY         54         26,543         6         4,500         60         23           ARMG         ARMG         1491         (4,100)         (4,10	<u>بر</u>	EORWARD ENTRY DEVICE / LIGHTWEIGHT FED (FED/		15 125				15.15
ARMS         Feed of the solution of the solut	1	STRIKER FAMILY	<b>V</b> 2	28 543	ų	4 500	A.	10.00
LIFE CYCLE SOFTWARE SUPPORT (LCSS)         924         1496         1496           TC AMRI         TC AMRI         17,701         17,701         1496           TC AMRI         TC AMRI         17,701         17,701         17,701         17,701           TC AMRI         TC AMRI         17,701         11,496         11,496         11,701           CL MATCHARENENT SYSTEM (JMMS)         11,342         11,346         11,342         11,342           JOINT NETHORIE MANAGER         11,342         11,342         11,342         11,342           TCCOLL INTERNET MANGER         7,591         (10,000)         11,342         11,342           TCCOLL INTERNET MANAGER         7,561         11,342         11,342         11,342           TCCOLL INTERNET MANAGER         7,561         11,342         11,342         11,342           TAMIS TACTICAL INTERNET MANAGER         7,561         11,342         11,342         11,342         11	2	ARNG	5	01-010-1	LA.	14 50M	19TJ	14 50
Togetect         7,701         7,701         7,701           Togetect         11,496         7,701         7,701           Tokasta         11,496         11,496         7,701           Tokasta         11,496         11,496         11,496           Strescon Eoupment         11,842         11,842         11,842           Strescon Eoupment         6,868         11,842         11,842           Tokasta         11,842         11,842         11,842           Mark Tokarden Stream         23,556         1,10000)         1,11           GGSS, Amy         11,842         11,842         11,842           Mark Training More Bartizo Amp         11,842         11,842         11,842           GGSS, Amy         11,7100         11,842         11,842         11,842           Mark Training More Bartizo Amp         11,842         11,842         11,842         11,842           Amix Training More Bartizo Amp         11,842         11,842         11,842         <	5	LIFE CYCLE SOFTWARE SUPPORT # CSS	ı	900	2	5000 to 1	5	0
TC AIMS I       TC AIMS I       11,466       1         TC AIMS I       133       139       139         SUN LEYNOR AND POS SYS (GLPS)       31,366       139         SUN LEYNOR MANGERMS TYSTEM (JIMMS)       31,366       139         JOUTN THETWORK MANGERMS TYSTEM (JIMMS)       11,822       11,822         JOUTN THETWORK MANGERMS TYSTEM (JIMMS)       11,822       11,822         JOUTN THETWORK MANGERMS TYSTEM (JIMMS)       11,822       11,822         MANEUVER CONTROL COMPUTERS (STACOMP)       51,304       (10,000)       11,922         MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS)       51,304       (10,000)       23         MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (CS)       51,304       (10,000)       23         ALT ARINING MODERNIZATION       29,535       17,500       11         ATT REID Preposition       11,7500       14,17500       11         ATT REID Preposition       11,65,46       17,500       14         ATT REID Preposition       11,7500       14,17500       14         ATT REID Preposition       11,7500       14,17500       14         RESERVE COMPONENTION OFENANDON SIO (INFA)       22,533       17,500       14         ATT REID Preposition       11,7500       14,17500       14 <t< td=""><td>8</td><td>LOGTECH</td><td>,</td><td>102.7</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>37.7</td></t<>	8	LOGTECH	,	102.7				37.7
GIN LAYING AND PCS SYS (GLP5)         159         159           GIN LAYING AND PCS SYS (GLP5)         13.86         13.86           SYSTEM ANAGENERT SYSTEM (JMMS)         6.88         13.86           DINT NETWORK MANAGENERT SYSTEM (JMMS)         1.366         9.3.86           TACTCAL INTERVORK MANAGENERT SYSTEM (JMMS)         1.366         9.3.86           TACTCAL INTERVORK MANAGENERT SYSTEM (JMMS)         1.382         1.1.82           TAND STATCONNEL WITERS (STACOMP)         5.1.34         (10.000)           GCSS, Anny         5.3.34         (10.000)           MANEUTERS (STACOMP)         5.3.535         5.3.44           GCSS, Anny         2.3.535         5.1.34           ATANT TRAINIG ADDERVIZATION         2.3.535         1.7.500           ATT FRID MAGENERT SOUP OND POST SYSTEM         2.3.535         1.7.500           ATT FRID MAGENERT SOUP ADDITON         1.1.65.546         1.7.500           ATT FRID MAGENERT SOUP ADDITON SYS (PCAS)         1.6.5.546         1.7.500           ATT FRID MAGENERT         2.3.535         1.7.500           ATT FRID MAGENERT         2.8.273         1.5.500           ATT FRID MAGENERT         2.9.535         1.7.500           ATT FRID MAGENERT         2.9.536         1.7.500	0	TC AIMS II		11.496			،	11.49
ISYSCON EQUIPAIENT         31,366         -         31,366         -	8	GUN LAYING AND POS SYS (GLPS)		159			,	159
JOINT NETWORK MANGEMENT SYSTEM (JUMS)         688         7,564         7,564         7,564         7,564         7,564         7,564         7,564         7,566         61,304         61,000)         69,56         61,304         60,566         61,304         61,000)         60,56         61,304         7,000         61,56         61,75,000         7,16         61,600         61,75         61,75,000         7,17         61,0000         61,75	5	ISYSCON EQUIPMENT	5	31.366			T	31.36
TACTICAL INTERNET MANAGER         11,842         11,842           TACTICAL INTERNET MANAGER         7,564         10,000)         1           MARST NATIOL SYSTEM (MCS)         61,304         (10,000)         1           GCSS Amy         9,534         (10,000)         1         1           GCSS Amy         23,534         (10,000)         1         1           GCSS Amy         23,535         17,500         1         1           GCSS Amy         23,535         17,500         1         1           ALTOMATION         AUTOMATION         23,535         17,500         1         1           ALTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP         11,65,200         11,7500         1         1         1           AMT FRAINUS GODENVENTION         11,7500         15,55,66         1,75,500         1         1           AUTOMATED SATA PROCESSING EQUIP         11,65,200         11,500         1         1         1           ATT FREE COUP AND ONENT TAINNG AND NSYS (PCAS)         88,273         15,556         1         1         1           ATT FREE COUP AND ON USULL SYS (AN)         28,573         1         1         1         1         1           ATT FREE COUP AND ON USULL SYS (AN)         28,2	8	JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (JNMS)	,	6,868			,	6.86
MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS)         7,584         (10,000)         5           STAMIEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (10,000)         61,304         (10,000)         5           STANIEUVER CONTROL COMPUTERS (STACOMP)         61,304         (10,000)         5           Stands Internation         6555, Amy         (10,000)         5         2           GSSS, Amy         25,535         (10,000)         2         2           ATTANING MODERNIZATION         19,233         17,500         1         1           ATT FRINKE MODERNIZATION         19,233         17,500         1         1           ATT FRINKE MODERNIZATION         19,233         17,500         1         1           ATT FRINKE MODERNIZATION         19,233         17,500         1         1           ATT FRID MARTEBARK         19,6546         1,7500         1         1           ATT FRID MARTEBARK         15,6546         1,7500         1         1           ATT FRID MARTEBARK         28,273         1,65546         1         1         1           ATT FRID MARTEBARK         28,07400         16,17500         1         1         1         1           ATT FRID MARTEBARK         28,07400         28,273         2,256         2,	8	TACTICAL INTERNET MANAGER	1	11.842			,	11.8
STAMIS TACTICAL COMPUTERS (STACOMP)         61,304         (10,000)         5           SCSS, Army GGSS, Army SGSS, Army SGSS, Army SGSS, Army Army MTERAWING POST SYSTEM         29,535         [-10,000]         2           SGSS, Army SGSS, Army SGSS, Army SGSS, Army Army MTERAWING MODERNIZATION         29,535         [-10,000]         2           SGSS, Army SGSS, Army Army MTERAWING MODERNIZATION         29,535         2         2         2           ALMY TRAINING MODERNIZATION         -         19,233         17,500         -         1           ARMY TRAINING MODERNIZATION         -         19,233         17,500         -         1           ALT MEATER         MITOMATED NATION         -         19,233         17,500         -         1           ATT FREID Maintenance         -         19,233         17,500         -         1         1           ATT FREID MAINTON SYS (PCAS)         -         68,273         -         1         1           ATT FREID MAINTON SYS (ROVI)         -         68,273         -         1         1         1           ATT FREID MAINTON OF REATIONS (SIO) (TIRA)         -         2,526         -         -         1         1         1           ATT FREID MAINTON OF REATIONS (SIO) (TIRA)         -         <	8	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS)	,	7,584			•	7.51
GCSS, Amy GCSS, Amy FINUMAD INTEGRATED CMD POST SYSTEM     29,535     [-10,000]     [-11,0,000]       STAUDADI INTEGRATED CMD POST SYSTEM     29,535     [-10,000]     [-10,000]       ATAY TRAINING MODERNIZATION     19,233     17,500     1       ATAT RELINE AND MATTON     19,233     17,500     1       ATT RFID Postonion     11,71     15,546     1,7500     1       ATT RFID Postonion     11,71     15,56,546     1,7500     1       ATT RFID Postonion     88,273     1,45,200     1     1       ATT RFID Postonion     88,273     2,556     2     1     1       ATT RFID Postonion     2,526     2     2,556     2     1       ATT RFID Postonion     2,526     2     2,556     2     1	8	STAMIS TACTICAL COMPUTERS (STACOMP)		61.304		(10:000)	,	51.30
STANDARED MITERATED CM0 POST SYSTEM         29,535         2           STANDARED MITERAWIG MODERNIZATION         19,233         17,500         1           AIT/ RED MARTED AT PROCESSING EQUIP         19,233         17,500         1           AIT / RED Martenance         15,546         1,12,000         1           AIT / RED Martenance         15,546         1,12,000         1           AIT / RED Martenance         16,546         1,412,000         1           AIT / RED Martenance         88,273         1,500         1         1           AIT / RED Martenance         88,273         1,500         1         1           AIT / RED Martenance         88,273         1,500         1         1           AIT / RED Martenance         28,273         1,43,200         1         1           AIT / RED Proposition         88,273         1,43,200         1         1           ART / RED Proposition         88,273         2,556         2         2         5,766         2         1           AIT / RED Proposition         2,526         2         2,526         2         2         2         2         2           AIT / RED Proposition         2,526         2         2,526         2		GCSS, Army				[-10,000]		1-10,000
ELECT EQUIP - AUTOMATION         19,233         1           ARMY TRAINING MODERNIZATION         19,233         17,500         -         1           AITOMATED DATA PROCESSING EOUP         -         166,546         17,500         -         1           AIT FRID Maintenance         -         16,6,546         (+1,2,300)         (+1,2,300)         (+1,1,2,300)         (+1,1,2,300)         (+1,1,2,300)         (+1,1,2,300)         (+1,2,300)         (+1,1,1,2,1,2,300)<	g	STANDARD INTEGRATED CMD POST SYSTEM		29,535			,	29.535
AMN TRAINING MODERNIZATION         19,233         17,500         14,500		ELECT EQUIP - AUTOMATION						
AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP         17,500         17,500         17,500         17,500         17,500         17,500         17,500         17,500         14,12,300         14,12,12,12         14,12,12,12         14,12,12         14,12,12         14,12,12         14,12,12         14,12,12         14,12,12         14,12,12         14,12,12         14,12,12         14,12,12         14,12         14,12,12         14,12         14,12,12         14,12         14,12,12         14,12         14,12,12         14,12,12         14,12	20	ARMY TRAINING MODERNIZATION	£	19,233			,	19.23
AIT / FEID Maintenance         [+12,300]         [+12,300]         [+12,300]         [+11,300]         [+12,300] <td>108</td> <td>AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP</td> <td>,</td> <td>156,546</td> <td></td> <td>17,500</td> <td>·</td> <td>174,046</td>	108	AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP	,	156,546		17,500	·	174,046
ATT / FTD Preposition ATT / FTD Preposition (1+5,200) [+5,200] [+5		AIT / RFID Maintenance				[+12.300]		1+12.300
RESERVE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS (RCAS) - 88,273 - 6 ELECT EQUIP - AUDIO VISIAL SYS (AV) SPECIAL INFORMATION OPERATIONS (SIO) (TIRRA) - 2.523 AFRTS - 2.523 TIRUNS LESS THAN 55: 00 (AV) - 5.756 TIRUNS LESS THAN 55: 00 (AV) - 5.756		AIT / RFID Preposition				[+5,200]		1+5,20
ELECT EQUIP - AUDIO VISUAL SYS (AV) SPECIAL INFORMATION OPERATIONS (SIO) (TIARA) AFRTS TENS LESS THAN SAM (SIU) (TIARA) - 2.528 - 5.758 - 5.758	109	RESERVE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS (RCAS)	,	68,273				68,273
SPECIAL INFORMATION OPERATIONS (SIO) (TIARA)		ELECT EQUIP - AUDIO VISUAL SYS (A/V)						
AFRTS - 2.523 - 2.523 - 1.1124 - 2.1225 - 2.523 - 1.1124 - 2.1225 - 2.755 - 5.7555 - 5.75555 - 5.75555 - 5.75555 - 5.75555 - 5.755555 - 5.755555 - 5.755555 - 5.755555555 - 5.7555555 - 5.75555555555	110	SPECIAL INFORMATION OPERATIONS (SIO) (TIARA)	,	•				
TENS LESS TANN SEM (X) (X) - 5726 - 5776 - 5	111	AFRTS	*	2,523				2,52
	얻	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (A/V)		5.756			,	5.75
	e T	TTMO POOL TOXA PERK (CURVENIE) TO HOME TO THE						

## **TITLE I - PROCUREMENT**

Ĩ	(sp
	ane
2	sno
ś	Ê
	⊒.
-	llars
	8
1	E
1	

		AUTHO	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMB CHANG REQ	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDAT	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
		QTY.	COST	QTΥ.	COST	QTY.	COST
114	ELECT EQUIP - SUPPORT PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (C-E)	a	417				417
	TOTAL COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT		2,274,174		402,394		2,676,568
5	OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
-	CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT						
15.	SMOKE & OBSOURANT FAMILY: SOF (NON AAO ITEM)	•	25,953				25,953
							:
	IACTICAL DHIUGING TACTICAL DUDOT 11 CAT DIDDOM	•	57,604				57,604
	ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) EQUIPMENT		16710				/62,16
118	DISPENSER, MINE M139		1 822				1 825
119 (	GRND STANDOFF MINE DETECTION SYSTEM (GSTAMIDS		17.425				17.425
120	WIDE AREA MUNITIONS (REMOTE CONTROL UNIT)	278	3,223			278	3,223
-	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQPMT (EOD EQPMT)		10,965				10,965
	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M, COUNTERMINE EQUIP		686				686
123	BN COUNTERMINE SIP					•	•
	CUMBAL SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
47	HEALERS AND ECUS		14,824				14,824
2 K	LAUNUMIES, SHOWERS AND LATRINES FLOODINGHT SET FLEET TRI MITH 3 LIGHTS	•	32,399				32,399
	SOLDER ENHANCEMENT		0.100			,	1991
	LIGHTWEIGHT MAINTENANCE ENCLOSUIRE /I ME)	587	7 730		10.000	- 587	17 730
			· · · ·		- [UUU 57]	100	
	ARNG				[+5,000]		[+2,000]
129	FORCE PROVIDER	,	'				,
	AUTHORIZED STOCKAGE LIST MOBILITY SYSTEM (ASL		2,838				2,836
	FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT	,	21,177				21,177
	AIRDROP PROGRAM		•			ŀ	
8	CAMOUFLAGE: ULCANS		•			,	'
3	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (ENG SPT EQ)	•	7,918				7,918
135	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CSS EQ)	•	'			ł	•
ac t	PETROLEUM EQUIPMENT EAMILY OF TANK ASSEMBLIES EARDIG OOL ADSID						
	A MILLE OF PARKAGEMENTED, LAPITO, COLLAR SIDE OLIVETY STIDVELLE ANOTE FOLIDMENT	•	1 1			•	, L T
-	GOALT JOIN EVERANDE FOOT MENT		770'1				770'1
			1007,00			•	10.001

Line	PROGRAM TITLE	AUTHC AUTHC RE	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	CHANG	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION	003 ITTEE NDATION
		<u>ατγ.</u>	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
140 W	WATER PURIFICATION SYSTEMS	-	18,204			-	18,204
Σ	MEDICAL EQUIPMENT						
141 CC	COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL		21,003		17,000		38,003
	Rapid IV Infusion Pumps				[+5,000]		[+2,000]
	LSTAT				[+10,000]		[+10,000
	Telemedicine Instrumentation Pack				[+2,000]		[+2,000
W	MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT						
142 St	SHOP EQ CONTACT MAINTENANCE TRK MTD (MYP)	180	12,870			180	12.870
143 W	VELDING SHOP, TRAILER MTD	119	5,082			119	5.082
144 IT	TEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MAINT EQ)	,	1.075				1.075
õ	CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT						
145 GI	BRADER. ROAD MTZD. HVY. 6X4 (CCE)	ı	3.854				3.854
	CRAPERS. EARTHMOVING	I	7.989				2.989
-	DISTR, WATER, SP MIN 2500G SEC/NON-SEC						,
48 M	MISSION MODULES - ENGINEERING		19.236				19.236
49 CC	COMPACTOR	,	299			,	660
150 LC	OADERS	i	25.365				25.365
	HYDRAULIC EXCAVATOR	,	300			,	300
0	EPLOYABLE UNIVERSAL COMBAT EARTH MOVERS		299				299
53 TF	RACTOR, FULL TRACKED	ī	14.950				14.950
0	RANES	•	16.333				16.333
155 CI	CRUSHING/SCREENING PLANT, 150 TPH	0	4,495			2	4.495
156 PI	PLANT, ASPHALT MIXING	-	2.006				2.006
57 AF	AMORED COMBAT EARTHMOVER, M9 ACE	•	. '				,
158 HI	<pre>HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER EXCAVATOR (HMEE)</pre>	16	5,014			16	5.014
159 C(	CONST EQUIP ESP	,	9,567				9,567
160 17	TEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CONST EQUIP)	•	12,880		4,000		16,880
	Water Distributors-Army Reserve				[+4,000]		[+4,000]
œ	RAIL FLOAT CONTAINERIZATION EQUIPMENT						
S	SMALL TUG			N	2,000	2	2,000
ш	LOATING CRANE, 100-250 TON					,	'
-	OGISTIC SUPPORT VESSEL (LSV)		•			1	'
164 LC	OGISTICS SUPPORT VESSEL (ESP)		•			•	'
165 C/	DAUSEWAY SYSTEMS		29,673				29.673
106 JT	'EMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (FLOAT/RAIL)	•	3,563			,	3,563
Q	GENERATORS						
167 GI	GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIP	•	79,180				79,180
-	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT						

Line	PROGRAM TITLE	AUTHO RE	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMR CHANG REQ	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION	003 ITTEE NDATION
		QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
169	ALL TERRAIN LIFTING ARMY SYSTEM	148	21.963			148	21.963
021	MHE EXTENDED SERVICE PROGRAM (ESP)	42	2.304			12	2.304
F	ROUGH TERRAIN CONTAINER CRANE		•			,	'
172	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MHE)		495			,	495
	TRAINING EQUIPMENT		2				2
173	COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS (CTC) SUPPORT		54.493			,	54 493
174	TRAINING DEVICES. NONSYSTEM		111.682			,	111 682
75	CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINER		52 472				52 472
176	AVIATION COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (AVCA		35.915			,	35.915
11	FIRE SUPPORT COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER					,	
	TEST MEASURE AND DIG EQUIPMENT (TMD)						
178	CALIBRATION SETS EQUIPMENT		16.366				16.366
179	INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIPMENT (IFTE)	ı	59,596			,	50 506
180	TEST EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION (TEMOD)	,	16.782			,	16 782
181	APMY DIAGNOSTICS IMPROVEMENT PGM (ADIP)		7.982			,	7 982
	OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
182	RECONFIGURABLE SIMULATORS	,					•
183 183	PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OPA3)		227,402		431,400	,	658.802
	DERF				[+4.500]		[+4.500]
	DERF- Transfer from OMA				[+76,900]		1+76.900
	DERF- Transfer from OMA				[+350,000]		1+350.000
8	BASE LEVEL COM'L EQUIPMENT		12.297				12.297
85	MODIFICATION OF IN-SVC EQUIPMENT (OPA-3)		49.181				49.181
186	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (OTH)		2.522			,	2.522
187	SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR USER TESTING		14,311			•	14.311
88	MA8975	•	4,256		39.100		43.356
	DERF- Classified				[+39,100]		[+39.100]
189	CLOSED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS		•				
	TOTAL OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		1,346,274		508,500		1,854,774
	SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS						
190	UPAZ INITIAL SPARES - C&E		50 60 <i>4</i>				50 604
;	OPA3		100100				00.00
191	INITIAL SPARES - OTHER SUPPORT EQUIP		676				676

Line PROGRAM TIT	LLE	ΡŢ	2003	COMMITTEE		EY 2	003
		AUTHO	AUTHORIZATION	CHANGE FROM		COMM	COMMITTEE
		REC	REQUEST	REQUEST		RECOMME	INDATION
		QTY.	QTY. COST QTY.		COST	QTY.	COST
TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY			5,168,453	6	50,994		950,994 6,119,447

### Items of Special Interest

### Automated data processing equipment (ADPE)

The budget request contained \$156.5 million for procurement of ADPE, of which \$13.5 million was included for automatic identification technology (AIT)/radio frequency-identification (RFID) devices.

AIT/RFID devices, which consist of various radio frequency, bar code scanning, and data carrier devices, are components of automated logistics systems that expedite receiving, storage, distribution, and inventory management of new and repairable items as well as afloat and ashore pre-positioned stockpile items and equipment. These devices are also used to automate manufacturing process controls for repair parts and to track other types of ground support equipment at various military depots. The committee believes that substantial savings and efficiencies can continue to be achieved from further implementation of these devices in automated inventory and repair processes. The committee urges the Secretary of the Army to review the opportunities to reduce manpower requirements in Army industrial facilities as a result of increased efficiencies that may be achieved from the continued installation of this technology.

The committee recommends \$174.0 million, an increase of \$12.3 million for maintenance AIT/RFID implementation in Army industrial facilities, and an increase of \$5.2 million for AIT/RFID implementation in pre-positioned stocks, for a total increase of \$17.5 million.

### Combat support medical

The budget request contained \$21.0 million to procure deployable medical systems and field medical equipment, but included no funds for rapid intravenous (IV) infusion pumps, or Life Support for Trauma and Transport (LSTAT) units, or the Army Medical Support Group Telemedicine Instrumentation Pack (TIP). The budget request also contained \$12.6 million in PE 64807A, but included no funds for LSTAT spiral development.

The Rapid IV infusion pump is a Food and Drug Administration approved miniature, portable, lightweight pump specifically designed for life-saving intravenous fluid resuscitation by a medic in the field to restore blood pressure and prevent shock and death of victims with severe blood loss or dehydration. The committee understands that it is estimated that up to 15 percent of the soldiers that died in Vietnam who were not immediate battlefield casualties would have survived their wounds if rapid infusion of fluids had been a possibility during that conflict.

The LSTAT integrates a set of commercially available, FDA-approved medical devices in a self-contained mini-intensive care, medical evacuation platform, which provides advanced life-support, on-board ventilation, suction, environmental control, oxygen generation, and patient monitoring to stabilize wounded soldiers near the battlefront as they are evacuated. LSTAT is configured on a NATO-standard litter, is broadly interoperable with other medical systems and compatible with most evacuation platforms including UH-60s, UH-1s, C-130s, and High Mobility Multi-purpose Wheeled Vehicles. The TIP is a portable electronic patient record and diagnostic device, which allows medical personnel to acquire and update a patient's health information and treatment history from statistics gathered by numerous patient health monitoring and diagnostic instruments. A patient's medical treatment history and statistics are automatically recorded into the electronic patient chart for later review during a patient's treatment or can be transmitted online for other medical expert's assistance.

The committee is supportive of the potential life saving capability that these devices offer, and, therefore, recommends \$38.0 million, an increase of \$5.0 million to procure rapid IV infusion pumps; an increase of \$10.0 million to continue procurement of LSTAT units, and an increase of \$2.0 million to procure TIPs. The committee also recommends \$17.6 million in PE 64807A, and increase of \$5.0 million, for spiral development of expanded LSTAT capabilities.

### Family of heavy tactical vehicles

The budget request contained \$242.8 million to procure palletized load systems, heavy equipment transporter systems, heavy expanded mobility tactical trucks and other related equipment of which \$34.3 million was included to procure 2,324 movement tracking systems (MTS). However, no MTS were requested for the Army Reserve.

The MTS is a satellite-based tracking, communication system providing both active and reserve component combat service support units with global positioning system vehicle location and tracking and two-way text messaging between stationary base locations and vehicles.

The committee understands the MTS significantly enhances the Army's ability to strategically position, monitor, and track re-supply items, while providing near real-time command and control of in-theater logistical requirements. As Army Reserve unit deployments increase, the committee believes there is an increasing need for better communications interoperability between active and mobilized reserve combat service support units.

The committee also notes the Chief of the Army Reserve has identified a fiscal year 2003 unfunded requirement for MTS and, recommends an increase of \$9.0 million to accelerate procurement of MTS for the Army Reserve.

### *High mobility multi-purpose wheeled vehicles (HMMWVs)*

The budget request contained \$196.8 million for 2,064 HMMWVs, of which \$54.0 million was included to procure 360 of the M1114 Up-Armor variant.

The Up-Armor HMMWV is a multi-service, four wheel drive utility vehicle that provides proven ballistic protection for soldiers from anti-personnel and anti-tank mines and armored piercing munitions. The committee notes the Army Chief of Staff has identified a \$31.1 million fiscal year 2003 unfunded requirement for an additional 180 Up-Armored HMMWVs. The committee understands these additional vehicles will be fielded to deployed active and reserve units to enhance combat support missions.

Recognizing the ongoing importance of force protection and in light of lessons learned from previous urban and combat operations, the committee recommends \$227.9 million, an increase of \$31.1 million, to fulfill the unfunded requirement for an additional 180 M1114 Up-Armor HMMWVs.

### Information system security program (ISSP)

The budget request contained \$39.1 million and \$13.4 million to procure secure voice and data equipment for the Army and Air Force respectively.

The committee strongly supports upgrading critical secure telecommunications by replacing older secure voice and data systems with modern secure digital communications equipment. These upgrades will help reduce the exploitation of classified and sensitive information due to growing information security threats. The committee also notes that this is a top fiscal year 2003 unfunded priority of the Air Force Chief of Staff.

To accelerate the replacement of older secure voice and data terminals, the committee recommends an increase of \$14.0 million for Army ISSP and \$10.0 million for Air Force Command, Control, and Communications Countermeasures to procure additional secure terminal equipment.

### *Items less than \$5.0 million (construction equipment)*

The budget request contained \$12.9 million to procure construction equipment support items, of which \$784 thousand was for two water distributors for airborne units, but no funds were requested for the Army Reserve.

These water distributors provide water distribution for rapid construction requirements in the deployed locations. The committee notes that the Chief of the Army Reserve has identified a \$4.0 million fiscal year 2003 unfunded requirement for 12 water distributors.

The committee recommends an increase of \$16.9 million for construction equipment items less than \$5.0 million, an increase of \$4.0 million, to accelerate procurement and fielding of 12 water distributors to the Army Reserve.

### Joint tactical area command systems

The budget request contained \$900 thousand for management of joint tactical area command systems, but included no funds to upgrade AN/ARS-6 (V) personnel locator communications systems.

The AN/ARS-6 (V) personnel locator communications system is an airborne electronic locator, which can precisely locate survivors on the ground equipped with AN/PRC-112 survival radios. The committee understands that this commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) upgrade will include a global positioning system waveform for currently fielded systems and believes that this capability may aid in the rescue and recovery of personnel and survivors in extremis situations.

The committee recommends 6.9 million for joint tactical area command systems, an increase of 6.0 million, for AN/ARS-6 (V) COTS insertion upgrades.

### Lightweight maintenance enclosure (LME)

The budget request contained \$7.7 million to procure LMEs, of which \$1.9 million was for the Army National Guard (ARNG).

The LME is a lightweight, frame-supported tent designed to provide forward deployed maintenance units a quick setup-and-takedown enclosed shelter in which to perform field maintenance operations across the battlefield in all climatic conditions.

The committee notes that mobility will be the hallmark of the Army's future medium brigades as well as ARNG units and that they must therefore be capable of rapidly repairing and maintaining equipment while deployed.

The committee recommends \$17.7 million, an increase of \$10.0 million, for the procurement of additional LMEs: \$5.0 million for the Army and \$5.0 million for the ARNG.

### Single channel ground and airborne radio systems (SINCGARS) family

The budget request contained \$30.1 million to procure SINCGARS for high priority Army National Guard (ARNG) units and interim brigade combat teams. The budget request also included \$22.1 million to procure improved high frequency radios (IHFR) for ARNG weapons of mass destruction civil support teams, but included no funds for IHFRs for the Army Reserve.

The IHFR is the primary means of communications for maneuver battalions, combat support and combat service support units, the latter of which are comprised primarily of Army Reserve forces. The IHFR provides a versatile capability for short- and long-range communications, particularly important for highly mobile and geographically dispersed units not supported by active component communications units. The IHFR is also the only tactical radio that possesses a long-range communications capability independent of terrestrial or satellite relays and exceeds the range of the line-ofsight SINCGARS. To date, limited fielding has occurred to the Army Reserve due to budget constraints; however, as a result of newly expanded missions in support of the war on terrorism, the Chief of the Army Reserve has identified a \$61.1 million fiscal year 2003 unfunded requirement for 1,750 IHFRs.

The committee recommends an increase of \$61.1 million to procure IHFRs for the Army Reserve.

### Small tug

The budget request contained no funds to procure small tugs.

The small tug is a 60-foot, steel hull, twin propeller vessel designed to tow general cargo barges in harbors, inland waterways, and along coastlines. It is also capable of assisting larger tugs in mooring ships of all sizes at piers and in restricted navigation waterways, moving floating cranes and machine shops, and performing line-handling duties.

The committee is aware that the Army has procured 12 of these tugs, has two under contract, and has recently increased its requirement to 16 vessels. The small tug replaces the Army's obsolete 40-year-old small tugs that were used in Operations Desert Shield and Desert Storm.

Consistent with prior years, and to complete the requirement for two additional tugs, the committee recommends an increase of \$7.0 million to accelerate procurement of 2 additional vessels towards completion of the requirement for 16 small tugs.

### Stamis tactical computers (STACOMP)

The budget request contained \$61.3 million for STACOMP, of which \$47.2 million was for global combat support system (GCSS)-Army hardware and fielding.

The GCSS-Army will be the business and tactical information system for Army combat service support to manage supply property, maintenance, ammunition, and supply support. In fiscal year 1997, the Army began development of GCSS-A to transform the Army's information technology support systems, which included replacing 16 legacy systems with 5 modules. However, the Army is still attempting to implement the first, and admittedly easiest, module to more than support supply property requirements. Despite spending \$320 million on GCSS-Army over 5 years, nothing has been fielded to date and no legacy information systems have been replaced. The Army intends to initially field the first module in fiscal year 2002. The committee is concerned about the amount of funds that have been expended, the lack of success, and amount of time required for initial fielding of the first and easiest module.

The committee has learned that the Army has now changed its acquisition strategy for the second module, which will cover maintenance logistics requirements and intends to use a commercial based enterprise resourcing plan versus continuing development of the second module. This is a significant change in strategy, which will affect the acquisition approach, funding requirements, and testing and implementation schedule.

Because of the Army's adoption of a commercial system acquisition strategy, the committee believes the system will require less funds to field the additional modules and recommends \$51.3 million for STACOMP, a decrease of \$10.0 million for GCSS–A.

### Striker family

The budget request contained \$28.5 million for the procurement of 54 Striker command and control vehicles, but included no funds for Strikers for the Army National Guard (ARNG).

The Striker vehicle is a high mobility multi-purpose wheeled vehicle mounted system, which incorporates a Bradley fire support vehicle mission equipment package of a laser rangefinder/designator, thermal sight, handheld computer, and both inertial navigation and global positioning systems. The Striker is operated by combat observation lasing teams (COLTs) as an integral part of heavy and light division and ARNG enhanced separate brigade reconnaissance teams to locate and designate targets for laser-guided ordnance.

The committee understands that funds appropriated in fiscal year 2001 for the ARNG Strikers enabled the fielding of only 50 percent of the required systems for an ARNG separate enhanced brigade and the full requirement is not expected to be budgeted for until fiscal year 2006.

The committee recommends \$33.0 million, an increase of \$4.5 million for six Striker systems to accelerate and complete fielding to an ARNG separate enhanced brigade.

### CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, ARMY

### Overview

The budget request contained \$1,490.2 million for Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Army, for fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends no funds for fiscal year 2003.

Line	PROGRAM TITLE	AUTHO	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	TEE FROM ST	FY COMN RECOMM	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
		ατγ.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
	CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, ARMY CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCT-RDT&E RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT						
-	CHEM DEMILITAHIZATION - RDTE	•	974,238		(974,238)	,	•
	TOTAL CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCT - RDT&E		974,238		(974,238)		
CN .	PROCUREMENT CHEM DEMILITARIZATION - PROC		302,683		(302,683)	,	,
	TOTAL CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCT - PROC		302,683		(302,683)		1
б	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE CHEM DEMILITARIZATION - O&M	I	213,278		(213,278)	ŀ	,
	TOTAL CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCT - O&M		213,278		(213,278)		•
	TOTAL CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, ARMY		1,490,199		(1,490,199)		1

### Items of Special Interest

### Chemical agents and munitions destruction

The budget requests contained \$1,490.2 million for Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Army.

The committee notes that section 1412 of the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 1986 (Public Law 99–145), as amended, requires that funds for the destruction of the U.S. stockpile of lethal chemical agents and munitions, including funds for military construction projects necessary to carry out the demilitarization program, shall only be authorized and appropriated in the budget of the Department of Defense (DOD) as a separate program and shall not be included in the budget accounts for any of the military departments. The committee notes that for the fourth year in a row, the Department's budget request contains authorization and appropriation of funds for the chemical demilitarization program in a budget account of the Department of the Army in contravention of direction provided by the law.

The committee believes that the original 1986 legislation, which mandated that funds for the chemical demilitarization program be authorized and appropriated in a defense-wide budget account in order to emphasize that destruction of the chemical weapons stockpile was a national issue affecting all of the Department and not just a single military service, was appropriate. In 1986, the estimated cost of the chemical stockpile demilitarization program was approximately \$1,500.0 million. Today, the potential estimated cost of the program has grown to \$24,000.0 million and reinforces the committee's earlier position.

The committee recommends no funds for Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Army, a decrease of \$1,490.2 million. The committee recommends an increase of \$1,490.2 million for Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense, as described elsewhere in this report. The committee also recommends a provision, section 143, that would require the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to certify in subsequent annual budget requests that the request is in accordance with the law.

### AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY

### Overview

The budget request contained \$8,203.9 million for Aircraft Procurement, Navy in fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends authorization of \$8,971.6 million for fiscal year 2003.

The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on affordability considerations.

Line

-ine	PROGRAM TITLE	AUTHO	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FROM FROM EST	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION	003 ITTEE NDATION
		QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY						
	COMBAT AIRCRAFT						
-	AV-8B (V/STOL)HARRIER (MYP)		5,953			•	5,953
-	AV-8B (V/STOL)HARRIER (MYP)		•				. *
cv	F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP)	44	3,184,279			44	3.184.279
2	F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP)	•	(111,046)				(111,046)
ო	F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP)						
<i>с</i> о	F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP)		•				
ო	F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP)		•			1	
ო	F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP)						
ო	F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP)		86,259				86,259
4	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT)	Ħ	1,082,088			1	1.082.088
4	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT)		(36,428)			,	(36,428)
S	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT)		•			,	. •
ŝ	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT)		•			,	
ŝ	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT)		60,298				60.298
9	AH-1W (HELICOPTER) SEA COBRA	,	•				£
7	UH-1Y/AH-1Z		•			,	
80	MH-60S (MYP)	15	348,367	4	88,000	19	436,367
8	MH-60S (MYP)		(64,212)			•	(64,212)
ი	MH-60S (MYP)					,	. '
6	(MYP) (MYP)		88,000			,	88.000
10	MH-60R		86,871			,	86,871
1	MH-60R		29,341			,	29.341
12	E-2C (EARLY WARNING) HAWKEYE (MYP)	5	435,242			ŝ	435,242
12	E-2C (EARLY WARNING) HAWKEYE (MYP)	•	(167.391)				(167.391)
13	E-2C (EARLY WARNING) HAWKEYE (MYP)	,				,	-
13	E-2C (EARLY WARNING) HAWKEYE (MYP)		•			,	•
13	E-2C (EARLY WARNING) HAWKEYE (MYP)		•			,	,
£	E-2C (EARLY WARNING) HAWKEYE (MYP)		27,600			•	27,600

Į	,	Į	,	

8,300 -. . . . AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT MIH-60S (MYP) MIH-60S (MYP) MIH-60S (MYP) UC-35

4466

5,143,221

88,000

5,055,221

TOTAL COMBAT AIRCRAFT

. . 8,300

. . . -

Current         Cost         Crit.         Cost         OTV.         Cost         Cost         OTV.         Cost         Cost         OTV.         Cost	OTA         COST         OTV         <	Line	PROGRAM TITLE	F) AUTHO RE	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	IITTEE E FROM JEST	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION	003 TTEE NDATION
C-00A	C-00A         C-0A         C-0A <thc-0a< th="">         C-0A         C-0A</thc-0a<>			<u>ατγ.</u>	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
C-37       1014. AINLIFT AIRCHAFT       -<	C-37       TOTAL AIRLIFT AIRCHAFT       6.300       107.4. AIRLIFT AIRCHAFT         TRAINER AIRCHAFT       TRAINER AIRCHAFT       6.300       9.300         TANNER AIRCHAFT       TRAINER AIRCHAFT       6.300       10         TANNER AIRCHAFT       TRAINER AIRCHAFT       6.300       10         TANNER AIRCHAFT       TRAINER AIRCHAFT       6.300       10         TANNER AIRCHAFT       271.381       70000       10         TANNER AIRCHAFT       271.381       70.000       10         TANNER AIRCHAFT       271.381       70.000       10         TOTAL IFRANER) COSHAWK       1       21.381       70.000       10         TOTAL IFRANER) COSHAWK       1       21.381       70.000       10         TOTAL IFRANER) COSHAWK       1       21.381       70.000       10         TOTAL IFRANER       1       21.381       70.000       10         TOTAL OTHER AIRCHAFT       221.381       234.000       10       10         TOTAL OTHER AIRCHAFT       223.232       24.000       10       10         TOTAL OTHER AIRCHAFT       223.232       104.000       10       10         MODIFICATION OF AIRCHAFT       223.232       104.000       10       104	17	C-40A	ŀ				•	
TOTAL AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT         8         221.381         8.300           TRAINER AIRCRAFT         TRAINER AIRCRAFT         8         221.381         9.000           TASTS (TRAINER) GOSHAWK         -         -         10         10.000         -           T-45TS (TRAINER) GOSHAWK         -         -         10         10.000         -         -           T-45TS (TRAINER) GOSHAWK         -         -         10         0.000         10         -         -         10         0.000         10           T-45TS (TRAINER) GOSHAWK         -         -         10         0.000         10         -         -         10         0.000         10         -         -         10         0.000         -         -         -         10         0.000         -         -         -         -         10         0.000         - <td>TOTAL AHLIFT AHGRAFT         8         2713.4           TRAINER AIRCRAFT         8         221.381         8         221.381           TRAINER AIRCRAFT         -         0         10000         -           TRAINER AIRCRAFT         -         0         10000         -           T-45TS (TRAINER) GOSHAWK         -         -         0         10000         -           T-45TS (TRAINER) GOSHAWK         -         -         0         0.000         10           T-45TS (TRAINER) GOSHAWK         -         -         21.381         70.000         10           DTAL TRAINER AIRCRAFT         -         -         21.381         70.000         10         -           OTHER AIRCRAFT         -         -         21.381         70.000         10         -           OTHER AIRCRAFT         -         -         -         23.352         104.400         -         -</td> <td>18</td> <td>C-37</td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td>•</td>	TOTAL AHLIFT AHGRAFT         8         2713.4           TRAINER AIRCRAFT         8         221.381         8         221.381           TRAINER AIRCRAFT         -         0         10000         -           TRAINER AIRCRAFT         -         0         10000         -           T-45TS (TRAINER) GOSHAWK         -         -         0         10000         -           T-45TS (TRAINER) GOSHAWK         -         -         0         0.000         10           T-45TS (TRAINER) GOSHAWK         -         -         21.381         70.000         10           DTAL TRAINER AIRCRAFT         -         -         21.381         70.000         10         -           OTHER AIRCRAFT         -         -         21.381         70.000         10         -           OTHER AIRCRAFT         -         -         -         23.352         104.400         -         -	18	C-37		,			,	•
TRAINER AIRCRAFT TRAINER AIRCRAFT TANKER AIRCRAFT T-4515 (TRAINER) GOSHAWK         B         221.381         0	TRAINER AIRCRAFT TANNER AIRCRAFT TANNER AIRCRAFT TANNER AIRCRAFT TANNER AIRCRAFT T-4515 (TRAINER) GOSHAWK         B         221.381         0         0.000         0		TOTAL AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT				8,300		8,300
T-ASTS (TRANKER) GOSHAWK         B         221,381         10000         0         0         00000         0         0         0000         0         0         0         0000         0 <td>Instrument         1         221,381         231,381         1         8         231,381         1         9         1           T-45TS (TRANKER) GOSHAWK         1         &lt;</td> <td></td> <td>TRAINER AIRCRAFT</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Instrument         1         221,381         231,381         1         8         231,381         1         9         1           T-45TS (TRANKER) GOSHAWK         1         <		TRAINER AIRCRAFT						
T-4515 (TRAINER) GOSHAWK       1 </td <td>T-4515 (THANNER) COSHAWK       1<!--</td--><td>ę</td><td>TARIVEN AINUNALI T.46TS (TRAINER) GOSHAWIK</td><td>a</td><td>100 100</td><td></td><td></td><td>o</td><td>100 100</td></td>	T-4515 (THANNER) COSHAWK       1 </td <td>ę</td> <td>TARIVEN AINUNALI T.46TS (TRAINER) GOSHAWIK</td> <td>a</td> <td>100 100</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>o</td> <td>100 100</td>	ę	TARIVEN AINUNALI T.46TS (TRAINER) GOSHAWIK	a	100 100			o	100 100
T-45TS (TTAINER) GOSHAWK     1	T-45TS (TFAINER) GOSHAWK       1       10,000       10         IPATS       10TAL THAINER AIRCRAFT       27,381       70,000       10         IOTAL THAINER AIRCRAFT       27,381       70,000       10         IOTAL THAINER AIRCRAFT       27,381       70,000       10         IOTAL THAINER AIRCRAFT       27,381       70,000       10         OTHER AIRCRAFT       27,301       10       834,000       4       10         DERF-KC-130/ Transfer to HR. 4547       10       834,000       4       10	<u>6</u>	T-45TS (TRAINER) GOSHAWK	•.	-			۰ ،	
JPATS         10         6.000         10           IOTAL TFANKER AIRCRAFT         221.381         70,000         10           IOTAL TFANKER AIRCRAFT         01468 AIRCRAFT         221.381         70,000         10           OTHER AIRCRAFT         01468 AIRCRAFT         221.381         70,000         10           OTHER AIRCRAFT         0174. TFANKER AIRCRAFT         221.381         70,000         10           OFHER AIRCRAFT         1014.0714         1104.000         11         334.000         4         10           Constant aircraft         1014.0144         1014.0144         1014.000         11	JFATS         10         60.000         10           TOTAL TFAINER AIRCRAFT         221.381         70.000         10           TOTAL TFAINER AIRCRAFT         071ER AIRCRAFT         70,000         10           OTHER AIRCRAFT         071EL AIRCRAFT         70,000         10           OTHER AIRCRAFT         071L TFAINER AIRCRAFT         70,000         10           OTHER AIRCRAFT         071L OTHER AIRCRAFT         70,000         4           OTAL OTHER AIRCRAFT         101 OTHER AIRCRAFT         114,000         4           NODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         255,500         14,000         4           NODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         255,527         114,000         4           Non Contres Section         USC-113         252,527         144,000         4           Non Contres Section         USC-114         255,500         14,000         4           Non Contres Section         USC-114         252,527         144,000         4           Non Contres Section         USC-114         255,000         14         4           Non Contres Section         USC-114         255,000         14         4           Non Contres Section         USC-114         255,000         14         4	20	T-45TS (TRAINER) GOSHAWK		'		10,000		10,000
TOTAL THANKER AIRCRAFT         221,381         70,000         1           OTHER AIRCRAFT         OTHER AIRCRAFT         231,381         70,000         4         1           OTHER AIRCRAFT         OTHER AIRCRAFT         0146 AIRCRAFT         1         834,000         4         1           OFHER AIRCRAFT         OTHER AIRCRAFT         1         1         834,000         4         1           OFHER AIRCRAFT         ODTECTATION OF AIRCRAFT         1         1         1         834,000         4         1           ODTECTATION OF AIRCRAFT         1	TOTAL TRAINER AIRCRAFT         221,381         70,000         4           OTHER AIRCRAFT         OTHER AIRCRAFT         231,381         70,000         4         1           OTHER AIRCRAFT         OTHER AIRCRAFT         (1)         (33,500)         4         1           OTHER AIRCRAFT         DEFF. KC.130/ Transfer to H.R. 4547         (1)         (33,500)         4         1           OTAL OTHER AIRCRAFT         DEFF. KC.130/ Transfer to H.R. 4547         (1)         (33,500)         (1)         (33,500)         (1)         (33,500)         (1)         (1)         (33,500)         (1)         (1)         (33,500)         (1)         (1)         (33,500)         (1)         (1)         (33,500)         (1)         (1)         (33,500)         (1)         (1)         (33,500)         (1)         (1)         (33,500)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (2,5,500)         (1)         (1)         (2,5,500)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (2,5,500)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)	2	JPATS			10	60,000	10	60,000
OTHER ARICRAFT         0         11         33,000         4         11           OFHER ARICRAFT         0         13,500         4         33,000         4         11           OFHER ARICRAFT         0         10         33,500         4         33,000         4         11           DEFF- KC-130 / Transfer to H.R. 4547         10         10         33,500         1         1         33,500         1         1         1         33,500         1         1         1         1         33,500         1         <	OTHER AIRCRAFT         4         334,000         4           COTHER AIRCRAFT         COTHER AIRCRAFT         (1)         (33,000         4           COTHER AIRCRAFT         COTHER AIRCRAFT         (1)         (33,000         4           COTHER AIRCRAFT         TOTAL OTHER AIRCRAFT         (1)         (33,000         4           NODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         TOTAL OTHER AIRCRAFT         (1)         (33,000         4           NODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         ESS.527         1144,000         (1)         (1)           NODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         ESS.527         1144,000         (1)         (1)           Non Contre Section         USC-11         225,527         144,000         (1)         (1)           Non Contre Section         USC-11         ESS.527         144,000         (1)		TOTAL TRAINER AIRCRAFT		221,381		70,000		291,381
OTHER ARICART OTHER ARICART         1         4         334,000         4         7           CG1303         DEFF-KC-130/Transfer to H.R. 4547         (1)         (3,500)         (1)         (3,500)         (1)           CG-1303         DEFF-KC-130/Transfer to H.R. 4547         (1)         (3,500)         (1)         (3,500)         (1)           TOTAL OTHER ARICART         -         -         250,500         (1)         (3,500)         (1)           TOTAL OTHER ARICART         -         -         250,500         (1)         (1)         (3,500)         (1)           TOTAL OTHER ARICART         -         223,527         104,000         (1)         (1)         (2,500)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (2,500)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (2,500)         (1)	OTHER ARICART OTHER ARICART         (1)         (3,500)         (4)         (3,500)         (4)         (4)           CC-130J DERF-KC-130/Transfer to H.R. 4547         (1)         (3,500)         (1)         (3,500)         (1)           VOTAL OTHER ARICART         -         20,500         (1)         (3,500)         (1)           VOTAL OTHER ARICART         -         20,500         (1)         (3,500)         (1)           VOTAL OTHER ARICART         -         -         260,500         (1)         (3,500)         (1)           VOTAL OTHER ARICART         -         -         250,500         (1)         (3,500)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (2)<								
KC-1301         CC-1301         1         334,000         4         334,000         4         1           TOTAL DTHER AIRCRAFT         1 <td>KC-1301         CC-1301         1         334,000         4         3           DERF- KC-130 / Transfer to H.R. 4547         (1)         (33,300)         (1)         (33,300)         (1)           TOTAL OTHER AIRCRAFT         235,507         (1)         (33,300)         (1)         (33,300)         (1)           WODFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         253,527         (1)         (33,300)         (1)         (1)           WODFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         253,527         (1)         (33,300)         (1)         (1)           WODFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         223,527         (1)         (33,300)         (1)         (1)           WODFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         223,527         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)           WOD Canter Section         Wing Canter Section         Wing Canter Section         (1)</td> <td></td> <td>OTHER AIRCRAFT</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	KC-1301         CC-1301         1         334,000         4         3           DERF- KC-130 / Transfer to H.R. 4547         (1)         (33,300)         (1)         (33,300)         (1)           TOTAL OTHER AIRCRAFT         235,507         (1)         (33,300)         (1)         (33,300)         (1)           WODFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         253,527         (1)         (33,300)         (1)         (1)           WODFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         253,527         (1)         (33,300)         (1)         (1)           WODFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         223,527         (1)         (33,300)         (1)         (1)           WODFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         223,527         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)         (1)           WOD Canter Section         Wing Canter Section         Wing Canter Section         (1)		OTHER AIRCRAFT						
DEFF- KC-130 / Transfer to H.R. 4547         (1)         (83,500)         (1)           TOTAL OTHER AIRCRAFT         -         250,500         (1)           MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         -         223,527         104,000         (1)           MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         -         223,527         104,000         (1)           Wing Centre Section         Wing Centre Section         32,232         (4,50,000)         (1)           Wing Centre Section         Wing Centre Section         -         23,232         (4,55,000)         (4)           Wing Centre Section         Wing Centre Section         -         -         -         -           Wing Centre Section         Wing Centre Section         -         -         -         -         -         -	DEFF-KC-130 / Transfer to H.R. 4547         (1)         (83,500)         (1)           TOTAL OTHER AIRCRAFT         -         250,500         (1)           MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         -         250,500         (1)           Wing Centre Section         Wing Centre Section         3,232         (4,40000)         (4)           Wing Centre Section         Wing Centre Section         3,712         (45,5000)         (4)         (4,475)           Avia SERIES         Avia SERIES         -         10,475         (42,170)         (4,55,000)         (4)           F-14 SERIES         Avia SERIES         -         10,475         (5,500)         (4)         (4)           H-14 SERIES	53	KG-130J		•	4	334,000	4	334,000
TOTAL OTHER AIRCRAFT         250,500           MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         233,527           MIG Carrier Section         104,000           Band 9, OTT Tarasmitters         22,222           MIG Carrier Section         22,222           Band 9, OTT Tarasmitters         27,12           AV & SERIES         10,475           AV & SERIES         00,300           AV & SERIES         00,7103           ANH W SERIES         00,7103           ANH W SERIES         00,7103           ANH W SERIES         00,7103           ANH W SERIES         00,7133	TOTAL OTHER ATRCRAFT         250,500           MODFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         233,527         104,000           USC-113         USC-113         (440,000)           Ming Centre's Section         32,222         (40,000)           Band 9, 10 Transmitters         3,712         (45,600)           Avia SERIES         10,475         (45,600)           Avia SERIES         10,475         (45,600)           Avia SERIES         10,211         (45,500)           Avia SERIES         10,211         (45,500)           Avia SERIES         10,211         (45,500)           Avia SERIES         10,211         (45,500)           Avia SERIES         10,475         (45,500)           Avia SERIES         10,211         (45,500)           Avia SERIES         10,475         (45,500)           Avia SERIES         10,475         (45,500)           Avia SERIES         10,415         (45,500)		DERF-KC-130 / Transfer to H.R. 4547			(E)	(83,500)	(1)	(83,500)
MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT           MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT           EA6 SERTES         USC-113           USC-113         USC-113           Mung Center Section         USC-114           Band 9/10 Transmitters         104,000           Band 9/10 Transmitters         223,527           Band 9/10 Transmitters         1-35,000           Band 9/10 Transmitters         32,222           Exel SERTES         0,000           Full Refine Africa         32,222           Exel SERTES         0,000           Full Refine Africa	MODIFICATION OF AllSCRAFT         104,000           MODIFICATION OF AllSCRAFT         223,527           Evol Strate         104,000           USCA113         223,527           Ming Centre Section         104,000           USCA113         223,527           Ming Centre Section         1,430,000           Band Q. 10 Transmitters         2,222           Aving Service         3,222           Ming Centre Section         3,222           Band Q. 10 Transmitters         3,712           Aving Service         3,712           Aving Service         3,712           Aving Service         10,475           Aving Service         10,475           Aving Service         10,475           Aving Service         10,213           Aving Service         10,2143		TOTAL OTHER AIRCRAFT		•		250,500		250,500
MODFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         223,527         104,000           USC 113         USC 113         133,600         1           USC 113         USC 113         1,33,600         1           Band 9,10 Transmitters         32,222         1,43,000         1           Band 9,10 Transmitters         32,222         1,45,000         1           Evel Stelles         1,04,005         1         1,45,000         1           Evel Stelles         1,04,005         1         1,45,000         1           Evel Stelles         1,04,05         1         1,45,000         1           Evel Stelles         1         1,04,05         1         1,45,000         1           Evel Stelles         1         10,415         1         1,45,000         1         1           Avel Stelles         1         1         10,213         1         1,55,000         1         1           Field Stelles         1         1         1         1         1,5419         1,55,000	MODFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         104,000         104,000         1           Ming Centre Section         UGCA-113         1-35,000         1         1           UGCA-113         UGCA-113         1-35,000         1         1         1           UGCA-113         UGCA-113         1-35,000         1		MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT						
EA6 SERIES         223,527         104,000         104,000           Wing Carrists         435,000         1-40,000         1-40,000           Wing Carrists         83:040         1-40,000         1-40,000           Reference         83:222         0,03,000         1-1-40,000           Engine life management plan         83:222         0,03,000         1-1-45,000           Engine life management plan         91:0475         1-45,000         1-45,500           F-1 destrifes         91:0475         1-45,500         1-45,500           AH-1W SERIES         91:0475         1-0475         1-45,500           AH-1W SERIES         91:0475         1-021,104         1-45,500           AH-1W SERIES         91:0475         1-021,104         1-45,500           AH-1W SERIES         91:0475         1-021,104         1-45,500           AH-1W SERIES         91:0475         1-022,17         1-55,500           AH-10 SERIES         91:0475         1-024,1704         1-04,1704 <td>EAS SERIES         104,000         104,000           USG-TIE         104,000         104,000           Wing Center Section         USG-TIE         4:80,000           Wing Center Section         1:40,000         1:40,000           Wing Center Section         1:40,000         1:40,000           Wing Center Section         2:222         6:2,000           Avia SERIES         1:40,000         1:1           Avia SERIES         1:0,475         1:45,000           Litering Arrosis         1:0,475         1:55,000           F-14 SERIES         1:0,475         1:55,000           H-14 SERIES         1:5,419         1:55,000           H-14 SERIES         1:5,419         1:5,000</td> <td></td> <td>MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	EAS SERIES         104,000         104,000           USG-TIE         104,000         104,000           Wing Center Section         USG-TIE         4:80,000           Wing Center Section         1:40,000         1:40,000           Wing Center Section         1:40,000         1:40,000           Wing Center Section         2:222         6:2,000           Avia SERIES         1:40,000         1:1           Avia SERIES         1:0,475         1:45,000           Litering Arrosis         1:0,475         1:55,000           F-14 SERIES         1:0,475         1:55,000           H-14 SERIES         1:5,419         1:55,000           H-14 SERIES         1:5,419         1:5,000		MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT						
Wing Carries         1,35,000         1,455,000         1,455,000           Wing Carries         1,0 Transmitters         1,455,000         1,455,000           Band 9 / 10 Transmitters         2,222         6,0300         1,60,000           Band 9 / 10 Transmitters         2,222         6,0300         1,60,000           Englise         1,645,000         1,72         1,455,000         1,65,000           Lutening AT pods         2,222         6,0300         1,65,000         1,65,000           ADVERSARY         -         3,712         1,455,000         1,65,000         1,65,000           ADVERSARY         -         -         10,476         -         10,476         -           ADVERSARY         -         -         10,476         - <td>USG-113         USG-113         +-35.000         +-35.000           Wing Carter's Section         Band 9 / 10 Transmitters         +-40.000         +-7.29,000           Band 9 / 10 Transmitters        </td> <td>33</td> <td>EA-6 SERIES</td> <td></td> <td>223,527</td> <td></td> <td>104,000</td> <td>,</td> <td>327,527</td>	USG-113         USG-113         +-35.000         +-35.000           Wing Carter's Section         Band 9 / 10 Transmitters         +-40.000         +-7.29,000           Band 9 / 10 Transmitters	33	EA-6 SERIES		223,527		104,000	,	327,527
Wing Centre' Section         Wing Centre' Section         [+29,000]         [-]           Band 9, UT Transmitters         2,222         [+29,000]         [-]           Avia SERIES         2,222         (-0,300)         [-]           Avia SERIES         3,2,222         (-0,300)         [-]           Avia SERIES         2,232         (-0,300)         [-]           Avia SERIES         -         3,712         [+55,000]         [-]           Avia SERIES         -         3,712         [+55,000]         [-]           Avia SERIES         -         10,475         [+55,000]         [-]           Avia SERIES         -         10,475         [+55,000]         [-]           Avia SERIES         -         10,475         [+]         [+55,000]         [-]           Avia SERIES         -         10,2176         -         10,2176         [+]         [+]           Avia SERIES         -         10,2118         -         10,2118         [-]         [+]           Avia SERIES         -         10,2118         -         10,2118         [-]         [+]           Avia SERIES         -         10,2118         -         10,2118         [-]         [+] <td>Wing Centre' Section         Wing Centre' Section         [+29,0,00]         [-]           Band 9, 10 Transmitters         2,222         [+29,0,00]         [-]           Avia SERIES         2,222         (+3,0,00)         [-]           Avia SERIES         2,222         (+3,0,00)         [-]           Avia SERIES         1,437         (+5,500)         [-]           Litening Aria         2,712         (+5,500)         [-]           F-14 SERIES         10,475         (-1,455)         [-]           ADVERSANY         10,475         (-1,456)         [-]           AN-14 SERIES         10,475         (-1,64)         [-]           AH-14 SERIES         10,217         (-5,500)         [-]           H-14 SERIES         10,211         (-2,1,76)         (-,55,000)         [-]           H-14 SERIES         10,211         (-,22,517)         (-,55,000)         [-]         [-]           H-14 SERIES         10,211         (-,138)         (-,138)         [-]         [-]         [-]           H-14 SERIES         11,419         (-]         (-]         (-]         (-]         [-]         [-]           H+14 SERIES         11,123         (-]         (-]</td> <td></td> <td>USQ-113</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>[+35,000]</td> <td></td> <td>[+35,000]</td>	Wing Centre' Section         Wing Centre' Section         [+29,0,00]         [-]           Band 9, 10 Transmitters         2,222         [+29,0,00]         [-]           Avia SERIES         2,222         (+3,0,00)         [-]           Avia SERIES         2,222         (+3,0,00)         [-]           Avia SERIES         1,437         (+5,500)         [-]           Litening Aria         2,712         (+5,500)         [-]           F-14 SERIES         10,475         (-1,455)         [-]           ADVERSANY         10,475         (-1,456)         [-]           AN-14 SERIES         10,475         (-1,64)         [-]           AH-14 SERIES         10,217         (-5,500)         [-]           H-14 SERIES         10,211         (-2,1,76)         (-,55,000)         [-]           H-14 SERIES         10,211         (-,22,517)         (-,55,000)         [-]         [-]           H-14 SERIES         10,211         (-,138)         (-,138)         [-]         [-]         [-]           H-14 SERIES         11,419         (-]         (-]         (-]         (-]         [-]         [-]           H+14 SERIES         11,123         (-]         (-]		USQ-113				[+35,000]		[+35,000]
Band 9 / 10 Transmitters         H=29,000         F           Band 9 / 10 Transmitters         = 32,222         (+29,000)         F           R-4 SERIES         Engine life management plan         = 45,000         F         = 45,000         F           Engine life management plan         = 3,712         = 45,500         F         = 45,500         F           F-14 SERIES         = 0,475         = 0,475         = 45,500         F	Band 9 / 10 Transmitters         H=29,000         F           Band 9 / 10 Transmitters         = 32,222         (+29,000)         F           R+0 SERIES         Engine life management plan         = 45,800         F           E-14 BERLES         = 3,712         (+5,500)         F           ADVERSARY         = 10,475         = 71,704         F           ADVERSARY         = 10,475         = 67,133         = 67,133           AH-1W SERLES         = 67,133         = 10,217         = 67,133           AH-1W SERLES         = 10,217         = 67,133         = 67,133           AH-1W SERLES         = 10,213         = 10,213         = 10,213           H-16 SERLES		Wing Center Section				[+40,000]		[+40,000]
Ave SERIES         e.0.800         -           Every entitier management plan         e.0.800         -           Litering AT pods         1,712         +55,000           Litering AT pods         -         3,712           ADVERSATY         -         10,475           ADVERSATY         -         10,475           At-1W SERIES         -         10,217           At-1W SERIES         -         10,217           At-1W SERIES         -         10,217           At-1W SERIES         -         10,211           At-1W SERIES         -         10,211           At-10 SERIES         -         10,211           At-10 SERIES         -         10,211           At-10 SERIES         -         10,211           At-10 SERIES         -         15,419           Librotio         -         15,419         55,000	Ar-9 SERIES         0.3202         0.0,800         -           Engle file management plan         Engle file management plan         [-5,800]         -           Litering AT pods         1,435         [-5,800]         -           F14 SERIES         -         3,712         [+55,000]         -           ANVERSING         -         10,475         -         -         -           ANVERSING         -         10,475         -		Band 9 / 10 Transmitters				[+29,000]		[+29,000]
Engine life management plan         [45,800]         [45,800]           Lithing AT pods         3,712         [45,500]         [           F14 SERIES         -         3,712         [455,000]         [           ADVERSARY         -         10,475         [         [         55,000]         [           F14 SERIES         -         0,712         [         [         55,000]         [         [           ADVERSARY         -         10,475         -         10,475         -	Engine life management plan         Engine life management plan           Litering AT pocks         - <t< td=""><td>54</td><td>AV-8 SERIES</td><td></td><td>32,232</td><td></td><td>60,800</td><td></td><td>93,032</td></t<>	54	AV-8 SERIES		32,232		60,800		93,032
F-14 BEINES     3.712     [+55,000]     [-       F-14 BEINES     -     3.712     [+55,000]     [-       ADVERSARY     -     10.475     -     -       ADVERSARY     -     10.475     -     -       ADVERSARY     -     10.475     -     -       AN-W SERIES     -     67.198     -     -       AH-W SERIES     -     10.211     -     -       AH-W SERIES     -     -     10.211     -       AH-W SERIES     -     -     10.211     -       AH-W SERIES     - <td< td=""><td>Fullenig AT pods        </td><td></td><td>Engine life management plan</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>[+5,800]</td><td></td><td>[+5,800]</td></td<>	Fullenig AT pods		Engine life management plan				[+5,800]		[+5,800]
F-14 SERTES         -         3.712         -	F-14 SERIES         -         37/2         -		Litening AT pods				[+55,000]		[+55,000]
AVERSARY         10,475         -         10,475         -	ADVERSARY         10,475         1           F-18 SERIES         -         421,704         -           H-46 SERIES         -         67,193         -           AH-1W SERIES         -         67,193         -           AH-1W SERIES         -         10,211         -           AH-1W SERIES         -         15,419         55,000         -           Link 16         -         1,825         15,000         -           Link 16         -         1,825         15,000         -	25	F-14 SERIES	•	3,712			,	3,712
F-16 SERIES         -         421.704         -	F-18 SERIES         -         421.704         -	26	ADVERSARY		10,475				10,475
H46 SERIES 67,193 67,193 1.446 SERIES 10,211 10,211 1.453 2.2517 2.2517 55,000 1.44,053 1.453,000 1.44,055 1.455,000 1.44,055 1.455,000 1.44,055 1.455,000 1.44,055 1.455,000 1.44,055 1.455,000 1.44,055 1.455,000 1.44,055 1.455,000 1.44,055 1.455,000 1.44,055 1.455,000 1.44,055 1.455,0000 1.455,0	H46 SERIES 67,193 67,193 1.446 SERIES 67,193 1.0,211 1.0,211 1.0,211 1.0,211 1.0,211 1.0,212 1	27	F-18 SERIES		421,704				421,704
AH-IW SERIES         0.2/1         0.2/1         -         10,2/1         - <td>AH-WSERIES         0.211         -         10.211         -</td> <td>88</td> <td>H-46 SERIES</td> <td></td> <td>67,193</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>67,193</td>	AH-WSERIES         0.211         -         10.211         -	88	H-46 SERIES		67,193				67,193
H-53 SERIES 22,517 22,517 55,000 15,419 55,000 14,100 14,000 14,000 14,000 14,00000 14,000000 14,000000 14,000000 14,000000 14,000000 14,000000 14,000000 14,000000 14,0000000 14,0000000000	H-53 SERIES - 22,517 55,000 - 15,419 55,000 - 16,116 155,000 - 114,16 155,000 - 114,16 155,000 - 14,15 155,000 - 14,15 15,000 - 14,15 15,000 - 14,15 15,000 - 15,000 - 14,15 15,000 - 15,15 15,000 - 15,15 15,000 - 15,15 15,000 - 15,15 15,000 - 14,1	8	AH-1W SERIES	•	10,211				10,211
SH-60 SERIES         15,419         55,000           Link 16         1,410         55,000           Link 16         1,400         1,400	SH-60 SERIES         15,419         56,000         1.           Link 16         Link 16         [+55,000]         [+1           H-1 SERIES         1,825         15,000         [+1	8	H-53 SERIES		22,517				22,517
Link 16 [+55,000] [+	Link 16 [+55,000] [+ H-1 SERIES 15,000 [- 1,825 15,000 [-	3	SH-60 SERIES	•	15,419		55,000		70,419
	1-1 SEMILS - 1,829 15,000 -	Ş	Link 16		100		[+55,000]		[+55,000]

And-22         OTV         Cost         C	Line	PROGRAM TITLE	AUTH	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COM CHANG REQ	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 3 COMW RECOMME	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
H-3 SERIES         26,061         22,500         1           H-3 SERIES         2,500         1,455,000         1,450,000         1,450,000         1,450,000         1,450,000         1,450,000         1,450,000         1,450,000         1,450,000         1,450,000         1,450,000         1,450,000         1,450,000         1,450,000         1,450,000         1,450,000         1,460,000         1,460,000         1,460,000         1,460,000         1,460,000         1,460,000         1,400,000			ary.	COST	QTY.	COST	aty.	COST
P13 SERIES     23500     22500     2350       P13 SERIES     P13 SERIES     22500     22500       DEFF- SGIAT Enhancements / Transfer Io H.R. 4447     102,658     427,000       DEFF- SGIAT Enhancements / Transfer Io H.R. 4447     102,658     427,000       AmOSS     CK85,17M     246,000     146,000       AmOSS     CK85,17M     25,600     146,000       AmOSS     CK85,17M     17,195     64,000       CK85,17M     CK85,17M     23,69     64,000       CK85,17M     CK85,17M     23,69     64,000       CK85,17M     CK85,17M     23,69     64,000       CK85,17M     CK95,17M     23,69     64,000       CK95,17M     CK95,17M     23,69     64,000       CK95,17M     CK95,17M     23,69     64,000       CK95,17M     CK95,17M     23,69     64,000       CK000     CK95,17M     CK95,17M     246,000       CK000     CK95,17M     CK95,17M     246,000       CK000     CK000     CK95,17M     246,000       CK000     CK000     CK000     CK000       CK000     CK000     CK000     CK000       CK000     CK000     CK000     CK000       CK0000     CK000     CK000	1	AAQ-22				[+15,000]		[+15,000]
P.9. SERIES       P.9. SERIES       26,061       22,500       -         P.9. SERIES       P. SERIES       22,000       -       -         P.9. SERIES       M.OS       -       102,688       (22,500)       -         P.9. SERIES       M.OS       -       -       45,100       (-4,000)       -         AMOS       S. SERIES       -       -       45,100       (-4,000)       -       -         AMOS       -       -       -       -       45,100       (-4,000)       -       -         AMOS       -       -       -       -       -       45,100       (-4,000)       -       -         AMOS       -       -       -       2,344       (-4,000)       -	g	H-3 SERIES		•		•	•	,
DEFF: SIGINT Enhancements / Transfer to H.N. 4547       102,698       22,500       1         AP       45,150       122,600       1       142,000       1         AP       ANOS       ANOS       17,150       142,000       1       142,000       1         AP       ANOS       ANOS       ANOS       17,155       142,000       1       142,000       1       142,000       1       142,000       1       144,000       1       144,000       1       1       144,000       1       1       144,000       1	4	EP-3 SERIES	1	26.061		22,500		48.561
P-3 SFIES         102,668         22000         112,100         12,100         12,100         12,100         12,100         12,100         14,000         14,100         14,000         14,100         14,000         14,100         14,000         14,100         14,000         14,100         14,000         14,100         14,000         14,100         14,000         14,100         14,000         14,100         14,		DERF- SIGINT Enhancements / Transfer to H.R. 4547				(22,500)		(22.500)
AP         AP<	Ľ,	P-3 SFRIES	,	102 898		42 000		144.605
MICSS         MICSS         Fig. 000         F	>	AIP		577(-77)		1000124-1		000 22+1
CNE_IXIM         CNE_IXIM         Feb.001		AMOSS				1000 671		000 641
S3 SERIES       53,130       44,100       1         S-3 SERIES       52,52HLS       54,000       1         FE 2 SOUND Upgrades       FE 2 SOUND Upgrades       52,52HLS       64,000       1         TAMIER AC SERIES       C-130 SERIES       2,344       (+4,000)       1         C130 SERIES       C-130 SERIES       2,363       6,000       1         C130 SERIES       C-130 SERIES       2,363       6,000       1         C130 SERIES       C-130 SERIES       2,363       1,157       (+4,000)       1         C130 SERIES       C-130 SERIES       2,363       1,157       (+4,000)       1       1         C130 SERIES       SECOLIPRICIT       NCENTREL       2,363       1,16,000       1       1         C130 SERIES       C-100 SERIES       2,360       1,16,000       1       1       1         DEH- WIF FMIC       PERCONTRICIT       2,363       1,16,000       1 <td></td> <td>CNS / ATM</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>[+6.000]</td> <td></td> <td>146.000</td>		CNS / ATM				[+6.000]		146.000
E-2 SERIES       04,000       17,155       04,000       1         TRAINER SERIES       2,341       1,4000       1       1         TRAINE AC SERIES       2,343       2,2813       2       1         C.2.A       2,361       2,363       2       2       1       1         TRAINE AC SERIES       2,363       2,363       2       2       1       1       1         C.2.A       2,360       6,000       1	¢	S.3 SEBIES	1	45 130		Fanalari	,	45.13
if E 2000 Upgrades     (+46,000)     (+16,000)	~	E-2 SERIES		17,195		64 000	,	81.19
TRAINER AC SERIES         2.344         1.000           TRAINER AC SERIES         2.341         1.000           C-130 SERIES         6.263         1.000           C-130 SERIES         6.263         1.000           C-130 SERIES         6.263         1.000           C-130 SERIES         6.000         1.000           C-130 SERIES         1.000         1.000           C-140 SERIES         1.000         1.000           C-140 SERIES         1.000         1.000           C-140 SERIES         1.000         1.000           EAR-WHER         1.000         1.000           DER-WHER         1.000         1.000           DER-WHER         1.0000         1.000           DER-WHER         1.0000         1.000           T-45 SERIES         2.0000         1.000           DER-WHER         1.0000         1.000           T-45 SERIES         2.0000		HF 2000 I Incredes				1000 1484		1464 000
C2X         C2X         C2X         C2X         C2X         C1000         C10000         C1000         C10000         C1000         C1000 </td <td>œ</td> <td>TRAINER A/C SERIES</td> <td>,</td> <td>2.844</td> <td></td> <td>facable a - 7</td> <td>,</td> <td>2.84</td>	œ	TRAINER A/C SERIES	,	2.844		facable a - 7	,	2.84
C-T30 SERIES     C	0	C-2A	1	29.819			,	29.81
FEWSG         606         57,089         6000         1           FEWSG         FEWSG         57,089         6,000         1           Fer Steries         57,089         6,000         1         1           E-6 SERIES         57,089         6,000         1         1           SFEGAL FROLET AIRCRAFT         1         2         3,416         1         1           SFEGAL FROLET         1.43,673         2         3,416         1         1           SFEGAL FROLET         1.43,673         2         3,60,800         1         1           COMMON EGM EGALOUNCES CHARGES         2         2,360,800         1         1         2         3,60,800         1         1           COMMON EGAL SPARES AND REPARE PARTS         1,748,620         3,50,800         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1	0	C-130 SERIES	,	6.263			,	6.26
CARGOTTRANSPORT AC SERIES         3819         3819         1           CARGOTTRANSPORT AC SERIES         10,157         16,000         1           EVERTHER         10,157         16,000         1           EVENTIAR         10,157         16,000         1           PERF-VHE TA         10,157         14,000         1           SPECUTIVE HELLOOPTERS SERIES         28,246         144,000         1           PERF-APEX GOLD         28,246         144,000         1         1           DERF-APEX GOLD         28,246         144,000         1         1           POMENTAR         28,246         144,000         1         1           COMMON EXANCINES         28,246         144,000         1         1           COMMON EXANCINES         28,246         144,000         1         1           COMMON EXANCINES         28,246         1,44,000         1         1           COMMON EXANCINES         28,246         1,44,000         1         1           COMMON EXANCINES         28,246         1,244,000         1         1           COMMON EXANCINES         10,248,420         36,000         1         1           AIRCRAFT SURPER AND REPARIPARTIS	-	FEWSG		606			ł	09
E-6 SERIES         57,009         6,000         1           EXECUTIVE HELCOPTERS SERIES         10,157         6,000         1           DEH-VHE FM         10,157         6,000         1           DEH-VHE FM         10,157         6,000         1           DEH-VHE FM         10,157         6,000         1           DEH-VHE FMS ADLIN         28,246         (44,000)         1           DEH-VHE SAMES         28,006         28,019         1           OWER PLANCES         28,019         28,019         1           OWER PLANT CAN GES         28,019         28,010         1           COMMON AVIONCS CHANGES         28,000         360,000         1           COMMON AVIONCS CHANGES         4,961         1,248,620         360,000           COMMON AVIONCS CHANGES         4,961         1,248,620         360,000           COMMON AVIONCS CHANGES         2,116,535         1,16,535         1,1           COMMON AVIONCS CHANGES         1,16,535         1,1         1,16,535           COMMON AVIONCS CHANGES         1,16,535         1,1         1,16,535           COMMON AVIONCS CHANGES         1,116,535         1,1         1,16,535           COMMON AVIONDE COUNPART         <	~	CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES	,	3.819				3.81
EXECUTIVE HELCOPTERS SERIES         10.157         6.000         -           ENER-WIF PROJECT ARCART         -         10.157         6.000         -           SPECAL PROJECT ARCART         -         -         14.000         -         -           SPECAL PROJECT ARCART         -         -         -         4.000         -	~	E-6 SERIES	,	57,099			,	57.09
DEHF- VHF FM         (46,000)         [1           SPEGAL PROJECT ARCIGAF         (46,000)         [1           DEFH- APEX GOLD         28,246         (44,000)         [1           DEFH- APEX GOLD         28,246         (44,000)         [1           POWEN FLANT CHANCES         28,073         28,073         [14,000]         [1           POWEN EVANT CHANCES         28,073         28,006         [1         [1           COMMON ECANONCS CHANCES         28,073         28,006         [1         [1           COMMON ECANONCS CHANCES         28,078         28,006         [1	4	EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES	,	10,157		6,000		16,157
SPECAL PROJECT AINCRAFT         4000         -           DEFF. AFEX GOLD         -         4000         -           T-45 SERIES         -         -         13,673         -         -           T-45 SERIES         -         -         28,246         [+4,000]         -         -           T-45 SERIES         -         -         28,046         -		DERF- VHF FM				[+6,000]		[+6,000]
DEFF. APEX GOLD         14,000]         1           Tells. APEX GOLD         28,246         14,000]         1           Teld SERIES         28,006         3,673         2           COMMON ECM CAURCES         3,673         2,8,006         1           COMMON ECM CAURCES         63,228         3,60,600         1,8           COMMON ECM CARDIS CHANGES         63,228         3,60,800         1,8           COMMON ACFT) OSPREY         1,248,620         360,800         1,8           V.22 (TIL/FIGUTICA ACFT) OSPREY         1,248,620         360,800         1,8           ARCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS         1,116,535         1,1         1,8           ARCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS         1,116,535         1,1         1,1           ARCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS         1,116,535         1,1         1,1           ARCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS         1,116,535         1,1         1,1           ARCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FAULTIES         1,116,535         1,1         1,1           ARCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FAULTIES         1,116,535         1,1         1,1           ARCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FAULTIES         1,116,535         1,1         1,1	S	SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT	•	•		4,000	,	4,000
T45 SERIES         -         28,246         -           POWED FUNT CHANCES         -         28,005         -           POWED FUNT CHANCES         -         28,005         -           COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT         -         28,005         -           COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT         -         -         28,005         -           COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT         -         -         -         -         -           COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT         - <t< td=""><td></td><td>DERF- APEX GOLD</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>[+4,000]</td><td></td><td>[+4,000</td></t<>		DERF- APEX GOLD				[+4,000]		[+4,000
POWER PLAT CANAGES         13,673         13,673         1           COMMON AVIONCS CHANGES         28,006         1         2           COMMON AVIONCS CHANGES         63,228         4,961         1           COMMON AVIONCS CHANGES         63,228         4,961         1           V22 (TILTROTOR ACET) OSPREY         1,248,620         350,800         1,5           TOTAL MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         1,248,620         350,800         1,5           AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS         1,116,535         1,1           SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS         1,116,535         1,1           AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT         1,116,535         1,1           AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS         1,116,535         1,1           AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS         1,116,535         1,1	ŝ	T-45 SERIES	I	28,246			,	28,24
COMMON ECM CARDENT         28,006           COMMON SCHANGES         63,228           V22 (TILTROTOR ACFT) OSFREY         4,961           V22 (TILTROTOR ACFT) OSFREY         4,961           V22 (TILTROTOR ACFT) OSFREY         4,961           ARCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PATTS         1,248,220           ARCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PATTS         1,116,535           SPARES AND REPAIR PATTS         1,116,535           TOTAL AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PATTS         1,116,535           AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PATTS         1,116,535           TOTAL AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PATTS         1,116,535           AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES         442,330           ORMON GROUND COUPMENT AND FACILITIES         18,112	~	POWER PLANT CHANGES		13,673			•	13,67
COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES         63,228         -         4,961         -           V-22 (TILTROTOR ACT) OSPREY         -         4,961         -         -         4,961         -	m	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT	•	28,006				28,00
V-22 (TIL/FIOTOR ACET) OSPREY         4,961         1,1           TOTAL MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         1,248,620         350,800         1,3           AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS         1,716,535         1,1         1,7           AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS         1,716,535         1,7         1,7           AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS         1,716,535         1,7           SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS         1,716,535         1,7           TOTAL AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS         1,716,535         1,7           AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES         1,716,535         1,7	φ.	COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES	•	63,228			•	63,22
TOTAL MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT         1,248,620         350,800         1,5           AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS         1,316,535         1,16,535         1,1           AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS         1,116,535         1,1         1,1           SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS         1,116,535         1,1         1,1           SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS         1,116,535         1,1         1,1           TOTAL AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS         1,116,535         1,1         1,1           AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES         1,116,535         1,1         1	0.	V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY	•	4,961			•	4,96
AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS TOTAL AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS TOTAL AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES AIRCRAFT NOUSTIAL FACILITIES		TOTAL MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT		1,248,620		350,800		1,599,420
ARICHAFT SYND REPAIR PARTS SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS TOTAL AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS TOTAL AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT AIRCRAFT NOUSTIAL FACILITIES AIRCRAFT NOUSTIAL FACILITIES AIRCRAFT NOUSTIAL FACILITIES AIRCRAFT NOUSTIAL FACILITIES AIRCRAFT NOUSTIAL FACILITIES		AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS						
SPAHES AND HEPAH PARTS - 1, 116,535 - 1, 10,16,535 - 1, 10,16,16,16,16,16,16,16,16,16,16,17,17,17,17,17,17,17,17,17,17,17,17,17,		AIHCHAFI SPAREG AND HEFAIN FAHIS						
TOTAL AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS     1,116,535     1,1       AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES     442,330     442,330       AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES     442,330     442,330	2	SPAHES AND HEPAIH PAHIS		1,116,535			ł	1,116,53
AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT AIRCRAFT NOUSTIPIAL FACULITIES 18,112 - 18,112		TOTAL AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		1,116,535		•		1,116,535
COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT - 442,330 - 4 AIRGRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES - 18,112 - 18,112		AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
	0 0	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		442,330 18,112				442,330 18,112

TITLE 1 - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands)
---

Line	PROGRAM TITLE	É) AUTHO RE	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	ITTEE FROM IEST	COM COMM	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
		QTY.	COST	QTΥ.	COST	QTY.	COST
54	WAR CONSUMABLES		12,079				12,079
55	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		25,309				25,309
56	SPECIAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	,	62.725				62,725
57	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION		1,643				1.643
58	CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS		, <b>'</b>				¢
	TOTAL AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES		562,198				562,198
	TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY		8,203,955		767,600		8.971.555

### **Items of Special Interest**

### AV-8B series modifications

The budget request contained \$32.2 million for AV-8B series modifications, but included no funds for the AV-8B engine life management program (ELMP) or for Litening advanced airborne targeting and navigation (AT) pods.

The ELMP was developed by the Marine Corps to address the safety and reliability of the AV-8B's engine. The committee understands that increased ELMP funds for fiscal year 2003 would provide more efficient foreign object damage repair capability, improved oil analysis systems, and fuel metering unit revitalization, and recommends an increase of \$5.8 million for the AV-8B ELMP.

The Litening AT pod is the next generation Litening pod system that will incorporate an advanced forward-looking infra-red radar and other enhancements to the existing multi-sensor and precision strike capability. The committee understands that the Marine Corps has a requirement for 98 Litening targeting pods but has thus far only procured 66, for a shortfall of 32, and, recommends an increase of \$55.0 million for 32 Litening AT pods.

The committee recommends \$93.0 million for AV-8B series modifications, an increase of \$60.8 million, and notes that both of the recommended AV-8B series modification increases are included among the Commandant of the Marine Corps' unfunded priorities for fiscal year 2003.

### *E*–2 modifications

The budget request contained 17.2 million for E–2 modifications, but included no funds to upgrade E–2C aircraft to the Hawkeye 2000 configuration.

The Hawkeye 2000 configuration is an upgrade to older-model E– 2C aircraft that integrates satellite communications, a commercialoff-the-shelf, high-capacity mission computer and associated workstations, and cooperative engagement capability equipment. The committee understands that the Navy's E–2C aircraft inventory includes at least two older-model E–2C aircraft, which are not configured to meet current operational fleet requirements, and can be economically upgraded to the Hawkeye 2000 configuration.

The committee recommends \$81.2 million for E–2 modifications, an increase of \$64.0 million, to the upgrade two older-model E–2C aircraft to the Hawkeye 2000 configuration.

### EA-6B modifications

The budget request contained \$223.5 million for EA-6B modifications, of which \$45.8 million was included for 15 EA-6B wing center sections (WCSs), but included no funds for the outer wing panel (OWP), the USQ-113 communications jammer, or for band 9/10 transmitters. The Department of the Navy's fleet of 122 EA-6B aircraft are the Department of Defense's only aircraft configured to provide the electronic-jamming capability to deny and degrade the acquisition of friendly forces by enemy air defense systems.

The committee understands that recent EA-6B fatigue life inspections have revealed that both existing WCSs and OWPs are aging more rapidly than expected due to fatigue cracking, and that this situation has prompted the Navy to ground eight of its EA- 6Bs and restrict EA-6B flight operations in 51 aircraft to less than three times the force of gravity, or "g's," rather than its full operating envelope of 5.5 g's. To restore these aircraft to their full operating envelope, WCSs and OWPs must be replaced and the committee recommends an increase of \$40.0 million to procure and install an additional four WCSs and five OWPs.

The USQ-113 communications jammer provides upgraded veryhigh and ultra-high frequency jamming capability. The committee understands that procurement of this system not only improves equipment maintainability and operational capability, but also improves the availability of this system for deployed aircraft. The committee recommends an increase of \$35.0 million for the USQ-113 communications jammer.

The band 9/10 transmitter provides the EA–6B with expanded jamming capability against target tracking and fire control radars of modern integrated air defense systems. Since the committee understands that 214 of the Department of the Navy's 263–inventory objective for band 9/10 transmitters have been procured thus far, it recommends an increase of \$29.0 million to procure 43 additional band 9/10 transmitters.

The committee recommends \$327.5 million for EA-6B modifications, an increase of \$104.0 million, and notes that each of the EA-6B modification increases recommended are included among both the Chief of Naval Operation's and Commandant of the Marine Corps' top unfunded priorities for fiscal year 2003.

### *H*–1 series modifications

The budget request contained \$1.8 million for H-1 series modifications, of which \$1.0 million was included for three AN/AAQ-22 night thermal imaging system (NTIS) product improvement program (PIP) upgrades.

The AN/AAQ-22 NTIS provides the Marine Corps' UH-1N helicopter fleet with a capability to operate in both day and night conditions, as well as in a smoke, dust or haze environment, and the PIP upgrade improves the AN/AAQ-22 NTIS by increasing resolution by greater than 20 percent, improving system stability and control, upgrading target detection and obstacle avoidance capability, and adding a laser designator to guide precision munitions. The committee understands that the UH-1Ns equipped with the AN/AAQ-22 NTIS PIP upgrade have performed superbly in Operation Enduring Freedom in their mission to identify targets of opportunity and to provide rapid alerting of threats to Allied forces.

To enhance the UH–1N's mission effectiveness and to improve its flight safety, the committee recommends \$16.8 million, an increase of \$15.0 million to accelerate procurement of the AN/AAQ–22 NTIS PIP upgrade.

### *H–60 series modifications*

The budget request contained \$15.4 million for H–60 series modifications, but included no funds for the H–60 link 16 upgrade.

The committee understands that the link 16 upgrade is required in the Navy's H–60 series helicopters to provide situational awareness to the aircraft and the warfare commander of crucial and time critical information for strike operations and defense within an area of responsibility, and notes that the Chief of Naval Operations has included the H–60 link 16 upgrade among his unfunded priorities for fiscal year 2003.

Consequently, the committee recommends \$70.4 million for H–60 series modifications, an increase of \$55.0 million, for the H–60 link 16 upgrade.

### Joint primary air training system (JPATS)

The budget request contained no funds for the Navy JPATS.

The JPATS, consisting of both the T-6A aircraft and a groundbased training system, will be used by the Navy and Air Force for primary pilot training. The T-6A will replace both the Navy's T-34 and Air Force's T-37B fleets, providing safer, more economical and more effective training for future student pilots.

Despite the fact that the Department of the Navy does not plan to continue JPATS procurement until fiscal year 2007, the committee continues to believe that its procurement for the Navy would not only reduce procurement costs for both the Navy and the Air Force but would also reduce operations and maintenance costs, and notes that the Chief of Naval Operations has included JPATS procurement among his top unfunded priorities for fiscal year 2003.

The committee recommends an increase of \$60.0 million and expects that this amount will procure ten T-6A aircraft and associated ground-based training systems.

### MH-60S

The budget request contained \$284.2 million for 15 MH–60S helicopters and \$88.0 million for advance procurement of 13 MH–60S helicopters in fiscal year 2004. The MH–60S helicopter's primary mission will be organic airborne mine countermeasures; however, it will also replace the H–46D for the Navy's helicopter combat support missions including vertical replenishment, cargo and personnel transfer, and search and rescue.

The committee understands that the aging H-46D helicopter is increasingly expensive to operate, and that, because of its diminished availability, the Navy's inventory of combat support helicopters is 24 less than required to sustain its battle group combat support needs. The committee also notes that the Chief of Naval Operations has identified additional MH-60S helicopters among his top four unfunded priorities for fiscal year 2003.

The committee believes that the aging H-46D fleet should be retired as soon as practical, and recommends \$372.2 million, an increase of \$88.0 million for four additional MH-60S helicopters and for advance procurement of long-lead components for five additional helicopters in fiscal year 2004.

### *P–3 series modifications*

The budget request contained \$102.7 million for P–3 series modifications, of which \$84.0 million was included for four anti-surface warfare improvement program (AIP) kits, but included no funds for procurement of the advance multiband optical surveillance system (AMOSS) or for communications, navigation, and surveillance global air traffic management (CNS/ATM) modifications for VP- and UP–3A aircraft.

The AIP improves the P–3's communications, survivability, and over-the-horizon targeting capabilities through the installation of

commercial-off-the-shelf components. The committee understands that the Commanders-In-Chief (CINCs) require 146 AIP-configured aircraft, but notes that the Department of the Navy has budgeted for a total of only 83 in its future years defense program. The committee also notes that the Chief of Naval Operations (CNO) has included additional AIP kits among his unfunded priorities for fiscal year 2003.

The committee recommends an increase of \$27.0 million to procure two additional AIP kits. Additionally, the committee understands that some AIP-configured P–3 aircraft have also been equipped with the tactical common data link (TCDL), which provides real-time imagery downlink to commanders, weapons delivery platforms and other end-users, and that these aircraft have been primary surveillance and intelligence contributors during Operation Enduring Freedom. Since the committee believes that future conflicts are likely to require the increased capabilities that the TCDL provides, it urges the Department of the Navy to include the TCDL in all its AIP-configured P–3 aircraft.

The AMOSS is an electro-optical, multi-spectral surveillance camera system designed for use in the Navy's six special project P– 3 aircraft to detect the presence of substances used in the development and production of weapons from standoff ranges in both day and nighttime conditions. The AMOSS would replace the special project P–3's existing electro-optical surveillance camera system, which is limited to day-only operations and cannot be used from standoff ranges. The committee understands that funds appropriated for fiscal year 2002 are being used to deliver a prototype AMOSS and that production of the first three AMOSSs can begin in fiscal year 2003 so that all six special project P–3 aircraft could be equipped with this capability by fiscal year 2005. To provide improved weapons development and production reconnaissance capabilities to the special project P–3 aircraft, the committee recommends an increase of \$9.0 million to procure three AMOSSs.

VP- and UP-3A aircraft are configured to support the travel requirements of senior naval commanders and theater CINCs. The committee understands that the majority of these aircraft are not configured with the CNS/ATM requirements for preferred air traffic routing, nor are they configured with the appropriate communications systems required for senior naval commander and CINC connectivity. To address these deficiencies in the Navy's VP- and UP-3A fleets, the committee recommends an increase of \$6.0 million for the CNS/ATM modification, and notes that the CNO has also included this upgrade among his unfunded priorities for fiscal year 2003.

The committee recommends \$144.7 million for P–3 series modifications, an increase of \$42.0 million.

### T-45 training system (TS)

The budget request contained no funds for the advance procurement of T-45C aircraft. The T-45TS is an integrated training system that combines the T-45 aircraft, simulators, and computerbased training for the Navy's intermediate-level undergraduate pilot training.

The committee notes that, while the Navy requires 234 T-45 aircraft, its inventory will be only 181 aircraft after eight T-45C's are produced in fiscal year 2003, and that T-45 production is not planned for years beyond fiscal year 2003.

Since the committee believes that T-45 production should continue in order to meet the requirement for 234 T-45 aircraft, it recommends an increase of \$10.0 million for advance procurement of T-45C aircraft in fiscal year 2004.

### UC-35

The budget request contained no funds for procurement of UC-35 aircraft.

The UC-35 is a medium-range, medium-lift operational support aircraft. The committee understands that the Marine Corps conducts the operational support airlift mission with the short-range C-12 aircraft, which is increasingly expensive to operate, and does not meet payload, range, or avionics requirements. The committee notes the Commandant of the Marine Corps has included the procurement of UC-35s among his unfunded priorities for fiscal year 2003.

The committee recommends an increase of \$8.3 million for one UC–35 aircraft for the Marine Corps.

### WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY

### Overview

The budget request contained \$1,832.6 million for Weapons Procurement, Navy in fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends authorization of \$1,916.6 million for fiscal year 2003.

The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on affordability considerations.

Line	PROGRAM TITLE	EY : AUTHOF REG	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	ä	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION	03 ITEE IDATION
		OTY.	COST	QTY. COST	ST QTV.	۲.	COST
	WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY BALLISTIC MISSILES						
	BALLISTIC MISSILES						
	TRIDENT II	12	652,855			12	652,855
	TRIDENT I	ı	(66,939)			,	(66,939)
	TRIDENT II	ł				,	
	TRIDENT II					,	,
	TRIDENT II	Ŧ	,			,	•
	TRIDENT II	4	,			•	
	TRIDENT II	×	,			,	'
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES MISSUE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES						
			015,1			,	1,318
1	TOTAL BALLISTIC MISSILES		587,234		-		587,234
	OTHER MISSILES						
	STRATEGIC MISSILES						
	TOMAHAWK	106	145.820	620.000	000	106	765 820
	DERF- Transfer to H.R. 4547			(298.000)	(00)		(598 ODC
	Tomahawk Tooling			[+22,000]	00		[+22,000]
	ESSM	146	129,550			146	129,550
	TACTICAL MISSILES						
	AMRAAM	100	50,937			100	50,937
	SIDEWINDER	295	53,250			295	53,250
	MOSI	363	139,537			363	139,537
	SLAM-ER	120	83,781			120	83,781
2;	STANDARD MISSILE	93	156,423			93	156,423
		90	58,379			60	58,379
		¥	ł	40,000	000	,	40,000
				[+40,000]	[00		[+40,000]
	AEHIAL LAHGELS	t	70,332			,	70,332
4	DRONES AND DECOYS	¢	,			,	•
15	OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT	,	12,039			,	12,039
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES						
9	SIDEWINDER MODS	5	595				595
21	HARM MODS	•	4,959			,	4,959
	STANDARD MISSILES MODS	06	56,163			06	56,163
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
₫ 0	WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	,	17 662			,	17 ARO

	ORY         COST         OTV         COST         OT	Line	PROGRAM TITLE	F AUTHC RI	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COM CHANC RE(	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY : COMN RECOMM	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
THEFT SATELITE COMM (MYP) (SPACE)         1           TEET SATELITE COMM (MYP) (SPACE)         1           OFINANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT         5,422           OFINANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT         5,422           DERF         (+15,000)           DERF         (+15,000)           DERF         94,449           TOTAL OTHER MISSLES         14,330           MAR TOPREDORS AND RELATED EQUIP         20,53           MAR TORPEDORS AND RELATED EQUIP         14,330           MAR TORPEDOR AND RELATED EQUIP         2,14,33           MAR TORPEDOR AND RELATED EQUIP         1,4,47           MAR TORPEDOR AND RELATED EQUIPMENT         2,751           MAR TORPEDOR AND RELATED EQUIPMENT         2,751           MAR TORPEDOR AND RELATED EQUIPMENT         2,743           MAR TORPEDOR AND RELATED EQUIPMENT         2,751	FLET SATELLITE COMM (MRS) (BANCE)			QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
THEET SATE OWN ROLLOWON         5,422         15,000         1,0           ORDMARCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT         5,422         15,000         1,0           DERG         TOTAL OTHER MISSILES         14,15,000         1,0           DERF         TOTAL OTHER MISSILES         94,849         77,000         1,0           DERF         TOTAL OTHER MISSILES         94,849         77,000         1,0           TOTAL OTHER MISSILES         94,849         77,000         1,0           TOTAL OTHER MISSILES         94,849         77,000         1,0           TOTAL OTHER MISSILES         14,330         94,330         1,0           TOPREDORS AND RELATED EQUIP.         58,730         84,330         77,000         1,0           CORPEDORS AND RELATED EQUIP.         2,025         36,730         1,0         1,0           Ker AT TORPEDORS AND RELATED EQUIP.         2,025         36,730         1,0         1,0           Ker AT TORPEDORS AND RELATED EQUIP.         1,4,30         2,055         2,055         1,4,47         1,4,47         1,4,47         1,4,47         1,4,47         1,4,47         1,4,47         1,4,47         1,4,47         1,4,47         1,4,47         1,4,47         1,4,47         1,4,47         1,4,47         1,4,47<	The Strature counterfortowork         5,422         1,5,000         1,1           ORDMARCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT         5,422         1,5,000         1,0           DERF         T,1,000         1,0         1,0           DIATOR ES NADOW UPGRADES         94,449         77,000         1,0           UNIX OTHER MISSILES         94,449         77,000         1,0           TOTAL OTHER MISSILES         94,449         77,000         1,0           TOTAL OTHER MISSILES         14,330         94,449         77,000         1,0           TOTAL OTHER MISSILES         14,330         94,449         77,000         1,0           ASW TARGES         MORE ATTED EQUIPMENT         2,028         2,028         2,028         2,028         2,028         2,000         1,0           ASW TARGES         MEAN TOPERTOR ADDR         2,028         2,0108         1,4,477         2,028         2,0108         2,028         2,0108         1,477         2,028         2,0108         2,014         2,016         1,0         1,0         1,0         1,0         1,0         1,0         1,0         1,0         1,0         1,0         1,0         1,0         1,0         1,0         1,0         1,0         1,0         1,0 <td< td=""><td>20</td><td>FLEET SATELLITE COMM (MYP) (SPACE)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>•</td><td> .</td></td<>	20	FLEET SATELLITE COMM (MYP) (SPACE)					•	.
OHMANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT         5,422         15,000         1           DIMANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT         5,422         15,000         1,0           DIMANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT         5,422         1,15,000         1,0           USIC SHADOW UPGRADES         1,01         1,15,000         1,0           USIC SHADOW UPGRADES         1,15,000         1,0         1,0           USIC SHADOW UPGRADES         1,130         1,130         1,130           TOPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT         1,130         1,130         1,130           ORPORTEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT         1,130         2,025         1,141           OROGETING MODS         1,141         2,025         2,025         1,141           Meval TOREEDO MODS         1,141         2,025         2,025         1,141           Meval TOREEDO MODS         1,141         2,025         2,025         1,141         1,141           Meval TOREEDO MODS         1,141         2,025         2,025         1,141         1,141         1,141         1,141         1,141         1,141         1,141         1,141         1,141         1,141         1,141         1,141         1,141         1,141         1,141         1,141         1,141         1,141         1,	ORDANACE SUPPORT EQUIPARINT DEFE         5.42         15.00         1           DEFF         EUPARENT EQUIPARINT USAC SHADOW UPGRADES         115.000         1           DIATACE SUPPORT EQUIPARINT DEFF         114.000         1         1           DIATACE SUPPORT EQUIPARINT DEFF         114.300         1         1           TOTAL OTHER MISSILES         994.449         77,000         1           TOTAL OTHER MISSILES         994.649         77,000         1           TOPEDOES AND FELATED EQUIPARINT CORPEDOES AND FELATED EQUIPARINT CORPEDOES AND FELATED EQUIPARINT MC48 TOPFEDOE AND FELATED EQUIPARINT MC48 TOPFEDOR AND FELATED EQUIPARINT MC48 TOPFEDOR AND WAS TARKENTING MC48 TOPFEDOR AND FELATED EQUIPARINT MC48 TOPFEDOR AND WAS TARKENT         14.477           MC48 TOPFEDOR AND FELATED EQUIPARINT MC48 TOPFEDOR AND WAS TARKENT         2.751         1           MC48 TOPFEDOR AND FELATED EQUIPARINT         159.622         1         1           MC48 TOPFEDOR AND WAS TARANOW	5	FLEET SATELLITE COMM FOLLOW-ON	•	•				,
OHDMARCE SUPPORT ECUPANENT         5,422         15,000         1           DERF         (14,5,000)	OHAMANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT         5,422         15,000         1           USING SHADOW UPGRADES         94,349         77,000         10           USING SHADOW UPGRADES         94,349         77,000         10           USING SHADOW UPGRADES         94,349         77,000         10           USING SHADOW UPGRADES         14,330         14,330         10           UPFF         1074 UPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP.         14,330         14,330           CORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP.         58,730         14,330         10           CORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP.         58,730         14,330         14,330           ASIN UPGRES         AND RELATED EQUIP.         38,750         14,330         10           ASIN UPGRES         AND RELATED EQUIP.         58,750         14,330         10         10           ASIN UPGRES         AND RELATED EQUIP.         2,3751         2,3751         2,3751         14,477         14,477         14,477         14,477         15,466         1,4477         14,477         14,477         15,466         1,4477         14,477         14,477         14,477         14,477         15,466         1,4477         15,466         1,4477         15,466         1,4477         15,466         1,4477	;	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
USMC SHOOW UPGRADES         15,000         1,1           DEFF         1014. OTHER MISSILES         1,15,000         1,0           TOTAL OTHER MISSILES         984,849         77,000         1,0           TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT         10,4,300         1,0         1,0           CORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT         14,300         1,0         1,0           CORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT         2,00,4,49         77,000         1,0           CORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT         2,1,4,300         2,00         1,0           CORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT         2,1,4,300         2,00         2,00         1,0           CONTRACEMENT         2,00 <td>DESCRIPTION UPGRADES         15,000         1           DERF         1014LT         11,000         1,0           TOTAL CHER MISSLES         94,349         77,000         1,0           TOTAL CHER MISSLES         94,349         77,000         1,0           TOTAL CHER MISSLES         94,349         77,000         1,0           TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT         94,349         77,000         1,0           Mickel DORPEDO MODS         94,3710         94,320         1,0         1,0           Mickel DORPEDO AND RELATED EQUIPMENT         98,733         1,0         1,0         1,0           Mickel DORPEDO AND RELATED EQUIPMENT         9,10         1,0         1,0         1,0         1,0           Mickel DORPEDO EQUIPMENT         9,13         1,0         2,05         1,0         1,0           Mickel DORPEDO EQUIPMENT         1,0         2,75         1,0         1,0         1,0         1,0           Mickel DORPEDO EQUIPMENT         1,0</td> <td>2</td> <td>ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</td> <td></td> <td>5,422</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>5,422</td>	DESCRIPTION UPGRADES         15,000         1           DERF         1014LT         11,000         1,0           TOTAL CHER MISSLES         94,349         77,000         1,0           TOTAL CHER MISSLES         94,349         77,000         1,0           TOTAL CHER MISSLES         94,349         77,000         1,0           TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT         94,349         77,000         1,0           Mickel DORPEDO MODS         94,3710         94,320         1,0         1,0           Mickel DORPEDO AND RELATED EQUIPMENT         98,733         1,0         1,0         1,0           Mickel DORPEDO AND RELATED EQUIPMENT         9,10         1,0         1,0         1,0         1,0           Mickel DORPEDO EQUIPMENT         9,13         1,0         2,05         1,0         1,0           Mickel DORPEDO EQUIPMENT         1,0         2,75         1,0         1,0         1,0         1,0           Mickel DORPEDO EQUIPMENT         1,0	2	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		5,422				5,422
UCH         (15,00)           TOTAL OTHER MISSLES         94,949         77,000           TOTAL OTHER MISSLES         10,430         77,000           TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT         ASW TARGES         94,949         77,000           ASW TARGES         ASW TARGES         94,649         77,000           ASW TARGES         ASW TARGES         94,649         77,000           Mick al TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT         2,025         36,733           Mick al TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT         2,025         2,025           Mick al TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT         2,132         2,025           SUPPORT EQUIPMENT         2,132         2,132         2,132           CORPEDOS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT         2,132         2,132         2,132           CORPEDOS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT         2,132         2,132         2,132           CORPEDOS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT         2,751         1,4,477         2,751           DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION         FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION         2,132         2,132           DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION         FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION         1,4,477         2,251         2,253           DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION         FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION         2,751         2,751	UERF         (15,000)           TOTAL OTHER MISSILES         94,449         77,000           TOTAL OTHER MISSILES         94,449         77,000           TOPEDCES AND FELATED EQUIPMENT         14,330         14,330           ASW TARGETS         93,733         93,733           ASW TARGETS         93,733         2,025           ASW TARGETS         2,025         2,025           ASW TARGETS         2,025         2,025           ASW TARGETS         2,025         2,025           ASW TARGETS         2,025         2,025           ASW TRANSPORTEDINE         2,025         2,025           ASW ANGE SUPPORT         14,477         2,025           ASW ANGE SUPPORT         14,477         2,751           ASW ANGE SUPPORT         15,96         7,000           ASM ANGE SUPPORT         15,96         7,000           ASM ANGE SUPPORT         15,96         7,000           ASM ANGE SUPPORT         15,96         7,000           ASW ANGE SUPPORT         15,96         7,000	22A	USMC SHADOW UPGRADES				15,000	•	15,000
TOTAL OTHER MISSILES     94,449     77,000       TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT ASW PREDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT ASW PREDO ADCAP MODS     14,330       NIC4 ORPEDO ADCAP MODS NIC48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS NIC48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS NIC48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS NIC48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS     2,025       NIC4 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS NIC48 TORPEDO ADCAP NOT NIC48 TORPEDO TORPEDO TORPEDO TORPEDO TORPEDO TORPEDO NIC48 TORPEDO TORPEDO TORPEDO NIC48 TORPEDO TORPEDO TORPEDO TORPEDO NIC48 TORPEDO NIC48 TORPEDO TORPEDO NIC48 TORPEDO TORPEDO NIC48 TORPEDO TORPEDO NIC48 TORPEDO TORPEDO NIC48 TORP	TOTAL OTHER MISSILES         984,849         77,000           TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT ANY TREPOSE AND RELATED EQUIPMENT ANY TREPOSES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT ANY TREPOSES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT ANY TREPOSES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT ANY TREPOSES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT ANY TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT ANY TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT ANY ANGLE SUPPORT COURCESTINE MINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT TORPEDOR TOURDENT ANY ANGLE SUPPORT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT TORPEDOR AND RELATED EQUIPMENT TORPEDOR TOURD TRANSPORTATION FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION TRANSPORTATION FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION TRAN		DERF				[+15,000]		[+15,000]
TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT SWI TARGETS     14,330       TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT SWI TARGETS     14,330       No OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT SWI TORPEDO MODS     38,783       Nic 48 TORPEDO MODS     2025       Nic 48 TORPEDO MODS     2025       Nic 48 TORPEDO MODS     2025       SUPPORT EQUIPMENT     2025       SUPPORT EQUIPMENT     2025       SUPPORT EQUIPMENT     14,477       CORREDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT     2751       SUPPORT EQUIPMENT     14,477       DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION     2751       HIST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION     2751       FIST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION     2751       OTHER WEAPONS     2751       SML ARM SAID WEAPONS     153,622       OTHER WEAPONS     153,622       OTHER WEAPONS     153,622       ORIGINATION SYSTEMS     153,622       OTHER WEAPONS     153,622       OTHER WEAPONS     153,622       ORIGINATION OF GUINS AND GUIN MENT     153,622       OTHER WEAPONS     153,622       OTHER WEAPONS     153,622       OTHER WEAPONS     153,622       ORIGINATION OF GUINS AND GUIN MOUNTS     2,225	TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT SWITARGES     14.330       TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT SWITARGES     14.330       SWITARGES     38.783       KAN TARGES     38.783       MC-00 OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP MIC-00 DOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT     38.783       MC-01 ORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT     38.783       MC-01 ORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT     2.025       MC-01 ORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT     2.025       SUCKSTERIKE MINE     2.025       SUPPORT EQUIPMENT     2.025       SUPPORT EXTON     2.025       SUPPORT EATED EQUIPMENT     14.477       DERT DESTINATION     159.622       ORDER MONT RELATED EQUIPMENT     159.622       OTHER WERPORT     159.622       DERT CASCOUNT ADUGSTION     159.622       ORDER MORT RANCES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT     159.622       DERT CASCOUNT ADUGSTION     159.622       ORDERT		TOTAL OTHER MISSILES		984,849		27,000		1,061,849
TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP.     14,330       NOD OF TARGES     35,78       NOD OF TORFEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP.     36,78       NOD OF TORFEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP.     36,78       NOD OF TORFEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP.     36,78       MACHARTICLARE     26,124       OUTCKSTERIKE MIKE     2000       OND RELATED EQUIPMENT     159,622       OTHER WEAPONS     2,356       OTHER WOUNT AND GIN MOUNTS     2,356       OTHER WOUNT AND GIN MOUNTS     2,356       OTHER AND GOUNT AND GIN     2,356       OTHER AND GOUNT AND GIN     2,356       OTHER     2,	TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP MK-48 TORPEDO MODS MK-48 TORPEDO ANDOS MK-48 TORPEDO TORPEDO ANDOS MK-48 TORPEDO TORPEDO ANDOS MK-48 TORPEDO TORPEDO ANDOS MK-48 TORPEDO TOR		TORPEDOES AND RELATED FOUIPMENT						
ASW TARGETS ASW TARGETS ASW TARGETS MK-46 TORFEDO MODS MK-46 TORFEDO MODS MK-46 TORFEDO MODS MK-46 TORFEDO MODS MK-46 TORFEDO MODS OUCKSTITME MILE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT OUCKSTITME MILE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SUPPORT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SUPPORT SUPPORT SUPPORT SUPPORT SUPPORT SUPP	ASW TARGETS         4,330         14,330           ASW TARGETS         14,330         14,330           MK-46 TORFEDORS AND RELATED EQUIP MK-46 TORFEDO MODS         38,783         14,330           MK-46 TORFEDO MODS         38,783         20           MK-46 TORFEDO MODS         2005         2           MK-46 TORFEDO MODS         2005         1           OUCKSTRIKE MIRE         2005         2           OUCKSTRIKE MIRE         2         2           OUCKSTRIKE MIRE         2         2           OUCKSTRIKE MIRE         2         2           OUCKSTRIKE MIRE         2         1           AND RELORMENT         2         1           OTAL TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT         2         1           OTAL TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT         2         1           OTAL TORPOSES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT         2         1           OTAL TORPOSES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT         1         1           OTAL TORPOSES AND RELATION         2         2           OTAL TORPOSES AND RELATION         1         1           OTAL TORPOSES AND RELATION         1         1           OTAL TORPOSES AND RELATION         1         2           OTAL TORPOSES AND		TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP.						
MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP MICH TORPEDO MODS     - <t< td=""><td>MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP MIC 48 TORPEDO MODS     23,78       MIC 48 TORPEDO MODS     23,78       MIC 48 TORPEDO MODS     2025       MIC 48 TORPEDO MODS     2025       SUPPORT MILE     2025       SUPPORT EQUIPMENT     2025       TORPEDO SUPPORT     14,477       DESTIMATION TRANSPORTATION     2751       HST DESTIMATION TRANSPORTATION     2751       FIST DESTIMATION TRANSPORTATION     2751       FIST DESTIMATION TRANSPORTATION     2751       OTHER WEAPONS     2751       OTHER WEAPONS     2866       CONSTRANCE     2866       TOTAL TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT     159,622       OTHER WEAPONS     159,622       CONSTRANCE     159,622       OTHER WEAPONS     159,622       CONSTRANCE     2,856       OTHER WEAPONS     1,8300       DEFF. COSI ON WAURS     1,8300       ORDIFICATION OF QUINS SYSTEMS     1,5300       DEFF. COSI ON MODS     1,5300       ONDIFICATION OF QUINS SYSTEMS     1,5300    <tr< td=""><td>23</td><td>ASW TARGETS</td><td>,</td><td>14 330</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>14 220</td></tr<></td></t<>	MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP MIC 48 TORPEDO MODS     23,78       MIC 48 TORPEDO MODS     23,78       MIC 48 TORPEDO MODS     2025       MIC 48 TORPEDO MODS     2025       SUPPORT MILE     2025       SUPPORT EQUIPMENT     2025       TORPEDO SUPPORT     14,477       DESTIMATION TRANSPORTATION     2751       HST DESTIMATION TRANSPORTATION     2751       FIST DESTIMATION TRANSPORTATION     2751       FIST DESTIMATION TRANSPORTATION     2751       OTHER WEAPONS     2751       OTHER WEAPONS     2866       CONSTRANCE     2866       TOTAL TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT     159,622       OTHER WEAPONS     159,622       CONSTRANCE     159,622       OTHER WEAPONS     159,622       CONSTRANCE     2,856       OTHER WEAPONS     1,8300       DEFF. COSI ON WAURS     1,8300       ORDIFICATION OF QUINS SYSTEMS     1,5300       DEFF. COSI ON MODS     1,5300       ONDIFICATION OF QUINS SYSTEMS     1,5300 <tr< td=""><td>23</td><td>ASW TARGETS</td><td>,</td><td>14 330</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>14 220</td></tr<>	23	ASW TARGETS	,	14 330				14 220
MK-46 TORPEDO MODS         30,783         30,783         9,124         9,125 </td <td>MK-46 TORPEDO MODS         33,783         3,7333         3,733         3,733<!--</td--><td></td><td>MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP</td><td></td><td>oppla.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>non't</td></td>	MK-46 TORPEDO MODS         33,783         3,7333         3,733         3,733 </td <td></td> <td>MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP</td> <td></td> <td>oppla.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>non't</td>		MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP		oppla.				non't
MK-81 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS         E.2.124         E.2.124           OUTCKETIRIKE MINE         2.025         E.2.132           SUPPORT EQUIPARENT         E.1182         E.3.132           SUPPORT EQUIPARENT         E.1182         E.3.132           SUPPORT EQUIPARENT         E.3.132         E.3.132           SUPPORT EQUIPARENT         E.3.132         E.3.132           SUPPORT EQUIPARENT         E.3.132         E.3.1417           TOPELOS SUPPORT         1.4.417         E.3.132           SUPPORT EQUIPARENT         2.751         1.4.417           DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION         E.3.132         2.751           HIST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION         E.3.1447         E.3.1447           CONTRE SUPPORT         D.14.417         1.55.522           DERT CORST AND RELATED EQUIPMENT         1.55.522         1.4.3.000]           DERF CORST AND MELPOND         D.14.1412         1.55.522           OTHER WEADON         D.14.1412         1.55.522         1.4.3.000]           DERF CORST AND MELPOND         SAMAL AND SAND MELPOND         1.53.56         1.4.3.000]           DERF CORST AND MELPOND         SAMAL AND SAND MELPOND         1.53.56         1.4.3.000]           DERF CORST AND MELPOND         SAMAL AND SAND MAND SAND SAND SAND SAN	MK-81 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS         E.2.124         E.2.12	24	MK-46 TORPEDO MODS		38.783			,	38.783
OUCKSTERIKE MINE     2022       SUPPORT EQUIPMENT     2023       TORPEDO SUPPORT     25,132       SANDARD TRANSPORTATION     25,132       ANANGE SUPPORT     25,132       ANANGE SUPPORT     25,132       ANANGE SUPPORT     25,132       ANANGE SUPPORT     14,477       ANANGE SUPPORT     25,132       ANANGE SUPPORT     27,51       ANANGE SUPPORT     25,132       ANANCE SUPPORT     27,51       ANANCES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT     159,822       OTHER WEAPONS     159,822       SMALL APINS AND MELATED EQUIPMENT     159,822       OTHER WEAPONS     1,53,822       SMALL APINS AND MELATED EQUIPMENT     159,822       ANALL APINS AND WEAPONS     1,53,92       SMALL APINS AND MELATED EQUIPMENT     1,53,62       OTHER WEAPONS     2,55       SMALL APINS AND WEAPONS     1,53,62       ANALL APINS AND WEAPONS     1,53,62       ANALL APINS AND WEAPONS     2,256       ANALL APINS AND WEAPONS     2,33,7       ANALL APINS AND WEAPONS     2,353       ANALL APINS AND WANDY     1,53,62       ANALL APINS AND WANDY     1,53,62       ANALL APINS AND WANDY     2,535       ANALLAR AND WOUNT AND (8)     2,535       ANDELED ACCOUNT AND (8)	GUICKSTERIKE MINE     2000       SUPCOFT EQUIPMENT     2000       TORPEDO SUPPORT     14,477       DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION     25,132       MARKEDO SUPPORT     25,132       DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION     25,132       FIST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION     27,51       FIST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION     25,52       FIST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION     1159,622       FIST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION     159,622       OTHER WEAPONS     2,750       OTHER WEAPONS     1,59,622       DEFF. CASI GUIN MOUNTS     2,856       OTHER VEAPONS     1,59,622       DEFF. CASI GUIN MOUNTS     2,856       ONER OWN WOUNTS     2,856       OTHER VEAPONS     1,59,922       OTHER VEAPONS     1,59,922       OTHER VEAPONS     1,59,922       OTHER VEAPONS     1,59,622       OTHER VEAPONS     1,59,62       OTHER VEAPON AD (99)     1       OTHER VEAPON AD (99)     1       OTHER VEAPON AD (99) </td <td>52</td> <td>MK-48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS</td> <td></td> <td>62.124</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td>62 124</td>	52	MK-48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS		62.124			,	62 124
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT     S2,132       CUPPORT EQUIPMENT     23,132       ASW FANGE SUPPORT     14,47       ASW FANGE SUPPORT     14,47       ASW FANGE SUPPORT     14,47       ASW FANGE SUPPORT     2,751       DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION     2,751       FIRST DESTINATION     2,751       TOTAL TORPEDES AND FILATED EQUIPMENT     159,622       COTHER MEDONS     2,856       MALL ARIAS AND WEAPONS     1,539       MODIFICATION OF GUINS AND GUIN MOUNTS     1,539       MODIFICATION OF GUINS AND STERMS     1,539       MODIFICATION OF GUINS AND GUIN ADUST     3,351       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADUSTMENTS     3,351       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADUSTMENTS     3,351       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADUSTMENTS     3,351       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADUSTMENTS     3,351       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADUST     1,539       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADUST     1,530	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT     SUPPORT EQUIPMENT       SUPPORT EQUIPMENT     SUPPORT EQUIPMENT       ASW RAVES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT     -       ASW RAVES SUP FELATED EQUIPMENT     -       DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION     -       TOTAL TORREDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT     159,622       OTHER VEAPONS     -       ORTER AND WEAPONS     -       DAREA COUNT SUD     -       ARBORNE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS     -       MARDORNE MINE REUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS     -       MONDIN MODIS     -       MONNIN MODS	26	QUICKSTRIKE MINE	•	2.025			,	20,125
TOPPEDD SUPPORT EQUIPMENT     25,132       ASW FRANCES SUPPORT     -       ASW FRANCES SUPPORT     -       ASW FRANCES SUPPORT     -       HEST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION     -       HEST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION     -       TOTAL TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT     -       DEFF. Coast Guard     -       MALL ARINS AND WEAPONS     -       MODIFICATION OF GUAS AND BUDINT     -       MODIFICATION OF GUAS AND GUAN ADUGTS     -       MODIFICATION OF GUAS AND GUAN ADUGTS     -       MODIFICATION OF GUAS AND GUAN ADU	TOTAL TORPEDO SUPPORT         25,132         5,133         5,133 <th1,133< th="">         5,133         5,133&lt;</th1,133<>		SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
ASW RANGE SURPORT DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION TOTAL TOHPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT OTHER WEAPONS CONTRACTOR COMPANIES STATUATION STATUATION MODIFICATION SYSTEMS CONS MODE CAUCILLED ACCOUNT ADJUGTMENTS CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUGTMEN	ASW FANCE SUPPORT DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION         14.477         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         1         -         -         -         1         -         -         -         1         -         -         1         -         -         1         -         -         1         -         -         1         -         -         1         -         -         1         -         -         1         -         -         1         -         -         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1 <th1< th="">         1         <th1< th="">         &lt;</th1<></th1<>	27	TORPEDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	·	25,132				25,132
DEFINATION TRANSPORTATION     2.751       FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION     2.751       FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION     2.751       FOTAL TOPPEDES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT     159,622       OTHER WEAPONS     155,622       SMALL ARINS AND WEAPONS     1,539       DEFF- Coast Guard     1,539       DEFF- Coast Guard     1,539       MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN AND UNITS     2,2256       GUN MOUNT MODS     8,351       OTHER     0,351       OTHER     3,351       OTHER     0,351       OTHER <td>DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION     DESTINATION       FIRST DESTINATION     2.751       TOTAL TOHPEDES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT     159,622       TOTAL TOHPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT     159,622       OTHER WEAPONS     2.856       SMALL ATMS AND WEAPONS     2.856       SMALL ATMS AND WEAPONS     1,539       DERF- Coast Guard     1,539       DERF- Coast Guard     1,539       MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS     3,351       CONS MODS     3,351       CONCELLED ACCOUNT ADUGTMENTS     3,351       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADUGTMENTS     3,351       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADUGTMENTS     1       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADUGTMENTS     1</td> <td>28</td> <td>ASW RANGE SUPPORT</td> <td></td> <td>14,477</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td>14,477</td>	DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION     DESTINATION       FIRST DESTINATION     2.751       TOTAL TOHPEDES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT     159,622       TOTAL TOHPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT     159,622       OTHER WEAPONS     2.856       SMALL ATMS AND WEAPONS     2.856       SMALL ATMS AND WEAPONS     1,539       DERF- Coast Guard     1,539       DERF- Coast Guard     1,539       MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS     3,351       CONS MODS     3,351       CONCELLED ACCOUNT ADUGTMENTS     3,351       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADUGTMENTS     3,351       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADUGTMENTS     1       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADUGTMENTS     1	28	ASW RANGE SUPPORT		14,477			,	14,477
HIST DESTIMATION TRANSPORTATION     2.751     -     -       TOTAL TORPEDCES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT     159,622     -     -       TOTAL TORPEDCES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT     159,622     -     -       OTHER WEAPONS     0.014E WEAPONS     0.014E WEAPONS     -     -       OTHER WEAPONS     0.014E WEAPONS     -     159,622     -     1       OFFICATION MOUNTS     2.856     7,000     -     1       SMALL AND REPORTE     -     1,539     -     1       DERF-Coasi Guard     -     1,539     -     -       MODIFICATION SYSTEMS     -     -     1,539     -       CONS MODIS     -     -     -     -     -       OTHER     -     -     -     -     -       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADUSTIMENTS     -     -     -     -       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADUSTIMENTS     -     -     -     -       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADUSTIMENTS     -     -	HIST DESTIMATION TRANSPORTATION     2.751     -     -       TOTAL TORPEDGES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT     159,622     -     -       TOTAL TORPEDGES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT     159,622     -     -       OTHER WEAPONS     OTHER WEAPONS     59,622     -     -       OTHER WEAPONS     SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS     -     -     -     -       OTHER WEAPONS     DERF- Cast Guard     -     -     2,856     7,000     -     -       OFFIC Cast Guard     DERF- Cast Guard     -     -     2,856     7,000     -     -       ARRENE INVERTISATION SYSTEMS     -     -     1,539     1,539     -     -     -       ARRENE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS     -     -     -     2,256     -     -     -       ARRENE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       ARRENE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       ARRENE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       ARRENE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       ARRENE MINE NUES     -     -     <		DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION						
TOTAL TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT     159,622     1       OTHER WEAPONS     OTHER WEAPONS     7,000       OTHER WEAPONS     2,856     7,000       OBS AND GUN MOUNTS     1,539     1,43,000       SMALL APINS AND WEAPONS     1,539     1,43,000       DEFF- Coast Guard     1,539     1,539       ARRENE INFLATIZATION SYSTEMS     1,539     1,43,000       ARRENE REUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS     1,539     1,43,000       ARRELE AROUNT SUUSTION SYSTEMS     3,226     3,351       OTHER     0.055     9,351     0       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS     0.351     0       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS     0.051     0	TOTAL TORPEDGES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT     159,622       OTHER WEAPONS     0       OTHER WEAPONS     7,000       OTHER WEAPONS     2,856       GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS     2,856       SALAT AMAS AND WATONS     1,539       DEFF- Coast Guard     1,539       ARBORNE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS     1,539       ODEFFCATION OF GUNS AND NEURINE     1,539       CONS MODS     32,226       GUN MODS     32,226       GUN MODS     33,251       GUN MODS     3,551       GUN MODS     1,539       GUN MODS     1,530	29	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	ŀ	2,751			•	2,751
OTHEN WEAPONS     2,856     7,000       SUBLI ARINS AND WIGHTS     2,856     7,000       SIMALL ARINS AND WEAPONS     DEFF. Coast Guard     1,539       DEFF. Coast Guard     1,539     1,339       DEFF. Coast Guard     1,539     1,300       AIRBORNE INNE FRUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS     1,539     1,339       AIRBORNE INNE FRUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS     1,539     1,339       CONS MODS     6.000     8,351     2,3226       OTHER     0.000     9,351     0       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTIMENTS     0     0     0       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTIMENTS     0     0     0	OTHEN WEAPONS     2,856     7,000     1       GUISS AND GUN MOUNTS     SUBS AND GUN MOUNTS     2,856     7,000     1       SMALL AMAS AND WEAPONS     DERF- Coast Guard     1,539     1,43,000]     1       DERF- Coast Guard     MODIFICATION SYSTEMS     -     1,539     1,43,000]     1       ARRENT MILE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS     -     1,539     1,339     1     1       ARRENT MOLINE OF GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS     -     32,226     -     -     3,351     -       CINIS MODS     CONS MODS     -     -     3,351     -     -     -     -       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADUSTMENTS     -		TOTAL TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT		159,622		-		159,622
Generation     2.856     7.000       DERF-Cost Guard ADUNTS     2.856     7.000       BALL ATINS AND WEAPONG     1.539     [+3.000]       DERF-Cost Guard APONS     1.539     [+3.000]       DERF-Cost Guard APONS     1.539     [+3.000]       DERF-Cost Guard APONS     1.539     [+3.000]       NODIFICATION OF GUIN ANOUNTS     2.2256     1.539       CONS MODS     GUIN MOUNT MODS     3.2276     1.539       OTHER     CONCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTIMENTS     2.2256     1.539       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTIMENTS     2.3226     1.539     1.539       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTIMENTS     2.3226     1.539     1.539       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTIMENTS     1.539     1.539     1.539	Generation     2,856     7,000     1       DERF-Coast Guard Amounts     2,856     7,000     1       SMALL ATINS AND WEAPONS     DERF-Coast Guard Amounts     1,539     (+3,000)       DERF-Coast Guard Amounts     -     1,539     (+3,000)       Amount-Coast Guard Amounts     -     3,226     -       Amount-Mounts     -     -     3,351     -       Const Mount MODS     -     -     3,351     -       OTHER     -     -     -     -     -       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADUIGTMENTS     -     -     -     -     -       UUDGEMENT FUND     -     -     -     -     -     -		OTHER WEAPONS						
SMALL AFMS AND WEAPONS     2.856     7,000     -       AIRER- Coast Guard     AIRER- Coast Guard     1,539     (+3,000)     -       AIREO-THE INER NEL ITALIZATION SYSTEMS     -     1,539     (+3,000)     -       MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS     -     32,226     -     -       CWNS MODS     -     -     32,226     -     -       GUN MOUNT MODS     -     -     32,226     -     -       OTHER     -     -     32,226     -     -       CONS MODS     -     -     32,226     -     -       CIN MOUNT MODS     -     -     -     -     -       CONCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS     -     -     -     -     -       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS     -     -     -     -     -       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS     -     -     -     -     -	SMALL FAINS AND WEAPONS     2,856     7,000     -       DEFF. Coast Guard Veatories     2,856     1,539     (+3,000)     -       MERGENE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS     -     1,539     (+3,000)     -       ARRECINE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS     -     1,539     (+3,000)     -       ARRECINE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS     -     -     32,226     -     -       CIVE MODIS     -     -     -     32,226     -     -       CIVE MODIS     -     -     -     32,226     -     -       CIVE MODIS     -     -     -     32,226     -     -       CIVE MODINT MODIS     -     -     -     32,226     -     -       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADUISTMENTS     -     -     -     -     -     -       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADUIG89     -     -     -     -     -     -       UNDGEMENT FUND     -     -     -     -     -     -     -		GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS						
DEFF. Coast Guard AIPBOPINE MILE MALIZATION SYSTEMS MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS GUNS MODS GUNS MODS GUNS MODS GUNS MODS OTHER OTHER ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS	DEFF. Coast Guard     1,539     [+3,000]     [-       AIPBORNE MMER NEUTIZATION SYSTEMS     1,539     [+3,000]     [-       MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS     2,226     2,226     2,226       CWS MODS     GUN MOUNT MODS     9,351     2,351     2,351       OTHER     CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS     2,351     2,351     2,351       CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS     2,351     2,351     2,351       UJUDGEMENT FUND     2,312     2,351     2,351	8	SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS		2,856		7,000	•	9,856
AIRBONIE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS - 1.539 - 1.539 MODIFICATION OF GUINS AND GUN MOUNTS - 32,226 CINIS MODS - 32,226 CINIS MODS - 331 OTHER - 3,331 CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS - 2,000 -	AIRBONIE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS - 1.539 - 1.539 MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS - 32,226 GUNS MODS - 32,226 GUNS MODS - 32,226 GUNS MODS - 32,226 GUNE MOUNT MODS - 32,226 GUNE MOUNT MOD S - 32,226 GUNE MOUNT MOUNTS - 32,226 GUNE MOUNT MOUNTS - 32,226 CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADU (89) UUDGEMENT FUND		DERF- Coast Guard				[+3,000]		[+3,000]
CIVICATION OF GUNS AND GUN WOUNTS - 33,226 GUN MOUNT MODS - 3,331 OTHER - 3,331 CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS - 5 CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUST - 5	CIVIC MICHAN OF CUM AND CUM MOUNTS - 32,226 CIVIS MODS - 33,251 CUM MODS - 3,351 CUM BANDS - 3,351 CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS	31	AIRBORNE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS	,	1,539				1,539
GUN MOUNT MODS - 32,226 GUN MOUNT MODS - 3,361 OTHER 0.000UNT ADJUSTMENTS - 5. CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS - 5. CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS - 5.	CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS - 33,225 - 33,51 - 0,000	ę							
OUN MUUNI MUUS OTHER CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADUUSTMENTS CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADUUSTMENTS CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADU (89) CONCELLED ACCOUNT ADU (89)	OTHEN WOUNT MOUSS OTHEN CONTENT ADJUSTMENTS CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJ (89) CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJ (89) UDGEMENT FUND	88		ı	32,226				32,226
		3	GUN MOUNI MOUS		8,351			ı	8,351
		24	CANCELLED ACCOUNT AD ILESTMENTS						
		ě							•
		8 6			•				
		38		r				•	•

65

51,972

7,000

44,972

TOTAL OTHER WEAPONS

F	PROGRAM TITLE	FY AUTHOI REG	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	TEE FROM ST	FY 2003 COMMITTE RECOMMENDA	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
		QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST QTY.	ατγ.	COST
SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS							
SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS			55,940			,	55,940
TOTAL SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	ARTS		55,940				55,940
TOTAL WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY	NT, NAVY		1,832,617		84,000		1,916,617

### Items of Special Interest

### AIM-9X missile

The budget request for the Department of the Navy contained \$53.3 million for 295 AIM–9X missiles and the budget request for the Department of the Air Force contained \$57.0 million for 286 AIM–9X missiles. The AIM–9X missile is a fifth generation, launch-and-leave, infrared-guided air-to-air missile.

The committee notes that the AIM–9X missile is superior to all fielded short-range air-to-air missiles, is pleased that both the Departments of the Navy and the Air Force have included its procurement in the fiscal year 2003 budget request and restored its procurement in their future years defense programs, and understands that cost improvement initiatives have been, and continue to be, underway to achieve lower future unit costs.

The committee strongly encourages the Departments of the Navy and the Air Force to take the necessary actions to leverage cost improvement initiatives and to maximize economies of scale in order to procure the most AIM–9X missiles possible in the future years defense programs within the programmed budget.

### Hellfire II missile

The budget request contained no funds for Hellfire II missiles.

The Hellfire II missile is a laser-guided, anti-armor and anti-ship weapon used by the Marine Corps on the AH–1W helicopter and by the Navy on the SH–60B helicopter as their primary precisionguided munition. The committee notes that, despite increased funding provided by Congress in fiscal years 1998, 2000 and 2001, the Navy is currently at only 54 percent of its inventory requirement for these missiles. The committee further notes that, as a result of this situation, both the Chief of Naval Operations and the Commandant of the Marine Corps have identified procurement of Hellfire II missiles among their unfunded requirements in fiscal year 2003.

Consistent with its prior actions, the committee recommends an increase of \$40.0 million to procure additional Hellfire II missiles.

### Tomahawk missile

The budget request contained \$145.8 million for 106 block IV low-rate initial production tactical tomahawk (TACTOM) missiles but included no funds for special tooling and test equipment to increase the production rate of the block IV TACTOM missile from 450 to 600 missiles per year.

The Tomahawk missile is a long-range, precision strike cruise missile launched from surface ships or submarines, and the block IV TACTOM missile will provide improved performance at a lower unit cost than previous missile versions. The committee understands that existing special tooling and test equipment will provide a capacity to produce 450 TACTOM missiles per year, but believes that the ability to produce 600 TACTOM missiles per year would be critical in a time of national emergency.

The committee recommends \$167.8 million for the Tomahawk missile, an increase of \$22.0 million, to procure the special tooling and test equipment necessary to increase the production of block IV TACTOMs from 450 to 600 per year.

### Ammunition Procurement, Navy/Marine Corps

### Overview

The budget request contained \$1,015.2 million for Ammunition Procurement, Navy/Marine Corps in fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends authorization of \$1,104.5 million for fiscal year 2003.

2003. The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on affordability considerations.

TITLE I - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands)	
---	--

ORV         COST         OTV         COST	Line	PROGRAM TITLE	AUTHO RE	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	ITTEE E FROM JEST	FY 2003 COMMITTE RECOMMEND	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
PFOCURIENCE FOR MINO, MAYY & MARINE CORPS         PFOCURIENCE FORMIO, MAYY & MARINE CORPS           PEOCURIENCE FORMIC, MAYY & MARINE CORPS         PFOCURIENCE FORMIC, MAYY & MARINE CORPS           NAVY AMMUNTION AND CREFAL UPPEOC         243,365         61,300         2,300           DERF. Jay FUNCTE FORMIS         284,379         28,379         2,3300         2,3300           DERF. JAY FUNCTION         DERF         28,379         2,3300         1,4300           DERF. JAY FORMINITON         DERF         28,379         2,3300         1,4300           MAXINE CONVERTION         DERF         28,375         4,4500         1,4300           MACHINE CONVERTING ACTUARTICON         E6,575         4,4500         1,44,500           MARINE CONVERTING ACTUARTICON         E6,575         4,4500         1,44,500           MARINE CONVERTING ACTUARTICON         E6,575         4,350         1,44,500           MARINE CONVERTIONALE CONVERTIONAL         E6,575         4,3500         1,44,500           MARINE CONSTRANDER CONTERNENTING         E6,575         4,4500         1,000           MARINE CONTERNENTICON         E6,575         4,4500         1,000           MARCHINE CONTENDER         MARUNTON         E6,575         4,4500         1,225           MARINE CONTENDER			QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
NAV AMMUTION ANY AMMUTION NAV AMMUTION DEFE-JUNITICS EX 11 DEFE-JUNITICS EX 1447         243.36         61.300         2.2800           DEFF-JUNITICS AMMUTICS         DEFF-JUNITICS         2.43.36         61.300         2.2800           DEFF-JUNITICS         DEFF-JUNITICS         2.83.75         2.4300         12.2800           DEFF-JUNITICS         DEFF         DEFF         2.83.75         4.43.00           DEFF-JUNITICS         DEFF         DEFF         2.33.00         1.4.4.300           DEFF         DEFF         DEFF         2.33.00         1.4.4.300           DEFF         DEFF         DEFF         2.3.300         1.4.4.300           DEFF         DEFF         DEFF         2.3.300         1.4.4.300           DEFF         DEFF         DEFF         2.3.300         1.4.4.300           DEFF         DEFF         DEFF         2.4.00         2.4.00           DEFF         DEFF         DEFF         DEFF		PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS						
GRIEAL INTERCONSE FOMBS         CRIMERIAL INTERCONSE         61,300         1,44,500         1,44,500		PHOC AMMU, NAVY NAVY AMMIINITION						
DERF-Lase Cultor Bornbar / Transfer to H.H. 4547         9.80         225.982         2.400         1.2260           DERF-Lase Cultor Bornbar / Transfer to H.H. 4547         9.80         225.982         2.400         12.260           DERF-Lase Cultor Bornbar / Transfer to H.H. 4547         9.80         225.982         2.400         12.260           DERF         MAX / Transfer to H.H. 4547         9.80         28.335         14.300         12.260           DERF         MAXUNE GUN AMMUNITION         0         26.375         2.8300         14.4500           DEFF         MAXUNE GUN AMMUNITION         0         26.525         14.3500         14.4500           DEFF         MAXUNE GUN AMMUNITION         0         26.525         14.4500         14.4500           DEFF         ACCITICE BOMBS         MAXUNITION         0         26.535         14.4500         1           PACTICE BOMBS         ACMUNITION         0         10.045         1         0.005         1           PACTICE BOMBS         ACMUNITION         0         12.220         1         1         0.005           ARIGART ESCAPE ADDELIZATION DEVICES         ALL AND DEMOLITION         0         1         1         1         0.005           ARIADS         SMALL		GENERAL PLIPPOSE ROMRS		205 205		61 300		304 605
ULH         Left         Last         Global contast i farster to h.H. 447         9.80         255-92         2.400         61.300         2.500         2.400         61.300         2.500			L	0001043	,	000'10		50't00
JDM         JDM         Transfer to HT, 447         9,860         25,592         2,400         5,400         12,260           DEFF. JJAM Transfer to HT, 447         0         26,375         26,307         5,400         12,260           DEFF. JJAM Transfer to HT, 447         0         26,375         4,500         10,260           DEFF         JAM Transfer to HT, 447         0         26,375         14,300         10,161           MACHINE GUN AMMUNITON         26,535         0         26,355         14,300         10,100           PACITICE DMBS         AND TATINED DEVICES         0         36,555         14,300         14,300           PACITIE DMBS         AND MINITON         0         10,045         10,045         10,045           AND SERVICE DMBS         AND MINITON         0         12,250         10,045         10,004           AND SERVICE DMBS         AND MINITON         0         10,045         10,004         10,004           AND SERVICE DMBS         AND MINITON         0         10,004         10,004         10,004           AND SERVICES         0         0         0         10,004         10,004         10,004           AND SERVICES         0         0         0		DERF- Laser Guided Bombs / Transfer to H.H. 4547				(61,300)	•	(61,30
DERF-JAW/Tanster to HT. 447         28.73         (34.300)         -           DERF-JAW/Tanster to HT. 447         28.73         28.73         (34.300)         -           DERF         DERF         28.75         (44.500)         -         -           DERF         MACHINE GUN AMMUNITON         26.55         (44.500)         -         -           MACHINE GUN AMMUNITON         DERF         26.555         (44.500)         -         -           ARCOTES AMIN         26.555         44.300         -		JDAM	9,880	225,992	2,400	54,000	12,280	279,99
AFBORINE FOCKETS, ALL TYPES         28,979         23,300         -           DEFF         26,552         +25,300         -           MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION         -         26,552         +25,300         -           MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION         -         26,552         +25,300         -           PROFICE BOMBS         -         26,552         +25,500         -         -           ACHINE GUN AMMUNITION         -         26,555         +25,500         -         -         -           ATERCRAFT ESCAPE FOCKETS         -         0,0757         - </td <td></td> <td>DERF- JDAM / Transfer to H.R. 4547</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>(34,300)</td> <td>,</td> <td>(34.30</td>		DERF- JDAM / Transfer to H.R. 4547				(34,300)	,	(34.30
DEFF         (+3.30)         (		AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES	,	28.979		23,300	,	52.27
MACHNE GUN AMMUNITION         26.375         4,500         1           DEFF         0.523         4,4,500         1           PRACTICE BOMBS         0.6523         1,4,500         1           PRACTICE BOMBS         0.6523         1,4,500         1           AFE REVIEWERS         0.755         1,252         1           SINCHAS GUN AMMUNITON         10,004         1,000         1           OTHER SHP GUN AMMUNITON         0.738         1,004         1           OTHER SHP GUN AMMUNITON         0.738         1,000         1      <		DERF				[+23.300]		[+23.30
DERF         (+4.30)           DERF         (+4.30)           CARTICLE COMIS         (-4.30)           CARTICLE COMIS         (-4.30)           CARTINDELE COUNTERREASURES         (-4.30)           AIR EXPENDENCE         (-4.30)           AIR EXPENDENCE         (-4.30)           AIR EXPENDENCE COUNTERREASURES         (-4.30)           AIR EXPENDENCE COUNTERREASURES         (-4.30)           AIR EXPENDENCE         (-4.30)           AIR ON AMUNITON         (-4.30)           AIR ACTIVALIAND         (-4.30)           AIR ACTIVALIAND         (-4.30)           AIR ALL ANNS ALLANNS         (-4.30)           AIR ALL ANNS         (-4.30)           AIR ALL ANNS         (-4.30)           AIR ALL ANNS		MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION		26.375		4 500	*	30.87
PRACTICE EOMBS         65.623         1.000           PRACTICE EOMBS         65.623         1.000           AIRCRAFT ESCAVE FORCES         2.335         1.007           AIRCRAFT ESCAVE FORCES         2.335         1.007           AIRCRAFT ESCAVE FORCES         2.3535         1.007           AIRCRAFT ESCAVE FORCES         2.355         2.355           AIRCRAFT ESCAVE FORCES         2.355         3.866           AIRCRAFT ESCAVE FORCES         1.000         1.225           SINCHISA GUN AMMUNTION         2.356         1.000           SINCHISA GUN AMMUNTION         2.356         1.000           OTHER SUP FORMAND         1.222         1.000           OTHER SUP REQUERT         1.000         1.000           OTHER SUP REQUERT         1.000         1.000           OTHER SUP REQUERT         1.000         1.1000           OTHER SUP REQUERT         1.000         1.1000           MINE NETURIARIZATION REVIEWS         1.000         1.1000           MINE RECEIVER SUP REVIEWS         1.000         1.1000           MINE RECEIVER SUP REVIEWS         1.000         1.121           AMMUNTION LESS THANS MILLION         1.122         1.122           AMMUNTION LESS THANS MILLION <t< td=""><td></td><td>DERF</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1005 PTJ</td><td></td><td>144 50V</td></t<>		DERF				1005 PTJ		144 50V
CATTRIDGES & CATT ACTUATED DEVICES         26.56         26.56         26.56         27.77           ATE EXPLACE PROVIES         20.77         20.77         27.77<		PRACTICE ROMBS		65.623		Fagare 1	,	65.62
Alia CRAFT ECCARE FOCKETS         0.70           Alia EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES         0.70           Alia EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES         0.70           AITOS         0.70           AITOS         0.705           AITOS         0.706           AITOS         0.705           AITOS         0.707           AITOS         0.707           AITOS         0.707           AITOS         0.707           AITOS         0.707           AITOS         0.707           AITOS		CARTRINGES & CART ACTULATED DEVICES		00 365				26.96
American Lector Landon         38,66         - </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>I</td> <td>10000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>01:07</td>			I	10000				01:07
MTCX-FUNDALE COUNTERMEASORES         -         3.550         -         <		AID TVDRIDAEL FOUND IS AN INTERNET OF AN AND TVDRIDAEL FOUND AND TVDRIDAEL FOUND AND AN INTERNET OF AN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND	1	10,101				27,01
JUIOS     JUIOS     4.56     -       EXTENDED FANGE GUIDED MUNITION     1.222     -       EXTENDED FANGE GUIDED MUNITION     -     4.22       EXTENDED FANGE GUIDED MUNITION     -     4.22       EXTENDED FANGE GUIDED MUNITION     -     4.22       CITHEN SHP GUN AMMUNITION     -     4.22       COTHEN SHP GUN AMMUNITION     -     10.045       COTHEN SHP GUN AMMUNITION     -     10.045       COTHEN SHP GUN AMMUNITION     -     10.045       SMALL FANS A LANDING PARTY AMMO     -     10.045       DEFF. Coast Guard     -     10.038       PROFICHING AND ENVILION     -     10.338       PROFICENIC AND ENVILION     -     1.272       AMMUNITION LESS THAN SI MILLION     -     1.272       AMMUNITION LESS THAN AMUL				30,850			•	38,35
SINCHESI GUN AMMUNITION     12.252     -       STENDED RANGE GUNDE MUNITIONS (EFIGIN)     -     12.252     -       ToRM GUN AMMUNITION     -     -     -     -       ToRM GUN AMMUNITION     -     -     -     -     -       ToRM GUN AMMUNITION     -     10.045     -     -     -       ToRM CUN AMMUNITION     -     10.045     -     -     -       SMALL ARMS & LAND MARUTION     -     10.045     -     -     -       SMALL ARMS & LAND MARUTION     -     10.045     -     -     -     -       DEFF- Coast Guard     -     1     -     10.045     -     -     -       DEFF- Coast Guard     -     -     10.038     +1.0001     -     -     -       MINE RUTICANLC SOFTS     -     -     10.338     +1.0001     -     -       MIRE RUTIFILIZATION DESCRIPTION     -     -     -     -     -     -       MIRE RUTIFILIZATION DESCRIPTION     -     -     -     -     -     -       MIRE RUTIFILIZATION DESCRIPTION     -     -     -     -     -     -       AMMUNITION LESS THARS MULLION     -     -     -     -     -     -		JATOS	•	4,536			x	4,53
EXTENDE PANGE ULDE MUNITIONS (EFIGM)         -         4.022         -         4.022         - <td>_</td> <td>5 INCH/54 GUN AMMUNITION</td> <td>•</td> <td>12,252</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>. 12,25</td>	_	5 INCH/54 GUN AMMUNITION	•	12,252			•	. 12,25
76Mm GUN AMMUNITION         6.342         6.342         -         10.045         -         <		EXTENDED RANGE GUIDED MUNITIONS (ERGM)	,	4,022			·	4,02
OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION         10,045         1,000         1           SMALL ARMS & LANUNG PATTY AMMO         1,000         1,000         1           SMALL ARMS & LANUNG PATTY AMMO         2,338         1,000         1           PERF-COAST GAURT         2,238         1,000         1           PATTY AMMO         2,225         2,225         1           AMMUNITION LESS THAN SS MILLION         2,725         1         1           CAVGE CLOSURE COSTS         1,212         1         1           AMMUNITION LESS THAN SS MILLION         1,212         1         1           CAVGE CLOSURE COSTS         1,212         1         1           CAVER CLOSURE COSTS         1         1         2         1           CAMAR CLOSURE COSTS         1         1         2         1           CAME CLOSURE COSTS         1         1         2         1         1           CAME CLOSURE COSTS         1         1         2         1         1         1           CAMAR COSTS         1         1         2         1         2         1         1           CAVE CLOSURE COSTS         1         1         2         1         2         1 <td></td> <td>76MM GUN AMMUNITION</td> <td>•</td> <td>8,342</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td>8.34</td>		76MM GUN AMMUNITION	•	8,342			,	8.34
MALL PARIS & LAUDING PATTY AMIO         1004         1000         -           DARL CARS Quard         -         19,004         11000         -           DARL CASS Quard         -         0,338         +1,000         -         -           PYROFTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION         -         10,338         +1,000         -         -         -           PYROFTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION         -         1,212         -	~	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION	,	10,045			ı	10,04
DERF-Cost Guad         (+1,000)         (1           PICRF-Cost Guad         -         10,336         (+1,000)         1           MINE NEUTRALIZATION DEMOLTION         -         2,725         -         -           MINE NEUTRALIZATION DESIGNER         -         1,212         -         -         -           AMMUNITION LESS THAN SS MILLION         -         1,212         - <t< td=""><td></td><td>SMALL ARMS &amp; LANDING PARTY AMMO</td><td>•</td><td>19,004</td><td></td><td>1,000</td><td></td><td>20,00</td></t<>		SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO	•	19,004		1,000		20,00
PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION         POINTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION         I0.338         -         -         10.338         -		DERF- Coast Guard				[000,1+]		[+1,00(
MINE NEUTRALIZATION DEVICES         2.725         2.726         2.7206         2.726         2.726 <th< td=""><td></td><td>PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION</td><td>1</td><td>10,338</td><td></td><td></td><td>,</td><td>10.33</td></th<>		PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION	1	10,338			,	10.33
AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION         1,212         1           CAWGE CLOSURE COSTS         1,212         1           TOTAL PROC AMMO, NAVY         738,313         43,500         7           FROC AMMO, NAVY         738,313         43,500         7           AMM ALL TYPES         7,073         1,300         2.300         2           So CALIBER         6,00M, ALL TYPES         10,514         2.300         2           Adv M, LI TYPES         71,999         2.300         2         1           Adv M, LI TYPES         91,412         91,412         2         1		MINE NEUTRALIZATION DEVICES	,	2,725			,	2,72
CAWCF CLOSURE COSTS         CAWCF CLOSURE COSTS         48,500         7           TOTAL PROC AMMO, NAVY         738,318         48,500         7           PROC AMMO, NAVY         738,318         48,500         7           RATINE CORPS AMMUNITION         31,600         1,300         1           ARINE CORPS AMMUNITION         31,600         1,300         1           ARINE CORPS AMMUNITION         2,008         1,300         1           AGARINE CORPS AMMUNITION         10,514         2,300         1           AGAMA ALL TYPES         10,514         2,300         1           AGAMA ALL TYPES         11,908         1         1           AGMM ALL TYPES         31,412         1         1           AGMM ALL TYPES         1         1         1         1		AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		1,212			,	1,21
TOTAL PHOC AMMO, NAVY         738,318         48,500         7           PROC AMMO, MC         56 MM, ALL TYPES         31,600         1,300         1,1300	~	CAWCF CLOSURE COSTS		,				'
PROC AMMO, MC         31,600         32,600		TOTAL PROC AMMO, NAVY		738,818		48,500		787,31
5.6 MM, ALL TYPES 31,600 5.6 MM, ALL TYPES 7.6 MM, ALL TYPES 7.6 MM, ALL TYPES 4.0 MM, ALL TYPES 5.6 CALIBER CHARGES, ALL TYPES 5.6 CALIBER 6.0 MM, ALL TYPES 5.6 CMM, ALL TYPES 5.6 CMM, ALL TYPES 5.6 CMM, ALL TYPES 5.6 MM, ALL T		PROC AMMO, MC MARINF CORPS AMMINITION						
7.62 MM, ALT TYPES 7.07 1,300 - 7.078 1,300 - 0.002 1.17 - 0.002 1.15 1.000 - 0.002 1.15 1.000 - 0.002 1.15 1.000 - 0.05 1.000 - 0.05 1.0000 - 0.05 1.000 - 0.05 1.0000 - 0.05 1.0000 - 0.05 1.000	æ	5.56 MM ALL TYPES	z	31 600			,	31 60
LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES - 40,823 1,000 - 1,000 - 1,000 - 1,000 - 1,000 - 0,0		7 60 MMM ALL TVDCC		000,10		000		5.5
So CALIER 2.300 - 10,514 2.300 - 10,514 2.300 - 11,908 - 2,1108 - 2,1108 - 2,1		INFAR CHARGES AL TYPES		40.62R		00011	, ,	10.0
40 MA, ALL TYPES - 11,009 - 2,000 - 6,00MM, ALL TYPES - 2,199				1050		000 0		
60MM, ALLTYPES 2,199 - 2,199 - 2,198 - 31,412 -	a a	JO VALIDER	<b>a</b> ::			nne'z	,	
61MM, ALL TYPES 31,412 - 31,412			·	0000			,	06.11
81MM, ALL 17PES - 31,412 -	+ 1	DUMIN, ALL LYPES		5.1 S			•	51.2
	~	BIMM, ALL IYPES	1	31,412			ı	31,41

TITLE 1 - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands)

QIY.         COST         QIY.         [+           - <td< th=""><th>Line</th><th>PROGRAM TITLE</th><th>AUTHO</th><th>FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST</th><th>COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST</th><th>IITTEE E FROM JEST</th><th>FY COMP RECOMM</th><th>FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION</th></td<>	Line	PROGRAM TITLE	AUTHO	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	IITTEE E FROM JEST	FY COMP RECOMM	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
TITE AFT-AFT-T     FEAT-AFT-T       CTG ESMM ALL TYPES     6.641       9 MM ALL TYPES     -       11.357     -       11.356     -       13.357     -       13.353     -			aty.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
CTG 35MM ALL TYPES     6.841       CTG 35MM ALL TYPES     6.841       OHENNDES, ALL TYPES     1.933       OHENNDES, ALL TYPES     1.935       SINGER SLEP     11.357       SINGER SLEP     11.357       SINGER SLEP     1.854       Annm AT, Antisc Cantrages     1.854       Annm AT, Antisc Cantrages     46,750       Annm AT, Antisc Cantrages     46,750       Annm AT, Antisc Cantrages     46,750       Anno NUTICIONS, ALL TYPES     6,906       DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES     5,406       AMMO MODERNIZATION     1,616       DEMOLITION MUNITION     1,616       CANCF CLOSURE COSTS     0       TOTAL PROC. AMMO, MC     276,335		HEAT-MP-T				[+10.000]		[+10.000]
gHRANDES, ALL TYPES         1,983           gHRANDES, ALL TYPES         11,377           STINGER S, ALL TYPES         11,377           STINGER S, ALL TYPES         11,367           STINGER S, ALL TYPES         11,367           STINGER ALL TYPES         11,367           BARTILLERY, ALL TYPES         46,750           ATTULERY, ALL TYPES         46,750           FISSE MARK         46,750           FUE: ALL TYPES         40,750           FUE: ALL TYPES         5,406           FUE: ALL TYPES         6,900           FISSES THAN \$5 MILLON         1,616           MMO MODERNIZION         6,900           TOTAL PROC. AMMO, MC         276,335	27	CTG 25MM, ALL TYPES		6.641			•	6.641
GRENADES ALL TYPES         11,357           RINGER SLEP         1,577           ROCKETS, ALL TYPES         1,577           ROCKETS, ALL TYPES         18,847           RAITLETY, ALL TYPES         18,847           AFTILLETY, ALL TYPES         46,750           RESIMM HE M295         46,750           DEMOLITION MUTIONS, ALL TYPES         4,050           EVIZE, ALL TYPES         5,405           ANMO MODEFNIZATION         5,405           AMMO MODEFNIZATION         5,405           ANMO MODEFNIZATION         5,405           ANMO MODEFNIZATION         1,016           CAWCF CLOSURE COSTS         1,016           OLAR FOLS         1,016	28	9 MM ALL TYPES		1,983				1.983
STINGER SLEP         1,57           STINGER SLEP         1,57           Burn AT Attrixes         1,884           Burn AT Attrixes         1,884           Burn AT Attrixes         1,884           Burn AT Attrixes         1,884           Burn AT Attrixes         46,750           Arr Types         46,750           Is Sim He Mr95         4,089           DEMOLITION MUNITOR, ALL TYPES         4,089           NON LETALL SIZE         5,406           AMMO MODERNIZATION         6,906           TOTAL PROC. AMMO, MC         2,6335           CAWCF CLOSURE COSTS         276,335	29	GRENADES, ALL TYPES		11.357				11.357
BARTILLERY. ALL TYPES         18,854         1           BARTILLERY. ALL TYPES         46,750         1+1           ARTILLERY. ALL TYPES         -         46,750         1+1           ARTILLERY. ALL TYPES         -         46,750         1+1           Estammed from MUNITONS. ALL TYPES         -         46,750         1+1           Estammed from MUNITONS. ALL TYPES         -         4,059         1+1           Extract and MMA MODEFRUZATION         -         6,090         1+1           AMMO MODEFRUZATION         -         6,090         1+1           CAWCF CLOSURE COSTS         -         -         5,406           OFFIL         -         -         6,300         1+1           CAWCF CLOSURE COSTS         -         -         6,335         4	8	STINGER SLEP		1.577				1.577
Antimulary Autor         48.750         [+1]           ARTULERY Antifyes         48.750         [+1]           155mm HE M75         -         48.750         [+1]           155mm HE M75         -         -         48.750         [+1]           155mm HE M75         -         -         48.750         [+1]           155mm HE M75         -         -         40.89         [+1]           UZE JULTYPES         -         -         620         [+1]           NON LETHALS         -         -         5406         [+1]           NON LETHALS         -         -         5406         [+1]           ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MLLON         -         -         5,990         [+1]           DEFF         -         -         -         5,900         [+1]           CAWCF CLOSURE COSTS         -         -         -         -         1,616         [+1]           TOTAL PROC. AMM0, MC         -         -         -         -         -         1,616         [+1]	33	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES		18.854		10.000		28,854
AFTILLERY ALL TYPES         46,750         46,750           Itisam Hie M796         -         46,750           DEMOLITION MUNITORS, ALL TYPES         -         4,089           FUZE, ALL TYPES         -         4,089           FUZE, ALL TYPES         -         6,906           NON LETTON         -         6,906           AMMO MODERNIZATION         -         6,906           TOTAL PROC. AMMO, MC         -         6,906           TOTAL PROC. AMMO, MC         -         1,616		84mm AT-4 M136, Cartridges				[+10.000]		[+10.000
Testimu HE M795         4,089           DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES         4,089           FUZE, ALL TYPES         6,089           FUZE, ALL TYPES         6,089           RUZE, ALL TYPES         6,090           AMMO MODEFNIZATION         6,000           TERSE LESS THAN \$5 MILLON         1,1616           DEFF         -           CAWFF CLOSURE COSTS         -           TOTAL PROC. AMMO, MC         276,335	32	ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES	,	46,750		000.6		55.75
DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES         4,089           DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES         620           NON LETHALS         5,400           NON LETHALS         5,400           MAID MODERNIZATION         6,990           TEMS LESS THAN \$6 MILLION         1,616           DEFF         1           CAWCF CLOSURE COSTS         276,335           TOTAL PROC. AMMO, MC         276,335		155mm HE M795				[000'6+]		[+6,000]
FUZE, ALL TYPES     820       NON LETHALS     5,406       AMMO MODERNIZATION     6,900       TOTAL ELSS THAN \$5 MILLION     6,900       DERF     -     6,900       CAWCF CLOSURE COSTS     -     -       TOTAL PROC. AMMO, MC     276,335     4	g	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES		4,089			,	4.089
NON LETHALS         5,406           AMMO MODERNIZATION         6,990           ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION         -         1,616           DERF         -         1,616           CAWCF CLOSURE COSTS         -         -           TOTAL PROC. AMMO, MC         276,335         4	34	FUZE, ALL TYPES	,	620			•	620
AMMO MODEFINIZATION 6,990 ITEMS LESS THAN \$6 MILLON - 1,616 DEFF - 16 CAWCF CLOSURE COSTS	58	NON LETHALS	•	5,406		6.300		11.706
TERMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLON - 1,516 (+ DERF CLOSURE COSTS	g	AMMO MODERNIZATION		6,990			•	6.990
DERF CAWCF CLOSURE COSTS	37	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		1,616		1,900		3,516
CAWCF CLOSURE COSTS		DERF				[+1,900]		[+1,900]
276,335	88	CAWCF CLOSURE COSTS				•		
	1	TOTAL PROC. AMMO, MC		276,335		40,800		317,135
1,015,153		TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY & MARINE CORPS		1,015,153		89,300		1,104,453

•

### Items of Special Interest

### Marine corps ammunition procurement

The budget request contained \$276.3 million for procurement of ammunition. The committee recommends an increase of \$38.9 million for the following types of ammunition, which are among the top unfunded requirements identified by the Commandant of the Marine Corps in fiscal year 2003:

### [In millions of dollars]

Cartridge, 7.62mm, linked	1.3
Cartridge, 50 caliber, linked	2.3
Cartridge, 120mm HÉAT-MP-T	10.0
Cartridge & Lnchr., 84mm AT-4 M136	10.0
Projectile, 155mm HE M795	9.0
Non-lethal Ammunition	6.3

### SHIPBUILDING AND CONVERSION, NAVY

### Overview

The budget request contained \$8,191.2 million for Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy in fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends authorization of \$9,279.5 million for fiscal year 2003.

The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on affordability considerations.

Line	PROGRAM TITLE	F <sup>1</sup> AUTHO RE	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMA CHANG REQ	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY : COMN RECOMMI	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
		<u>ατγ.</u>	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
	SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY						
	OTHER WARSHIPS						
,	OTHER WARSHIPS						
_ ,	CAHHIEH HEPLACEMENT PHOGHAM		•			,	
_	CAHRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM		•			•	
~	CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM	t	•				
~ .	CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM	•	•				'
~	CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM	e	243,703		229,000		472,703
~	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE	-	2,191,514			-	2,191,514
~	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE		(678,862)				(678,862
_	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE		•				
	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE		•			,	
_	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE		•				'
_	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE		,			,	
	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE	•	271,248			. 1	271.248
	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE	·	435.061			,	435,061
	SSGN CONVERSION	2	584,305			N	584,305
	SSGN CONVERSION		(180,000)			•	(180,000
	SSGN CONVERSION					,	
	SSGN CONVERSION					ł	,
	SSGN CONVERSION		•			,	'
~	SSGN CONVERSION		333.000				333.0
~	SSGN CONVERSION		55,000				55,000
~	SSGN CONVERSION		33,000				33,0
	CRUISER CONVERSION		•			,	'
ഹ	CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS		,		24,000	,	24,000
æ	CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS					•	'
თ	CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS		•			·	
თ	CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS					•	•
ი	CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS		296,781			,	296.781
2	SUBMARINE REFUELING OVERHAULS	-	351,661			-	351.661
₽	SUBMARINE REFUELING OVERHAULS		(80,369)				(80,369)
-	SUBMARINE REFUELING OVERHAULS	,	. ' ,			,	
-	SUBMARINE REFUELING OVERHAULS		'			,	
-	SUBMARINE REFUELING OVERHAULS	•	•			,	
-	SUBMARINE REFUELING OVERHAULS		•			,	
-	SUBMARINE REFUELING OVERHAULS						•
-	SUBMARINE REFUELING OVERHALLIS						
		•	•			,	•

TITLE 1 - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands)
---

Line	PROGRAM TITLE	FY AUTHO RE	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMM CHANGI REQU	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION	003 ITTEE NDATION
		QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
÷	SUBMARINE REFUELING OVERHAULS		32,257				32,257
÷	SUBMARINE REFUELING OVERHAULS		26,000				26.000
4	DDG-51	2	2.418.942			a	2 418 942
40	DDG-51		(123 440)				1123 440
ę			101-10-11				10000
2 \$						•	•
2 9		•	•				
2	16-5000						•
13	DDG-51		,				
13A	DDG-51 SHIPBUILDING INITIATIVE		74,000		810.000		74,000 810.000
	TOTAL OTHER WARSHIPS		6,313,801		1,063,000		7,376,801
	AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS						
	AMPHIRIOUS SHIPS						
;							
<u></u>	LHD-1 AMPHIBIOUS ASSAULT SHIP	•	243,000			•	243,000
15	LHD-1 AMPHIBIOUS ASSAULT SHIP		,				•
15	LHD-1 AMPHIBIOUS ASSAULT SHIP	,	10,000			,	10,000
16	LPD-17		1.039.163			-	1.039.163
16	LPD-17	•	(442.671)			,	(442.671)
17	LPD-17	,					-
17	LPD-17	,					,
17	LPD-17						
17	1 PD-17						
17	LPD-17	,					
4	100.17					I	
: [	1 PD-17						
: 4	L PD, 17						
: [		•	- 1000				
: [	L DD. 17		0001				000'#
:	2		000'+				4,000
	TOTAL AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS	2	857,492		-		857,492
	AUXILIARIES, CRAFT, AND PRIOR-YEAR PROGRAM AUXILIARIES, CRAFT AND PRIOR YH PROGRAM COS						
18	TAGOS SURTASS SHIPS	,					
6	T-AKE-1	,				•	
2 2			R 756	c	6 000	c	10 766
ì	Yard Oilers		222.122	- [C+]	[+6 000]	167]	00/121
				1	[~~~/~ ]	1	[~~~~~]

	PROGRAM TITLE	AUTHOF REG	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	ITTEE FROM JEST	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION	003 ITTEE ENDATION
		QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
5	OUTFITTING	-	300,608			.	300.608
8	LCAC SLEP	e	67.638		11.000	e	78,638
	LCAC Bouyancy Box				[+11,000]		[+11,000]
53	MINE HUNTER SWATH		•	0	8,300	0	8.300
24	COMPLETION OF PY SHIPBUILDING PROGRAMS		644,899			•	644,899
	TOTAL AUXILIARIES, CRAFT, AND PRIOR-YEAR PROGRAMS		1,019,901		25,300		1,045,201
	TOTAL SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY		8,191,194		1,088,300		9,279,494

### Items of Special Interest

### *Carrier replacement program advance procurement*

The budget request contained \$243.7 million for advance procurement of plans, nuclear components, materials and equipment for CVN(X)-1. The CVN(X)-1, the first of a new class of Navy aircraft carriers, is currently scheduled for contract award in fiscal year 2007 with delivery to the fleet in fiscal year 2014.

The committee notes that fiscal year 2003 CVN(X)-1 program of record delays its contract award and delivery schedule by one year compared to the plan provided to the Congress for fiscal year 2002, and believes that this delay will increase long-term construction costs due to disruption in the supplier base and higher labor costs.

The committee recommends 472.7 million, an increase of 229.0 million, for carrier replacement program advance procurement and encourages the Navy to budget its future years defense program so that the CVN(X)-1 contract award will occur in fiscal year 2006 and be delivered in fiscal year 2013.

### CVN-69 refueling complex overhaul (RCOH)

The budget request contained no funds for the CVN-69 RCOH. The CVN-69, one of 12 Navy aircraft carriers and also known as the U.S.S. Eisenhower, is undergoing a prior-year funded mid-life RCOH that refuels its reactors, upgrades its main propulsion components, modernizes its warfighting combat systems, and repairs the ship's infrastructure to meet continued service life requirements.

The committee understands that procurement of deferred and high priority habitability work could most efficiently be accomplished while the CVN-69 is undergoing its mid-life RCOH, and notes that the Chief of Naval Operations has included the CVN-69 RCOH among his unfunded priorities for fiscal year 2003.

The committee recommends an increase of \$24.0 million for the CVN–69 RCOH.

### Landing craft air cushion (LCAC) service life extension program (SLEP)

The budget request contained \$67.6 million for three LCAC SLEPs, but included no funds for an additional buoyancy box.

The LCAC is the only surface platform that can provide highspeed, heavy lift for Marine Corps amphibious operations from over-the-horizon. The SLEP would extend the LCAC's service life from twenty years to thirty years, and the buoyancy box, part of the LCAC SLEP, is the hull section component enabling the LCAC to be properly buoyant in the water. The committee understands that, while only three buoyancy boxes are planned for production in fiscal year 2003, four is the minimum rate of production necessary to preclude a termination of the buoyancy box production line.

The committee believes that uninterrupted buoyancy box production is critical to continuation of the LCAC SLEP, and recommends \$78.6 million, an increase of \$11.0 million for the procurement of one additional buoyancy box for the LCAC SLEP.

### Minehunter small waterplane area twin hull (SWATH) boats

The budget request contained no funds for procurement of Minehunter SWATH boats or for their associated mine countermeasures equipment suites.

The Minehunter SWATH boat is a 40-foot, twin hull vessel that can operate in very shallow water with increased stability in rough seas compared to a similar size mono hull ship. The Navy's minehunting fleet includes one Minehunter SWATH boat, which is its only surface mine warfare vessel capable of operating in very shallow water or capable of transport by C–5 aircraft for operational deployment within 24 hours. The committee understands that the Minehunter SWATH boat has completed highly successful testing and notes that senior naval officers support increased procurement to meet shallow water minehunting requirements.

The committee recommends an increase of \$8.3 million for the procurement of two Minehunter SWATH boats and their associated mine countermeasures equipment suites.

### OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY

### Overview

The budget request contained \$4,347.0 million for Other Procurement, Navy in fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends authorization of \$4,527.8 million for fiscal year 2003.

The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on affordability considerations.

TITLE 1 - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands)
---

Line	PROGRAM TITLE	AUTHC AUTHC RE	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	ITTEE E FROM JEST	EY COMI RECOMM	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
		<u>ατγ.</u>	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY SUIDS SUIDSOFT EQUIDSMENT						
_	LM-2500 GAS TURBINE		9 402				9 402
	ALLISON 501K GAS TURBINE		13.710				13.710
	PROPELLERS						
_	SUBMARINE PROPELLERS		10,641			,	10.641
	NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT						
_	OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT		25,828			•	25,828
	UNDERWAY REPLENISHMENT EQUIPMENT						
	UNDERWAY REPLENISHMENT EQUIPMENT		1,460			•	1,460
	remiscores						
	SUB PERISCOPES & IMAGING EQUIP		31,401			•	31,401
	OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT						
	FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT		21,534				21,534
æ	COMMAND AND CONTROL SWITCHBOARD		7,377				7,377
6	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT		67,502				67,502
5	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		18,195				18,195
÷	SUBMARINE BATTERIES		13,996				13,996
40	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP		26,692				26,692
13	DSSP EQUIPMENT		21,215				21,215
14	LCAC		5,105			•	5,105
15	MINESWEEPING EQUIPMENT		3,865				3,865
16	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	,	123,449			•	123,449
17	SURFACE IMA					•	,
18	SUBMARINE LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEM		3,675				3.675
	REACTOR PLANT EQUIPMENT						
19	REACTOR POWER UNITS		336,500				336.500
20	REACTOR COMPONENTS		211,020			,	211.020
	OCEAN ENGINEERING						
2	DIVING AND SALVAGE EQUIPMENT	. 1	7.726				7.726
	SMALL BOATS						
22	STANDARD BOATS		33,832				33,832
	TRAINING EQUIPMENT						
23	OTHER SHIPS TRAINING EQUIPMENT		1,799			,	1.799
	PRODUCTION FACILITIES EQUIPMENT						
24	OPERATING FORCES IPE		17,134		5,000	•	22,134
	Expedition Maintenance Facility				[. E 000]		1.6 0001

TITLE I - PROCUREMENT

(Dollars in Thousands)	

		AUTHO	AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	EST JEST	COMP	COMMITTEE
		QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
25	NUCLEAR ALTERATIONS	-	128,543				128,543
5	DRUG INTERDICTION SUPPORT						
R	DHUG INTEHLIGTION SUPPORT	•	,			,	•
	TOTAL SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		1,141,601		5,000		1,146,601
	COMMINICATIONS AND FLECTBONICS FOURDMENT						
	SHIP RADARS						
27	RADAR SUPPORT		,				
	SHIP SONARS						
8	AN/SQQ-89 SURF ASW COMBAT SYSTEM		24.247			,	24 247
29	SSN ACOUSTICS		251.909			,	261 000
õ	UNDERSEA WARFARE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		3.775			,	3 775
5	SURFACE SONAR WINDOWS AND DOME	•	,			1	
2	SONAR SWITCHES AND TRANSDUCERS		16.948				16 349
	ASW ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT		200				5
33	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEM	1	21.686			,	21 686
4	FIXED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM		62.090			,	62 090
35	SURTASS		20.639			,	20.639
g	ASW OPERATIONS CENTER		5.109				5 109
	ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT						
37	AN/SLQ-32	,	1.856			,	1.856
88	AIEWS		15,808		(15,808)	,	. •
	Program Termination				15,8081		[-15,808]
39	INFORMATION WARFARE SYSTEMS		5.158		2.000		7.158
	DERF- TARBS				[+2.000]		1000 271
	RECONNAISSANCE EQUIPMENT				F		
40	SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT		77,066		10,000		87.066
	DERF- Communications Data Link				[+10,000]		[+10.000]
	SUBMARINE SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT						
4	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROG	I	89,508			,	89.508
	OTHER SHIP ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT						
렧	NAVY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM	,					
43	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY		66.736			,	66 736
4	GCCS-M EQUIPMENT	,	55,188			,	55 1AB
45	NAVAL TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM (NTCSS)	,	46,818				46.818
9	ATDLS	•	7,608				7 608
47	MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT		1,974				1.974

MNSTAR GPS RECEIVER (SHOL)         OIX         COSI         O	Line	PROGRAM TITLE	AUT	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	an Mor	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION	003 ITTEE INDATION
AMESTA GER ELECTING SECURCES         11402           AMESTA GER ELECTING SECURCES         11406           AMESTA GER ELECTING SECURCES         11406           TANTIGR ELECTING SECURCES         1001           TANING ELECTING SECURCES         1,001           TANING ELECTING SECURCES         1,001           TANING ELECTING SECURCES         1,001           TANING ELECTING SECURCES         1,001           TANING ELECTING         1,148           TANING ELECTING         1,141           TANING ELECTING         1,141           ANTINICAL INTERFECCIONTICOL         1,141           ANTINICAL INTERFECCIONTICOL         1,141           ANTINICAL INTERFECCIONTICOL         1,144           ANTINICAL INTERFECCIONTICOL         1,144           ANTINICAL INTERFECCIONTICOL         1,144           ANTINICAL INTERFECCIONTICOL         1,144           ANTIONAL INTERFECTIONING EQUIPARIA         1,144			<u>91Y.</u>	COST	<u>0TY.</u>	COST	QTY.	COST
SHARE FORCES ANDIA MOT TV ANNUES CONCREST ANDIA MOT TV STANINGE CONTENCIN     1,01     4,16       STANINGE CONTERCUIP ANNUES CONTENDENT THATREED FORMENT     1,001     6,000       THEF TRANINGE COUNTERING ANNUES COUNTERING     1,01     6,000       THEF TRANINGE COUNTERING     1,131     1,401       OTHER TRANING ELECTRONCE COUNTERING     1,401     6,000       THEF TRANING ELECTRONCE COUNTERING     1,413     1,413       ANATION LATE CONTEROL     1,413     1,447       NUTOMATIC CARENT     1,413     1,1447       NUTOMATIC CARENT     1,1447     1,1447       NUTOMATIC ACONSTRANT     1,1447     1,1447 <td></td> <td>NAVSTAR GPS RECEIVERS (SPACE)</td> <td>-</td> <td>11.402</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>11.402</td>		NAVSTAR GPS RECEIVERS (SPACE)	-	11.402				11.402
STRATEGIC PLATTEGIC PLATTEGUNE     21353       STRATEGIC PLATTEGIC PLATEGUNE     1,00       TAMINIG EQUIPMENT     1,5,430       OTHER FRAMMIC EQUIPMENT     1,5,430       OTHER FRAMMIC EQUIPMENT     1,5,430       MATICALS     1,5,430       Bandielle Tores Tradmic EQUIPMENT     1,5,430       MATCAL     1,4,318       MATCALS     1,4,318       MATCALS     1,100       Bandielle Tores Tradming Access STEM     1,4,318       MATCALS     1,100       MATCALS     1,110       MATCALS     1,110       MA		ARMED FORCES RADIO AND TV	,	4,186			,	4.186
TANING EQUIPMENT     1,000     0,000       THEN MANG EQUIPMENT     1,100     0,000       THEFE TRAINING EQUIPMENT     1,100     0,000       THEFE TRAINING EQUIPMENT     1,140     1,140       THEFE TRAINING EQUIPMENT     1,141     1,141       TAILING ALS     1,141     2,141       SHIPBOARD AIT TRAFFIC CONTROL     1,141     2,141       MUTONAL CARREET ANDING SYSTEM     2,000     1,5,000       MICHAUSTICALS     2,141     2,000       MICHAUSTICAL     2,141     2,141       MUTONAL CARREET ANDING SYSTEM     2,000     2,141       MICHAURTIC ANTICOL STERIA     2,000     2,141       MICHAURTIC ANTICOL SYSTEM     2,000     2,141       MICHAURTIC ANDING SYSTEM     2,000     2,012       MICHAURTIC ANDING SYSTEM     2,000		STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP		21.353				21 253
OTHER PRAVMAT FRAMMG ECUIPMENT         1001           OTHER PRAVMA FRAMMG ECUIPMENT         15,400           OTHER PRAVMA ECUIPMENT         15,400           Batteliad Face Training         13,10           Batteliad Face Training         14,318           MATCAIS         11,145,000           BATTERIA MAR ECUIPMENT         17,315           MATCAIS         17,315           BATTERIA MAR PACE CONTENCL         17,315           MATCAIS         17,315           MATCAIS         17,315           MATCAIS         17,315           MATCAIS STERM         17,315           MATCAIS STERM         17,315           MATCAIS STATEM		TRAINING EQUIPMENT						10 <sup>1</sup> 13
CTHEN TRAINING ECUIPMENT     15,400     0,000       CTHEN TRAINING ECUIPMENT     15,400     0,000       MATCAN     EREVISION DATE TRAINING     1,418       MATCAN     ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT     7,415       MATCAN     TATON ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT     7,415       MATCAN     TATON     7,415       MATCAN     TATON     7,415       MATCAN     TATON     2,436       MATCAN     TATON     7,012       MATCAN     TATON     7,012       MATCAN     TATON     7,012       MATCAN     TATON     2,000       MATCAN     TATON		OTHER SPAWAR TRAINING EQUIPMENT	,	1 001				1 001
M (+6000) (+60		OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT		15 430		8 000		
M 1.247 1.477 1.477 1.477 1.477 1.477 1.477 1.477 1.477 1.477 1.477 1.477 1.477 1.477 1.476 1.47		Battlefield Force Tactical Training				1.16.0001		1.000
M 14,318 17,815 1,915 1,9		AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT				[rototo_]		20.01
M 7,315 7,447 7,012		MATCALS	•	14 318				010 71
M 17,477 - 7,012 - 7,012 - 7,012 - 7,012 - 4,356 - 4,356 - 4,356 - 4,356 - 4,356 - 4,356 - 4,356 - 2,067 - 2,067 - 2,067 - 4,472 - 16,125 - 16,125 - 16,125 - 16,125 - 14,266 - 1,276 - 14,266 - 1,276 - 1,2		SHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL		7.815				
S) S) S) S) S) S) S) S) S) S)		AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM		21017			•	0, 1, 0 7 7 7
		NATIONAL AR SPACE SVSTEM		144.11			•	144/1
S) (1012 NI NI S) (5.37 NI S/STEMS - 6.597 - 32.653 - 32.653 - 32.653 - 4.356 - 4.456 - 4.475 - 4.498 - 4.498 - 4.498 - 4.498 - 4.498 - 4.498 - 1.255 - 161.255 - 161.2		AR STATION SUPPORT FOURPMENT		20,000			•	20,000
S) 1.2.35 4.3.6 5.3.5.3 2.6.3.3 2.6.3.3 1.2.3.6 5.3.5.3 1.2.3.6.3 2.6.3.3 1.2.3.6.2 1.2.3.6.2 1.2.4.6.5 1.2.4.6.5 1.2.4.6.5 1.		MICROWAVE LANDING SYSTEM		210,1				510,7
Signal     2,557     2,557       NT     6,557     6,557       NT     2,055     2,055       Signal     2,055     2,055       Signal     2,055     2,055       Signal     2,055     2,056       Signal     2,055     2,037       Signal     2,055     2,037       Signal     2,037     2,037       Signal     2,037     2,037       Signal     1,61,235     2,037       ENT     1,235     2,427       Signal     1,61,235     2,427       Signal     1,61,235     2,427       Signal     1,61,235     2,427       Signal     1,61,235     2,427       Signal     1,426     2,543       Signal     1,426     2,543       Signal     1,4266     2,543		FACSFAC		oac K			•	• •
Si     5.597     -     -     -     -       NI     -     -     2.062     -     -       SYSTEMS     -     2.062     -     -     -       SSYSTEMS     -     -     2.062     -     -       SSYSTEMS     -     -     2.062     -     -       SSYSTEMS     -     -     -     -     -		ID SYSTEMS		000.4			,	4,530
S) 6.597 - 6.597		SI IRFACE IDENTIFICATION SVETEMS	,	000'20				32,633
NJ SYSTEMS - 2,062 SYSTEMS - 2,062 - 2,662 - 4,675 - 4,675 - 4,675 - 4,676 - 4,676 - 4,676 - 4,648 - 4,488 - 4,488 - 6,427 - 161,255 - 172,155 - 172,			1				•	•
SYSTEMS - 2,062 - 4,675 - 4,675 - 4,675 - 4,675 - 4,675 - 4,675 - 6,015 - 6,015 - 6,7000 - 6,700 - 6,7		OTHER SHORE FLECTRONIC FORDMENT	•	/sc'a			'	6,597
systems 2,002 Systems 2,427 4,475 4,475 1,015		TADIX-B						
Severends		NAVAL SPACE SLIDVELL AND C OVETEN	I				•	
Systems - 5400 1015 - 52,422 1015 - 8,015 1015 - 8,015 1015 - 8,015 1015 - 8,015 1015 - 1,015 1015 - 1,015 1016 - 1,015			I	20012			•	2,062
К 1.4.42 1.4.42 1.4.49 1.4.49 1.4.49 1.4.49 1.4.49 1.4.49 1.4.49 1.4.49 1.6.125				4,0/0				4,675
r r e e e e e e e e e e e e e				02,432				52,432
r		GPETE	•	610,8 015 0			,	8,015
ENT - 5,428 - 5,429 5,429 5,429 9,037 9,037 16,307 16,307 16,307 16,307 16,307 16,307 14,256 1,256 1,256 1,256 1,256 1,256		NITER COMBAT EVETEM TEET EACH FIV	F	00/10			•	6,700
ENT		FAILCONTROL INSTRUMENTATION		4,430			•	4,498
- 5,002/		TEMO I DOO TUAN SE MILI IAN	ı	50#°C			,	5,409
- 16.207 - 16.207 - 16.207 - 16.207 - 16.207 - 16.207 - 16.207 - 16.207 - 17.22.874 - 17.22.874 - 17.22.674 - 17.226 - 17.2766 - 17.276 - 17.276 - 17.276 - 17.2766 - 17.276 - 17.27666 - 17.27666 - 17.27666 - 17.27666 - 17.27666 - 17.27666 - 17.276666 - 17.276666 - 17.276666 - 17.2766666666666666666666666666666666666		SHIPBOARD COMMINICATIONS	•	8,037			•	6,037
ENT - 16,307 - 16,307 16,307 16,307 16,307 16,307 13,237 14,256		SHIP COMMENNICATIONS ALITOMATION	1	200 101				10 10 1
FIENT - 10,207 - 11,227 - 11,227 - 11,227 - 11,227 - 11,227 - 11,227 - 11,227 - 11,227 - 149,636 - 14,256 - 14,256 - 14,256 - 14,226 - 14,266 - 14,		COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS INNER \$5M	•	202(101				962,101
JIPMENT - 5,427 - 13 JIPMENT - 132,874 - 15 STEMS - 149,636 - 14 JT - 1,270 - 1		SUBMARINE COMMUNICATIONS		100'01			,	106,307
JPMENT - 132,674 - 13 STEMS - 149,6336 - 14 STEMS - 4,256		SHORE LF/VLF COMMUNICATIONS		5.427				2013
NS SYSTEMS - 149,636 - 14 NS SYSTEMS - 149,636 - 14 NEMENT - 4,256 - 1 MEMENT - 1,270 - 1		SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT		132.874				137,0
SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS - 149,636 - 14 SHORE COMMUNICATIONS SOUTHING TO A 1,250 - 1,270 - 1,2		SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS						101-001
JIPMENT - 4,256		SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS		149,636				149,636
- 4,256 - - 1,270 -		SHORE COMMUNICATIONS						
- 1,270 -		JCS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT	'	4,256			•	4.25
		ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS	•	1,270			,	1.270

Line	PHOGRAM TILE	AUTHC	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	CHANG	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 COMMITTE RECOMMEND	FT ZUUS COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
		014.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
80	NSIPS	,	12,281			•	12,281
<b></b>	JEDMICS	•	'			•	•
ຸ	NAVAL SHORE COMMUNICATIONS	٠	96,592			,	96,592
	CRYPTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT						
83	INFO SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP)	£	78,473		11.400	•	89,873
	DERF- Computer Net Def				[+4.600]		[+4.600]
	DEPE. ENCI AVE Roundaries				1000 671		1000 671
	DEPE-Intrusion Detection Systems				[11 BOOI		[11 BOD]
					[~~~]		1004112
t u	OF LOUNE DOI: O DV DTOL DOI: DOMALINICATIONIC COLING		10 650		1 500	,	
		,	200101		r.4 cont	I	1.1 500
	UCHF				[nnc'1+]		[nnc'1+]
	OTHER ELECTRONIC SUPPORT						
88	COAST GUARD EQUIPMENT	,	39,789		4,000	•	43,789
	DERF				[+4,000]		[+4,000]
	DRUG INTERDICTION SUPPORT						•
87	OTHER DRUG INTERDICTION SUPPORT		•				•
	TOTAL COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT		1,746,700		19,092		1,765,792
	AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
	SONOBUOYS						
88	SONORIDYS - ALL TYPES		63.277			•	63.277
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
¢			227.7				277
			00.11 1				
5	EXPEDITIONALY AITHELDS	,	U#C, /			,	40,1
	AIRCHAFT REARMING EQUIPMENT	•	11,894			,	11,89
2	AIRCRAFT LAUNCH & RECOVERY EQUIPMENT	•	19,355			,	19,35
e	METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT		27.085			,	27.08
	OTHER PHOTOGRAPHIC BOUIDMENT		1 570				1 57
1 4			06.676				05 676
		,					10.02
98	AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES	¥	19,499				19,499
►	LAMPS MK III SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT	,	5,488				5,48
8	OTHER AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		12,440		3,000		15,440
	Resource Alfocation Management Plan				[+3,000]		[+3,000]
	TOTAL AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		201,559		3,000		204,559

Line	PROGRAM TITLE	AUTHO	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	2	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION	003 TTEE NDATION
		<u>ατγ.</u>	COST	ατγ.	COST	QTY.	COST
	SHIP GUN SYSTEM EQUIPMENT						
8			27,108		19,700	,	46,808
1	AN / SPU-9B			Ŧ	[+19,700]		[+19,700]
8	NAVAL FIRES CONTROL SYSTEM SHID MISSILE EVETEMS EQUIDMENT		5,690				5,690
5	NATO SFASPARROW		A1 A08			,	11 409
8	RAM GMLS		23,893				508 5C
8	SHIP SELF DEFENSE SYSTEM		47 226				47 226
5	AEGIS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		155.654			,	155.654
105	SURFACE TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	,	53.614			,	53,614
90	SUBMARINE TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIP		5,262				5.262
201	VERTICAL LAUNCH SYSTEMS		6.483			,	6.483
	FBM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
80	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP		•			,	
109	STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP		75.006			,	75,006
	ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
5	SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS		46,319			1	46,319
Ξ	SUBMARINE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		7,538			,	7,538
12	SURFACE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		3,460			1	3,460
113	ASW RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		7,350			•	7,350
	OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
4	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP	,	7,806				7,806
115	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	•	3,770			•	3,770
	OTHER EXPENDABLE ORDNANCE						
116	ANTI-SHIP MISSILE DECOY SYSTEM	ı	27,976				27,976
117	SURFACE TRAINING DEVICE MODS	,	6,557				6,557
118	SUBMARINE TRAINING DEVICE MODS	·	17,264			•	17,264
E	TOTAL ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		569,384		19,700		589,084
	CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
¢	CIVIL ENGINEEHING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		ą				
2			481			•	481
2	PASSENGEN CARRYING VERICLES	•	2,538				2,538
2	GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS		1,972				1,972
8	CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP		9,113				9,113
123	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT		6,284			•	6,284
124	TACTICAL VEHICLES		42,238				10 000
							77,24

	PROGRAM TITLE	AUTHC AUTHC	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	ITTEE E FROM JEST	FY 7 COMM RECOMME	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
		QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
126	DRUG INTERDICTION (BA 5)					ŧ	
127	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT		20,734				20.734
128	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION		14,963			•	14,963
	TOTAL CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		145.516				145.516
	SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
	SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
123	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT	•	9,504			•	9,504
130	OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	r	10,959		8,000		18,959
	Serial Number Tracking System				[+8,000]		[+8,000]
131	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION		5,053				5.053
132	SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS	1	141.431		13.964	,	155,395
	DERF- Classified		-		[+13,964]		[+13,964]
	TOTAL SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	- 	166,947		21,964		188,911
	PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT TRAINING DEVICES						
133	TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		202				707
134	COMMAND SHEPORT FOUR PARENT		077 1/2				022 80
135			7 005				1011110
8			1.1.1				20.7
R I		•	9,145			•	9,145
13/	IN FLLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		26,564		10,000	•	36,564
	DERF- Classified				[+6,000]		[+6,000]
	DERF- NCIS				[+4,000]		[+4,000]
138	OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		16,505				16,505
139	MOBILE SENSOR PLATFORM		5.946				5.946
140	ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		19.978		7.500		27.478
	Side Scanning Sonars				17 FOOI		1.7 500
141	PHYSICAL SECTIFITY FOLIPMENT		107 19		04 40		[nnc;14]
	DERF. Mohila Security Force		17/10		10001-1-1		
	DERE, Strat Sub, Base Sec				[000(+1+]		00,41+1
	DERF. Creat Guard				[nnn'++]		000,4+1
					[+4,000]		[+4,000]
142						,	
ł	OTHER					•	•
149							

	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION	COST	1 1	314,414	172,886	172,886	4,527,763
	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST RE	COST QTY.		111,983			180,739
<b>CUREMENT</b> nousands)	FÝ 2003 CH AUTHORIZATION CH REQUEST	ατγ. cost ατγ.		202,431	- 172,886	172,886	4,347,024
TITLE I - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands)	PROGRAM TITLE		CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENT (87) CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENT (88)	TOTAL PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS Spares and repair parts Spares and hepair parts	TOTAL SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY
	Line		144 145		146		

### Items of Special Interest

### Advanced integrated electronic warfare system (AIEWS)

The budget request contained \$15.8 million to procure the AIEWS.

Subsequent to the submission of the fiscal year 2003 budget request, the Department of the Navy notified the committee that it had terminated the AIEWS program due to cost overruns and continued schedule delays, which has adversely impacted the Navy's ability to field urgently needed surface electronic warfare improvements. Therefore, the committee recommends denial of funds for this program.

### *Environmental support equipment*

The budget request contained \$20.0 million for the procurement of environmental support equipment, but no funds were included for the procurement of high resolution, side scanning, bottom mapping sonars.

High resolution, side scanning, bottom mapping sonars, temporarily on loan to the Naval Oceanographic Office from the Naval Mine Countermeasures Command (MCM), are currently being used by T-AGS class ships to map underwater features and terrain of ports in support of homeland security requirements. Only three of these sonars are currently available to perform this mission. The shortfall of available sonars for this mission will greatly delay the data collection required for port security and may degrade MCM mission fulfillment.

Understanding the critical need for dedicated, permanent mapping equipment for homeland security requirements and continued MCM operations, the committee recommends \$27.5 million for environmental support equipment, an increase of \$7.5 million, to procure high resolution, side scanning, bottom mapping sonars for T– AGS class ships.

### Gun fire control equipment

The budget request contained \$27.1 million to procure gun fire control equipment, of which \$17.6 million was for the procurement of three AN/SPQ–9B radars.

The AN/SPQ–9B radar provides early and reliable detection and tracking of very low radar cross-section, sea skimming missiles in natural and man-made clutter increasing the time for ship self defense systems to potentially counter them. The committee notes that by increasing fiscal year 2003 funds, this system can be accelerated from its planned fiscal year 2005 initial procurement for DDG–51 class destroyers.

Because the committee is keenly aware of the increasing proliferation of sea skimming cruise missiles, it recommends \$46.8 million, an increase of \$19.7 million, to accelerate procurement of three additional AN/SPQ-9B radars for DDG-51 class destroyers.

### Operating forces industrial plant equipment

The budget request contained \$17.1 million for operating forces industrial plant equipment, but included no funds for expeditionary maintenance facilities (EMF).

The committee is aware that the Navy is continuing to decommission its repair tenders, thereby limiting its ability to rapidly deploy a ship and equipment repair capability to support forward deployed forces. However, the committee is also aware that EMF, which are surface and air transportable, self-contained facilities, can be operational within 72 hours of deployment, and can meet the service's needs for a rapidly deployable repair and maintenance capability.

The committee believes the EMF concept may enhance forward deployed repair requirements, and recommends \$22.1 million for operating forces industrial plant equipment, an increase of \$5.0 million, for procurement of one EMF.

### *Other aviation support equipment*

The budget request contained \$12.4 million to procure aviation support equipment, but included no funds to expand the resource allocation management plan (RAMP) data base for naval aviation requirements.

The RAMP is a resource planning software-based system fielded to fixed wing Naval Aviation Depots (NADEP) that provides planning, scheduling, and financial assessments for aircraft maintenance requirements. The committee understands that this system is not incorporated into Department of the Navy rotary wing NADEPs and may enhance the efficiency of those facilities.

Therefore the committee recommends \$15.4 million for other aviation support equipment, an increase of \$3.0 million, for the expansion of the RAMP into rotary wing NADEPs.

### Other supply support equipment

The budget request contained \$11.0 million for the procurement of other supply support equipment, but no funds were included for automatic identification technology (AIT) in support of the serial number tracking system (SNTS).

The SNTS uses commercial AIT to provide web-based, cradle-tograve, total asset visibility of individual components throughout the supply, maintenance, and transportation transfer process within Naval and Marine Corps aviation depots and will enhance the maintenance, remanufacture, and rebuild process of Navy and Marine Corps aircraft. The committee believes that streamlined business processes, such as SNTS, can be accelerated by implementing AIT and has recommended increases for this technology for this purpose in the Navy in fiscal year 2002, and for maintenance and ammunition tracking systems for other services in prior fiscal years.

The committee recommends \$19.0 million for other supply support equipment, an increase of \$8.0 million, for the SNTS.

### *Other training equipment*

The budget request contained \$15.4 million for other training equipment, of which \$32.5 million was for the procurement to support the battle force tactical training (BFTT) program.

The BFTT system allows surface combatants and aircraft carriers to conduct realistic coordinated training scenarios using ownship equipment instead of shore-based training simulators. The committee notes that Congress has provided funds in fiscal years 2000, 2001, and 2002 to upgrade the BFTT system in order to provide an air traffic control (ATC) training capability for aircraft carrier battle groups and amphibious readiness groups. However, the committee understands that AEGIS combat training systems on both CG-47 Ticonderoga class cruisers and DDG-51 Arleigh Burke class destroyers require BFTT upgrades to enable SPY-1 radar operators to also receive advanced radar on-board training within carrier battle groups via BFTT. Because of the enhanced benefits to ships' crews from integrated battle group training, the committee recommends \$21.4 million for other training equipment, an increase of \$6.0 million, to procure BFTT advanced radar on-board training systems for AEGIS class ships.

### PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS

### Overview

The budget request contained \$1,288.4 million for Procurement, Marine Corps in fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends authorization of \$1,352.0 million for fiscal year 2003.

The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on affordability considerations.

PROCUFEMENT, MARINE CORPS       VERPORUS AND COMBAT VEHICLES       TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES       TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES       TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES       TAV77AT PIP       ANTAT       ANTAT       ANTAT       ANTAT       ANTAT       ANTAT       ANTILERY AND OTHER WEAPONS       MARIS       THENLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS       MARIE       TISSMULICENTORED HOWITZER       MARIE       MARIE       TISSMULICENT       MARIE       TOMOSITICEND       MARIE       TOMOSITICEND       MARIE       TOMOSITICEND       MARIEND       MARIEND       MARINE SUPONS       MARINE SUPONS       MARINE       PERATIONS OTHER THAN WAR       TOTAL WEAPONS       TOTAL WEAPONS       MODULED MISSILES       GUIDED MISSILES       AND       PAREND       AND       PARADINS       AND       TOTAL WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES       T	AUTHOF	AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	TTEE FROM EST	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION	1003 ITTEE ENDATION
	QTY.	COST	ατγ.	COST	ατγ.	COST
				-		
	1					
	85	62,991			85	62,991
	-	14,718			**	14,718
		53,166			•	53,166
		4,179				4.17
	ł	3.297			,	3.297
	€1	7.869			2	7,869
	34	62,643			34	62.643
		4,890				4,890
		8,145				8,145
		312				312
		24,352				24,352
		1,531			,	1,531
•••						202 010
		248,093		•		248,093
- ,						
·		184				184
		1,049				1,049
		1,565			,	1,565
		. '				
	445	36,484			445	36,484
TOTAL GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT		7,967				7,967
COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT		47,249				47,249
19 AUTO TEST EQUIP SYS		894				894
-		8,324				8,324
21 INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	,	18,526		19,600		38,126

# TITLE I - PROCUREMENT

i	
	-
2	20
i	÷.
	×
	<u> </u>
	æ
١.	ŝ
)	ē
۱.	-
	-
	c
	ars
	<u></u>
	5
	¥
1	9
1	

OTH:         OTH:         COST         OTV         COST         COST         OTV         COST         COST <thcost< th=""> <thcost< th=""> <thcost< th=""></thcost<></thcost<></thcost<>	Line	PROGRAM TITLE	AUTH	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMP CHANG REQ	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	COMN COMN	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
DEFF. Comment         (+3.300)         (+3.300)           DEFF. Comment         (-3.300)         (+3.300)           DEFF. Comment         (-3.300)         (+3.300)           DEFF. Comment         (-3.300)         (+1.12.300)           AN (HYS-1)         (-3.300)         (-1.300)           AN (HYS-1)         (-1.300)         (-1.300)           AN (HYS-1)         (-1.300)         (-1.300)           AN (HYS-1)         (-1.300)         (-1.300)           AN (HYS-1)         (-1.300)         (-1.300)           DEFF. Additional (Kontrell)         (-1.300) </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>ary.</th> <th>COST</th> <th>QTY.</th> <th>COST</th> <th>QTY.</th> <th>COST</th>			ary.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
DEFF. Canadian Londing Term         (+1,00)         (+1,00)           DEFF. Canadian Londing Term         2,570         (4,100)           DEFF. Canadian Londing Term         2,570         (4,00)           DEFF. Canadian Londing Term         2,570         (4,00)           DEFF. Canadian Londing Term         1,843         2,570         (4,00)           DEFF. Canadian Londing Term         1,843         2,570         (4,00)           DEFF. Canadian Londing Term         1,843         2,570         (4,00)           REMIN LONGING TO THE COMMENT CONTELL         2,570         8,800         1           REMIN LONGING TO THE COMMA ELEC         1,843         1,12,800         1           REMIN LONGING TO THE COMMA ELEC         1,100         1         1         1,000           REMIN LONGING TO THE COMMA ELEC         1,11         2,500         1         1           REMIN LONGING TO THE SUPPORT         1,11         2,500         1         1           REMIN LONGING TO THE SUPPORT         1,11         2,500         1         1           REMIN LONGING TO THE SUPPORT         1,11         2,500         1         1           REMIN LONGING TO THE SUPPORT         1,11         2,500         1         1           REMI		DERF- Digital Terrain Analysis Mapping				[+3,300]		[+3,300]
DD BATE OFF CLI Support Transfer to H.R. 4547         2.570         8(4700)         1           DD BATE OFF CLI Support Transfer to H.R. 4547         1.443         2.570         8(300)         1           DB BATE OFF CLI Support Transfer to H.R. 4547         1.443         2.570         8(300)         1           DB BATE OFF CLI Support Transfer to H.R. 4547         1.443         2.570         8(300)         1           DB BATE OWNER SIGNUL NON ITEL         1.443         2.204         1.2800         1           DTHER CONNELLE COUNTERIT NON-TEL         2.204         1.2000         1         1           DTHER CONNELLE CONNELLE CONNELLED         2.204         1.2.200         1         1           DTHER CONNELLE CONNELLED         2.204         1.2.200         1		DERF- Cameras				[+1,600]		[+1,600]
MONTRS (MITL)         2.570         3.800         -           DEFF-OFE CLISSIONIC SITE         1.443         2.570         3.800         -           REAL INDERTS MULTION (MITELL)         1.445         1.443         3.800         -           REAL INDERTS MULTION (MITEL)         1.451         4.565         1.433         (3.800)         -           REMAIN DISTS EQUIMENT (MULTEL)         2.570         3.800         -         -         4.565         -         -           REMAIN DISTS EQUIMENT (MULTEL)         2.570         3.800         -		DERF- OEF C4I Support / Transfer to H.R. 4547				(14,700)	,	(14,700)
DEF:         CEC (4) Sector Transfer to H.H. 447         1,843         (3,000)         -           REFARI AND TEST EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)         -         4,565         -		MOD KITS (INTEL)	z	2,570		8,800		11,370
TERS UNDER SS MULON (INTEL)       1,843         REFAIL NOT DEST EQUIMENT (NON-TEL)       1,843         REFAIL NOT DEST EQUIMENT (NON-TEL)       1,550         REFAIL NOT SST EQUIMENT (NON-TEL)       1,560         REFAIL NOT SST EQUIMENT (NON-TEL)       1,500         REFAIL NOT SST EQUIMENT (NON-TEL)       1,500         REFAIL NON-TEL)       1,500         REF SUPPORT (NON-TEL)       2,522         REF SUPPORT (NON-TEL)       1,6426         REF SUPPORT (NON-TEL)       1,6426         REF SUPPORT (NON-TEL)       1,6426         REF SUPPORT (NON-TEL)       1,6426         RAGINAND POST SYSTEMS       1,6426         RAGINAND POST SYSTEMS       1,6426 <td></td> <td>DERF- OEF C4I Support / Transfer to H.R. 4547</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>(8,800)</td> <td>,</td> <td>(8,800)</td>		DERF- OEF C4I Support / Transfer to H.R. 4547				(8,800)	,	(8,800)
FEPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)     4,565       CHERRAL PURPOSE MECHANICAL TIME     1,2800       OTHER SUPPOSE MECHANICAL TIME     23,204       MAI / PVS-17     1,12,800       AN / PVS-17     23,204       TERR SUPPOSE MECHANICAL TIME     1,12,800       AN / PVS-17     23,204       TERR SUPPOSE MONTELION     1,507       DEFF Additional AC & GC     38,974       DEFF Additional AC & CC     38,974       COMMAND POST SYTEMS     23,528       DEFF Additional AC & CC     38,974       COMMAND POST SYTEMS     1,4700       DEFF Additional AC & CC     38,974       DEFF Additional AC & CC     38,974       COMMAND POST SYTEMS     1,4700       DEFF Additional AC & CC     38,974       COMMAND POST SYTEMS     1,4700       DEFF Additional AC & CC     3,974       DEFF Additional AC & CC     1,4700       DEFF Additional AC & CC		ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (INTELL)	ł	1,843			,	1,843
GENERAL PUBPIOSE MECHANICAL TADE     4,565       OTHER COMMELEC EQUIPRIENT (NON-TEL)     23,204       NUTH SOPPOT     11,12,000       NUTH SUPPOT     11,12,000       OTHER SUPPOT     11,000       DEFT- Some Writelse     38,974       DEFT- Some Writelse     38,974       DEFT- Some Writelse     11,7000       DEFT- Card Support     11,7000       DEFT- Some Writelse     38,974       DEFT- Card Support     11,7000       DEFT- Card Support     11,4000       DEFT- Card Support     11,41000       DEFT- Card Support     11,4000       DEFT- Card Support     11,4000       DEFT- Card Support     11,41000       DIARD SUPPORT     11,41000       DAM SUTCHING SC SYSTEMS     11,41000       DEFT- Soc Interact Devices     11,41000       DEFT- Soc Interact Devices     11,41000   <		REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)						
OTHER COMMELLEC EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)       23.204       12.800       -         AN / PVS-17       AN / PVS-17       -       16.007       -       4.900       -         AN / PVS-17       TEMES SUPPORT (NON-TEL)       -       16.007       -       4.900       -       -         AN / PVS-17       TEMES SUPPORT (NON-TEL)       -       16.007       -       4.900       -		GENERAL PURPOSE MECHANICAL TMDE	,	4.565				4,565
Micht Vision EcuteMent         23.204         12.800         -           Micht Vision EcuteMent         -		OTHER COMMELEC EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)						
MI. PTS-17         (+12.600)         (+           TERRS UNDERT KON-TEL         (+		NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT		23,204		12,800	•	36,004
COMER SUPPORT (NOW, TEL)     16,097     4,900       DERF- Source Whereas     16,097     4,900       DERF- Additional NC & GCS     16,097     1,4000       DERF- Additional NC & GCS     25,528     1,7700)       DERF- Additional NC & GCS     25,528     1,7700)       DERF- Additional NC & GCS     25,528     1,7700)       DERF- Additional NC & GCS     25,528     1,6700)       DERF- Additional DST SYSTEMS     25,528     25,000       DERF- Additional DST SYSTEMS     28,512     25,000       DERF- Additional DST SYSTEMS     28,512     25,000       ADIO SYSTEMS     28,512     25,000       Deref- Common Commune Letter Handler     3,374     4,600       Deref- Common Systems     3,374     4,600       Deref- Commune Net DF     16,42000     3,1470       Deref- Soci Interact Davies     16,426     4,600       Deref- Soci Interact Davies     16,45000     17,700       Deref- Soci Interact Davies     16,45000     14,5000       Deref- Soci Interact Davies     16,450     16,45000       Deref- Soci Interact Davies     16,456     16,40		AN / PVS-17				[+12,800]		[+12,800]
TERS UNDER SS MILLION (COMM & ELEC)         16,007         4,900         -           TERS UNDER SS MILLION (COMM & ELEC)         16,007         4,900         -           DEFF-Secure Statement Minelses         38,974         (1,700)         -           DEFF-Secure Statement AC & GCS         38,974         (1,700)         -           DEFF-Secure Statement AC & GCS         25,528         25,000         -         -           DEFF-Secure Statement AC & GCS         23,512         25,000         -         -         -           DEFF-Secure Statement AC & GCS         23,512         25,528         25,500         -		OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL)						
DERF- Secure Writers     (+200)       DERF- Secure Writers     (+200)       DEFF- Additional AC & GC3     (+700)       DEFF- Additional AC & SC3     (+700)       Additional Mole Section     (+700)       Datatal Handwork     (+700)       Datatal Handwork     (+700)       Datatal Handwork     (+700)       Datatal Handwork     (+700)       Deff- Community Nutrichinks acontrols. SYSTEMS     (+700)       COMM MOD KTIS MAGIF     (+700)       Deff- Computer Net Def     (+1,000)       Deff- Sectionation     (+700)       DEFF- Sectionation     (+1,000)       DEFF- Sectionation     (+1,000) <tr< td=""><td></td><td>ITEMS UNDER \$6 MILLION (COMM &amp; ELEC)</td><td>,</td><td>16,097</td><td></td><td>4,900</td><td>5</td><td>20,997</td></tr<>		ITEMS UNDER \$6 MILLION (COMM & ELEC)	,	16,097		4,900	5	20,997
DERF- Additional AC & GCS         DERF- Additional AC & GCS         (1,700)		DERF- Secure Wireless				[+800]		[14800]
DERF-OEF Cal Support / Transfer to HR, 4547 <ul></ul>		DERF- Additional A/C & GCS				[+2,400]		[+2.400]
COMMON COMPUTER FESOURCES 58974 MANAND POST SYSTEMS 53512 25,000 5 FADIO SYSTEMS 53512 25,000 5 Table Hadro Systems 5 Table Hadro Systems 53,12 25,000 5 Table Hadro Systems 5,16,46 4,600 5 COMM SALEC INFRASTICUTIE SUPPORT Comm Switching a Contridue Support DEFF. See Interaction of 16,426 4,600 5 DEFF. See Interaction of 16,426 4,600 5 DEFF. See Interaction of 16,426 5,525 5,500 5 DEFF. See Interaction of 16,2000 5 DEFF. See Interaction of 2,525 5,500 5 MIC DEFF. See Interaction of 2,500 5 MIC DEFF. See Interaction of 2,525 5 MIC DEFF. See Interaction of 2,500 5 MIC DEFF. See Interaction of 2,		DERF- OEF C4t Support / Transfer to H.R. 4547				(11,700)	,	(UDZ-1)
COMMAND POST SYSTEMS COMMAND POST SYSTEMS Takted Handhed Rado Systems Uudhweight Math band Systems COMM & ELEC INTENICS, SYSTEMS DERF- JANCS Redundancy DERF- JANCS REDUNCS EQUIPWENT TOTAL COMMUNIS NADE LECTRONICS EQUIPWENT TOTAL COMMUNIS THING WAITH SUPPORT SYSTEMS ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES TACTICAL PASSENGER VEHICLES TACTICAL PASSENGER VEHICLES COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES COMMERCIAL		COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES		38.974		les de l		38.974
RADIO SYSTEMS         25,500         26,000         1           Tackati Hardhowskin         25,500         26,000         1           Tackati Hardhowskin         Ustwalm Sender Ustwalm Muthband Saller Sender Mithband Saller Comm Serrichins a control. SYSTEMS         25,000         1           Tackati Hardhowskin         Ustwalm Sender Sender Sender Definition         1         1         1           Comm Serrichins a control. SYSTEMS         0         1         1         1         1           Comm Serrichins a control. SYSTEMS         0         1         1         1         1         1           Comm Serrichins a control         0         1         1         4         1         1         1         1           DEFInition         Service         0         1         1         4         1 </td <td>~</td> <td>COMMAND POST SYSTEMS</td> <td></td> <td>33 513</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td>33 510</td>	~	COMMAND POST SYSTEMS		33 513	_		,	33 510
Tactical Hancheld Fadio Systems     1-5,000       Tactical Hancheld Fadio Systems     1+5,000       Cubrweight Must Jean Systems     1+5,000       Cubrweight Must Jean Systems     1+5,000       Cubrweight Must Jean Systems     1+20,000       Cubrweight Must Jean Systems     1+20,000       Colim & ELEC INFFASTFLUCTURE SUPPORT     1       DERF- Jong Mark Jean Systems     16,426       DERF- Jong Leff     16,426       DERF- Jack     16,426       DERF- Jack     16,426       DERF- Jack     16,426       DERF- Jack     16,4300       DERF- Jack     1,470       DERF- Jack     31,470       DERF- Jack     1,4700       DERF     1,4700       DERF     1,4700		RADIO SYSTEMS		25.525		25.000	,	50.528
Lightweight Multi-band Sachlife Terminal Lightweight Multi-band Sachlife Terminal COMM & SUTCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS COMM & ELEC INFLASTICULIE SUPPORT DEFF - Sectiner data of the Society		Tactical Handheld Badio Systems				[+5.000]		[+5.000]
Commission     3,374     4600     -       DEFF- Computer Method     0,000     -     16,466     4600     -       DEFF- Sectimed a Leter method     0,000     -     16,466     4600     -       DEFF- Sectimed Devices     0,000     -     16,466     (+1,900)     -       DEFF- Sectimed Devices     0,000     -     31,470     3,000     -     1       DEFF- Sectimed Devices     0,000     -     -     3,000     -     1       MIS DEFF- Sectimed Devices     0,000     -     -     3,000     -     1       MIS DEFF     0,000     0,000     -     -     3,000     -     1       All OPERTIONS CS SYSTEMS     -     -     -     5,525     -     1,000       All OPERTIONS CS SYSTEMS     -     -     -     3,455     -     -       All OPERTIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT     289,649     53,600     -     -     -       TOTAL COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT     289,649     53,600     -     -       SUBORT VEHICLES     -     -     2,3455     -     -       SUBORT VEHICLES     -     -     2,3455     -     -       COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES     -		Lightweight Multi-band Satellite Terminal				[+20.000]		1+20.000
COMM & ELEC INFTASTRUCTURE SUPPORT     16,426     4,600       DEFF- compare two loc     1,700     1,700       DEFF- compare two loc     1,700     1,700       DEFF- sol interdet Defect     1,700     1,700       DEFF- sol interdet Defect     31,470     3,000       DEFF- sol interdet Defect     3,170     3,000       DEFF- sol interdet Defect     3,1470     3,000       DEFF- sol interdet Defect     2,2362     1,4,000       DEFF- sol interdet Defect     2,362     3,000       DEFF- sol interdet Defect     2,362     1,4,000       DEFF     3,435     2,362     1,4,000       IFE LUGENCE CS SYSTEMS     2,365     3,4,355     1,7       AFF LUGENCE CS SYSTEMS     2,366     3,4,355     1,7       IFE LUGENCE CS SYSTEMS     2,366     3,4,355     1,7       AFF COMMINICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT     289,649     53,500     1,7       SUPPORT YNSTEM     3,4355     5,3,500     1,3       SUPPORT SYSTEMS     1,7,123     2,3     1,3       AMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES     1,3,123     2,3       ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES     1,3,123     2,3	~	COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS	,	3.974			,	3.974
DERF- Computer Net Def Der Sex Interdation DERF- Sex Interdation DERF- Sex Interdation MOD KITS MAGTF C41         (+1,900)         (+1,900)           DERF- Sex Interdation DERF- MUS Redundancy         -         31,470         (+2,000)         (-1,000)           MOD KITS MAGTF C41         -         31,470         (+3,000)         (-1,000)           MIS MAGTF C41         -         31,470         (+3,000)         (-1,000)           MIR LUGENC C2 SYSTEMS         -         -         2,3430         (-1,000)         (-1,000)           MIR LUGENC C2 SYSTEMS         -         -         2,3435         -         -         3,4300         -         -           TOTAL COMMINICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPWENT         -         289,649         53,500         -<	_	COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT	,	16,426		4,600	,	21,026
DERF- Sec interdet Devlose DERF- WICS Fredundancy MOD KITS MAGTF C41 MOD KITS MAGTF C41 Alls OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS INTELLIGENCE C2 SYSTEMS INTELLIGENC		DERF- Computer Net Def				[+1,900]		[+1,900]
DERFWICS Fedundaroy     I+2.000     I+2.000       MOD KITS MAGTF C41     31,470     37,00       DERFWICS SYSTEMS     6.555     (+3,000)       IFE UFENTIONS C2 SYSTEMS     6.555     (+3,000)       AIR DEFF ATIONS C2 SYSTEMS     2,362     (-3,000)       RIFLUERNE C2 SYSTEMS     3,455     3,456       AIR DEFF ATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT     299,649     53,500       DTAL COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES     28     53,500       SUPPORT VEHICLES     28     661       ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES     2     28       ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES     13,123     28       TACTION VEHICLES     13,123     28		DERF- Sec Interdict Devices				1+700		1002+1
MOD KITS MAGTF C41         31,470         3,000         9           AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS         -         6,525         (+3,000)         -           AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS         -         6,525         (+3,000)         -         1           AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS         -         5,525         (+3,000)         -         1           AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS         -         -         5,525         (+3,000)         -         1           AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS         -         -         -         5,525         (+3,000)         -         1           AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS         -         -         34,655         -         -         2,3455         -<		DERF- JWICS Redundancy				[+2,000]		[+2,000]
DERF In Departions c2 systems         (+3,000)         I           An OPERATIONS C2 systems         -         6,525         (+3,000)         -           In FLUIGENCE C2 systems         -         2,525         (+3,000)         -         -           In FLUIGENCE C2 systems         -         2,355         -         2,355         -         -           In FLUIGENCE C2 systems         -         28,649         53,500         -         -           In TAT COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT         289,649         53,500         -         -           SUPPORT VEHICLES         -         289,649         53,500         -         -           COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES         28         661         -         -         -         28           ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES         -         -         13,123         -		MOD KITS MAGTE C41	•	31,470		3,000		34,470
AIR OFENATIONS C2 SYSTEMS 6,555 6,555 FILE SUPPORT SYSTEMS 2,362 FILE SUPPORT SYSTEMS 2,362 FILE SUPPORT SYSTEM 3,455 TOTAL COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT 299,649 53,500 5,000 SUPPORT VEHICLES 289,649 53,500 5,000 SUPPORT VEHICLES 280,649 53,500 5,000 COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES 28 061 2,000 TOTAL CARGO VEHICLES 2,000 1,000 SUPPORT VEHICLES 2,000 5,000 TOTAL CARGO VEHICLES 2,000 5,000 TOTAL CARGO VEHICLES 2,000 5,000 TOTAL CARGO VEHICLES 2,000 5,000 5,000 TOTAL CARGO VEHICLES 2,000 5,00		DERF				[+3,000]		[+3,000]
INTELLIGENCE C2 SYSTEMS - 22,382 - 22,382 FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM - 34,055 - 34,055 TOTAL COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT 288,649 53,500 - 3 SUPPORT VEHICLES - 288,649 53,500 - 3 SUPPORT VEHICLES - 13,123 - 28 COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES - 13,123 - 28 TORMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES - 13,123 - 28	~	AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS		6,525				6,525
FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM     3,355     -       TOTAL COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT     289,649     53,500       SUPPORT VEHICLES     280,649     53,500       SUPPORT VEHICLES     280,649     53,500       COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES     28     661       COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES     28     561       TACITICAL VEHICLES     28     13,123	**	INTELLIGENCE C2 SYSTEMS	•	22,362	_		,	22,362
TOTAL COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT         289,649         53,500         53,500           SUPPORT VEHICLES         SUPPORT VEHICLES         280,649         53,500         28           SUPPORT VEHICLES         COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES         28         661         28           COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES         COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES         28         13,123         28		FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM	ł	34,855			,	34,855
SUPPORT VEHICLES ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES COMMERCIAL PASENGERI VEHICLES TACTICAL VEHICLES TACTICAL VEHICLES . 13,123		TOTAL COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT		289,645		53,500		343,149
ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES COMMERCIAL PASSINGER VEHICLES COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES TACTICAL VEHICLES		SUPPORT VEHICLES						
COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES TACTICAL VEHICLES	~	ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES	28	861			28	861
	~	COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES TACTICAL VEHICLES	,	13,123	-		,	13,123

	Line PROGRAM TITLE	FY AUTHO REI	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	ITTEE E FROM JEST	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION	003 ITTEE INDATION
		QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST	ary.	COST
8	5/4T TRUCK HMWWV (MYP)	1,667	118,414			1,667	118,414
g	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE REPLACEMENT	1,405	347,578			1,405	347,578
40	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	•	3,534			·	3,534
	TOTAL SUPPORT VEHICLES		483,510		*		483,510
	ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT FNGINEER AND OTHER FOURMENT						
41	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT		2.709			,	2.70
: 9	BURK LIQUID EQUIPMENT		10.261				10.261
<u>ب</u>	TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS	,	2.048				2.04
4	DEMOLITION SUPPORT SYSTEMS		,			,	. '
45	POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED	x	8,898				8,898
	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT						
46	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	,	•				'
47	AMPHIBIOUS RAID EQUIPMENT	ı	22,295			,	22,29
48	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	,	8,804		3,600		12,404
	DERF- Intrusion Detection Systems				[+3,600]		[+3,600]
49	GARRISON MOBILE ENGR EQUIP	T	2,608			,	2,608
20	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP		52,503			,	52,50
_	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	,	6,221				8,22
	GENERAL PROPERTY						
~	FIELD MEDICAL EQUIPMENT	•	10,452			,	10,452
m	TRAINING DEVICES		18,651			,	18,65
5	CONTAINER FAMILY	1	7,120			,	7,12
10	FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT	\$	14,709		6,500		21,20
	Construction Equipment SLEP				[+6,500]		[+6,50
56	RAPID DEPLOYABLE KITCHEN	,	21,505			,	21,505
	OTHER SUPPORT						
	MODIFICATION KITS	,	•			ł	ı
58	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	,	5,772			,	5,772
1	TOTAL ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT		196,556		10,100		206,656
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS						
22	SPAHES AND REPAIR PARTS	•	925.52			,	23.326

FY 2003 COMMITTEE FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION CHANGE FROM COMMITTEE REQUEST RECOMMENDATION	QTY. COST QTY. COST QTY. COST	23,326 - 23,326	1,288,383 63,600 1,351,963
Line PROGRAM TITLE		TOTAL SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	TOTAL PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS

### **Items of Special Interest**

### Family of construction equipment

The budget request contained \$14.7 million to remanufacture or product improve D–7G dozers, 621B scrapers, and 130G graders. The dozer/scraper/grader fleet is used by Marine Corps combat engineer and support units for airfield construction, as well as combat clearing and debris excavation.

The committee notes that the service's dozer, scraper and grader fleet is over 15 years old and that the Commandant of the Marine Corps has identified a fiscal year 2003 unfunded requirement to accelerate remanufacture of this equipment. The committee also notes that the remanufacturing/product improvement program will extend the life of this equipment for an additional 10 years.

Consistent with its actions in prior years, the committee recommends \$21.2 million for the family of construction equipment, an increase of \$6.5 million, to remanufacture/product improve D– 7G dozers, scrapers, and graders.

### Night vision equipment

The budget request contained \$23.2 million to procure night vision equipment, but included no funds to procure AN/PVS-17 night vision sights.

The AN/PVS-17 is a lightweight, rifle-mounted, generation III image intensification night vision sight that replaces obsolete, post-Vietnam era AN/PVS-4 sights. The committee notes that the Commandant of the Marine Corps has identified a \$12.7 million fiscal year 2003 unfunded requirement to procure additional AN/PVS-17 night vision sights, which would complete this system's acquisition objective. Consistent with prior year actions, the committee continues to recognize the increased benefits of generation III technology, and recommends \$36.0 million for night vision equipment, an increase of \$12.8 million, for AN/PVS-17 night vision sights.

### Radio systems

The budget request contained \$25.5 million to procure radio systems, but no funds were included to procure Tactical Hand Held Radios (THHR), and \$1.0 million was included for the Lightweight Multiband Satellite Terminal (LMST).

The THHR is a military-ready, multi-band, secure voice and data radio that will provide Marine reconnaissance teams, and squad-/ platoon-size units with a lightweight, standardized, maintainable communications capability that is interoperable with numerous Department of Defense legacy communications radios.

The LMST is a joint mobile military tri-band satellite communications terminal deployed in transit cases, which allow rapid set up and tear down required in rugged, tactical and expeditionary operations. The LMST provides enhanced long-haul communications for forward deployed forces.

The committee notes that the Commandant of the Marine Corps has identified a \$5.0 million unfunded requirement for THHRs and a \$20.0 million unfunded requirement for LMSTs in fiscal year 2003. Because the committee believes that the services must have interoperable communications to successfully operate in joint military deployments and supports the need for greater communications capability within small units, the committee recommends \$50.5 million for radio systems, an increase \$5.0 million to complete the acquisition objective for THHRs, and an increase of \$20.0 million to begin procurement of LMSTs.

### AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

### Overview

The budget request contained \$12,067.4 million for Aircraft Pro-curement, Air Force in fiscal year 2003. The committee rec-ommends authorization of \$12,522.8 million for fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on afford-ability considerations.

## TITLE I - PROCUREMENT

Thousands)	
.⊆	
(Dollars	

Line	PROGRAM TITLE	AUTHO	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	EE 30M .T	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION	103 TTEE VDATION
		QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE						
	CUMBAL AIRCHAFT TACTICAL FORCES						
-	F-22 RAPTOR	23	4,362,177			23	4,362,177
-	F-22 RAPTOR		(271,743)				(271,743)
2	F-22 RAPTOR	•	•				•
2	F-22 RAPTOR		•			•	•
~	F-22 RAPTOR		530,634			,	530,634
ო	F-15A		•			,	
e	F-15A	ı				,	
4	F-16A (MYP)		,				•
4	F-16A (MYP)		,			,	•
	TOTAL COMBAT AIRCRAFT		4,621,068				4,621,068
	AIDLIET AIDCRAET						
ŝ	C-17A (MYP)	12	3,065,140			12	3,065,140
ŝ	C-17A (MYP)		(371,000)				(371,000)
9	C-17A (MYP)		•				
9	C-17A (MYP)	5	•				
9	C-17A (MYP)		204,790			•	204,790
9	C-17A (MYP)	I	60,500				60,500
9	C-17A (MYP)	I	88,300				88,300
9	C-17A (MYP)	I	25,100				25,100
9	C-17A (MYP)	,	13,200			•	13,200
7	C-17 ICS	I	612,452				612,452
	OTHER AIRLIFT						
80	EC-130J		•			ŀ	
თ	C-130H	•	18,672		5,000	,	23,672
	H2 Simulator / Air Force Reserve				[+5,000]		[+5,000]
10	C-130J		175,923				175,923
	TOTAL AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT		3,893,077		5,000		3,898,077
	TRAINER AIRCRAFT Obseational trainfes						
F	JPATS	35	211,848			35	211,848

### TITLE I - PROCUREMENT

Ł	
	_
	ົທີ
	p
	E.
	ö
,	
	2
	<u></u>
,	· .
	<u>ء</u> .
•	10
	<u>۳</u>
	<u></u>
	0
	ň
E	÷

Line	PROGRAM TITLE	AUTHO	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	ITTEE : FROM JEST	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION	103 TTEE VDATION
		<u>ατγ.</u>	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
	TOTAL TRAINER AIRCRAFT		211,848				211,848
	OTHER AIRCRAFT						
	HELICOPTERS						
2	V-22 OSPREY		90,904				90,904
5	V-22 OSPREY						'
13	V-22 OSPREY	,					. :
£	V-22 OSPREY		10,100				10,100
	MISSION SUPPORT AIRCRAFT						
t 1		-	9190				2616
2	CIVIL AIR PATROL AUC	21	2,010			5	2,012
þ		1	ı				
47			30 586			,	30.586
- α			-			,	
<u></u>	E-AC	-	327.301			-	327,301
	E-8C		(48,033)				(48,033)
0	E-8C		•				'
0	E-8C					•	'
÷	HAEUAV	5	97,361	-	65,000	e	162,361
	DERF- Global Hawk / Transfer to H.R. 4547			Ē	(65,000)	E	(65,000)
5	HAEUAV	,	(33,500)			,	(33,500)
ŝ	HAEUAV	•	,				,
ŝ	HAEUAV	,				•	
2	HAEUAV		41,000			•	41,000
0	PREDATOR UAV	7	23,068		108,000	7	131,068
	DERF- Predator / Transfer to H.R. 4547				(60,550) 1.25.0001		(60,550)
	Predator B				[nnn'nz+]		1+20,00
	TOTAL OTHER AIRCRAFT		541,403		47,450		588,853
	MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT						
24	B-2A		72,123		32,000		104,123
	SATCOM				[+25,200]		[+25,200]
	LO Maintenance				[+6,800]		[+6,800]
25	B-1B	k	98,026				98,026
90	B-52		•				•
ţ							

OTV         COST         QTV         Q	GIV         COST         GIV         GIV <th< th=""><th>Line</th><th>PROGRAM TITLE</th><th>AUTHO</th><th>FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST</th><th>COMI CHANG REQ</th><th>COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST</th><th>FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION</th><th>003 ITTEE INDATION</th></th<>	Line	PROGRAM TITLE	AUTHO	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMI CHANG REQ	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION	003 ITTEE INDATION	
And Chart         21.75         56.00         2 <th2< th="">         2         2</th2<>	And Control         21.75         55.000         55.			OTY.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST	
A10         21.775         50.00         23.500         50.00         23.500           F15         F10         20.153 kits         50.00         24.400         14.500           F16         F16         23.500         25.500         25.500         15.5000         15.5000           F16         All Lineing AT pock Ar Force Reserve         25.500         14.400         14.14.00         14.14.00           TARS AKG         25         11.200         11.200         14.4.00         14.14.00         14.14.00           TARS AKG         25         11.200         11.200         14.14.00         14.	F10       F		TACTICAL AIRCRAFT							
F100         E100         53,000         53,000         54,0000         54,0000         54,000 <td>F10         E10         5000         5</td> <td>28</td> <td>A-10</td> <td></td> <td>21,775</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td>21,775</td>	F10         E10         5000         5	28	A-10		21,775			,	21,775	
F100 Engines ANG ALC0 Engines ANG AFR Litering AT Ports Aris Score AFR Litering AT Ports Aris Score AFR Litering AT Ports Aris Score 2.28 Engine Upgrade ANG F2.28 Engine F2.28	FIO Ergines Arid         F-34.000	ജ	F-15		232,500		59,000	•	291,500	
ALO-155 Kits         ALO-155 Kits         (1-25,000)         (1-	ALO-155 Kits         ALO-155 Kits         (1-25,000)         (1-		F100 Engines ANG				[+34,000]		[+34,000]	
F16         F16 <td>F16     265,007     85,007     83,000     1       Z25 Righting AT pote Air Force Reene     748, 3400     148,600     148,600       ZAF3 ANG     258,007     81,000     146,600       ZAF3 ANG     258,007     86,008       F2 ANTOR     86,008     146,600       ZAF3 ANG     25,000     146,000       ARLIF AIRCHAFT     26,008     146,000       ART AIRCHAFT     25,000     25,000       ART AIRCHAFT     25,000     25,000       ART AIRCHAFT     26,000     141,000       C31     27,100     25,000       C31     27,100     27,000       C31     27,100     21,000       C31     27,100     21,000       C31     27,100     21,000       C31     21,111     14,116       C31     21,121     21,120       C31     21,01     21,000       C31     21,010     21,120       C31     21,010     21,120       C31     21,010     21,120       C41     21,010<td></td><td>ALQ-135 Kits</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>[+25,000]</td><td></td><td>[+25,000]</td></td>	F16     265,007     85,007     83,000     1       Z25 Righting AT pote Air Force Reene     748, 3400     148,600     148,600       ZAF3 ANG     258,007     81,000     146,600       ZAF3 ANG     258,007     86,008       F2 ANTOR     86,008     146,600       ZAF3 ANG     25,000     146,000       ARLIF AIRCHAFT     26,008     146,000       ART AIRCHAFT     25,000     25,000       ART AIRCHAFT     25,000     25,000       ART AIRCHAFT     26,000     141,000       C31     27,100     25,000       C31     27,100     27,000       C31     27,100     21,000       C31     27,100     21,000       C31     27,100     21,000       C31     21,111     14,116       C31     21,121     21,120       C31     21,01     21,000       C31     21,010     21,120       C31     21,010     21,120       C31     21,010     21,120       C41     21,010 <td></td> <td>ALQ-135 Kits</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>[+25,000]</td> <td></td> <td>[+25,000]</td>		ALQ-135 Kits				[+25,000]		[+25,000]	
AFI Liseing AT force Reserve         (+4.400)         (+1.4.0	AFI Lisening AT Force Reserve         (+4.400)         (+4.400)           TAFI SANG         229 Engine Ubgrade ANG         (+4.400)         (+6.600)           ZET RANTOR         239 Engine Ubgrade ANG         (+4.400)         (+6.600)           ZET RANTOR         11,200         (+6.600)         (+7.400)           ZET RANTOR         11,300         (+1.200)         (+7.400)           ZET RANTOR         11,300         (+1.120)         (+4.120)           ZET RANTOR         2.560         (+1.120)         (+6.600)           ZET RANTOR         2.384         2.366         (+1.120)           C.231         2.324         2.366         (+1.120)         (+1.120)           C.331         C.332         2.324         2.366         (+1.120)         (+1.120)           C.332         C.334         2.376         2.366         (+1.120)         (+1.120)           C.332         C.334         C.334         2.366         (+1.170)         (+1.170)         (+1.170)           C.334         C.334         C.334         2.366         (+1.170)         (+1.170)           C.335         C.334         C.334         2.366         (+1.170)         (+1.170)           C.335         C.335	8	F-16		265,007		83,000		348,007	
TARS ANG         (+6.600)	TARS ANG         TARS ANG         (+6.600)		AFR Litening AT pods Air Force Reserve				[+14,400]		[+14,400]	
228 Engine Ubgrade ANG         228 Engine Ubgrade ANG         11,200         14,200           7.81-75         84.117         86.008         11,200         14,200           7.81-75         86.008         11,200         12,346         14,170           6.9         7.81,78         13,346         13,346         14,170           6.9         7.81,78         12,546         14,176         14,176           6.9         7.96         7.96         14,176         14,176           7.9         7.96         7.96         14,176         14,176           7.9         7.96         7.96         14,176         14,176           7.9         7.96         7.96         14,176         14,176           7.9         7.96         7.96         14,176         14,176           7.9         7.96         7.96         14,176         14,176           7.9         7.96         7.96         14,176         14,176           7.9         7.96         7.96         14,176         14,176           7.9         7.93         7.93         14,176         14,176           7.9         7.93         7.93         14,176         14,176           7.	228 Engine Ubgrade ANG         228 Engine Ubgrade ANG         11,200         14,200           2.28 Filter ANG         11,31-30         11,200         12,346           2.58         5         1,346         1,346           2.58         5         1,346         1,346           2.51         2.56         2,5664         1,346           2.71         2.51,76         1,346         1,346           2.71         2.56         2,5664         1,346           2.32         2.32         2,5684         1,346           2.33         2.33         2,5684         1,346           2.33         2.33         2,373         1,4176           2.33         2.14         2,368         1,336           2.33         2.33         2,1178         1,33           2.34         2.33         2,1178         1,33           2.34         2.33         2,1178         1,33           2.4         1,33         1,33         1,33           2.4         1,33         1,33         1,33           2.4         1,33         1,33         1,33           2.4         1,33         1,33         1,34           2.4		TARS ANG				[+6,600]		[+6,600]	
F22 FAPTICIA     11,200     11,200       ART-157     ARLF AIRCRAFT     91       C.5     5     1,346       C.5     1,346     1,346       C.3     2.3     2.552       C.3     2.32       C.3     2.33       C.3     2.32       C.3     2.33       C.3     2.33       C.3     2.34       C.3     2.33       C.3     2.34       C.3     2.34       C.3     2.34       C.3     2.34       C.3     2.34       C.3     2.33       C.3     2.34       C.3     2.34       C.3     3.33       C.3     3.353       C.3     3.353       C.3     3.353       C.3     3.3000       C.3     3.3000       C.4	E22 HAPTCH       11,200       11,200         ART-37       BRLIFT ARCRAFT       11,200         C.5       TARLET ARCCAST       11,200         C.5       C.17A       2,562         C.5       C.17A       2,562         C.17A       2,562       2,734         C.17A       2,562       2,734         C.17A       168,112       168,112         TAMERAFT       2,188       2,100         C.13A       2,141       2,100         C.13A       2,1112       2,112         T.14       141,160       2,112         C.13A       2,112       2,112         C.13A       2,112       2,112         C.13A       2,112       2,112         C.13A       2,123       2,112         DEFF		229 Engine Upgrade ANG				[+62,000]		[+62,000]	
TAT-37         61 <th< td=""><td>TAT-37         11         <th< td=""><td>31</td><td>F22 RAPTOR</td><td></td><td>11,200</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>11,200</td></th<></td></th<>	TAT-37         11 <th< td=""><td>31</td><td>F22 RAPTOR</td><td></td><td>11,200</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>11,200</td></th<>	31	F22 RAPTOR		11,200				11,200	
CIENTIFICARET         B6,008         C           CALLET AIRCRAFT         0.008         1,346           C-17X         2.56,52         1,346           C-21X         2.56,62         2.56,62           C-21X         2.56,62         2.56,62           C-21X         2.56,62         2.73           C-21X         2.66,64         2.73           C-21X         2.66,64         2.73           C-21X         2.66,64         2.75           C-21X         2.66,64         2.75           C-31X         2.75         2.75           T-41 AIRCRAFT         2.75         2.75           T-33         2.111         2.75           T-33         2.1112         2.75           T-33         2.1112         2.75           T-33         2.12         2.13           T-34         2.100         1.117           C-12         2.100         1.117           C-13         1.116         1.117	AllELT AIRCRAFT         66.006         1.346           C5         1.346         1.346           C3         C.3         2.56.64           C3         C.141         2.66.64           C3         C.141         2.66.00           C14         AINCRAFT         2.18           C3         C.141         2.18           C3         C.142         2.18           C14         AINCRAFT         2.18           C3         C.14         2.18           C3         C.14         2.11           C3         C.14         2.11           C3         C.12         2.11           C3         C.12         2.11           C3         C.23         C.23	8	T/AT-37		81				81	
C5 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3	C5 C3 C11A C11A C11A C11A C11A C11A C11A C		AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT							
C9 C-17 C-17 C-17 C-17 C-17 C-17 C-14 C-18 C-18 C-18 C-18 C-18 C-18 C-18 C-18 C-18 C-18 C-19 C-18 C-19 C-18 C-19 C-10 C-18 C-19 C-1	C9 C11X C21X C21X C21X C21X C21X C21X C21X C21X C21X C21X C21X C21X C21X C21X C21X C14 C14 C14 C14 C14 C14 C14 C14	g	C-5		86,008			,	86,008	
C 11A C 17A C 17A C 17A C 21 C 22 C 23A C 23A C 2552 C 23A C 26 F 26	C 17A C 12A	8	C-9	•	1,346				1,346	
C 21 C 23 C 23	C 221 C 221 C 221 C 224 C 232 C	35	C-17A	I	128,178				128,178	
C 32A C 32A C 37 C 37	C 32A C 37A C 37A C 141 TANKER AIRCRAFT T 37 C 141 T 41 AIRCRAFT T 42 AIRCRAFT C 20 AIRCA C 10 A (VICA) C 10 A (VIC	36	C-21	,	2,562				2,562	
C 37A C 37 C 37 C 36 C 36	C 37A C 37A C 37A C 37A TAUNER AIFCRAFT TAUNER AIFCRAFT T-38 TAUNER AIFCRAFT T-38 T-4 TAUS C -104 (ATCA) C -105 C -105 C -106 C -106 C -100 C -1	37	C-32A		26,684				26,684	
C141         736         -         726         -         1           TANRET AIRCRAFT         -         158,112         -         158,112         -         1           T-41 AIRCRAFT         -         -         168,112         -         158         -         -         1           T-41 AIRCRAFT         -         -         138         -         -         1         -         1         -         1         -         1         -         1         -         1         -         1         -         1         -         1         -         1 <td< td=""><td>C141         796         -         796         -         7           T-14 AlfCRAFT         -         168,112         -         168,112         -         1-3           T-3         -         -         168,112         -         168,112         -         1-3           T-41 AlfCRAFT         -         2,183         -         -         90         -         -         1-4           C1-4         -         2,183         -         2,183         -         -         1-4         -         -         1-4         -         -         1-4         -         -         1-4         -         -         -         1-4         -         -         -         1-4         -         -         -         -         -         -         1-4         -</td><td>38</td><td>C-37A</td><td>,</td><td>373</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>373</td></td<>	C141         796         -         796         -         7           T-14 AlfCRAFT         -         168,112         -         168,112         -         1-3           T-3         -         -         168,112         -         168,112         -         1-3           T-41 AlfCRAFT         -         2,183         -         -         90         -         -         1-4           C1-4         -         2,183         -         2,183         -         -         1-4         -         -         1-4         -         -         1-4         -         -         1-4         -         -         -         1-4         -         -         -         1-4         -         -         -         -         -         -         1-4         -	38	C-37A	,	373				373	
TAINIER AIFCRAFT         166,112         166,112         166,112         17.3           T-41 AIRCRAFT         90         90         90         90         90         90         17.4         17.4         17.4         17.4         17.4         17.4         17.4         17.4         17.4         17.4         17.4         17.4         17.4         17.4         17.6         17.4         17.6         17.4         17.6         17.4         17.6         17.4         17.6         17.4         17.6         17.4         17.6         17.7         17.6         17.7         17.6         17.7         17.6         17.7         17.6         17.6         17.6         17.7         17.6         17.7         17.6         17.6         17.7         17.6         17.7         17.6         17.7         17.6         17.6         17.6         17.7         17.6         17.7         17.6         17.6         17.6         17.6         17.6         17.6         17.6         17.6         17.7         17.6         17.7         17.7         17.7         17.6         17.6         17.7         17.7         17.7         17.7         17.7         17.7         17.7         17.7         17.7         17.6         17.7 </td <td>TRAINER AIFCRAFT         166,112         166,112         166,112         17           T-41 AIFCRAFT         90         90         90         14         17         14         17         14         17         14         166,112         17         14         166,112         17         14         16         16         17         14         16         17         16         17         16         17         16         17         16         17         16         17         16         17         16         17         16         16         17         16         16         17         16         16         17         16         17         16         17         16         17         16         16         17         16         16         17         16         16         17         16         16         17         16         16         16         16         16         17         16         16         16         17         17         16         17         17         16         16         17         17         16         16         17         16         17         17         16         17         16         17         17</td> <td>39</td> <td>C-141</td> <td>,</td> <td>296</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>796</td>	TRAINER AIFCRAFT         166,112         166,112         166,112         17           T-41 AIFCRAFT         90         90         90         14         17         14         17         14         17         14         166,112         17         14         166,112         17         14         16         16         17         14         16         17         16         17         16         17         16         17         16         17         16         17         16         17         16         17         16         16         17         16         16         17         16         16         17         16         17         16         17         16         17         16         16         17         16         16         17         16         16         17         16         16         17         16         16         16         16         16         17         16         16         16         17         17         16         17         17         16         16         17         17         16         16         17         16         17         17         16         17         16         17         17	39	C-141	,	296				796	
T-38     T-38       T-3     T-3       T-4     AIRCRAFT       T-4     AIRCRAFT       T-4     AIRCRAFT       T-4     AIRCRAFT       T-4     AIRCRAFT       T-4     AIRCRAFT       T-4     C-104       KC-104     AICA)       KC-104     AICA)       KC-104     AICA)       KC-104     AICA)       KC-104     AICA)       C-12     AIR       KC-104     AICA)       C-12     AIR       KC-104     AICA)       C-12     AIR       C-12     AIR       C-12     AIRA       C-12     AIRA       C-13     AIRA       C-13     AIRA       MC-130F     AIRA       MC-130F     AIRA       MC-130F     AIRA       MC-140F     AIRA       MC-140F   <	T-38     T-38       T-43     AlfiCrAFT       T-43     AlfiCrAFT       T-43     OTHER AlfiCrAFT       T-43     OTHER AlfiCrAFT       T-43     OTHER AlfiCrAFT       T-43     OTHER AlfiCrAFT       C-104     C.104       C-104     AlfiTe       C-12     B       C-12     B       C-20 MODS     -       C-12     -       C-20 MODS     -       C-12     -       C-20 MODS     -       C-130     -       C-130     -       DERF     -       TAWS     -       TAWS </td <td></td> <td>TRAINER AIRCRAFT</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		TRAINER AIRCRAFT							
1.41 AlRCRAFT     90       1.41 AlRCRAFT     2.183       0.714E AlRCRAFT     2.183       0.714E AlRCRAFT     14.176       KC-10A (ATCA)     12.171       KC-25A MODS     12.171       KC-30A (C)     88.000       DERF     12.171       KC-30P Air retueling mod     12.171       MC-130P Air retueling mod     138.533       MC-130P Air retueling mod     138.533       MC-130P Air retueling mod     138.630       DERF- Reengining     (43)       DERF- Transfer to H.R. 4547     (3)       DERF- Tansfer to H.R. 4547     (3)       C130P Air retueling mod     (43)       DERF- Tansfer to H.R. 4547     (3)       DERF- Tansfer to H.R. 4547     (3)       DERF- RU SIGNT sustainment     (4)	T-41 AltRCRAFT     90       T-41 AltRCRAFT     2.183       OTHER AIRCRAFT     14,176       OTHER AIRCRAFT     14,176       C1-10 AltRCAFT     14,176       C1-10 AltRCAFT     14,176       C1-21 AltRCAFT     14,176       C1-21 AltRCAFT     14,176       C1-22 AltRCAFT     14,176       C1-23 AltRCAFT     14,176       C1-24 AltRCAFT     12,171       C1-25 AltRCAFT     12,171       DEFF     12,171       C100 DEFF     12,171       DEFF     136,533       C130 Aft refueling mod     12,171       Ac-130 P Aft refueling mod     14,11000       C130 T Attracter to ALA     108,670       C130 D Aft refueling mod     14,11000       C143 D C143 D C144     14,11000       C145 D C144     14,11000       C145 D C144	40	T-38	,	168,112				168,112	
T-43     2.183     -       T-43     -     -       RC-104 ATCA)     -     -       C-12     -     -       C-13     -     -       C-14     -     -       C-12     -     -       C-13     -     -       C-14     -     -       C-12     -     -       C-13     -     -       C-26 MODS     -     -       C-28 MOD     -     -       C-28 MOD     -     -       C-28 MOD     -     -       C-28 MOD     -     -       C-29 MODS     -     -       C-200 MODS     -     -       C-201     -     12.171       DEF     -     -       C-300     -     -       C-400     -     -       DEFF- Transter t	T-43     2.183     -       T-43     -     -       KC-104 (ATCA)     -     -       KC-104 (ATCA)     -     -       C-12     -     -       C-12     -     -       C-13     -     -       C-20 MODS     -     -       TAWS     -     -       DEFF - Transter to H, 4547	41	T-41 AIRCRAFT		06				06	
OTHER AIRCRAFT         14,176         14,176         14,176         14,176         14,176         14,176         14,176         14,176         14,176         14,176         14,176         14,176         14,176         14,176         14,176         15,172         14,176         15,172         15,172         15,173         15,173         15,173         16,163         14,176         14,176         14,176         14,176         14,176         15,173         15,173         15,173         16,173         16,173         16,173         16,173         16,173         16,173         16,173         16,173         16,17000         16,173         16,1000         16,11000         16,1000 <t< td=""><td>OTHER AIRCRAFT         14,176         <th< td=""><td>4</td><td>T-43</td><td>,</td><td>2,183</td><td></td><td></td><td>•</td><td>2,183</td></th<></td></t<>	OTHER AIRCRAFT         14,176 <th< td=""><td>4</td><td>T-43</td><td>,</td><td>2,183</td><td></td><td></td><td>•</td><td>2,183</td></th<>	4	T-43	,	2,183			•	2,183	
KC-104 (ATCA)         14,176         -         14,176         -           C-12         -         000         -         400         -           C-18         -         800         -         800         -         -           C-26 MDDS         -         12,171         88,000         -	KC-10A (ATCA)         14,176         14,176         1           C-12         000         1         400         1           C-12         000         1         800         1           C-12         000         1         800         1         1           C-20 MODS         0         12,171         68,000         1         1           C-20 MODS         12,171         68,000         1		OTHER AIRCRAFT							
C12 C12 C12 C12 C20 MDDS C20 MDD C20 C20 MDD C20 C20 MDD C20 C20 MDD C20 C20 C20 C20 C20 C20 C20 C20 C20 C20	C-12 C-12 C-12 C-20 MODS C-20 MODS C-22 MOD C-130 TAWS TAWS TAWS TAWS TAWS TAWS TAWS TAWS	43	KC-10A (ATCA)	1	14,176			•	14,176	
C-18 C-2000 C-2000 DERF DERF DERF DERF DERF TAWS C-3800 DERF TAWS C-3800 DERF TAWS C-3800 TAWS TAWS C-38,000 TAWS TAWS C-38,000 TAWS TAWS C-38,000 TAWS TAWS C-38,000 TAWS C-39,0000 TAWS C-39,0000 TAWS C-39,0000 TAWS C-39,0000 TAWS C-	C-18         C-18         800         -         -         2.00         - <t< td=""><td>44</td><td>C-12 .</td><td></td><td>400</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>400</td></t<>	44	C-12 .		400				400	
C-20 MODS         -         828         86.000         -           C-255AMOD         C-255AMO         -         12.171         86.000         +           C-200         DERF         -         12.171         86.000         +         +           0         DERF         -         138.533         1-431.000         +	C-250 MODS         -         228         -         227           C-25A MOD         DETR         -         12.171         66.000         -           DETR         -         12.171         66.000         -         +           DETR         -         138.533         1.45.000         -         +           AC-30P Air relueling mod         -         138.533         1.41.000         +         +           AC-30P Air relueling mod         -         108.670         3         431.000         +         +           AC-30P Air relueling mod         -         108.670         3         83.000         3         +         +         -         +         -         38.000         3         +         +         -         -         38.000         3         +         -<	45	C-18		800			,	800	
VC-25A MOD         -         12.171         68.000         -           DERF         -         12.171         68.000         -           DERF         -         138.533         14.60.001         -           TAWS         -         138.533         14.60.001         +           TAWS         -         138.533         14.60.001         +           C-130         Ari retueling mod         -         108.670         3         +           C-130         DERF- Reengining         -         108.670         3         84.10.001         +           C-135         DERF- Transfer to H.R. 4547         -         108.670         3         84.10.001         +31         +           DERF- Transfer to H.R. 4547         -         150,123         6.000         -         -         131         +           DERF- Tasstainment         -         150,123         6.000         -         1         1         0000         -         1         +         1         +1.000         1         1         +         0000         -         1         1         0000         -         1         +         0000         -         1         1         00000         -	VC-35A MOD         -         12.171         68.000         -           DERF         -         12.171         68.000         -         -           0.150         -         138.533         42.600         -         -         -           7.130         TAWS         -         138.533         42.600         -         -         -           7.130         TAWS         -         138.657         141.600         1+         -	46	C-20 MODS		828				828	
DERF DERF C 190 T AWS T AW	DERF         Lens         (+88,000)         (+18,000	47	VC-25A MOD		12,171		68,000		80,171	
C-130         - 138.533         - 136.500         -           TANS         - 1000         -	C130         138.533         12.600         -           TANS         Tanser         12.8.533         12.600         -           TANS         Tanser         12.8.533         14.1.600         +           Mc-130P Air relueling mod         1.38.533         1.41.600         1.4           C-135         C-135         108.670         3         89.000         3           C135         C-135         1.08.670         3         89.000         3         1.4           DERF- Transfer to H.R. 4547         1.30         1.431         1.493         1.89.0001         1.331         H           DARP         EFF- Laraster to H.R. 4547         1.50,123         (3)         (4.000)         (3)         1.45.000		DERF				[+68,000]		[+68,000]	
TAWS         [+31,000]         [+41,000]         [+41,000]         [+11,600]         [+11,600]         [+11,600]         [+11,600]         [+11,600]         [+11,600]         [+11,600]         [+32,600]         [+31,600] <th [+3<="" td=""><td>TAWS         TAWS         (±11.600)         (±11.600</td><td>48</td><td>C-130</td><td></td><td>138,533</td><td></td><td>42,600</td><td></td><td>181,133</td></th>	<td>TAWS         TAWS         (±11.600)         (±11.600</td> <td>48</td> <td>C-130</td> <td></td> <td>138,533</td> <td></td> <td>42,600</td> <td></td> <td>181,133</td>	TAWS         TAWS         (±11.600)         (±11.600	48	C-130		138,533		42,600		181,133
MC-130P Air refueling mod         [+11,600]         [+1,600]         [+1,600]         [+1,600]         [+1,600]         [+1,600]         [+3]         [+3,6,000]         [+3]         [+3,6,000]         [+3]         [+3,6,000]         [+3]         [+3,6,000]         [+3]         [+3,6,000]         [+3]         [+4,000]         [+3]         [+4,000]         [+3]         [+4,000]         [+3]         [+4,000]         [+3]         [+1,000]         [-1,000] <th[-1,000]< th=""> <th[-1,000]<< td=""><td>MC-130P Air retueling mod         (+11,600)         (+11,600)         (+1,600)         (+1,600)         (+1,600)         (+3)</td><td></td><td>TAWS</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>[+31,000]</td><td></td><td>[+31,000]</td></th[-1,000]<<></th[-1,000]<>	MC-130P Air retueling mod         (+11,600)         (+11,600)         (+1,600)         (+1,600)         (+1,600)         (+3)		TAWS				[+31,000]		[+31,000]	
C-135 C-135 C-135 C-135 C-136 Group 3 C-135 C-136 Group 3 C-136 DERF-Rengining (-2) (-2) (-2) (-2) (-2) (-2) (-2) (-2)	C-135 C-135 C-135 C-135 C-135 C-136 (200 2) C-135 C-136 (200 2) C-136 C-136 (200 2) C-156 C-127 (200 2) C-156 C-127 (200 2) C-156 C-127 (201 2) C-156 C-127 (201 2) C-156 C-127 (201 2)		MC-130P Air refueling mod				[+11,600]		[+11,600]	
DERF- Reengining         [+3]         [+49,000]         [+3]         [+49,000]         [+3]         [+40,000]         [+3]         [+40,000]         (3)         (50,000)         (4)         (50,000)         (50,000)         (50,000)         (50,000)         (50,000)         (50,000)         (50,000)         (50,000)         (50,000)         (50,000)         (50,000)         (50,000)         (50,000)         (50,000)         (50,000)         (50,000)         (50,000)         (50,000)	DERF- Reengining         (+3)         (+39,000)         (+3)         (+30,000)         (+3)         (+30,000)         (+3)         (+30,000)         (+3)         (+30,000)         (+3)         (+30,000)         (-3)         (-30,00	49	C-135	•	108,670		89,000	e	197,670	
DERF- Transfer to H.R. 4547 (3) (89,000) (3) DERF- Transfer to H.R. 4547 (3) (0) (3) (3) DAPP (5) (2) (3) (3) DERF- RJ SIGNT sustainment (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	DERF- Transfer to H.R. 4547 (3) (89,000) (3) DERF- Transfer to H.R. 4547 (3) (89,000) (3) DAR (4) DAR (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4)		DERF- Reengining			Ę	[000'68+]	[e+]	[+89,000]	
DARP 6,000 - 6,000 - 6,000 - 6,000 - DERF-RJ SIGINT sustainment (+1,000)	DAPP 150,123 0.000 - 0.000 - 150,123 0.000 - 100,123 0.000 - 1 0.000 DERF-L2_EMI bgrades (+1,000] DERF-U2_EMI bgrades (+5,000]	i	DERF- Transfer to H.R. 4547			(3)	(89,000)	(9)	(000'68)	
[11,000]	[+1,000] [+5,000]	20	DARP	•	150,123		6,000	•	1001	
	[+5,000]		DERF- RJ SIGINT sustainment				000'1+]		[000'L+]	

Line	PROGRAM TITLE	AUTHC AUTHC RI	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	CHANG	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	COMN COMN RECOMM	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
		QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
1-	E-3		29,478				29,478
52	E-4		39,139				39,139
ŋ	E-8		19,307			,	19,307
4	Ŧ		473			,	473
<u>م</u>	09-H		40,640		29,500		70,140
	Pave Hawk Upgrades				[+29,500]		[+29,500]
26	OTHER AIRCRAFT	I	54,653		4,800	,	59,453
	Fixed Aircrew Standardized Seats				[+4,800]		[+4,800]
57	PREDATOR MODS		10,532			,	10,532
	OTHER MODIFICATIONS						
58	CLASSIFIED PROJECTS		18,546				18,546
	TOTAL MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT		1,776,592		324,900		2,101,492
	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS						
	AIRCRAFT SPARES + REPAIR PARTS						
59	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		275,982		8,000		283,982
	DERF- Predator Spares				[+8,000]		[+8,000]
1	TOTAL AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		275,982		8,000		283,982
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
8	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT	,	180,943				160,943
_	A-10	•					,
62	B-1		1,969				1,969
~	B-2A		3,279			•	3,279
8	B-2A		33,484				33,48
	C-130		10,922				10,922
	E-4		•				
	E-15 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT	•	7,512				7,512
	F-16 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT	,	14,200				14,200
	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS						
69	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS		22,248			•	22,248
	WAR CONSUMABLES						
2	WAR CONSUMABLES		38,429			,	38,429
	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES				000 000		12 001
4	MISC PRODUCTION CHARGES		349,516		60,000	•	409,516

		AUTHC	AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	ANGE FHUM REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIO	RECOMMENDATION
		ατγ.	COST	QTY.	COST	ατγ.	COST
	DERF- Classified				[+12,000]		[+12,000]
	DERF- P4RC				[+30,000]		[+30,000]
	COMET IRCM				[+18,000]		[+18,000]
	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT						
72	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT		1,182			•	1,182
	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES - SOF						
23	CANCELLED ACCOUNT PY ADJUSTMENTS	•				,	•
	DARP						
74	DARP		83,751		10,000		93,751
	DERF- U-2 DEF SYS				[+10,000]		[+10,000]
	TOTAL AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES		747,435		70,000		817,435
	TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		12,067,405		455,350		12,522,755

### *B–2 modifications*

The procurement budget request contained \$72.1 million for various B-2 modifications, of which \$9.9 million was included to upgrade two B-2 aircraft with satellite communications (SATCOM), but included no funds for the B-2's windshield tape alternative (WTA) modification. Additionally, the research, development test and evaluation (RDTE) budget request contained \$225.3 million in PE 64240F for B-2 system development, but included no funds for low observability (LO) maintenance improvements. The B-2 is the Department of Defense's most advanced long-range strike aircraft, capable of global force projection in a highly defended target environment.

The B-2 SATCOM provides beyond-line-of-sight secure voice and data communications that will ensure global command and control of this aircraft. The committee notes that only nine B-2s are budgeted to receive SATCOM, and believes that the entire fleet of 21 B-2 aircraft should be upgraded with this critical modification. Consequently, the committee recommends an increase of \$25.2 million to configure the remaining B-2s with SATCOM.

The WTA modification would replace the current tape around the exterior of the B–2's windshield which is rapidly deteriorating due to vibration and cabin pressurization cycles. The committee understands that this modification would improve the B–2 mission capable rate by 1.75 percent, reduce operations and support costs by \$24.5 million, save 25,000 maintenance man-hours per year, and reduce the aircraft's signature to enemy radar. The committee recommends an increase of \$6.8 million to modify 16 B–2 aircraft with the WTA and encourages the Air Force to budget funds to complete the WTA modification for the remaining aircraft in fiscal year 2004.

The committee understands that B-2 LO maintenance improvement development is required in three parts of the aircraft: door seals, engine exhaust area coatings, and tailpipe coatings. The B-2's current door seals, which are prone to damage causing decreased signature performance, could be repaired by the development of alternate door edge treatment (ADET) that would simplify maintenance procedures and reduce radar signature degradation. Secondly, the B-2's engine exhaust environment, which causes existing hot trailing edge coatings to degrade and delaminate resulting in costly repairs, could be improved with the development of the advanced hot trailing edge (AHTE) which would retrofit new high-temperature composite coatings in the engine exhaust area, improving the B-2's availability. Thirdly, the B-2's current tailpipe coating, which requires time-consuming repairs resulting from ablation during routine engine operating conditions, could be improved by the development of new materials and processes that would decrease the expenditure of maintenance man-hours and improve the B-2's mission capable rate. The committee recommends an increase of \$17.0 million in PE 64270F for the ADET, AHTE, and new tailpipe coating development.

The committee recommends \$104.1 million for B–2 procurement modifications, an increase of \$32.0 million, and \$242.3 million in RDTE PE 64240F, an increase of \$17.0 million.

### C-130H

The budget request contained \$18.7 million for C-130H procurement, but included no funds for the conversion of an Air Force Reserve Command (AFRC) C-130H3 unit level trainer (ULT) to the C-130H2 configuration.

The committee understands that the AFRC's C-130H2 formal training unit (FTU) currently possesses a C-130H3 ULT that requires conversion to the C-130H2 configuration to property train C-130H2 FTU students, and believes that, without the conversion of the ULT, projected student throughput will not be achievable.

The committee recommends \$23.7 million for C-130H procurement, an increase of \$5.0 million for the conversion of the AFRC's C-130H3 ULT to the C-130H2 configuration.

### C-130J

The Department of the Air Force budget request contained \$175.9 million for program management, logistics, and training support for the fleet of 37 Air Force C-130J aircraft. Additionally, The Department of the Navy Defense Emergency Response Fund (DERF) budget request contained \$334.0 million for four KC-130J aircraft. The committee notes that the Air Force budget request includes a proposal to begin a five-year, 40-aircraft C-130J multiyear procurement, and understands that funds that would be provided to the Department of the Navy for the Marine Corps' KC-130J program in the DERF for fiscal years 2003 through 2008 would add 24 KC-130J aircraft throughout the five-year period and be included in the Air Force C-130J multiyear procurement for a total of 64 aircraft to be procured under the Air Force C-130J multiyear proposal.

In past years, the committee has strongly supported both the Air Force's C-130J and the Marine Corps' KC-130J aircraft variants to modernize these fleets. While the committee continues to support procurement of both variants, it is concerned that the Air Force C–130J is experiencing difficulty in meeting established operational effectiveness and suitability parameters. However, the committee believes that continued senior management attention to the achievement of its operational effectiveness and suitability goals will result in a successful initial operational test and evaluation, and that the multiyear procurement contract should proceed subsequent to the Secretary of Defense's certification to the congressional defense committees that satisfactory progress is being made towards a successful operational test and evaluation. The committee views satisfactory progress to include, but not be limited to, the aircraft's ability to conduct worldwide airland operations, assault operations, and the completion of both software block 5.3 installation and its associated hardware components.

Additionally, despite the fact that over two months have elapsed since the committee received the budget request and its associated budget justification documents, the Department of the Air Force has still not provided the committee the necessary findings outlined in section 2306b(a) of title 10, United States Code, for the committee to fully understand and evaluate the savings that would be achieved by the proposed C-130J multiyear procurement contract. The committee recommends a provision (Section 121) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to enter into a multiyear procurement contract for Air Force C–130J and Marine Corps KC–130J aircraft beginning with the fiscal year 2003 program year, subject to a certification to the congressional defense committees that satisfactory progress is being made towards a successful operational test and evaluation, and that each of the conditions specified in section 2306b(a) of title 10, United States Code, have been satisfied with respect to that contract.

### C–130 modifications

The budget request contained \$138.5 million for C-130 modifications, but included no funds for the fourth-generation terrain awareness and warning system (TAWS) or for the MC-130P universal aerial refueling receptacle slipway (UARRSI) modification.

The fourth-generation TAWS, the most advanced TAWS version, projects an aircraft's position relative to the ground and improves pilot situational awareness by warning of potential ground impact, thus preventing controlled flight into terrain. The committee understands that the Air Force has directed that all passenger and troop carrying aircraft be equipped with a fourth-generation TAWS by fiscal year 2005, but also understands that the C-130 avionics modernization program, which provides avionics upgrades for the C-130 fleet, does not schedule the installation of a fourth-generation TAWS until fiscal years 2006 to 2014. Therefore, the committee recommends an increase of \$31.0 million to procure and install the fourth-generation TAWS on the Air Force fleet of C-130 aircraft.

The MC-130P UARRSI modification, which allows the MC-130P to be refueled in flight, has been installed on all but two of the Air Force's operational MC-130P fleet, but the committee understands that it has not been installed on the four aircraft used to train new MC-130P pilots. Since the committee believes that in-flight air refueling training is critical for new MC-130P pilots, it recommends an increase of \$11.6 million to procure and install the MC-130P UARRSI modification on the four aircraft used to train new MC-130P pilots.

The committee recommends \$181.1 million, an increase of \$42.6 million for C–130 modifications.

### *F*–15 modifications

The budget request contained \$232.5 million for F-15 modifications, of which \$33.0 million was included for six ALQ-135 band 1.5 countermeasures system modifications, but included no funds to convert the Air National Guard's (ANG) F100 engines in their F-15 aircraft to the F100-220E configuration.

The ALQ-135 band 1.5 countermeasures system modification provides a self-protection jamming capability against modern surface-to-air enemy missiles and is integrated with the F-15E's existing internal countermeasure set and its ALR-56C radar warning receiver to provide full threat coverage. The committee believes that improved self-protection capability such as the ALQ-135 band 1.5 countermeasures system modification addresses critical deficiencies identified subsequent to Operation Allied Force in 1999, and that the ALQ-135 band 1.5 countermeasures system should be produced at the most efficient rates and installed in F-15E aircraft as rapidly as possible. The committee recommends an increase of \$25.0 million to procure 20 additional ALQ-135 band 1.5 countermeasures systems, and strongly encourages the Air Force to establish a consistent funding approach for the ALQ-135 band 1.5 countermeasures system that will complete production and installation of this modification on all F-15E aircraft by fiscal year 2005.

Conversion kits for the F-15's F100 engine, also known as "Ekits," provide increased thrust, greater reliability, better fuel efficiency, and reduced operations and maintenance costs. The committee notes that the ANG's F-15 aircraft make a critical contribution to the Air Force's Air Expeditionary Forces, and believes that engine conversion kits for the ANG's F-15 aircraft should be accelerated. Therefore, the committee recommends an increase of \$34.0 million for engine conversion kits for the ANG's F-15 aircraft.

The committee recommends \$291.5 million for F-15 modifications, an increase of \$59.0 million.

### *F*–16 modifications

The budget request contained \$265.0 million for various F-16 modifications, but included no funds for Litening advanced airborne targeting and navigation (AT) pods for the Air Force Reserve Command (AFRC), for the Air National Guard's (ANG) Theater Airborne Reconnaissance System (TARS) pods, or for F100–229 reengine kits for the ANG's block 42 F-16 aircraft. Additionally, the research, development test and evaluation (RDTE) budget request contained \$66.8 million in PE 35206F for airborne reconnaissance systems, but also included no funds for the TARS.

The Litening AT pod is the next generation Litening pod system that will incorporate an advanced forward-looking infra-red radar and other enhancements to the existing multi-sensor and precision strike capability. Since the committee understands that Litening AT pods are among the Air Force Reserve Commander's top unfunded modernization priorities, it recommends an increase of \$14.4 million for eight Litening AT pods for the AFRC.

The two ANG F–16 units equipped with TARS pods provide a responsive under-the-weather reconnaissance capability to support the intelligence and targeting requirements of military users. The committee understands that current TARS pods require a data link system upgrade to connect with the joint force air component commander's command and control (JFACC C2) structure and a synthetic aperture radar (SAR) to enable night and all-weather reconnaissance capability. Since the committee believes that night and all-weather reconnaissance operations and the ability to data link to the JFACC C2 structure are essential to identify and engage time-critical targets, it recommends an increase of \$11.1 million in PE 35206F to complete the development of the data link and SAR integration into the TARS, and a procurement increase of \$6.6 million for upgraded TARS pods.

The committee notes that the ANG's F-16 block 42 aircraft are underpowered compared to F-16 block 40, block 50, and block 52 aircraft, and believes that this limitation does not provide sufficient power to adequately defend against opposition air defense systems in likely theaters of operation. To provide increased thrust for the ANG's F-16 block 42 aircraft, the committee recommends an increase of 62.0 million to re-engine ten F-16 block 42 aircraft with the F100-229 re-engine kit.

In total, the committee recommends \$348.0 million for F-16 procurement modifications, an increase of \$83.0 million, and \$77.9million in RDTE PE 35206F, an increase of \$11.1 million.

### Fixed aircrew standardized seats

The budget request contained \$54.7 million for other modifications, but included no funds for fixed aircrew standardized seats (FASS).

FASS would provide crewmembers and passengers on C-130, C-135, C-141, C-5, E-3, KC-10, C-17, and E-8 aircraft protection against aircraft crash loads up to 16 times the force of gravity. In prior years, the committee has supported the development of the FASS, understands that it is now ready for production, and continues to believe that its implementation will not only increase safety but also reduce supply and maintenance costs through the commonality and interchangeability of its parts.

The committee recommends \$59.5 million for other modifications, an increase of \$4.8 million, for procurement of FASS.

### *HH*–60*G* pave hawk upgrades

The budget request contained \$40.6 million for H–60 modifications, but included no funds to upgrade the 13 student training HH–60G Pave Hawk helicopters.

The committee understands that the HH–60G Pave Hawk helicopters used for student training are not configured with 701C engines, forward looking infra-red (FLIR) systems or helicopter infrared suppression systems (HIRSS), which are configurations used in the combat air force (CAF) HH–60G Pave Hawk fleet. The committee further understands that, as a result of these deficiencies, pilots who complete training in those HH–60G Pave Hawk helicopters not configured with the 701C engine, FLIR systems or the HIRSS are not proficient in the use of these systems when they arrive at their operational units.

Since the committee believes that student training in operational HH–60G Pave Hawk CAF configurations is critical to mission readiness, it recommends an increase of \$29.5 million to procure and install 701C engines, FLIR systems, and the HIRSS on the 13 HH– 60G Pave Hawk helicopters used for student training.

### Miscellaneous production charges

The budget request contained \$349.5 million for miscellaneous production charges, of which \$14.2 million was included for the P4 refurbishment contract (P4RC), but included no funds for the P4RC for the Air National Guard (ANG) or for the comet infra-red (IR) countermeasures pod.

The P4 is an airborne instrumentation subsystem pod used by fighter and attack aircraft which provides the capability to conduct air-to-air, air-to-surface, and electronic warfare combat training while providing real-time aircraft monitoring and recording events for post-mission debrief and analysis. While the P4 pods can only be used at ranges equipped with ground-station instrumentation, the P4RC upgrades the P4 pods with global positioning receivers, data recorders, and on-board weapons simulations so that training can occur at locations without ground-station instrumentation. Since ANG units and aircraft have experienced increased operations tempo and may not have the opportunity to conduct training at ranges equipped with ground-station instrumentation, the committee believes that ANG units should also be included in the P4RC. The committee recommends an increase of \$30.0 million to upgrade 150 ANG P4 pods to the P4RC configuration.

The comet IR countermeasures pod is designed for use on military fighter and transport aircraft to provide preemptive and extended duration protection from man-portable surface-to-air missiles (SAMs) by dispensing a continuous stream of pyrophoric material that oxidizes on contact with the atmosphere producing a decoy IR signature. The committee notes that both instances of battle damage to an A-10 aircraft during Operation Allied Force occurred due to IR SAMs that were not seen by the pilot, or other aircraft in the formation, because of the SAM's small size, high speed, and short engagement range, and understands that the comet IR coun-termeasures pod could provide the long-duration self-protection necessary for an A-10 aircraft to accomplish its mission while operating in the target area. Due to its importance in protecting both combat and mobility aircraft, the committee also notes that the Air Force Chief of Staff has included the comet IR countermeasures pod among his top unfunded priorities for fiscal year 2003. In addition to the research and development increase described elsewhere in this report, the committee recommends an increase of \$18.0 million to procure 48 comet IR countermeasures pods and 576 decoy cartridges for use in A-10 aircraft.

The committee recommends \$379.5 million for miscellaneous production charges, an increase of \$48.0 million for miscellaneous production charges.

### Predator B unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV)

The budget request contained \$23.1 million for procurement of seven Predator UAV systems but included no funds for the turbo prop-powered Predator B, a larger, faster variant with increased payload capacity.

payload capacity. The Predator UAV system provides long-dwell, real-time intelligence information to Joint Task Force Commanders. The committee notes that following the accomplishments of the Predator UAV system in its reconnaissance role in Operation Enduring Freedom, the system has also successfully demonstrated its capability to be weaponized to deliver Hellfire missiles. As missions for the Predator UAV system expand, the committee believes that the improved speed and payload capacity of the turbo prop-powered Predator B UAV is critical to future combat operations.

The committee recommends an increase of \$26.0 million for six turbo prop-powered Predator B UAV systems and associated spare parts.

### AMMUNITION PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

### OVERVIEW

The budget request contained \$1,133.9 million for Ammunition Procurement, Air Force in fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends authorization of \$1,176.9 million for fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on affordability considerations.

Line	PROGRAM TITLE	AUTHO RE	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FROM EST	FY 2 COMN RECOMMI	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
		QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE						
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMU, AIR FORCE ROCKETS						
	ROCKETS	•	40,909			ı	40,909
	CARTRIDGES						
	CARTRIDGES		154,620			,	154,620
	BOMBS						
	PRACTICE BOMBS		71,935		3,000	,	74,935
	Cast Ductile Iron Practice Bombs				[+3,000]		[+3,000]
	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS		108,589		28,000		136,589
	DERF- Laser Guided Bombs / Transfer to H.R. 4547				(11,900)		(11,900)
	Cast Ductile Iron Bombs				[+3,000]		[+3,000]
10	CAWCF CLOSURE COSTS		•				•
	SENSOR FUZED WEAPON	298	105,985		20,000	298	125,985
	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION	17,917	378,863		106,000	17,917	484,863
	DERF- JDAM / Transfer to H.R. 4547				(106,000)	,	(106,000)
æ	WIND CORRECTED MUNITIONS DISP	4,959	71,165			4,959	71,165
	FLARE, IR MJU-7B						
6	CAD/PAD	,	19,816			,	19,816
9	EXPLOSIVE ORDINANCE DISPOSAL		2,727			,	2,727
Ŧ	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	i	3,008				3,00
₽	MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN \$5M		202			•	202
13	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000		2,013				2,013
	FUZES						
4	FLARES		131,967		3,900	ı	135,867
	MJU-52 Training Flares				[+2,000]		[+2,000]
	MJU-52 WRM				[+1,900]		[+1,900]
15	FUZES	•	37,705				37,705
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, AIR FORCE		1,129,504		43,000		1,172,504
	WEAPONS SMAII APMS						
16	SMALLARMS		4,360				4,360
1	TOTAL WEAPONS		4,360				4,360
ļ							

### Items of Special Interest

### Air Force Ammunition Procurement

The budget request contained \$1,129.5 million for procurement of ammunition. The committee recommends an increase of \$29.9 million, for the following types of ammunition programs:

[In millions of dollars]	
Practice Bombs: Cast Ductile Iron (BDU-56)	3.0
General Purpose Bombs: Cast Ductile Iron (MK-84)	3.0
Sensor Fuzed Weapon	20.0
Flares:	
MJU–52 Training Flares	2.0
MJU–52 War Reserve Flares	1.9

### MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

### Overview

The budget request contained \$3,575.2 million for Missile Procurement, Air Force in fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends authorization of \$3,482.6 million for fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends approval of the request except for

The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on affordability considerations. TITLE I - PROCUREMENT

	iousands)
	ц Ц
	llars
	2
1	9

		AUTHOI REG	AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	E FROM JEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION	ITTEE INDATION
		QTY.	COST	άτγ.	COST	QTY.	COST
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE Ballistor Masiles Missile Replacement Goupment - Ballistic Missile Replacement Eq.Ballis		48,685				48,685
	TOTAL BALLISTIC MISSILES		48,685				48,685
	OTHER MISSILES STRATFGIC						
N		,	•			,	
~	JASSM	100	54.240			100	54.240
4	JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON	113	55,740		(36,200)	113	19,540
	JSOW-B Termination				[-36,200]		[-36,200]
ഗ	SIDEWINDER (AIM-9X)	286	56,964			286	56,964
9 1	AGM-130 POWERED GBU-15 AMPAAM	- 161	- 80 503			161	
, A	HELLEIRE	-			10.000		10,000
	DERF				[+10,000]		[+10,000
	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES				•		
8	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		2,105				2,105
თ	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT - OTHER MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQ-OTHER		i				•
	TOTAL OTHER MISSILES		258,642		(26,200)		232,442
	MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES						
0	ADVANCED CRUISE MISSILE		3.376				3.376
2 -							
			580.701		23.200		603.90
i 🖸	AGM-65D MAVERICK	,	333		5,000	,	5,333
	H / K Upprades Air Force Reserve / Guard				[+2,000]		[+2'000]
-+	AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE		1,998			,	1,95
15	PEACEKEEPER (M-X)		•			,	'
6	MODIFICATIONS UNDER \$5.0M	•	•				
	TOTAL MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES		586.408		28.200		614.608

FROGRAM TITLE         FY 2003         COMMITTEE           FY 2003         COMMITTEE         AUTHORIZATION         COMMITTEE           SPARES AND REPAIR PAITS         AUTHORIZATION         CAMAGE FROM         REQUEST         REQUEST         REQUEST         REQUEST         REQUEST         COMMITTEE         AUTHORIZATION         COMMITTEE         COMMITTEE         AUTHORIZATION         COMMITTEE         AUTHORIZATION         COMMITTEE
оснам тіт.
OGRAM TITLE State Add Add Add ET Add Add Add Add Add Add Add Ad
PROGRAM TITLE PAIR PARTS AIR PARTS I. REPAIR P

TITLE I - PROCUREMENT

### Items of Special Interest

### Advanced extremely high frequency satellite

The budget request contained \$94.5 million in missile procurement Air Force, budget activity 05, Item 19, for advanced extremely high frequency (AEHF) satellite advanced procurement.

The committee is aware that the AEHF satellite program has been restructured, and therefore cannot execute the \$94.5 million funds requested for advanced procurement.

The committee recommends no funds in missile procurement Air Force for AEHF satellite advanced procurement, a decrease of \$94.5million.

### AGM-65 modifications

The budget request contained \$300 thousand for AGM-65 modifications, but included no funds for conversion of AGM-65 missiles to an upgraded configuration.

Two configurations of the AGM-65, a precision guided tactical missile employed on the F-16, F-15E and A-10 aircraft, are currently undergoing conversion to be upgraded for improved capability. The "G" configuration, with an infrared target seeker is being upgraded to the "K" configuration, which uses an updated electro-optical (EO) seeker. The "B" configuration, with an obsolete EO target seeker, is being upgraded to the "H" configuration, which also uses an updated EO seeker. The committee understands that planned production of AGM-65H and AGM-65K missiles in fiscal year 2003 will not occur at economic rates, and also will not provide sufficient training missiles for Air Force Reserve Command (AFRC) or Air National Guard (ANG) pilot proficiency training.

The committee recommends \$5.3 million, an increase of \$5.0 million to upgrade an additional 160 AGM-65 missiles to the "H" or "K" configuration, of which 100 missiles are for pilot proficiency training in the AFRC and ANG.

### Minuteman III modifications

The budget request contained \$580.7 million for Minuteman III (MM III) modifications, of which \$237.5 million was for the guidance replacement program (GRP) and \$290.3 million was for the propulsion replacement program (PRP). The MM III is a strategic ballistic missile capable of delivering special weapons against enemy targets at very long range.

The GRP replaces the MM III's guidance system with updated and more reliable components and the PRP refurbishes the MM III's booster to provide extended service life. The committee notes that the Air Force Chief of Staff has included MM III modifications contract cost growth and renewal among his unfunded priorities for fiscal year 2003 due to contract rate increases and higher than planned consumption of government furnished equipment in both the GRP and PRP. The committee also notes that the Air Force Chief of Staff has included the procurement of additional warhead shipping containers in this unfunded priority because the Nuclear Posture Review-directed warhead download options were revealed only after the fiscal year 2003 budget request had been submitted. The committee recommends \$603.9 million for MM III modifications, an increase of \$23.2 million to address GRP and PRP deficiencies and to procure warhead shipping containers.

### OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

### Overview

The budget request contained \$10,523.9 million for Other Procurement, Air Force in fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends authorization of \$10,907.7 million for fiscal year 2003.

The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on affordability considerations.

TITLE 1 - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands)	
FY 2003	COMMITTE
	CHANCE FD.

CONT         CONT <th< th=""><th>Line</th><th>PROGRAM TITLE</th><th>FY AUTHOF REC</th><th>FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST</th><th>COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST</th><th>×</th><th>FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION</th><th>003 ITTEE INDATION</th></th<>	Line	PROGRAM TITLE	FY AUTHOF REC	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	×	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION	003 ITTEE INDATION
OTHER PROCINEMENT, AIR FORCE       OTHER PROCINEMENT, AIR FORCE       DESCRIVE ARE CUIPMENT       PASSIBILIZE       STATION WAGON, 4/22       BUSES       STATION WAGON, 4/22       BUSES       STATION CAREOUTINTY VEHICLE       ARKOFENTITY METURITY, 34T, 4/24       TRUCK, CARGOUTINTY, 24T, 4/24       TRUCK, CARGOUTINTY, 34T, 4/24       TRUCK, CARGOUTINTY, 34T, 4/24       TRUCK, CARGOUTINTY, 34T, 4/24       TRUCK, CARGOUTINTY, 24T, 4/24       TRUCK, CARGOUTINT, 24T, 4/24       TRUCK, CARGOUTINT, 24T, 4/24       TRUCK, CARGOUTINT, 24T, 4/24       TRUCK, CARGOUTINT, 24T, 4/24       TRUCK, CARSH PAISONON       SECILI FURNELES       HMMWV, PARANGED       HMMWV, PARANGED       HMMWV, PARANGED       HMMWV, PARANGED       HMMWV, PARANGED       HMMWV, PARANGED       HMMWV, PARANG			QTY.	COST	ΩТΥ.	COST	QTY.	COST
PASSENGER CARTING VEHICLES ESDAN 4 DR 4/2 STATION WEILLANCE STATION MALLANCES STATION MELLANCES STATION MELLANCES MADUFED VEHICLE AMBORFED VEHICLE AMBORFED VEHICLE AMBORFED VEHICLE AMBORFED VEHICLE AMBORFED VEHICLE CARGO JTTLITY 34.1 4X4 TRUCK, CARGO JTTLITY 34.1 4X4 TRUCK, CARGO JTTLITY 34.1 4X4 TRUCK, CARGO JTTLITY 34.1 4X2 TRUCK, CARGO JTTLITY 34.1 4X4 TRUCK, CARGO JTTLITY 34.1 4X2 TRUCK, CARGO JTTLITY 34.1 4X2 TRUCK CARGA TRULE TRUCK TOW MB-2 TRUCK TOW TRUCK TOW MB-2 TRUCK TOW TRUCK TOW MB-2 TRUCK TOW TRUCK TOW MB-2 TRUCK TOW TRUCK		OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE VEHICLIS AR FOLIDMENT						
SEDAN, 4 DR 4X2 SEDAN, 4 DR 4X2 SUSES BUSES BUSES AMBULANCES LAW ENFORCEMENT VEHICLE AMBULANCES LAW ENFORCEMENT VEHICLE ARMORED ARMORED THACK ARMORED ARMORED THACK ARMORED ARMORED THACK ARMORED ARMORED THACK ARMORED ARMORED THACK ARMORED THACK ARMORED ARMORED THACK ARMORED ARMORED THACTOR, ACTOW, MB-2 THACTOR, ACTOW, MB-2 T		PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES						
STATION WAGON. 422 BUSES MARULANCES AMBULANCES AMBULANCES AMBULANCES AMBULANCES AMORED VEHICLE APMORED VITLITY VEHICLES TRUCK, CARGO JUTLITY VEHICLES TRUCK, CARGA TO TO, MB-2 TRUCK, CARGA HA HAWWY, ARMORED HAWWY, ARMORED HAWWY, ARMORED HAWWY, ARMORED TRUCK CARGA HP 19 TRUCK FLIANE EQUIPMENT TRUCK CRASH P19 TRUCK FLIANE EQUIPMENT TRUCK CRASH P19 TRUCK FLIANE SUIPMENT TRUCK CRASH P19 TRUCK CRASH P19 TRUCK FLIANE SUIPMENT TRUCK CRASH P19 TRUCK CRASH P19 TRU		SEDAN, 4 DR 4X2	35	552			35	552
BIJSES AMBULANCES AMBULANCES AMBOFED VICENTENT VEHICLE LAW ENCORCENTENT VEHICLE CARGO - UTILITY VEHICLE TRUCK, CARGO UTILITY 34.1, 4X.4 TRUCK, CARGO UTILITY 34.1, 4X.4 HIGH MBLIUHY TELICLE HIM WILLING TOTI AL VEHICLE HIM WILLING TOTI AL VEHICLE HIM WILLING TOTI AL VEHICLE HIM WILLING TOTI AL VEHICLE HIM WILLING TOTI AL AL VEHICLE HIM WILLING TOTI AL VEHICLE HIM WILLING TOTI AL AL VEHICLE HIM WILLING TOTI AL OF TOW, MB-2 TRUCK FIRM SEE TRUCK FIRM SECONDO SPECIAL UNIVER TOW, MB-2 TRUCK FIRM SECONDO SPECIAL AL AND SECONDO SPECIAL SECONDO SPECIAL AL AND SECONDO SPECIAL ALCONDO SPECIAL AL AND SECONDO SPECIAL ALCONDO SPECIAL ALC		STATION WAGON, 4X2	28	476			28	476
AMBULANCES     10       AMBULANCES     20       LAW ENFORCEMENT VEHICLE     70       ARMORED VEHICLE     2       REUCK, CARGO-UTILITY VEHICLE     2       FLUCK, CARGO-UTILITY VEHICLE     2       FLUCK, CARGO-UTILITY VEHICLE     2       FLUCK, CARGO-UTILITY VEHICLE     2       FLUCK, CARGO-UTILITY VEHICLE     2       FAMILY MAINTULITY VEHICLE     2       FAMILY MOBILITY VEHICLE     2       FAMILY MAINTULITY VEHICLE     2       FAMILY MOBILITY VEHICLE     2       FAMILY MOBILITY VEHICLE     2       FAMILY MAINTULITY DELIVERY     2       FAMILY MAINTOLICLY VEHICL     2       FAMILY MAINTOLICLY VEHICLE     2       FAMILY MAINTOLICLY VEHICL     2       FAMILY MAINTOLICLY VEHICL     2       FAMILY MAINTOLICLY VEHICLE     2       TIEMS LEST FLANS SCODOOD     2       HAWWY, UP-ARMORED     2 <t< td=""><td></td><td>BUSES</td><td>120</td><td>7,982</td><td></td><td></td><td>120</td><td>7,982</td></t<>		BUSES	120	7,982			120	7,982
THACKENENT VEHICLE     70       ARMORED VEHICLE     2       ARMORED VEHICLE     2       CARGO + UTILITY VEHICLE     2       TRUCK, CARGO-UTILITY, 347, 4X2     2       TRUCK, ANDRID     2       HIGH MOURT     2       HIGH MOURT     2       HIGH MOURT     2       HIMWW, ARNORED     2       HAWW, ARNORED     2       HAWWW, ARNORED     2		AMBULANCES	10	755			10	755
AMORED VEHICLE CARGO + UTILITY VEHICLES FRUCK, CARGO UTILITY VEHICLE AMILY MANITUTILITY/DELIVEHY FAMILY MANITUTILITY/DELIVEHY FAMILY MANITUTILITY/DELIVEHY FAMILY MANITUTILITY/DELIVEHY CAP VEHICLES HIMMWY, UP-ARMORED HIMMWY, UP-ARWORED HIMMWY, UP-ARMORED HIMMWY, UP-ARWORED HIMMWY, UP-ARWORED HIMWY, UP-ARWORED HIMWY, UP-ARWORED HIMWY, UP-ARWORED HIMWY, UP-ARWORED HIMW		LAW ENFORCEMENT VEHICLE	20	1,910			70	1,910
CARGO + UTILITY VEHICLES FRUCK, CARGO UTILITY, 341, 4X4 TRUCK, CARGO UTILITY, 341, 4X4 TRUCK, CARGO UTILITY, 341, 4X2 TRUCK, MANUTILITY/DELIVERY FAMILY MANUTILITY/DELIVERY FAMILY MENUTILITY/DELIVERY FAMILY MENUTILITY/DELIVERY HGH MOBILITY VEHICLE (MYP) HGH MOBILITY VEHICLE (MYP) HGH MOBILITY VEHICLE HMWW, ARMORED HMWW, ARMORED HAUVER EQUIPMENT TRUCK ARMORED HAUVER EQUIPMENT TRUCK ARMORED HAUVER EQUIPMENT TRUCK ARMORED HAUVER EQUIPMENT TRUCK ARMORED HAUVER EQUIPMENT TRUCK ARMORED HAUVER ARMORED HAUVER EQUIPMENT TRUCK ARMORED HAUVER ARMORED HA		ARMORED VEHICLE	0	465			2	465
TRUCK, CARGO-UTILITY, 341, 4X4 TRUCK, CARGO-UTILITY, 341, 4X2 TRUCK, CARGO-UTILITY, 341, 4X2 TRUCK, CARGO-UTILITY, 341, 4X2 TRUCK, MARLIUM TACTICAL VEHICL HIGH MBLIUM TACTICAL VEHICL HIGH MBLIUM TACTICAL VEHICL HIGH MBLIUM TACTICAL VEHICL HIMWY, JENARDED TRUCK PHAPRAS HIMWY, JENARDED HIMWY, JENARDED H		CARGO + UTILITY VEHICLES						
TRUCK, CAREGOLITILITY, 347. 4X2 TRUCK, CAREGOLITILITY, 0ELIVERY FAMILY MAINTUTILITY/DELIVERY FAMILY MAINTUTILITY/DELIVERY FAMILY MAINTUTILITY/DELIVERY CARA VERILLES THAM S5, 000,000 TEMS LESS THAN 55, 000,000 HIMMWY, UP-ARMORED TRACTOR, ACF TOW, MB-4 TRACTOR, ACF TOW, ACF TOW, MB-4 TRACTOR, ACF TOW, AC		TRUCK, CARGO-UTILITY, 3/4T, 4X4		9,681				9,681
TRUCK MANTUTILITYDELIVERY FRUCK MANTUTILITYDELIVERY FRUCK MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHCL HIGH MEDIUT VEHCLE (MYP) TERN LEGEST THAN SCIOLE (MYP) TERN LEGEST THAN SCIOLE HAWWY, ARMOFED HAWWY, ARMOVAND CLEANIN HAVERSEN LOADER HAVERSEN LOADER HAVERSE		TRUCK, CARGO-UTILITY, 3/4T, 4X2		5,162				5,162
FAMILY MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICL FAMILY MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICL GAP VEHICLE (MYP) CAP VEHICLE (MYP) CAP VEHICLE (MYP) CAP VEHICLE (MYP) TEMSLESS THAN \$5,000,000 FIEMSLESS THAN \$5,0		TRUCK MAINT/UTILITY/DELIVERY		10.475				10,475
HIGH MOBILITY VEHICLE (MYP) CHEY VEHICLE (MYP) CHEY VEHICLE (MYP) TEMS LESS THAN Sconcord HIMMW, ALARMORE VEHICLES HIMMW, UP-ARIMORED HIMMW, UP-ARIMORED HIMMW, UP-ARIMORED HIMMW, UP-ARIMORED HIMMW, UP-ARIMORED HIMMW, UP-ARIMORED HIMMW, UP-ARIMORED HIMMW, UP-ARIMORED HIMMW, UP-ARIMORED HIMMW, UP-ARIMORED HIMMW REAL SHANS, SONCOO TEMOS LESS THAN SCONCOO TEMOS REST THAN SCONCOO TEMOS REAL ST THAN SCONCOOL TEMOS REAL ST THAN SCONC		FAMILY MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICL		•				
CAP VEHICLES TEAK LESS THAN 55,000,000 SPECIAL THAN 55,000,000 SPECIAL UNENCIED HIMWWY, ATMANGED HIMWWY, ATMANGED HIMWWY, ATMANGED HIMWWY, ATMANGED HIMWWY, ATMANGED HIMWWY, ATMANGED HIMWWY, ATMANGEN HIMWWY, ATMANGEN HIMWWA THACTON, AC TOW, MB-2 THACTON, AC TOW, ACTON, AC TOW, MB-2 THACTON, AC TOW, AC TO		HIGH MOBILITY VEHICLE (MYP)	,	11.881			,	11,881
TEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 PECAL PUPPOSE VEHICLES HMMWY, UP-ARIORED HMMWY, UP-ARIORED THACTOR, ACT TOW, MB-4 THACTOR, ACT TOW, THACTOR, ACT TOW, THACTOR, ACT TOW, ACT TOW, ACT TOWART, ACT TOWART		CAP VEHICLES		792				792
SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES HMWWY, ARMORED HMWWY, ARMORED HMWWY, ARMORED HMWWY, AROTOR, MB-2 TRACTOR, NC TOW, MB-2 TRACTOR, NC TOW, MB-2 TRACCTOR, TAROX, TAROX, TRACCTOR, TAROX, TRACCTOR, TAROX, TRACCTOR, TAROX, TRACCTOR, TAROX, TRACCTOR, TAROX, TAROX		ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000		39,616				39,616
HIMWW, AFMORED HIMWW, UPARIORED HIMWW, UPARIORED TRACTOR, Nor, FUGHTLINE TRACTOR, Nor, FLIGHTLINE TRUCK ANNANT FLIC TRUCK ANNANT FLIC TRUCK ANNANT FLIC TRUCK ANNANT FLIC TRUCK ANNANT FLIC TRUCK ANNANT FLIC TRUCK ANNANT EQUIPMENT TRUCK ANNANT EQUIPMENT TRUCK ANNANT EQUIPMENT TRUCK ANNANT FLIC TRUCK ANNANT FLIC TRUCK ANNA SY ONDOR MATERIALS SUPPORT TRUCK ANNA SY OND ON TRUCK ANNA SY OND ON TRUCK ANNA SY OND ON CLEANIN		SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES						
		HMMWV, ARMORED		1,019				1,019
TRACTOR, MC TOW, MB-2         -		HMWWV, UP-ARMORED	1	3,629				3,629
TRACTOR, MC-TOW, MB-4 TRACTOR, TOW, FLIGHTLINE TRUCK HYDANT FLIC TEWS LESS THAN 55, 000,000 FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT TRUCK GATAB P-19 TRUCK GATAB P-19 TRUCK FLI 00,000 MATERIAL SANDLING EQUIPMENT TRUCK FLI 00,000 MATERIAL ANDLING EQUIPMENT TRUCK FLI 00,000 MATERIAL SANDLADER HALVERSS THAN 55, 000,000 MATERIAL SANDLADER TRUCK DUMP TRUCK DUMP		TRACTOR, A/C TOW, MB-2	,	2,726				2,726
TRUCK HORANT FUEL TRUCK HORANT FUEL TERUCK HORANT FUEL TERUCK HORANT FUEL TERN ESST HANS 5000000 FIEL FIGHTING EQUIPMENT TRUCK FATH PANDLING EQUIPMENT TRUCK FIL 10000 B TRUCK FIL 10000 B TRUCK FIL 10000 B HALVERSEN LOADER ALVERSEN FILANS 500000 B TRUCK FIL 10000 B TRUCK FILANOCE SUPPORT TRUCK DUPPORT TRUCK UNPARENOV AND CLEANIN		TRACTOR, A/C TOW, MB-4	1	6,143				6,143
TRUCK HYDRANT FUEL TERUCK HYDRANT FUEL TERUS LESS THAN 55,000,000 FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT TRUCK CRASH P-19 TERUSK CRASH P-19 TRUCK CRASH P-19 TRUCK CRASH P-19 TRUCK CRASH P-19 TRUCK CRASH P-19 TRUCK CRASH P-19 TRUCK FIGHT BOUTON FOR COMPACT TRUCK TRUCK SUPPORT TRUCK DUMP TRUCK DUMP		TRACTOR, TOW, FLIGHTLINE	,	7,928				7,928
TIEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 FIFE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT TRUCK CRAIR P-19 TEMUSK CRAIR P-19 TEMUSK FICHANDLING EQUIPMENT TRUCK FICH 10,000 MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT TRUCK FICHANDER TRUCK FICHADER TEMUSK SULADDER TEMUSK SULADDER TRUCK SULADER TRUCK SULAD		TRUCK HYDRANT FUEL	1	7,941				7,941
FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT TFLUCK CRASH P-19 TFLUCK CRASH P-19 TFLUCK CRASH P-19 TFLUCK FLUORD 10000 TRUCK FLUORD 10000 TRUCK FLUORD 10000 TRUCK FLUORD 100000 TRUCK PROVOMO TFLUCK PROVOMO 100000 TFLUCK PROVOMO 100000 TFLUCK PROVOMO 100000 TFLUCK PROVOMO 1000000 TFLUCK PROVOMO 1000000 TFLUCK PROVOMO 1000000 TFLUCK PROVOMO 10000000 TFLUCK PROVOMO 100000000 TFLUCK PROVOMO 100000000000000000000000000000000000		ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000	1	24,755			•	24,755
TENCK CRASH P-19 TENCK CRASH P-19 TENCK FAL 18A 000,000 MATERIA SA,000,000 TRUCK FAL 10,000 LIS TRUCK FAL 10,000 LIS TRUCK FAL 10,000 LIS TRUCK FAL 10,000 TEMS LESS THAN 55,000,000 TEMS LESS THAN 55,000,000 TEMS LESS THAN 55,000,000 TENCK DUPPORT		FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT						
TEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT THUCK, FLI, 10000 B HAUVERFIL LOADER HALVERSEN LOADER THAN \$5,000,000 TEMS ST THAN \$5,000,000 TEM SK, ST THAN \$5,000,000 THUCK UNPART THUCK, DUMP		TRUCK CRASH P-19		ı				•
THUCK FIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT THUCK FIA.10000 LB TUNNER LOADER THUNER PLOADER THAN ESST THAN SCROOT 00 BASE MAINTENACE SUPPORT THUCK DURPANCE SUPPORT THUCK DURPANCE SUPPORT		ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000	1	10,023			·	10,023
TRUCK, FA. 10.000 LB TUNNER LOADER HALVERSEN LOADER TEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 TEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 TENCK, DUNP TRUCK, DUNP		MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT						
11UNER LOADER HALVERSEN LOADER FEMSE JEST HAN SCIONOOD FEMSE SET HAN SCIONOOD FEMSE MAINTENACE SUPPORT FRUCK DUNN FULWAY SNOW REMOV AND CLEANIN		TRUCK, F/L 10,000 LB		14,572				14,572
HALVERSEN LOADER ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 BASE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT TRUCK, DUMP FULVEN, SNOW REMOV AND CLEANIN		TUNNER LOADER	38	84,329			88	84,329
TTEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 BASE MAINTENAUCE SUPPORT TRUCK, DUNP FULVEN DUNP FULVEN SNOW REMOV AND CLEANIN		HALVERSEN LOADER	86	49,554			86	49,554
BASE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT TRUCK, DUMP 		ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.000.000		10,922				10,922
TRUCK, DUMP 		BASE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT						
PUNWAY SNOW REMOV AND GLEANIN		TRUCK, DUMP	5					•
		RUNWAY SNOW REMOV AND CLEANIN		15,466				15,466
MODIFICATIONS		MODIFICATIONS		5,000				5,000
11FMS 1 FSS THAN \$5.000.000		ITEMS I ESS THAN \$5.000.000		24.369				095 10

A CONTRACTOR CONT	CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS TOTAL VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIP		AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	Σ	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION	COMMITTEE
A HELL NITER CONTRACT	(CCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS ULAR EQUIPMENT S AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIP	QTY.	COST	ΩΤΥ.	cost	ατγ.	COST
C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	ULAR EQUIPMENT S AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIP	•				•	
G S PR A HE NIT	S AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIP		358,123				358,123
	COMM SECTIDITY FOUNDMENT/COMPECT						
	III EQUIPMENT (COMSEC)		26.331		11,400		37.731
	DERF- Wireless Communications			Ŧ	[+3,000]		[+3,000]
	DERF- Computer Network Def			÷	+8,400]		[+8,400]
	NS (COMSEC) E PROGRAMS		460				460
	NTELEVERY TRAINING EQUIPME		1.310			,	1,310
	INTELLIGENCE COMM EQUIP		9,043		8,300		17,343
SPERT ACCHARTER	DERF- Develop JT TACT Terminals			Ŧ	+3,300]		[+3,300]
				±	+5,000]		[+2'000]
ALA NATIONAL AND	S PROGRAMS						
AT AC STRUCT AC	AIR TRAFFIC CTRL/LAND SYS (AT	•	52,038			•	52,038
	VATIONAL AIRSPACE SYSTEM	•	55,561			,	55,561
	HEATER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPRO		16,713			•	16,713
	VEATHER OBSERVE/FORECAST	•	29,071				29,071
	STRATEGIC COMMAND AND CONTROL		23,889			•	23,889
A G S C A	CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN COMPLEX		17,588				17,588
A GEN	UPPORT		406		10,000		10,406
A G S S A				<del>.</del>	[+10,000]		[+10,000]
A 0.0							
4	SPECIAL COMM-ELECTHONICS PHOJECTS		EE 790	c	206 894		961 679
AF			201,00	15	[1176 504]		[1176 504]
AF	DEDT: OldSplittu DEDT: OFF [attended Provident Provident Providents 11 D 4547						
	HILE BIAKA DI ARAMASI DELVICE / HAIRIER TO LUU. +0+1			- 2			
				53	1000		[+3,000]
				<u>r</u> _	1000.01		[mn:'e1]
		•	28,182				20,102
	MUDILIT COMMAND AND CONTROL		01 835 A1 835		46 800		BR 635
			conti t		+46 R001		[+46 800]
48 COMBAT TRA	OMBAT TRAINING PANGES	,	17.242	2	36.000	,	53.242
_	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY C		1,072			,	1,072
50 C3 COUNTERMEASURES	MEASURES		13,409		14,000		27,409
DERF- IW TNG	TNG			Ţ	[+2,000]		[+2,000]

## TITLE I - PROCUREMENT

i		
	(spu	
	Dollars in Thousands	
1	пTh	
	lars i	
	(Dol	

DIFF. Compute National Registering         OTV.         COST         OTV.         OTV.         OTV.         OTV.         OTV.         OTV.		PHOGRAM IIILE	AUTHO	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMN CHANG REQ	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 COMMITTE RECOMMEND	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
DETE: Compare Nervork Defense         (12,000)         (14,000)           DetE: Compare Nervork Defense         (14,000)         (14,000)         (14,000)           ARE LECE UNT ATTOR PEGRAM         12,733         (14,000)         (14,000)           BASE LECE UNT ATTOR PEGRAM         12,733         (14,000)         (14,000)           BASE LECE UNT ATTOR PEGRAM         13,830         (15,000)         (14,000)           BASE LECE UNT ATTOR NETALITIC DI VIETALTICTU         214,327         214,327         2           USCENTOM         117,000         113,000         113,000         113,000           USCENTOM         111,000         113,000         114,000         114,000         114,000           DSL PROPRISE         111,000         114,000         114,000         114,000         114,000           DSL PROPRISE         111,000         114,000         114,000         114,000         114,000           NUNSTRATECTION STORE         114,000         114,000         114,000         114,000         114,000           NUNSTRATECTION STORE         114,000         114,000         114,000         114,000         114,000         114,000         114,000         114,000         114,000         114,000         114,000         114,000         114,000			QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
Second Tommel Element         (+10,000)         (+10,000)         (+10,000)           SEE LEVEL END AND PROGRAM         SE 202         (+10,000)         (+1727)         2           SEE LEVEL END AND IN PRACTICUT         SE 202         SE 202         (+1727)         2           REPORES INFERIOUT         SE REVEL IN AUTO PROGRAM         1         3		DEHF- Computer Network Defense				[+2,000]		[+2,000]
BASE LECL CATA MATO PRICIAM         12.733         5.00		Secure Terminal Equipment				[+10,000]		[+10,000]
THE ATTER BATTLE MODE         56.202         57.202	51	BASE LEVEL DATA AUTO PROGRAM		12,793			,	12,793
Alf FORCE COMMUNICATIONS         214.777         2           BARE INCOMMICATIONS         9.839         9.839           DEFENDE ATTON INFRASTRUCTU         9.839         9.839           USER INCOMMISSION AND AND AND         13.100         9.839           DISA PROGRAMS         13.110         9.839           DISA PROGRAMS         13.110         9.839           DISA PROGRAMS         13.110         9.839           DISA PROGRAMS         13.110         13.100           NAVISTAR PROS SYNCE         13.100         13.100           NOTET DEFECTION SYS (NDS) STANDER         10.8281         10.938           SPACELIT FRAMES         10.8281         10.938           SPACELIT FRAMES         10.938         10.938           SPACELING NON SYS (NDS) STAND         10.938         10.938           SPACELING NON STAND         10.938         10.938           MILL TOTAL CFE COMMENT         11.447         11.447           COTICAL CFE COMMENT         13.447	22	THEATER BATTLE MGT C2 SVS		56,202				56,202
BASE INFORMATION INFRASTRUCTU         24,727         2         24,727         2		AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS						
USCENTION     9.839     -     -       DISFERISE MESSAGE SYSTEM (MS)     18.967     -     -       DISFERISE MESSAGE SYSTEM (MS)     18.967     -     -       DISFERISE MESSAGE SYSTEM (MS)     18.947     -     -     -       DISFERICION STATE     -     -     -     -     -     -       DISFERISE MESSAGE SYSTEM (MS)     SPACE     -     -     -     -     -       NUMSTARIZE     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       NUMSTARIZE     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       NUMSTARIA     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       NUMSTARIA     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     -       SPACE MODE SANCE     -	53	BASE INFORMATION INFRASTRUCTU		214,727				214,727
DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM (DMS)         13,67         13,67         1           DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM (DMS)         13,110         13,110         13,110         1           NAVSTAR GPS SPACE         13,110         13,110         13,110         1         1           NAVSTAR GPS SPACE         13,110         14,27         14,500         1         1           NAVSTAR GPS SPACE         10,338         10,338         10,338         10,338         1         1           SPACE UPTERCONTROL NETWORK         10,338         10,338         10,338         10,338         1         1         1           SPACE MODE SPACE         9,427         10,338         10,3427         1	2	USCENTCOM		9,839				9,835
DISA PROCIAMING         13,110         13,110         14,110 <t< td=""><td>55</td><td>DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM (DMS)</td><td></td><td>18,967</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>18,967</td></t<>	55	DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM (DMS)		18,967				18,967
NUMETAR GES SPACE         13,110         1           NUMETAR GES SPACE         13,10         1           SPACELIFT FAURCIER         1,537         1           SPACELIFT FAURCIER         1,537         1           SPACELIFT FAURCIER         1,538         1,538           SPACELIFT FAURCIER         1,538         1,538           SPACE MODS SPACE         1,538         1,538           SPACE MODS SPACE         1,338         1,538           SPACE MODS SPACE         1,338         1,34,427         1           SPACE MODS SPACE         1,34,427         1,34,427         1           SPACE MODS SPACE         1,34,427         2,600         1           SPACE MODS SPACE         1,104         1,104         1           SPACE MODS SPACE         1,104         1,104         1           SPACE MODS SPACE         2,228         1,5,000         1           COLVAUDIONISAL EQUIPMENT         2,228         1,5,000         1           T EQUIPARET         2,228         1,5,000         1         1           COMART SURVUCH FAURCITURE         2,228         1,5,000         1         1           CONDECUPARET         2,238,538         1,336,538         1,37 <t< td=""><td></td><td>DISA PROGRAMS</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		DISA PROGRAMS						
NUDET DEFECTION SYS (NOS) SPA         7.97         7.97         7           SPACELIFT FONTPOL NETWORK         7.97         7.97         7           SPACELIFT FONTPOL NETWORK         6.065         7.97         7           SPACELIFT ANGLES SYNTEM SPACE         10.82.01         10.82.01         7           SPACELIFT ANGLES SYNTEM SPACE         10.82.01         10.83.01         10.82.01           MASATOM SPACE         10.82.01         10.83.01         10.82.01         10.82.01           SPACE MORS SACE         10.82.01         10.83.01         10.82.01         10.82.01         10.82.01           CRANIZATION AND EAST         COMMAN SPACE         11.040         11.040         11.040         11.040           COMMAN SPACE         COMMAN SPACE         2.80.01         11.040         11.040         11.040           COMMAN SPACE         COMMINERSTRUCTURE         2.80.00         11.040         2.80.00         14.10.000         14.10.000           COMMAN SPACE         COMMINERSTRUCTURE         2.80.00         15.000         14.10.000         14.10.000           COMMAN SPACE         COM & ELECT         1.104         2.80.000         15.000         14.10.000         14.10.000         14.10.000         14.10.000         14.10.000         1	56	NAVSTAR GPS SPACE		13,110				13,110
AF SATELITE CONTROL NETWORK         56,663         5,663         1           AFSATELITE FANCE SYSTEM SPACE         6,663         5,663         1           MILSATCOM SPACE         6,563         10,338         1           MILSATCOM SPACE         13,427         1         1           SPACELIFT FANGE SYSTEM SPACE         1,0338         1         1           ORGANIZATION AND EAST         1,0338         1         1         1           ACTICAL C.E EQUIPMENT         1,1049         1         1         1         1           ACTICAL C.E EQUIPMENT         2,0290         1,5,000         1         1         1           ACTICAL C.E EQUIPMENT         2,02,900         1,5,000         1         1         1         1           ACTICAL C.E EQUIPMENT         2,02,900         1,5,000         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1 <t< td=""><td>57</td><td>NUDET DETECTION SYS (NDS) SPA</td><td></td><td>7.937</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>7,937</td></t<>	57	NUDET DETECTION SYS (NDS) SPA		7.937				7,937
SPACELIFT FANGE SYSTEM SPACE         102.281         1           SPACE MODE SPACE         103.980         103.980         1           SPACE MODE SPACE         103.980         103.980         1           SPACE MODE SPACE         103.980         103.980         1           SPACE MODE SPACE         103.980         1         1           SPACE MODE SPACE         103.980         1         1           RECTON SPACE         103.980         1         1           RECTON SPACE         134.427         1         1           COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LICATE         134.427         2         2           COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LICATE         1         134.427         1         1           COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER         2         2         2         2         2           COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER         2	58	AF SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK		45,063				45,063
MI.SATCOM SPACE         45,688         -         45,688         -         -         1           MI.SATCOM SPACE         0,838         -         1,938         -         -         1         -         -         1         -         -         1         -         -         -         1         -         -         -         1         -         <	59	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE		108,281				108,281
SPACE MODE STACE         10,338         10,338         1           SPACE MODE STACE         13,442         13,442         1           TACITICAL CE EQUIPMENT         13,442         13,442         1           TACITICAL CE EQUIPMENT         13,422         13,422         1           TACITICAL CE EQUIPMENT         13,422         13,423         1           RADIO ELECTION REVER LOCATE         13,422         2,800         1         1           RADIO ELECTIONER         2,800         1,5,000         1         1         1           RADIO ELECTIONER         2,220         1,5,000         1	8	MILSATCOM SPACE		45,698				45,696
ORGNIZATION AND BASE         134,27         14,42         14,43         14,44         14,44         14,44         14,44<	61	SPACE MODS SPACE		10,938			•	10,936
TACTICAL CE EQUIPMENT         134.427         1           TACTICAL CE EQUIPMENT         10.949         1           FADIO EQUIPMENT         6.001         6.001           T EQUIPMENT         2.000         1.0.49         1           T EQUIPMENT         2.000         1.0.49         1           T EQUIPMENT         2.000         1.0.49         1           T EQUIPMENT         2.000         1.0.40         1           T EQUIPMENT         2.000         1.0.40         1           ASE COMM INFRACTURE         2.000         1.5.000         1           BASE COMM INFRACTURE         2.02.900         1.5.000         1           Carbobase GIS         1.000         1.1.000         1         1           CAROBASE COMM INFRACTURE         0.000         0.0.000         1         1           CAROBASE COMM INFRACTORE         0.0.000         0.0.000         1         1           CAROBASE COMM INFRACTORE         0.0.000         0.0.000         1         1         1           CAROBASE COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT         1.384.457         336.584         1         1         1           CAROBASE COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT         1.384.457         336.584         1         1		ORGANIZATION AND BASE						
COMBAT SURVICIE EVADER LOCATE         11,049         1           RADIO COUMENT         2,801         2           TV EQUIPMENT         2,801         2           TV EQUIPMENT         2,801         2           TV EQUIPMENT         2,801         2           TV EQUIPMENT         2,801         2           CETVAURDENTURE         2,829         15,000         2           CETVAURDENTIAL ECUIPMENT         2,220         1,5,000         1           CETVAURDENTIAL         2         200,000         1,5,000         1           CETVAURDENTIAL         2         20,000         1,5,000         1         1           GeoBase GIS         COM & ELECT         200,000         1,1,000         1         1           COM ELECT MODS         COMM ELECT MODS         1,384,457         336,584         1,7           TOTAL ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EOUPMENT         1,384,457         336,584 <td< td=""><td>82</td><td>TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT</td><td>•</td><td>134,427</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>134,427</td></td<>	82	TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT	•	134,427				134,427
FADIO EQUIPMENT         6.001         0.0         0.001         0.0         0.001         0.0         0.001         0.0 <th0.0< th="">         0.0         0.0</th0.0<>	63	COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LOCATE	•	11,049				11,045
Ty EQUIPMENT (AFFIT)         2.620         1.61         1.61         1.61         1.61         1.61         1.61         1.61         1.61         1.61         1.61         1.61         1.61         1.61         1.71 <th1.71< th=""> <th1.71< th=""> <th1.71< th=""></th1.71<></th1.71<></th1.71<>	25	RADIO EQUIPMENT		8,801			,	8,801
CCTVAUDICVISIVLE COUPMENT         3.329         15,000         2           CCTVAUDICVISIVLE COUPMENT         0.329         15,000         1           CERF COMM INFERSTRUCTURE         0.329         15,000         1         1           Carbon & ELECT         0.000         0.203         15,000         1         1           Cond & ELECT         0.000         0.203         1 <td< td=""><td>65</td><td>TV EQUIPMENT (AFRTV)</td><td></td><td>2,620</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2,620</td></td<>	65	TV EQUIPMENT (AFRTV)		2,620				2,620
BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE         202,900         15,000         -         2           GedBase IGS         - </td <td>99</td> <td>CCTV/AUDIOVISUAL EQUIPMENT</td> <td></td> <td>3,259</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>3,255</td>	99	CCTV/AUDIOVISUAL EQUIPMENT		3,259			•	3,255
Geolase GIS         Geolase GIS         [+15,000]         [+1           Care Con & ELECT TEMS.LEST THAN S.000,000         9,278         [+15,000]         [+1           ModiFicAritons         9,278         9,278         1,1           ModIFICARTIONS         68,694         9         1,1           COMM ELECT MODS         68,694         1,3         1,1           TOTAL ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT         1,384,457         336,584         1,7           TOTAL ELECTRONICS AND SUPPORT EQUIP         1,384,457         336,584         1,7           TENE RASE MANTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP         1,384,457         336,584         1,7           OTHER BASE MANTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP         1,384,457         336,584         1,7           TENE RESETTION PACKAGE         1,384,457         336,584         1,7           PREALC CALIBRATION PACKAGE         1,107         1,107         1,107           PRESEND SAFET VAN SCION000         8,059         1,107         1,107         1,107           PRESONAL SAFET VAN SCION000         8,059         9,312         4,000         1,107         1,107           PRESONAL SAFET VAN SCION0000         9,312         4,000         9,312         4,000         1,107         1,107         1,107	67	BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE		202,900		15,000	•	217,900
CAP COM & ELECT         9.278         9.276         9.278         9.276         9.278         9.278         9.278         9.278         9.278         9.278         9.278         9.278         9.278         9.278         9.278         9.457         3.36,584         1,7 </td <td></td> <td>GeoBase GIS</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>[+15,000]</td> <td></td> <td>[+15,000]</td>		GeoBase GIS				[+15,000]		[+15,000]
TIEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000         9.278         9.244         9.77         9.35,584         9.77         9.35,584         9.77         9.35,584         9.77         9.35,584         9.77         9.35,584         9.77         9.35,584         9.77         9.35,584         9.77         9.35,584         9.77         9.35,584         9.77         9.35,584         9.77         9.35,584         9.77         9.77         9.35,584         9.77         9.700         9.77 <td>68</td> <td>CAP COM &amp; ELECT</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td>	68	CAP COM & ELECT	•					•
MODIFICATIONS         68,694         5         68,694         1,7           TOTAL ELECT MODS         TOTAL ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT         1,384,457         336,584         1,7           TOTAL ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT         1,384,457         336,584         1,7           TOTAL ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT         1,384,457         336,584         1,7           OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP         1,384,457         336,584         1,7           DESEAUC CALIERATION PACKAGE         1,107         1,107         1,107         1,107           PERSONAL SAFETY AND RESCUE EQUIP         6,059         6,059         1,107         1,107         1,107           PERSONAL SAFETY AND RESCUE EQUIP         0,059         0,059         0,531         1,007         1,07         1,07         1,07         1,07         1,07         1,07         1,07         1,07         1,07         1,07         1,07         1,07         1,07         1,000         1,000         1,000         1,07         1,000         1,07         1,07         1,07         1,07         1,070         1,070         1,070         1,07         1,07         1,07         1,070         1,070         1,070         1,070         1,070         1	69	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000		9,278				9,278
COMM ELECT MODS         COMM ELECT MODS         68,694         -		MODIFICATIONS						
TOTAL ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT         1.384.457         336,584         1,7           OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP TEST EQUIPMENT         1.384.457         336,584         1,7           OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP TEST EQUIPMENT         0.1380         1.386         1.107         1.107           PRIMENT STANDARDS LABORATORY         0.1107         0.1107         1.107         1.107         1.107           PRIMENT STANDARDS LABORATORY         0.000000         0.009         0.009         0.009         1.107         1.107           PRIMENT STANDARDS LABORATORY         0.000000         0.009         0.009         0.009         1.107	22	COMM ELECT MODS		68,894				68,894
OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP         13,809         10,805         13,809         10,800         <		TOTAL ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT		1,384,457		336,584		1,721,041
BASEALC CALIBRATION PACKAGE         -         13,809         -         <		OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP TEST FOUNDMENT						
BASEALC VALIENT IN TAXABLE - 1,00 FIRIMATY STANDARDS LABORATORY - 1,107 - 1,10	7							12 800
TEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 - 8,059 - 8,059 - 9,000,000 - 9,000,000 - 9,000,000 - 9,000,000 - 9,000 - 9,000 - 9,012 - 9,010 - 9,012 - 9,000 - 9,012 - 9,010 - 9,012 - 9,010 - 9,012 - 9,010 - 9,012 - 9,010 - 9,010 - 9,012 - 9,010 - 9,010 - 9,012 - 9,010 - 9,	5 2	BASE/ALU UALIBHATION PAUKAGE PRIMARY STANDARDS I ARORATORY		1.107				1.107
PERSONAL SAFETY AND RESCUE EQUIP NIGHT VISION GOGGLES ITEMS LESS THAN S6,000,000 ITEMS LESS THAN S6,000,000	1 12	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000		8.059				8,055
NIGHT VISION GOGGLES - 3,814		PERSONAL SAFETY AND RESCUE EQUIP						
ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 - 9,312 4,000 -	74	NIGHT VISION GOGGLES		3,814			•	3,814
	75	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000	•	9,312		4,000		13,312

TIMMOO	

Line	PROGRAM TITLE	FUTHC AUTHC RE	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	CHANG	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY COMI RECOMIN	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
		QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
	DEPOT PLANT + MATERIALS HANDLING EQ						
76	MECHANIZED MATERIAL HANDLING	•	25,612		8,000		33,612
	Point of Maintenance Initiative				[+8,000]		[+8,000]
11	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000		12,256			,	12,256
	ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT						
80	FLOODLIGHTS		11,023	_		,	11,023
46	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000		6,201				6,201
	BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
80	BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT		11,321		14,000	,	25,321
	Combat Arms Training System ANG				[+14.000]		[+14.000]
<b>,</b>	MEDICAL/DENTAL EQUIPMENT		13.992				13,992
~	ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS		817			ı	817
83	AIR BASE OPERABILITY		5.700	~			5.700
4	PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT		5,893	_		,	5,893
9	PRODUCTIVITY ENHANCING CAPITA		7,806				7,806
g	MOBILITY EQUIPMENT	•	102,990				102,990
~	AIR CONDITIONERS	•	9,593	_			9,593
80	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000		16,131			•	16,131
	SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS						
Ø	INTELLIGENCE PRODUCTION ACT	•	47,160				47,160
0	TECH SURV COUNTERMEASURES EQ		4,057				4,057
-	DARP RC135		13,123			•	13,123
N	DARP, MRIGS	•	115,777				115,777
m	SELECTED ACTIVITIES		8,098,917				8,098,917
4	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM		178,876				178,876
95	DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE		6,694	_	18,600	•	25,294
	DERF- Classified				[+18.600]		[+18.600]
G	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS		'			,	
~	MODIFICATIONS		201			,	201
86	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORT		9.767				9.767
98A	SUPPORT PROGRAMS		. '		2,600	,	2,600
	DERF				[+2,600]		[+2,600]
	TOTAL OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP		8,740,008		47,200		8,787,208
	SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS						
66	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	•	41,358	~		•	41,358

Line	PROGRAM TITLE	AUTHOI REC	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	TEE FROM IST	FY COMP RECOMM	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
		QTY.	QTY. COST QTY.	QTY.	COST	COST QTY. COST	COST
TOTAL SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS	PAIR PARTS		41,358				41,358
TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	REMENT, AIR FORCE		10,523,946		383,784		10,907,730

TITLE 1 - PROCUREMENT (Dollars in Thousands)

lds)

### **Items of Special Interest**

### Combat arms training system (CATS)

The budget request contained \$11.3 million for base procured equipment, but included no funds for CATS. CATS is a computerbased simulation system that provides marksmanship training for security force personnel as well as training to manage less-than-lethal judgmental scenarios.

The committee understands that since September 11, 2001, Air National Guard (ANG) bases, which are each equipped with one CATS, are used daily to train security force personnel, and that, as a result of this daily use, a second CATS is required at each ANG base leaving a shortfall off 117 systems. With limited access to firing ranges and training munitions, the committee believes that the CATS is proving to be an essential asset to meet the marksmanship and weapons certification training, and situational scenario readiness requirements, of ANG personnel.

The committee recommends \$25.3 million for base procured equipment, an increase of \$14.0 million, for the CATS.

### *Combat training ranges*

The budget request contained \$17.2 million for combat training ranges, but included no funds for the unmanned threat emitter (UMTE) program.

The committee understands that both the Nellis and Eielson air combat training ranges are not configured with training systems which emulate the most advanced adversary surface-to-air missile and anti-aircraft artillery systems, and believes that the UMTE program will address this requirement.

Consequently, the committee recommends \$53.2 million for combat training ranges, an increase of \$36.0 million for the UMTE program.

### Eagle vision

The budget request contained \$9.0 million for intelligence communication equipment, but included no funds for procurement of the eagle vision system.

Eagle vision is a mobile off-the-shelf downlink and processing system that utilizes commercial satellites for imagery. The committee understands that the eagle vision system will incorporate the ability to overlay high-resolution national imagery over unclassified commercial data, and will also provide a capability to transmit this data to warfighters in the field within minutes. The committee notes its past support for the eagle vision system and believes that it should be continued to provide the warfighter with imagery support capability that is not available by other means.

The committee recommends an increase of \$5.0 million for procurement of the eagle vision system.

### *General information technology*

The budget request contained \$55.8 million for general information technologies, but included no funds for procurement of the parts and repair item support (PARIS) program or for the science and engineering lab data integration (SELDI) program. The PARIS program is a computer-based system that facilitates the management of technical data related to source qualification and outsourced repairs for use by the Air Force's Air Logistics Centers. The committee understands that the PARIS program has demonstrated the ability to accurately and rapidly identify both defective parts and their vendors, so that the those parts, identified as defective, could be quickly removed from service and the applicable vendors could be removed as the approved supplier of those parts. Additionally, the committee understands that use of the PARIS program has already saved an estimated \$15.0 million in fiscal year 2001, and believes that its increased use will result in future parts, repair and labor cost savings. The committee recommends an increase of \$9.0 million for the PARIS program.

The Air Force Material Command's science and engineering lab captures, analyzes and disseminates lab test data to the Air Force's engineering and system overhaul operations, and the committee understands that the SELDI program would facilitate this mission by providing more rapid lab data access affecting overhaul operations, providing accident investigators with immediate access to lab results of failed components, enabling component failure trend analysis, and implementing new acoustic signature sensors to ensure the proper chemical composition of materials and equipment. Since the committee further understands that the SELDI would improve operational aircraft readiness, increase flight safety and reduce support costs, it recommends an increase of \$9.5 million, for procurement of the SELDI program.

The committee recommends a total increase of \$18.5 million for general information technologies.

### GeoBase centralized geographic information system (GIS)

The budget request contained \$202.9 million for base communications infrastructure, but included no funds for procurement of the GeoBase centralized GIS.

The GeoBase centralized GIS would link all aspects of a base's infrastructure information to a computer-generated map display so relationships between people and processes can be displayed in a geographical context. The committee understands that the Air Force's major and minor installations currently use disparate and outdated systems and processes that do not facilitate the visibility of assets, requirements, and processes in a geographic context to allow enhanced management efficiency, and believes that the GeoBase centralized GIS would standardize base management functions in a more accessible, easily-understood, and effective manner.

The committee recommends \$217.9 million for base communications infrastructure, an increase of \$15.0 million for procurement of the GeoBase centralized GIS.

### *Point of maintenance initiative*

The budget request contained \$25.6 million for mechanized material handling equipment, but included no funds for procurement of the point of maintenance initiative (POMX).

The POMX is a maintenance data collection program that was designed and developed by the Logistics Systems Office of the Air Force Materiel Command. The committee notes that the POMX objective is to increase the timeliness and accuracy of maintenance data collection while reducing the administrative burden on maintenance technicians, and understands that its use has already been validated at one Air Force base.

To ensure the efficiency and accuracy of maintenance-related data, the committee recommends \$33.6 million for mechanized material handling equipment, an increase of \$8.0 million, for the POMX.

### Thinpack parachutes

The budget request contained \$9.3 million for personal and safety items of less than a \$5.0 million value, but included no funds for procurement of thinpack parachutes.

Due to its longer repack cycle and extended service life, the committee believes that replacement of existing parachutes with the thinpack parachute would result in substantial life cycle cost savings as it has in the Navy's P–3 and E–2C aircraft programs. For this reason, the committee has strongly supported thinpack parachutes, previously known as the lightweight environmentally sealed parachute assembly, and understands that both the Air Force Special Operations Command and Air Mobility Command are developing the thinpack parachute in fiscal year 2002 so that production can commence in early 2003.

Consistent with its previous actions, the committee recommends \$4.0 million to procure thinpack parachutes for use in Air Force aircraft.

### PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE

### Overview

The budget request contained \$2,688.5 million for Procurement, Defense-Wide in fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends authorization of \$2,621.0 million for fiscal year 2003.

The committee recommends approval of the request except for those programs adjusted in the following table. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on affordability considerations.

GTV         COST         GTV         GTV <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>AUTH</th><th>FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST</th><th>COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST</th><th>COMMITTEE HANGE FROM REQUEST</th><th>COMN</th><th>FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION</th></t<>			AUTH	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	COMMITTEE HANGE FROM REQUEST	COMN	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
The form     84,964     32,750     1       The form     18,452     14,500     1       PROGRAM     18,452     14,500     1       PROGRAM     -     -     18,452     1,45,000       PROGRAM     -     -     18,452     1,45,000       PROGRAM     -     -     500     -       PROGRAM     -     -     -     500       Typerodram     -     -     -     -       SSANCE PROGRAM     -     -     -     -       IT     -     -     -       SSANCE PROGRAM     -			QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST	αtγ.	COST
S         84.964         32.750         1           Denter         84.964         32.750         1           Denter         18,452         34.900         1           Denter         18,452         34.300         1           C PROGRAM         18,452         34.300         1           IRITY PROGRAM         18,452         34.300         1           IRITY PROGRAM         19,452         11000         1           AISSANCE PROGRAM         11000         1         1           AISSANCE PROGRAM         37.544         11000         1           BELLGIENCE PROGRAM         3.335         7.400         1           Berse         3.335         7.400         1         1           Berse         3.335         7.400         1         1           Berse         2.442         3.355         7.400         1         1           Berse         2.442         2.442         1         1         1         1           Berse         2.442         2.442         1         1         1         1         1           Berse         2.442         2.442         1         1         1         1	PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE							
S     32,750     1       C PROGRAM     84,964     32,750       Jintry Program     18,452     304,358       Jintry Program     19,452     304,358       Structor     3,542     14,200       Structor     3,552     7,400       Structor     2,442     14,200       Structor     2,442     14,200 <td>MAJOR EQUIPMENT</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	MAJOR EQUIPMENT							
Ontler     84,964     32,750     1       Ontler     84,964     32,750     1       Ontler     18,452     304,358     1       IFITY PEOGRAM     18,452     304,358     1       IFITY PEOGRAM     1     1     1       AISSANCE PROGRAM     1     1     1       AISSANCE PROGRAM     1     1     1       IFITY PEOGRAM     1     1     1       AISSANCE PROGRAM     1     1     1       AISSANCE PROGRAM     1     1     1       IFITY PEOGRAM     1     1     1       AISSANCE PROGRAM     1     1     1       IFITY     3     3     3     3       IFITY     3     3     3     3       IFITY     3     3     1     1       IFITY     3     3     1     1       IFITY     3     3     3     3       IFITY     3     3	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD/WHS							
Onlice         84,964         32,750         -         -         1           C PROGRAM         - <td>MOTOR VEHICLES, WHS</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td>	MOTOR VEHICLES, WHS			,			•	•
Center     18,452     18,452     14,5000     14,5000       C PPOGRAM     -     -     18,452     304,358     -       IFITY PROGRAM     -     -     -     500     -       IFITY PROGRAM     -     -     -     500     -       IFITY PROGRAM     -     -     -     -     -       IFITY PROGRAM     -     -     -     -     -       AISSANCE PROGRAM     -     -     -     -     -       BITTY PROGRAM     -     -     -     -     -       BITTY PROGRAM     -     -     -     -     -       BI	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD			84,964		32,750		117,71
C PROGRAM C PROGRAM C PROGRAM C PROGRAM AliSarvo E predim AliSarvo E predim AliSarv	DERF- National Fire Training Center					[000'6+]		00'6+j
C PROGRAM IRITY PROGRAM IRITY PROGRAM Alssavcz PROGRAM Alssavc	DFRF- HDRT					[+3,750]		1+3.75
C PROGRAM C PROGRAM C PROGRAM C PROGRAM Alssavcc Proside Alssavcc Proside Alssavcc Proside ELILIGENCE PRORM Alssavcc Proside ELILIGENCE PRORM Alssavcc Proside Alssavcc Proside ELILIGENCE PRORM Alssavcc Proside Alssavcc Proside Alss								14.00
C PROGRAM IRITY PROGRAM IRITY PROGRAM Alssavcc PROGRAM Alssavc						[1000/01]		00 01 1
C PROGRAM FILTY PROGRAM FILTY PROGRAM AISSANCE AISSANCE AISSANC						[nnn'z+]		nn'zi +]
C PRICERAM         -	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS		•	18,452		304,358	•	322,8
C PFIGGRAM AlfSTY PFIGGRAM AlfSTY PFIGGRAM AlfSTY PFIGGRAM AlfSTY PFIGGRAM AlfSTY PFIGGRAM AlfSTY PFIGGRAM ELLIGENCE PFIGM ELLIGENCE PFIGM ense ELLIGENCE PFIGM ense ense ense ense ense ense ense ens	DERF- Classified					[+304,358]		[+304,35
C PROGRAM JHTY PROGRAM LIJISANCE PROGRAM ELLIGENCE PROGRAM ELLIGEN	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, NSA							
INTY PROGRAM     [+500]       INTY PROGRAM	CONSOLIDATED CRYPTOLOGIC PROGRAM					500		20
JHTY PROGRAM ELLIGENCE PROGRAM ELLIGENCE PROGRAM ELLIGENCE PROGRAM ELLIGENCE PROGRAM ELLIGENCE PROGRAM ELLIGENCE PROGRAM ELLIGENCE PROGRAM E FAITON						1,6001		1.450
Alssance Procram Alssance Procram ELLIGENCE PROGRAM						innet]		27 <u>1</u>
RISSANCE PROGRAM	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM							•
ELLIGENCE PROGRAM	DEFENSE AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE PRGN	V						'
IHTY - 37,544 11,000 - 14,000 - 14,000 - 14,000 - 14,000 - 14,000 - 14,000 - 14,000 - 14,000 - 14,000 - 14,000 - 14,000 - 14,000 - 14,000 - 14,000 - 14,000 - 14,000 - 15,000 - 15,000 - 15,000 - 15,000 - 15,000 - 14,000	DEFENSE COUNTERDRUG INTELLIGENCE PRO	GRAM		•				
HITY - 37,344 11,000 - 14,500 - 14,500 - 14,500 - 14,500 - 14,500 - 14,500 - 14,500 - 14,500 - 14,500 - 14,500 - 14,500 - 14,200	MAJOR EQUIPMENT. DISA							
erse: er	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY		,	37.544		11.000		48.54
start     1     1     1     1     1       start     1     3.35     1     1     1       start     1     3.35     1     1     1       start     1     1     1     1     1 <td>DERF. Committer Network Defense</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>[+5.500]</td> <td></td> <td>[+5.50</td>	DERF. Committer Network Defense					[+5.500]		[+5.50
E 1447 1 335 1,5000 1 1,5000 1 1,7000 1 1,7000 1 1,7000 1 1,7000 1 1,7000 1 1,7000 1 1,7000 1 1,7000 1 1,7000 1 1,7000 1 1,7000 1 1,7000 1 1,7000 1 1,1000 1	DEDE, Sociare Mobile Mirelace					[1200]		05+1
E 1-3.000 - 1 Ster to H.H. 447 - 3.35 1, 400 - 1 Ster to H.H. 447 - 3.455 (7,400)						10001		00-1-1
aterio H.R. 4547	DEAF- Collaboration 1001 Suite					[nnn'c+]		00'0+]
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	CONFINULIY OF OPERATIONS		•	3,355		1,400		2/'nL
. 19,425 . 2,442 . 2,442 . 2,442 . 5,5,42 . 5,5,42 . 5,5,42 . 5,7,000 . 5,7,000 . 5,7,000 . 15, . 6,900 . 7 [+3,600] . 7 [+3,600] . 7 . 10,000 . 15 . 10,0000 . 15 . 10,0000 . 15 . 10,0000 . 15 . 10	DERF- OEF Bandwidth / Transfer to H.R. 4547					(2,400)		(7,4(
. 3453 2,442 . 2,442 . 53,542 . 53,542 . 517,000 . 55,474 . 6,500 . 56,500 . 56,5000 . 56,50000 . 56,50000 . 56,50000 . 56,50000 . 56,500000 . 56,500000 . 56,500000000000000000000000000000000000	DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM		•	19,425				19,42
. 2,442 . 5,3,542 . 5,3,542 . 5,3,542 . 517,000 . 517,000	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYS		•	3,453				9,4,
	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM			2.442				2,42
10,000     -     53,542     -     -     517,000     - </td <td>STANDARD TACTICAL ENTRY DOINT</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ı</td> <td>. '</td>	STANDARD TACTICAL ENTRY DOINT						ı	. '
image: strain				C3 C10				20 67
- 517,000 [-517,000] - 55,474 [-517,000] - 55,474 [-517,000] - 55,474 [-1,100] [-5,1	I ELEPUNIS		•	240,00			•	20,00
157     157     157     157     157       System     25,474     6,900     15       System     1,000     1       stems     1,100     1       stems     1,360     1       stems     1,400     1       stems     1,400     1       stems     1,400     1       stems     1,400     1	GLOBAL INFORMATION GRID		•	517,000		(517,000)	•	'
System     25,474     6,900     -       System     25,474     6,1000]     [       Stems     [+1,400]     [       stems     [+3,600]     [       s     [+3,600]     [       s     [+3,600]     [	DERF- Transfer to H.R. 4547					[-517,000]		[-517,00
System [+1,000] [ stems [+1,000] [ stems [+1,000] [ [-1,400] [ [+3,600] [ [+900] ] s v(UNCATIONS 10,000 -	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M			25,474		6,900		32,37
	DERF- Teleconferencing System			,		[+1.000]		[+1.00
sterns is is [+3.600] [ 5 [+900] [ 6 [+900] 10.000 -						111100		1110
IS [+3.60] [-3	UERF- Iransponable aysients					[+1,400]		21-1-1
s [+900] [+900] 10,000 -	DERF- NIPRNET Systems					[+3,600]		1+3,60
NUNICATIONS - 10,000 -	DERF- Coalition Systems					[006+]		106+]
10,000 -	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DIA							
	INTELLIGENCE AND COMMUNICATIONS					10,000	,	10.00

COMMITTEE	CHANGE FROM	REQUEST	
FY 2003	AUTHORIZATION	REQUEST	
H			

Line	PROGRAM TITLE	F1 AUTHC RE	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	ITTEE E FROM JEST	FY COMR RECOMM	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
		QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
[	INTELLIGENCE PLANNING AND REVIEW ACTIVITIES						
19	HEADQUARTERS MANAGEMENT DIA						•
-	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DLA						
20	MAJOR EQUIPMENT	•	9,304				9,304
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCAA						
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M		1,500				1,500
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS						
22	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS		31,836		25,000		56,836
	DERF				[+25,000]		[+25,000]
	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION						
	PATRIOT PAC-3		•				'
24	C4I						
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DHRA						
25	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION		7,404				7,404
	NATIONAL IMAGERY AND MAPPING AGENCY						
26	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, NIMA		•		12,600		12,600
	DERF- Integration of airborne data				[+1,000]		[+1,000]
	DERF- IEC Work Stations				[+2,000]		[+2,000]
	DERF- Libraries Communications				[+2,000]		[+2,000]
	DERF- Libraries Storage				[+7,600]		[+7,600]
	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY						
27	VEHICLES		80				80
	OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT		36,896				36,896
	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY						
50	OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT						
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, AFIS						
90	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, AFIS		7,762			•	7,762
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DODDE						
31	AUTOMATION/EDUCATIONAL SUPPORT AND LOGISTICS		2,404				2,404
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCMA						
32	MAJOR EQUIPMENT		13,677				13,677
	TOTAL MAJOR EQUIPMENT		876,484		(113,892)		762,592
	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND						
	AVIATION PROGRAMS						
g	SOF ROTARY WING UPGRADES		289,792		19,200	,	308,992
	ARSOA Avionics Enhanced Awareness				[+19,200]		[19,2,81+]
2			14 000				10.02

arry         cost         arry         arry         cost         arry         cost         arry         arry         arry         cost         arry         arry <th< th=""><th>arx         cost         ary         a</th><th>Line</th><th>PROGRAM TITLE</th><th>F AUTHC RI</th><th>FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST</th><th>COM CHANG REG</th><th>COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST</th><th>FY 2003 COMMITTE RECOMMEND</th><th>FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION</th></th<>	arx         cost         ary         a	Line	PROGRAM TITLE	F AUTHC RI	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COM CHANG REG	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 COMMITTE RECOMMEND	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
MC:33H: COMPENT TALON II         61.46         60.000         1           CV:230F: COMPENT TALON II         55.60         60.000         1           CV:330F: GOURSTIP ACQUISTION         55.60         60.000         1           C:330F: GOURSTIP ACQUISTION         55.60         60.000         1           C:330F: GOURSTIP ACQUISTION         55.60         60.000         1           SHERULING         7.1889         7.1889         60.000         1           SHERULING         5.100         7.1889         101         101         101           SHERULING         5.100         7.1889         3.501         101         1           SHERULING         5.100         7.1889         3.501         101         1           SHERULING         5.100         7.1889         3.730         3.730         3.730         3.730           AVANCED SEX LELIVERY SYS         AVANCED SEX LELIVERY SYS         3.444         3.730         <	MC:320H COMPERT TALON II         6,143         6,143           CV2:320H CONFECTION         5,500         60000         1           CV2:320H CONFECTION         5,500         60000         1           CV3:320H CONFECTION         7,289         6,000         1           C-330 CONFIGURATION         7,289         6,000         1           C-30 MODIFICATION         7,289         6,000         1           C-30 MODIFICATION         7,289         6,000         1           C-30 MODIFICATION         7,289         7,000         1           C-30 MODIFICATIONS         7,289         7,000         1           SHERULING         9,430         3,501         9,500           SHERULING         9,444         3,501         9,444           SUMARDIS SKU DELIVERY SYS         9,444         9,444           SUMARDIS SKU DELIVERYSYS         9,444         9,444			QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
Signal (Missile Action)         5500         60.000         5500         60.000         5500         60.000         5500         60.000         5500         60.000         5500         60.000         5500         60.000         5500         60.000         5500         60.000         5500         60.000         5500         60.000         5500         60.000         5500         60.000         5500         60.000         5500         60.000         5500         60.000         5500         60.000         5500         80.000         5500         80.000         5500         80.000         5500         80.000         5500         80.000         5500         80.000         5500         80.000         5500         80.000         5500         80.000         5500         80.000         5500         80.000         5500         80.000         500         80.000         500         80.000         500         80.000         500         80.000         500         80.000         500         80.000         500         80.000         500         80.000         500         80.000         500         80.000         500         80.000         500         80.000         500         80.000         500         80.000         500.0000	C1:300 LONDIFCATION         55.60         60.000         1           DEF         55.00         66.000         1           DEF         7.300 LONDIFCATION         1         10           DEF         55.00         66.000         1           DEF         1300 LONDIFCATION         1         10           DEF         5.301         1         10           DEF         1300 LONDIFCATION         1         10           ADARDED SEAL DELIVERY SYS         25.501         460.000         1           ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS         25.501         37.300         37.300           ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS         34.340         34.340         34.340           ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS         36.000         1         1         14.400           ADVANCED SEAL DELIVE	l 🛛	MC-130H COMBAT TALON II	.	8,148				8,148
47:300 GUNSHIP ACQUISTION         65:500         66:000         1           0:15F         1:100         1:100         1:100           0:15F         1:300 MODIFICATIONS         1:100         1:100           0:15F         1:300 MODIFICATIONS         1:100         1:100           0:15F         1:300 MODIFICATIONS         1:100         1:100           0:130 MODIFICATIONS         1:100         1:100         1:100           0:130 MODIFICATIONS         1:100         1:100         1:100           0:130 MODIFICATIONS         1:100         1:100         1:100           0:130 MODIFICATIONS         1:1300         1:100         1:100           0:100         1:120         1:1300         1:100         1:100           0:1000         1:120         1:120         1:120         1:100           0:1000         1:120         1:120         1:120         1:120           0:1000         1:120         1:120         1:120         1:120           0:1000         1:120         1:120         1:120         1:120           0:1000         1:120         1:120         1:120         1:120           0:1000         1:120         1:120         1:120         1:12	C.:30: divisitie Acquisition         65.502         60.000         1           C:30: divisitie Acquistrion         7.7.869         460.000         1           C:30: divisitie Acquistrion         7.7.869         460.000         1           C:30: divisitie Acquistrion         7.7.869         460.000         1           SHERULIONS         5.35.01         7.7.869         460.000         1           SHERULIONS         5.35.01         101         3.35.01         1         1           SHERULIONS         5.35.01         1.35.01         3.43.01         1	g	CV-22 SOF MODIFICATION	,	58,540				58,540
DEFE         1000         1400000           INCREDISTIONS         1000         1400000           INCREDISTIONS         1000         1400000           INCREDISTIONS         1000         1400000           INCREDISTIONS         1000         1400000           ADVANCED SELUPENTY SYS         35.501         10000           ADVANCED SELUPENTY SYS         25.501         10000           ADVANCED SELUPENTY SYS         24.300         34.300           ADVANCED SELUPENTY SYS         34.300         34.300           ADVANCED SELUPENTY PROCEENSES         34.300         34.300           ADVANCE ACOUNTION         7.000         7.00           SOF ORDMANCE ACOUNSTIONS         7.000         14.400           ADVANCE ACOUNSTIONS         7.000         14.400           ADVANCE ACOUNSTIONS         7.000         14.400           ADVANCE ACOUNSTIONS         7.000 <td< td=""><td>DEFE         10000         10000         10000           DEFE         100000         10000         10000         10000           ARCRANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS         255.00         100000         10000         100000           ARCRANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS         2000000000000000000000000000000000000</td><td></td><td>AC-13011 GUNSHIP ACOUISITION</td><td></td><td>65.502</td><td></td><td>60.000</td><td></td><td>125,502</td></td<>	DEFE         10000         10000         10000           DEFE         100000         10000         10000         10000           ARCRANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS         255.00         100000         10000         100000           ARCRANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS         2000000000000000000000000000000000000		AC-13011 GUNSHIP ACOUISITION		65.502		60.000		125,502
C130 MODIFICATIONS     77889       C130 MODIFICATIONS     77889       SHERULENES     910       SHERULENES     913       SHERULENES     913       SHERULENES     913       SHERULENES     913       ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS     913       ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY VEH     913       ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY VEH     914       ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY VEH     914       SUBMARINE CONVERTIONAL AMON OPTICATIONAL AMON OPTIC	C130 MODIFICATIONS         7.188           C130 MODIFICATIONS         7.188           SHPBULUNG         SEAU ELIVERY SYS           SHPBULUNG         55.501           SHPBULUNG         55.501           SHPBULUNG         55.501           SHPBULUNG         55.501           SHPBULUNG         55.501           AVANCED SEAL BELIVERY SYS         55.501           AVANCED SEAL BELIVERY SYS         57.301           AVANCED SEAL BELIVERY SYS         54.44           SANDERSIDE         28.626           AVANCED SEAL BELIVERY SYS         54.44           SANDERSIDE         2.078           SANDELARNENE         2.078           <		DERF				[+60.000]		[+60.000]
AIRCENT SUPPORT     101       AIRCENT SUPPORT     55.01       AIRCENT SUPPORT     55.01       AIRVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS     55.01       ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS     55.01       ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS     55.01       ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS     57.01       ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS     54.44       ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS     8.444       ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY VEH     54.44       SUMMARINE CONVERSION     8.444       ANNUNTIN PROCARAIS     28.62       ANNUNTIN PROCARAIS     7.078       ORDENANCE RECOUNTON     7.078       ANNUNTIN PROCARAIS     7.078       ORDENANCE RECOUNTON     7.078       ORDENANCE RECOUNTON <t< td=""><td>AIRCENET SUPPORT         101           AIRCENET SUPPORT         55.01           AIRCENE SEL DELIVERY SYS         35.501           ADVANCED SEL DELIVERY SYS         35.501           ADVANCED SEL DELIVERY SYS         35.501           ADVANCED SEL DELIVERY SYS         37.700           ADVANCED SEL DELIVERY SYS         34.444           ADVANCED SEL DELIVERY SYS         34.730           ADVANCED SEL DELIVERY SYS         34.444           SO FORDANCE FELLENSING         7.078           SO FORDANCE FELLENSING         7.078           ADVANCE SYSTEM         35.7           ADVANCE SYSTEMS         35.7           SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS         37.7           ADVANCE SYSTEMS         35.7           ADVANCE SYSTEMS         35.7           ADVANCE SYSTEMS         3.16           ADVANCE SYSTEMS         3.16</td><td>80</td><td>C-130 MODIFICATIONS</td><td>,</td><td>77.889</td><td></td><td>[]</td><td></td><td>77,88</td></t<>	AIRCENET SUPPORT         101           AIRCENET SUPPORT         55.01           AIRCENE SEL DELIVERY SYS         35.501           ADVANCED SEL DELIVERY SYS         35.501           ADVANCED SEL DELIVERY SYS         35.501           ADVANCED SEL DELIVERY SYS         37.700           ADVANCED SEL DELIVERY SYS         34.444           ADVANCED SEL DELIVERY SYS         34.730           ADVANCED SEL DELIVERY SYS         34.444           SO FORDANCE FELLENSING         7.078           SO FORDANCE FELLENSING         7.078           ADVANCE SYSTEM         35.7           ADVANCE SYSTEMS         35.7           SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS         37.7           ADVANCE SYSTEMS         35.7           ADVANCE SYSTEMS         35.7           ADVANCE SYSTEMS         3.16           ADVANCE SYSTEMS         3.16	80	C-130 MODIFICATIONS	,	77.889		[]		77,88
Stiperutions     5.501       Stiperutions     5.501       Stiperutions     5.501       ADVANCED Stal DELIVERY SYS     5.501       ADVANCED Stal DELIVERY SYS     3.501       ADVANCED Stal DELIVERY SYS     3.730       ADVANCED Stal DELIVERY VEH     3.464       SUBMATIC CONVERSION     3.464       ANNUTION PROGRAMS     2.8628       ADVANCE FRELINGENCE     3.707       ANNUTION PROGRAMS     2.8628       ANNUTION PROGRAMS     2.078       CONFERDIMENT & ELECTRONCS     2.078       CONFERDIMENT & ELECTRONCS     3.166       ADV HOMMENT & ELECTRONCS     3.166       ADV HOMMENT & ELECTRONCS     3.166       ADVANCER & ADULINERT & ELECTRONCS     3.166       ADVANCER & ADULINERT & ELECTRONCS     3.166       ADVANCENT & ELECTRONCS     3.166       ADVANCENT & ELECTRONCS     3.166       ADVANCE & ADULINERT & ELECTRONCS     <	SHPEULUNG     35.501       SHPEULUNG     55.501       DVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS     55.501       ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS     37.50       ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS     34.740       ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS     34.740       ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS     34.740       ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY VEH     34.740       SUBMARTIC ONVERSION     8.444       SUBMARTIC ONVERSION     8.446       SOF OPDIATIONAL ERECTIONAL AMON OPDIATIONAL AMON OPDIATI	σ		,	FOF				101
ÖVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS     35,501       ÖVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS     34,730       MANNED SEAL DELIVERY SYS     34,730       ME VIII MOD 1 - SEAL DELIVERY SYS     34,730       ME VIII MOD 1 - SEAL DELIVERY SYS     34,730       ME VIII MOD 1 - SEAL DELIVERY VEH     24,64       SUBMARINE EREINISHIKTI     7,078       SOF ORDINATOR AMOU VORTING CAPITAL FUND     7,078       SOF ORDINATOR AMOU VORTING CAPITAL FUND     7,078       SOF ORDINATOR AMOU VORTING CAPITAL FUND     7,078       SOF ORDINATION EREINISHING     7,078       SOF ORDINATION RELICIENCE SYSTEMS     8,166       FORM     6,000       OR MELLINERUS     1,46,000       PICAC     8,166       OR MELLINERUS     1,46,000       ANL AMNS VARTORS     1,4400       ANL AMNS VARTORS     5,327       ANL AND AND CAPITAL FUND     5,745       ANL LUBINER COUPMENT     5,745       ANL AND AND CAPITAL     1,4400       ANL AND AND AND CAPITAL     1,4400       ANL AND AND AND CAPITAL     5,745       ANL AND	ÖNWICED SEAL DELIVERY SYS     35.01       ÖNWICED SEAL DELIVERY SYS     13.650       ADVANCEED SEAL DELIVERY SYS     34.730       ADVANCEED SEAL DELIVERY SYS     34.730       ADVANCEED SEAL DELIVERY SYS     34.730       MIX VIII MOD 1 - SEAL DELIVERY SYS     34.730       MIX VIII MOD 1 - SEAL DELIVERY SYS     34.730       MIX VIII MOD 1 - SEAL DELIVERY SYS     34.730       MIX VIII MOD 1 - SEAL DELIVERY VEH     34.730       SUBMARINE CONVERSION     34.744       ADVANCE REPLENISHMENT     28.628       SOF ORDIVARIOS     7.078       SOF ORDIVARIOS     7.078       ADVANCE REPLENISHMENT     28.628       ADVANCE REPLENISHMENT     7.078       SOF ORDIVARIOS     7.078       ADVANCE REPLENISHMENT     7.078       SOF ORDIVARIOS     7.078       ADVANCE REPLENISHMENT     7.078       SOF ORDIVARIOS     7.078       ADVANCE REPLENSION     7.078       ADVANCE REPLENSION     7.078       ADVANCE REPLENSION     7.078       ADVANCE REPLENSION     7.078       ADVANCE REPLANATION     7.078       <	6							2
ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS         (13,687)         (13,687)           ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY VEH         (13,687)         (13,687)           AMMUNTION PROGRAMS         (13,687)         (13,687)           AMMUNTION PROGRAMS         (14,6400)         (14,6400)           AMMUNTION RECONCERSION         (14,600)         (14,600)           AMMUNTION RECONCERSION         (14,600)         (14,400)           AMMUNTION RECONCERSION         (14,600)         (14,400)           AMMUNTION RECONCERSION         (14,600)         (14,400)           ATALES         (14,600)         (14,400)           COMM EQUIPMENT ALENDORS         (14,400)         (14,400)           PROCOMMENT MODS         (14,400)         (14,400)           ATAL	ADVANCED SEXI DELIVERY SYS         (13.697)           ADVANCED SEXI DELIVERY SYS         -           ADVANCES SEXI DELIVERY SEXION         -           ADVANCES RELEVENT PROGRAMS         -           CONNECTIONAL AMON ONTRICK ENTERING         -           ADVENT & ELECTRONICS         -           ADVENT & ELECTRONICS         -           ADVENT & ELECTRONICS         -           ADVENT REALIMENT A ELECTRONICS         -           ADVENT & ELECTRONICS         -           ADVENT REALIMENT A ELECTRONICS         -           ADVENT REALIMENT A ELECTRONICS         - <td>c</td> <td>ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS</td> <td>,</td> <td>35.501</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>,</td> <td>35.50</td>	c	ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS	,	35.501			,	35.50
ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS     ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS       MININTER DELIVERY VEH     94730       MININTER DELIVERY VEH     9444       SOF OFDNANCE REPLENEHMENT     8.444       SOF OFDNANCE REPLENEHMENT     7.07       SOF OFDNANCE REPLEMENT     7.00       SOF MARTINE COURDARY     7.76       MARTINE EOUIPHENT     7.76       MARTINE EOUIPHENT     7.75       MARTINE EOUIPHENT     7.75       SOF MARTINE EOUIP	ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SKS     ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SKS       ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SKS     ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SKS       MK VIII MOD 1 - SEAL DELIVERY VEH     -       AURINATION REDIZERANS     -       MK VIII MOD 1 - SEAL DELIVERY VEH     -       AURINATION PROGRAMS     -       SOF ORDINARCE TERLENISHMENT     -       SOF ORDINARCE ACTURN     -       AGN LEURARCE     - <td></td> <td>ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS</td> <td>,</td> <td>(13.697)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>(13,69)</td>		ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS	,	(13.697)				(13,69)
ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS         34,70           ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS         3,431           SEAL DELIVERY VEH         3,431           SUBMARINE CONVERSION         3,434           SUBMARINE CONVERSION         3,444           SUBMARINE CONVERSION         2,628           SOF OFDIVANCE RELEVENTHAL         2,628           SOF OFDIVANCE RELEVENTHAND         7,078           SOF OFDIVANCE RELEVENTHAND         7,078           CONVENTION MORPHING CAPITAL FUND         7,078           CONVENTIONAL AMMO WORKING CAPITAL FUND         7,078           CONM EQUIPARIAT RELECTIONICS         2,078           CONM EQUIPARIAT RELECTIONICS         4,1768           PICIN         2,078           COM	ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS         34,700         34,7400         34,744,000         34,745         34,765         34,745         34,745         34,745         34,745         34,745         34,745         34,745         34,745 <td></td> <td>ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>. '</td>		ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS		-				. '
MK WII MOD 1 - SEAL DELIVERY VEH         8,44           UBMARINE CONVERSION         8,44           UBMARINE CONVERSION         8,44           AMMUNTION PROGRAMS         2,528           SOF ORDWALE REPENDING CAPITAL FUND         7,078           SOF ORDWALE REPENDING         2,628           SOF ORDWALE REPENDING         2,626           SOF ORDWALE REPENDING         2,600           SOF ORDWALE REPENDING         2,076           SOF ORDWALE REPENDING         4,766           SOF ORDWALE REPORTANCE         4,766           SOF SMAL REPROCIANS         4,766           AT - LISTWORD         4,766 <td>MK WII MOD 1 - SEAL DELIVERY VEH         8.44           MK WII MOD 1 - SEAL DELIVERY VEH         9.44           MK WII MOD 1 - SEAL DELIVERY VEH         9.44           AMMUNTION PROGRAMS         2.8628           CONFERDIAL AMMO WORKING CAPITAL FUND         7.078           SOF ORDWANCE REPENSION         7.078           CONFERDIAL AMMO WORKING CAPITAL FUND         7.078           SOF ORDWANCE REPENSION         7.078           CONFERDIAL AMMO WORKING CAPITAL FUND         7.078           SOF ORDWANCE RECENSION         7.078           CONFERDIAL AMMO WORKING CAPITAL FUND         7.078           SOF MITELLIGENCE SYSTEMS         8.166           COMMERTIANDORS         8.166           SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS         7.178           An Uphweight Generate Lamcher         1.4,5000           An Uphweight Generate Lamcher         1.4,768           An Uphweight Generate Lamcher         1.4,768           An Uphweight Generate Lamcher         1.4,768           An Uphweight Generate Lamcher         1.4,400           An Uphweight Generate Lamcher         1.4,400</td> <td>-</td> <td>ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS</td> <td></td> <td>34,730</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>34.73(</td>	MK WII MOD 1 - SEAL DELIVERY VEH         8.44           MK WII MOD 1 - SEAL DELIVERY VEH         9.44           MK WII MOD 1 - SEAL DELIVERY VEH         9.44           AMMUNTION PROGRAMS         2.8628           CONFERDIAL AMMO WORKING CAPITAL FUND         7.078           SOF ORDWANCE REPENSION         7.078           CONFERDIAL AMMO WORKING CAPITAL FUND         7.078           SOF ORDWANCE REPENSION         7.078           CONFERDIAL AMMO WORKING CAPITAL FUND         7.078           SOF ORDWANCE RECENSION         7.078           CONFERDIAL AMMO WORKING CAPITAL FUND         7.078           SOF MITELLIGENCE SYSTEMS         8.166           COMMERTIANDORS         8.166           SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS         7.178           An Uphweight Generate Lamcher         1.4,5000           An Uphweight Generate Lamcher         1.4,768           An Uphweight Generate Lamcher         1.4,768           An Uphweight Generate Lamcher         1.4,768           An Uphweight Generate Lamcher         1.4,400	-	ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS		34,730			•	34.73(
suBMARINE CONVERSION SUBMARINE REPLAY CONVERSION SOF ORDWARC REPLAY AMO WORKING CAPITAL FUND SOF ORDWARC REQUISION OTHER PROCHEMENT FORGRAMS OTHER PROCHEMENT PROGRAMS OTHER PROCHEMENT PROGRAMS OTHER PROCHEMENT PROGRAMS OTHER PROCHEMENT RELECTRONICS OF MALL ANNO WORKING CAPITAL FUND OTHER PROCHEMENT RELECTRONICS SOF MILLIGENCE SYSTEMS OF SMALL ANNO WORKING CAPITAL FUND PC/C PC/C PC/C PC/C PC/C PC/C PC/C PC/	SUBMARINE CONVERSION SUBMARINE RELEVISION SAMUTION PROCAMAS SOF OFDIMANCE REPLEVISION SOF OFDIMANCE REPLEVISION SOF OFDIMANCE ACOUNTION SOF OFDIMANCE ACOUNTION SOF OFDIMANCE ACOUNTION SOF OFDIMANCE ACOUNTION SOF OFDIMANCE ACOUNTION SOF ORDERNIE SOF ORDERNIE ACOUNTION ACOUNTION SOF MALLIARNA & WEAPONS OF MALLIARNA & WEAPONS OF ACOUNTION ACOUNTION ACOUNTION ACOUNTION ACOUNTION ACOUNTION SOF ACTIVIC SOF MALLIARNA & WEAPONS ACOUNTION AC	~	MK VIII MOD 1 - SEAL DELIVERY VEH		8,484				8,48
AMMUNITION FEACEFAMS     28,628     2       AMMUNITION FEACEMENT     2     2       CONVENTIONAL AMMO WORKING CAPITAL FUND     7.078     7.078       CONVENTIONAL AMMO WORKING CAPITAL FUND     2.0827     6.000     1       CONMECUMMENT & ELECTRONICS     2.078     8.166     6.000     1       CONMECUMMENT & ELECTRONICS     2.078     8.166     6.000     1       CONMECUMMENT & ELECTRONICS     2.078     8.166     14.000     1       COMMECUMMENT & ELECTRONICS     2.078     8.166     14.000     1       COMMECUMMENT & ELECTRONICS     2.075     6.000     1     1       COMMECUMMENT & ELECTRONICS     2.075     6.000     1     1       COMMECUMMENT     2.075     6.000     1     1     1       ATACS     ATACS     6.000     1     1     1       COMMENTANT CERTER     ATACS     5.325     5.325     1     1       ATACS     ATACS     5.325     5.325     5.325     1     1       COMMENTANT CERTER     5.325     5.325	AMMUNTION FEACEAMS         28,628         -         2           CONVENTION FEACEMENT         -		SUBMARINE CONVERSION	ı					
SGF CHDINANCE REPLENISHMENT         28,628         28,628         28,528           SGF CHDINANCE ACURSTITION         7,078         2,078         2,078           SGF CHDINANCE ACOUNSTITION         7,078         2,078         2,078           SGF CHDINANCE ACOUNSTITION         7,078         2,078         2,078           SGF CHDINANCE ACOUNSTITION         7,078         2,000         1,145           COMME PROCURAMINT PROCURAMINS         6,000         1,14,000         1,14,000           SGF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS         6,000         1,14,000         1,14,000         1,14,000           SGF SMALL PRINS         6,000         1,14,000         1,15         1,15         1,15         1,15         1,15         1,15         1,15         1,15         1,15         1,15         1,15         1,15<	SGF OFDINANCE REPLENISHMENT         28,628         2         <		AMMUNITION PROGRAMS						
CONVENTIONAL AMMO WORKING CAPITAL FUND         7,078         7,078         7,078         7,078         7,078         7,078         7,078         7,078         7,078         7,078         7,078         7,078         7,078         7,078         7,078         7,078         7,000         7,14         7,000         7,14         1,14,10,500         1,14         1,14         1,14 </td <td>CONVERTIONL         .         7,078         .</td> <td>-</td> <td>SOF ORDNANCE REPLENISHMENT</td> <td></td> <td>28,628</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>28,628</td>	CONVERTIONL         .         7,078         .	-	SOF ORDNANCE REPLENISHMENT		28,628			•	28,628
OF CADIMANCE ACOUSTION         7,078         7,078         7,078         7,078         7,078         7,078         7,078         7,078         7,078         7,078         7,078         7,078         7,078         7,078         7,000         7,078         7,000         7,078         7,000         7,078         7,000         7,078         7,000         7,01         7,14         7,14         7,14         7,14         7,14         7,14         7,14         7,14         1,14,300         7,14         1,14,300         7,14         1,14,300         7,14         1,14,300         7,14         1,14,300         7,14         1,14,300         1,14,300         1,14,300         1,14,300         1,14,300         1,14,400         1,	SIGE OFDMANCE ACOURSTION         7,078         7,000         1 <td>10</td> <td>CONVENTIONAL AMMO WORKING CAPITAL FUND</td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>'</td>	10	CONVENTIONAL AMMO WORKING CAPITAL FUND	•	•				'
OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS         28,827         6,000         1           COMMEDIPINATION ELECTIONICS         8,166         6,000         1           PLOFC         8,166         6,000         1           PLOFC         9,166         1,45000         1           PLOFC         9,166         1,45000         1           PLOFC         9,166         1,4300         1           SOF NUTLIERCURPARTING         9,166         1,4300         1           PLOFC         9,144         1,4300         1           Act. Up/weight Genade Lamcher         1,4300         1         1           Art-LSS         650         6,285         1,4300         1           Art-LSS         7,445         5,327         5,327         1           Art-LSS         5,327         5,327         5,327         1         1           Art-LSS         5,327         5,327         5,327         1         1         1           Art-LSS         5,327         5,327         5,327         5,327         5         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1 <td>CTHER PROCUREMENT PROCRAMS         COTHER PROCUREMENT PROCRAMS         COTHER PROCUREMENT &amp; LECTIONICS         28,827         6,000         1         1           SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS         SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS         8,166         6,000         1         1           PLCAC         SPALL APINS &amp; WEAPONS         -         3,166         1,4000         1         1           PLCAC         SPALL APINS &amp; WEAPONS         -         -         4,768         1,43000         1         1           Adv. Lighweight Genate Laurcher         Adv. Lighweight Genate Laurcher         -         5,327         5,327         1</td> <td></td> <td>SOF ORDNANCE ACOUISITION</td> <td></td> <td>7.078</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>7.078</td>	CTHER PROCUREMENT PROCRAMS         COTHER PROCUREMENT PROCRAMS         COTHER PROCUREMENT & LECTIONICS         28,827         6,000         1         1           SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS         SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS         8,166         6,000         1         1           PLCAC         SPALL APINS & WEAPONS         -         3,166         1,4000         1         1           PLCAC         SPALL APINS & WEAPONS         -         -         4,768         1,43000         1         1           Adv. Lighweight Genate Laurcher         Adv. Lighweight Genate Laurcher         -         5,327         5,327         1		SOF ORDNANCE ACOUISITION		7.078				7.078
COMM EQUIPMENT & ELECTRONICS         28,827         6,000         1           SOF INTELLOENCE SYSTEMS         9,166         6,000         1           PICRC         8/146         14,6000         1           SOF SMALL AFINS & WEAPONS         4,768         14,6000         1           Arta-CS         41,165         14,4001         1           Arta-CS         650         1,44,4001         1           Arta-CS         650         1,44,4001         1           Arta-CS         650         1,44,4001         1           Arta-CS         650         1,44,4001         1           Arta-CS         650         5,325         1         1,05,000           Soft COMBATIC MART CMART	Comm EQUIPMENT & ELECTRONICS         28.827         28.827         1           S FORM EQUIPMENT & ELECTRONICS         9.166         6.000         1           PICHG         SYSTEMS         8.166         6.000         1           PICHG         SYSTEMS         4.768         14,6000         1           S FARALL ATMS & WEAPONS         Are. Lighweight Genade Launcher         1         1           Art-LGS         Martine COUMMENT CARET SYSTEMS         5         5.227         1         1           Art-LGS         MARTINE COUMMENT CARET SYSTEMS         5         5.327         5.327         1         1         1           Art-LGS         MARTINE COUMMENT         5         5.327         5.327         1		OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS						
SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS         8,166         6,000         -         1           PICTO         PICTO         4,768         1,46,000         -         1           SOF SMALL AFMS & WEAPONS         -         4,768         1,46,000         -         1           SOF SMALL AFMS & WEAPONS         -         -         4,768         1,4,000         -         1           Art-CS         MARITIME EQUIPMENT MODS         -         -         5,525         -         1,14,000         -         +         1,14,000         -         +         1,14,000         -         +         1,14,000         -         +         1,14,000         -         +         1,14,000         -         +         1,14,000         -         +         1,14,000         -         +         1,14,000         -         +         1,14,000         -         +         1,14,000         -         +         1,14,000         -         +         1,14,000         -         +         1,14,000         -         +         1,14,000         -         +         1,14,000         -         +         1,14,000         -         +         1,14,000         -         +         -         +         -         -         -	SoF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS         8,166         6,000         1           PCRC         PCRC         4,768         6,000         1,4           SOF SMALL APMS & WEAPONS         -         4,768         1,4,000         1,4           Art. Liphweight Grenade Laurcher         -         4,768         1,4,000         1,4           Art. Liphweight Grenade Laurcher         -         -         1,4,000         1,4           Art. Liphweight Grenade Laurcher         -         -         6,505         1,4         1,4           Art. Liphweight Grenade Laurcher         -         -         6,525         1,4         1,4         1,4         1,4           Art. Liphweight Grenade Laurcher         -         -         6,525         1,4	~	COMM EQUIPMENT & ELECTRONICS		28.827				28,827
PICRC         14,6000         14,6000         14,6000         14,6000         14,6000         14,6000         14,6000         14,6000         14,6000         14,6000         14,6000         14,6000         14,6000         14,6000         14,6000         14,6000         14,6000         14,1000         14,1000         14,1000         14,1000         14,1000         14,1000         14,1000         14,100,100         14,100,100         14,100	PICRC         Free         146,000         14,6001         14,4001         14,4001         14,100,100         12,10,100         14,	~	SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS		8,166		6,000		14,166
SOF SMALL AFINS & WEAPONS         4.768         14.900         1           Adv. Lightweight Grenade Launcher         4.768         14.900         1           Adv. Lightweight Grenade Launcher         650         14.4001         1           Adv. Lightweight Grenade Launcher         650         14.4001         1           Adv. Lightweight Grenade Launcher         650         14.4001         1           Adv. Lightweight Grenade Launcher         650         1+10.5001         1+1           Adv. Lightweight Grenade Launcher         650         1+10.5001         1+1           So Forestranks         6.285         6.285         1+10.5001         1+1           So Forestranks         6.327         6.328         5.327         1+1         1+1           So Forestranks         6.328         6.327         5.327         1+1         1+1         1+1         1+1           Revelopment         5.327         5.327         5.327         5.327         1+1         1+1         1+1           Revelopment         5.327         5.327         5.323         1+1         1+1         1+1         1+1         1+1         1+1         1+1         1+1         1+1         1+1         1+1         1+1         1+1	Sof SMALL ATINS & WEAPONS         4.768         14.900         1           Adv. Lephneight Grenade Launcher         4.768         14.900         14.100           Adv. Lephneight Grenade Launcher         650         14.400         14.10.500           Adv. Lephneight Grenade Launcher         650         14.10.500         14.10.500           Adv. Lephneight Grenade Launcher         650         14.10.500         14.10.500           Adv. Launcher         650         650         14.10.500         14.10.500           Sof Coupariant CART Systems         5.327         5.327         14.10.500         14.10.500           Sof Coupariant CART Systems         5.327         5.327         5.327         14.10.500         14.10.500           Sof Coupariant CART Systems         5.327         5.327         5.327         14.10.500         14.10.500           MiscleLukeOUS GOUPMENT         5.745         5.327         5.323         16.10.500         16.10.500         176.744         100.100         5.145           Sof Paranito MAL ENHANCEMENTS         5.745         5.323         5.323         176.744         100.100         5.145           Sof Paranito MAL ENHANCEMENTS         5.445         100.100         5.145         16.10.100         176.744         100.100 </td <td></td> <td>PICRC</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>[+6,000]</td> <td></td> <td>[+6,000]</td>		PICRC				[+6,000]		[+6,000]
Adv. Lightweight Grenade Laurcher         [14,400]         [14,400]         [14,400]         [14,10,50	Adv. Lightweight Grenade Laurcher         [14,400]         [14,400]         [14,400]         [14,10.50	~	SOF SMALL ARMS & WEAPONS		4,768		14,900		19,668
ATACS         [+10,500]         [+10,500]         [+10,500]           ATTACS         [+10,500]         [+10,500]         [+10,500]           SPAERATICRATTSYSTEMS         [+10,500]         [+10,500]         [+10,500]           SPAERATIC RAFTSYSTEMS         [+10,500]         [+10,500]         [+10,500]           SPAERATIC RAFTSYSTEMS         [+10,500]         [+10,500]         [+10,500]           SPAERATINE COURMENT         [+10,500]         [+10,500]         [+10,500]           ORUG INTERDICTION         [+10,500]         [+10,500]         [+10,500]           DRUG INTERDICTION         [+10,500]         [+10,500]         [+10,500]           SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS         [+10,500]         [+10,500]         [+10,500]           SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS         [+10,500]         [+10,500]         [+10,500]           SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS         [+10,500]         [+10,500]         [+10,500] </td <td>ATLES         [+10,500]         [+10,500]         [+10,500]           MATTLES         E50         [+10,500]         [+10,500]         [+10,500]           SOF COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS         E         6.285         E         [+10,500]         [</td> <td></td> <td>Adv. Lightweight Grenade Launcher</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>[+4,400]</td> <td></td> <td>[+4,400]</td>	ATLES         [+10,500]         [+10,500]         [+10,500]           MATTLES         E50         [+10,500]         [+10,500]         [+10,500]           SOF COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS         E         6.285         E         [+10,500]         [		Adv. Lightweight Grenade Launcher				[+4,400]		[+4,400]
MAITINE EQUIPMENT MODS         650	MAITIME EQUIPMENT MODS         650		AT4-CS				[+10,500]		[+10,500
SOF COMBATTANT CBAFT SYSTEMS         0.285         -         <	SOF COMBATTANT CRAFT SYSTEMS         6.285         -         <	~	MARITIME EQUIPMENT MODS		650			•	650
SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS     5,27     5       SC MARTIME EQUIPMENT     3155     5       SC MARTIME EQUIPMENT     3155     5       DRUG INTERPOLIDA     5,345     5       MISCELLAREOUS EQUIPMENT     5,745     5       SOF PLANNING AND RETERBAL SYSTEM     93,233     93,233       SOF PLANNING AND RETERBAL SYSTEM     93,233     5       SOF PLANNING AND RETERBAL SYSTEM     93,233     5       TOTAL SPECIAL OFFATIONAL ENTANCEMENTS     5,642     100,100       TOTAL SPECIAL OFFATIONS COMMAND     776,794     100,100       CHEMICALIBIOLOGICAL DEFENSE     106,100     87	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS         5.27         5.	_	SOF COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS		6,285			•	6,28
Sof MATIME EQUIPMENT         3.155         - <td>SOF MATTIME EQUIPMENT         3,155         -<td>~</td><td>SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</td><td>,</td><td>5,327</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>5,32</td></td>	SOF MATTIME EQUIPMENT         3,155         - <td>~</td> <td>SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</td> <td>,</td> <td>5,327</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>5,32</td>	~	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	,	5,327				5,32
DRUG INTERDICTION INSCRIMENDENTION SOF PLANNING AND REHEARSAL SYSTEM SOF PLANNING AND REMAINED AND REHEARSAL SYSTEM SOF PLANNING SYSTEM SOF SYSTEM	DFIG INTERDICTION         5	~	SOF MARITIME EQUIPMENT	,	3,155				3,155
MISCELLANEOUS COUPMENT 5,745 5,7555 5,7555 5,7555 5,755555 5,755555555	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT         5,745         -         5,745         -         -         9           SOF PERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS         -         300         -         -         9         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10	4	DRUG INTERDICTION	,					'
SOF PLANNING AND REHEAPSAL SYSTEM - 300 - 500 - 500 - 500 - 500 - 500 - 500 - 500 - 50	SOF PLANING AND REHEARSAL SYSTEM         -         300         -           SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS         93.233         -         -           SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS         9.3.233         -         -           PSYOP EQUIPMENT         5.642         -         -         -           TOTAL SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND         776,794         100,100         -         -           CHEMICLUBIOLOGICAL DEFENSE         CBD         125.276         -         -         -	10	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT		5,745				5,745
SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS - 93.233 - 5 PSYOP EQUIPMENT - 5,642 - 100,100 - 1 TOTAL SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND - 776,794 - 100,100 - 1 CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE - 100,100 - 1 CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE - 100,100 - 1 MICHAUN DEPATECTION	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS         93.233         -           PSYOP EQUIPMENT         5.642         -         -           TOTAL SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND         776,794         100,100         1           CHEMICALBIOLOGICAL DEFENSE         125,276         -         1           NDIVIDUAL PROTECTION         -         125,276         -         -	ŝ	SOF PLANNING AND REHEARSAL SYSTEM		300			•	300
PSYOP EQUIPMENT 5.642 5.642 TOTAL SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND 776,794 100,100 CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE 190,100 100 CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE 190,100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	PSYOP EQUIPMENT         5,642         -		SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS		93.233			,	93.23
TOTAL SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE ISODATION DEPARTON	TOTAL SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND 776,794 100,100 776,794 CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE CEDP 125,276 1100,276 1100,276 1100,276 1100,276 1100	80	PSYOP EQUIPMENT		5,642				5,642
CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE BADATA NA DEPATECTION	CHEMICALBIOLOGICAL DEFENSE CBDP INDIVIDUAL PROTECTION - 125,276		TOTAL SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND		776,794		100,100		876,894
	CBDP INDIVIDUAL PROTECTION - 125.276		CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE						
		6	CBUP NEWRONAL DECTECTION		105 076				105 301

121

Line	PROGRAM TITLE	AUTHC	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION	CHANG	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM	COM	FY 2003 COMMITTEE
		Ĩ	HEGUESI	NHC.	HEADESI	RECOMIN	RECOMMENDATION
		QTY.	COST	ary.	COST	QTY.	COST
8	DECONTAMINATION	,	15,561				15,561
61	JOINT BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM		143,233		(000'00)	•	113,233
	DERF- Homeland Security Production / Transfer to H.B. 4547				[-30,000]		[-30,000]
62	COLLECTIVE PROTECTION		34,749		14,900		49,649
	Collective Protection Shelters				[+14,900]		[+14,900]
89	CONTAMINATION AVOIDANCE	•	116,912		(38,614)		78,298
	DERF- First Response Equipment / Transfer to H.R. 4547				[-8,100]		[-8,100]
	DERF- Installation Protection / Transfer to H.R. 4547				[-32,914]		[-32,914]
	DERF- WMD-CST Equipment / Transfer to H.R. 4547				[-19,000]		[-19,000]
	Automatic Chemical Agent Alarms				[+8,000]		[+8,000]
	Biological Integrated Detection Systems				[+13,400]		[+13,400]
	TOTAL CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE		435,731		(53,714)		382,017
666	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	•	599,506				599,506
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE		2,688,515		(67,506)		2,621,009

# **Items of Special Interest**

# Army special operations aviation (ARSOA) avionics re-capitalization and enhanced situational awareness

The budget request contained \$289.8 million for special operations forces (SOF) rotary wing upgrades, but included no funds for the ARSOA avionics re-capitalization and enhanced situational awareness program.

The committee understands that the ARSOA avionics re-capitalization and enhanced situational awareness program would replace obsolete avionics with mission processors, multifunction displays and intelligence broadcast receivers for 13 helicopters in the Army's 160th Special Operations Aviation Regiment, and would preclude time-sensitive parts obsolescence problems beginning in fiscal year 2004.

Since the committee notes that the Commander-in-Chief of the Special Operations Command has included the ARSOA avionics recapitalization and enhanced situational awareness program as his highest unfunded priority for fiscal year 2003, it recommends \$309.0 million for SOF rotary wing upgrades, an increase of \$19.2 million, for the ARSOA avionics re-capitalization and enhanced situational awareness program.

#### *Chemical/biological defense procurement program*

The budget request contained a total of \$435.7 million for chemical/biological defense (CBD) procurement, including \$125.3 million for procurement of individual protection equipment, \$15.6 million for decontamination equipment, \$143.2 million for the joint biological defense program, \$34.7 million for collective protection equipment, and \$116.9 million for contamination avoidance equipment.

The committee recommends an increase of \$8.0 million for procurement of automatic chemical agent alarms (ACADA) for the Army National Guard and Army Reserve, an increase of \$13.4 million for procurement of the biological integrated detection system for newly organized Army Reserve biological detection units, and a total of \$49.6 million for collective protection equipment, including an increase of \$14.9 million for procurement of chemical-biological protective shelters for Army medical companies and Marine Corps forward surgical teams.

# Computer assisted medical diagnostics

The committee is aware that off-the-shelf medical diagnostic technology is available that could significantly improve the ability of health care professionals to more quickly and accurately diagnose diseases, using a digital clinical library and decision support software. This technology could enable clinicians to rapidly sort and review thousands of medical photographs, match them to the patient's symptoms and other relevant factors, and quickly develop a priority list of potential diagnoses. The committee understands that the surgeons general of the Army and the Air Force are evaluating such technology, and urges the Secretary of Defense to closely evaluate this technology for procurement by all the services.

# Portable intelligence collection and relay capability (PICRC)

The budget request contained \$8.2 million for special operations forces (SOF) intelligence systems but included no funds for PICRC systems.

The PICRC system integrates commercial-off-the-shelf, full-dimensional mapping and display software; desktop computers; hand-held computing devices; and wireless communications to provide SOF operators with high-resolution imagery for precision navigation, annotation of real-time visual observations, and a capability to relay information to command elements. The committee understands that this system would significantly enhance SOF capabilities to accurately collect, quickly report, and promptly act upon real-time intelligence data.

The committee recommends \$14.2 million for SOF intelligence systems, an increase of \$6.0 million, for procurement of PICRC systems.

# Special operations forces (SOF) small arms and weapons

The budget request contained \$4.8 million to procure SOF small arms and weapons, of which \$3.7 million was to procure the advanced lightweight grenade launcher (ALGL), but included no funds to procure the AT4-confined space (CS) anti-armor and bunker defeat and breeching weapon.

The committee notes the Commander-in-Chief of the Special Operations Command has identified fiscal year 2003 unfunded requirements of \$4.4 million for accelerated procurement of ALGLs, and \$10.5 million to accelerate and field the first production lot of 4,000 AT4–CS special operations insensitive munition weapons.

The committee recommends \$19.7 million for SOF small arms and weapons, an increase of \$4.4 million for additional ALGLs, and an increase of \$10.5 million for additional AT4–CS weapons, for a total increase of \$14.9 million.

# CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE

#### Overview

As described elsewhere in this report, the committee recommends transferring the budget request of \$1,490.2 million for Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Army (CAMD, A) to Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense (CAMD, D), and recommends a total of \$1,490.2 million for Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense, including \$974.2 million for research, development, test, and evaluation, \$302.7 million for procurement, and \$213.2 million for operations and maintenance. Unless otherwise specified, adjustments are without prejudice and based on affordability consideration.

TLE I - PROCUREMENT	(Dollars in Thousands)
Ē	

Line	PROGRAM TITLE	AUTHC	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	ittee E From Jest	FY COM RECOMN	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
		QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST	QTY.	COST
l	CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCT-RDT&E DEGE AND AND REVEL DEMENT						
-					974,238		974,238
ł	TOTAL CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCT - RDT&E				974,238		974,238
N	PROCUREMENT CHEM DEMILITARIZATION - PROC	ı			302,683		302,683
	TOTAL CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCT - PROC		•		302,683		302,683
ю	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE CHEM DEMILITARIZATION - OSM		•		213,278		213,278
	TOTAL CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCT - O&M				213,278		213,278
	TOTAL CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE				1,490,199		1,490,199

# Items of Special Interest

# Chemical agents and munitions destruction

The committee notes that chemical demilitarization for 90 percent of the stockpile at eight stockpile storage sites in the continental United States is under contract. To date, more than 8,000 tons of chemical agent, over 25 percent of the total U.S. stockpile, have been safely destroyed in operational demilitarization facilities at Johnston Atoll and Tooele, Utah, using the baseline incineration process. Stockpile demilitarization operations at the Johnston Atoll facility have been completed and shutdown of that facility begun. Construction of demilitarization facilities at Anniston, Alabama, and Umatilla, Oregon, has been completed and systematization operations are in progress at those locations, while construction of the Pine Bluff, Arkansas, facility is over 75 percent complete. Mustard agent in bulk storage at Aberdeen, Maryland, and VX nerve agent in bulk storage at Newport, Indiana, will be destroyed using neutralization, rather than the baseline incineration process. In September 2001, the Defense Acquisition Executive completed a comprehensive review of the chemical demilitarization program that resulted in new schedule milestones (completion of stockpile destruction in 2011 vice 2007 as required under the Chemical Weapons Convention Treaty) and a new life cycle cost estimate: \$24,000.0 million, an increase of \$9,000.0 million over the previous estimate. The review provided the basis for the selection of neutralization as the technology to be used for destruction of mustardfilled munitions and bulk agent at Pueblo, Colorado, and the yetto-be-designated technology to be used for destruction of assembled chemical weapons at Blue Grass, Kentucky. The committee understands that the Defense Acquisition Executive directed the Army to propose alternatives for accelerating the destruction of the stockpile and reducing the overall life cycle costs.

The committee is aware of actions being taken in the aftermath of the September 11th terrorist attacks in New York and against the Pentagon, to increase the safety and security of the stockpile against potential terrorist attack. A decision has been made to accelerate the destruction of bulk mustard at Aberdeen and acceleration of the destruction of bulk VX at Newport is under consideration. The committee notes that funding for accelerated destruction of bulk agent was not included in the fiscal year 2003 budget request or in the fiscal year 2002 supplemental request. Should additional funds for the accelerated destruction of bulk agent not be included in the fiscal year 2002 supplemental appropriation, the committee strongly recommends that they be included in the President's subsequent budget request for authorization and appropriation of the Defense Emergency Fund.

The committee notes the shift in program management oversight responsibility within the Army Secretariat from the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics) to the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Installations and Environment). The committee believes that the cost, complexity, and importance of the program require that it continue to be managed as a major defense acquisition program, and elsewhere in this report has recommended a provision (section 143) to that effect. The committee notes on-going efforts in the chemical stockpile emergency preparedness program (CSEPP) to insure the readiness of the military installations on which the chemical demilitarization facilities are located and of the surrounding local civilian jurisdictions to respond to a chemical accident or incident involving the stockpile. The committee believes that close working relationships between the Department of the Army and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and its regional activities, state and local emergency management activities, and local government and the Army installations are absolutely essential to ensuring that the Army's chemical stockpile storage and destruction mission are capable of being carried out so as to ensure the maximum protection for the environment, the general public, and the personnel who are involved in the storage and destruction of the stockpile. The committee expects both the Secretary of the Army and the Director, FEMA to carry out their respective responsibilities with regard to the CSEPP program in accordance with the memorandum of agreement between the two agencies.

In the report that accompanied H.R. 2586 (H. Rept. 107–194), the committee directed the Secretary of Defense to update the assessment required by Section 141(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106–65) by March 1, 2002, in order to identify those actions taken or planned by the Secretary to significantly reduce the cost of the program and ensure its completion in accordance with the obligation of the United States under the Chemical Weapons Convention. The committee has not yet received this report, but plans to hold hearings on the chemical stockpile destruction program later this year to address these and other program issues.

Finally, the committee directs the Comptroller General to review and assess the status and management of the chemical stockpile destruction program and to report the results of that assessment to the congressional defense committees not later than March 1, 2003.

#### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

# SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

# Sections 101–107—Authorization of Appropriations

These sections would authorize the recommended fiscal year 2003 funding levels for all procurement accounts.

## SUBTITLE B—NAVY PROGRAMS

# Section 111—Shipbuilding Initiative

This section would authorize \$810.0 million for one additional Arleigh Burke Class destroyer, or DDG-51, in fiscal year 2003 if the Secretary of the Navy certifies to the congressional defense committees on, or before the date of the enactment of this Act, that the prime contractor for the Virginia Class submarine program has committed to expend from its own funds an amount not less than \$385.0 million for economic order quantity procurement of nuclear and non-nuclear components for Virginia Class submarines beginning in fiscal year 2003. If this certification is not provided, then \$810.0 million shall be allocated as follows: \$415.0 million for Virginia Class submarine advance procurement, \$210.0 million for cruiser conversion advance procurement, and \$185.0 million for nuclear attack submarine engineered refueling overhaul.

Additionally, if the terms of the agreement between the prime contractor for the Virginia Class submarine program and the United States include a requirement for the Secretary of the Navy to seek to acquire Virginia Class submarines through a multiyear procurement contract, the Secretary of the Navy may, in accordance with section 2306b of title 10, United States Code, enter into a multiyear contract for the procurement of Virginia Class submarines beginning in the fiscal year 2003 program year, subsequent to his certification that the conditions in subsection (a) of that section have been satisfied with respect to that contract and a period of thirty days has elapsed after the date of transmission of such certification to the congressional defense committees.

#### SUBTITLE C—AIR FORCE PROGRAMS

# Section 121—Multiyear Procurement Authority for C–130J Aircraft Program

This section would authorize the Secretary of Defense to enter into a multiyear procurement contract for Air Force CC-130J and Marine Corps KC-130J aircraft beginning with the fiscal year 2003 program year, subject to a certification to the congressional defense committees that satisfactory progress is being made towards a successful operational test and evaluation, and that each of the conditions specified in section 2306b(a) of title 10, United States Code, have been satisfied with respect to that contract.

## SUBTITLE D—OTHER PROGRAMS

# Section 141—Revisions to Multiyear Contracting Authority Relating to Structuring of Contracts

This section would change section 2306b(i) of title 10, United States Code to require the Department of Defense to structure multiyear procurement contracts so that complete end items are procured through yearly appropriated amounts, and would restrict advance procurement to those long-lead items necessary in order to meet the planned delivery schedule for complete major end items programmed under the contract to be acquired with funds appropriated in a subsequent fiscal year.

# Section 142—Transfer of Technology Items and Equipment in Support of Homeland Security

This provision would authorize the Secretary of Defense to enter into an agreement with an independent, non-profit, technology-oriented entity, which has demonstrated a proven ability to facilitate technology transfer of promising defense technologies developed by both the private and public sectors that will aid federal, state and local law enforcement, fire fighting, and emergency medical "first responders". The entity would develop and deploy items and equipment through coordination between government agencies and private sector, commercial developers and suppliers of technology that would enhance public safety and emergency response. The entity would also work in coordination with the InterAgency Board (IAB) for Equipment Standardization and Interoperability to develop items and equipment that meet the standardization requirements established by the IAB. The entity would evaluate the equipment items that have been identified through the standards development process accomplished to date by the IAB and other state-of-the-art items and equipment that may benefit first responders. An increase of \$1.0 million is included in PE 65384BP to facilitate this agreement and establish an InterAgency Consequence Management Equipment Transfer program.

# Section 143—Destruction of Existing Stockpile of Lethal Chemical Agents and Munitions

This section would require that the Secretary of Defense ensure that the program for destruction of the United States stockpile of lethal chemical agents and munitions is managed as a major defense acquisition program in accordance with the essential elements of such programs as may be determined by the Secretary. The provision would also require the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to certify annually to the congressional defense committees that the budget request for the chemical agents and munitions destruction program has been submitted in accordance with the requirements of applicable federal laws. As noted elsewhere in this report, the committee cites section 1412 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1986 (Public Law 99–145), as amended.

# Section 144—Report on Department of Defense Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Systems

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the Congress no later than January 1, 2003 for each unmanned aerial vehicle system to include: (1) a description of the system infrastructure, (2) the system Operational Requirements Document, (3) a description of the system training and basing infrastructure, (4) a description of the how the department acquires unmanned aerial vehicle systems, (5) the system acquisition plan and (6) recommended changes in law that would facilitate unmanned vehicle acquisition.

# Section 145—Report on Impact of Army Aviation Modernization Plan on the Army National Guard

This section would require the Chief of the National Guard Bureau to submit a report to both the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services no later than February 1, 2003, regarding the impact of the Army Aviation Modernization Plan on the Army National Guard to conduct its aviation missions, the plan and timeline outlined within the Army Aviation Modernization Plan to transfer aircraft from the active Army to the Army reserve components, and the suitability of existing, commercial off-the-shelf, light-twin engine helicopters to perform Army National Guard aviation missions. The provision would also allow for the Chief of Staff of the Army to submit views on the report.

# TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

# Overview

The budget request contained \$53,924.2 million for research, development, test, and evaluation (RDT&E), including \$67.2 million for the Defense Health Program. The committee recommends \$56,491.5 million, an increase of \$2,567.4 million to the budget request.

The committee strongly supports this much needed increase and notes that the Department of Defense and the military services have all initiated major efforts to transform military warfighting capabilities to better prepare for future threats and challenges. The committee notes that the largest portion of the total RDT&E request is contained in the fielded system development category, the area primarily dedicated to upgrades of existing systems. The committee remains concerned that the Department will not be able to budget for sufficient funds to sustain all of the planned system upgrades and also adequately fund priority transformation efforts. The committee urges the Secretary of Defense to outline clearly

The committee urges the Secretary of Defense to outline clearly the overall Department priorities for RDT&E investment strategies for both transformation efforts and existing system upgrades and sustainment.

& EVALUATION
RCH DEVELOPMENT, TEST
TITLE II - RESEAF

5	
2	
-	
	Ę
	u san
5	The
ĺ	arcir
2	
5	
É	
ì	
?	

ACCOUNT TITLE	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	COMMITTEE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION
TOTAL. RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST & EVALUATION, ARMY	6,918,494	14,825	6,933,319
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	4,116,932	46,244	4,163,176
ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	2,801,562	(31,419)	2,770,143
TOTAL, RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST & EVALUATION, NAVY	12,501,630	772,910	13,274,540
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	7,408,612	628,010	8,036,622
ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	5,093,018	144,900	5,237,918
TOTAL, RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST & EVALUATION, AIR FORCE	17,601,233	1,201,951	18,803,184
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	13,281,659	1,065,651	14,347,310
ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	4,319,574	136,300	4,455,874
TOTAL, RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST & EVALUATION, DEFENSEWIDE	16,613,551	577,686	17,191,237
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	15,277,287	427,867	15,705,154
ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	1,336,264	149,819	1,486,083
TOTAL. OPERATIONAL TEST & EVAL. DEFENSE	222,054	0	222,054
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	222,054	0	222,054
ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	0	0	0
TOTAL, DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM	67,214		67,214
TOTAL, RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST & EVALUATION	53,924,176	2,567,372	56,491,548
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	40,306,544	2,167,772	42,474,316
ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	13,550,418	399,600	13,950,018

# ARMY RDT&E

# **OVERVIEW**

The budget request contained \$6,918.5 million for Army RDT&E. The committee recommends authorization of \$6,933.3 million, an increase of \$283.4 million and a transfer of \$268.6 million for mis-sile defense programs from Army RDT&E to Defense-wide RDT&E. The committee recommendations for the fiscal year 2003 Army RDT&E program are identified in the table below. Major changes to the Army request are discussed following the table.

	÷.		Authorization	о С	Committee
Number	Line	PHOGRAM TITLE	nequest	ısənbəн	Authorization
		RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, ARMY			
		BASIC RESEARCH			
2601101A	-	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	22,998		22,998
102A	~	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	139,633		139,633
0601104A	4 m	UNIVERSITY AND INDUSTRY RESEARCH CENTERS	74,855		74,855
		TOTAL BASIC DESEADCH	237 ARF		237 486
		RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	237.486	00	237.486
		ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	0		0
101000			10 600		10 650
1602105A	4 i	MATERIALS LECHNOLOGY	10,000		10,039
N00212000	n 4	טבואסעהט אוער בבבט הטווט טטחעוז אפובני ו דמארדסה נווח	6 830		000,42
V 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10		43 602		43,602
	- α		19.584		19.584
			31 884	16 400	48.284
	D	Micco electro-mechanical systems inertial measurement unit/alobal positioning system			(+10.000)
		Diaital alue technoloav			(+3,400)
		Enhanced area air defense system short range air defense integrated kinetic energy system			(+3,000)
0602307A	<del>1</del> 0	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	11,208		11,208
0602308A	ŧ	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION	20,634		20,634
0602601A	12	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY	55,763		55,763
D602618A	13	BALLISTICS TECHNOLOGY	74,094		74,094
0602622A	14	CHEMICAL, SMOKE AND EQUIPMENT DEFEATING TECHNOLOGY	3,675	3,000	6,675
		Metallic particles in defense applications obscurant smokes			(+3,000)
0602623A	15	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	5,812		5,812
0602624A	16	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY	38,090	000'6	47,090
		M795 extended range, high explosive baseburner projectile			(+6,000)
	į	Explosively formed penetrators	011 20		(+3,000)
Acu/2000	2		21,440	000'0	02,440
06027090	ά	Advanced tuel cell technology NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY	22 333	3.000	(+o'uuu) 25.333
	2	Eve-safe laser			(+3,000)
0602712A	19	COUNTERMINE SYSTEMS	13,186		13,186
0602716A	20	HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	17,415	3,000	20,415
		Human factors engineering technology			(+3,000)
0602720A	21	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY	23,018		23,018
0602782A	22	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	21,821		21,821
0602783A	53	COMPUTER AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY	4,354		4,354

R-1 Line PROGRAM TITLE	Authorization Request	Commutes Change from Request	Committee Authorization
MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY	14,335	1,500	15,835
Distance learning WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY	25.502	2,000	(+1,500) 27,502
Clothing and equipment technology			(+2,000)
MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY	67,476	13,000	80,476
Metabolically engineered tissues for trauma care			(+2,000)
Hemoglobin based oxygen carrier			(000'2+)
	c		(+4,000)
			00
	642,251	55,900	698,151
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	642,251	55,900	698,151
ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	0	0	0
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT			
WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	50,262	1,500	51,762
Metrology			(+1,500)
MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	16,590	5,500	22,090
Stable hemostat			(+3,500)
Textile electronic garments for combat casualty care	11 404		(+2,000)
AVIATION AUVANGEU TECHNOLOGY MAEADONIS AND MITNITIONIS ADVANGED TECHNOLOGY	43,404	1 000	40,404 67 514
Mobile fire summer system (see Navy)	1000	2000 <sup>1</sup>	(+1,000)
	229,778	10,750	240,528
Advanced Army composite bridge			(+3,000)
Fuel catalyst research and evaluation			(+2,000)
Rotary multi-fuel auxiliary power unit			(+2'000)
			(+750)
-	4,826	2,400	7,226
-	2 607	001 6	(+2,400) E £27
	10.0	61.10	(+2 100)
ELECTRONIC WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY (H)	28.254		28,254
TRACTOR HIKE	18,069		18,069
TRACTOR RED	0		0
TRACTOR ROSE	4,895		4,895
2	0		0
•	0		0
DERF - COMBATTING TERRORISM, TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT DERF - Language Translation		48,900	48,900 (+7,300)
× 5 7 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9		WARFIERT ECHNOLOGY WARFIERT ECHNOLOGY WEDICAL TECHNOLOGY MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY Eliminating arthropod-borne infectious disease and antiprodyna based system care Hemoglobih based way acyage artic RIM APPLED RESEARCH ECHNOLOGY TOTAL, APPLED RESEARCH MOL USE SOFENCE AND TECHNOLOGY TOTAL, APPLED RESEARCH MOL USE SOFENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MERICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY MERICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY MARREA ATTA COMBAT TECHNOLOGY MODIE fire support system (see Mayy) COMBAT TEHLICLE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY MODIE fire support system (see Mayy) COMBAT TEHLICLE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY MODIE fire support system (see Mayy) COMBAT TECHNOLOGY MODIE fire support system (see Mayy) COMBAT TECHNOLOGY MODIE fire advantage of advanced Army composite bidge MITTARY HIV RESEARCH MARTOR RED TACTOR	WIRTIGHTER TECHNOLOGY     25.502       Orbing and equipment releancy     57.476       MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY     642.51       MEDICAL SCIENCE TECHNOLOGY     0       DUAL USE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY     0       DUAL USE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY     642.51       RESERENT AND DEVELOPMENT     642.551       TOTAL APPLIED     642.561       RESERENT AND DEVELOPMENT     642.561       RESERENT AND DEVELOPMENT     642.561       RESERENT AND NAUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT     642.561       RESERENT AND NAUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT     642.561       RESERENT AND NAUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT     65.514       RESERENT AND NAUFORD TECHNOLOGY     65.514       MANDOLOGY DEVELOPMENT     65.514       RESERENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY     65.514       MANDOLOGY NOVACED TECHNOLOGY     65.514       MANDOLOGY DEVELOPMENT     65.514       MANDOLOGY DEVELOPMENT     65.514       MODIA     74.710N ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY     65.514       MANDOLOGY DEVELOPMENT     65.514       MANDONANCED TECHNOLOGY     65.514       MANDONANCED TECHNOLOGY     75.207       MANDONANCED TECHNOLOGY

FY 2003 Committee Authorization	(+10,000) (+600) (+1,500) (+1,500) (+1,500) (+8,000) (+8,000)	31,291 11,600	99,390 (+11,500)	3,083 24,104	15,813	(+9,800) 28,283	(+4,000)	(+9,000) (+2,800)	8,980 5.921	(+3,000) 21,674	842,702	842,702 0	27,887 54,417 (+6,000) (+5,000) (+25,000) (+3,000)	(+8,000) 20,286 2,432 11,354 124,108 0
Committee Change from C Request Au			11,500		9,800		15,800		3.000		112,250	112,250 0	47,000	
FY 2003 Authorization ( Request		31,291 11.600	87,890	3,083 24,104	6,013	28,283	36,494		8,980	21,674	730,452	730,452 0	27,887 7,417	20,286 2,432 11,354 124,108
PROGRAM TITLE	DERF - Blue Force Awareness Suite DERF - Networe Observation & Confirming Sensors DERF - Nutri-truction Frantiended Ground Sensor DERF - Laser Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy DERF - Universal Solder Sensor DERF - CT Echtelon Surveillance & Reconnaissance	GLOBAL SURVEILLANCE/AIR DEFENSE/PRECISION STRIKE TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION FW TECHNOLOGY	MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY Volumetrically controlled manufacturing	TRACTOR CAGE	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	Anti-material sniper ritle LINE-OF-SIGHT TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION	NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY Wire detection and obstacle avoidance system for helicopters	Night vision fusion Helmet mounted thermal imaging system	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS MII ITAPY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	Energy and sustainability research ADVANCED TACTICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE AND SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	DEMONSTRATION AND VALIDATION ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION ARMY MISSILE DEFEENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION (DEM/VAL) ARM of systems simulator Thermionic decimonations Mobile tracticat high energy laser Mobile tracticat high energy laser	Advanced balley technology demonstration and validation program LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER - ADV DEV SMOKE, OBSCURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYS-ADV DEV TANK AND MEDUM ACLIERER AMMUNITION ADVANCED TANK ARMAMENT SYSTEM (ATAS) ARMY DATA DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM
R-1 Line		43	45	46	48	49	20		5 5	8	1		55 45	56 58 59 60
Program Element Number		0603238A 0603270A	0603313A	0603322A	0603607A	0603654A	0603710A		0603728A	0603772A			0603305A 0603308A	0603619A 0603627A 0603639A 0603633A 0603653A 0603713A

Program Element	÷.		FY 2003 Authorization	0 t	FY 2003 Committee
Number	Line		Request	Request	Authorization
0603747A	61	SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY	20,788		20,788
0603766A	62	TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM - ADV DEV	16,392		16,392
0603774A	63	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	11,694		11,694
0603779A	8	ENVRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY DEM/VAL	9,331	2,000	11,331
		Asbestos pilot project			(+2,000)
0603782A	65	WARFIGHTER INFORMATION NETWORK-TACTICAL - DEM/VAL	60,809		60,809
0603790A	99	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	8,773		8,773
0603801A	67	AVIATION - ADV DEV	8,643		8,643
0603802A	68	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS - ADV DEV	27,761		27,761
0603804A	69	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - ADV DEV	11,419	5,000	16,419
		Nuclear, biological, and chemical agent removal			(+5,000)
0603805A	70	COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT CONTROL SYSTEM EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS	8,971		8,971
0603807A	71	MEDICAL SYSTEMS - ADV DEV	10,398		10,398
0603850A	72	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE (JMIP/DISTP)	1,962		1,962
0603851A	73	TRACTOR CAGE (DEM/VAL)	0		0
0603854A	74	ARTILLERY SYSTEMS - DEM/VAL	251,665		251,665
0603856A	75	SCAMP BLOCK II DEM/VAL	21,006		21,006
0603869A	2.6	MEDIUM EXTENDED AIR DEFENSE SYSTEM (MEADS) CONCEPTS - DEM/VAL	117,745	(117,745)	0
		Transfer to MDA (63881C)			(-117,745)
		TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION AND VALIDATION	780,841	(63,745)	717,096
		RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	780,841	(63,745)	717,096
		ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	0	0	0
		ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT			
0604201A	77	AIRCRAFT AVIONICS	40,308		40,308
0604220A	78	ARMED, DEPLOYABLE OH-58D	1,873		1,873
0604223A	62	COMANCHE	914,932		914,932
0604270A	80	EW DEVELOPMENT	22,819	22,900	45,719
		DERF - Prophet Block II, III, IV program acceleration by 2 years			(+15,900)
		Advanced threat infrared countermeasures/common missile warning system			(+7,000)
0604280A	81	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO	65,818		65,818
0604321A	82	ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM	42,322	16,300	58,622
		DERF - FALCON language Translator			(+8,000)
		DERF - Analysis and Control Element (ACE)			(+4,300)
		Non-traditional intelligence analysis toolset			(+4,000)
0604328A	83	TRACTOR CAGE	9,800		9,800
0604329A	84	COMMON MISSILE	29,919		29,919
0604601A	85	INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS	0		0
0604604A	86	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES	1,953		1,953
0604609A	87	SMOKE, OBSCURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYS-ENG DEV	8,153		8,153

<ul> <li>JAVELIN Javein</li> <li>JAVELIN</li> <li>JAVELIN</li> <li>JAVELIN</li> <li>JANINE WAFFARE</li> <li>LANDIMIS WAFFARE</li> <li>LANDIMIS WAFFARE</li> <li>JANINY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLE (TUGV)</li> <li>I.GHT TACTICAL UMMED GROUND VEHICLES</li> <li>I.GHT TACTICAL UMMED GROUND VAMP. FREE VEHICLES</li> <li>I.GHT VISION SYSTEMS - ENG DEV</li> <li>NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS - ENG DEV</li> <li>NOMS YSTEMS - ENG DEV</li> <li>NOMS YSTEMS - ENG DEV</li> <li>NOMS ATTERDATED METCOPALCICL SUPPORT SYSTEM</li> <li>I.STARTED BROADCAST SERVICE</li> <li>I.MTEGANTED BROADCAST SERVICE</li> <li>INTEGANTED BROADCAST SERVICE</li> <li>INTEGANTED METCOPALIANI INTELLIGENCE - ENG DEV</li> <li>NONSTRUCTICAL SUPPORT SYSTEM</li> <li>INTEGANTED METCOPALIANI NITELLIGENCE - ENG DEV</li> <li>INTEGANTED METCOPALIANI NITELLIGENCE - ENG DEV</li> <li>INTEGANTED METCOPALIANI NITELLIGENCE - ENG DEV</li> <li>ONSTRUMATICA TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT</li> <li>INTEGANTED METCOPALIANI NITELLIGENCE - ENG DEV</li> <li>ONSTRUMATICA TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT</li> <li>INTEGANTED METCOPALIANI NITELLIGENCE - ENG DEV</li> <li>INTEGANTED METCOPALIANI NITELLIGENCE - ENG DEV</li> <li>INTERATIVE ANDAR SUBMUNTION (BAT)</li> <li>DISTRUMELANDESTARIAN SYSTEM</li> <li>DISTRUMELANCETARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM</li> <li>DISTRUMELANCETARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM</li> <li>DISTRUMENDARMACEMENT SYSTEM</li> <li>DISTRUMATICA RADAR SYSTEM</li> <li>DISTRUMATICA RADAR SYSTEM</li> <li>DISTRUMATICA RADAR SYSTEM</li> <li>DISTRUMATICA SYSTEM SECUPALIANI NETALIANA ANTARANA SYSTEM</li> <li>DISTRU</li></ul>	Element Number	Line	PROGRAM TITLE	Authorization Request	Change from Request	Committee Authorization
Javein         1,91           B         MAIDINIE WAFFAE         1,91           B         FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTCAL VEHICLE         1,91           B         FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTCAL VEHICLE         3,990           B         FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTCAL VEHICLE         2,339           B         FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTCAL WHEAT DEVELOPMENT         5,846           B         FAMILY OF TACTCAL SUPORT SYSTEM         3,417           B         FAMILY OF TACTCAL SUPORT SYSTEM         3,427           B         FAMILY OF TACTCAL SUPORT SYSTEM         3,427 <tr< td=""><td>0604611A</td><td>88</td><td>JAVELIN</td><td>489</td><td></td><td>13,589</td></tr<>	0604611A	88	JAVELIN	489		13,589
8     LANDMINE WATFARE     11.913       8     LANDMINE WATFARE     3.990       9     ART RAFFIC CONTROL     3.990       9     IAFT RAFFIC CONTROL     3.990       9     IAFT RAFFIC AUWRELEGE     3.990       9     IGHT TACTICAL VEHICLES     3.990       9     IGHT TACTICAL VEHICLES     3.990       9     IGHT TACTICAL VEHICLES     3.990       9     IGHT TACTICAL WHEELE CONNENT DEVELOPMENT     3.947       9     NIGHT TY CONTROL     8.146     9.445       9     NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS MODERNIZATION (ASM)-ENG. DEV     9.446       9     NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS AND ECUIPMENT     3.417       9     NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS AND ECUIPMENT     9.445       9     NON-SYSTEM TANAING DEVELOPMENT     3.417       9     NON-SYSTEM TANAING STREAM SUPERIOL     3.239       9     NON-SYSTEM TANAING NON SYSTEMS     3.232       9     NON-SYSTEM TANAING STREAME AND STREAME     3.247       9     NON-SYSTEM TANAING STREAME AND STREAME AND STREAME     3.417       9     NON-SYSTEM TANAING STREAME AND STREAME AND STREAME AND AND STREAME AND AND STREAME AND AND STREAME AND AND AND STREAME AND AND AND AND AND STREAME AND			Javelin			(+13,100)
50     FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES     3990       51     ATTICAL UMANNED GROUN VEHICLE (TUGY)     7877       52     TACTICAL UMANNED GROUN VEHICLE (TUGY)     7877       53     TACTICAL UMANNED GROUN VEHICLE (TUGY)     7877       54     ATMORED SYSTEMS MODERNIZATION (ASM), ENG. DEV.     58,960       55     RIGHT TACTICAL UMANNED GROUN VEHICLES     58,960       56     RIGHT VISION YSTEMS - ENG DEV.     58,960       57     COMBAT FEEDING, LOCTHING, AD ECUPINENT     58,360       57     COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AD ECUPINENT     58,360       58     RIGHT VISION YSTEMS - ENG DEV.     51,360       59     COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AD ECUPINENT     33,560       50     INTEGRATED REPORDORST     51,370       50     INTEGRATED REPORDORST     51,370       50     INTEGRATED REPORDORST     51,370       51     INTEGRATED REPORDORST     51,370       51     INTEGRATED REPORDORST     51,370       51     INTEGRATED REPORDORST     51,467       51     INTEGRATED REPORDORST     51,370       51     INTEGRATED REPORDORST     52,394       51     INTEGRATED REPORDORST     51,467       51     INTEGRATED REPORDORST     51,467       51     INTEGRATED REPORDORST     51,417	0604619A	89	LANDMINE WABFARE	11,913		11,913
3       AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL       2.339         3       TATTRAFFIC CONTROL       2.339         3       LIGHT TACTICAL WHEELE GROUN VEHICLE (TUGV)       7.877         3       LIGHT TACTICAL WHEELE GROUN VEHICLE       2.339         3       LIGHT TACTICAL WHEELE GROUN VEHICLE       2.339         4       ARMORED SYSTEMS MODERNIZATION (ASM)-ENG. DEV.       8.146         5       RINGINED SYSTEMS - ENG DEV.       8.146         5       NINEGARTED METCOLOCICAL SUPPORT SYSTEM       3.317         3       NONESYSTEMS - ENG DEV.       3.360         3       MINICARATED METCOLOCICAL SUPPORT SYSTEM       3.3417         3       MINICARATED METCOLOCICAL SUPPORT SYSTEM       3.3417         3       MINICARATED METCOLOCICAL SUPPORT SYSTEM       3.236         3       MINICARATED METCOLOCICAL SUPPORT SYSTEM       3.3417         3       MINICARATED METCOLOCICAL SUPPORT SYSTEM       3.236         3       MINICARATED METCOLOCICAL SUPPORT SYSTEM       3.247         3       MINICARATED METCOLOCICAL SUPPORT SYSTEM       3.247         3       MINICARATED METCOLOCICAL SUPPORT SYSTEM       3.246         3       MINICARATED METCOLOCICAL SUPPORT SYSTEM       3.247         3       MINICARATED METCOLOCICAL SUPPORT SYSTEM	0604622A	06	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES	3,990		3,990
20       TACTICAL UNRANNED GROUND VEHICLE (TUGV)       7,877         30       LIGHT TACTICAL WIRELED VEHICLES       5,8146         31       AIMORED SYSTERM SMODERUXTION (ASM): EGG. DEV.       59,860         31       AIMORED SYSTERM SMODERUXTION (ASM): EGG. DEV.       59,860         31       MIGHT VEICIDAL WIRELED VEHICLES       53,323         32       SUGNEER MOBILITY EQUIPMENT       94,474         32       COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT       94,374         33       COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, SUCPORT SYSTEM       24,336         34       NON-SYSTEM FRANING DEVICES - ENG DEV       94,374         36       NITEGRATED METEORIOCICAL SUPPORT SYSTEM       24,330         36       NITEGRATED BRADCOSCAL SUPPORT SYSTEM       24,330         31       DISTRIBUTIVE INTEGRATED BRADCOSCAL SUPPORT SYSTEM       24,330         31       DISTRIBUTIVE INTEGRATED BRADCOSCAL SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT       26,932         31       DISTRIBUTIVE INTERARTINE DEVELOPMENT       26,932         31       DISTRIBUTIVE INTERARTINE SIGNULATIONS SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT       21,487         31       DISTRIBUTIVE INTERARTINE SIGNULATIONS SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT       26,523         31       DISTRIBUTIVE INTERARTINE SIGNULATIONS SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT       26,523         31 <t< td=""><td>0604633A</td><td>6</td><td>AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL</td><td>2,339</td><td></td><td>2,339</td></t<>	0604633A	6	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	2,339		2,339
38       LIGHT TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLES       7,877         38       LIGHT TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLES       5,9,660         38       ENGINEER MOBILITY EOUIDMENT DEVICIONENT       5,9,660         38       ENGINEER MOBILITY EOUIDMENT DEVICIONENT       5,9,660         39       NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS - ENG DEV       5,9,660         30       COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EOUIDMENT       9,4,474         30       NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES       9,4,474         30       NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES       9,4,474         31       NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES       9,4,474         31       NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICEAL SUPPORT SYSTEM       2,2,329         31       NITEGRATED METCOLOGICAL SUPPORT SYSTEM       2,437         31       JAMORTON - ENG DEV       3,171         31       JAMORTON - ENG DEV       3,238         31       DISTRUCTORE SUMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT       2,437         31       JAMORTON SWITH CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE - ENG DEV       2,437         31       JAMORTON SWITH STRUCTORE SUMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT       2,437         31       JATOTICAL SUPFICIPATION SUMUNITION (BAT)       2,447         31       JATOTICAL SUPVICIPATION SUMUNITION (BAT)       2,447         31       JATOTICAL SUPV	0604641A	6	TACTICAL UNMANNED GROUND VEHICLE (TUGV)	0		0
94         ARMORED SYSTEMS MODERNIZATION (ASM)-ENG. DEV.         59         660           55         ENDIREER MODELLIT'S CUTION (ASM)-ENG. DEV.         59         69           56         NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS - ENG DEV.         50         32,328           57         COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND ECUTIMENT         51,445         32,328           59         INDAYSTEM TRAINING DENCESS - ENG DEV.         53,447         43,650           50         INDASYSTEM TRAINING DENCESS - ENG DEV.         53,324         43,15           50         INTEGRATED METCOROLOGICAL SUPPORT SYSTEM         24,365         3,417           51         JUDINATIC TEST EQUIPMENT         24,365         3,234           51         JUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT         24,365         3,234           51         JUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT         24,365         3,234           51         JUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT         26,578         3,2324           51         JUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT         26,598         3,2324           51         JUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT         26,578         3,244           51         JUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT         21,487         3,244           51         JUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT	0604642A	83	LIGHT TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLES	7,877		7,877
5       ENGINEER MOBILITY EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT       8,146         6       MIGHT VISION SYSTERMS       8,146         7       CONBAT TYSTERMS       9,471         8       NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES - ENG DEV       9,145         9       NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES - ENG DEV       9,232         9       NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES - ENG DEV       9,243         9       NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES - ENG DEV       9,243         9       NITEGRATED BROLOGICAL SUPPORT SYSTEM       24,259         10       JSINS CORF PROGRAM       20,01         10       JSINS CORF PROGRAM       24,259         10       JSINS CORF PROGRAM       24,259         10       JSINS CORF PROGRAM       24,259         10       JSINS CORF PROGRAM       21,475         10       JSINS CORF PROGRAM       21,475         10       JUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT	0604645A	94	ARMORED SYSTEMS MODERNIZATION (ASM)-ENG. DEV.	59,860		59,860
6     NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS - ENG DEV     32,328       7     COMMAT FEEDING, CUCITINICS, AND ECUIPMENT     34,474       8     NON-SYSTEM TRAINING PENCICES, ENG DEV     34,474       90     TERRANI INFORMATION - ENG DEV     34,475       91     NON-SYSTEM TRAINING PENCICES, ENG DEV     34,475       92     TERRANI INFORMATION - ENG DEV     34,75       93     NON-SYSTEM TRAINING PENCICES, ENG DEV     32,324       94     JAND CORFECTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEM     3,417       93     SINS CORE PROGRAM     2,417       94     JAND CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEM     3,417       94     JAND CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT     2,473       94     JAND CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT     21,487       95     JAND CAST SERVICE     20,018       96     JAND CAST SUBMUNITON (BAT)     11,189       97     JOINT SUPRELLANCE SYSTEM     21,487       96     JOINT SUPRELLANCE TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM     4,740       90     JOINT SUPRELLANCE TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM     4,740       90     JOINT SUPRELLANCE TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM     4,740       90     JOINT SUPRELLANCETARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM     4,740       90     JOINT SUPRELLANCETARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM     4,740       90     JOINT SUPRELLANCETARGE	0604649A	95	ENGINEER MOBILITY EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT	8,146		8,146
97     COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EGUIPMENT     94,474       98     NON-SYSTEM TRAINING EDUCES - ENG DEV     94,474       98     NON-SYSTEM TRAINING EDUCES - ENG DEV     93,650       99     TERPANI INTAINING EDUCES - ENG DEV     8,255       101     JUSIS CORE FORCIAAL     8,253       101     JUSIS CORE FORCIAAL     24,230       102     JUSIS CORE FORCIAST SERVICE     8,253       103     AIT DEFENSE COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE - ENG DEV     26,978       104     AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT     24,230       105     AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT     28,329       105     AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT     21,487       105     Digital source collector-health usage monitoring system for Apache Longbow     21,487       106     DISTIBULTURE SIMULATIONS (JIS) - ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT     21,487       107     AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT     21,487       108     SUTICAL SURVELLANCE SYSTEM     21,487       109     DISTIBULTURE TRAGET ATTACK RADAS SYSTEM     21,487       108     DIST SURVELLANCE SYSTEM     21,487       109     DIST SURVELLANCE SYSTEM     21,487       101     DOINT SURVELLANCE SYSTEM     21,487       102     DIST SURVELLANCE SYSTEM     21,487       103     DI	0604710A	96	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS - ENG DEV	32,328		32,328
8       NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEV/CES. ENG DEV       43,650         93       TERRAN INFORMATION - ENG DEV       43,650         94       TERRAN INFORMATION - ENG DEV       8,232         101       JSINS CORE PROGRAM       3,417         101       JSINS CORE PROGRAM       24,230         102       NITEGRATED BENALICATS RENUCE       24,230         103       AIR DEFENES COMMAND, SCORTEUND NITICLIGENCE - ENG DEV       26,323         103       AIR DEFENES COMMAND, SCORTEUND NITICUM ISON       24,230         103       AIR DEFENES COMMAND, SCORTEND NITICUM ISON       21,487         104       DIGRIA SULFICENT CENTURITY NITICAN ENDIVITY       21,487         107       TACTICAL SURVELLANCE SYSTEMS - ENG DEV       21,487         107       DOINT SURVELLANCE SYSTEMS - ENG DEV       21,487         108       DIGRIAL SURVELLANCE SYSTEMS - ENG DEV       21,	0604713A	97	COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT	94,474		94,474
9)     TERRANN INFORMATION - ENG DEV     8.222       100     INTEGRATED BRECORDGICAL SUPPORT SYSTEM     8.223       101     JSINS CORE PROGRAM     0       102     INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE     0       103     MINS CORE PROGRAM     24.230       103     AUTOMATIC TEST COMMAND. CONTROL NAD INTELLIGENCE - ENG DEV     26.978       104     AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT     21.497       105     AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT     21.497       106     DISTRIBUTC NE SIMULATION SYSTEM DACAGE SYSTEM SEVELOPMENT     21.497       106     DISTRIBUTC BINULATION SUBMUNITION (BAT)     11.839       107     AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT     21.497       107     DISTRIBUTC MEST SIDENCE - ENG DEV     26.978       108     BINLLANT ANTI-ARIAN SUBMUNITION (BAT)     11.839       109     DISTRIBUTC MEST SIDENCE - INSIGNER AND SUBMUNITION (BAT)     21.497       109     DOINT SUPPORT AND SUBMUNITION (BAT)     21.497       101     POSITIONING SYSTEM SYSTEM     21.400       102     DOINT SUPPORT AND SUBMUNITION (BAT)     21.497       108     DOINT SUPPORT AND SUBMUNITION (BAT)     21.497       109     DOSITTONING SYSTEM     21.400       101     POSITIONING SYSTEM     21.400       102     DOSITTONING SYSTEM <td>0604715A</td> <td>96</td> <td>NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES - ENG DEV</td> <td>43,650</td> <td></td> <td>43,650</td>	0604715A	96	NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES - ENG DEV	43,650		43,650
000     ITEGRATED METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT SYSTEM     3,417       010     JSINS CORE PROGRAM     3,417       010     JSINS CORE PROGRAM     24,230       010     INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE     24,230       010     INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE     24,230       010     AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT     28,978       010     AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT     21,487       0110     AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT     21,487       0111     BRILLIANT ANTI-ARMOR SUBMULATIONS (DIS) - ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT     21,487       010     BRILLIANT ANTI-ARMOR SUBMUNTION (BAT)     21,487       010     BRILLIANT ETACRATE SMULATIONS (DIS) - ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT     21,487       010     BRILLIANT ANTI-ARMOR SUBMUNTION (BAT)     21,487       0111     COMBINED PARISE - ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT     56,683       0111     JONT SUPPORTAGET ATTICK RADAR SYSTEM     4,740       0111     COMBINED PARISE - ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT     57,579       0111     JONT SUPPORTAGET ATTICK RADAR SYSTEM     4,740       111     JONT SUPPORTAGET ATTICK RADAR SYSTEM     4,756       111     COMBINED PARISE - ENGINEERING MAGNON     2,579       111     JONT SUPPORTAGET ATTICK RADAR     4,756       111     JONT SUPPORTAGET ATTICK RADAR     4,756	0604716A	66	TERRAIN INFORMATION - ENG DEV	8,232		8,232
101       3iMIS CORE PROGRAM       24,200         102       INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE       26,200         103       INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE       26,978         104       CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SCOTTEND AND INTELLIGENCE - ENG DEV       26,978         104       CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT       26,978         104       CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT       21,487         105       AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIDMENT DEVELOPMENT       21,487         107       TACTICAL SURVELLANCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT       21,487         107       TACTICAL SURVELLANDES SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT       21,487         108       DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS (BAT)       21,470         108       DISTRUELLANCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT       21,470         109       JONT SURVELLANCE SYSTEMS       20,023         1010       DONT SURVELLANCE TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM       21,470         1010       DONT SURVELLANCE TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEMS       21,470         1011       DONT SURVELLANCE TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEMS       21,470	0604726A	<u>1</u> 0	INTEGRATED METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT SYSTEM	3,417		3,417
102       NITEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE       0         103       NITEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE       0         103       AIN DEFENSE       SECOMMAND       26,978         104       AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT       26,978       53,294         105       AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT       51,899       53,294         106       AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT       51,899       53,294         107       TACTICAL SURVELLANCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT       51,899       53,294         107       TACTICAL SURVELLANCE SYSTEMS - ENG DEV       56,662       56,662         108       BIILLIANT ANTI-ARMOR SUBMUNITION (8AT)       190,233         109       DERF - standolf bilistic-missile-delivered ponetrator weapon       4,740         100       DOINT SURVELLANCE SYSTEMS       190,233         101       DOSITIONING SYSTEMS       55,662         101       DOSITIONING SYSTEMS       7,579         102       DOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM       7,579         103       JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM       7,579         114       COMMINE SYSTEMS       10,5756         115       DOINT NETWORK MARGEMENT SYSTEMS       7,579         113       JOINT NETWORK MARGEMENT SYSTEMS       41,758	0604738A	<u>1</u> 0	JSIMS CORE PROGRAM	24,230		24,230
103       AIR DEFENSE COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE - ENG DEV       26,978         104       CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT       25,924         105       AUTOMATIC TEST EQUUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT       53,224         106       Digital source collector-health usage monitoring system for Apache Longbow       21,467         107       TACTICAL SUPRELLANCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT       51,467         108       BRILLIANT ANTI-ARMOR SUBMUNTION (BAT)       21,467         109       UONT SUPRELLANCE SYSTEMS       90,0293         109       DIGIT SUPRELLANCE SYSTEMS       190,293         101       TACTICAL SUPRELLANCE SYSTEM       4,740         103       DOINT SUPRELLANCE TARARE AND SYSTEM       4,740         104       DOINT SUPRELLANCE SYSTEM       4,740         105       DOINT SUPRELLANCE TARARE AND SYSTEM       4,740         101       POSITIONING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT (SPACE)       7,579         111       COMBINED AND MUNITIONS - ENG DEV       7,579         111       COMBINED AND MUNITIONS - ENG DEV       8,026         112       JOINT NETRORA AND MUNITIONS - ENG DEV       8,156         113       AVATION - ENG DEV       8,156         114       KEAPONS AND MUNITIONS - ENG DEV       4,758         115	0604739A	102	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE	0		0
104     CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT     53.284       105     AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIDATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT     53.284       105     AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIDATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT     53.284       107     TACTICAL SUBRUITION (BAT)     11.839       107     TACTICAL SUBRUITION (BAT)     21.487       108     DIGITAL SURVEILLANCE SYSTEMS - ENG DEV     56.682       109     DEFE - standolf ballistic missile deliker wapon     4,740       109     JOINT SURVEILLANCE TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM     4,740       109     JOINT SURVEILLANCE/TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM     4,740       110     POSTIDONING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT (SPACE)     7,579       111     CONBINED ARINS TACTICAL TAILING RECATI)     5,665       112     JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT (SPACE)     7,579       113     AVATION - ENG DEV     3,150       114     CONBINED ARINS TACTICAL TAILING RECATI     7,579       112     JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT (SPACE)     7,579       113     JAVATION - ENG DEV     41,758       114     CONTROLOC DEVELOPMENT (SPACE)     7,579       112     JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT (SPACE)     7,579       113     JAVATION - ENG DEV     41,758       114     CONTROLOC SYSTEMS - ENG DEV     7,579       115     JOINT NETWORK MARTARALANT	0604741A	103		26,978		26,978
105       AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT       11,839         106       DUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT SUBMUNT using monitoring system for Apache Longbow       11,839         107       TACTICAL SURVEILLANCE SYSTEMS - ENG DEV       190,293         107       TACTICAL SURVEILLANCE SYSTEMS - ENG DEV       190,293         108       BINILLANT ANTI-ARMOR SUBMUNTION (BAT)       190,293         109       DERF - standoff balitot-missile-deliver weapon       4,740         101       DOINT SURVEILLANCE SYSTEMS - ENG DEV       190,293         102       DENT SURVEILLANCE SYSTEMS - ENG DEV       5,579         103       DERF - standoff balitot-missile-deliver weapon       4,740         104       JOINT SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM       7,579         110       DOSITIONING SYSTEM       7,579         111       COMBINED ARMARENTI SYSTEM       7,579         113       JOINT NETWORK MAMAGENENT SYSTEM       7,579         113       JOINT NETWORK MAMAGENENT SYSTEM       7,579         114       COMBINED ARMARENTISTYSTEM       1,758         113       JOINT NETWORK MAMAGENTISTYSTEM       1,758         113       JOINT NETWORK MAMAGENTISTYSTEM       1,758         114       JOINT NETWORK MARPLATENDER EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV       1,758         115 </td <td>0604742A</td> <td>104</td> <td>CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT</td> <td>53,294</td> <td></td> <td>53,294</td>	0604742A	104	CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	53,294		53,294
Digital source collector-health usage monitoring system for Apache Longbow         21,487           106         DiSTRIBUTYCE NULLATIONS (DIS) - ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT         21,487           107         TACTICAL SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM Service Simulations (DIS)         21,467           108         BRILLIANT ANTI-ARMOR SUBMUNTION (BAT)         190,293           109         DISTRIBUTYCE INTERCESTATICES FATTICAR TADRA         21,467           100         DINT SUPREILLANCE SYSTEM         4,740           111         COMBINED RAMES redictions avagoin         4,740           111         COMBINED RAMES RACTICAL TRANKER (ATT) CORE         3,150           111         COMBINED RAMES RACTICAL TRANKER (CATT) CORE         8,0288           111         JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM         4,740           112         POSITIONING SYSTEM         4,740           113         AVITION - ENG DEV         8,028           114         KEAPONS AND MUNITIONS - ENG DEV         7,579           115         LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV         8,150           115         LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV         8,150           116         REAPONS AND MUNITIONS - ENG DEV         8,150           117         AVITION - ENG DEV         11,758           118         LOGI	0604746A	105	AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT	11,839		19,839
106     DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS (DIS) - ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT     21,487       107     TACTICAL SURVELLANCE YSSTEMS - ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT     21,487       108     BRILLIANT ANTI-ARMON SUBMUTION (BR1)     190,283       109     DIRFF - standord Busiston-mussie-delivered prentrator weapon     4,740       111     COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (CATT) CORE     8,028       112     JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM     7,579       113     AVIATION - ENG DEV     0,7579       114     WEAPONS AND MUNITONS - ENG DEV     8,028       112     JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM     3,156       113     AVIATION - ENG DEV     0,7579       114     WEAPONS AND MUNITONS - ENG DEV     3,158       112     JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT - ENG DEV     3,158       113     AVIATION - ENG DEV     3,158       114     WEAPONS AND MUNITONS - ENG DEV     3,158       112     JOINT RETWORK MARGEMENT - ENG DEV     3,158       113     AVIATIONS - ENG DEV     3,158       114     WEAPONS AND MUNITONS - ENG DEV     3,158       115     JOINT RETWORMENT - ENG			Digital source collector-health usage monitoring system for Apache Longbow			(+8,000)
107     TACTICAL SURVEILLANCE SYSTEMS - ENG DEV     56.682       108     BRILLIANT ANTI-ARMOR SUBMUNITION (BAT)     59.682       108     BRILLIANT ANTI-ARMOR SUBMUNITION (BAT)     59.092       109     JOINT SURVEILLANCE/TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM     190.293       109     JOINT SURVEILLANCE/TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM     4,740       111     COMBINED ARIAS TACTICAL TANIAR (CATT) CORE     5,759       113     JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT (SPACE)     7,579       113     JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM     7,579       113     JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM     41,758       113     JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM     3,150       114     WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS - ENG DEV     65,867       115     LOGISTICS AND SYSTEMS SALE     41,758       116     JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS     41,758       117     MEDION- ENGINER     8,028       118     LOGISTICS AND KIONNER RECULIPATIONS SYSTEMS - ENG DEV     65,867       119     COMMAND CONTROL, COMMUNICIONS SYSTEMS - ENG DEV     65,867       111     COMMAND CONTROL, COMMUNICIONS SYSTEMS - ENG DEV     8,028       116     SUGISTICS AND KIONNERTON SYSTEMS - ENG DEV     8,028       117     MEDIOAL INCLOAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV     12,625       118     Life support for trauma and transport spiral d	0604760A	106		21,487		21,487
108     BRILLIANT ANTI-ARMOR SUBMUNITION (BAT)     190,293       108     BRILLIANT ANTI-ARMOR SUBMUNITION (BAT)     190,293       109     JOINT SUPRELLANCETARGET ATTACK RADAR XYSTEM     4,740       111     COMBINED RATIKICARCINA TARK RADAR     4,740       111     COMBINED RATIKICARCINA TARK RADAR     4,740       111     COMBINED RATIKICARCINAL TRAINER (CATT) CORE     7,579       112     JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM     4,758       113     AVIATION - ENG DEV     8,028       114     WEAPON SAND MUNITIONS - ENG DEV     8,150       115     LOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM     41,758       113     AVIATION - ENG DEV     8,028       114     KEAPONS AND MUNITIONS - ENG DEV     41,758       115     LOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM     41,758       116     COMMON remotely operated weapon disposable (confined space)     65,857       115     LOISTICS AND REGINEER EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV     82,238       Applied communications and information reworking (ACIN) program     12,655       117     LENDONIK WARFAREBARRIER - ENG DEV     12,655       118     LOISTICS AND ALBICLOLAL BICLOGOLL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV     12,655       118     LANDMIKE WARFAREBARRIER - ENG DEV     12,665       118     LANDMIKE WARFAREBARRIER - ENG DEV     12,665 <tr< td=""><td>0604766A</td><td>107</td><td>· .</td><td>56,662</td><td></td><td>56,662</td></tr<>	0604766A	107	· .	56,662		56,662
DEFF - standoff ballistic-market obtivered prontrator weapon       109     JOINT SURVEILLANCETARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM       110     POSTITONING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT (SPACK RADAR SYSTEM       111     COUBINED ARINS TACTICAL TRAINER (CATT) CORE       112     JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM       113     AVIATION - ENG DEV       114     WEAPDONS AND MUNITIONS - ENG DEV       115     LOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM       114     WEAPDONS AND MUNITIONS - ENG DEV       115     LOGISTICS AND MUNITIONS - ENG DEV       116     LOGISTICS AND NUNITIONS - ENG DEV       117     CORMINON SYSTEM       118     LOGISTICS AND MUNITIONS - ENG DEV       119     LOGISTICS AND NUNITIONS - ENG DEV       110     COSTITICS AND NUNITIONS - ENG DEV       116     LOGISTICS AND NUNITIONS - ENG DEV       117     MEDICAL INTERLEXERS       118     LOGISTICS AND NUNITIONS - ENG DEV       119     LOGISTICS AND NUNITIONS - ENG DEV       110     COGISTICS AND NUNITIONS - ENG DEV       111     COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS - ENG DEV       111     MEDICAL INTERRETIER EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV       111     MEDICAL INTERRETIER - ENG DEV       112     MEDICAL INTERRETIER - ENG DEV       113     MAPINANE WARFARERARIER - ENG DEV       114     MARTEARERARTIER - ENG DEV </td <td>0604768A</td> <td>108</td> <td></td> <td>190,293</td> <td>_</td> <td>245,293</td>	0604768A	108		190,293	_	245,293
100     OINT SUPPEILLANCETAARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM     4,740       110     POSITTONING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT (SPACE)     0       111     COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRANKER (CATT) CORE     7,579       112     JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM     7,579       113     ANTTON - END ENT     7,579       114     WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS - ENG DEV     3,150       113     ANTTON - END ENVIRT     41,758       114     WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS - ENG DEV     3,150       115     Common emrely operated wapon system     65,857       116     LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV     8,228       Applied communications and information networking (ACIN) program     12,625       118     LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV     12,625       118     LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV     12,625       118     Lasuport for trauma and transport spiral development     12,625       118     Lasuport for trauma and transport spiral development     12,625       118     Landhine WATFAREBARFIER - ENG DEV     12,625			DERF - standoff ballistic-missile-delivered penetrator weapon			(+55,000)
110     POSITIONING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT (SPACE)     0       111     COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (CATT) CORE     7,579       113     JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM     8,028       113     JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM     8,028       113     AVIATION - ENG DEV     8,028       114     WEAPONS AND MUNITIONES - ENG DEV     8,150       115     LOINT NETWORK MANUSE - ENG DEV     41,758       116     Common remotely operated weapon disposable (confined space)     65,857       115     LOOSTICS AND REGINEER EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV     65,857       116     COGISTICS AND REGINEER EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV     12,625       117     MEDICAL IDICLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV     12,625       118     LOGISTICS AND LINGUCIOSAL BICLAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV     12,625       117     MEDICAL METERAREIRARIERA - ENG DEV     12,625       118     LANDMINE WARFAREIRARIERA - ENG DEV     12,625       119     LANDMINE WARFAREIRARIERA - ENG DEV     12,625       118     LANDMINE WARFAREIRARIERA - ENG DEV     12,625       119     LANDMINE WARFAREIRARIERA - ENG DEV     12,625 <td>0604770A</td> <td>109</td> <td></td> <td>4,740</td> <td></td> <td>4,740</td>	0604770A	109		4,740		4,740
111       CONBINED ARNS TACTICAL TANNER (CATT) CORE       7,579         112       JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM       7,579         113       AVIATION - ENG DEV       8,028         114       WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS - ENG DEV       8,028         113       AVIATION - ENG DEV       3,150         114       WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS - ENG DEV       3,150         115       UGNIANTION - ENG DEV       3,150         116       Common remotely operated waspon system       3,156         115       LOGISTICS AND MUNITIONS - ENG DEV       65,887         116       LOGISTICS AND MUNICATIONS SYSTEMS - ENG DEV       65,887         116       LOGISTICS AND MUNICATIONS SYSTEMS - ENG DEV       62,238         Applied communications and information RAUNIKING ACINN) program       12,625         117       MEDICAL MATERIAL/MEDICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV       12,625         LIFRIDIAL MATERIALINE WARTER - ENG DEV       12,625       116         LANDMINE WARTERAREMARTIER - ENG DEV       12,625       118         LANDMINE WARTERAREMARTIER - ENG DEV       12,625       12,625         Landmine waterbarrier development       12,8052       12,605         Landmine waterbarrier development       12,625       13,600         LANDMINE WARTERAREMENT - ENG	0604778A	110	_	0		0
112     JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM     8,028       113     ANTTON - EIG DEV     3,150       114     WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS - ENG DEV     3,150       115     VICTON- EIG DEV     41,758       116     LOGISTICS AND MUNITIONS - ENG DEV     41,758       115     LOGISTICS AND MUNITIONS - ENG DEV     55,857       116     LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV     55,857       115     LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV     55,857       116     COMMAND, CONTRIOL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS - ENG DEV     82,238       Applied communications and information networking (ACIN) program     12,625       117     MEIDRAL MATERIALMEDOL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV     12,625       118     Lasuport for trauma and transport spiral development     12,625       118     Lasuport for trauma and transport spiral development     12,625       118     Landmine WATFAREBARTRIER - ENG DEV     12,625       119     Landmine WATFAREBARTRIER - ENG DEV     12,625       111     Life support for trauma and transport spiral development     12,625       118     Landmine WATFAREBARTRIER - ENG DEV     12,625       119     Landmine WATFAREBARTRIER - ENG DEV     12,625       120     Landmine WATFAREBARTRIER - ENG DEV     12,625	0604780A	ŧ	-	7,579		7,579
113       XIVATION - ENG DEV       3,150         114       WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS - ENG DEV       41,758         114       WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS - ENG DEV       41,758         Shoulder-launched multipurpose assuit wapon-disposable (confined space)       41,758         Common remotely operated weapon system       65,857         115       LOGISTICS AND MUNICATIONS SYSTEMS - ENG DEV       65,857         116       COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS - ENG DEV       82,238         Applied communications and information networking (ACIN) program       12,625       12,625         Life support for trauma and information retworking (ACIN) program       12,625       13         Life support for trauma and information retworking (ACIN) program       12,625       13         Landmine WATFAREBARFIER- ENG DEV       12,625       13       12,625         Life support for trauma and transport spiral development       12,625       13       12,625         Life support for trauma and transport spiral development       12,625       13       13,600       12,625         Life support for trauma and transport spiral development       13,600       12,625       13,600       13,600       13,600       13,600         Life support for trauma and transport spiral development       13,600       13,600       13,600 <td< td=""><td>0604783A</td><td>112</td><td></td><td>8,028</td><td></td><td>8,028</td></td<>	0604783A	112		8,028		8,028
114     WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS - ENG DEV     41,758       114     WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS - ENG DEV     41,758       115     Nonder-launcher multipurpose assaut weapon-disposable (confined space)     41,758       115     Common remotely operated waspon visitem     53,857       115     LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV     65,887       116     COMMAND, CONTFROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS - ENG DEV     62,238       Applied communications and information RACINIP, program     12,625       117     MEDICAL MATERIAL/MEDICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV     12,625       LANDMINE WAFFARERAFERAFIER - ENG DEV     12,625       118     LANDMINE WAFFARERAFIER - ENG DEV     12,625       Landmine waterbarrier development     12,625       Landmine waterbarrier development     12,625	0604801A	113		3,150		3,150
Shoulder-launched multipurpose assault weapon-disposable (confined space) Common remetery operated weapon system 115 COGINTICS AND ENGINEER EGUIPMENT - ENG DEV 116 COMMANU, CONTROL. COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS - ENG DEV Applied communications and information networking (ACIN) program 17 MEDICAL MATERIAL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DETENSE EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV 18 LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER - MO DEV 19 Landmine WARFARE/BARRIER - MO DEV 10 Landmine WARFARE/BARRIER - MO DEV 10 ADDITION - COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS - ENG DEV 10 ADDITION - COMMUNICATION NETWORK 11 LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER - MO DEV 12 ADDITION - COMMUNICATION NETWORK 12 ADDITION - COMMUNICATION NETWORK 13 ADDITION - COMMUNICATION NETWORK 14 ADDITION - COMMUNICATION NETWORK 14 ADDITION - COMMUNICATION NETWORK 15 ADDITION - COMMUNICATION NETWORK 15 ADDITION - COMMUNICATION NETWORK 15 ADDITION - COMMUNICATION NETWORK 16 ADDITION - COMMUNICATION NETWORK 17 ADDITION - COMMUNICATION NETWORK 18 ADDITION - COMMUNICATION NETWORK 19 ADDITION - COMMUNICATION NETWORK 19 ADDITION - COMMUNICATION NETWORK 10 ADDITION -	0604802A	114	-	41,758		51,158
Common remotely operated weapon system 115 LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV 116 COMMANID, CONTRIOL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS - ENG DEV Applied communications and information networking (ACIN) program 117 MEDICAL MATCHALMEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV 118 LANDMINE WATFAREDATIRIER - ENG DEV 118 LANDMINE WATFAREDATIRIER - ENG DEV 128 LANDMINE WATFAREDATIRIER - ENG DEV 128 LANDMINE WATFAREDATIRIER - ENG DEV 128 - COMMUNICATIONE - COMPANIENT - ENG DEV 128 - COMMUNICATIONE - COMMUNICATIONE - COMMUNICATIONE 128 - COMMUNICATIONE - COMMUNICATIONE - COMMUNICATIONE 128 - COMMUNICATIONE - COMUNICATIONE - COMMUNICATIONE -			Shoulder-launched multipurpose assault weapon-disposable (confined space)			(+6,000)
115         LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV         65,857           116         COGNISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV         65,857           116         COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS - ENG DEV         82,238           Applied communications and information relevoling ACON} program         82,238           117         MEDICAL MATERIAL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV         12,625           Lis support for trauma and transport spriat development         12,625           LANDMINE WAFFARE/RARIER - ENG DEV         128,992           LANDMINE WAFFARE/RARIER - ENG DEV         128,992           Landmine WAFFARE/RARIER - ENG DEV         128,992			Common remotely operated weapon system			(+3,400)
116     COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS - ENG DEV     82,238       Applied communications and information networking (ACIN) program     82,238       117     MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE     12,525       118     Life support for trauma and transport spital development     12,625       118     LANDMINE WAFFARE/BARRIER - ENG DEV     128,992       118     LANDMINE WAFFARE/BARRIER - ENG DEV     128,992	0604804A	115	_	65,857		65,857
Applied communications and information networking (ACIN) program 17 MEDICAL MATERIALMEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV 12,625 18 LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER - ENG DEV 19 LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER - ENG DEV 10 Landmine watter/braine end development 10 Jandmine watter/braine programmer and the second programmer and th	0604805A	116	-	82,238		99,238
<ol> <li>Matterial.MECIOL BIOLOGICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV</li> <li>Lie support for trauma and transport spiral development</li> <li>LANDMINE WATFARE/BARRIER - ENG DEV</li> <li>Landmine watraerbarrier engineering development</li> <li>Andmine watraerbarrier engineering development</li> </ol>						(+17,000)
Life support for trauma and transport spiral development 118 LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER - ENG DEV Landhine warter/barrier endineering development 2000 - 20	0604807A	117		12,625		17,625
	Varananan	¢		128 002	(002 300)	(101,692)
	0004000			100,001	(2000,114)	(-27 300)
119 AH ILLEHY MUNITONS - EMU	0604814A	119		70.888		70,888

6604817A         120         COMBAT IDENTIFICATION           6604817A         120         COMBAT IDENTIFICATION           6604813A         121         ARMY TACTICAL COMMAND & CONTROL HARDWARE & SOFTWARE           6604813A         122         ROSAT           6604852A         124         FIREFINDER           6604853A         125         ARTILLEY SYSTEMS - EMD           6604855A         125         ARTILLEY SYSTEMS - EMD           6604855A         125         ARTILLEY SYSTEMS - EMD           6604855A         126         ARTILLEY SYSTEMS - EMD           6605013A         127         INFORMATION TECHONOGO PEVELOPMENT           7         INFORMATION TECHONOGO PEVELOPMENT         FRESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT           6605013A         127         INFORMATION TECHONOGO PEVELOPMENT           6605023         128         THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT           6604256A         128         THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT           6604256A         129         MAOR T& EINVESTIMENT           6604256A         130         MAOR T& EINVESTIMEN	PROGRAM TITLE	Request	Request	Authorization
121           122           122           122           122           123           123           123           123           123           123           123           123           123           123           123           123           134           135           136           137           138		1,995		1,995
122           122           122           123           123           123           123           123           123           123           123           123           123           123           123           133           133           133           133           133           133           133           133           133           133           133           133           133           134           135           135           135           135           135           135           135           135           135           135           136           137           138           138           138           139           139           139           139           139           139           139	HARDWARE & SOFTWARE	80,672		80,672
123           124           125           125           126           127           128           133           133           133           133           133           133           133           133           133           133           133           133           133           133           133           133           133           133           133           134           135           135           136           137           138           133           133           135           136           137           138           138           138           138           138           138           138           138           138           138           138           138           138           138		14,463		14,463
125           125           125           126           127           128           128           129           121           121           122           123           123           123           123           134           135           136           137           138           138           138           138           138           139           139           139           139           139           139           139		0		0
125           125           125           127           128           128           129           121           122           123           123           123           123           123           123           123           123           123           123           123           123           123           133           133           133           133           133           133           133           133           133           133           133           133           133           134           135           135           136           137           138           138           138           138           138           139           139           139           139           139           139		26,122		26,122
127 128 1332 132 128 1332 1332 1332 1332 13		251,376		251,376
12         12 <th12< th="">         12         12         12<!--</td--><td>VSE ACQUISITION - EMD</td><td>150,819</td><td>(150,819)</td><td>0</td></th12<>	VSE ACQUISITION - EMD	150,819	(150,819)	0
8 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	ENT	FU REF		(-150,819) 50 865
821123222222222222222222222222222222222	DINC DEVELODMENT	00,000 0 001 560	(31 /10)	00'00 2 770 1 43
<b>1</b> <b>1</b> <b>1</b> <b>1</b> <b>1</b> <b>1</b> <b>1</b> <b>1</b>				01.01.13
<b>1</b> 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	DEVELOPMENT	479,182	(150,819)	328,363
2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2				
2010 2010		15,251		15,251
0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		10,772		10,772
132 / 252 /		53,797		53,797
1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		22,148		22,148
133 0 133 133 0 133 0 133 0 133 0 133 0 133 0 133 0 133 0 133 0 133 0 134 0 13		132,831		132,831
135 133 135 135 135 135 135 135 135 135	Μ	22,627		22,627
135 1 136 1 138 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Ŧ	0		0
136 137 137 138 139 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 14		144,183		144,183
137 138 138 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140	ON AND TARGETS	43,222		43,222
138 139 139 139 139 139 139 139 140 141 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140		39,200		39,200
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	×	14,410		14,410
140 141 142 143 143 143 143 143 143 143 143 143 143		4,062		4,062
14111111111111111111111111111111111111	ACTIVITIES	7,310		7,310
41 1 4 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		10,189		10,189
143 145 145 146 147 146 147		3,490		3,490
144 145 145 145 145 145		99,375		99,375
145 146 147 147		41,250		41,250
146		78,452		78,452
147		34,040		34,040
110	IVENESS AND SAFETY	16,014		16,014
0		0		0
149	Y MGMT SUPPORT	1,902		1,902
06058984 150 MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT) 00000004 151 FINANCING FOR CANCELLED ACCOUNT AD HISTMENTS	RCH AND DEVELOPMENT) AD.HISTMFNTS	11,533 0		11,533 0
2		BUE DE	c	BUG DEB
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPIN		806.058	• •	806.058

FY 2003 Committee Authorization	0	57.825	31,081	(+2,000)	0	38,161	54,465	44,444	196,794	3,689	32,968	(+4,000)	64,915	0	43,738	13,018	8,891	14,121	2,860	7,031	10,438	(+5,000)	14,844	51,864	(-20,000)	72,244	17,895	977	63,579	(+12,100)	(+5,000)	13,082	(+3,000)	(+5,200)	(000 2+)	(+5,000)	(+8,000)	
Committee Change from Request	0		2,000								4,000										5,000			(20,000)					17,100			8,200		100	21,700			
FY 2003 Authorization Request	0	57,825	29,081		0	38,161	54,465	44,444	196,794	3,689	28,968		64,915	0	43,738	13,018	8,891	14,121	2,860	7,031	5,438		14,844	71,864		72,244	17,895	226	46,479			4,882		16 600	000,01			
1 PROGRAM TITLE	ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT		_			-		~	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	) DIGITIZATION	Dismounted situation awareness system	-	-	-	I OTHER MISSILE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS		-	-		9 SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	-	_	-	-		>		-	DERF - Hunter Ground Control Station	Mini-backpack unmanned aerial vehicle		DERF - Unattended MASINT Sensors		PISTRIBUTED COMMON GHOUND STSTEMS	DERF - MASINT	DERF - DCGS-A at Echelons above Corps	
Line		152				-	-	-	-		160		'	162	-	164	-		,		169			171					175			176						
Program Element Number		06037780	0102419A		0203610A	0203726A	0203735A	0203740A	0203744A	0203752A	0203758A		0203759A	0203761A	0203801A	0203802A	0203808A	0208010A	0208053A	0301359A	0303028A		0303140A	0303141A		0303142A	0303150A	0305114A	0305204A			0305206A			0302208A			

Program Element R-1 Number Line	Line R-1	PROGRAM TITLE	FY 2003 Authorization ( Request	FY 2003 Committee FY 2003 Authorization Change from Committee Request Authorizatio	FY 2003 Committe <del>e</del> Authorization
		DERF - ISR datalinks DERF - Moving Target Indicator/Multi-Platform Radar Technology Insertion Program (MTI/MPRTIP)			(+2,000) (+4,700)
0708045A 178	178	END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES Bionar water cell nickel matel biotride hattere	61,025	2,000	63,025 (±2,000)
1001018A	179	001018A 179 NATO JOINT STARS	512		512
		TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	919,844	40,000	959,844
		RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	919,844	40,000	959,844
		ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	0	0	0
		Accrual Accounting for Civit Service Retirement and Health Programs		(98,161)	(98,161)
		TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, ARMY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	6,918,494 4,116,932	14,825 46,244	6,933,319 4,163,176
		ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	2,801,562	(31,419)	2,770,143

# **Items of Special Interest**

# 155mm extended-range guided projectile

The budget request contained \$70.9 million in PE 64814A for artillery munitions.

The committee notes that the Army is merging the trajectory correctable munitions (TCM) program and the Excalibur (XM–982) guided munition program into a single extended-range guided munition program. The committee is aware that combining these programs has the potential to reduce cost, improve performance and accelerate fielding of a 155mm extended-range guided projectile for our ground forces.

The committee strongly supports merging TCM and Excalibur and recommends the budget request in PE 64814A.

# Advanced Army composite bridge

The budget request contained \$229.8 million in PE 63005A for combat vehicle and automotive advanced technology, but included no funds for the development of the Advanced Army Composite Bridge.

The committee is aware that the Army has evaluated a composite bridge technology demonstrating a capacity to carry military loads in excess of 110 tons. The committee understands the potential benefits composite materials offer, especially in terms of weight savings, corrosion resistance, and battle damage tolerance. As a result, the committee urges further development of this initiative to facilitate a full-scale demonstration and complete design and fabrication.

The committee recommends an increase of \$3.0 million in PE 63005A to mature the full-scale demonstration of the Advanced Composite Bridge.

#### Advanced battery technology demonstration and validation program

The budget request contained \$7.4 million in PE 63308A for Army missile defense systems integration, but included no funds for the advanced battery technology demonstration and validation program.

The committee is aware that the advanced battery technology demonstration and validation program has produced notable successes such as a new thermal battery for the Patriot PAC-3 missile and a prototype battery for the compact kinetic energy missile. He committee supports continuation of the program to: (1) continue development of a thermal battery simulation and modeling tool, (2) develop advanced electrochemical batteries to support the missile technology sector, and (3) provide the government with a second source for critical-use thermal batteries.

The committee recommends an increase of \$8.0 million in PE 63308A for the advanced battery technology demonstration and validation program.

# Advanced fuel cell technology

The budget request contained \$27.5 million in PE 62705A for electronics and electronic devices, but included no funding for advanced fuel cell technology.

The committee notes that the military is becoming increasingly dependent on electrical power for its personal warfighting systems, and supports development of advanced fuel cell technology to meet those requirements.

The committee recommends \$32.5 million for PE 62705A, an increase of \$5.0 million for advanced fuel cell technology.

# Advanced threat infrared countermeasures/common missile warning system

The budget request contained \$22.8 million in PE 64270A for the development of EW equipment, but included no funds to complete an Advanced Threat Infrared Countermeasures/Common Missile Warning System (ATIRCM/CMWS) installed test facility upgrade.

The ATIRCM system integrates defensive infrared (IR) countermeasures into currently fielded aircraft for more effective protection against a greater number of IR-guided missiles than is provided by currently fielded technology. The CMWS provides warning of a threat IR-guided missile on a variety of tactical aircraft and helicopters. While the committee notes the transfer of this system to the United States Special Operations Command for continued development and initial fielding, the committee is aware of a critical requirement to upgrade Army test facilities in order to perform effective tests on helicopter self-protection systems installed and integrated on aircraft against multi-mode missile seekers.

To complete this test facility system upgrade, the committee recommends an increase of \$7.0 million in PE 64270A, for this purpose.

#### Aircrew coordination training

The budget request contained \$3.5 million in PE 63007A for manpower, personnel, and training technology but included no funds for aircrew coordination training (ACT).

The committee notes that ACT has almost completed development. The committee is aware that ACT has demonstrated the ability to improve aircrew safety and efficiency, which is especially critical in combat operations.

The committee recommends \$5.6 million in PE 63007A, an increase of \$2.1 million for aircrew coordination training.

# Applied communications and information networking (ACIN) program

The budget request contained no funds in PE 64805A for the ACIN program.

The committee understands that the ACIN program includes projects intended to integrate commercial off-the-shelf components and adapt commercial technologies to fulfill military communications applications for 21st century warfare. The committee notes that the Army has implemented the ACIN program and recommends that the Secretary of the Army coordinate with the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Command, Control, Communications, and Intelligence) to expand applicable aspects of the ACIN program within the Department of Defense. Consistent with its prior year actions to promote increased partnering with commercial industry, the committee recommends an increase of \$17.0 million in PE 64805A for ACIN.

# Anti-material sniper rifle

The budget request contained \$6.0 million in PE 63607A for the joint service small arm program, but included no funding for the anti-material sniper rifle.

The committee is aware that the Army unfunded requirements list contains a requirement for improvements to the long-range sniper rifle. The anti-material sniper rifle offers the potential to reduce weight and improve reliability of the existing sniper rifle.

The committee recommends \$15.8 million in PE 63607A, an increase of \$9.8 million for the anti-material sniper rifle.

#### Asbestos pilot project

The budget request contained \$9.3 million in PE 63779A for environmental quality technology, but included no funds for an asbestos pilot project.

The committee is aware that asbestos is an environmental hazard and supports research and development to improve hazardous waste reduction, and reduce the cost of disposal.

The committee recommends \$11.3 million in PE 63779A, an increase of \$2.0 million for an asbestos pilot project.

# Automated document conversion

The budget request contained \$229.8 million in PE 63005A for combat vehicle and automotive advanced technology, but included no funds for advanced data conversion.

The committee notes that only a small portion of the Tank and Automotive Command's legacy product data is in computer aided design formats. The committee is aware that CAD-based technical data is recognized as an enabler for cost effective recapitalization.

The committee recommends an increase of \$750 thousand in PE 63005A for development and evaluation of existing and emerging technologies for conversion of paper/raster to 2-dimensional and 3-dimensional CAD along with advanced formats.

# Bipolar wafer cell nickel-metal hydride battery

The budget request contained \$61.0 million in PE 78045A for end item industrial preparedness, but included no funds for the bi-polar wafer cell nickel-metal hydride battery.

The committee is aware that the future military will be increasingly dependent on portable electric power, and notes that bi-polar wafer cell nickel-metal hydride battery technology has the potential to meet some of these needs.

The committee recommends \$63.0 million in PE 78045A, an increase of \$2.0 million for bi-polar wafer cell nickel-metal hydride battery.

#### Clothing and equipment technology

The budget included \$25.5 million in PE 62786A for clothing and equipment technology, but included no funding to improve the affordability and reliability of inflatable textile-based structures for deployable shelters.

The committee is supportive of the Army's Transformational Campaign Plan, and particularly of development of technologies to improve soldier survivability and performance. The committee notes that the Army's logistics development plan appears to be inconsistent with all Objective Force requirements, particularly with regard to achieving rapid rates of deployment into areas with likely chemical or biological weapons threats. The committee is aware that advanced shelter development incorporating innovative weaving technologies may simultaneously facilitate deployments and improve soldier survivability and urges the Army to pursue further development of this initiative.

The committee recommends \$27.5 million in PE 62786A, an increase of \$2.0 million, for advanced development in deployable shelters.

#### Crusader

The committee is aware that the Crusader advanced field artillery system is the Army's next generation self-propelled howitzer that has increased lethality, mobility, and survivability. The committee notes that the requirement for Crusader directly addresses the shortfalls in mobility and range of U.S. artillery systems that were evident during the Gulf War. Crusader capitalizes on mature, state-of-the-art technologies to improve range and volume of fire, responsiveness, re-supply, command and control and sustainability. The committee notes that the Army proposes to transition Crusader from program definition and risk reduction (PDRR) to systems development and demonstration (SDD) in fiscal year 2003. A milestone B decision is scheduled in the 3rd quarter of fiscal year 2003 to support this transition.

The committee notes Crusader's continuing progress in development and is aware that Crusader has already met all of its milestone B firing performance requirements in government testing at Yuma Proving Ground. The government-industry team contract performance continues to be on schedule and budget. The Assistant Secretary of the Army (Acquisition, Logistics, and Technology) and the Director of the Army's Objective Force Task Force have testified before this committee regarding the need for Crusader and the capabilities it brings to the battlefield.

The committee is concerned that the transformational war-fighting potential of Crusader has not been fully recognized by the Department of Defense and cannot be properly assessed until the Army completes its comprehensive Analysis of Alternatives (AOA) for the Crusader Milestone B decision. The committee believes that alternatives to Crusader's capabilities suggested by the Department of Defense should be included in the AOA and assessed on an equal basis.

Therefore, the Committee directs that there be no change to the Crusader development schedule, funding or procurement requirements, to include termination, until the completion of the Army's Milestone B Analysis of Alternatives. The Secretary of the Army shall present a report of the completed analysis to the congressional defense committees by March 1, 2003. The committees will respond to that analysis within 30 days so that the scheduled Milestone B review can be completed in April 2003.

# Digital glue technology

The budget request contained \$31.9 million in PE 62303A for missile technology, but included no funds for digital glue technology.

The committee is informed that digital glue technology can reduce the number of lines required to integrate systems by as much as a factor of 10.

The committee recommends an increase of \$3.4 million in PE 62303A for digital glue technology.

# Dismounted situation awareness system

The budget request contained \$28.9 million in PE 23758A for digitization, but included no funds for the dismounted situation awareness system (DISM).

The committee endorses the use of commercial-of-the-shelf (COTS) technology to meet warfighting requirements while reducing costs and schedules. The committee notes that the dismounted situation awareness system (DISM) map has successfully demonstrated its ability to significantly improve combat unit situational awareness during a night live fire exercise with paratroops. The committee is also aware that this system was developed using small business innovative research program funding.

The committee recommends \$32.9 million in PE 23758A, an increase of \$4.0 million for DISM.

### Distance learning

The budget request contained \$14.3 million in PE 62785A for manpower/personnel/training technology, but included no funds for distance learning.

The committee notes that education is essential both for career advancement and to support a well-educated work force, and further notes that distance learning is a new and innovative means to provide such required education.

The committee recommends \$15.8 million in PE 62785A, an increase of \$1.5 million for distance learning.

# *Eliminating arthropod-borne infectious disease*

The budget request contained \$67.5 million in PE 62787A for medical technology, but included no funds for eliminating arthropod-borne infectious disease.

The committee is aware that arthropod-borne infectious disease represents a significant health problem over a growing portion of the United States, with potential to infect members of our military.

The committee recommends an increase of \$4.0 million in PE 62787A for eliminating arthropod-borne infectious disease

#### *Energy and sustainability research*

The budget request included \$2.9 million in PE 63734A for military engineering and advanced technology, but included no funding for energy and sustainability research.

The committee supports initiatives designed to improve infrastructure life cycle operations and cost effectiveness, as well as enhancing the overall quality of life on military installations. The committee further supports those efforts aimed at achieving efficiencies in energy-consumption while concurrently experiencing reductions in overall pollution levels and waste-streams. The committee understands that innovative technologies can be brought to bear on these issues in a collaborative environment involving both academia and government resources. The committee notes that energy and sustainability audits of Department of Defense facilities have produced numerous operational efficiencies. The committee encourages further development in this initiative.

The committee recommends \$5.9 million in PE 63734A, an increase of \$3.0 million, for energy and sustainability research.

# Enhanced area air defense system short range air defense integrated kinetic energy system

The budget request contained \$31.9 million in PE 62303A for missile technology, but included no funds for the Army enhanced area air defense system (EAADS) short range air defense integrated kinetic energy (E-STRIKE) system.

The committee is aware that the Army's SWORD program, a component of E-STRIKE, is scheduled to complete science and technology efforts at the end of fiscal year 2002, and will be without funding until the E-STRIKE program begins in fiscal year 2004.

The committee recommends an increase of \$3.0 million in PE 62303A for SWORD.

#### *Explosively formed penetrators*

The budget request included \$3.0 million in PE 62624A for research on smaller, more lethal Explosively Formed Penetrators (EFPs).

The committee strongly endorses the Army's Future Combat System (FCS) and supports initiatives aimed at fielding a lighter, faster, more survivable, and more lethal combat force. The committee recognizes that warhead requirements and technologies must consequently adapt to FCS characteristics and is concerned that the present level of EFP investment may prove insufficient to meet both existing and future requirements. The committee further recognizes that the eventual FCS combat vehicles may involve a variety of smaller caliber guns and rocket launchers requiring smaller and more lethal warheads to defeat, among other things, active protection systems. Indeed, a next-generation warhead technology could benefit mortars, artillery, rockets, missiles, and hand-emplaced munitions. The committee encourages the Army to place greater emphasis on such an effort.

The committee recommends an increase of \$3.0 million in PE 62624A for smaller, more lethal, next-generation Explosively Formed Penetrators.

#### Eye-safe laser

The budget request contained \$22.3 million in PE 62709A for night vision technology, but included no funds for eye-safe lasers.

The committee is aware that eye-safe laser technology is applicable to detection and identification of diverse objects, such as wires, vehicles, and chemical/biological clouds.

The committee recommends \$25.3 million in PE 62709, an increase of \$3.0 million for combustion driven eye-safe laser technology.

# Family of systems simulator

The budget request contained \$7.4 million in PE 63308A for Army missile defense systems integration, but included no funds for the family of systems simulator (FoSSim). The committee is aware that FoSSim integration capability can be used to evaluate and improve new operational concepts, and analyze interfaces for missile defense capabilities.

The committee recommends an increase of \$6.0 million in PE 63308A for FoSSim.

# Fuel catalyst research and evaluation

The budget request contained \$229.8 million in PE 63005A for combat vehicle and automotive advanced technology, but included no funds for fuel catalyst research and evaluation.

The committee is aware that a fuel catalyst technology has been developed that causes hydrocarbon fuels to combust more completely, leading to reduced emissions and better fuel economy. The committee notes that a demonstration of this catalyst technology could validate its potential for significant operational savings for military vehicles.

The committee recommends an increase of \$2.0 million in PE 63005A for fuels catalyst research and evaluation.

#### *Global combat support system*

The budget request contained \$71.9 million in PE 33141A for global combat support system-Army (GCSS–A).

The committee is aware that the Army initiated an information systems program in 1997 that was intended to transform the Army's information technology support systems. The committee notes that the Army is still attempting to implement the first, and generally accepted easiest of five modules intended to replace 16 legacy systems. The committee additionally notes that the Army is changing its acquisition strategy for subsequent modules, and questions the requirement for research and development until the revised strategy is clear.

The committee recommends \$51.9 million in PE 33140A, a decrease of \$20.0 million for GCSS-A

# Helmet mounted thermal imaging system

The budget request contained \$36.5 million in PE 63710A for night vision advanced technology, but included no funds for the helmet-mounted thermal imaging system.

The committee is aware that thermal imaging offers potential for improved detection of personnel involved in casualties where emergency crews, be they military or civilian, must work in environments where visibility is obscured.

The committee recommends an increase of \$2.8 million in PE 63710A for the helmet mounted thermal imaging system.

#### Hemoglobin based oxygen carrier

The budget request contained \$67.5 million in PE 62787A for medical technology, but included no funds for the hemoglobin-based oxygen carrier.

The committee is aware of a 2001 Department of Defense (DOD) Inspector General audit of the DOD Blood Program that highlights programmatic shortfalls in the Department's ability to meet its stated requirements. The committee is aware of a promising hemoglobin-based oxygen carrier technology that would minimize, and in some cases eliminate, the storage and transportation problems identified in the report. A hemoglobin-based oxygen carrier has extended life and innovative health benefits due to its ability to deliver oxygen directly to human tissue. The committee supports this innovation and urges the Department of the Army to move expeditiously in a manner that will soon field this capability.

The committee recommends an increase of \$7.0 million in PE 62787A specifically for the hemoglobin-based oxygen carrier.

#### Human factors engineering technology

The budget request included \$17.4 million in PE 62716A for Human Factors Engineering Technology, but included no funding for the Army's manpower and personnel integration (MANPRINT) modeling technologies.

The committee supports efforts to maximize soldier performance and to match soldier effectiveness with the technological advances imbedded in the Army's Objective Force concept. The committee endorses efforts of the Army Research Laboratory to conduct field studies and collect performance data on the capabilities and limitations of soldiers, particularly in the area of soldier-equipment interaction. Further, the committee recognizes and fully encourages the cross-service integration of tools and methodologies in an effort to minimize total ownership costs of future weapons systems through improvements in design, operations, and maintenance.

The committee recommends \$20.4 million in PE 62716A, an increase of \$3.0 million, for development of MANPRINT modeling and related technologies.

#### *Hyperspectral long-wave imager for the tactical environment*

The budget request included \$4.9 million in PE 305206A for Airborne Reconnaissance Operational Systems Development.

The committee remains supportive of long-wave infrared (LWIR) hyperspectral imagery technology as a means for providing a unique, next-generation, all-terrain, day/night detection capability for camouflaged or concealed targets. The committee notes that the Army's planned efforts in this program include the development of greater integration of a variety of imaging techniques. The committee supports these initiatives yet remains particularly concerned with the need to integrate the stabilization of the LWIR sensor for ensuring enhanced target detection algorithms in pursuit of a full system capability.

The committee recommends an increase of \$5.2 million in PE 35206A for hyperspectral long-wave imager.

# Intelligence command global information portal

The budget request contained \$5.4 million in PE 33028A for Security and Intelligence Activities, but included no funding for the Army intelligence and security command (INSCOM) global information portal.

The committee notes that INSCOM is developing the global information portal to provide intelligence analysts with timely information and to provide software applications that improve analysis. The committee is aware that INSCOM is using commercial-off-theshelf software to continue to develop the global information portal.

The committee recommends \$10.4 million in PE 33028A, an increase of \$5.0 million for the INSCOM global information portal.

# Javelin

The budget request contained \$489 thousand in PE 64611A for Javelin missile upgrades; however, no funds were included for upgrades to defeat advanced active protection systems (APS).

The Javelin missile system is a fire-and-forget antitank weapon designed to defeat the most current armored systems fielded today. However, the committee understands some armored vehicles use APS to detect incoming missiles and defeat them by interfering with the missile guidance system.

The committee is aware of an ongoing technology insertion program to overcome this problem that uses current off-the-shelf technologies to develop counter APS (CAPS) for Tube-launched, Optically-tracked, Wire-guided and Hellfire missiles to defeat existing generation I and II APS. The committee notes that the Army Chief of Staff has identified a \$13.1 million unfunded requirement in fiscal year 2003 for future generation III CAPS development, integration and testing for the Javelin.

Based on increased threats and the need to develop CAPS for generation III APS threats to the Army's antitank missiles, the committee recommends \$13.6 million, an increase of \$13.1 million, in PE 64611A for this purpose and strongly urges the Army to include funds in its fiscal year 2004 budget to accelerate this program.

# Landmine warfare/barrier engineering development

The budget request contained \$129.0 million in PE 64808A for landmine warfare/barrier engineering development, of which \$28.3 million was for non-self-destruct anti-personnel landmine alternatives (NSD-A).

The committee understands that the Army has used a portion of the \$37.2 million of fiscal year 2001 NSD-A funds as a reprogramming source and that \$13.0 million of the funds remain withheld by the Department of Defense Comptroller. Since a portion of fiscal year 2001 funds have not been obligated for their intended purpose, additional funds remain on withhold, and the program is delayed, the committee believes that the remainder of these funds can be used to meet fiscal years 2002 and 2003 requirements until the Department of Defense determines its course of action on this initiative.

The committee recommends \$101.7 million in PE 64808A for fiscal year 2003, a decrease of \$27.3 million.

#### *Lightweight x-band radar*

The budget request included \$29.1 million in PE 12419A for Joint Land Attack Cruise Missiles Defense, but included no funding for lightweight x-band radar technology.

The committee is aware of efforts to improve the discrimination capability of the Joint Land Attack Cruise Missile Defense Elevated Netted Sensor System (JLENS) to better address the increased cruise missile threat. The committee understands the challenge of maintaining an advanced, cost-effective, long endurance, precision-tracking defensive system. According to justification documents provided to the committee, JLENS has a requirement to develop, test, and provide a contingency-deployable Fire Control radar demonstration prototype to address this challenge. The committee understands that a lightweight electronically-steerable Xband antenna may be ideal. Therefore, the committee urges the Army to pursue further development of such technology.

The committee recommends \$31.1 million in PE 12419A, an increase of \$2.0 million, for lightweight x-band radar technology.

# M795 extended range, high explosive baseburner projectile

The budget request contained \$38.1 million in PE 62624A for weapons and munitions technology, but included no funds for the M795 extended range, high explosive baseburner projectile.

The committee notes that modern warfare requires greater artillery range and is aware that the M795 extended range baseburner projectile offers the potential to enhance conventional field artillery capabilities.

The committee recommends an increase of \$6.0 in PE 62624A million for the M795 extended range, high explosive baseburner projectile.

### Metabolically engineered tissues for trauma

The budget request contained \$67.5 million in PE 62787A for medical technology, but included no funds for metabolically engineered tissues for trauma care.

The committee notes that technologies are being developed to permit long-term storage of cells and tissues in an ordinary environment that would allow better treatment of battlefield casualties.

The committee recommends an increase of \$2.0 million in PE 62787A for metabolically engineered tissues for trauma.

# Metallic particles in defense applications obscurant smokes

The budget request contained \$3.7 million in PE 62622A for chemical, smoke and equipment defeating technology, but included no funds for metallic particles in defense applications obscurant smokes.

The committee notes that metallic particles in defense applications obscurant smokes may have potential benefits for the warfighter.

The committee recommends an increase of \$3.0 million in PE 62622A for metallic particles in defense applications obscurant smokes.

#### Metrology

The budget request contained \$50.3 million in PE 63001A for warfighter advanced technology development, \$37.8 million in PE 64215N for Navy standards development, and \$1.3 million in PE 72207F for precision maintenance and calibration.

The Department of Defense's metrology research and development program develops new measurement standards and capabilities to support the development, test, evaluation, and maintenance of emerging military systems. The committee understands that shortfalls in the metrology budget of all the military departments have led to the erosion of critical calibration standards development and measurement services to the detriment of the development and support of new weapons systems.

The committee recommends an increase of \$1.5 million in PE 63001A for the Army's metrology research and development pro-

gram, an increase of \$5.2 million in PE 64215N for the Navy program, and an increase of \$1.5 million in PE 72207F for the Air Force metrology research and development program.

# Micro electro-mechanical systems inertial measurement unit/global positioning system

The budget request contained \$31.9 million in PE 62303A for missile technology, and included \$10.0 million for micro electro-mechanical systems (MEMS) inertial measurement units (IMU).

The committee is aware that MEMS integrated inertial measurement unit-global positioning systems (IMU–GPS) offer the potential to provide affordable precision navigation capability for a family of platforms and weapons. The committee notes that the Army has been designated as the lead service for this program.

The committee recommends an increase of \$10.0 million in PE 62303A for MEMS IMU–GPS.

#### Mini-backpack unmanned aerial vehicle

The budget request contained \$46.5 million in PE 35204A for night vision advanced technology, and included \$9.6 million for night vision airborne systems.

The committee is aware that an advanced mini-backpack unmanned air vehicle (UAV) with day/night sensor capability has been developed and is ready for testing. The committee notes that this UAV has potential applications both with existing forces and as part of the future combat system.

The committee recommends an increase of \$5.0 million in PE 35204A for mini-backpack UAV.

# Mobile tactical high energy laser

The budget request contained \$7.4 million in PE 63308A, including \$3.5 million for the Mobile Tactical High Energy Laser (MTHEL), a mobile version of an existing high energy chemical laser system jointly developed by the United States and Israel to demonstrate the feasibility of defeating short range rockets using directed energy.

The committee is aware of promising results with the current THEL, but believes that much work remains to be accomplished before the technology develops to a lethal and militarily useful capability.

The committee recommends an increase of \$25 million in PE 3308A for MTHEL.

# Night vision fusion

The budget request contained \$36.5 million in PE 63710A for night vision technology, but included no funds for night vision fusion.

The committee is aware that the ability to see significantly better at night and under conditions of obscured visibility than an adversary is critical to the success of the military. The committee notes that digital pixel-level fusion has demonstrated the potential to greatly enhance night vision.

The committee recommends an increase of \$9.0 million in PE 63710A for night vision fusion.

# Non-traditional intelligence analysis toolset

The budget request contained \$42.3 million in PE 64321A for support of the All Source Analysis System (ASAS), but included no funds for the continued development of the non-traditional intelligence analysis toolset (NTIAT).

The committee strongly supports the Army's objective force concept, yet remains concerned with the Department's lack of commitment to develop an open-architecture, information-exchange capability as part of the more mobile, ASAS-Light alternative. The committee strongly supports the ASAS-Light modernization initiative as a means for maintaining situational awareness, battle-management interoperability, and targeting advantages at all echelons of command and in all deployment environments. The committee supports further investment in this multi-source fusion and processing effort.

The committee recommends an increase of \$4.0 million in PE 64321A to continue NTIAT development.

# Nuclear biological, and chemical agent removal

The budget request contained \$11.4 million in PE 63804A for logistics and engineering equipment, and included \$7.9 million to develop and demonstrate prototype petroleum and water distribution technologies.

The committee strongly supports Army efforts to protect against potential contamination of water supply systems and recognizes the shortcomings of current analytical methods. The committee is particularly supportive of proposals designed to improve the real-time detection and removal of nuclear, biological, and chemical (NBC) contamination and is aware of promising analytical approaches in this field.

The committee recommends \$16.4 million in PE 63804A, an increase of \$5.0 million, specifically for evaluating technologies and analyzing concepts for applicability to NBC contamination detection and removal.

# P3 micro-power devices for missile defense applications

The budget request included \$7.4 million in PE 63308A for Army missile defense systems integration, but included no funding for the P3 micro-power device.

The committee endorses the development work at the Army Space and Missile Defense Command aimed at producing a micropower device suitable for applications in autonomous and remote conditions. The committee notes the infinite number of applications for such a technology, particularly in intelligence, battle management, and missile defense systems. The committee understands the need for such technological weight and volume efficiencies and supports additional development.

The committee recommends an increase of \$3.0 million in PE 63308A for further development of the P3 micro-power device.

# Rotary multi-fuel auxiliary power unit

The budget request contained \$229.8 million in PE 63005A for combat vehicle and automotive advanced technology, and included \$39.2 million for combat vehicle mobility.

The committee is aware that rotary multi-fuel auxiliary power unit (APU) technology may offer a reduced cost alternative to existing turbine APUs. The committee notes that rotary multi-fuel technology may also offer weight advantages suitable for a broad range of applications.

The committee recommends an increase of \$5.0 million in PE 63005A for the rotary multi-fuel auxiliary power unit.

#### Stable hemostat

The budget request contained \$16.6 million in PE 63002A for medical technology, but included no funds for the stable hemostat.

The committee is informed that 50 percent of combat deaths are due to uncontrollable blood loss. The committee is aware that a hemostat has been developed that promotes blood clotting and has the potential to reduce the death rate on the battlefield.

The committee recommends an increase of \$3.5 million in PE 63002A for stable hemostat.

# Textile electronic garments for combat casualty care

The budget request contained \$16.6 million in PE 63002A, including \$4.4 million for combat injury management, but included no funding for rugged textile electronic garments for combat casualty care.

The committee is aware of advances in sensor technology, textile electronics, information management and medical science that have opened up the potential for remote diagnosis, monitoring, and treatment of a range of medical conditions. The committee notes positive results from combat casualty care and electronic textiles research strongly suggesting that major improvements can be made in wounded soldier survival. To benefit from these advances, the committee urges the Secretary of the Army to institute a program to develop, implement, and assess rugged textile electronic garments for combat casualty care. The committee expects the proposed effort to develop, implement and assess advanced textile electronic garments in an integrated system.

Accordingly, the committee recommends an increase of \$2.0 million in PE 63002A to develop rugged textile garments for combat casualty care.

# Thermionic technology

The budget request contained \$7.4 million in PE 63308A for Army missile defense systems integration, but included no funding for thermionics technology.

The committee notes that progress is being made in advanced thermionics technology to make it a more viable power option for space applications.

The committee recommends an increase of \$5.0 million in PE 63308A for thermionics technology.

# Unmanned aerial vehicle/unmanned ground vehicle demonstration program

The budget request contained \$4.8 million in PE 63006A for command, control, communications advanced technology, but included no funds for an unmanned aerial vehicle /unmanned ground vehicle (UAV/UGV) demonstration program. The committee notes that integrated operations of unmanned aerial and ground vehicles may provide means to reduce personnel vulnerability especially while fighting in a complex urban environment.

The committee recommends \$7.2 million in PE 63006A, an increase of \$2.4 million for the UAV/UGV demonstration program.

# Volumetrically controlled manufacturing

The budget request contained \$87.9 million in PE 63313A for missile and rocket advanced technology, but included no funding for volumetrically controlled manufacturing (VCM).

The committee is aware that the Army Medical Research and Material Command successfully conducted a research program for biomaterial application using an innovative process, VCM. The committee notes that VCM is a precision synthetic manufacturing process that precisely calculates the 3D material matrix coefficients, in discrete volumes, and then replicates the properties within a manufacturing process. The material matrix has the capability to vary, based on loading tolerances, and is scalable to macro, micro and the nano level, and could lead to new and higher performance materials for aerospace applications.

The committee recommends an increase of \$11.5 million in PE 63313A for volumetrically controlled manufacturing.

# Weapons and munitions engineering development

The budget request contained \$41.8 million in PE 64802A for weapons and munitions engineering development, but no funds were included to complete development of the shoulder-launched multipurpose assault weapon-disposable (confined space) (SMAW– D(CS)) or the common remotely operated weapon system (CROWS).

# Shoulder-launched multipurpose assault weapon-disposable (confined space)

The SMAW–D(CS) will enable soldiers to fire this single-shot, disposable launcher weapon against earthen, timber bunkers and light armored vehicles and breech masonry walls from and an enclosed space, which is not possible with the current SMAW–D. This requirement is necessary due to the termination of the multipurpose individualized munition, which provided soldiers with a confined space launch capability. Due to the increased urban terrain engagements that soldiers are training for and operating in and the need for this confined space, launch and breeching requirement, the committee recommends an increase of \$6.0 million in PE 64802A to complete development of the SMAW–D(CS).

## *Common remotely operated weapon system*

The CROWS provides armored and light armored vehicles with remotely operated machine guns, allowing crewmembers to fire from and remain within the protection of their vehicles. The committee recommends an increase of \$3.4 million in PE 64802A to continue development of the CROWS.

# Wire detection and obstacle avoidance system for helicopters

The budget request contained \$36.5 million in PE 63710A for night vision advanced technology, but included no funds for a wire detection and obstacle avoidance system for helicopters.

The committee is aware that helicopter combat operations are increasingly conducted at low level and at night. The committee notes that wire and other obstacle detection and avoidance is more difficult under those conditions.

The committee recommends an increase of \$4.0 million in PE 63710A for a wire detection and obstacle avoidance system for helicopters.

# NAVY RDT&E

# Overview

The budget request contained \$12,501.6 million for Navy RDT&E. The committee recommends authorization of \$13,274.5 million, an increase of \$772.9 million.

The committee recommendations for the fiscal year 2003 Navy RDT&E program are identified in the table below. Major changes to the Navy request are discussed following the table.

Element Number	R-1 Line	PROGRAM TITLE	Authorization Request	Authorization Change from Request Request	Committee Authorization
		RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, NAVY			0
0601152N	-	BASIC RESEARCH	16,352		16,352
0601153N	ŝ	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	393,557	2,100	395,657 (±2,100)
		иаппе палина гезеатан родин тотат васт всегавси		001.6	412 000
		IUIAL, BASIC RESEARCH	408,909	2,100	412,002
		RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	409,909	2,100	412,009
		ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	0	0	0
		APPLIED RESEARCH			
0602111N	æ	AIR AND SUBFACE LAUNCHED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	C		0
0602114N	o <del>⊲</del>		76.612	28.700	105,312
		DERF - Nuclear Posture Review			(006'2+)
		Integrated high payoff rocket propulsion technology			(+5,000)
		Hybrid fiber optic/wireless communications			(+2,000)
		Portable dicital precision location system			(+4,400)
		Interronator for high-speed retro reflectometer communications			(+5.000)
		l ow cost swarm unmanned aerial vehicle program			(+5,000)
0602121N	ď	SHIP SI IRMARINE & LOGISTICS TECHNOLOGY	0		0
0602122N	ധ		0		
0602123N	~ ~	FORCE PROTECTION APPLIED RESEARCH	89,390	7,000	96,390
		Advanced smart propulsor product model			(+4,000)
		Laser welding and cutting			(+3,000)
0602131M	œ	MARINE CORPS LANDING FORCE TECHNOLOGY	30,274		30,274
0602232N	თ	COMMUNICATIONS, COMMAND AND CONTROL, INTELLIGENCE, SURVEILLA	0		0
0602233N	₽	HUMAN SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY	0		0
0602234N	F	MATERIALS, ELECTRONICS AND COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY	0		0
0602235N	12	COMMON PICTURE APPLIED RESEARCH	75,594		75,594
0602236N	13	WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT APPLIED RESEARCH	68,852	14,500	83,352
		Fibrous monolithic materials insertion			(+2,000)
		Human factors and improved performance integration tool			(+2,000)
		Commercial-off-the-shelf carbon fiber qualification program for aircraft and missiles			(+3,000)
		Formable aligned carbon thermosets			(+2,500)
0602270N	4	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	0		0
0602271N	5	RF SYSTEMS APPLIED RESEARCH	56,263	19,000	75,263
		Vacuum electronics			(+8,000)
		High brightness electron source program			(+3,000)
		Wide bandgap semiconductor materials			(+8,000)
0602314N	16	UNDERSEA WARFARE SURVEILLANCE TECHNOLOGY	0		
0602315N	17	MINE COUNTERMEASURES, MINING AND SPECIAL WARFARE	0		0
100010EN	ç				

5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	HNOLOGY APPLIED RESEARCH APPLIED RESEARCH G DEVELOPMENT G DEVELOPMENT NOLOGY	71,294 56,813 56,813 580,272 580,272 580,272 580,272 78,247 78,247	<b>74,200</b> 74,200 36,400 91,700	(45,000) 71,294 56,813 66,4,472 664,472 664,472 664,472 (415,400) (45,000) (40,000) (45,000) (4
5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	RCH RPUED RESEARCH & PPLIED RESEARCH G DEVELOPMENT ENT iNOLOGY	71,294 56,813 566,813 560,272 580,272 580,272 78,247 78,247	74,200 74,200 36,400 91,700	71,294 56,813 56,813 654,472 654,472 654,472 654,472 654,472 (11,4,647 (+15,400) (+5,000) (+5,000) (+5,000)
25.25 57 53 55.25 57 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58	APPLIED RESEARCH C PROGRAM G DEVELOPMENT ENT INOLOGY	5,6,0,4 5,8,13 5,80,272 5,80,272 5,80,272 7,8,247 7,8,247 7,8,0,4	<b>74,200</b> 74,200 36,400 91,700	56,813 56,813 654,472 654,472 654,472 0 654,472 (+15,400) (+15,400) (+5,000) (+5,000) (+5,000)
5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	K PROGRAM G DEVELOPMENT ENT HOLOGY	580,272 580,272 580,272 580,272 78,247 78,247	7 <b>4,200</b> 74,200 36,400 91,700	654,472 654,472 654,472 654,472 0 114,647 (+15,400) (+5,000) (+5,000) (+5,000) (+2,000)
3 5 5 5 5 3 · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	G DEVELOPMENT ENT HOLOGY	<b>580,272</b> 580,272 0 78,247 78,247	74,200 74,200 36,400 91,700	654,472 654,472 654,472 0 114,647 (+15,400) (+5,000) (+5,000) (+5,000)
5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	G DEVELOPMENT ENT HOLOGY	580,272 0 78,247 57,604	74,200 36,400 91,700	654,472 0 114,647 (+15,400) (+5,000) (+5,000) (+9,000)
5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	G DEVELOPMENT <b>ENT</b> INOLOGY	0 78,247 57 604	0 36,400 91,700	0 114,647 (+15,400) (+7,000) (+5,000) (+5,000)
2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	<b>ENT</b> INOLOGY Dn	78,247 57 604	36,400 91,700	114,647 (+15,400) (+7,000) (+5,000) (+9,000)
2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	uorocy	78,247 57 604	36,400 91,700	114,647 (+15,400) (+7,000) (+5,000) (+9,000)
2 55 24 2 52 54	5	57 604	91,700	(+15,400) (+7,000) (+5,000) (+9,000)
2 55 24 2 29 2 2 29	G	57 604	91,700	(+7,000) (+5,000) (+9,000)
2 56 24 2 26 24	u	57 604	91,700	(+5,000) (+9,000)
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		57 604	91,700	(000 6+)
24 55 24 25 56 57 54		57 604	91,700	(200)21
5 52	INOLOGY	100, 10		149,304
5 52 53 54				(+36,000)
27 56 27 26				(+13,700)
25 55 26 52				(+2'000)
5 52 5 52 5 52				(+10,000)
5 52 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 54 55 54 55 55	buted test bed			(000'9+)
25 26 26				(+4,000)
52 52 52 50				(+6,000)
25 26 27 26	High temperature superconducting AC synchronous Navy propulsion motor and generator			(+7,000)
25 26 27 26				(+3,000)
25 26 27 28				(+2,000)
26 27 26 28 27 28	ED TECHNOLOGY	0		0
27	OLOGY	37,753	10,000	47,753
27	щс Ш			(000, 17)
ä	ED TECHNOLOGY	82.542	6.500	89,042
ac	velopment program			(+3,000)
ac	•			(+3,500)
2	TECHNOLOGY	0		0
0603270N 29 ADVANCED ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	CHNOLOGY	0		0
_	×	65,098	8,200	73,298
Advanced stealth ship radar				(+5,800)
Multi-purpose sensor		c		(+2,400)
56		51 B/B	00 800	76 106

Element Number	Line Line	PROGRAM TITLE	Authorization Request	Change from Request	Committee Authorization
		Advanced light strike vehicle			(+6,000)
		Mobile fire support system			(+5,000)
		Joint mission high-speed vessel			(+9,000)
		Water purification-expeditionary warfare			(+2,000)
		Rapid deployment fortification wall			(+1,500)
0603706N	33	MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT	0		
0603707N	34	MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADV TECH DEV	0		Q
0603712N	35	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AND LOGISTICS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	0		0
0603727N	36	NAVY TECHNICAL INFORMATION PRESENTATION SYSTEM	97,872		97,872
0603729N	37	WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	19,040	16,100	35,140
		Portable sterile water production device			(+2,600)
		Organ transfer technology			(+2'000
		Biomedical research imaging			(+5,000)
		Modeling and simulation of surgical procedures for battlefield trauma			(+3,500)
0603747N	38	UNDERSEA WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	40,125		40,125
0603758N	39	NAVY WARFIGHTING EXPERIMENTS AND DEMONSTRATIONS	43,460		43,460
0603782N	4	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	43,725	3,000	46,725
		Ocean modeling for mine and expeditionary warfare			(+3,000)
0603792N	41	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION	0		0
0603794N	42	C3 ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	0		0
		TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	617,072	195,400	812,472
		RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	617,072	195,40	812,472
		ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	0	0	
		DEMONSTRATION AND VALIDATION			
0603207N	43	AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS	32.549		32.549
0603216N	44	AVIATION SURVIVABILITY	7,486	14,500	21,986
		Aviation integrated life support system			(+6,50(
		Real time heart rate variability monitor			(+8,000)
0603237N	45	DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL	39,772	7,500	47,272
		DERF			(+7,500)
0603254N	46	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	13,207	5,000	18,207
		Nonlinear dynamics stochastic resonance			(+5,000)
0603261N	47	TACTICAL AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE	1,922		1,922
0603382N	48	ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY	3,350		3,350
0603502N	49	SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEASURES	155,016	15,000	170,016
		Surface navy integrated undersea tactical technology			(+10,000)
	i	Mine countermeasures mine sweep mid-life assessment and upgrade			(+5,000)
0603506N	20	SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE	3,244		3,244

Element Number	F-1 Line	PROGRAM TITLE	Authorization Request	Authorization Change from Request Request	Committee Authorization
0603513N	52	SHIPBOARD SYSTEM COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT	243,111	2,000	245,111
		Advanced variable speed drive systems			(+2,000)
0603525N	53	PILOT FISH	72,637		72,637
0603527N	54	RETRACT LARCH	28,482		28,482
0603542N	55	RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL	1,078		1,078
0603553N	56	SURFACE ASW	3,219		3,219
0603559N	57	SSGN CONVERSION	82,527		82,527
0603561N	58	ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	107,389	20,000	127,389
		Mark-48 advanced capability torpedo improvements			(+8,000)
		Advanced composite sail			(+5,000)
		Submarine payloads and sensors program			(+7,000)
0603562N	59	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEMS	11,601		11,601
0603563N	60	SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN	5,820	5,000	10,820
		Autonomous maritime navigation			(+5,000)
0603564N	61	SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES	2,983		2,983
0603570N	62	ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	216,091		216,091
0603573N	63	ADVANCED SURFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS	2,931		2,931
0603576N	64	CHALK EAGLE	20,978		20,978
0603582N	65	COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	40,464	20,000	60,464
		Navy common command and decision system			(+20,000)
0603609N	66	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	22,445		22,445
0603611M	67	MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES	272,092		272,092
0603612M	68	MARINE CORPS MINE/COUNTERMEASURES SYSTEMS - ADV DEV	497		497
0603635M	69	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM	27,777		27,777
0603654N	70	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	12,877		12,877
0603658N	71	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT	86,144		86,144
0603713N	72	OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	15,257		15,257
0603721N	73	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	44,206		44,206
0603724N	74	NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM	5,060		5,060
0603725N	75	FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT	2,124	2,500	4,624
		Photovoltaic energy park			(+2,500)
0603734N	76	CHALK CORAL	50,704	16,400	67,104
		DERF - Classified			(+5,000)
		DERF			(+11,400)
0603739N	11	NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY	13,023	11,000	24,023
		Rapid retargeting			(+2,000)
		Compatible processor upgrade			(+6,000)
0603746N	78	RETRACT MAPLE	212,506	64,000	276,506
	i	DEAF			(+64,000)
0603748N	6/		82,909		82.909

	Line PROGRAM TITLE	Request Request	Request	Committee
8	RETRACT ELM	21,900		21,900
έ	SHIP SELF DEFENSE - DEM/VAL	5,930		5,930
82	LINK EVERGREEN	55,971		55,971
83	SPECIAL PROCESSES	39,756		39,756
84	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	11,581		11,581
85	LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY	108,693	25,500	134,193
	Advanced land attack missile			(+11,000)
	Extended range guided munitions			(+14,500)
86	'	0		0
8	_	24,082		24,082
88		14,414		14,414
89	-	11,932		11,932
6		73,966		73,966
6	-	0		0
8	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) ARCHITECTURE/ENGINEERING SUPPORT	31,623		31,623
I	TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION AND VALIDATION	2,432,239	208,400	2,640,639
	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	2,432,239	208,400	2,640,639
	ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	0	0	0
	ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT			
8				C
8 2				• ⊂
5 0				
ß				
96	Ŭ	31,123	7,500	38,623
	DERF - VH-3D/VH-60D Comm Upgrade			(+1,500)
	Laser aim scoring system			(+4,000)
	Advanced cable design for mine/submarine warfare			(+2,000)
6	AV-8B AIRCRAFT - ENG DEV	18,565		18,565
86	3 STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT	37,757	5,200	42,957
	Metrology			(+5,200)
66	_	88,969		88,969
100	0 S-3 WEAPON SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT	422		422
101	1 AIR/OCEAN EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING	5,725		5,725
102	2 P-3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	2,348		2,348
10	03 TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM	81,475		81,475
104		113,681		113,681
105		241.384		241,384
106		13,929	20,000	33,929
-				(+20,000)
107				420.109

	Line	PROGRAM TITLE	Authorization C Request	Change from Request	Committee
0604264N	108	AIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	6,695		6,695
0604270N	109	EW DEVELOPMENT	74,742	13,000	87,742
		Airborne electronic attack follow-on			(+10,000)
		Location of global positioning system interferers			(000'E+)
0604280N	110	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SÝSTEM - NAVY (JTRS-NAVY)	20,373		20,373
0604300N	111	SC-21 TOTAL SHIP SYSTEM ENGINEERING	717,397		717,397
0604307N	112	SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING	300,748	10,000	310,748
		AEGIS baseline 7 phase II open architecture			(+10,000)
0604311N	113	LPD-17 CLASS SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	10,133		10,133
0604312N	114	TRI-SERVICE STANDOFF ATTACK MISSILE	14,943		14,943
0604329N	115	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)	1,989		1,989
0604366N	116	STANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS	16,288		16,288
0604373N	117	AIBORNE MCM	67,240		67,240
0604503N	118	SSN-688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION	98,516	41,000	139,516
		Affordable towed array construction			(+16,000)
		Acoustic ranid commercial-off-the-shelf technology insertion			(+25.000)
0604504N	119	AIR CONTROL	4,951		4,951
0604507N	120	ENHANCED MODULAR SIGNAL PROCESSOR	513		513
0604512N	121	SHIPBOARD AVIATION SYSTEMS	24,619	8,200	32,819
		Aviation-shipboard information technology initiative			(+8,200)
0604518N	122	COMBAT INFORMATION CENTER CONVERSION	0		0
0604524N	123	SUBMARINE COMBAT SYSTEM	0		0
0604558N	124	NEW DESIGN \$\$N	238,253		238,253
0604561N	125	SSN-21 DEVELOPMENTS	3,981		3,981
0604562N	126	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM	13,975	14,300	28,275
		Submarine tactical warfare system			(+14,300)
0604567N	127	SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/LIVE FIRE T&E	184,545		184,545
0604574N	128	NAVY TACTICAL COMPUTER RESOURCES	2,185		2,185
0604601N	129	MINE DEVELOPMENT	1,491		1,491
0604603N	130	UNGUIDED CONVENTIONAL AIR-LAUNCHED WEAPONS	12,142		12,142
0604610N	131	LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT	7,769		7,769
0604618N	132	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION	48,861		48,861
0604654N	133	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	7,781		7,781
0604703N	134	PERSONNEL, TRAINING, SIMULATION, AND HUMAN FACTORS	1,331		1,331
0604710N	135	NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM	5,691		5,691
0604721N	136	BATTLE GROUP PASSIVE HORIZON EXTENSION SYSTEM	14,070		14,070
0604727N	137	JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON SYSTEMS	16,652		16,652
0604755N	138	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (DETECT CONTROL)	61,966		61,966
0604756N	139	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL)	19,528		19,528
0604757N	140	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW)	28,064	1,600	29,664

Program Element Number	R-1 Line	PROGRAM TITLE	FY 2003 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2003 Committee Authorization
0604771N	141	Ship self detense electronic warfare systems ANSLQ-32 shipboard electronic warfare system MEDICAL (PERELOPPIN)	7,154	3,100	(-25,900) (+27,500) 10,254
		Medical threat detection system			(+3,100)
0604777N	142	NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM	46,618		46,618
0604784N	143	DISTRIBUTED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	100'00	10,000	100'10
		Advanced deployable system off-board sensor			(+4,000) (+6,000)
		Advanced deployable system bunal capability Demote nowered all ontical array			(+6.000)
0604800N	144	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF) - EMD	1.727.500		1.727,500
0604910N	145	SMART CARD	711		711
0605013M	146	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	8,079		8,079
0605013N	147	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	43,213	5,000	48,213
		Naval collaboration tool set			(+5,000)
0605014N	148	DEFENSE INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM (DIMHRS) - RDT&E	51,297		51,297
0605015N	149	JOINT COUNTER-INTELLEGENCE ASSESSMENT GROUP (JCAG) - RDT&E	2,337		2,337
0605500N	150	MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT (MMA)	74,531		74,531
0508713N	151	NAVY STANDARD INTEGRATED PERSONNEL SYSTEM (NSIPS)	12,798		12,798
		TOTAL, ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	5,093,018	144,900	5,237,918
		RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	0	0	0
		ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	2,057,691	25,700	2,083,391
		RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
0604256N	152	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	30,599		30,599
0604258N	153	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	45,562		45,562
0604759N	154	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	42,453		42,453
0605152N	155	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT - NAVY	4,071		4,071
0605154N	156	CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES	45,435		45,435
0605155N	157	FLEET TACTICAL DEVELOPMENT	2,771		2,771
0605502N	158	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH	0		0
0605804N	159	TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES	929	6,000	6,929
		Supply chain best practices			(+6,000)
0605853N	160	MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL & INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	50,787		50,787
0605856N	161	STRATEGIC TECHNICAL SUPPORT	2,340		2,340
0605861N	162	RDT&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT	59,447		59,447
0605862N	163	RDT&E INSTRUMENTATION MODERNIZATION	13,289		13,289
0605863N	164	RDT&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT	71,519		71,519
0605864N	165	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	278,838		278,838
0605865N	166	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY	12,642		12,642
0605866N	167	NAVY SPACE AND ELECTHONIC WARFARE (SEW) SUPPORT	3,242		3,242

Element	5		FY 2003 Authorization	Committee Change from	FY 2003 Committee
Number	Line	PROGRAM TITLE	Request	Request	Authorization
0605867N	168	SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT	12,120		12,120
0605873M	169	MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT	12,208	3,000	15,208
		Chemical agent warning network demonstration			(+3,000)
0305885N	170	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC ACTIVITIES	0		0
N866606C	171	PROBLEM DISBURSEMENTS	0		0
N6666060	172	FINANCING FOR CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS	0		0
	×	DERF - MEDICAL FORCE PROTECTION		925	925
		DERF - Security Equipment for Medical Labs			(+475)
		DERF - Site Improvement for Medical Labs			(+450)
		TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	688,252	9,925	698,177
		RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	688,252	9,925	698,177
		ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	0	0	0
		OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT			
0603660N	173	ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS			0
0603661N	174	RETRACT VIOLET			0
0604805N	175	COMMERCIAL OPERATIONS AND SUPPORT SAVINGS INITIATIVE	0		0
0101221N	176	STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT	40,278	69,900	110,178
		DERF - Hardened Target Munitions			(+30,000)
		DERF - Reentry Vehicle Sustainment Tech			(+7,500)
		DERF - Reentry Vehicle Sustainment Tech			(+14,400)
		DERF - Reentry Vehicle Sustainment Tech			(+18,000)
0101224N	177	SSBN SECURITY TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	34,567		34,567
0101226N	178	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	1,091		1,091
0101402N	179	NAVY STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS	21,452		21,452
0204136N	180	F/A-18 SQUADRONS	204,466		204,466
0204152N	181	E-2 SQUADRONS	19,011		19,011
0204163N	182	FLEET TELECOMMUNICATIONS (TACTICAL)	12,576		12,576
0204229N	183	TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING CENTER (TMPC)	94,265		94,265
0204311N	184	INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	20,405		20,405
0204413N	185	AMPHIBIOUS TACTICAL SUPPORT UNITS	6,352		6,352
0204571N	186	CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	31,421	10,000	41,421
		Anti-submarine warfare synthetic training environment			(+10,000)
0204575N	187	ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) READINESS SUPPORT	6,731	29,000	35,731
		DERF - Retract Barley			(+20,000)
		DERF - Information Warfare System			(000'6+)
0205601N	188	HARM IMPROVEMENT	60,758	1,500	62,258
		Advanced composite radome materials			(+1,500)
0205604N	189	TACTICAL DATA LINKS	42,667		42,667

191           192           193           194           195           196           197           198           199	MK-48 ADCAP AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS AVIATION IMPROVE F-14 UPGRADE PERATIONAL INICLEAR POWER SYSTEMS OFERATIONAL INICLEAR POWER SYSTEMS MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS DEFF - TOAC DEFF - TOAC DEFF - TOAC DEFF - TOAC DEFF - STAC DEFF - STAC DEFF - STAC DEFF - TEAC DEFF -	22,052 40,915 4,801 56,804 174,664	22,800	22,052 40,915 4,801 0
193         193           1961         1968           1998         1998           202         203           203         203	ROVEMENTS E ASSISTANCE PROGRAM E ASSISTANCE PROGRAM S COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS S COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS ACK SIDS ACK SIDS	40,915 4,801 56,804 174,664	22,800	40,915 4,801 0
193 - 196 - 198 - 198 - 198 - 198 - 198 - 198 - 198 - 198 - 199 - 199 - 199 - 199 - 200 -	E ASSISTANCE PROGRAM 	4,801 0 56,804 174,664	22,800	4,801 0
195 9 195 1 195 2 195 1 195 10	E NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS SS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS ACK SIDS ACK SID	0 56,804 174,664	22,800	0
195 195 195 196 196 197 197 197 197 197 197 197 197 197 197	- NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS SE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS ACK SIDS ACK SID	56,804 174,664	22,800	
196 197 197 198 203 203 203 203 205	'S COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS ACK SIDS S B IP IOTO 61 data service life extension program 65 radar service life extension program	174,664	22,800	56,804
197 198 201 203 203 203 203 205	ACK SIDS S P IOTO 56 radar service life extension program			197,464
197 198 198 199 200 201 201 203 203 205	ACK SIDS S P IOTO 61 addr service life extension program			(+2,500)
197 198 199 201 201 203 203 203 203 205	:S P OTO of than air platform 56 radar service life extension program			(+300)
197 198 199 200 200 201 205 203 204	S P IOTO 56 radar service life extension program			(+3.400)
197 197 199 200 200 201 203 203 204 205	,p IOTO 5 radar service life extension program			(+2,500)
197 198 200 201 201 203 203 203 203 203	ν.Ρ ίΟΤΟ ∋r than air platform 55 radar service life extension program			(+300)
197 197 200 201 201 203 203 203 203	co to TO er than air platform 56 radar service life extension program			(+1.500)
197 198 200 201 201 203 203 204 205	IOTO er than air platform 55 radar service life extension program			(+1.000)
197 198 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20	IOTO er than air platform 59 radar service life extension program			(+1.200)
197 198 200 201 202 203 203 203 205	ar than air platform 59 radar service life extension program			(+100)
197 198 200 201 203 203 204 203	59 radar service life extension program			(+5.000)
197 198 200 201 203 203 203 204 203				(+5.000)
198 199 201 201 203 204 204 204	MA DINE CODDS GOOI ND COMPATICI IDDODTING ADMS SVETEMS	36 004	2 650	38.654
205 201 198 199 199 199 199 199 199 199 199 19	- 2 GROUND COMPANY OUT FOR THE ANIMA ALLMA ALLMA ALLMA	too'oo	r,000	(+1 900)
199 199 201 202 203 203 203 203				(+750)
205 201 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	מווףבו הווים ווויףוטעפורופיונא א מוואב ההממג ההאמאד מבמעותבמ מיוממתמד	10110		21 041
201 201 201 201 202 203 203 204 202 203 203 203 203 203 203 203 203 203		1 067		1 967
201 202 203 204 205 205 205 205 205 205 205 205 205 205	A O FICAL ATIVI INICOFECO A DVANCED MEDITIM DANCE AID TO AID MICOLI E (AMDAAM)	100,1		100'- 104 R
202 1 0 2 203 1 0 2 204 1 0 2 205 1 0 205 1 0 205 1 0 205 1 0 205 1 0 205 1 0 205 1 0	בטוטועו האואסב אוהי ו-ט-אוה ואוסטובב (אואהאמאי) ביו וסבאוסב	+7-'0		
203 1 C	ELLIGENUG MANACEMENT			
203 204 S				
205	ECHNICAL RECONNAISSANCE AND SURVEILLANCE			000 1 1 1
205	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS (SPACE)	115,903		10,403
	NFOHMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PHOGHAM	18,430		18,430
206	VIIIES			
207	VAVY METEOROLOGICAL AND OCEAN SENSORS-SPACE (METOC)	19,801		19,801
208	JOINT C4ISR BATTLE CENTER (JBC)	21,970		21,9/0
209	JOINT MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS	6,709		6,709
0305204N 210 TACTICAL UNM/	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	206,359	(1,300)	205,059
DERF - Develop	DERF - Develop USMC Shadow (Adv)			(+7,000)
DERF - ISR (BAN	DERF - ISR (BAMS UAV)/Classified			(+28,300)
Navy tactical unn	Vavy tactical unmanned aerial vehicle			(-43,600)
Joint operational test bed	al test bed			(+7,000)
0305206N 211 AIRBORNE REC	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	5,469	6,000	11,469
Electro-optical framing sensor	framing sensor			(+6,000)
0305207N 212 MANNED RECOI	MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	11,166		11,166

Program Element	R-1		FY 2003 Authorization	FY 2003 Committee FY 2003 Authorization Change from Committee	FY 2003 Committee
	Line	PROGRAM TITLE	Request	Request	Authorization
0305208N 213	13 DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEMS	OUND SYSTEMS	4,482	3,000	7,482
	DERF				(+3,000)
0305927N	14 NAVAL SPACE SURVEILLANCE	ICE	9,548		9,548
0308601N	215 MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORT	N SUPPORT	7,783		7,783
0702207N	216 DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)	N-IF)	7,119		7,119
0708011N	17 INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	SS	70,631		70,631
0708730N	218 MARITIME TECHNOLOGY (MARITECH)	(ARITECH)	9,943		9,943
XXXXXX	999 Classified Programs	-	1,178,723		1,178,723
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	STEMS DEVELOPMENT	2,680,868	143,550	2,824,418
	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	DPMENT	2,680,868	143,550	2,824,418
	ENGINEERING AND MANU	ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	0	0	0
	Accrual Accounting for Civil Se	Accrual Accounting for Civil Service Retirement and Health Programs		(5,565)	(5,565)
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVEL	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUÁTION, NAVY	12,501,630	772,910	13,274,540
	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	DPMENT	7,408,612	628,010	8,036,622
	ENGINEERING AND MANI	ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	5,093,018	144,900	5,237,918

## **Items of Special Interest**

## Acoustic rapid commercial-off-the-shelf technology insertion

The budget request contained \$98.5 million in PE 64503N for submarine system equipment development, including \$64.6 million for acoustic rapid commercial-off-the-shelf technology insertion (ARCI) of submarine sonars.

The ARCI program upgrades current submarine sonar systems with open architecture commercial-off-the-shelf computer technology allowing continued upgrades as technology develops. Full implementation is currently planned for fiscal year 2008, but conversion of all submarines can be accelerated with additional funds. The committee believes that this technology upgrade is essential for the submarine fleet, and recommends an increase of \$25.0 million in PE 64503N to continue the research and development necessary for the insertion of multi-purpose processor technology into submarine and other naval sonar systems.

## Advanced cable design for mine/submarine warfare

The budget request contained \$31.1 million in PE 64212N for anti-submarine warfare and other helicopter development.

The committee recommends an increase of \$2.0 million in PE 64212N for the development and evaluation of improvements in the cables used for towing mine and submarine warfare sensors and countermeasures.

### Advanced camouflage coating demonstration

The budget request contained \$78.2 million in PE 63114N for power projection advanced technology development, including \$16.3 million for advanced development of autonomous operations technology.

The committee recommends an increase of \$5.0 million in PE 63114N for development and flight demonstration of an integrated set of advanced camouflage coatings and treatments for unmanned aerial vehicles that will dramatically decrease the detection range of threat sensors.

### Advanced composite radome materials

The budget request contained \$60.8 million in PE 25601N for operational systems development of the high-speed, anti-radiation missile (HARM), including \$48.7 million for continued development of the advanced anti-radiation guided missile (AARGM).

The committee notes the progress in the AARGM program, a Phase III Small Business Innovative Research (SBIR) follow-on program designed to demonstrate an advanced multi-mode seeker on an existing high-speed anti-radiation missile (HARM) airframe. Successful controlled, captive flight, and guided vehicle test flights in the AARGM advanced technology demonstration and success in phase I of the Quick Bolt advanced concept technology demonstration have resulted in the Navy's decision to transition AARGM technology into the system development and demonstration phase.

The committee notes that use of AARGM technology in the highspeed anti-radiation demonstration requires a new radome. The committee also notes that the speed of next-generation missiles for the suppression of improved enemy air defense and other time critical targets will require materials for radomes and conformal antennas that withstand higher temperatures and permit the use of higher frequency radars. The committee further notes the identification of several new material systems that have the potential for meeting both needs.

The committee recommends \$62.3 million in PE 25601N, an increase of \$1.5 million to develop and qualify new composite materials for next-generation high-speed missile system applications.

### Advanced composite sail

The budget request contained \$107.4 million in PE 63561N for advanced submarine system development.

The committee notes that the Navy's technology insertion plan for the Virginia class submarine includes installation of an advanced sail on the seventh Virginia class submarine. The advanced composite sail program is intended to provide substantial additional payload capacity and stealth improvements over conventional submarine sails. Phase II of the program addresses the incorporation of full-scale design features and the complete spectrum of full-scale load specifications that would be encountered by operational submarines, including damage assessment and repair.

The committee recommends an increase of \$5.0 million in PE 63561N for development, demonstration, and validation of technologies and techniques for advanced monitoring of the operational condition of composite sails, repair procedures, and procedures for enabling future payloads to be inserted into a composite sail without major redesign of the sail structure.

#### Advanced deployable system burial capability

The budget request contained \$35.9 million in PE 64784N to continue development of the advanced deployable system (ADS).

ADS is an undersea surveillance system that is designed to detect and track modern diesel electric and nuclear submarines, as well as provide the capability for tracking surface ships and detecting sea mine laying. ADS is composed of distributed sensors that can be rapidly and unobtrusively deployed in regional contingency areas for use against enemy submarines and in support of littoral warfare. The committee notes that in fiscal year 2002 Congress added \$4.0 million to the ADS program to accelerate the development of improvements in the ADS cable burial capability to enhance ADS cable survivability and installation of cable trunk extensions. Congress also added \$4.0 million to the program to reduce risk in the development of remotely powered all optical array technology for the ADS.

The committee recommends an increase of \$16.0 million in PE 64784N for the ADS program, including \$6.0 million to accelerate development of the ADS cable burial capability, \$4.0 million for the development of ADS off board sensors, and \$6.0 million to continue the program for development of remotely powered, all optical array technology for application in the ADS program.

## Advanced ducted electric propulsion pod

The budget request contained \$57.6 million in PE 63123N for force protection advanced technology development, including \$14.9 million for surface ship and submarine hull, mechanical, and electrical advanced technology development.

The committee notes the Navy's development of an advanced podded propulsion module that will demonstrate an advanced, hydrodynamically efficient, externally mounted electric ship propulsion module that reduces fuel consumption; eliminates the need for large and costly reduction gears, propeller shafts and hull penetrations; and offers quieter operation and higher power in a smaller diameter package, compared to other propulsor options.

The committee recommends an increase of \$10.0 million in PE 63123N to accelerate the development and demonstration of the advanced ducted electric propulsion pod.

## Advanced extended echo-ranging sonobuoy development

The budget request contained \$13.9 million in PE 64261N for development of acoustic search sensors, including \$8.3 million for advanced extended echo ranging (AEER) development.

The committee notes the role of multi-static active acoustic sonar systems in the Navy's airborne anti-submarine warfare capability and on-going programs to improve the capabilities of extended echo ranging (EER) sensors for undersea warfare in the shallow waters of the littoral.

The committee recommends \$33.9 million in PE 64261N for the development of acoustic search sensors, including \$20.0 million to continue the program for development of the AEER sensor system.

### Advanced land attack missile

The budget request contained \$108.7 million in PE 63795N for land attack technology demonstration and validation. No funds were requested for the advanced land attack missile program.

The committee notes that Navy programs for development of the extended range guided munition (ERGM), land attack standard missile (LASM), and advanced land attack missile (ALAM) have focused on addressing the operational requirements for naval surface fire support for land forces operating in the littoral and the short-comings in current naval surface fire support capabilities.

In the statement of managers accompanying the conference report on H.R. 4205 (H. Rept. 106–945), the conferees placed a high priority on completing the analysis of alternatives to determine the appropriate course of action for providing Naval fire support and directed the Secretary of the Navy to report to the congressional defense committees recommended revisions to the ALAM program with the submission of the fiscal year 2002 budget request.

In April 2002, the Comptroller of the Navy executed a belowthreshold reprogramming which redirected funds authorized and appropriated for ALAM and effectively halted the ALAM program. The Navy completed an ALAM analysis of alternatives in May 2001, which determined that a boost glide missile system was the most cost effective system, supersonic cruise missiles showed merit, and additional detailed design studies were warranted. In November 2002, the Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Research Development, and Acquisition terminated the LASM program. Section 211 of the National Defense Authorization Act for 2002 (Public Law 107–107) required the Secretary of Defense to carry out an assessment of the requirements for naval surface fire support of ground forces operating in the littoral environment, including the role of an advanced fire support missile system for navy combatant vessels and to submit a report of the result of that assessment to the congressional defense committees by March 31, 2002. The committee has not yet received the Secretary's report.

In the Navy's unfunded requirements list that was submitted to the committee following submission of the fiscal year 2003 budget request, the Chief of Naval Operations identified the program as a high priority unfunded requirement.

The committee has consistently supported the requirement for improvements in naval surface fire support to land forces operating in the littoral and believes that the Navy should proceed promptly to reestablish the program for development and demonstration of an advanced land attack missile.

The committee recommends an increase of \$11.0 million in PE 63795N for the ALAM program.

## Advanced light strike vehicle

The budget request contained \$51.6 million in PE 63640M for Marine Corps advanced technology demonstration, but included no funds for the advanced light strike vehicle.

The committee is aware that an advanced light strike vehicle is needed to give Marines on ground mobility and lethality.

The committee recommends an increase of \$6.0 million in PE 63640M for advanced light strike vehicle.

### Advanced smart propulsor product model

The budget request contained \$89.4 million in PE 62123N for force protection applied research, including \$46.0 million in surface ship and submarine hull, mechanical, and electrical applied research.

The committee notes prior efforts by the Navy in the development of smart propulsor product models that use advanced computational analysis, modeling, and simulation in the design, performance modeling, and analysis of ship propulsors. Integration of advanced hydromechanical, hydroacoustic, and mechanical analysis tools in the product models would permit tighter coupling of performance modeling and simulation to analyze and make tradeoffs in the design and manufacture of propulsors that could improve performance, yet reduce manufacturing and life cycle costs.

The committee recommends an increase of \$4.0 million in PE 62123N for the development of an advanced smart propulsor product model that would incorporate advanced hydromechanical, hydroacoustic, and mechanical analysis tools to permit tighter coupling of performance.

### Advanced stealth ship radar

The budget request contained \$65.1 million in PE 63271N for radio frequency systems advanced technology demonstration.

The committee notes that Sea Lion is a small combatant craft that has been used as a baseline platform to design, build and test advanced high-speed craft for U.S. Special Operations Forces. The committee also notes that for small combatant craft operating in the littoral the capabilities for covert detection and avoidance of other forces are highly desirable for enhanced self and force protection.

The committee recommends an increase of \$5.8 million in PE 63271N for demonstration and evaluation of low probability of intercept and low observable radars on small combatant craft such as the Sea Lion technology demonstration platform.

## Advanced variable speed drive systems

The budget request contained \$243.1 million in PE 63513N for shipboard system component development, but included no funds for the advanced variable speed drive (VSD) system.

The committee is aware that the development of the advanced VSD system will enable fleet-wide implementation of state of the art variable speed motor controls, without the size and weight restrictions of existing VSD.

The committee recommends \$245.1 million in PE 63513N, an increase of \$2.0 million for the advanced variable speed drive system.

### AEGIS baseline 7 phase II open architecture

The budget request contained \$300.7 million in PE 64307N for AEGIS combat systems engineering, of which \$13.2 million was included for continued development of the AEGIS baseline 7 phase II open architecture effort on AEGIS combat systems.

The AEGIS baseline 7 phase II open architecture effort provides upgraded computer programs for sensor improvements and to reduce life cycle costs, as well as development of a solid state replacement for the SPY-1 radar, aimed at providing increased sensitivity and bandwidth required for long range ballistic missile defense.

The committee recommends \$310.7 million in PE 64307N, an increase of \$10.0 million, to accelerate AEGIS baseline 7 phase II open architecture efforts.

## Affordable towed array construction

The budget request contained \$98.5 million in PE 64503N for submarine system equipment development, including \$5.2 million in the submarine sonar improvement program to continue development of affordable towed array technology initiatives.

The submarine sonar improvement program delivers block updates to sonar systems installed on SSN 688, 688I, 21 and TRI-DENT class submarines to maintain clear acoustic, tactical and operational superiority over submarine and surface combatants in all scenarios through detection, classification, localization and contact following. The TB-29, TB-21A and TB-16 towed array sonar systems support these requirements. The committee notes that the Navy initiated the affordable towed array technology program to develop fiber optic technology for more cost effective, more reliable towed arrays.

The committee recommends an increase of \$16.0 million in PE 64503N to accelerate the Navy's affordable towed array technology development program.

## Affordable weapon

The budget request contained \$78.2 million in PE 63114N for Power Projection Advanced Technology development. The Office of Naval Research (ONR) Affordable Weapon program is an advanced technology demonstration to design, develop, and build a 600-mile range, 200 lbs-payload, precision strike missile with global positioning system/inertial navigation system guidance and control and a data link. The committee notes the significant progress made in the Affordable Weapon development and flight test program to date, including launch and flight test. The committee has been advised that key to ONR's success has been the effective use and modification for military applications of commercially available equipment. The success of the program indicates that the demonstration program should continue with the development and integration of the seeker, data link, special survivability measures, ground station, and improved performance propulsion.

The committee recommends an increase of \$15.4 million in PE 63114N for continuation of the Affordable Weapon demonstration project.

### Anti-submarine warfare, mine countermeasures, and ship self-defense initiative

The committee notes the Navy's capabilities for anti-submarine warfare, mine countermeasures, and ship self-defense are key enablers for the ability of the Navy to operate in the littoral regions of the world. For the last six years, the General Accounting Office (GAO) at the committee's request has conducted a series of assessments regarding the Navy's capabilities for mine countermeasures, antisubmarine warfare and ship self-defense, and completed a comprehensive update of the assessments in May 2001.

In responding to the GAO assessments, the Department of the Navy has acknowledged that it lacks or has degraded capabilities in a number of key warfighting areas needed for operations in littoral regions: breaching enemy sea mines in the surf zone, detecting and neutralizing enemy submarines in shallow water, and defending naval ships against cruise missiles. The committee notes that the Navy has had programs under way to improve its capabilities in each of these areas for several years. However, even though mine countermeasures, anti-submarine warfare, and ship self-defense are regarded as essential core naval capabilities, progress has been slow. During committee hearings on the fiscal year 2002 budget request, the Chief of Naval Operations cited the continuing existence of shortfalls in these essential core naval capabilities.

To address these shortfalls, the committee has recommended the following increases for the Navy's anti-submarine warfare, mine countermeasures, and ship self-defense programs. These recommendations are discussed further in the classified annex to this report:

	RDT&E	Procurement
Anti-submarine warfare	\$126.1	\$82.0
Mine countermeasures	18.0	0.0
Ship self-defense	61.4	24.7

The committee intends to review the Navy's response to these initiatives and actions taken to improve its capabilities for antisubmarine warfare, mine countermeasures, and ship self-defense in hearings on the fiscal year 2004 budget request.

## Anti-submarine warfare synthetic training environment

The budget request contained \$31.4 million in PE 24571N for consolidated training systems development.

The committee is aware that the anti-submarine warfare (ASW) capability of the fleet is directly tied to the proficiency and level of training of the ASW component of the naval battle force. The committee also understands that, like most combat operations, ASW is a perishable skill that requires frequent practice of complex tasks on both the individual and the team level.

The committee notes that the limitations of current ASW training facilities and systems inhibit the ability for integrated training and result in most integrated training being done at sea. The committee further notes that the Navy's ASW community has affirmed the need for a common, integrated ASW training environment that could link real and synthetic ASW forces in realistic operational training situations for training, mission planning, and mission rehearsal. The committee believes that such a capability can probably not be achieved by a simple integration of existing incompatible training systems and that an incremental migration to an integrated, interactive ASW training system will be required. The committee also believes that the first step toward realizing such a capability in the fleet should be the linking of air ASW simulators to the existing Battle Force Teams Trainers.

The committee recommends \$41.4 million in PE 24571N, an increase of \$10.0 million to initiate a program for integration of fixed and rotary wing air ASW and other simulators into the Battle Force Tactical Trainer to establish an ASW synthetic training environment.

### Autonomous maritime navigation

The budget request contained \$5.8 million in PE 63563N for advanced ship design, but included no funds for autonomous maritime navigation.

The committee notes that autonomous maritime navigation will allow unmanned surface vessels to operate alone, or in a coordinated group without the need for continuous control from a remote operator, even near shore or other vessels.

The committee recommends \$10.8 million in PE 63563N, an increase of \$5.0 million for the autonomous maritime navigation.

# Aviation integrated life support system

The budget request contained \$7.5 million in PE 63216N for aviation survivability, but included no funds for the aviation integrated life support system (AILSS).

The committee is aware that the AILSS effort, which commenced in 1996, is ready to transition into a tactical variant for the F-18.

The committee recommends an increase of \$6.5 million in PE 63216N for aviation integrated life support system.

## Aviation-shipboard information technology initiative

The budget request contained \$24.6 million in PE 64512N for shipboard aviation systems development, but included no funds for development of the aviation-shipboard information technology initiative (AS/ITI), which would upgrade and integrate aircraft carrier information systems to reduce cost and improve the effectiveness of carrier aircraft launch and recovery operations.

The committee notes that the Navy views the AS/ITI as a promising technology for both its next-generation aircraft carriers and those currently in service, which can enhance accuracy and minimize latency of information; distribute information where required; improve shipboard aircraft sortie rates and safety; and reduce carrier operating costs.

The committee recommends \$32.8 million in PE 64512N, an increase of \$8.2 million, for development of the AS/ITI.

## Biomedical research imaging

The budget request contained \$19.0 million in PE 63729N for warfighter protection advanced technology development.

The committee notes the progress being made in the use of advanced imaging technology in biomedical research. New imaging technology has allowed the observation of tumors as small as 1mm in diameter and has allowed scientist to observe critical biochemical changes associated with tumor, strokes, and other disease states. These findings have important implication for advances in real-time medical diagnosis and treatment.

The committee recommends an increase of \$5.0 million in PE 63729N to continue research in the application of advanced imaging technology to biomedical research.

### Chemical agent warning network demonstration

The budget request contained \$12.2 million in PE 65873M for Marine Corps program-wide support, but included no funding for the chemical agent warning network demonstration.

The committee recommends \$15.2 million in PE 65873M, an increase of \$3.0 million to continue the development of the chemical agent warning network for use by the Marine Corps Chemical-Biological Incident Response Force.

## Commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) carbon fiber qualification

The budget request included \$68.9 million in PE 62236N for warfighter sustainment applied research, but included no funding for COTS carbon fiber qualification.

The committee is supportive of efforts to transition new materials and processes for use on present and future aircraft and missile systems. The committee notes that the Department of Defense is currently required to use high-priced single-source fiber to reinforce composite structure. The committee is encouraged with efforts to establish a second source for intermediate modules fiber to ensure more competitive practices and supports further efforts in this regard.

The committee recommends an increase of \$3.0 million in PE 62236N to qualify COTS fibers for further applications to Navy weapons platforms.

### *Compatible processor upgrade*

The budget request contained \$13.0 million in PE 63739N for Navy logistics productivity demonstration and validation. No funds were provided for continuation of the compatible processor upgrade program (CPUP). The committee notes that CPUP system-on-a-chip processor products are used to modernize existing computer systems while preserving legacy software and infrastructure, adapt commercial designs for high radiation environments, and optimize system designs. Congress provided funds in fiscal year 2001 to initiate a program for the development of application-specific CPUP processors to upgrade the capability of the Navy's AN/AYK-14, AN/AYK-44, and AN/UYK-20 computers at a fraction of the cost and time required to reengineer legacy software for new computer systems, and provided an additional \$2.5 million to continue the program in fiscal year 2002.

The committee recommends an increase of \$6.0 million in PE 63739N to complete the CPUP program.

#### *DP–2 thrust vectoring system*

The budget request contained \$78.3 million in PE 63114N for power projection advanced technology development, but included no funding for the DP-2 thrust vectoring system demonstration project.

DP-2 is a proof-of-concept program to demonstrate the use of thrust vector control to achieve vertical takeoff and conventional takeoff capabilities in a one-half scale flight test vehicle. The technology offers the potential for a low cost, medium range aircraft of advanced composite construction.

The committee notes the progress to date in the DP-2 program and the initial hover test of the one-half scale test vehicle in January 2002. The committee believes that test progress and the potential of the DP-2 proof-of-concept justify continuation of the program.

The committee recommends an increase of \$7.0 million in PE 63114N to continue development and demonstration of the DP-2 thrust vector system concept.

### *Electric propulsion/ship power system distributed test bed*

The budget request contained \$57.6 million in PE 63123N for Force Protection Advanced Technology development.

As a part of the Navy's program leading to the development of an all-electric ship, the committee continues to support the development of a virtual, distributed test bed which will provide the software and hardware modeling tools for shipboard machinery design and allow government and industry ship designers and engineers to evaluate machinery alternatives in a virtual prototype before committing to full-scale development.

The committee recommends an increase of \$5.0 million in PE 63123N to continue the program for advanced development of a distributed test bed for electric propulsion and ship power systems.

### Electronic interconnection research and development program

The budget request contained \$82.5 million in PE 63236N for warfighter sustainment advanced technology development.

The committee notes that printed circuit boards (PCB) are fundamental to the operation of military navigation, guidance and control, electronic warfare, missile, and surveillance and communication equipment. High density, highly ruggedized, highly reliable interconnection technology is essential to the performance of many PCB used in military systems. The committee notes that industry PCB focuses on high-volume, low-cost boards rather than the high performance, reliability, and extreme environmental requirements of PCB for use in military systems and at the same time the United States has lost much of its PCB manufacturing capability to overseas sources. The committee recognizes the need to enhance the U.S. capability for development and production of high density, high reliability PCB for use in military systems.

The committee recommends an increase of \$3.0 million in PE 63236N to accelerate improvements in PCB technology to meet military requirements now and in the future.

#### *Electronic warfare development*

The budget request contained \$74.7 million in PE 64270N for electronic warfare (EW) development, but included no funds for risk reduction activities to develop an EA–6B electronic jamming aircraft replacement or to evaluate the location of global positioning system interferers (LOCO GPSI) system in fleet operations.

## Airborne electronic attack follow-on

The committee notes that the December 2001 Airborne Electronic Attack Analysis of Alternatives recommended 27 options to replace the EA–6B aircraft and that a final decision on its replacement is planned for fiscal year 2002. Consistent with the fiscal year 2002 decision, the committee further notes that the Department of the Navy has included funds in its future years defense program to develop an airborne electronic attack follow-on beginning in fiscal year 2004 and that the Chief of Naval Operations has included development funding for an EA–6B follow-on aircraft among his unfunded priorities for fiscal year 2003. To accelerate the development of the EA–6B successor, the committee recommends an increase of \$10.0 million in PE 64270N for pre-engineering and manufacturing development risk reduction activities.

## *Location of global positioning system interferers*

Location of global positioning system interferers (LOCO GPSI) is a state-of-the-art precision surveillance and targeting system for location of global positioning systems interferers that is designed to protect global positioning system-guided weapons against jamming and interference. The committee understands that Naval operational fleet commanders continue to request deployment of the LOCO GPSI system in fleet exercises to demonstrate and evaluate the military utility of this system. The committee recommends an increase of \$3.0 million in PE 64270N to continue to evaluate LOCO GPSI capabilities in fleet operations in fiscal year 2003.

## Electro-optical framing sensor

The budget request contained \$5.5 million in PE 35206N for airborne reconnaissance systems, but included no funds for electro-optical framing.

The committee is aware that additional improvement is required for SHARP sensors.

The committee recommends \$11.5 million in PE 35206N, an increase of \$6.0 million for continued development of an integrated

electronic shutter to upgrade SHARP sensors and prototype development of a cellular neural network airborne processor.

## Extended range guided munitions

The budget request contained \$108.7 million in PE 63795N for land attack technology demonstration and validation, including \$44.8 million for naval surface fire support and development of the Extended Range Guided Munition (ERGM).

The committee notes the progress in the ERGM program as it completes the controlled test flight phase of the development program and prepares to begin full-scale firings. The committee notes that the schedule for program risk reduction is being reviewed as a result of the decision to adopt a unitary warhead for the projectile. The committee also notes that both the Navy and the prime contractor have funded the development of low cost guidance electrical units to reduce the production cost of the projectile. The committee also notes that the Navy is also evaluating the ANSR projectile, not as a replacement for ERGM, but as a complementary capability to ERGM with the possible application of being fired from both current 5-inch, 54 caliber and 5-inch, 62 caliber gun systems.

The committee recommends an increase of \$14.5 million in PE 63795N for risk reduction in the ERGM program, acceleration of guidance and control system redesign and test, and continued evaluation of the ANSR projectile.

#### Fibrous monolithic materials insertion

The budget request contained \$68.9 million in PE 62236N for warfighter sustainment, but included no funds for fibrous monolithic materials insertion

The committee recommends an increase of \$7.0 million in PE 62236N for fibrous monolithic materials insertion.

### Formable aligned carbon thermosets

The budget request contained \$68.9 million in PE 62236N for warfighter sustainment applied research, but included no funds for formable aligned carbon thermosets (FACTS).

The committee is aware that development of FACTS will allow increased use of advance fibers in tactical aircraft with the attendant benefits of such materials.

The committee recommends an increase of \$2.5 million in PE 62236N for FACTS.

## Hawk AN/TPS-59 radar service life extension program

The budget request contained \$174.7 million in PE 26313M for Marine Corps communications systems, and included \$2.6 million for the Hawk AN/TPS-59 radar service life extension program.

The committee is aware that operational experience and engineering analysis has shown that the Hawk AN/TPS-59 radar requires an automatic false alarm reduction mode as part of the service life extension program. The Hawk radar has been continually upgraded and is still a high priority asset for rapid deployment forces.

The committee recommends an increase of \$5.0 million in PE 26313M for the AN/TPS–59 radar service life extension program.

## *High brightness electron source program*

The budget request contained \$56.3 million in PE 62271N for RF systems applied research, but included no funds for the high brightness electron source program.

The committee is aware that the high brightness electron source program is vital to maintenance of the microwave vacuum tube electronics for high power applications

The committee recommends an increase of \$3.0 million in PE 62271N for the high brightness electron source program.

## High temperature superconducting AC synchronous Navy propulsion motor and generator

The budget request included \$57.6 million in PE 63123N for Force Protection Advanced Technology and included no funding for the High Temperature Superconducting (HTS) AC Synchronous Navy Propulsion Motor and Generator.

The committee supports the Future Naval Capabilities (FNC) program and recognizes that its success depends heavily on innovative scientific breakthroughs in areas such as electronics, sensor development, and propulsion. The committee understands the importance of component miniaturization to achieving FNC goals. In the area of propulsion development in particular, the committee is aware of engineering analyses and tests that have illuminated the potential benefits offered by HTS motors and generators, particularly in the areas of weight, cost, and noise reduction. The committee supports development in this field and encourages greater investment.

The committee recommends an increase of \$7.0 million in PE 63123N for the HTS AC Synchronous Navy Propulsion Motor and Generator development.

## Human factors and improved performance integration tool

The budget request included \$6.6 million in PE 62236N for Manpower, Personnel, and Human Factors, but included no funding for the Improved Performance Integration Tool and related methodologies.

The committee supports the development of modeling tools that optimize sailor job performance as a means for fulfilling the requirements of the Navy's Future Naval Capabilities. The committee is aware of several Navy force management initiatives and not only encourages a continuation in these areas, but also urges further sailor/unit performance optimization through the use of non-Navy methodologies found elsewhere in the Department of Defense. The committee is particularly interested in the potential benefit of the Improved Performance Integration Tool (IMPRINT) and the Army's manpower and personnel integration (MANPRINT) initiative. The committee urges the Navy to adopt IMPRINT and MANPRINT methodologies in an effort to minimize the total ownership costs of future acquisition programs.

The committee recommends an increase of \$2.0 million in PE 62236N for the Improved Performance Integration Tool and related modeling applications.

## *Hybrid fiber optic/wireless communications*

The budget request contained \$76.6 million in PE 62114N for common picture applied research.

The committee notes progress being made in applied research for hybrid fiber optic/wireless communications that are characterized by having very high bandwidth, mobility, and low probability of intercept. The overall goal of the program is to develop the technology for versatile, mobile, secure communications systems for military and commercial use that will combine the most desirable features of fiber optic and wireless communications.

The committee recommends an increase of \$2.0 million in PE 62114N to continue the program for applied research in hybrid fiber optic/wireless communications.

### Integrated maritime picture system of systems

The budget request contained \$37.8 million in PE 63235N, including \$17.5 million for development of advanced technology for knowledge superiority and assurance.

The committee notes ongoing activities in the Navy and in the other military departments to improve situational awareness and develop an integrated common operational picture for air, land, and sea commanders and their staffs. The committee also notes that the emphasis on increasing force protection for the fleet both in port and at sea will require the integration of information about sea ports, harbors, anchorages, and the maritime operational environment in the integrated maritime operational picture.

The committee recommends an increase of \$3.0 million in PE63235N for advanced development of an integrated maritime common operational picture that will include both force protection and seaport security information and systems.

## Interrogator for high-speed retro reflectometer communications

The budget request included \$76.6 million in PE 62114N for power projection applied research, but included no funding for a high-speed retro-reflectometer communication interrogator.

The committee strongly supports advanced sensor, processor, and data-link exchange technologies as a means to support, among other things, a greater reliance on unmanned aerial vehicles. Highspeed data links are especially needed to rapidly download high resolution imagery from airborne sensors. The committee is aware of a ground-based interrogator technology with the potential for developing fleet-deployable exploitation algorithms and processes for large-bandwidth applications.

The committee recommends an increase of \$5.0 million in PE 62114N for developing a laser interrogator for high-speed covert retro-reflectometer communication.

### Joint mission high-speed vessel

The budget request contained \$51.6 million in PE 63640M, but included no funding for the joint mission high-speed vessel.

The committee notes that the Army, Navy and Marine Corps have been conducting very successful exploration of concepts and capabilities with commercially available advanced hull and propulsion capabilities. The committee also notes the desire to continue concept-based experimentation to enable networked sea-basing as a future joint force expeditionary capability. The committee notes that the objective is to identify a transformational capability enabling high-speed, sustained, sea-based joint operations.

The committee recommends an increase of \$9.0 million in PE 63640M for the joint mission high-speed vessel.

#### Joint operational test bed

The budget request contained \$206.4 million in PE 35204N for tactical unmanned aerial vehicles, but included no funds for the joint operational test bed system (JOTBS).

The committee is aware that as a result of the revised unified command plan, the Joint Forces Command will focus on its responsibilities of helping transform the military, including improving joint interoperability and innovation. The committee notes that the JOTBS is fundamental to these responsibilities and vital to future joint use of unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs). The committee also notes that the JOTBS is vital, not only to complete tactical control system (TCS) development for Predator, but also use by multiple UAVs such as Shadow, Marine Shadow, and other UAVs.

The committee directs the Commander in Chief (CINC), Joint Forces Command to competitively contract for the new Government Flight Activity support contract as follows:

(1) Preparation of the contract solicitation, analysis of responses to the solicitation, and contract award must be conducted in accordance with Department of Defense regulations by an established government contracting organization/office with previous extensive experience in writing GFA support contracts.

(2) The solicitation must be open to all commercial vendors certified in the flight activities covered by the solicitation.

Additionally, the committee directs the Secretary of the Navy and the CINC Joint Forces Command to robustly fund the JOTBS in future years to remove any dependency on congressional increases for its viability. The committee strongly recommends that the Deputy Chief of Naval Operations for Naval Warfare (N7/N78), which sponsors both unmanned aerial vehicles and tactical control system development be designated as the Navy program sponsor for the JOTBS.

The committee recommends an increase of \$7.0 million in PE 35204N for JOTBS.

## Knowledge projection for fleet maintenance

The budget request contained \$57.6 million in PE 63123N for force protection advanced technology development, but included no funds to continue the "Knowledge Projection for Fleet Maintenance" project.

Congress provided \$2.5 million in fiscal year 2002 to support the initiation by the Navy of a collaborative program for the development of a new system to remotely monitor Navy ships and enable off-board technical experts to assist on-board technicians who are part of the ship's crew in ship maintenance and repair. The committee believes that the successful development and implementation of this approach to knowledge-based system diagnosis and repair could be increasingly important as the Navy makes the transition to ships with reduced numbers of personnel and as electronic equipment and other ships systems continues to be more complex and powerful.

The committee recommends an increase of \$3.0 million in PE 63123N to continue the Knowledge Projection for Fleet Maintenance project.

## Laser aim scoring system

The budget request contained \$31.1 million in PE 64212N for anti-submarine warfare and other helicopter development, but included no funds for the sea-target laser aim scoring system (LASS).

The LASS provides real-time quantitative feedback on critical aspects of laser-guided weapon employment not currently available from existing Navy laser scoring systems, and is being adapted to existing Navy seaborne targets to support Navy H–60 armed helicopter training and readiness events that require laser scoring capability. Since the committee continues to believe that the sea-target LASS could address a Navy training deficiency by allowing inflight laser designation practice against a moving at-sea target while also providing immediate laser aiming result feedback to the pilot, it recommends an increase of \$4.0 million in PE 64212N to develop the sea-target LASS.

# Laser welding and cutting

The budget request included \$89.4 million in PE 62123N for force protection applied research, but included no funding for the laser welding and cutting program.

The committee supports efforts to improve ship design processes and performance while simultaneously lowering overall construction costs. The committee is aware of laser welding and cutting as a means for design and fabrication enhancements. The committee supports further efforts in this area.

supports further efforts in this area. The committee recommends an increase of \$3.0 million in PE 62123N for the laser welding and cutting program.

#### *Littoral support craft—experimental*

The budget request contained \$57.6 million in PE 63123N for Force Protection Advanced Technology development, but included no funding to continue the development of the Littoral Support Craft—Experimental (LSC-X).

The committee notes the continued progress by the Office of Naval Research (ONR) in the development of designs and operational concepts for a littoral support craft: a fast (above 40 knots), high performance, low cost platform that could be an effective adjunct to the major surface combatant and carrier battle group operating in the littoral. The committee strongly supports ONR proposals for a phased program for development of an experimental littoral support craft demonstrator (LSC-X) that would provide the basis for operational experiments on the contribution that such a craft and its variants could make to naval operations in the littoral. The committee understands that the LSC-X design will include development of a modular payload capability to allow the use of different technology demonstrators and warfare mission modules. As such, the committee believes that LSC-X could be an effective experimental test bed for many of the technologies that might be chosen for use on the Littoral Combat Ship (LCS). The committee also notes that among combat related features linked to the LSC–X program is continued development of the Affordable Weapon that is discussed elsewhere in this report.

The committee notes that the ONR has made significant progress on the LSC-X, culminating recently in comprehensive and successful tow tank tests that have resulted in the authorization to proceed with fabrication of the LSC-X full scale prototype in fiscal year 2002.

The committee recommends an increase of \$13.7 million in PE 63123N to continue development of the LSC–X.

## Low cost swarm unmanned aerial vehicle program

The budget request contained \$76.6 million in PE 62114N for power projection applied research, but included no funds for the low cost swarm unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV).

The committee is aware that cost reduction is important to make UAVs affordable at the small unit level. The committee notes that the swarm UAV has achieved significant cost reduction while maintaining meaningful performance, and has the potential to costeffectively meet close-in surveillance requirements.

The committee recommends an increase of \$5.0 million in PE 62114N for low cost swarm unmanned aerial vehicle.

### Marine mammal research program

The budget request included \$393.6 million in PE 61153N for defense research sciences.

The committee supports the efforts of the Office of Naval Research (ONR) to research marine mammals' behavior and the broad aspects of the underwater environment. The committee is aware of a heightened public concern about underwater acoustic effects on these animals. To address these concerns and better understand the potential contributions of marine mammals to Department of Defense priorities, the committee encourages additional behavioral and acoustics research.

The committee recommends \$395.7 million in PE 61153N an increase of \$2.1 million for marine mammal research.

### Mark-48 advanced capability torpedo improvements

The budget request contained \$107.4 million in PE 63561N for Advanced Submarine System Development.

The committee notes that the advanced rapid COTS insertion (A-RCI) program, which uses advanced processing builds (APB) and a multi-purpose processor (MPP) hardware architecture (developed under a small business innovative research (SBIR) phase III project) to achieve rapid, cost-effective advances in signal processing, has successfully and improved the performance of submarine sonar systems in the most cost-effective manner. In fiscal year 2002 Congress provided funds to establish a similar A-RCI program for the MK-48 torpedo, leveraging the experience gained in the submarine sonar program. If successful, the MK-48, A-RCI program could have significant potential to cost effectively improve performance of the MK-48 torpedo in the demanding littoral waters sonar environment.

The committee recommends an increase of \$8.0 million in PE 63561N to continue the program for application of the advanced

processing build/multipurpose processor technology insertion process to the MK-48 ADCAP torpedo.

## Medical threat detection system

The budget request contained \$7.2 million in PE 64771N for medical systems engineering development, but included no funds to develop a real-time medical threat detection system.

The committee is aware of a medical surveillance and informatics system which would use advanced signal processing methods to routinely collect data and identify deviations for normal patterns of illness and detect potential health and medical threats. This system would augment existing Navy medical surveillance and information capabilities to provide real-time surveillance and clinical data for early medical threat detection of both naval force and civilian populations in support homeland security requirements.

The committee is supportive of technologies enhancements for medical safety of personnel and recommends \$10.3 million in PE 64771N, an increase of \$3.1 million, to establish a real-time medical threat detection system.

## Mine countermeasures mine sweep mid-life assessment and upgrade

The budget request contained \$155.0 million in PE 63502N for surface and shallow water mine countermeasures demonstration and validation.

The committee recommends an increase of \$5.0 million in PE 63502N to conduct a mid-life assessment of the MCM class Mine Sweeping suite and to evaluate potential upgrades to the system. This recommendation is a part of the committee's mine countermeasures initiative that is discussed in the classified annex to this report.

## Mobile fire support system

The budget request contained \$51.6 million in PE 63640M for Marine Corps Advanced Technology Demonstrations and included \$3.2 million for emerging fires and targeting technologies.

The committee is aware of ongoing efforts at the Marine Corps Warfighting Lab to develop innovative medium-caliber indirect fire support technology to support rapid mobility on the battlefield. Known as Mobile Fire Support System (MFSS), the 120mm rifled mortar program has exhibited unparalleled accuracy, automation, and advanced fire control technology. Additional development is required to further lighten the system and incorporate other design improvements, such as an innovative breach loading capability for extreme firing angles. As a result, the committee is encouraged with the potential applications of this program.

The committee recommends an increase of \$5.0 million in PE 63604M, specifically for the acceleration of MFSS development. Furthermore, the committee urges the Secretary of the Army to conduct a comprehensive assessment of MFSS to determine potential applications in accordance with transformational objectives. The committee recommends \$1.0 million in PE 63004A for this purpose, as reflected elsewhere in this report.

## Modeling and simulation of surgical procedures for battlefield trauma

The budget request contained \$19.0 million in PE 63729N for warfighter protection advanced technology development, including \$7.6 million for development of advanced technology for casualty care and management.

The committee notes advances in the use of modeling and simulation for the development of advanced surgical procedures for battlefield trauma and the utility of such simulations for the training of field medical personnel.

The committee recommends an increase of \$3.5 million in PE 63729N for the development of new protocols for modeling surgical procedures applicable to battlefield trauma.

#### Multi-purpose sensor

The budget request included \$65.1 million in PE 63271N for radio-frequency (RF) systems advanced development, but no funding for the multi-purpose power sensor.

The committee is aware of a promising new surveillance radar detection capability managed by the Office of Naval Research. During initial concept of operation validation, the sensor demonstrated a capability for detecting the presence and intensity of radio frequency energy across a broad spectrum of frequencies. Additional field-testing and development is necessary to deliver a prototype capable of measuring RF exposure with consistent accuracy. The committee supports this initiative and recognizes the importance of this type of technology for meeting the Navy's future naval capabilities.

The committee recommends an increase of \$2.4 million in PE 63271N for the multi-purpose sensor.

## National shipbuilding research program

The budget request contained \$9.9 million in PE 78730N for the Navy's National Shipbuilding Research Project Advanced Shipbuilding Enterprise (NSRP ASE).

The committee recognizes this innovative Navy-industry collaboration as a credible, cost-effective high-leverage focal point for productivity improvements across all navy ship construction programs. The projects in lean manufacturing, process re-engineering, and other new construction and ship repair technologies funded through the NSRP ASE address both prior year shipbuilding cost growth and the cost of future ships. Adequately funding this investment now helps to ensure that the resultant efficiencies are in place before the pending increase in naval ship construction rates needed to re-capitalize the fleet. This program is a key imperative that enables significant reductions in the cost and time required for affordable naval ship construction, conversion, and repair. The committee recommends \$9.9 million in PE 78730N for the

The committee recommends \$9.9 million in PE 78730N for the NSRP ASE and recommends that all funds in this program element be focused only on those critical elements of the NSRP ASE program that identify and adopt improved business practices which maximize actual U.S. Navy ship production within available Navy shipbuilding and construction funding. The committee strongly urges the Secretary of the Navy to continue to place a high priority on the NSRP ASE program by funding it in future budget requests at an annual amount equal to or greater than the fiscal year 2002 level of \$22.4 million.

## Naval collaboration tool set

The budget request contained \$43.2 million in PE 65013N for Navy information technology development and modernization.

The committee notes the development and application of emerging network centric information systems and collaboration tools that use basic web technology and commercial-off-the-shelf computer and software products to enable operational commanders and their staffs to rapidly share battlespace information and situational awareness and achieve greater mission effectiveness and speed of command and operations. The accelerating proliferation and application of information sharing and collaboration tools create the opportunity for the Navy to capitalize on the experience gained in prototype web-based information systems being used in the fleet in various warfare areas and develop a "best of breed", web-based set of collaboration tools that will enhance the ability of naval commanders and their staffs to operate in a common, integrated data environment.

The committee recommends \$48.2 million in PE 65013N, an increase of \$5.0 million to accelerate the development of a naval collaboration toolset that can be used in all warfare areas and domains, including building a common undersea picture and facilitating collaboration in homeland security and counterterrorism.

#### Navy common command and decision system

The budget request contained \$40.5 million in PE 63582N for the Navy's combat systems integration demonstration and validation program.

The common command and decision (CC&D) program is a preplanned product improvement (P3I) to the AEGIS Weapons System and the Ship Self Defense System MK 2 that replaces the command and decision capability presently in these systems with a common set of application computer programs and associated supporting software infrastructure which will perform selected command and decision functions in an identical manner across multiple Navy ships.

The committee notes that the Navy has established a collaborative program for development of the CC&D that will build on the Multi-purpose Processor/Advanced Processor Build techniques developed and proven in the submarine Acoustic Rapid Commercialoff-the-shelf Insertion (A–RCI) program. The program of record would result in initial introduction of the CC&D system in the fleet in 2010; however, the committee notes that the Navy has indicated that with appropriate funding the CC&D capability could be fielded as early as 2005.

The committee strongly believes that the Navy should accelerate the program for upgrade and insertion of advanced technology in combat systems of legacy surface ships of the battle fleet. The committee recommends an increase of \$20.0 million in PE 63582N to accelerate development of the CC&D system.

## Navy support of research in oceanography

The budget request contained \$55.2 million in PE 62435N for ocean warfighting environment applied research.

The committee believes that scientific knowledge of the oceans and ocean environments makes a critical contribution to U.S. national security and commercial vitality. The committee notes, that in large part, U.S. scientific expertise in oceanography and ocean sciences is sustained by the Office of Naval Research and the National Science Foundation partnership that provides oversight of the University-National Oceanographic Laboratory System (UNOLS) fleet.

The committee recognizes the age of the UNOLS fleet and the need for a rational plan for renewal of the fleet over the next ten years. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to submit to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and House Committee on Armed Services no later than February 1, 2003, a report detailing specific requirements and outlining a specific plan for UNOLS fleet renewal. The report should include specific recommendations on the numbers of each class of ship to be maintained in the UNOLS fleet, their geographic distribution, the schedule for their replacement, and estimates of ship construction costs.

In the committee report on H.R. 1401 (H. Rept. 106-162), the committee noted the finding of the National Ocean Research Leadership Council's Ocean Research Advisory Panel that one of the most pressing concerns in oceanography is the need for integrated ocean observation systems. The committee notes recommendations that have been made for what it believes to be a piecemeal commitment to establishing regional coastal ocean observing systems without a clear concept or plan for how these regional systems will fit into a national integrated ocean and coastal observation system. The committee requests that the Secretary of the Navy as in his role as a member of the National Ocean Research Leadership Council encourage the Council to develop standards and plans for the establishment and administration of an integrated ocean and coastal observing system that provides for long-term, continuous, and real-time observations of the coastal oceans of the United States and to report those plans to Congress in the Council's next annual report on the National Oceanographic Partnership Program.

The committee recommends \$60.2 million in PE 62435N, an increase of \$5.0 million for the coastal ocean observation system. The committee directs that the increase for the coastal ocean observation system may not be obligated until 30 days after the Council's report detailing the standards and plans for the establishment and administration of an integrated ocean and coastal observation system is received by the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services.

## Navy tactical unmanned aerial vehicle

The budget request contained \$206.4 million in PE 35204N for tactical unmanned aerial vehicles (TUAV), and included \$43.6 million for vertical TUAV (VTUAV), and \$9.1 million for the tactical control system (TCS).

The committee is aware that the Navy terminated the Fire Scout VTUAV program and has requested funds for developing the Air Force Global Hawk endurance UAV for broad area maritime surveillance. The committee notes that though the Navy, which is the lead service for the joint tactical control system (TCS) development, no longer has a TUAV program, its responsibilities for program management for TCS remain. The committee further notes that both the Army and Marine Corps are fielding variants of the Shadow UAV, are committed to TCS for Shadow, and are critically dependent on successful Navy program management of TCS.

The committee recommends a decrease of \$43.6 million in PE 35204N for the Fire Scout VTUAV.

#### Nonlinear dynamics stochastic resonance

The budget request contained \$13.2 million in PE 63254N for anti-submarine warfare (ASW) systems development, for the continued development and evaluation of nonlinear dynamics and stochastic resonance (NDSR) for acoustic, magnetic, and other ASW sensor and signal processing applications.

The NDSR program was initiated in 1999 to apply the principles and results from the emerging science of nonlinear dynamics towards critical problems in shallow water anti-submarine warfare (ASW) with the goal of producing dramatic improvements in ASW system capability. The committee notes that the research conducted to date has demonstrated that there is a strong potential for greatly increased ASW system performance resulting from significantly extended electromagnetic detection ranges, enhanced sonar target discrimination and improved noise reduction.

The committee recommends \$18.2 million in PE 63254N, an increase of \$5.0 million to continue the development, demonstration, and evaluation of NDSR technology for ASW applications.

### Ocean modeling for mine and expeditionary warfare

The budget request contained \$43.7 million in PE 63782N for mine and expeditionary warfare advanced technology development, including \$19.3 million for advanced surveillance and reconnaissance.

The committee notes the Navy's need for improved tactical and strategic information for the littoral battlespace environment, improved detection of submarine and mines in turbid littoral regions, development of predictive models for the littoral environment, and development of improved sensor technologies.

The committee recommends \$46.7 million in PE 63782N, an increase of \$3.0 million for advanced technology development of ocean modeling for mine and expeditionary warfare.

### Organ transfer technology

The budget request contained \$19.0 million in PE 63729N for warfighter protection advanced technology development. No funds were requested for continuation of the organ transfer technology program.

The committee notes continued progress in the development of immune therapies by investigators at the Naval Medical Research Center that have been shown to prevent the rejection of tissue and organ transplants without the need for continuous use of immunosuppressive drugs. In fiscal year 2001, the Chief of Naval Research initiated a program to capitalize on these newly developed methods of treatment. Congress provided \$3.0 million to initiate a clinical trials program in fiscal year 2001 and \$2.0 million to continue the program in fiscal year 2002.

The committee notes the initial progress in the clinical trials program and plans to bring additional patients into the program to broaden the program base. The committee believes that the ability to transplant massive tissue segments without rejection could revolutionize the treatment of combat casualties who suffer significant tissue loss or organ damage from blast, missile fragments or burns.

The committee recommends an increase of \$5.0 million in PE 63729N to continue the organ transfer technology clinical trials program.

## Photovoltaic energy park

The budget request contained \$2.1 million in PE 63725N for demonstration and validation of improvements in naval facilities.

The committee notes that rising energy costs, the availability and reliability of traditional sources of fossil fuels, and increased concerns about the effect of gaseous emissions on the environment and fossil fuel pollution have fostered great interest in developing renewable energy sources such as solar power, hydrogen, and fuel cells. The committee is aware of ongoing discussions for the development of prototype large-scale solar powered electrical generating systems and also understands that public/private partnerships have been established for development and evaluation of advanced fuel cells. The committee believes that renewable energy from electricity generated using solar power and from advanced fuel cells could meet the need for reliable and secure sources of non-polluting electric power and energy for military forces. The committee recommends \$4.6 million in PE 63725N, including

The committee recommends \$4.6 million in PE 63725N, including an increase of \$2.5 million for development and demonstration of renewable energy sources, including solar-powered electrical generation plants, hydrogen, and fuel cells.

### Portable digital precision location system

The budget request contained \$76.6 million in PE 62114N for power projection applied research, but included no funds for the portable digital precision location system.

The committee is aware that many shipboard functions are being automated, in order to reduce crew size. The committee notes that in order to maintain vigilance and combat effectiveness under reduced manning, personnel identification and location technologies are required.

The committee recommends an increase of \$4.4 million in PE 62114N for the portable digital precision location system.

### *Portable sterile water production device*

The budget request included \$19.0 million in PE 63729N for warfighter protection advanced technology, but included no funds for the portable sterile water production device.

The committee supports efforts by the Office of Naval Research to leverage ongoing research in the field of sterile water production and managed by the Army's Medical Research and Development Command. The committee understands this research promises to produce a lightweight portable remote water-for-injection purification system for combat casualty care. The committee supports additional research on this project to facilitate testing of potential military applications.

The committee recommends an increase of \$2.6 million in PE 63729N for the portable sterile water production device.

#### Rapid deployment fortification wall

The budget request included \$51.6 million in PE 63640M for Marine Corps advanced technology demonstrations, but included no funding for the rapid deployment fortification wall.

The committee is aware of a potentially innovative technology for improving ground forces barrier defense. The Rapid Deployment Fortification Wall is an expandable, stackable, modular wall made of tough, lightweight, environmentally responsible plastic that can possibly serve as a replacement for sandbags. The committee believes that the technology can serve to rapidly construct field fortifications for bunkers, standoff blast and ballistic protection units, and other hardened shelters, and may prove more easily transportable and re-usable. The committee urges additional testing of this technology, with a particular focus given to transport (ground and aerial), manning, live-fire, and recycling requirements and capabilities.

The committee recommends an increase of \$1.5 million in PE 63640M million for the rapid deployment fortification wall.

#### Rapid retargeting

The budget request contained \$13.0 million in PE 63739N for Navy Logistic Productivity demonstration and validation, including \$4.9 million for rapid retargeting. The committee notes that, within the logistics productivity program, the Navy has implemented a rapid retargeting project to address obsolete designs in electronic systems.

The project provides the technology to eliminate obsolete components and reduce multiple electronic modules to single programmable designs. The committee understands that the rapid retargeting process is also being employed to replace different types of standard electronic modules with programmable commercial-offthe-shelf components, thereby reducing the requirements for spare parts on board naval vessels.

The committee recommends an increase of \$5.0 million in PE 63739N for the Navy's rapid retargeting project.

## Real-time heart rate variability monitor

The budget request contained \$7.5 million in PE 63216N for aviation survivability, but included no funds for real-time heart rate variability monitor.

The committee notes that real time heart rate variability monitor technology can be used to automate protective systems, aid in survivor identification and remotely determine physiological status.

The committee recommends an increase of \$8.0 million in PE 63216N for real-time heart rate variability monitor.

## *Real-time precision tracking radar*

The budget request contained \$78.3 million in PE 63114N for power projection technology, but included no funds for real-time precision tracking radar.

The committee is aware that real-time precision tracking radar will provide the warfighter with a lightweight, low-cost, high-resolution synthetic aperture radar with moving target detection capability.

The committee recommends an increase of \$9.0 million in PE 63114N for real-time precision tracking radar.

### SEALs Mark 5 patrol craft modification

The budget request contained \$57.6 million in PE 63123N for force protection advanced technology development.

The committee notes the progress in the Office of Naval Research (ONR) program to evaluate the ability of Project M technology to mitigate the high shock and vibration experienced by the Navy SEALs Mark V patrol craft crew and passengers in high-speed special operations. Project M is an active noise and vibration cancellation system that was developed in the Navy's advanced submarine technology program.

The committee recommends an increase of \$6.0 million in PE 63123N to continue the ONR program for application of Project M technology to mitigate physical shock to crew and passengers in the Mark V patrol craft.

## Shipboard integrated data environment

The budget request contained \$82.5 million in PE 63236N for war fighter sustainment advance technology development, including \$17.0 million for expeditionary development and demonstration.

The committee notes that the installation of fiber optic and Ethernet local area networks on ships makes possible not only the use of web-based communications and data sharing among the various elements in the network on board the ship, but also provides the capability for a fully interactive, ship-wide integration of physical plant and support operations, maintenance, logistics, training, and financial data systems. The committee believes that such a capability, coupled to other ships of the fleet and the Navy's shore es-tablishment through the Navy's Information Technology 21, the Navy-Marine Corps Intranet, or the Global Combat Support System could provide a fully, integrated operations support and logistics network that would significantly improve maintenance and logistical support of the ships of the fleet and of forward-deployed shore activities, while potentially reducing Navy manpower requirements. The committee also notes that various naval operational support, engineering, and logistical activities are pursuing individual solutions for creating a capability for real-time in-service engineering, logistical, and life cycle support to the deployed ships of the fleet

The committee recommends an increase of \$3.5 million in PE 63236N to initiate a program for development and demonstration of a prototype shipboard integrated data environment. The committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to establish a common program among the various elements of the supporting shore estab-

lishment for development of the capability for providing real-time operational and logistical support to the deployed ships of the fleet.

# Ship self defense electronic warfare systems

The budget request contained \$28.1 million in PE 64757N for the development of engagement and soft kill capabilities of ship self defense, of which \$25.9 million was for continued development of the advanced integrated electronic warfare system (AIEWS).

Subsequent to the submission of the fiscal year 2003 budget request, the Department of the Navy notified the committee that it had terminated the AIEWS program due to cost overruns and continued schedule delays, which have adversely impacted the Navy's ability to field urgently needed surface electronic warfare improvements, and requested that the all funding for AIEWS research, development, test, and evaluation be transferred to the AN/SLQ-32, shipboard electronic warfare system, to initiate a product improvement program.

The committee recommends an increase of \$1.6 million in PE 64757N, including a decrease of \$25.9 million to reflect the Navy's decision to terminate the AIEWS project and an increase of \$27.5 for the development of AN/SLQ-32 shipboard electronic warfare system improvements.

## Ship service fuel cell

The budget request contained \$57.6 million in PE 63123N for force protection advanced technology development.

The committee recommends an increase of \$4.0 million in PE 63123N to continue the development of a direct ship service fuel cell technology demonstrator for technology validation and training of ship systems engineers, designers, system integrators, operators and engineering students.

### Small combatant craft

The budget request contained \$57.6 million in PE 63123N for force protection advanced technology.

The committee recommends an increase of \$2.0 million in PE 63123N for acquisition, test, and evaluation of a high-speed, shallow draft, off-shore capable assault boat for assault and troop transport insertion and extraction operations. The committee believes that this small combatant craft research will provide Marine Corps and Special Operations Forces with potential assault craft options by examining trade-offs between weight, payload, fuel efficiency and range, durability, and tactical survivability.

## Sniper rifle improvements

The budget request included \$36.0 million in PE 26623M for Marine Corps ground combat/supporting arms systems.

The committee is aware that rifle accuracy is critical for long range snipers, and urges that platoon long-range sniper rifle capability be improved for maximum accuracy.

Therefore the committee recommends an increase of \$750 thousand in PE 26623M for the weapons training battalion product improvement of sniper rifles and sniper rifle manufacturing capability.

## Stationary lighter than air platform

The budget request contained \$174.7 million in PE 26313M for Marine Corps communications systems.

The committee is aware that the Marine Corps stationary lighter than air platform (MCSLaP) would provide a means for the Marine Corps expeditionary forces to rapidly extend voice and data beyond the line-of-sight. The committee notes that the Marine Corps is investigating commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) sensor and payload packages to combine with COTS aerostat technology to provide this over-the-horizon capability.

The committee recommends an increase of \$5.0 million in PE 26313A for MCSLaP.

### Submarine payloads and sensors program

The budget request contained \$107.4 million in PE 63561N for development, demonstration, and validation of advanced submarine systems

The committee notes that the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency/Navy submarine payloads and sensors program resulted in the development of a number of innovative, but realistic payload, sensor and platform concepts that would enable a revolutionary expansion of capabilities and allow the submarine to play a more decisive role in Joint Force operations, especially in the ability to exert greater influence over events on shore. The concepts provide a potential roadmap to the future through successive implementations that would use the Virginia class nuclear attack submarine as a baseline point of departure.

The committee recommends an increase of \$7.0 million in PE 63561N for follow-on studies and demonstration of advanced submarine payloads and sensors capabilities.

## Submarine tactical warfare system

The budget request contained \$14.0 million in PE 64562N for submarine combat control system (CCS) upgrades to the Mark two (MK2) variant.

These upgrades replace obsolete equipment and converge multiple submarine CCSs into a single program, which provides component commonality, incorporates Tactical Tomahawk cruise missile launch capability, and reduces life cycle costs with an open architecture for future system enhancements.

The committee believes that this system would increase the warfighting capability of submarines, and recommends \$28.3 million for PE 64562N, an increase of \$14.3 million, to accelerate development of CCS MK2 upgrades.

## Superconducting DC homopolar motor

The budget request contained \$57.6 million in PE 63123N for force protection advanced technology development, including \$14.9 million for surface ship and submarine hull, mechanical and electrical advanced technology, but included no funding to continue the program for development and demonstration of a superconducting direct current homopolar motor.

The committee notes the progress being made in the Office of Naval Research project for development of a 5000 shaft-horsepower superconducting, direct current, homopolar motor that may be used in the experimental littoral support craft program and could provide a prototype for a full-scale motor in the 25,000 to 50,000 shaft horsepower range which could be a primary power source for a major combatant or other class ship. The committee notes that completion of the program in fiscal year 2003 should provide information for the Navy to determine if development should continue on this motor for use in future integrated electric drive ships.

The committee recommends an increase of \$5.0 million in PE 63123N to complete development and at-sea testing of the DC homopolar motor.

### Supply chain best practices

The budget request contained \$929 thousand in PE 65804N for technical information services that support cooperative advanced technology initiatives between the Navy and U.S. industry with the goals of improving affordability, and reducing the life cycle costs of new and modernized Navy systems.

The committee recommends \$6.9 million in PE 65804N, an increase of \$6.0 million to continue the program for development and adoption of industrial and logistical best business and management practices among government and industry in support of defense systems. The committee encourages the Office of Naval Research to include funding for this program in future Navy budgets.

## Surface navy integrated undersea tactical technology

The budget request contained \$155.0 million in PE 63502N for demonstration and validation of surface and shallow water mine countermeasures.

The committee notes that in order to effectively conduct the antisubmarine warfare (ASW) and mine warfare (MIW) missions, naval forces must be able to reliably detect, locate, and target enemy mines and submarines, respond rapidly and decisively to these hostile contacts, employ integrated ASW and MIW systems with very high probability of neutralizing the target and provide all commanders with a common under sea picture of the undersea battle space. This requirement places a premium on development of a common undersea picture that includes threat and environmental characterization along with the undersea warfare platforms and sensors.

The committee recommends an increase of \$10.0 million in PE 63502N for development of the common undersea picture.

# Target location designated handoff system

The budget request contained \$36.0 million in PE 26623M for Marine Corps ground combat/supporting arms systems, and included \$14.6 million for the Marine Corps ground weaponry product improvement program, but included no funds for the target location designated handoff system.

The committee is aware that it is imperative to continue to upgrade existing targeting capabilities to ensure interoperability with the emerging joint and Marine Corps tactical command, control, communications and computer architecture.

The committee recommends an increase of \$1.9 million in PE 26623M for the target location designated handoff system.

## Vacuum electronics

The budget request contained \$56.3 million in PE 62271N for applied research in radio frequency technology, including \$4.5 million for applied research in radio frequency vacuum electronics power amplifiers.

The committee report on H.R. 1402 (H. Rept. 106–162) noted the committee's support for a robust vacuum electronics research and development program in the Department of Defense and other federal agencies. The committee has reviewed the results of the Sec-retary of the Navy's report to Congress on the DOD vacuum electronics program and the DOD's April 2001 Technology Area Review and Assessment (TARA) on creating a balanced tri-service investment strategy for RF vacuum electronics and solid state power technologies. The committee endorses the TARA views on the criticality of support for both vacuum electronics and solid-state power technologies. The committee notes the TARA review's recommendations for increased funding in the tri-service vacuum electronics program and for establishment of a combined tri-service initiative to rapidly advance wide bandgap semiconductor device technology to enable advanced military radar and other systems requiring power electronics in the mid-to-long term. The committee is disappointed that the Department of Defense has not implemented the recommendations from the TARA review.

The committee recommends an increase of \$8.0 million in PE 62271N for applied research in vacuum electronics, an increase of \$8.0 million. The committee expects the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics) through the Director of Defense Research and Engineering to ensure a balanced investment strategy for vacuum electronics and solid state power technologies that will meet DOD requirements for current and future systems that use radio frequency power electronics.

## Water purification-expeditionary warfare

The budget request contained \$51.6 million in PE 63640M for Marine Corps advanced technology demonstration, but included no funds for water purification-expeditionary warfare.

The committee is aware that providing an adequate supply of water to deployed forces represents the number one logistical problem of expeditionary warfare. Expeditionary missions around the world must deal with ground water that has contaminates which range from high salinity to oil pollution. The water purification-expeditionary warfare program will conduct a field demonstration of advanced technology to show increased performance and/or reduced cost for water purification and offers to significantly upgrade existing water purification systems with advanced technology.

The committee recommends an increase of \$2 million in PE 63640M for the water purification-expeditionary warfare program.

## Wide band gap semiconductor materials

The budget request contained \$5.5 million in PE 61153N for basic research and \$30.0 million in PE 62712E and \$3.5 million in PE 62271N for applied research in wide band gap semiconductor electronics.

Section 212 of the National Defense Authorization Action for Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107–107) required the Secretary of Defense to carry out a cooperative program to develop and demonstrate advanced technologies and concepts for future Naval radar systems and other applications, with particular emphasis on development of high frequency and high power wide band gap semiconductor materials and devices. The provision also required the DDRE to report to the congressional defense committees on the implementation of the plan, including identification of the funding required to carry out the fiscal year 2003 program and for the future years defense program. The committee has not yet received the DDRE's report.

The committee continues to place a high priority on the development of the technology for advanced wide band gap semiconductor materials and devices for future naval radar and other applications. The committee notes the results of the December 2000 Special Technology Review on Radio Frequency Applications for Wide Band Gap Technology by the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics that recommended an increased science and technology investment in wide band gap materials, devices, circuits, and packaging which would total approximately \$50 million a year over a five-year period beginning in fiscal year 2002, in order to develop the technologies necessary to field advanced radar systems in time to meet the Navy's and the Department of Defense requirements in 2015.

The committee recommends a total of \$47.0 million for basic and applied research in wide band gap semiconductor materials technology, an increase of \$8.0 million to the budget request and including \$30 million in PE 62712N, \$5.5 million in PE 61153N, and \$11.5 million in PE 62271N.

### AIR FORCE RDT&E

#### Overview

The budget request contained \$17,601.2 million for Air Force RDT&E. The committee recommends authorization of \$18,803.2 million, an increase of \$1,202.0 million.

The committee recommendations for the fiscal year 2003 Air Force RDT&E program are identified in the table below. Major changes to the Air Force request are discussed following the table.

Program Element Number	R-1 Line	PROGRAM TITLE	FY 2003 \uthorization Request	FY 2003 Committee Authorization Change from Request Request	FY 2003 Committee Authorization
0601102F	-	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, AIR FORCE BASIC RESEARCH DEFENSE RESEARCH DIORE ATMOSEMENT AND A CONTINUE AND A CONTINUE AND A CONTINUE AND A STORMONICAL ITATION.	219,144	5,000	224,144 (+5,000)
		TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	<b>219,144</b> 219,144 0	<b>5,000</b> 5,000 0	<b>224,144</b> 224,144 0
0602102F	2	APPLIED RESEARCH MATERIALS Metals afroctability initiative Thermal management for space structures Advanced thermal Drotection systems	75,272	10,700	85,972 (+4,000) (+3,200) (+3,500)
0602201F	ი -	AEROSPACE VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES Space Technology 194445 Technology	78,789 66 000	1,000	79,789 (+1,000) 66,000
0602203F	410 0	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS AFFLICE RESERVED DERF - Sustainment of Strategic Systems (TSSS) Pulse detoration engine Lithtin in battery development	107,659	17,700	125,359 (+5,700) (+6,000) (+6,000)
0602500F	0	AEROSTACE SERVICION MULTI-DISCIPLINARY SPACE TECHNOLOGY DERF - Ground Moving Target Indication (AMTI) and Airborne Moving Target Indication (AMTI) capability Integrated high payoff rocket propulsion technology		48,000	101,592 (+43,000) (+5,000)
0602601F 0602602F 0602605F	8 6 0	SPACE TECHNOLOGY CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS DIRECTED ENERGY TECHNOLOGY Vacuum pump	58,582 60,343 39,936	2,250	58,582 60,343 42,186 (+2,250)
0602702F 0602805F	F 9	COMMAND CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS DUAL USE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM TOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT FENGING AND MANIFACTI RING DEVELOPMENT	70,951 10,626 <b>697,549</b> 697,549 0	<b>79,650</b> 79,650 0	70,951 70,626 777,199 777,199 0
0603106F 0603112F 0603202F	15 15	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT LOGISTICS SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS Metals affordability initiative Ceramic matrix composites	0 21,138	8,000	0 29,138 (+5,000) (+3,000) 0

Number	R-1 Line	PROGRAM TITLE	Authorization Request	Authorization Change from Request Request	Committee Authorization
0603203F	16	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS	50,589		50,589
0603205F	17	FLIGHT VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY	0		0
0603211F	18	AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY DEV/DEMO	22,315	4,000	26,315
		Advanced aluminum aerostructures			(+4,000)
0603216F	19	AEROSPACE PROPULSION AND POWER TECHNOLOGY	85,650	7,400	93,050
		DERF - Sustainment of Strategic Systems (TSSS)			(+4,400)
		Thrust vector control and infrared signature reduction			(+3,000)
0603227F	20	PERSONNEL, TRAINING AND SIMULATION TECHNOLOGY	0		0
0603231F	ž	CREW SYSTEMS AND PERSONNEL PROTECTION TECHNOLOGY	29,690		29,690
0603245F	22	FLIGHT VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION	0		0
0603253F	33	ADVANCED SENSOR INTEGRATION	0		0
1603270F	24	ELECTRONIC COMBAT TECHNOLOGY	23,350		23,350
0603302F	25	SPACE AND MISSILE ROCKET PROPULSION	0		0
0603311F	26	BALLISTIC MISSILE TECHNOLOGY	0	5,900	5,900
		DERF - Sustainment of Strategic Systems (TSSS)			(+4,900)
		Space Technology			(+1,000)
0603333F	27	UNMANNED AIR VEHICLE DEV/DEMO	18,000		18,000
0603401F	28	ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY	42,315	8,500	50,815
		Streaker small launch vehicle			(+5,000)
		Scorpius			(113,500)
0603410F	29	SPACE SYSTEMS ENVIRONMENTAL INTERACTIONS TECHNOLOGY	0		0
0603436F	30	TRANSFORMATIONAL WIDEBAND MILSATCOM	195,000		195,000
0603444F	3	MAUI SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (MSSS)	6,472	5,000	11,472
		High-accuracy network determination system			(+5,000)
0603500F	32	MULTI-DISCIPLINARY ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT SPACE TECHNOLOGY	50,538		50,538
0603601F	g	CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	38,001		38,001
0603605F	34	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	28,271		28,271
0603723F	35	ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	0	3,000	3,000
		Texas regional institute for environmental studies			(+3,000)
0603726F	36	AEROSPACE INFO TECH SYS INTEGRATION	0		0
0603789F	37	C3I ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	34,288	8,300	42,588
		Information security and intrusion detection development			(+4,000)
1,00000	ç	Identification of time critical programs	000 20		(000:44)
0603801F	88		000,15		
0603876F	99 39	SPACE-BASED LASEH		100	
		TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	742,917	50,100	793,017
		HESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	110,341		110,051
					-

# DEMONSTRATION AND VALIDATION

Program Element Number	R-1 Line	PROGRAM TITLE	FY 2003 Authorization Request	FY 2003 Committee Authorization Change from Request Request	FY 2003 Committee Authorization
0603260F	40	INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	4,545	1,000	5,545
0603010E	£	Super wideband compressive receiver	C		(1,000) 0
0603421F	4 4	NINDONIYE LASEN FINOURAW NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM II	100,217		100,217
0603430F	43	ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE)	825,783	19,000	844,783
		DERF - radiation hardening parts			(+19,000)
0603432F	44	POLAR MILSATCOM (SPACE)	19,554		19,554
0603434F	45	NATIONAL POLAR-ORBITING OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SATELLITE SYS (SPACE) - D	237,199		237,199
0603438F	46	SPACE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY	13,814		13,814
0603617F	47	COMMAND, CONTROL, AND COMMUNICATION APPLICATIONS	0		0
0603742F	48	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY	12,434		12,434
0603790F	49	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	4,355		4,355
0603791F	20	INTERNATIONAL SPACE COOPERATIVE R&D	643		643
0603800F	51	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER	0		0
0603845F	52	ADVANCED WIDEBAND SYSTEM (AWS)	4,982		4,982
0603850F	53	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE (DEM/VAL)	19,870	19,200	39,070
		DERF - Smart Pull			(+6,600)
		DERF - Completely fund all shortfalls when EA responsibility transferred			(+12,600)
0603851F	54	INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE - DEM/VAL	63,025	7,500	70,525
		DERF - emerging strategic solid rocket motor (SRM) technologies			(+7,500)
0603854F	55	WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SYSTEM RDT&E (SPACE)	20,009	1000 01	500'07
0603856F	56	AIR FORCE/NATIONAL PROGRAM COOPERATION (AFNPC)	8,829	(6,000)	2,829
		Air Force/national systems cooperation			(100,9-)
0603858F	22	SPACE-BASED HADAH DEM/VAL	902,14		41,009
0603859F	58	POLLUTION PHEVENTION (DEM/VAL)	2,/43		C+/,7
0603860F	59	JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS - DEM/VAL	13,267		13,267
0604327F	8	HARD AND DEEPLY BURIED TARGET DEFEAT SYSTEM (HDBTDS) PROGRAM	7,482		7,482
0305149F	61	совна јију (н)	000'16	001.01	000'10
		IOIAL, DEMONSTRATION AND VALIDATION	1,457,010	40,700	1 400 210
		RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT FMOMITTERMO AND MAANIJEACTUBING DEVELODMENT	010,164,1	001,04	0-000+
			Þ	5	þ
		ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT			
0603840F	62	GLOBAL BROADCAST SERVICE (GBS)	22,589		22,589
0604012F	63	JOINT HELMET MOUNTED CUEING SYSTEM (JHMCS)	1,859		1,859
0604222F	64	NUCLEAR WEAPONS SUPPORT	13,627		13,627
0604226F	65	B-1B	160,688		160,688
0604227F	99		0 000		0 000
0604233F	67	SPECIALIZED UNDERGRADUATE PILOT TRAINING	1,909		1,909
0604239F	89	F-22 EMU	007'/700		007'170

Element F Number L	R-1 Line PROGRAM TITLE	Authorization Request	Authorization Change from Request Request	Committee Authorization
0604240F 6	69 B-2 ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY BOMBER DERF - B-2 BAAA	225,327	67,000	292,327
	B-2 low observables improvements			(+30,000) (+17,000)
	70 SPACE-BASED RADAR EMD	0		
0604270F 7	-	65,082	19,900	84,982
	Precision location and identification			(+14,700)
	Comet infra-red countermeasures system			(+5,200
0604280F 7	,	17,358		17,358
		54,368		54,368
	Ŭ	40,053		40,053
		814,927		814,927
	76 SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS) LOW EMD	0		0
0604479F 7	~	148,936	8,100	157,036
	,			(+8,100)
0604600F XX	-		16,200	16,200
	-			(+16,200)
		9,160		9,160
	••	4,739		4,739
	٩	6,318		6,318
	,	16,594		16,594
		0		0
0604706F 8	83 LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS	925	7,100	8,025
	-			(+7,100)
	,	0		0
	85 UNMANNED COMBAT AIR VEHICLE (UCAV)	40,000		40,000
0604735F 8	Ť	13,524	3,000	16,524
	-			(+3,000)
	87 INTEGRATED COMMAND &; CONTROL APPLICATIONS (IC2A)	226		226
	-	1,326		1,326
		0		0
	0	4,781		4,781
		0		0
	1	1,743,668		1,743,668
	0	0		0
	94 INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE - EMD	133,291		133,291
	-	57,562		57,562
605011F 9	96 RDT&E FOR AGING AIRCRAFT	19,871	15,000	34,871
	~			(+15,000)
	97 RANCH HAND II EPIDEMIOLOGY STUDY	0		
0207249F 5	B PRECISION ATTACK SYSTEMS PROCUREMENT	0		0

Program			FY 2003	Committee	FY 2003
Element	R-1 Line	PROGRAM TITLE	Authorization Request	Authorization Change from Request Request	Committee Authorization
0207434F	66	LINK-16 SUPPORT AND SUSTAINMENT	44,146		44,146
0207701F	100	FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING	3,731		3,731
0305176F	101	COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LOCATOR	14,274		14,274
0401318F	ZOL	UV-22 TOTAL ENGINEEDING AND MANILEACTUDING DEVELODMENT	449 419 574	136 300	11,449 4 455 874
		RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	0	0	
		ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	2,088,774	25,100	2,113,874
		RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
0604256F		THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	30,351		30,351
0604759F	104	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	46,338	7,000	53,338
		Laser induced surface improvement technology			(+3,000)
		Electronic countermeasures upgrades for the generic radar target generator			(+4,000)
3605101F		RAND PROJECT AIR FORCE	25,462		25,462
0605306F	106	RANCH HAND II EPIDEMIOLOGY STUDY	11,029		11,029
0605502F		SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH	0		
0605712F	108	INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION	27,070		27,070
DEDEBEDE		RECKET SYSTEMS I ALINCH PROGRAM (SPACE)	16 237	11 000	27.237
		Missile technology demonstration			(+11,000)
0605864F	111	SPACE TEST PROGRAM (STP)	49,882		49,882
0804731F	112	GENERAL SKILL TRAINING	313		313
3009900F	113	FINANCING FOR EXPIRED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS	0		0
3909980F	114	JUDGMENT FUND REIMBURSEMENT	20,000		20,000
1001004F	115	INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES	3,878		3,878
		TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	628,826	18,000	646,826
		RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	628,826	18,000	646,826
		ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	>	0	
		OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT			
0605024F		ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY EXECUTIVE AGENCY	8,000		8,000
0101113F	-	B-52 SQUADRONS	55,794		55,794
0101120F		ADVANCED CRUISE MISSILE	2,788		2,788
0101122F		AIR-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM)	26,713		26,713
0101313F		STRAT WAR PLANNING SYSTEM - USSTRATCOM	1,895		1,895
0101815F		ADVANCED STRATEGIC PHOGHAMS	6/8/9		5,8/9
0102326F	122	REGION/SECTOR OPERATION CONTROL CENTER MODERNIZATION PROGRAM WAREIGHTER RAPID ACCULISTION PROCESS (WRAD) RAPID TRANSITION FUND	35,000		35,000
0207027F		ACSISE CENTER	0	5,000	5,000

Controls         Control         Contro         Control <thcontro< th=""> <thc< th=""><th>Program Element F Number L</th><th>R-1 Line PROGRAM TITLE</th><th>FY 2003 Committee Authorization Change from Request Request</th><th>Committee Change from Request</th><th>FY 2003 Committee Authorization</th></thc<></thcontro<>	Program Element F Number L	R-1 Line PROGRAM TITLE	FY 2003 Committee Authorization Change from Request Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2003 Committee Authorization
<ul> <li>To SULADEONS</li> <li>To FIE SOLUADEONS</li> <li>TOTICAL IMMINISTIES</li> <li>TATICAL EXALUTION PROGRAM</li> <li>TO ANARSC CALLURTON PROGRAM</li> <li>TARCARTIC MARTION SCRIFF (ACC)</li> <li>TO ANARSC CALLURTON PROGRAM</li> <li>TO ANARSC CALLURTON PROGRAM</li> <li>TO ANARSC CALLURTON PROGRAM</li> <li>TARCARTIC MARTING AND CONTROL STYFFM (AVACS)</li> <li>TARCARTIC MARTING AND CONTROL STYFFM (AVACS)</li> <li>TARCARTIC MARTING AND CONTROL STYFFM (AVACS)</li> <li>TARCARTIC PROGRAM TECHNOLOGY</li> <li>TARCARTIC PROGRAM TECHNOLOGY</li> <li>TARCARTIC AND ANALYSIS PROGRAM TECHNOLOGY</li> <li>TARCARTIC AND AND ANALYSIS PROGRAM TECHNOLOGY</li> <li>TARCARTICE AND AND ANALYSIS PROGRAM TECHNOLOGY</li> <li>TARCARTICE AND AND AND ANALYSIS PROGRAM TECHNOLOGY</li> <li>TARCA</li></ul>		1	27,161		27,161
27         F16 SOUNDRONS         91.336           28         F16 SOUNDRONS         91.336           28         F16 SOUNDRONS         91.336           29         F17 SOUNDRONS         3.556           21         F17 SOUNDRONS         3.5369           21         F17 SOUNDRONS         3.500           21         F17 SOUNDRONS         3.5369           23         F17 SOUNDRONS         3.5369           23         AFTERON         3.500           23         APONACED MEDUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMFAAM)         37.008           33         ADONACED MEDUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMFAAM)         3.7008           33         APONACED MEDUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (ANENA         3.200           33         APONACED PROMENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM         10.466           34         APONACED PROMENT AIRSILE (ANSIN) <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>7,650</td> <td></td> <td>7,650</td>	-	-	7,650		7,650
<ul> <li>TER SCHARTINGNS</li> <li>ANNED DESTRUCTIVE SUPPRESSION</li> <li>F-TE SCUADPONS</li> <li>F-TE SCUAD MINISSILE</li> <li>F-TE SCUAD MINISSILE</li> <li>F-TE SCUAD MINISSILE</li> <li>F-TE SCUAD MINISSILE</li> <li>F-TE SCAL</li> <li>F-TE SCAL<td>-</td><td></td><td>. 81,338</td><td></td><td>81,338</td></li></ul>	-		. 81,338		81,338
<ul> <li>T22 MANNED DESTINUCTIVE SUPPRESSION</li> <li>T22 MANNED DESTINUCTIVE SUPPRESSION</li> <li>T22 SOUADFONS</li> <li>T23 AF TENCAP</li> <li>T10,496</li> <li>T20 COMPASS CALL</li> <li>T20 COMPASS CALL</li> <li>T21 PRIGRAF</li> <li>T21 RICAP</li> <li>T21 RICAP</li> <li>T21 RICAP</li> <li>T21 RICAP</li> <li>T21 RICAP</li> <li>T21 RICAP</li> <li>T21 RICAPTERIONS CENTER (ACC)</li> <li>T22 SOUADFONS</li> <li>T23 SOUADFONS</li> <li>T24 SOUADFONS</li> <li>T24 SOUADFONS</li> <li>T24 SOUADFONS</li> <li>T2</li></ul>		_	81,726		81,726
130         F-12 SOUADRONS         181.239         181.239           131         F-174 SOUADRONS         37.008         6.500           132         TACTICAL AM MISSLES         2.325         2.93           133         TAVACED MEDIUM FANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSLE         37.008         6.500           133         ADVACED MEDIUM FANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSLE         2.943         6.500           134         AF TENCAP         10.496         3.200         10.496           135         AFTENCAP         10.000         3.200         10.000         3.200           135         AFTENCAP         10.700         3.877         8.000         3.200           135         AFTENCAP         10.000         10.000         3.200         11.220           135         AFTENCAP         10.750         3.877         8.000         3.200           136         COMPASS CALL         COMPACE STANDOFF MISSLE (AKSM)         4.2097         10.000         11.220           138         CAFT ENGINE COMPONING FINISCE (ANDATA)         MISSLE         3.200         11.220           138         CAFT ENGINE COMPONE MISSLE (ANDATA)         3.200         11.220           139         JOINT AIR-TO-SUBERACINING CENTER (ANDATA)         4.207         <	•	_	23,699		23,699
<ul> <li>13. T-TICAL AMMISUISS</li> <li>13. T-TICAS ADVAPCION</li> <li>13. T-TICAS ADVANCED MEDIUM FANGE AIT-TC-AIR MISSILE (AMRAM)</li> <li>13. FTENCAP</li> <li>13. AFTENCAP</li> <li>13. AFTENCAP</li> <li>13. AFTENCAP</li> <li>10. 406</li> <li>14. ACTENCAL AMMISSILE (AMRAM)</li> <li>10. 406</li> <li>15. COMPASS CAL</li> <li>15. COMPASS CALUY</li> <li>10. ADVANCED FAIL CONFORTING</li> <li>15. COMPASS CALUY</li> <li>16. COMPORTING</li> <li>16. COMPASS CALUY</li> <li>17. COMPASS CALUY</li> <li>18. COMPASS CALUY</li> <li>19. COMPASS CALUY</li> <li>10. COMPASS CALUY</li> <li>110. COMPASS CALUY</li> <li>1110. COMPASS CALUY</li> <li>11110. CALUY</li> <li>11110. CALUY</li> <li>11110. CALUY</li> <li>11110. CALUY</li> <li>11110. CALUY</li> <li>11110. CALUY</li></ul>	-		181,239		181,239
<ul> <li>TACTICAL AIM MISSILES</li> <li>ADVANCED MEDIUM FANGE AIT-TO-AIM MISSILE (AMFAAM)</li> <li>ADVANCED MEDIUM FANGE AIT-TO-AIM MISSILE (AMFAAM)</li> <li>ATENZA</li> <li>ATENZA</li> <li>AFTENAL EVALUATION PROGRAM</li> <li>SPECIAL EVALUATION PROGRAM</li> <li>ATENZA</li> <li>COMPASS Calugrades</li> <li>COMPASS CALUCION CONSTELLATION</li> <li>COMPASS CALUTION CALUATION</li> <li>COMPASS CALUATION AND CONTROL CONSTELLATION)</li> <li>COMPASS CALUTICAL DATA LINK</li> <li>COMPASS CALUTICAL DATA LINK</li> <li>COMPASS CALUGRADA</li> <li>COMPASS CALUCATICAL DATA LINK</li> <li>COMPASS CALUCATION AND CONTROL CONSTELLATION)</li> <li>COMPASS CALUCATION CALUATION</li> <li>COMPASS CALUATION CALUATION</li> <li>COMPASS CALUATION CALUATION</li> <li>COM</li></ul>	۰.		3,525		3,525
<ol> <li>ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM)</li> <li>AF TENCAF</li> <li>SPECIAL EVALUATION PROGRAM</li> <li>COMPASS CALL</li> <li>COMPASS CALL</li> <li>CONTROL ON PROGRAM</li> <li>SA FIRORAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM</li> <li>CONTROL NO STOTION PROGRAM</li> <li>SA FIRORAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM</li> <li>CONTROL NO REPORTING CENTER (ACC)</li> <li>AFROSPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (AVACS)</li> <li>ACROSPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (AVACS)</li> <li>ACROSPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (AVACS)</li> <li>AFROSPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (ATACS)</li> <li>AFROSPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (AVACS)</li> <li>AFROSPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (AVACS)</li></ol>			2,943		2,943
<ul> <li>Ticrease</li> <li>SPECIAL EVALUATION PROGRAM</li> <li>COMPASS CAL.</li> <li>COMPASS</li></ul>			37,008	6,500	43,508
134         AFTENCAP         10,496         3,200           135         SETCAL EVALUATION PROGRAM         110,080         3,200           135         DEFF (LASSING         3,387         8,000           135         DEFF (LASSING         3,377         8,000           135         Compase Call upgrades         3,877         8,000           137         AIRCAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM         186,680         10,000           138         CASA INNOVATION PROGRAM         186,680         10,000           130         JOINT AIRT-O-SUBFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM)         2,097         10,000           131         CONTROL AND FEROFRAMIC         31,300         17,3,956         5,552           141         CONTROL AND FENORAM         10,450         17,3,956         5,513         10,450           143         CONTROL AND FENORAM         TANDACE         2,007         10,450         17,3,956           143         CONTROL AND FORDRAM         TRADATIC					(+6,500)
155         SFECAL EVALUATION PROGRAM         3.520         3.520           136         DEFF - Classified         3.877         8,000           137         AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM         186,660         1,920           137         AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM         186,660         1,920           138         CONPASS CALL         3.877         8,000           139         JOINT AIR-TO-SUFFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM)         1,920         1,920           139         JOINT AIR-TO-SUFFACE OFFERATIONS CENTER (ACC)         1,1920         42.097         10,000           141         CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER (ACC)         35,875         35,875         35,875         35,875           141         AEROSPACE OFFERATIONS CENTER (ACC)         31,700         173,396         10,450         34,700           142         AENDOTINE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (AWACS)         23,3218         10,456         10,000         173,396         23,3218         10,450         23,3218         10,450         24,450         24,450         24,450         24,450         24,450         24,450         24,450         24,450         24,450         24,450         24,450         24,450         24,450         24,450         24,450         24,450			10,496		10,496
15       DEFF - Classified       3,877       8,000         137       RICORPASS CALL       3,877       8,000         137       AIRCAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM       166,690       166,600         137       AIRCAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM       166,600       166,600         138       JOINT AIP-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM)       42,097       10,000         139       JOINT AIP-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM)       42,097       10,000         140       AFROSPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (ACC)       35,875       6,655         141       CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER (ACC)       35,875       6,655         142       ARROSPACE OPERATIONS ENTERING CENTER (ACC)       17,3366       17,3366         143       AUXANCED COMMANUSATION SYSTEMS       230,218       10,4651       10,000         144       AUXANCED COMMAND AND CONTROL CONSTELLATION)       23,770       34,700       34,700         145       AUXANCED FROGRAM TECHNOLOGY       104,651       10,000       14         145       AUXANCED FROGRAM TECHNOLOGY       104,651       10,000       14         144       AUXANCED FROGRAM TECHNOLOGY       104,651       10,000       14         145       AUXANCED FROGRAM TECHNOLOGY       104,651			110,080	3,200	113,280
158         COMPASS CALL         3,877         8,000           137         AIRCARFT EVISINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM         186,690         1,920           137         AIRCARFT EVISINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM         186,690         1,920           138         CSAF INNOVATION PEROGRAM         186,690         1,920           139         COMPASS Call upgrades         1,920         1,000           141         CONTROL AND FRACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSNH)         42,097         10,000           141         CONTROL AND FROGRAM         13,826         14,450           143         ADVANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS         35,875         14,450           143         ADVANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS         29,133         10,450           143         ADVANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS         29,133         10,450         14,450           144         CONTROL AND FAND CONTROL SYSTEM         ANALYSIS PROGRAM         173,956         14,450           145         ADVANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS         29,133         10,450         14,450           145         ADVANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS         29,133         10,450         14,450           145         ADVANCED PROGRAM TECHNOLOGY         114,450         14,450         14,50		_			(+3,200)
13       Ricker Editogrades         13       AIRCAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM         138       Compass Call upgrades         139       Contract Textual Encomponent Improvement Proceram         139       Contracter Encome Francoments         139       Contracter Encomponent Improvement Proceram         139       Contracter Encomponent Improvement (AOC)         141       Contracter Standoff mission         142       ARBOSPACE OFERATIONS CENTER (AOC)         143       Control and resonance attanding and control control of control of control of control and and annual vision for an annual vision for annual v		0	3,877	8,000	11,877
137       AIRCARF TENGINE COMPONENT MPROVEMENT PROGRAM       136,690       1         137       AIRCARF TENGINE COMPONENT MPROVEMENT PROGRAM       1,920       1,920         138       JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM)       2,097       10,000         140       AFROSPECE OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC)       35,875       42,097       10,000         141       CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER (ACC)       35,875       6,655       1         142       ARBORNE WARNING CENTER (ACC)       6,655       1       10,450       1         143       AVAUNCID ROGRAM       ANALYSIS PROGRAM       10,450       1       10,450       1         144       EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS PROGRAM       ANALYSIS PROGRAM       10,450       1       10,450       1         145       AVAUNCID ROGRAM TECHNOLOGY       ANALYSIS PROGRAM       20,218       10,450       1       10,450       1       10,000       1         145       AVAUNCID RANAGERIENT (TBIM) C41       AVAUNCID RANAGERIENT (TBIM) C41       34,700       34,700       34,700       1       10,456       1       10,000       1       1         146       AUVANCID RANAGERIENT (TBIM) C41       AVAUNCID RANAGERIENT (TBIM) C41       34,700       34,700       1       1       10,456 <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>(+8,000)</td>		-			(+8,000)
138         CSAF INNOWTION PROGRAM         1,920         1,920           130         JOINT RH-TO-SURFACE STANDOF MISSILE (JASSM)         42,097         10,000           140         AEROSPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (ACC)         35,875         14,000           141         CONTROL AND FRANCIS CENTER (ACC)         35,875         14,000           143         CONTROL AND FRONTING LENTER (ACC)         173,356         14,000           143         CONTROL AND FRANCIONS SYSTEMS         20,218         10,450         14,450           143         ADVANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS         230,218         10,450         14,450           143         ADVANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS         230,218         10,450         14,450           144         ADVANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS         230,218         10,450         14,450           145         ADVANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS         230,218         10,450         14,450           145         ADVANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS         230,218         10,450         14,500           145         ADVANCED COMMAND AND CONTROL CONSTELLATION         231,034         14,700         14,700           147         FEATLL MANCED COMMAND AND CONTROL CONSTELLATION         191,089         48,000         14,700           148	,	-	186,690		186,690
138         JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM)         42,097         10,000           140         JERTOS SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM)         35,875         10,000           141         CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER (ACC)         56,552         56,552         173,565         174,573         173,565         174,573         173,565         174,553         174,553         174,553         174,553         174,553         174,553         170,500         145         170,500         147         165,156         127,000         147         165,156         127,000         146         164,156         127,000         147         164,156         127,000         147         164,156         127,000         147         164,156         127,000         147         164,156         127,000         147         164,156         127,000         147         164,156         127,000         147         164,156         127,000         146         146,116         126,		Ť	1,920		1,920
Joint aft b surface standoff missile     35,875     (4)       Joint aft b surface standoff missile     35,875     (4)       141     CONTROL AND FEPORTING CENTER (ACC)     (5,652     (5,652       142     AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEMS     133,956     173,396     173,396       143     AIVANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS     29,133     10,450     29,133       144     EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS PROGRAM     29,133     10,450     29,133       145     DIVANCED COMMAND ANALYSIS PROGRAM     29,133     10,450     29,133       145     EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS PROGRAM     10,451     10,450     20,218       146     EFFF - Classified     34,700     34,700     44       147     FIGHER TACTICAL DATA LINK     34,700     34,700     34,700       148     FIGHER TACTICAL DATA LINK     34,700     34,700     44,651     10,000       148     FIGHER TACTICAL DATA LINK     FIGHER TACTICAL DATA LINK     34,700     34,700     34,700       148     FIGHER TACTICAL DATA LINK     FIGHER TACTICAL DATA LINK     34,700     47,000     46,651       148     FIGHER TACTICAL DATA LINK     FIGHER TACTICAL DATA LINK     10,4651     10,000     46,651       149     FIGHER TACTICAL DATA LINK     FIGHER TACTICAL DATA LINK     34,			42,097	10,000	52,097
140       AEROSPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC)       55,875       5,652         141       CONTROL AND REPORTIONS CENTER (CRC)       5,652       1,33,956       1,33,956       1,33,956       1,33,956       1,33,956       1,33,956       1,33,956       1,33,956       1,33,956       1,33,956       1,33,956       1,33,956       1,33,956       1,33,956       1,450       2,33,956       1,450       2,33,356       1,450       2,31,33       1,0,450       1,34       1,0,451       1,0,000       1,45       1,0,450       1,46       1,10,000       1,45       1,0,450       1,46       1,46       1,0,000       1,46       1,47       1,47       1,47       1,47       1,47       1,47       1,47       1,47       1,47       1,41       1,47       1,47       1,47       1,47       1,47       1,47       1,47       1,47       1,47       1,47       1,47       1,47       1,47       1,47       1,47       1,47       1,47       1,47       1,41       1,47       1,47       1,47       1,47       1,41       1,47       1,47       1,41       1,41       1,41       1,47       1,41       1,41       1,41       1,41       1,41       1,41       1,41       1,41       1,41       1,41       1,41       1,					(+10,000)
14       CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER (CRC)       6.652       14         14       CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER (CRC)       173,956       173,956         143       ANDANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM (AVACS)       29,133       10,450         144       EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS PROGRAM       29,133       10,450       29,133         144       EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS PROGRAM       104,651       10,000       1         145       ADVANCED PROGRAM TECHNOLOGY       29,133       29,133       10,450       2         145       ADVANCED PROGRAM TECHNOLOGY       104,651       10,000       1       1       104,651       10,000       1         146       TEFFE FACTICAL DATA LINK       148       TOCC (MULTI-SENSOR COMMAND AND CONTROL CONSTELLATION)       39,034       480,000       1       1         147       FIGHTER TACTICAL DATA LINK       148       MC2C (MULTI-SENSOR COMMAND AND CONTROL CONSTELLATION)       39,034       480,000       1       1         147       FIGHTER TACTICAL DATA LINK       148       MC2C (MULTI-SENSOR COMMAND AND CONTROL CONSTELLATION)       39,034       12,700       1       1         148       MC2C (MULTI-SENSOR COMMAND AND CONTROL CONSTELLATION)       191,089       480,000       1       1       1       1			35,875		35,875
142       AIRBORIC WARNING AND CONTFOL SYSTEMS       173,356       1         143       EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS PROGRAM       173,356       1         144       EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS PROGRAM       29,133       10,450         145       BOVANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS       20,218       10,450       2         145       BOVANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS       20,218       10,450       2         145       NOVANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS       20,218       10,451       10,000       1         145       REFE- Classified       MANALYSIS PROGRAM       24,700       34,700       14         146       FIEF- Classified       ANALOS COMMAND AND CONTROL CONSTELLATION)       34,700       14         147       FIGHTER TACTICAL DATA LINK       34,700       34,700       14         148       MC2C (MULT-SENSOR COMMAND AND CONTROL CONSTELLATION)       131,009       480,000       14         148       MC2C (MULT-SENSOR COMMAND AND CONTROL CONSTELLATION)       131,000       14,000       14         147       FIGHTER TACTICAL DATA LINK       14       110,000       14       110,000       14         148       MC2C (MULT-SENSOR COMMAND AND CONTROL CONSTELLATION)       0.01       131,009       14       100,000       14	•	-	6,652		6,652
143       ADVANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS       29,133         143       ADVANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS       230,218       10,450       2         145       FEXLUATION AND ANALYSIS PROGRAM       104,651       10,450       2         145       ADVANCED PROGRAM TECHNOLOGY       230,218       10,450       1         145       ADVANCED PROGRAM TECHNOLOGY       104,651       10,000       1         146       THEATER BATTLE MANAGENEINT (TBM) C41       34,700       1       19,089       488,000       1         147       FIGHTER TACTICAL DATA LINK       191,089       488,000       1       1       19,089       488,000       1         147       FIGHTER TACTICAL DATA LINK       00NT SURVEILLANCE AND TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM (JOINT STARS)       55,515       12,700       1         148       JONT SURVEILLANCE AND TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM (JOINT STARS)       55,515       12,700       1         150       JONT SURVEILLANCE AND TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM (JOINT STARS)       55,515       12,700       1         151       JONT SURVEILLANCE AND TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM (JOINT STARS)       55,515       12,700       1         151       SURVEXED FROGRAM EVALUATION       0181,025       100NT STARS)       16,972       1       1			173,956		173,956
144         EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS PROGRAM         10,450         230,218         10,450         2           145         ADVANCED PROGRAM TECHNOLOGY         104,651         10,000         1           145         ADVANCED PROGRAM TECHNOLOGY         104,651         10,000         1           146         THEATER BATTLE MANAGEMENT (TBM) C41         34,700         14         146,171         10,099         480,000         14           147         FIGHTER TACTICAL DATA LINK         39,034         39,034         480,000         14           148         MC2C (MULTI-SENSOR COMMAND AND CONTROL CONSTELLATION)         191,089         488,000         14           148         MC2C (MULTI-SENSOR COMMAND AND CONTROL CONSTELLATION)         191,089         488,000         14           148         MC2C (MULTI-SENSOR COMAND AND CONTROL CONSTELLATION)         191,089         488,000         14           149         UONT SURVEILLANCE AND TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM (JOINT STARS)         55,515         12,700         14           150         SURVEILENCE         ANANCED FROGRAM EVALUATION         191,089         17,000         14           151         SURVEILENCE         ANANCED FROGRAM EVALUATION         15,975         17,000         14           150         SURVEILENCE <td></td> <td></td> <td>29,133</td> <td></td> <td>29,133</td>			29,133		29,133
145     Increases     104,651     10,000     14       146     THEATER BATTLE MANAGEMENT (TBM) C41     34,700     34,700     14       146     THEATER BATTLE MANAGEMENT (TBM) C41     34,700     14,700     14       147     THEATER BATTLE MANAGEMENT (TBM) C41     34,700     14,700     14       148     MC2C (MULT-SENSOR OOMMAND AND CONTROL CONSTELLATION)     191,089     488,000     14       148     MC2C (MULT-SENSOR OOMMAND AND CONTROL CONSTELLATION)     191,089     488,000     14       149     DEFF - 767 Aircraft RDT&E Testbed     191,089     488,000     14       149     JOINT SURVELLANCE AND TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM (JOINT STARS)     55,515     12,700     14       150     SEK EAGLE     101     150,088     17,000     16       151     SEK EAGLE     220,088     17,000     16     16,972       152     SEK EAGLE     21,895     2,000     16     16       150     SEFF - Classified     21,895     2,000     16       151     DEFF - Classified     21,895     2,000     15       152     VARGAMING AND SIMULATION     21,895     2,000     15       153     WARGAMING AND SIMULATION     21,895     2,000     15       154     FUL			230,218	10,450	240,668
145     ADVANCED PROGRAM TECHNOLOGY     104,651     10,000     1       145     THEATER BATTLE MANAGEMENT (TBM) C41     34,700     1       147     FIGHTER TACTICAL DATA LINK     39,034     38,034       147     FIGHTER TACTICAL DATA LINK     39,034     488,000       148     FIGHTER TACTICAL DATA LINK     39,034     488,000       149     MC2C (MULTI-SENSOR COMMAND AND CONTROL CONSTELLATION)     191,089     488,000       149     JOINT SURVEILLANCE AND TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM (JOINT STARS)     55,515     12,700       150     SEKE EAGLE     16,972     16,972     (4)       151     SEXE EAGLE     20,088     17,000     2       150     SEKE EAGLE     20,088     17,000     2       151     SEK EAGLE     21,895     2,000     1       152     VARICED PROGRAM EVALUATION     21,895     2,000     1       153     VARICED FING AND SIMULATION     21,895     2,000     1       153     VARICED FINCAGE     SIMULATION CENTERS     5,2700     1       153     VARICED FING AND SIMULATION     21,895     2,000     1       153     VARICEMING AND SIMULATION     5,317     1     1       154     FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING     0     1					(+10,450)
14     FIEATER BATTLE ANAGEMENT (TBM) C41     34,700     (4)       147     FIEATER BATTLE MANAGEMENT (TBM) C41     39,034     38,700     (4)       147     FIEATER BATTLE MANAGEMENT (TBM) C41     39,034     39,034     488,000     (4)       148     MC2C (MULTI-SENSOR COMMAND AND CONTROL CONSTELLATION)     191,089     488,000     (4)       149     JOINT SURVEILLANCE AND TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM (JOINT STARS)     55,515     12,700     (4)       150     SEKE EAGLE     16,972     16,972     17,000     (4)       150     SEKE EAGLE     150     220,088     17,000     (4)       151     SEKE EAGLE     230,088     17,000     (4)       152     VANANCE PROGRAM EVALUATION     21,895     2,000     (4)       153     VARGAMING AND SIMULATION     21,895     2,000     (4)       153     WARGAMING AND SIMULATION     5,278     (4)       154     FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING     0     (4)			104,651	10,000	114,651
14.6     THEATER BATTLE MANAGEMENT (TBM) C41     34,700       14.7     THEATER BATTLE MANAGEMENT (TBM) C41     39,734       14.8     MC2C (MULT-SENSOR OOMAND AND CONTROL CONSTELLATION)     191,089     488,000     6       14.8     MC2C (MULT-SENSOR OOMAND AND CONTROL CONSTELLATION)     191,089     488,000     6       14.9     DEFF - 767 Aircraft RDT&E Testbed     191,089     488,000     6       14.9     JOINT SURVELLLANCE AND TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM (JOINT STARS)     55,515     12,700     (4)       15.0     JOINT SOURCELLANCE AND TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM (JOINT STARS)     55,515     12,700     (4)       15.0     STRE EAGLE     TIACCED PROGRAM EVALUATION     16,972     17,000     2       15.0     SERF EAGLE     LUATION     220,088     17,000     2       15.0     VANCED PROGRAM EVALUATION     21,895     2,000     15       15.2     USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION     21,895     2,000     16       15.2     USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION     5,278     17,900     16       15.2     USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION     21,895     2,000     15       15.3     WARMING AND SIMULATION     5,278     17,900     16       15.3     VARGEMING AND SIMULATION     21,895     2,000     15					(+10,000)
147     FIGHTER TACTICAL DATA LINK     39,034       148     FIGHTER TACTICAL DATA LINK     191,089       149     DERF - 767     767       149     JOINT SURVEILLANCE AND TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM (JOINT STARS)     55,515     12,700       149     JOINT SURVEILLANCE AND TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM (JOINT STARS)     55,515     12,700       150     SEKE KadLE     16,972     (4)       151     ADVANCED PROGRAM EVALUATION     16,972     (4)       152     DERF - Classified     220,088     17,000     2       152     USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION     21,895     2,000     (4)       152     USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION     51,895     2,000     (4)       153     WARGAMING AND SIMULATION     51,895     2,000     (4)       153     VARGED FING AND SIMULATION     51,895     2,000     (4)       153     VARGED FING AND SIMULATION     51,805     (4)       154     FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING     6     70		-	34,700		34,700
148     MC2C (MULTI-SENSOF COMMAND AND CONTROL CONSTELLATION)     191,089     488,000     6       149     UDINT SURVEILLANCE AND TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM (JOINT STARS)     55,515     12,700     (H)       149     JOINT SURVEILLANCE AND TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM (JOINT STARS)     55,515     12,700     (H)       150     SEKE Facilie     16,972     16,972     (H)       151     SEKE Eaclie     16,972     17,000     2       151     SEKE Facilie     220,088     17,000     2       151     DARANCE PROGRAM EVALUATION     21,895     2,000     2       152     USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION     21,895     2,000     (H)       153     WARGAMING AND SIMULATION     5,278     (H)       154     FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING     5,278     0		_	39,034		39,034
DEFF - 767 Aircraft RDT&E Testbed     (+4)       149 UNIT SURVELLANCE AND TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM (JOINT STARS)     55,515     12,700       30 MIT SURVELLANCE AND TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM (JOINT STARS)     55,515     12,700       150 SEEK EAGLE     16,972     16,972     16,972       150 SEEK EAGLE     15,972     16,972     17,000       151 SVANCED PROGRAM EVALUATION     220,088     17,000     2       152 USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION     21,895     2,000     1       153 WARNING AND SIMULATION     21,895     2,000     1       153 WARNING AND SIMULATION     21,895     2,000     1       154 FUL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING     0     0     0		-	191,089	488,000	679,089
149     JOINT SURVEILLANCE AND TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM (JOINT STARS)     55,515     12,700       150     SEK FAGI     16,972     (4       151     ADVANCED PROGRAM EVALUATION     16,972     (3       151     ADVANCED PROGRAM EVALUATION     220,088     17,000     2       152     USAF MODELING     21,095     2,000     2       153     WARGAMING AND SIMULATION     21,095     2,000     1       153     WARGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS     51,095     2,000     1       154     FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING     0     0     0					(+488,000)
Joint services work station     16,972     (4)       150     SEK FacLE     16,972     (4)       151     ADVANCED FROGRAM EVALUATION     220,088     17,000     2       152     USAF MODELING     21,895     2,000     1       152     USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION     21,895     2,000     1       153     WARGAMING AND SIMULATION     51,895     2,000     1       154     FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING     5,278     0		2	55,515	12,700	68,215
150     SEEK EAGLE     16,972       151     DERP - Classified     220,088       152     USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION     220,088       152     USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION     21,895       153     WARGAMING AND SIMULATION     21,895       154     FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING     5,278		1			(+12,700)
151         ADVANCED PROGRAM EVALUATION         220,088         17,000         2           DERF         Classified         2         17,000         2           152         USAF MODELING         2         0         4           152         USAF MODELING         21,895         2,000         1           153         WARGAMING AND SIMULATION         21,895         2,000         1           153         WARGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS         5,278         1           154         FULL COMBAT MISSION THAINING         0         1			16,972		16,972
DEFF - Classified 152 USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION 2 Withetic theater operation research model 153 WARGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS 154 FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING 0			220,088	17,000	237,088
152 USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION Synthetic theate operations research model 153 WARGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS 154 FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING		-			(+17,000)
Synthetic theatre operations research model 153 WARGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS 154 FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING 0		-	21,895	2,000	23,895
153 WAHGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS 154 FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING 0					(+2,000)
154 FULL COMBAT MISSION HAINING			5,278		5,278
		-	•		0

Lement I Number L	R-1 Line	PROGRAM TITLE	Authorization Request	Change from Request	Committee Authorization
0208006F 1	155 MISSION	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS	17,002		17,002
0208021F 1		NFORMATION WARFARE SUPPORT	7,837		7,837
0208031F 1	157 WARRE	VAR RESERVE MATERIEL - EQUIPMENT/SECONDARY ITEMS	0		0
0208060F 1	•	HEATER MISSILE DEFENSES	0		0
0208160F 1	159 TECHNIC	TECHNICAL EVALUATION SYSTEM	135,588	30,000	165,588
	DERF - C	DERF - Classified			(000'0E+)
0208161F 1	60 SPECIAL	SPECIAL EVALUATION SYSTEM	41,518		41,518
0208889F 1	61 COUNTE	COUNTERDRUG USSOUTHCOM SUPPORT	0		0
0301310F 1	62 NATION/	VATIONAL AIR INTELLIGENCE CENTER			0
-	-	BALL			0
0301315F 1	64 MISSILE	MISSILE AND SPACE TECHNICAL COLLECTION			0
-	-	FOREST GREEN			0
3301398F 1	66 MANAGE	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS GDIP			0
3302015F 1	167 E-4B NA	E-4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER (NAOC)	47,867		47,867
3303110F 1	168 DEFENS	DEFENSE SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM (SPACE)	2,046		2,046
0303112F 1	169 AIR FOR	AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS (AIRCOM)	0		0
3303131F 1		WINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK (MEECN)	2,423		2,423
303140F 1	171 INFORM	NFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	9,353	7,000	16,353
	DERF-1	DERF - toolsets to exploit, destroy, and deny adversarial networks			(+4,000)
	_	nformation operation technology and fusion initiative			(+3,000)
	-	SLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	29,168		29,168
	-	SLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	3,565		3,565
	~	DOMMUNICATIONS SECURITY (COMSEC)	4,765		4,765
	-	MILSATCOM TERMINALS	72,712		72,712
		SPECIAL ACTIVITIES			0
0304311F 1	177 SELECT	SELECTED ACTIVITIES	150,243	72,000	222,243
		DEHT - Classified			(nnn'7/+)
	Ū	GLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM)	7,200		7,200
		SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK (SPACE)	17,542		17,542
	-	WEATHER SERVICE	14,488		14,488
0305114F 1		AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, AND LANDING SYSTEM (ATCALS)	9,865		9,865
3305128F 1		SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES	475		475
0305142F 1	183 APPLIED	APPLIED TECHNOLOGY AND INTEGRATION			0
0305144F 1		TITAN SPACE LAUNCH VEHICLES (SPACE)	0		0
0305148F 1	185 AIR FOR	AIR FORCE TACTICAL MEASUREMENT AND SIGNATURE INTELLIGENCE (MASINT) SYSTEMS/P	6,486	000'6	15,486
		DEHT - AHGUS MASINI			(nnn's+)
0305159F 1	186 DEFENS	DEFENSE RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT ACTIVITIES (SPACE)	42,076	145,300	187,376 (+120,300)
	DERF-1	JERF - Defense Space Reconnaissance Program (SPACE) Classified			(+25,000)
1000					

FY 2003 Committee FY 2003 Authorization Change from Committee Request Request Authorization	86,799 86,799 86,799 86,799 324,098 (49,000) 275,098	(-49,000)	82,108 82,108	17,442 48,500 65,942	(+2,700)	(+30,000)	(+2,000)	309,743 10,000 319,743	÷	66,810 11,100 77,910	+)		20,708 26,200 46,908	(+2,400)	(+2,000)	(+3,800)	Ţ				21,180 21,180			106,976 108,978 108,978					•	0	1,340 1,500 2,840	(+1,500) (+1,500) (+1,500)	2,000	10,375 10,375
PROGRAM TITLE	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (USER EQUIPMENT) (SPACE) NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE AND CONTROL SEGMENTS)	GPS-II program adjustment COMBINED ADVANCED APPLICATIONS	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM (SPACE)	DRAGON U-2 (JMIP)	DERF - U-2 SIGINT	DERF - Global Hawk Defensive Sys	DERF - Global Hawk SiGiNT DERF - ILP SIGNT	ENDURANCE UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	DERF - Predator A & B	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	Tactical airborne reconnaissance system	MANNEU RECONNACCANCE 3131 EM3 Maturut centric collaborativa terneting		DERF - Commercial Imagery	DERF - DCGS MASINT	DERF - AF DCGC Geospatial Services and Information	DERF - DCGS/U-2 SIGINT Network	NCMC - TW/AA SYSTEM	SPACETRACK (SPACE)	DEFENSE SUPPORT PROGRAM (SPACE)	NUDEI DEIECTION SYSTEM (SPACE)	MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORT	OTARED EARLT VVARIVING (SEVV) O 400 AIDHET SOHADDON	C-IOU AINLIFT ORONDANN C-5 AIRI IFT SOUADRONS	C-17.AIRCRAFT	C-130J PROGRAM	LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES (LAIRCM)	KC-135S	KC-10S	SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)	Metrology INDI ISTELAT DEEDADEDATESS	Metals affordability initiative	LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES
R-1 Line	188 189	190		192				193		194	101		196					197	198	199	200	201		202	205		207	208	209	210	211	0.50		213
Program Element Number	0305164F 0305165F	0305172F	0305182F	0305202F				0305205F		0305206F	00000046	1 1020000	0305208F					0305906F	0305910F	0305911F	13199050	0308601F	03000331	0401119F	0401130F	0401132F	0401134F	0401218F	0401219F	0404011F	0702207F	0708011E	1100010	0708012F

Program			FY 2003	Committee	FY 2003
Element	F-1		Authorization	Authorization Change from	Committee
Number	Line	PROGRAM TITLE	Request	Request	Authorization
0708026F 2	214 PROI	PRODUCTIVITY, RELIABILITY, AVAILABILITY, MAINTAIN. PROG OFC (PRAMPO)	4,767		4.767
0708071F 2	215 JOIN	JOINT LOGISTICS PROGRAM - AMMUNITION STANDARD SYSTEM	0		0
0708611F 2	216 SUPF	SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	35,813	1.000	36.813
	Low (	-ow emission/efficient hybrid aviation refueling truck propulsion			(+1,000)
0708612F 2	217 COM	COMPUTER RESOURCES SUPPORT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (CRSIP)	2,094		2,094
0901212F 2	218 SERV	SERVICE-WIDE SUPPORT	4,090		4.090
0901218F 2	219 CIVIL	CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM	7,132		7,132
0901538F 2	220 FINA	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	21.326		21.326
1001018F 2	221 NATC	NATO JOINT STARS	0		0
0	999 Class	Classified Programs	5.019.286		5.019.286
	TOT	FOTAL. OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	9.535.613	908.450	10.444.063
	ä	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	0 525 613	008 450	10 444 062
	: i		0,000,0	001:000	000'tttt'0
	ū	ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	0	0	0
	Accn	Accrual Accounting for Civil Service Retirement and Health Programs		(36,249)	(36,249)
	TOT/	TOTAL. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, AIR FORCE	17,601,233	1,201,951	18,803,184
	Ĩ	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	13,281,659	1,065,651	14,347,310
	ΪŪ	ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	4,319,574	136,300	4,455,874

# **Items of Special Interest**

# Advanced aluminum aerostructures

The budget request contained \$22.3 million in PE 63211F for aerospace technology development, including \$9.6 million for continued development of advanced aluminum aerostructures.

The committee is aware that advanced aluminum aerostructures have demonstrated significant cost, weight, and production process reductions for the C-17 and C-130 aircraft, and offer similar improvements for future programs such as F-22 and Joint Strike Fighter.

The committee recommends \$26.3 million in PE 63211F, an increase of \$4.0 million for continuation of advanced aluminum aerostructures.

#### Advanced concept ejection seat II improvement

The budget request contained \$925 thousand in PE 64706F for development of aircraft life support systems, but included no funds for the advanced concept ejection seat (ACES) II pre-planned product improvement (PPPI) program. The ACES II is the pilot ejection seat used on all Department of the Air Force combat aircraft except the B-52 bomber.

The committee understands that Air Force pilots continue to experience injuries from high-speed ejections, which can be rectified by inflatable restraints and improved parachute design. The committee further understands that an improved modular ACES II seat design has the potential to both reduce maintenance requirements, thereby lowering life-cycle costs, and to accommodate smaller-sized females and larger-sized males.

To address these improvements in the ACES II, the committee recommends \$8.0 million in PE 64706F, an increase of \$7.1 million, for continuation of the ACES II PPPI program and encourages the Air Force to continue to provide funds for the ACES II PPPI in its future years defense program.

### Advanced thermal protection systems

The budget request contained \$75.3 million in PE 62102F for materials, but included no funds for advanced thermal protection systems (TPS).

The committee is aware that current thermal protection materials are derivatives of materials designed specifically for long range missile applications and do not provide adequate protection for current and emerging requirements to address prolonged, high temperature stresses of in-atmosphere hypersonic flight. Advanced TPS materials have demonstrated the capability to provide enhanced protection, as well as weight reduction, and offer solutions for aerostructures in development and planned for the future.

The committee recommends an increase of \$3.5 million in PE 62102F for advanced TPS.

#### Aging landing gear life extension program

The budget request contained \$19.9 million in PE 65011F for development of products and services to improve the performance of aging aircraft systems but included no funds for the aging landing gear life extension (ALGLE) program. The ALGLE program addresses the operational, safety and maintenance consequences of increased mishaps resulting from landing gear failures as well as low mission capable rates for KC-135, C-130, C-5 and F-16 aircraft that are attributable to either unavailable or unreliable landing gear assets. The committee notes that the ALGLE program is prototyping new landing gear component modifications, developing new repair techniques, and exploiting new technologies. The committee understands that these efforts have already resulted in life cycle cost reductions of over \$62.0 million, and that the program has the potential to further reduce life cycle costs by over \$400.0 million.

Accordingly, the committee believes that this program should continue to address the Air Force's aging landing gear problems in fiscal year 2003 and in subsequent years. The committee recommends \$34.9 million in PE 65011F, an increase of \$15.0 million, for continuation of the ALGLE program.

#### Air combat training ranges

The budget request contained \$13.5 million in PE 64735F for combat training range development but included no funds to integrate tactical data information and ground tracking in the Nellis Air Combat Training System (NACTS).

The NACTS, configured with instrumentation to determine aerial combat outcomes, is used to train aircrews and ground-based participants for combat. The committee understands that the joint tactical information distribution system (JTIDS), an aircraft data system to exchange time-critical targeting data, will be integrated into the NACTS in fiscal year 2002, but that the NACTS does not have the capability to assess the effectiveness of JTIDS uses in NACTS tactics training scenarios for aircrew and ground participants.

The committee recommends \$16.5 million in PE 64735F, an increase of \$3.0 million, to integrate tactical data information and ground tracking into the NACTS.

#### Air Force manufacturing technology program

The budget request contained \$37.6 million in PE 78011F for the Air Force's Industrial Preparedness Manufacturing Technology program, a reduction of \$21.4 million from the amount provided in fiscal year 2002.

The committee is concerned by the low level of fiscal year 2003 funding requested by the Air Force for the Manufacturing Technology (ManTech) program. The ManTech Program has an outstanding record of strengthening industrial base capability, shortening lead times for manufacturing applications and defense products, improving weapon system affordability, and speeding the transition and insertion of vital technology out of the laboratory and into operational use for the warfighter. The basic capability of the industrial base to produce military weapons is supported strongly by commercial investments, but military-unique industrial capabilities required to elevate our weapon systems above those of potential adversaries depend on military investment. The ManTech Program is a critical element of the investment needed to transform the industrial capability to support the transformation of the armed forces. The requested budget of \$37.6 million is far short of the level needed to support, sustain, and enable such a transformation.

The committee strongly recommends that the Secretary of the Air Force restore the program to a funding level of at least \$70 million for fiscal year 2004, and to consider treating the ManTech Program as an Air Force corporate investment program with annual funding of at least 1 percent of the total funds budgeted for Air Force Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation.

#### Air Force/national systems cooperation

The budget request contained \$8.8 million in PE 63856F for Air Force/national systems cooperation.

The committee is aware that the Air Force and national systems management are now combined within the office of the Under Secretary of the Air Force, and that therefore cooperation should result in reduced costs and should not require additional funding.

The committee recommends \$2.8 million in PE 63856F, a decrease of \$6.0 million for Air Force/national systems cooperation.

#### *Ceramic matrix composites*

The budget request contained \$21.1 million in PE 63112F for advanced materials for weapons systems, but included no funding for ceramic matrix composites.

The committee notes that ceramic matrix composite materials offer significant weight reduction and enhanced durability for high performance jet engines used in the F–22 and Joint Strike Fighter.

The committee recommends an increase of \$3.0 million in PE 63112F for continued development of ceramic matrix composites.

### Compass Call upgrades

The budget request contained \$3.9 million in PE 27253F for Compass Call, and included \$2.5 million to develop and integrate new technologies to sustain Compass Call.

The committee notes that Compass Call is the Air Force's airborne wide area coverage offensive counter information system. The committee is aware that the Tactical Radio Acquisition and Countermeasures Subsystem (TRACS) represents the next evolutionary capability increase in receiver/countermeasures capability for Compass Call.

The committee recommends \$11.9 million in PE 27253F, an increase of \$8.0 million for TRACS.

# Electronic countermeasures upgrades for the generic radar target generator

The budget request contained \$46.3 million in PE 64759F for major T&E investment, but included no funds for electronic countermeasures upgrades for the generic radar target generator (RTG).

The committee is aware test ranges and training ranges are constantly challenged to provide operationally realistic threat environments for electronic countermeasures. The RTG will provide threat representative aircraft signatures and high fidelity models to represent the environments the warfighter is likely to encounter on today's battlefields. The committee recommends an increase of \$4.0 million in PE 64759F for electronic countermeasures upgrades for the generic RTG.

#### Electronic warfare development

The budget request contained \$65.1 million in PE 64270F for electronic warfare (EW) development, of which \$10.6 million was included for the precision location and identification (PLAID) technology program, but included no funds for the development of the comet infra-red (IR) countermeasures system.

#### Precision location and identification

The PLAID technology program will improve aircrew situational awareness by providing accurate ground emitter location and unambiguous identification. The committee understands that the budget request for fiscal year 2003 does not provide for the development of a PLAID capability that would pass ground emitter target locations to other systems nor provide for risk-reduction flight testing of this capability.

The committee recommends an increase of \$14.7 million for this purpose.

#### *Comet infra-red countermeasures system*

Elsewhere in this report, the committee recommends a procurement increase for the comet IR countermeasures system, but understands that further testing is required in fiscal year 2003 to develop the comet IR countermeasures production configuration. Since the Air Force Chief of Staff included comet IR countermeasures among his top unfunded priorities for fiscal year 2003, the committee recommends an increase of \$5.2 million for this purpose.

The committee recommends \$85.0 million in PE 64270F, an increase of \$19.9 million.

#### Global Hawk high altitude endurance unmanned aerial vehicle

#### Air Force

The budget contained \$309.0 million in PE 35205F for endurance unmanned aerial vehicles, and included \$306.0 for Global Hawk high altitude endurance (HAE) unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV). The Defense Emergency Response Fund (DERF) contained an additional \$128.3 million for Global Hawk and associated sensor electronics development.

The committee notes the recent operational success of Global Hawk and supports introduction of this new capability. However, the committee is aware that the joint engineering team is methodically re-baselining the Global Hawk program and recognizes that this process must be thorough and complete to form the basis for a strong, well-structured production phase. The committee recognizes that while the air vehicle definition may be more mature than sensor packages, determining the proper sensors is fundamental to the future success of Global Hawk. The committee recalls the problems associated with other programs making the transition from an advanced concept technology demonstration to formal acquisition, and believes that those experiences have shown that extra attention to detail is important as the transition to acquisition is made. Some Global Hawk documentation required by the DOD 5000 series acquisition regulations is either incomplete or in various stages of development, and must be completed.

The committee believes that the proper goal for the Global Hawk acquisition program should be to expeditiously field a meaningful operational capability for the warfighter. However, determination of a proper operational capability for Global Hawk that fits within the overall intelligence, reconnaissance and surveillance architecture, is essential to successful production.

The committee believes that cost reduction efforts are essential to allow fielding Global Hawk in meaningful numbers and notes that while production rate affects average per unit cost, the proper design, robust but not gold plated, has an even greater potential to limit cost and schedule growth. Industrial facilities can be efficiently sized for a particular rate, given stable production goals. The Secretary of the Air Force should ensure that industrial production facilities are sized at an appropriate and realistic capacity, based on a firm commitment to a sustained rate of production, rather than an overly optimistic estimate that leads to unwarranted investment in production facilities.

The committee also believes that the Air Force should make maximum appropriate use of off-the-shelf technology and open standards in order to minimize system costs and allow competition, rather than engaging in prolonged development that slightly improves performance while causing great expense and years of potential delay. The committee is also aware of the Navy's new UAV concept exploration effort examining Global Hawk and, should the Navy decide to use Global Hawk, believes that the sensors and platform should remain common with the Air Force variant unless modifications are justified as necessary to meet mission requirements.

The committee notes that basing and infrastructure development are also cost drivers and must be developed with maximum commonality and minimum duplication, again based on a realistic estimate of procurement numbers. The committee notes that shared Air Force-Navy Global Hawk basing facilities might offer cost savings should the Navy decide, after its broad area maritime surveillance (BAMS) experimentation, to acquire Global Hawk.

The committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to re-baseline the Global Hawk by December 31, 2002. This new baseline should incorporate a clear roadmap of technology insertion leading to an objective configuration. The re-baselining shall be established on realistic per unit costs, with and without sensors; address the evolutionary growth structure or "spiral" cost, schedule objectives, and milestone decisions.

The committee recommends the budget request for Global Hawk.

Navy

The budget request contained \$206.4 million in PE 35204N and included \$152.0 million for Global Hawk and \$28.3 million in the DERF fiscal year 2003 for sensor development.

The committee is very concerned that the Navy enters the Global Hawk program with clear maritime requirements and notes that there is currently no mission needs statement, no analysis of multiple concepts, and no specific exit criteria. The committee directs the Secretary of the Navy not to obligate more that 20 percent of the Navy's Global Hawk funding until these requirements have been met for the Broad Area Maritime Surveillance (BAMS) Phase I demonstration in accordance with DOD 5000 series.

The committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to submit the acquisition strategy for the BAMS Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) to the congressional defense and intelligence committees.

The committee recommends \$180.3 million in PE 35204N for Global Hawk, including \$28.3 million for sensor development in the DERF for fiscal year 2003.

#### GPS–II program adjustment

The budget request contained \$324.1 million in PE 35165F for the NAVSTAR global positioning system (GPS).

The committee is aware that recent program adjustments have eliminated funds included to modify future GPS–II satellites to increase power.

Therefore, the committee recommends \$275.1 million in PE 35165F, a decrease of \$49.0 million for GPS–II.

# Guidance, propulsion, and re-entry vehicle demonstration/validation

The budget request contains \$63.0M in PE 63851F for the development of guidance, propulsion, and re-entry vehicle technology demonstration and validation programs.

The committee is concerned that a number of Air Force science and technology efforts to develop space vehicle and missile technologies are not being effectively coordinated and tested.

Therefore, the committee recommends that the demonstration and validation efforts for development of guidance, propulsion, reentry vehicles be executed by the Ballistic Missile Technology office and the Rocket Propulsion Laboratory, and demonstration/validation of advanced technologies and new capabilities be executed by the Space and Missile System Center Detachment Rocket Program.

# High-accuracy network determination system

The budget request contained \$6.5 million in PE 63444F for the Maui space surveillance system, but included no funds for the high-accuracy network determination system (HANDS).

The committee understands the importance of maintaining space situational awareness to ensure collision avoidance of national assets and space debris. The committee is aware that potential collisions can unduly draw attention from mission space-based national requirements. Accurate determination of target satellite orbits, neighboring satellites and debris is key to collision avoidance operations and threat management. HANDS can reduce the potential for collision by reducing errors in the current space-object maintenance catalog. The committee supports further development of this initiative.

The committee recommends \$11.5 million in PE 63444F, an increase of \$5.0 million for the high-accuracy network determination system.

# *Identification of time critical programs*

The budget request contained \$34.3 million in PE 63789F for C3I advanced development, including \$4.2 million for continued development of identification of time critical programs.

The committee is aware of the importance of reducing the sensorto-shooter engagement time for hi-value, short-duration battlefield targets and fully supports continued development of technologies for this purpose.

The committee recommends an increase of \$4.3 million in PE 63789F for accelerated testing and evaluation of time critical target identification.

# Information operation technology and fusion initiative

The budget request included \$9.4 million in PE 33140F for the information systems security program, but included no funds for the information operation technology and fusion initiative.

the information operation technology and fusion initiative. The committee notes that the Information Systems Security Program conducts research and development of information protection tools and transitions them to operational systems. The committee also notes that the effort concentrates on transitioning state of the art information operation capabilities to the warfighter by demonstrating and validating advanced technology necessary to address specific deficiencies and shortfalls identified by the Air Intelligence Agency. The committee further notes that the aim of the program is to expedite technology to the field through rapid prototyping.

typing. The committee believes deficiencies exist in the area of information operations, information assurance, information fusion, and information security. The committee understands that advanced development can eventually eliminate these deficiencies, particularly when applied in a collaborative program utilizing industry, academia, and government resources. The committee encourages the Secretary of the Air Force to pursue this initiative.

The committee recommends \$12.4 million in PE 33140F, an increase of \$3.0 million, for the information operation technology and fusion initiative.

#### Information security and intrusion detection development

The budget request included \$34.3 million in 63789F for command, control, communications, and intelligence (C3I) advanced development, and included \$7.0 million for battlespace information exchange and \$9.3 million for dynamic aerospace command and control execution.

The committee is concerned with the growing threat to information exchange procedures, supports efforts to develop innovative security measures, and applauds Air Force efforts to develop and test components of a secure, deployable information grid. The committee understands that technology developments include an information assurance decision support system, advanced information management, multi-level secure communications, secure survivable networks, and communications transmission systems.

The committee is also aware of efforts to develop an intrusion defense capability as a means for detecting and defeating hostile forces trying to embed digital information as a means of deception. The committee is supportive of these and other efforts aimed at reinforcing information integrity activities and urges further investment in these areas.

The committee recommends an increase of \$4.0 million in PE 63789F for development, product tests, and the fielding of intrusion defenses and system security tools.

# Integrated high payoff rocket propulsion technology

The budget request contained \$53.6 million in PE 62500F for Air Force Multi-disciplinary Space Technology, including \$19.6 million for integrated high payoff rocket propulsion technology and \$76.6 million in PE 62114N for Navy Power Projection Applied Research, including \$13.6 million for integrated high payoff rocket propulsion technology.

The committee notes the improvements in large and small rocket engine propulsion capability made available through the Integrated High Payoff Rocket Propulsion Technology (IHPRPT) program and supports the increased emphasis placed on IHPRPT by the Department of Defense and the Air Force.

The committee recommends an increase of \$5.0 million in PE 62500F and \$5.0 million in PE 62114N for integrated high payoff rocket propulsion technology.

# Joint air to surface standoff missile

The budget request contained \$42.1 million in PE 27325F for the Joint Air to Surface Standoff Missile (JASSM), including \$19.6 million for integrated high payoff rocket propulsion technology.

The committee notes the recent series of successful JASSM flight tests and is aware of Air Force efforts to extend the missile range to provide longer stand-off and sustain or improve accuracy. The committee is encouraged by the proposed plan to develop the extended range segment of the JASSM program, and supports acceleration of that effort.

The committee recommends \$52.1 million in PE 27325F, an increase of \$10.0 million for accelerated testing and evaluation of and extended range JASSM.

#### Joint integrated satellite communications technology

The budget request contained \$148.9 million in PE 64479F for Mistar LDR/MDR satellite communications, but included no funds for joint integrated satellite communications (SATCOM) technology (JIST).

The committee is aware that JIST is a web-based satellite communications management technology that utilizes the Department's existing internet protocol router to expand the flexibility and efficiency of military satellite communications. The committee notes that development systems like JIST, based on common standards, is key to increased satellite communications efficiency.

The committee recommends \$157.0 million in PE 64479F, an increase of \$8.1 million for JIST.

# Joint services work station

The budget request contained \$55.5 million in PE 27581F for Joint STARS, including \$19.3 million for the Joint Services Work Station.

The committee is aware of the importance of maintaining multiservice interoperability for all supporting elements of the Joint STARS systems.

The committee recommends \$68.2 million in PE 27581F, including \$32.0 million for the continued development of the Joint Services Work Station, an increase of \$12.7 million.

#### Laser induced surface improvement technology

The budget request contained \$46.3 million in PE 64759F for major test and evaluation investment, but included no funds for laser induced surface improvement technology (LISI).

The committee is aware that the LISI technology has been demonstrated to significantly extend product life by improving surface properties and increasing resistance to the effects of wear and corrosion. The committee notes that the technology has reached prototype development stage for demonstration projects on military components.

The committee recommends an increase of \$3.0 million in PE 64759F to complete the prototype processing facility to move laser induced surface improvement technology into fielded applications.

#### *Lithium ion battery development*

The budget request contained \$107.7 million in PE 62203F for aerospace propulsion, and included \$9.9 million for lithium ion battery development.

The committee notes the development of improved batteries and fuel cells continues to afford significant savings and enhancement in weapons and communication system. The next generation, highenergy/density, lithium ion battery will enable development and production of smaller, lighter aircraft, space vehicles, and hand held electronic equipment.

The committee recommends an increase of \$6.0 million in PE 62203F for continued development of the lithium ion battery.

# Low emission/efficient hybrid aviation refueling truck propulsion

The budget request contained \$35.8 million in PE 78611F for support systems development, but included no funds for low emission/efficient hybrid fuel truck propulsion. The committee is informed that existing Air Force aviation re-

The committee is informed that existing Air Force aviation refueling trucks operate short distances in a manner that causes high fuel usage, high emissions and decreased engine life. The committee notes that a heavy-duty hybrid drive technology has been developed for aviation refueling trucks.

The committee recommends an increase of \$1.0 million in PE 78611F for installation and test of low emission/efficient hybrid aviation refueling truck propulsion.

#### *Metals affordability initiative*

The budget request contained \$75.3 million in PE 62102F and \$21.1 million in PE 63112F for materials development, and \$37.6 million in PE 78011F for industrial preparedness, but included no funds for continuation of the metals affordability initiative (MAI).

The committee is aware that the MAI represents a unique government-industry collaboration to provide significant improvements in the manufacturing of specialty aerospace metals. The committee fully supports the continuation of the MAI and recommends an increase of \$4.0 million in PE 62102F, an increase of \$5.0 million in PE 63112F, and an increase of \$3.0 million in PE 78011F for acceleration of the initiative.

# *Missile technology demonstration*

The budget request contained \$16.2 million in PE 65860F for the rocket systems launch program, but included no funds for continuation of the missile technology demonstration (MTD-3b).

The committee is aware that the MTD–3b continues to mature technologies that support high speed weapon system platforms.

The committee recommends \$27.2 million in PE 65860F, an increase of \$11.0 million for MTD-3b.

#### Network centric collaborative targeting

The budget request contained no funding in PE 35207F for manned reconnaissance systems.

The committee recognizes the Air Force's thrust towards network centric warfare, but notes that the Air Force requested no money towards this effort.

The committee recommends \$20.0 million in PE 35207F, an increase of \$20.0 million for network centric collaborative targeting advance concepts technology demonstration.

# Pulse detonation engine

The budget request contained \$107.7 million in PE 62203F for propulsion development, including \$16.0 million for continued development of the pulse detonation engine (PDE).

The committee is aware that the PDE offers significant cost and performance advantages over conventional engines

The committee supports Air Force plans to fabricate and test a flight worthy prototype and recommends an increase of \$6.0 million in PE 62203F for accelerated testing and evaluation of the PDE.

#### Rapid attack support system

The budget request contained no funds in PE 27027F for continued development of the rapid attack support system.

The committee is aware that software development has progressed to the point that many of the systems in the DOD Joint Technical Architecture can be tied together to enhance the process of rapid targeting and attack.

The committee recommends \$5.0 million in PE 27027F for transition of the rapid attack support system to production.

# Scorpius

The budget request contained \$42.3 million in PE 63401F for advanced spacecraft technology, but included no funds for Scorpius.

The committee is aware that Scorpius development responds to the Air Force mission need statement for operationally responsive, affordable space lift. The committee notes that Scorpius had a successful sub-orbital launch on the first attempt.

The committee recommends an increase of \$3.5 million in PE 63401F for Scorpius.

# Space Technology

The Air Force's budget includes significant out-year funding for Minuteman IV and future space vehicle development activities. To minimize development costs, common technologies and requirements for ballistic missiles and space vehicles should be shared between the Air Force and the Navy. To assure resources are properly focused on future strategic system development, the committee recommends that the Air Force ensure that efforts of the Space and Missile Systems Center and the Air Force Research Laboratory are combined so that both development and test facilities address technology requirements for future strategic missile and space systems in a coordinated fashion.

The committee recommends an increase of \$1.0 million in PE 63311F, Ballistic Missile Technology, and \$1.0 million in PE 62201F, Aerospace Propulsion, to coordinate future missile and space systems requirements and technology.

#### Streaker small launch vehicle

The budget request contained \$42.3 million in PE 63401F for advanced spacecraft technology, but included no funds for the Streaker small launch vehicle.

The committee is aware that space launch remains a very expensive portion of the space program. The committee notes that a low cost launch technology is being developed for micro and nano satellites, which offers potential to significantly reduce, associated launch costs.

The committee recommends an increase of \$5.0 million in PE 63401F for the Streaker small launch vehicle.

#### Super wideband compressive receiver

The budget contained \$4.5 million in PE 63260F for intelligence advanced development, but included no funds for super wideband compressive receiver (SWCR) technology.

The committee is aware SWCR technology has near-term potential to greatly improve receiver capability for multiple applications. SWCR technology combined with software reprogrammability may also offer rapid adaptation to new wave forms.

The committee recommends \$5.5 million in PE 63260F, an increase of \$1.0 for million SWCR.

#### Synthetic theatre operations research model

The budget request contained \$21.9 million in PE 27601F for Air Force Modeling and Simulation, but included no funds for the synthetic theatre operations research model (STORM).

The committee is aware that the Joint Model Transition (JMT) project has been created to support the development and upgrade of research and development models, and notes that STORM offers the potential to be an excellent candidate for the first year of the JMT initiative.

The committee recommends \$23.9 million in PE 27601F, an increase of \$2.0 million for the continued development of STORM within the JMT project.

#### Texas regional institute for environmental studies

The budget request contained no funds in PE 63723F for environmental engineering technology.

The committee continues to support the ongoing Texas Regional Institute for Environmental Studies (TRIES) research and development of environmental technologies to protect military water supply systems. The committee encourages further work in this area, and remains supportive of the cooperative partnership arrangement between TRIES and the Air Force.

The committee recommends \$3 million in PE 63723F for TRIES to demonstrate new deployable bioreactor technologies.

#### Thermal management for space structures

The budget request contained \$75.3 million in PE 62102F for materials, and more than \$8.0 million the thermal management for aerospace structures.

The committee is aware that the Office of Naval Research and the Air Force Research Lab have demonstrated the potential to improve performance and reduce weight on military aircraft and spacecraft by using ultra-high thermal conductivity carbon fibers for selected electronics/avionics components and structures. The Air Force launched a program in this area in fiscal year 2000, and significant progress has been made. The committee understands that the Air Force has qualified use of these carbon fibers for manufacturing compact electronic enclosures for satellite passive cooling systems. The committee supports further development in this initiative and encourages the qualification of these composite materials for applications across all fleets of military aircraft.

The committee recommends an increase of \$3.2 million in PE 62102F for continued development of thermal management for space structures.

#### *Thrust vector control and infrared signature reduction*

The budget request contained \$85.7 million in PE 63216F for aerospace propulsion and power technology, but included no funding for thrust vector control and infrared signature reduction.

The committee is aware that the Air Force is experimenting with a propulsion flow control to reduce the heat signature of fighter jets that will reduce vulnerability to heat seeking missiles.

The committee recommends an increase of \$3.0 million in PE 63216F for continued development of thrust vector control and infrared signature reduction.

# Upper atmospheric and astronomical training

The budget request contained \$219.1 million in PE 61102F for Defense Research Sciences, but included no funding for enhanced upper atmospheric and astronomical training.

The committee is aware that the Air Force has provided extensive scientific training in upper atmospheric and astronomical research to both government and non-government audiences. However, the training facilities are limited in capacity and in need of expansion and enhancement. The committee notes that an Air Force investment of \$5.0 million for enhanced scientific research equipment would be combined with non-government funding to complete the project. The committee recommends an increase of \$5.0 million for enhanced upper atmospheric and astronomical training.

#### Vacuum pump

The budget request contained \$39.9 million in PE 62605F for Directed Energy Technology, but included no funds for the vacuum pump.

The committee is aware of the potential benefits afforded by upgrades to vacuum pump systems at Air Force laser facilities, and recommends \$42.25 million in PE 62605F, an increase of \$2.25 million for vacuum pump system upgrades.

#### Wind-corrected munitions dispenser development

The budget request contained no funds in PE 64600F for munitions dispenser development.

The committee understands that the Air Force intends to terminate the Joint Stand Off Weapon "B" variant dispenser weapon in fiscal year 2003 due to technical delays and cost increases.

Accordingly, the Air Force Chief of Staff has identified a \$16.2 million fiscal year 2003 unfunded requirement to extend the range of the unpowered wind corrected munitions dispenser (WCMD) by developing a deployable wing system for the WCMD, which would enable the weapon to glide for an extended range (ER). The committee notes the effective use of the gravity fall WCMD in Operation Enduring Freedom and believes a WCMD–ER enhancement would provide an additional stand off weapon capability within the Air Force's war reserve munition stock, and, recommends \$16.2 million in PE 64600F for development of the WCMD–ER.

# DEFENSE-WIDE RDT&E

#### Overview

The budget request contained \$16,613.6 million for Defense-Wide RDT&E. The committee recommends authorization of \$17,191.2 million, an increase of \$309.1 million and the transfer of \$268.6M million for missile defense programs from Army RDT&E to Defense-wide RDT&E.

The committee recommendations for the fiscal year 2003 Defense-Wide RDT&E program are identified in the table below. Major changes to the Defense-Wide request are discussed following the table.

FY 2003 Committee FY 2003 Authorization Change from Committee Request Request Authorization
PROGRAM TITLE
Program Element R-1 Number Line

	R-1 Line	PROGRAM TITLE	FY 2003 Authorization Request	FY 2003 Committee Authorization Change from Request Request	FY 2003 Committee Authorization
	Materia Integra	Materials and electronics technology Integrated optoelectronics technology			(-50,000) (+2,000)
0602715BF 2	21 NUCLE	VUCLEAR SUSTAINMENT &; COUNTERPROLIFERATION TECHNOLOGIES	0	005 15	0180 443
		WMU DEFEAT TECHNOLOGI DEBF - CT Info Network	140,140		(+5,500)
	DERF	DERF - Vulnerability Reduction Technology Measures			(+9,800)
	DERF	DERF - Hazard Prediction and Decision Support Tools			(+2,000)
	DEPT	DERF - Hard Target Defeat Characterization Initiative			(+2,000)
060217RF 2	23 STRAT	UEMT - EMMANCED BIAST WEAPONS ENECTS STRATEGIC DEFENSE TECHNOLOGIES	131.199		131.199
		MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY	0		0
0602890D8 2		HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH	39,310		39,310
	TOTA	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH	1,859,923	(157,450)	1,702,473
	Ŭ Ŭ Ŭ	ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	0	(ne+'/e1)	0
	ADVA	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT			
0603002D8 2	26 MEDIC	MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	0		0
0603104D8 2	_	EXPLOSIVES DEMILITARIZATION TECHNOLOGY	8,935	3,000	11,935
		actical missile recycling	000 01		(+3,000)
0603121D8 2	28 SO/LIC	SO/LIC ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	13,800	9,000	22,800
	DEDE	JEHF - Special Reconnaissance Capabilities			(000 (04 )
060312208 2	29 COMP	DEAT - MERSUEITIEN AND SIGNALIES MUNIMANDI COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	49.015	83.900	132,915
		DERF - Entry Point Screening & Perimeter Protection			(+11,000
	DERF	DERF - Reconnaissance Tool Kit			(+19,500)
	DERF	DERF - Super Zoom Digital Camera			(+6,000)
	DERF	DERF - Combating Terrorism BAA			(+19,500
	DERF	DERF - Attribution CT Tools and Deployable Communications			(+2,600)
	DERF	DERF - Detection of Bio Agents in Food			(+3,000)
	DERF	DERF - Alternate Power Sources for Battery Charging			(+2,000)
	Magne	Magnetic quadrupole resonance explosives detection			(+2,000)
	Lightw	Lightweight biological detectors			(+4,000)
	Chem	Chemical-biological electrostatic decontamination system			(+4'300)
0603160HC	20 COLIN	FACIAL FECOGINION FECTIONOGY	77 389	20.000	97.389
		DERF - SOF Support Defeat Terrorist			(+11,000)
	DERF	DERF - Anti-Biological Weapon Defeat Support			(+5,000)
0 004-0090	01 CLIDD	I NERMODARIC WARREAD GEVEIOPMENT SHIDDODT TECHNOL OGICS - ADVANCED TECHNOL OGY DEVEL ODMENT	c		)))), 174)

FY 2003 Committee Authorization	0	127,251	(+5,500)	25,420	7,404	196,000	(-50,000)	87,942	(+2,600)	(-164,500)	16,968	(+5,800)	40,646	(+3,000)	196,92	(+1,500) 30.468	000000	(-30,000) 9,610	0	0	150,400	215,580	(+2,000)	(+14,000)	188,642	130,101	224,000	33,000	170,100	(+8,000)	294,899	(+19,000)	14,000	22,983	0	25,430	49,929	28,567 (+15,000)
Committee Change from C Request Aı		5,500				(50,000)		(161,900)			5,800		3,000		006'1	(30.000)	10001001					16,000							8,000		19,000						2000 1.7	000,61
FY 2003 Authorization Request	0	121,751		25,420	7,404	246,000		249,842			11,168		37,646		104,02	60 468	201100	9,610	0	0	150,400	199,580			188,642	130,101	224,000	33,000	162,100		275,899		14,000	22,983	0	25,430	49,929	199,51
		_		1			Advanced aerospace systems	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM - ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	DERF - Miniature Chemical Analysis Sys for Detection of Chembio agents in the Chemlab			-		DERF - Advanced Chemical Biological Radiological Nuclear Explosive (CBRNE) Sensor and Into Fusion		Uetense manutacturing supply chain management STRATFGIC FNVIRONMENTAL RESFARCH PROGRAM			AGILE PORT DEMONSTRATION	COOPERATIVE DOD/VA MEDICAL RESEARCH			DERF - Stand Off Surveillance Camera	_	_	-		~	_	_	• •		_		_	0	JUINI WAHGAMING SIMULATION MANAGEMENT OFFICE	
R-1 Line	8	33				36		37			38		89 99	0	\$	41		42	43	44		46				48	49	20	5		22						2	
Program Element Number	0603174C	0603175C		0603225D8	0603232D8	0603285E		0603384BP			0603704D8		0603/1185	00120000	671 /0000	0603716D8		0603727D8	0603728D8	0603738D8	0603739E	0603750D8			0603755D8	0603760E	0603762E	0603763E	0603764E		0603765E		0603769D8	0603781D8	0603805S	0603826D8	0603832D8	00000000

12011INN	Line -	PROGRAM TITLE	Authorization Change from Request Request	Change from Request	Committee Authorization
0605160D8	59	COUNTERPROLIFERATION SUPPORT	1,806		1,806
		TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	2,435,336	(52,200)	2,383,136
		RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	2,435,336	(52,200)	2,383,136
		ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	0	0	0
		DEMONSTRATION AND VALIDATION			
0603228D8	60	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	33,553	29,000	62,553
		DERF - Security			(+10,000)
		Enhanced techniques for the detection of explosives			(+3,000)
		Backscatter mobile truck system			(+16,000)
0603709D8		JOINT ROBOTICS PROGRAM	11,305		11,305
0603714D8	62	ADVANCED SENSOR APPLICATIONS PROGRAM	15,994	7,000	22,994
		Advanced sensor applications program			(+2,000)
000000000000000000000000000000000000000		Nutit-wavelength surface scanning biological sensor			(+2,000)
03/3018	20		1,647		1,647
0603851D8		ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY TECHNICAL CERTIFICATION PROGRAM	28,334	(8,000)	20,334
	1	Environmental security technical security program			(-8,000)
0603868C	99	NAVY I HEATER WIDE MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM	0		
0603869C	99	MEADS CONCEPTS - DEM/VAL	0		0
003871C	67	NATIONAL MISSILE DEFENSE - DEM/VAL	0		0
0603873C	88	FAMILY-OF SYSTEMS ENGINEERING AND INTEGRATION (FOS E&I)	0		0
)603874C	69	BMD TECHNICAL OPERATIONS	0		0
0603875C	20	INTERNATIONAL COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS	0		0
0603876C	71	THREAT AND COUNTERMEASURES	0		0
0603880C	72	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM SEGMENT	1,065,982	20,000	1,085,982
		Wide bandwidth technology program			(+10,000)
010000	ł	battlespace environment and signatures toolkit			(+10,000)
06038810	e	BALLIS HC MISSILE DEFENSE LEHMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT Transfer from MEADS (238804)	169,974	138,745	308,719 / . 117 715)
		Enhanced Arrow deployability program			(1000) (1010) (1000) (1010)
0603882C	74	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT	3.195.104	52.000	3.247.104
		Aegis LEAP interceptor flight demonstration program		>>> 	(+27,000)
		Long range S-band and X-band discrimination radar development			(+25,000)
0603883C	75	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE BOOST DEFENSE SEGMENT	796,927	(77,500)	719,427
					(-77,500)
060388465	9	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PHOGHAM - DEM/VAL Chemical-historical mass sportsmater II	144,790	(45,000)	062'66
		Criennoar-Viological IIIass specialometer II Transfer to H.R. 4547			(+10,000) (-55,000)
0603884C	11	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSORS	373 447		373 447

N DEMINING AND TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT AFFAFE REERVATION AND TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT 0 0 00MAGNETIC TECHNOLOGY (JET) PROGRAM 0 00MAGNETIC TECHNOLOGY (JET) PROGRAM 0 0 00MAGNETIC TECHNOLOGY (JET) PROGRAM 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Element R Number Li	R-I Line PROGRAM TITLE	FY 2003 Authorization Request	Change from Request	Committee Authorization
7     CONTINUMWARANE     12,444       80     JONT SERVICE EDUCATION VAND TRANNING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT     12,444       81     JONT ELECTROMAGNETIC TECHNOLOGY (JET) PROGRAM     5,085       82     RENTGON RESERVATION     5,085       83     KINETIC ENERGY-AATTI SATELITTE SYSTEM     5,085       84     MINETIC ENERGY-AATTI SATELITTE SYSTEM     5,085       84     KINETIC ENERGY-AATTI SATELITTE SYSTEM     5,085       84     KINETIC ENERGY-AATTI SATELITTE SYSTEM     5,085       84     MINUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT     169,018       85     FIERDAR AND DEJOCICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM - EMD     169,018       86     ADVANCED TERENICE SYSTEM     136,018       81     CHINICAL INFORMATICS AND PROGRAM OFFICE (ATTS-JPO)     2001       82     CHINICAL INFORMATICS PROGRAM OFFICE (ATTS-JPO)     2033       83     CHINICAL INFORMATICS PROGRAM OFFICE (ATTS-JPO)     20,333       84     JONT TACTICAL INFORMATICS PROGRAM OFFICE (ATTS-JPO)     20,333       85     JONT TACTICAL INFORMATICS PROGRAM OFFICE (ATTS-JPO)     20,333       86     JONT TACTICAL INFORMATICS PROGRAM OFFICE (ATTS-JPO)     20,333       87     JONT TACTICAL INFORMATICS PROGRAM OFFICE (ATTS-JPO)     20,333       88     JONT TACTICAL INFORMATICN DISTIGNED PROFILED     20,333       89     JONT TACTICAL INFORMAT			13,355		13,355
80     JOINT ELECTROMAGNETIC     0       81     JOINT ELECTROMAGNETIC     5,005       82     PENTAGON RESERVATION     5,001       82     FENTAGON RESERVATION     5,001       82     FENTAGON RESERVATION     5,001       82     FENTAGON RESERVATION     5,001       82     FENTAGON RESERVATION     5,001       84     TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION AND VALIDATION     5,001       83     FENTAGON RESERVICE OMENT     5,007,941     2,001       84     TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION AND VALIDATION     5,007,941     2,001       83     CHEMICA AND BAULGATION AND VALIDATION     5,007,941     2,001       84     COINT ROBOLICIS FROMMENT     163,018     163,018       85     JOINT ROBOLICIS FROMMENT     163,018     10,737       84     JOINT ROBOLICIS FROMMENT     163,018     10,737       85     JOINT ROBOLICIS FROMMENT     163,018     10,737       84     JOINT ROBOLICIS FROMMENT     10,737     10,737       85     JOINT ROBOLICIS FROMMENT     10,737     10,737       84     JOINT ROBOLICIS FROMMENT     10,737     10,737       85     JOINT ROBOLICIS FROMMENT     10,737     10,737       84     JIEATER MISSLE DEFENSE     FMD     10,737       85 <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>12,444</td> <td></td> <td>12,444</td>		-	12,444		12,444
82       PENTAGON RESERVATION       5,085         82       PENTAGON RESERVATION       0         83       RINETIC ENERGY-ANTI SATELLITE SYSTEM       5,085         84       KINETIC ENERGY-ANTI SATELLITE SYSTEM       5,867,941       2         84       RIGING LONGY LIDEATION       5,867,941       2         85       RIGING LONGY MADIF ASTOLIAND DEVELOPMENT       5,867,941       2         86       RIGING LONG AND BAULFACTURING DEVELOPMENT       5,867,941       2         81       ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT       5,867,941       2         82       ENGINEERING AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM - EMD       169,018       13,643         83       CHEMICIA AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM - EMD       13,643       3,643         84       JOINT TAGTICLA INFORMATION SYSTEM. TMD - EMD       13,643       3,643         85       JOINT TAGTICLA INFORMATION SYSTEM. TMD - EMD       13,643       3,643         86       JOINT TAGTICLA INFORMATION SYSTEM. TMD - EMD       10,737       92,171         87       ADVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT REGRAMS DEFELOPMENT       10,737       92,171         88       ADVANCED IT SERVICES MORT DISTEMATION SYSTEM. TMD - EMD       10,737       92,171         89       ADVANCED IT SERVICLONENT       30,80		-	0		Ŭ
EX       FENTACION RESERVATION       0         XX       KINETC ENERGY-ATI SATELLIFE SYSTEM       5,867,341       2         KINETC ENERGY-AND BATCIU AND BATCLUTE SYSTEM       5,867,341       2         TOTAL, END DEVELOPMENT       5,867,341       2         RESEARCH MANDEACTUBING DEVELOPMENT       5,867,341       2         RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT       5,867,341       2         RESEARCH AND PROCHANT END MANUFACTUBING DEVELOPMENT       5,867,341       2         RESEARCH AND PROCHAN EMD       10,101       5,867,341       2         RESEARCH AND PROCHAN EMD       10,101       2,863,018       10,791         RESEARCH AND PROCHAN EMD       20,011 FROMATION INSTRIBUTION SYSTEM (TITDS)       10,791       92,171         RESEARCH AND SECONT PROCHAMENT       10,791       23,333       10,791       92,171         RESEARCH AND AND CORRECT ACOUNSTION - EMD       0       10,791       92,171       92,171         RESEARCH AND TAXCE TREAMISSILE DEFENSE - EMD       20,171       23,338       92,171       92,171         RESEARCH AND SECONT VIECIDAMENT       20,017       20,027       0       1,126         RESEARCH AND SECONT VIECIDAMENT       20,017       20,027       92,217       1,126         RESEARCH AND SECONT VIECIDAMENT		-	5,085		5,085
XX KINETIC ENERGY-ANTI SAFTELITTE SYSTEM         XKINETIC ENERGY-ANTI SAFTELITTE SYSTEM         KINETIC ENERGY-ANTI SAFTELITTE SYSTEM         FOTAL, DEMONSTRATION AND VALIDATION         RESERVAT AND DEVELOPMENT         RESERVATION AND VALUPATION         RESERVATION         REVOLONITIONE SYSTEM         REVE		_	0		
Kinetic energy-anti satellite system         Kinetic energy-anti satellite system         5,87,341         2           TOTAL, DERDASTRATION AND VALIDATION         FESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT         5,87,341         2           RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT         FESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT         5,807,341         2           RESEARCH AND DEVECTORING DEVELOPMENT         FERMICIAL DEFENSE PROGRAM - EMD         13,643         13,643           Stansfer to H.R. 4477         JOINT FRODICICAL DEFENSE SYSTEM - TMD - EMD         10,771         23,333           Stantorin PAC-3 (HASTER MISSILE DEFENSE ACOUSTICON - EMD         92,171         3,333           RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT         700         3,333           RAVY AREA THEAT THANG SILE DEFENSE ACOUSTICON - EMD         3,333         10,427           RAVA REA THEAT THING SYSTEM MISSILE DEFENSE ACOUSTICON - EMD         3,333         10,427           RAVY AREA THEAT THOR SYSTEM MISSILE DEFENSE         700         0         10,427           RAVY AREA THEAT TERMISSILE DEFENSE         8,04850,0         0,42	603XXXDi XX			7,500	7,500
TOTAL DEMONSTRATION         5667.941         2           TOTAL DEMONSTRATION AND VALIDATION         5667.941         2           RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT         5667.941         2           RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT         5667.941         2           REGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT         5667.941         2           REGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT         169.018         7           REGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT         169.018         7           Transforch I, 4.457         13.453         13.643           DINIT TACTICAL INFORMAN - EMD         73.643         10.777           REMONTCS PROGRAM - EMD         700         10.777           REMONTCS PROGRAM - EMD         700         10.777           DINIT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM - TMD - EMD         9         10.777           REMONTCR PAC3 THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE ACQUISITION - EMD         33.83         10.777           REMONTOR FACOUNTING SYSTEM - TMD - EMD         9         9         9           NAY YAEA THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE ACQUISITION - EMD         9         9         9           REGINATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT         700         0         0         0           Transfer from PAC3 (64856A)         10         10.7767         10.7757 <td></td> <td>Kinetic energy-anti satellite system</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>(+7,500)</td>		Kinetic energy-anti satellite system			(+7,500)
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT       5,857,941       2         RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT       6,867,941       2         RIGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT       169,018       169,018         RIGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT       169,018       169,018         RIGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT       169,018       169,018         RIGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT       16,9018       13,443         RIGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT       13,443       13,443         AUDINT TACTOR: INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (JTIS)       20,017       28,333         JOINT TACTOR.INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (JTIS)       20,017       28,333         RIATY AREA THEATER HIGH-ALTITUDE AREA DEFENSE ACOUSTION - EMD       3,323       10,727         RIATO PAC:3 THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE - EMD       3,338       322,171         RIATOR TACTORT, INFORMATION DECHOMENT       28,326       700         RIATOR TACCOURTING SYSTEMS       33,338       323,171         RIATOR TACCOURTING SYSTEMS       33,332       323,171         RIATOR TACCOURTING SYSTEMS       33,321       323,171         RIATOR TACCOURTING SYSTEMS       33,321       323,171         RIATOR TACCOURTING SYSTEMS       33,321       323,171         RIATOR TACCOURTING SYSTEMS		TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION AND VALIDATION	5,867,941	248,745	6,116,686
ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT       169,018         ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT       169,018         SCHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM - EMD       13,643         Translet on Hr., 447       13,643         Stanslet on Hr., 447       13,643         Translet on Hr., 447       13,643         Stanslet on Procent Resences Joint Program OFFICE (AITS-JPO)       13,643         Stanslet on Procent Resence Resences TSEM - Thub       28,333         Stanslet on Procent Rissite DEFENSE ACQUISITION - EMD       3,336         Resonance Translet on Procent Rissite DEFENSE ACQUISITION - EMD       3,338         Stanslet on Procent Rissite DEFENSE ACQUISITION - EMD       3,338         Stanslet on Procent Rissite DEFENSE ACQUISITION - EMD       3,338         Ransafring Procent Rissite DEFENSE ACQUISITION - EMD       3,338         Stanslet on Procent Rissite DEFENSE ACQUISITION - EMD       3,338         Stanslet on Procent Rissite DEFENSE ACQUISITION - EMD       3,338         Stanslet on Procent Rissite DEFENSE ACQUISITION - EMD       3,338         Stanslet on Procent Rissite DEFENSE ACQUISITION - EMD       3,3		RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	5,867,941	248,745	6,116,686
Big internation and manufacturing development       189,018         Big internation and manufacturing development       13,643         Big internation procession       13,643         Big internation procession       28,333         Big internation       1417100E and and Enerolise Enerolise statement         Big internation       1427         Big internation       1421         Big internation       1421         Big internation       1421         Big internation       1421         Big internation       160,000         Big internation       160,000         Big internation       160,000         Big internation       160,000         Big internation       10,427         Big interonation       10,427 </td <td></td> <td>ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td>		ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	0	0	0
<ul> <li>CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM - EMD</li> <li>THENCTAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM - EMD</li> <li>THENER HUGH-ALTITOR SPOGRAM - EMD</li> <li>THENTER HUGH-ALTITOR STREM - IND</li> <li>SOLVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (ATTS-JPO)</li> <li>ADVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (ATTS-JPO)</li> <li>SOLVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (ATTS-JPO)</li> <li>ADVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (ATTS-JPO)</li> <li>ATRIOT PAC3 THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE ACQUISITION - EMD</li> <li>MAY AREA THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE</li> <li>MFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT</li> <li>MFORMATION YSTERM AND FEVELOPMENT</li> <li>MFORMATION SYSTEM AND AND FEVELOPMENT</li> <li>MFORMATION SYSTEM AND AND</li></ul>		ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT			
Tansierto H.R. 447 Tansierto H.R. 447 JOINT FROBOTICS PROGRAM CFFICE (AITS-JPO) JOINT TROBOTICS PROGRAM OFFICE (AITS-JPO) JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (,TIDS) JOINT PAC3 THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM - TAMD - EMD PATHIOT PAC3 THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM - TAMD - EMD Tansier from PAC3 (4485A) DISTRIBUTION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT PROTOTYPE ACCOUNTING SYSTEMS PROTOTYPE ACCOUNTING SYSTEMS PROTOTAPE ACCOUNTI		-	169,018	(1,000)	168,018
JOINT FAOBOTICS PROGRAM - EMD JOINT FAOBOTICS PROGRAM - EMD JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DEFICE (ATTS-JPO) JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DERENSE SYSTEM - TIMD - EMD PATIPIOT PAC.3 THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE ACQUISTION - EMD PATIPIOT PAC.3 THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE ACQUISTION - EMD NAVY AREA THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE ACQUISTION - EMD ATTANISFIF from PAC.3 (64865A) NAVY AREA THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE - EMD NAVY AREA THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE - EMD NFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT NFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT NFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM NFORMATION SYSTEM NFORMATION SYSTEM NFORMATION SYSTEM NFORMATION SYSTEM NFORMATION SYSTEM NFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT NFORMATION SYSTEM NFORMATION SYSTEM NFORMATION SYSTEM NFORMATION SYSTEM NFORMATION SYSTEM NFORMATION SYSTEM NFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH AND REVELOPMENT RESEARCH AND REVELOPMENT RESEARCH AND REVELOPMENT RESEARCH AND REVELOPMENT RESEARCH AND REVELOPMENT RESEARCH AND REVELOPMENT RUTAL, ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT RUTAL, RUTAR RUTAL, RUTAR RUTAL, RUTAR RUTAL, RUTAR RUTAL, RUTA		Transfer to H.R. 4547			(-1,000)
ADVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (AITS-JPO) ADVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (AITS-JPO) DOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIEUTION SYSTEM - TMD - EMD PATIRIOT PACS THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE ACQUISITION - EMD NAVY AREA THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE ACQUISITION - EMD ON TANKING AT THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE ACQUISITION - EMD NAVY AREA THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE ACQUISITION - EMD NAVY AREA THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE - EMD NFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT NFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT NFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM GLOBAL COMBARCA NFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM GLOBAL COMBARCA INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM GLOBAL COMBARCA INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM GLOBAL COMBARCA INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM GLOBAL COMBARCA INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECU		1	13,643		13,643
JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (TIDE)     10,797       JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (TIDE)     10,797       PATRIOT PAC, THEATER MISSLE DEFENSE SYSTEM - TMD - EMD     932,171       PATRIOT PAC, THEATER MISSLE DEFENSE SYSTEM - TMD - EMD     932,171       PATRIOT PAC, THEATER MISSLE DEFENSE ACOULSITION - EMD     932,171       Navy AREA THEATER MISSLE DEFENSE - EMD     3,398       PROTOTYPE ACCOUNTION SYSTEMS     3,398       PROTATER ACCOUNTION SYSTEMS     3,398       PROTAL ANNOLEMENT     10,472       PROTAL AND ANALOGENERNES     11,483       PROTAL AND DEVELOPMENT     17,386       PROTAL AND DEVELOPMENT     17,386       PROTAL ENDEROR AND MAULFACTURING DEVELOPMENT     1,398,284       PROTAL ENDING AND MAULFACTURING DEVELOPMENT     1,338,2		1	28,393		28,393
THEATER HIGH-ALTITUDE AREA DEFENSE SYSTEM TIMD - EMD 932,171 THEATER HIGH-ALTITUDE AREA DEFENSE ACQUISITION - EMD 932,171 Tansifer from PAC3 (GA85A) NAVY AREA THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE ACQUISITION - EMD 932,171 NAVY AREA THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE - EMD 932,171 NAVY AREA THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE - EMD 932,120 NFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT NFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT NFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT NFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT NFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT-STANDARD PROCUREMENT SYSTEM (SPS) 10,427 NFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT-STANDARD PROCUREMENT SYSTEM (SPS) 10,427 NFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT-STANDARD PROCUREMENT SYSTEM (SPS) 10,427 NAVX AREA THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE MISSAGE SYSTEM NFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT-STANDARD PROCUREMENT SYSTEM (SPS) 11,427 TOTAL, FONDARGEMENT SYSTEM NFORMATION SYSTEM NFORMATION SYSTEM NFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT TOTAL, FORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH AND DEFELOPMENT RESEARCH AND DEFELOPMENT ROTAL, ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT ROTAL, ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMEN		1	10,797		10,797
PATHIOT PACS THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE ACQUISITION - EMD     0     1       Transier from PACS THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE ACQUISITION - EMD     0     0       NAYY AREA THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE - EMD     0.3338       NAYY AREA THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE - EMD     0.01427       NAYY AREA THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE - EMD     0.01427       NAYY AREA THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE - EMD     0.0427       NAYY AREA THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE - EMD     0.0427       NFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT     0.0427       NFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT     0.0427       NFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT     0.0427       NFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT     0.0427       NFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM     11.1600       NFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM     17.229       GLEENSE MISSAGE SYSTEM     24.265       NFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY     17.336.264       NFORMATION COMMERCE     17.336.264       OGENEL COMMENT     17.336.264       NFORMATION COMMERCE     17.336.264       OGENEL COMMENT     17.336.264       NFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY     17.336.264       OGENEL COMMENCE     17.336.264       OGENEL COMMENCE     17.336.264       NFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY     17.336.264       OGENEL COMMENCE     1.336.264       NAL, FRAINE MANDERCOMENCT     1.336.264 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>932,171</td><td></td><td>932,171</td></t<>			932,171		932,171
Transfer from PAC3 (6486A) NaVY AREA THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE - EMD NAVY AREA THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE - EMD NFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT NFORMATION SYSTEM SECONTYPE ACCOUNTING SYSTEM NFORMATION SYSTEM DEFENSE GLOBAL COMBAT SYSTEM GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT NFORMATION SYSTEM GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH AND REVEARCH RESEARCH RESEARCH RESEARCH RESEARCH RESEARCH RESEA			0	150,819	150,819
NAYY AREA THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE - EMD NAYY AREA THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE - EMD NFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT PROTIOTYPE ACCOUNTIG SYSTEM (SPS) NFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT NFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT NFORMATION NFORMATION NFORMATION NFORMATION NFORMATION NFORMATION NFORMATION NFORMATION NFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT NFORMATION NFORMA					(+150,819)
NIFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT PROTOTYPE ACCOUNTING SYSTEMS PROTOTYPE ACCOUNTING SYSTEMS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT INANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM INPROVEMENTS INANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM INPROVEMENTS INANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM INPROVEMENTS INANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM INPROVEMENTS INANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM GLOBAL COMBATERS INTAL, ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT INTAL, ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT INTER MANAGEMENT SUPPORT INTER INTER		-	0		
PROTOTYPE ACCOUNTING SYSTEMS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT-STANDARD PROCUREMENT SYSTEM (SPS) 10,427 FINANCIAL MANGEMENT SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS FINANCIAL MANGEMENT SYSTEM INPROVEMENTS INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM MFORMATION SYSTEM MFORMATION SYSTEM MFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM MFORMATION SYSTEM MFORMATION SYSTE		_	3,938		3,938
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT     0       INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT-STANDARD PROCUREMENT SYSTEM (SPS)     10,427       FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM MAPROVEMENTS     96,263       FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM MAPROVEMENTS     96,263       DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM     11,803       INANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM MAPROVEMENTS     96,263       DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM     17,803       INANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM MAPROVEMENTS     17,803       INFORMATION SYSTEMS     17,723       INFORMATION SYSTEMS     17,723       INFORMATION SYSTEM     17,723       INFORMATION SYSTEM     17,723       INTAL, ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT     1,723       POTAL, ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT     1,336,264       INSESARCH AND DEVELOPMENT     1,336,264       INTAL, ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT     1,336,264       ROBINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT     1,336,264       INSERTICAL TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT     1,336,264       INTERALLICAL TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>200</td> <td></td> <td>200</td>		-	200		200
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT-STANDARD PROCUREMENT SYSTEM (SPS) 10,427 INNANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS DEFENSE MISSAGE SYSTEM INPROVEMENTS INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM 17,820 INFORMATION SYSTEMS INFORMATION SYSTEMSI	-	-	0		0
FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS     96,250       DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM     11,803       DFFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM     17,820       INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM     17,820       INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM     17,820       GLOBAL COMBATG SUPPORT SYSTEM     24,266       IOTAL, ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT     1,336,264       INFORMATION SYSTEMS     24,265       IOTAL, ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT     1,336,264       RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT     1,336,264       RIDT&R MANDERERING AND AND VERARCE     1,168		_	10,427		10,427
DEFENSIME SYSTEM         11,803           DIFENSIME SYSTEM         17,820           RIFORMATION SYSTEMS         17,820           RIOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM         17,820           RIOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM         17,329           ELECTRONIC COMMERCE         24,265           TOTAL, ENGINERRING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT         24,265           TOTAL, ENGINERRING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT         1,336,264         1           RESERRENG AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT         1,336,264         1           RURISERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT         1,336,264         1           UNEXPLODED ORDINANCE DETECTION AND CLEARANCE         1,366,264         1           UNEXPLODED ORDINANCE DETECTION AND CLEARANCE         1,185         7,088           THERMAL VICAR         7,088         30,023         30,023           CORTICUEL TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT         1,865         1,865         1,865           CHINICAL TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT         1,865         7,088         30,023           CHINICAL TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	m	-	96,250		96,250
INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ICPRIAATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ICPRIA) SUPPORT SYSTEM (ICPRIA) SUPPORT SYSTEM (ICPRIA) SUPPORT SYSTEM (ICPRIA) SUPPORT (ICPRIA) SUPPORT (ICP		-	11,803		11,803
GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM     17,239       GLOBAL COMBATG SUPPORT SYSTEM     24,265       TOTAL, ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT     2,4,265       RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT     1,336,264     1       ROTAL, ENGINE AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT     1,336,264     1       ROTAE, ERGINE AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT     1,336,264     1       ROTAE, RENDING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT     1,336,264     1       ROTAE MANDERFING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT     1,168     7,068       UNERAL UCAR STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS     7,068     30,023       CORTICAL TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT     1,862     30,023     30,023       BLACK LIGHT     5,000     5,000     5,000		_	17,620		17,620
ELECTRONIC COMMERCE     24,265       TOTAL, ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT     1,336,264     1       RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT     1,336,264     1       REVENDED OF AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT     1,336,264     1       REVENDED OF AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT     1,336,264     1       REVENDED OF AND MAULAST     1,336,264     1       REVENDED OF OPPORT     NUD CLEARANCE     1,185       THERMAL VICAR     1,185     7,085       THERMAL VICAR     1,185     7,085       TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS     30,023       GRITICAL TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT     1,865       BLACK LIGHT     5,000		-	17,239		17,239
TOTAL, ENGINEERING AM MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT     1,336,264     1       RESEARCH AND BAVUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT     0     0       RESEARCH AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT     1,336,264     1       RUNEXPLOPE     1,336,264     1       NORIVERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT     1,336,264     1       RUNEXPLODED ORDINANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT     1,336,264     1       RUNEXPLODED ORDINANCE DETECTION AND CLEARANCE     1,185       THERMAL VICAR     7,088       THERMAL VICAR     30,023       CRITICAL TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT     30,023       CRITICAL TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT     1,882       BLACK LIGHT     5,000			24,265		24,265
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT NUEXPLODED ORDNANCE DETECTION AND CLEARANCE THERMAL VICAR TECHNICAL SCITICAL TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS CATICAL TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT SCINCAL TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT SCINCAL TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT		TOTAL, ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	1,336,264	149,819	1,486,083
ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT UNEXPLODED ORDNANCE DETECTION AND CLEARANCE THERMAL VICAR TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT BLACK LIGHT 5,000		RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	0	0	-
RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT       1,185         UNEXPLODED ORDNANCE DETECTION AND CLEARANCE       7,185         THERMAL VICAR       7,058         TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS       30,023         GRITICAL TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT       1,185         BLACK LIGHT       1,185		ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	1,336,264	149,819	1,486,083
THERMAL VICAR 7,058 TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS 30,023 CRITICAL TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT 1,862 BLACK LIGHT 5000			1.185		1.18
TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS CRITICAL TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT 1,862 BLACK LIGHT 5,000	604943D8 1(	<b>P</b> 1	7,058		7,05
	605104D8 1(		30,023		30,023
	605114E 1(		5,000	10.000	15,00

PROGRAM TITLE           DERF - Classified         GENEFAL           GENEFAL         UPPORT TO C3I           DEFF - Classified         GENEFAL           GENEFAL         UPPORT TO C3I           DEFF - CIP-Technology & Consequence Management           FOREGION MATERIAL AND USUFTON           Defense travel system           JOINT THEATER AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION           Defense travel system           JOINT THEATER AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION           Defense travel system           JOINT THEATER AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION           Dofense travel system           JOINT THEATER AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION           CLASSIFIED FROGRAM USD(P)           CLASSIFIED FROGRAM USD(P)           CLASSIFIED FROGRAM USD(P)           SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH - BMDO           DEFF - Information Operations <t< th=""><th>FY 2003 Committee FY 2003 Authorization Change from Committee PROGRAM TITLE Request Authorization</th><th>()000)(+) ()000 (+) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-</th><th></th><th>AND EXPLOITATION 32,382 32,382 32,382</th><th>30,358 (10,000)</th><th>-) ()</th><th>IFFENSE ORGANIZATION 72,919 72,919</th><th></th><th>31,670 31,670 31,670 31,670 31,670 35 350</th><th>46,000 (0,000)</th><th></th><th></th><th>0</th><th>60,708 42,060</th><th></th><th></th><th>(14,200)</th><th>2.103</th><th>5,201</th><th></th><th>45,249</th><th>ENT, TESTING AND EVALUATION 8,963 8,963 8,963</th><th></th><th>ESEARCH ANU UEVELUPMEN ()UANPA 7.457 7.457</th><th>27,909</th><th>0</th><th>551,172 40,360</th><th>551,172 40,360 591,53</th><th>IRING DEVELOPMENT 0 0 0</th><th>MENT UPPORT SAVINGS INITIATIVE 10.320 8.000 18.320</th><th></th></t<>	FY 2003 Committee FY 2003 Authorization Change from Committee PROGRAM TITLE Request Authorization	()000)(+) ()000 (+) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-) (-		AND EXPLOITATION 32,382 32,382 32,382	30,358 (10,000)	-) ()	IFFENSE ORGANIZATION 72,919 72,919		31,670 31,670 31,670 31,670 31,670 35 350	46,000 (0,000)			0	60,708 42,060			(14,200)	2.103	5,201		45,249	ENT, TESTING AND EVALUATION 8,963 8,963 8,963		ESEARCH ANU UEVELUPMEN ()UANPA 7.457 7.457	27,909	0	551,172 40,360	551,172 40,360 591,53	IRING DEVELOPMENT 0 0 0	MENT UPPORT SAVINGS INITIATIVE 10.320 8.000 18.320	
R.1.         Line           104         104           111         111           111         111           111         111           111         111           111         111           111         111           111         111           111         111           111         111           112         111           112         112           123         123           124         124		DERF - Classified GENERAL SUPPORT TO C31	DERF - DERIS DERF - CIP-Technology & Consequence Management	FOREIGN MATERIAL ACQUISITION AND EXPLOITATION	INTERAGENOT EXPORT LICENSE AUTOWIATION DEFENSE TRAVEL SYSTEM	Defense travel system		-	FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING	Unewickle And Biologickle defense Froundig Interarenet conservience menanement anvinnent progra	Transfer to H.R. 4547			<b>CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS - C3I</b>	DERF - National Infrastructure Protection Center (NIPC) Crucial Player	DERF - Hard and Deeply Buried Targets	DERF - Information Operations		_								TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT COMMERCIAL OPERATIONS AND SUPPORT SAVINGS INITIATIVE	DATA THE PART OF A THE AREA INCOMMENT ON MANAGEMENT SVETEM
	Program Element Number	0605116D8 104		0605117D8 105	0605124D8 107		0605126J	0605128D8	0605130D8 110	UDV0304DF		0605502C	0605502E	0605710D8 114				0605790D8	0605798S	0605799D8	0605801K	0605803SE	000000400	0605898E 0001585C	09015980	36666060				0604805D8 125	0805127T

FY 2003 Committee Authorization	12,531 550	0	0	0	1,053	7,554	1,407	15,046	7,199	445,407	(+30,000)	(+20,400)	(+750)	10,190	25,036	(+4500)	15,604	19,102	6,678	0	0	183,488	(+8,300)	(+1,000)	(+1,000)	(+1,700)	(+28,000)	13,916	474	59,058	(+48,000) /·E 000)	121 582	(+3.200)	(+25,000)	(+9,000)	(+5,600)	(+5,00)	(100) (100)
										51,150					4,500							40,000								53,000		45 000	202					
FY 2003 Committee Authorization Change from Request Request	12,531 550				1,053	7,554	1,407	15,046	7,199	394,257				10,190	20,536		15,604	19,102	6,678	0		143,488						13,916	474	6,058		75 682	1000					
1 PROGRAM TITLE	JOINT ANALYTICAL MODEL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS	CRYPTOLOGIC ACTIVITIES	Ŭ	2 MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS GDIP, DIA	-	-	_		-	_	DERF - Secure Bandwidth	DERF - Mobile Secure Communications	-		-	_	-	7			_	-	DERF - Airborne Integration	DERF - IEC Workstations	DERF - Libraries Storage	DERF - PGM Workstations	-	_		U DEFENSE JUINI COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM (JMIP)	Defense counter intelligence			DERF - NPR-10-21	DERF - NPR-IO-14	DERF - Horizontal Fusion - Analysis	DERF - Coalition-Intelligence information Sharing (CEN LRIX) DERF - Classified	
Program Element R-1 Number Line	0208052J 128 0300205R 129	0301011G 130	T	0301398L 132	-	Ξ.				0303140G 138					0303149K 140				0303610K 143	0304210BE 144	0304345BC 145	0305102BC 146						0305127D8 147	0305127V 148	030514608 148		0305190D8 150						

FY 2003 Committee Authorization	(-34,000) 230,958 /+110,500)	(+110.300) 3,353 4 4 604	(+3,000) (+3,000) 12,899	(+8,250)	0001	14,800	(+5,800) (+9.000)	148,159	(+7,000) (+10,000)	(+18.000)	0	11,185	13,072	00	0	11,741	(+5,000)	62,276	283,943	1,590	1,962	77,308	2,534,247	4,445,628	4,369,108	0	(14,688)	17,191,237 15,705,154
Committee Change from Request	110,500		a,uuu 8,250	1 9 9		14,800		35,000								5,000			009'2					381,600	373,600	0	(14,688)	577,686 427,867
FY 2003 Committee Authorization Change from Request Request	120,458	3,353	4.649		1.000			113,159			0	11,185	13,072	12,887	0	6,741		62,276	281,443	1,590	1,962	77,308	2,534,247	4,064,028	3,995,508	0		16,613,551 15,277,287
PROGRAM TITLE	C3I intelligence programs TECNNOLOGY DEPL - Closery			-		_	DERF - Intelligence to Support Hard and Deeply Buried Targets DERF - Classified		DERF - Classified DERF - NC-2 COMSEC	DERF - Coalition Interoperability and Content Information Security	0	~	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS			•••		SPECIAL OPERATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT		•,	SOF MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	Classified Programs	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	HESEARCH AND DEVELOPMEN I	ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	Accrual Accounting for Civil Service Retirement and Health Programs	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, DEFENSE WIDE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT
R-1 Line	8 151	152		C 155	156	158		159			160		162		B 165	B 166		B 167		B 169	B 170	B 171	666					
Program Element Number	0305191D8 151	0305202G	0305207G	0305208BC	0305208G 0305208L	0305884L		0305885G			0305889G	0305917D8 161	0708011S	0902740J	1160279BB	1160401BB 166		1160402BB 167		1160405BB 169	1160407BB 170	1160408BB 171	XXXXXX					

# **Items of Special Interest**

# Advanced sensor applications program

The budget request included \$16.0 million in PE 63714D8Z for the advanced sensor applications program.

The Committee is concerned that promising projects executed by the Navy's (PMA264) program office are appreciably under funded. Additional details are contained in the classified annex to this report.

Therefore the committee recommends an increase of \$5.0 million in PE 63714D8Z for the advanced sensor applications program.

#### *Aircraft affordability initiative*

The budget request included \$10.3 million in PE 64805D8Z for the Department of Defense Commercial Operations and Support Savings Initiative (COSSI), and included no funds for the digital electronic warfare (EW) aircraft affordability initiative. The committee notes that the stated goal of COSSI is to adapt commercial technologies to reduce operations and support (O&S) costs and to improve overall weapons systems performance.

The committee remains supportive of the EW digital product improvement program (PIP) based on its promise to substantially decrease high-performance aircraft O&S costs and increase combat performance. The committee understands the potential benefits associated with a reduction in both weight and power consumption requirements aboard such aircraft, and notes the potential savings associated with a wholesale conversion to a digital EW receiver. The committee notes significant progress to date in the requisite software development, design, and testing of a digital receiver and two associated modules for the F-22 aircraft. The committee supports a continuation of this effort, and encourages implementation of design verification testing and Lot 4 insertion in the F-22 program.

The committee recommends \$18.3 million in PE 64805D8Z, an increase of \$8.0 million, for the digital EW PIP aircraft affordability initiative.

#### Backscatter mobile truck system

The budget request contained \$33.6 million in PE 63228D8Z for physical security equipment, but included no funds for the backscatter mobile truck system.

The committee is aware that the backscatter mobile truck system is a commercial-off-the-shelf system capable of detecting organic materials in shipping containers and vehicles.

The committee recommends an increase of \$16.0 million in PE 63228D8Z for the backscatter mobile truck system

#### Ballistic missile defense

The budget request contained \$7,763.0 million for ballistic missile defense and related activities, \$12.6 million less than the comparable fiscal year 2002 appropriation. The request included \$6,690.7 million in research, development, test and evaluation (RDT&E) and \$23.4 million in military construction for the Missile Defense Agency (MDA), as well as \$312.3 million in Army RDT&E, \$72.9 million in Defense-Wide RDT&E, and \$663.7 million in Army missile procurement.

The committee recommends \$7,784.0 million for ballistic missile defense, an increase of \$21.0 million. The committee authorizes \$7,003.7 million for MDA, reflecting transfers of \$117.7 million for the Medium Extended Air Defense System (MEADS) program from the Army PE 63869A to the MDA PE 63881C and \$150.8 million for Patriot Advanced Capability–3 (PAC–3) improvements from the Army PE 64865A to MDA in PE 64865C, and a net increase of \$21.0 million. The transfers are consistent with the committee's view, as expressed in Section 23X, that responsibility for research and development related to improvements of fielded systems should remain with MDA to ensure component integration and interoperability with the ballistic missile defense "system of systems".

# Silicon carbide based wide bandgap technology

The budget request contained \$121.8 million in PE 63175C for advanced technology development, but included no funds for silicon carbide based wide bandgap technology.

The committee remains concerned about the apparently small fraction of MDA's budget devoted to technology development (1.8 percent in the request), noting that these activities lead to evolving capabilities for countering advanced threats. The committee understands that significant program specific technology development occurs within individual programs, and believes that MDA should establish a means to more fully account for its technology investments across-the-board.

The committee recommends \$127.3 million for technology, an increase of \$5.5 million for development of silicon carbide based wide bandgap semi-conductor technology. Wide bandgap technology finds wide application in advanced power electronics, particularly ground based radar and next generation communication systems.

#### Ballistic missile defense system

The budget request contained \$1,066.0 million in PE 63880C for the Ballistic Missile Defense (BMD) system but included no funds for wide bandwidth technology and \$8.1 million for Battlespace Environment and Signatures Toolkit.

The BMD system elements comprise battle management, command and control (BM/C2), communications, targets and countermeasures, system engineering and integration, and system-wide test and evaluation. The committee understands that the globally distributed ballistic missile defense system will be the most complex architecture ever attempted by the Department of Defense. Although the scientific hurdles are relatively small, the engineering challenges associated with orchestrating an effective defense are considerable, and the committee supports MDA's efforts to build a national industry team to address system component integration and interoperability.

# Wide bandwidth technology program

The committee recognizes the value of wide bandwidth information technology to improve operational efficiency and test infrastructure, and recommends \$10.0 million for the Wide Bandwidth Technology program.

# Battlespace environment and signatures toolkit

The committee notes the ongoing work to develop the Battlespace Environment and Signatures Toolkit program to model threat signatures and supports the efforts to maintain a current threat signature database and tools to integrate current information into ongoing missile defense program.

The committee recommends an increase of \$10.0 million to accelerate this effort.

#### *Terminal defense segment*

The budget request contained \$170.0 million in PE 63881C for the terminal defense segment, including \$65.7 million for Arrow.

#### Enhanced Arrow deployability program

The terminal defense segment includes \$65.7 million for Arrow, primarily for the Arrow System Improvement Program to evolve system capabilities to counter advanced missile threats to Israel. The committee recommends an increase of \$21.0 million for the Enhanced Arrow Deployability Program to enhance Arrow system operational capabilities and interoperability with missile defense systems of the United States.

#### Navy area program

The terminal defense segment also contains \$90.0 million for a sea-based terminal program to develop alternatives to the Navy Area program, which was terminated on December 14, 2001 as a result of a Nunn-McCurdy cost breach. The committee supports the Administration's efforts to enforce fiscal discipline in major development and acquisition programs, but notes the undiminished need for lower tier sea-based defense, and encourages MDA to move expeditiously in pursuing alternatives.

The committee recommends \$308.7 million, an increase of \$117.7 million, which reflects transfer of MEADS into this program element, and an increase of \$21.0 million for Arrow

#### Midcourse defense segment

The budget request contained \$3,195.1 million in PE 63882C for the midcourse defense segment, including \$426.6 million for seabased midcourse defense.

# Ground based midcourse defense

The budget request includes \$533.9 million for the 2004 Pacific Test Bed and \$2,072.5 million for ground-based midcourse defense, both down significantly from fiscal year 2002. The committee is encouraged by the recent flight test successes of this program, but more importantly by the test rate, which is now approaching threemonth centers. The committee strongly believes that programs of this level of sophistication and maturity only seriously move forward when the test program reaches a critical momentum.

#### Aegis LEAP interceptor flight demonstration program

The committee understands that the sea based midcourse defense segment is still in its infancy, but is encouraged by the first intercept achieved in the Aegis LEAP Interceptor (ALI) Flight Demonstration Program with an interceptor launched from the Aegis cruiser USS Lake Erie in January. The committee recommends an increase of \$27.0 million to purchase additional ALI test articles for threat representative testing.

# Long range S-band and X-band discrimination radar development

The committee is also supportive of the new emphasis on improving existing shipboard radar to enable better ballistic missile discrimination and tracking and recommends an increase of \$25.0 million to accelerate long range S-band and X-band discrimination radar development.

The committee recommends \$3,244.6 million, an increase of \$52 million for ALI flight demonstration program and \$25.0 million for long range radar improvements.

# Boost defense segment

The budget request contained \$796.9 million in PE 63883C for the boost defense segment.

The committee recommends \$719.4 million, a decrease of \$77.5 million.

Of the amount requested, \$144.0 million is for "hit-to-kill" boost phase intercept programs that rely on the energy of motion (kinetic energy) of the interceptor to negate the target. The request supports two programs, consisting of \$89.6 million for a sea-based boost program leading to a demonstration in fiscal year 2005, and \$54.4 million for a space-based boost program leading to a demonstration in fiscal year 2006. The committee is concerned that, given the amount of tactical air power already available, and recent successes with armed unmanned aerial vehicles in Operation Enduring Freedom, MDA may have overlooked the most promising near term alternative for boost phase defense—air—based kinetic energy boost phase intercept. The committee urges MDA to give serious consideration to this option.

Of the amount requested, \$625.4 million is for directed energy boost phase programs that rely on laser heating to induce structural failure of the target. The request for directed energy programs consists of \$34.8 million for the space-based laser and \$598.0 million for the airborne laser (ABL).

In the case of ABL, the committee is concerned by escalating costs and the slip in schedule of a lethal shoot down demonstration from fiscal year 2003 to fiscal year 2005. The committee understands the formidable technical challenges this program faces. While the committee expects that one day directed energy will play a role in ballistic missile defense, the committee notes that the 30 plus year historical record on developing lethal, militarily-useful systems is singularly unimpressive. The committee questions a boost phase defense investment strategy that relies on this difficult technology to the extent that the current program does, and encourages MDA to more seriously pursue alternative approaches. The committee recommends \$520.5 million for ABL, a reduction of \$77.5 million specifically to funds requested to begin payments on a second aircraft and purchase long lead optics.

#### Sensors segment

The budget request contained \$373.4 million in PE 63884C for the boost defense segment.

The committee recommends the budget request. The committee believes that space-based sensors with play a key role in ballistic missile defense, and supports the restructured Space-Based Infrared System-low (SBIRS-low) program proposed by MDA. The restructured program will begin to place satellites in orbit in the fiscal year 2006–2007 timeframe to support, with current developmental technologies, the activities of the 2004 Pacific Test Bed, but will continue to compete and evolve more capable sensor payloads for later launches.

# THAAD and PAC-3

The budget request contained \$932.2 million in PE 64861C for the Theater High Altitude Air Defense system (THAAD, and \$150.8 million in PE 64865A for PAC–3 engineering and manufacturing development (EMD). Funds for these two programs were requested under separate program elements, as required for programs in EMD by section 223(b) of title 10, United States Code, as amended by Section 232 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107–107).

The committee supports the budget request, but moves PAC-3 EMD funding into MDA for reasons previously discussed. The committee notes that the Army began fielding limited numbers of PAC-3 missiles in September of 2001 as planned, and has begun initial operational testing while continuing an RDT&E program to improve the system's capability against an expanded threat set.

After a troubled test program culminating in intercept of two ballistic missile targets in 1999, THAAD entered EMD in fiscal year 2000. However, the program is not scheduled to resume flight tests until late fiscal year 2004. The committee understands that the THAAD interceptor is undergoing major redesign and re-engineering, but given the nearly \$1 billion budget for this program, and the likelihood that certain flaws will only be uncovered in flight, the committee questions the advisability of such a long hiatus in flight testing.

## *Cooperative programs*

Although the committee believes that the United States should lead in the development of ballistic missile defense systems, the committee does not think that missile defense should be a protection simply provided by the United States to the international community, but rather a capability whose benefits and costs are shared with friends and allies. Accordingly, the committee makes special note of support for international cooperative programs in the budget request, including \$65.7 million for Israel's Arrow terminal missile defense program in PE 63881C, \$69.1 million for the Russian-American Observation Satellite program in PE 63884C, \$79.0 million for cooperative research with Japan on sea-based upper tier defense in PE 63882C, and \$117.7 million for co-development with Italy and Germany of the Medium Extended Air Defense System (MEADS) in PE 63869A. The committee is familiar with the special difficulties associated with execution of international cooperative programs, but believes that such programs help to advance the national security agenda of the United States.

#### *Exploration of alternative approaches*

The committee understands that the Department may investigate other options for ballistic missile defense—nuclear—armed interceptors, blast fragmentation warheads, and directed energy technologies—as alternatives to current approaches based predominantly on hit-to-kill technology. The committee would consider such an examination of alternatives to be a prudent step, consistent with the commitment to evaluate all available technological options for this critical mission.

#### Ballistic missile defense baseline reports

The Committee is aware that the Missile Defense Agency (MDA) is in the process of completing an internal planning document known as the Technical Objectives and Goals document (TOG). As the cornerstone of this system engineering process, the TOG and applicable supporting systems engineering documents provide a development baseline for each block within the overall ballistic missile defense system that sets performance goals at the system, project, and in some cases the component level. The Committee further understands that the TOG will be an essential document in formulating the 2004 budget request. The resulting Ballistic Missile Defense (BMD) System baseline from this process will be documented as part of the BMD System Selected Acquisition Reports, submitted annually to Congress.

The Committee directs the Director of MDA to ensure that the relevant performance goals and development baselines of the TOG be communicated to Congress as part of the budget justification materials accompanying the FY 2004 and future budget requests. Such development baselines shall be made available for each block. In particular, the baselines shall be made available for projects developing systems that may be fielded, and other programs and projects identified as congressional special interest items.

The Committee recognizes the difficulty in summarizing data to make it readily understandable for Congress. This difficulty is compounded by the transition from a requirements-based acquisition process to a capability-based process. The Committee further appreciates and supports the efforts made by MDA to respond in a timely fashion to requests for further information made by the Committee. To make the voluminous data that MDA provides more readily understandable, the Committee directs the Director of MDA to ensure that the annual budget justification material for each system include the funding profile for developing the major components of each of the projects that may be fielded, and for each block of that system.

The committee notes that section 232(h) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107–107) requires a report by the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation (OT&E) by no later than February 15 of each year. To date, no specific report by the Director of OT&E has been received. The Committee directs the Director of OT&E to comply with the statutory requirement, and expects the Missile Defense Agency to continue to work cooperatively with the Director of OT&E so that the report may be prepared and submitted in a timely fashion in the future.

# Cobra blue force tracking equipment

The budget request contained \$281.4 million in PE 116404BB for tactical systems development, but included no funds for blue force tracking equipment.

The committee is aware that the Army Special Operations Command has an approved combat mission needs statement (MNS) to equip its teams with secure blue force tracking devices. It is also aware that the Marine Corps has a draft MNS for its Marine expeditionary units. The committee notes that a limited blue force tracking capability has been deployed, with unanimous praise from the units so equipped. The committee further notes that additional equipment is required to fully equip deployed Army Special Forces and Marine Expeditionary Units.

The committee recommends \$283.9 million in PE 116404BB, an increase of \$2.5 million to develop the next generation cobra blue force tracking equipment.

# Chemical/biological defense research, development, test and evaluation program

The budget request contained a total of \$932.9 million for chemical/biological defense research, development, test, and evaluation, including \$64.1 million in PE 61384BP for basic research, \$262.2 million in PE 62384BP for applied research, \$249.8 million in PE 63384BP for advanced technology development, \$144.8 million in PE 63884BP for demonstration/validation, \$169.0 million in PE 64384BP for engineering and manufacturing development, and \$43.0 million in PE 65384BP for RDT&E management support. The budget request also contained \$133.0 million in PE 62383E for the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) biological warfare defense research program.

# Engineered pathogen identification and countermeasures program

The committee notes that the potential threat of using unknown or genetically modified pathogens as biological warfare agents places a high premium on the ability to rapidly identify the pathogen and its disease producing characteristics and to develop and implement therapies for countering the agent. The committee understands that human genome mapping promises beneficial advances both in medicine and in the identification and treatment of biological warfare pathogens. Advances in biotechnology, computational biology and computational chemistry promise the capability to shrink the drug research cycle significantly. In fiscal year 2002, Congress provided \$2.0 million to initiate a program for rapid identification and development of countermeasures to biological warfare and engineering pathogens.

The committee recommends an increase of \$7.0 million in PE 61384BP to continue the program for applied research in identification and development of countermeasures to genetically modified or engineered pathogens.

# Rapid antibody-based biological countermeasures

The committee has been advised that recent advances in molecular biology combined with advances in microbiology have resulted in the development of powerful technologies, based upon a human antibody platform, which can be used to devise effective countermeasures against biological weapons.

The committee recommends an increase of \$2.0 million in PE 62384BP for applied research in human antibody-based countermeasures against biological agents.

### Multi-wavelength surface scanning biological sensor

The budget request contained \$16.0 in PE 63714D8Z for the advanced sensors applications program.

The committee understands that recent advances in multi-wavelength excitation spectral technology shows promise for development of high spectral resolution fluorescence systems that would provide the capability to detect and identify biologic agents not discernible with conventional sensors by exploiting the fine spectral signatures of both the biologic target and the existing background. The committee notes oversight by the Office of the Secretary the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Command, Control, Communications, and Intelligence) of a program for development and demonstration of multi-wavelength excitation spectral technology that, if successful, could provide a leap-ahead improvement in the scanning and screening of potentially contaminated locations.

The committee recommends an increase of \$2.0 million in PE 63714D8Z to continue the development of active, high-resolution, broad-band infrared sensors for real-time detection and identification of pathogens. The committee directs the Assistant to the Secretary of Defense (Chemical-Biological Defense) and the Director, Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency to review the program for coordination and potential integration in related programs in the DOD chemical-biological defense program or DARPA biological warfare defense program.

### *Chemical-biological regenerative air filters*

The committee recommends an increase of \$8.0 million in PE 62384BP to accelerate the program for applied research in chemical-biological regenerative air filtration technology to replace activated charcoal filters in Navy and other collective protection systems.

#### Chemical-biological mass spectrometer II

The chemical-biological mass spectrometer (CBMS) is a detector capable of both biological and chemical agent detection and identification. The CBMS Block I system is a component of the P3I Biological Integrated Detection System (BIDS). The CBMS Block II system is an improved system that is being developed for inclusion in the NBCRS Block II system (IAV–NBCRV) and the Joint Service Lightweight NBCRS system. The CBMS II is being further enhanced to allow operation as a stand-alone system.

The committee understands that CBMS Block has demonstrated an effective chemical agent detection and identification capability and that the currently funded effort would complete the development of this chemical capability. A January 2002 peer review of further testing concluded that the CBMS Block II had demonstrated the potential capability for biological detection and that continued development should focus on the development and testing of biological agent identification algorithms, improve systems reliability and producibility, and develop a logistics and maintenance support program. Successful completion of the development program would result in a single integrated detection system for future nuclear, biological, chemical reconnaissance platforms.

The committee recommends an increase of \$10.0 million in PE 63884BP to accelerate the program for development and demonstration of the CBMS Block II.

# Asymmetric protocols for biological defense enhancement

The committee recommends \$137.0 million in PE 62383E, an increase of \$4.0 million for applied research in asymmetric protocols for biological defense with emphasis on enhancing individual non-specific immunities to and blocking pathogens from biological warfare threat agents.

#### Mustard gas prophylactic

The budget request included \$262.2 million in PE 62384BP for the Chemical Biological Defense program, but included no funding for the development of a mustard gas prophylactic.

The committee recognizes the threat of mustard gas as a potential weapon of mass destruction and notes that existing methods of protection are focused primarily on external apparel. The committee is aware of a technology, referred to as Signal Transduction Interruption Methodology Antioxidant Liposomes (STIMAL) that might provide a prophylactic defense. The anticipated research product supports the body's immune and molecular systems to first stabilize and then accelerate the recovery process in cases of unprotected exposure. Prophylactic treatment testing has yielded a rate of treatment as high as 83% and shows some promise for even higher rates with further development. The committee supports further research, development, and testing to accelerate this initiative.

The committee recommends an increase of \$3.0 million in PE 62384BP for STIMAL.

# Biological defense homeland security test bed

The budget request included \$485.1 million for Department of Defense (DOD) homeland security initiatives requested by the Office of Homeland Security: the biological counterterrorism research program and the biological defense homeland security support program. The committee understands that the objective of the biological defense homeland security support program is to initiate a comprehensive pilot program to build a National Biological Defense System for the Office of Homeland Security. The program will create and deploy a national, multi-component, multi-organization defense capability that is targeted to protect urban areas, other highvalue assets, and special events; and to provide an integrated homeland security capability designed to detect, mitigate, and respond to biological-related incidents. The committee notes that the Department's fiscal year 2003 plans for the program include establishment of a fully equipped DOD test bed in each of the military departments, an enhanced chemical-biological monitoring system in the National Capitol Region, and an initial biological surveillance and monitoring capability in two additional urban areas.

The committee understands that the pilot project to increase chemical biological defense capabilities at DOD installations will equip nine diverse DOD installations selected by the military departments with state-of-the-art contamination avoidance, protection and decontamination equipment, enhanced emergency response capabilities for consequence management, and an integrated command and control network. The project will also include a comprehensive training and exercise plan for each installation.

The committee notes, however, that the criteria and process for selecting the locations of, and establishing the two urban area test beds have not been determined.

The committee believes that the Department of Defense should proceed promptly with a pilot program for establishing the two urban area test beds to provide the capability to develop, test, validate and deploy technologies and systems that will provide for increased homeland security. The committee also believes that selection of the sites for these test beds should take into account the factors of the potential biological threat to the area, geography, transportation and other critical infrastructure networks, military and government presence. Other criteria should include previously established crisis and consequence response capabilities, industrial, medical, and academic activities, and the availability of state, regional, local governmental, and non-governmental activities that would participate in the test bed.

The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to establish a competitive program for selection of urban area test beds in the biological defense homeland security support program. The committee believes that the regional biological defense network will serve as a national model to develop and promote integrated solutions to secure America's borders, support first responders and defend against bioterrorism.

# C3I intelligence programs

The budget request contained \$75.7 million in PE 35190D8Z and \$5.6 million in the Defense Emergency Response Fund (DERF) for C3I intelligence programs.

The committee notes that the program has a very significant increase over the prior year, due to a new program called horizontal fusion. This program has insufficient program definition associated with it.

The committee recommends a decrease of \$34.0 million in PE 35190D8Z for C3I intelligence programs.

# *Combat sent data distribution upgrade*

The budget request included \$4.6 million in PE 35207G for manned reconnaissance systems, but included no funds for the Combat Sent data distribution upgrade.

The committee is aware that Combat Sent does not have a high data-rate communications link to allow near real-time distribution of data to theater commanders and analysis centers.

The committee recommends \$12.9 million in PE 35207G, an increase of 8.3 million for the Combat Sent data distribution upgrade.

# *Combating terrorism technology support*

The budget request contained \$49.0 million in PE 63122D8Z for the combating terrorism technology support program. The program develops technology and prototype equipment that address DOD, interagency, and international technology requirements for combating terrorism.

# Lightweight biological detectors

The committee notes a number of competing technologies for development of portable, light-weight, biological-detection and identification systems that are capable of rapidly detecting and positively identifying a broad range of biological agents and other organisms. The committee recommends an increase of \$4.0 million in PE 63122D8Z to accelerate the competitive development and evaluation of these systems.

#### *Chemical-biological electrostatic decontamination system*

The committee notes that the electrostatic decontamination system is a photosensitive, electrostatically charged mist, which when sprayed onto a contaminated surface and illuminated with ultraviolet light destroys the chemical or biological agents that are present. Successful development and demonstration of the electrostatic decontamination system could result in a field expedient decontamination capability that would be less dependent on water and would not require the deployment of post-decontamination waste disposal equipment.

The committee recommends an increase of \$4.3 million in PE 63122D8Z to continue the development and evaluation of the electrostatic decontamination system.

#### Facial recognition technology

Given the rise in the number of terrorist attacks against Americans, the committee remains committed to anti-terrorism efforts and biometrics technology in particular. The committee notes the potential force protection applications and surveillance benefits of facial recognition technology and is aware of ongoing operational testing in this field.

The committee understands that further development in this area is necessary to improve both image quality and automatic recognition performance rates.

The committee recommends an increase of \$4.0 million in PE 63122D8Z for facial recognition technology.

#### Magnetic quadrupole resonance explosives detection

The committee notes the development, demonstration, and employment of scanning explosive detection systems that use magnetic quadrupole resonance technology to detect the presence of explosives in luggage and mail with a greatly enhanced detection probability and reduced false alarm rate.

The committee recommends an increase of \$2.0 million in PE 63122D8Z to accelerate the development and evaluation of mag-

netic quadrupole resonance technology for screening of personnel for the presence of explosives and to extend the application of the technology to the screening of cargo and vehicles.

#### *Commercial imagery to support military requirements*

The budget request supported purchase of commercial imagery, products and services in support of national intelligence and military needs.

The committee believes real progress has been made in the past year with respect to understanding the desirability of integrating commercial remote sensing into the national architecture.

However, the committee believes that insufficient progress has been made by the Secretary of Defense and the Director of Central Intelligence in achieving the goals outlined by Congress in its fiscal year 2002 direction on this subject. Given the lateness of last year's defense bills, the committee appreciates the difficulty in complying fully with last year's direction. Nevertheless, the committee is genuinely disturbed by reports that the process for development and implementation of the commercial imagery strategy called for may now be on hold.

The committee also questions the wisdom and cost effectiveness of continued investment in government infrastructure for imagery, products and services that would likely be contracted out by 2005 on implementation of the desired commercial strategy. This area will receive increased scrutiny by the committee.

Finally, the committee is not satisfied with the geospatial readiness of our troops. There is no reason for them to not have the best geospatial products based on the most current geospatial data available in the United States today. Regrettably, they do not.

# *Complex systems engineering*

The budget request contained \$11.2 million in PE 63704D8Z for special technical support, but included no funds for complex systems engineering.

The committee is aware that multi-view data standards are being developed for an integrated digital design environment supporting complex systems design. The committee is also aware that this project is developing common data formats to permit data from advanced computer-based system design and analysis tools in use by DOD to be efficiently integrated, eliminating the costly and time-consuming manual interface that is standard today. The committee notes the significant success achieved in the development of the multi-view data framework and supports its application to additional pilot efforts.

The committee recommends \$17.0 million in PE 63704D8Z, an increase of \$5.8 million for complex systems engineering.

#### Computer science and internet security degree program

The budget request contained \$394.3 million in PE 33140G for information systems security programs, but included no funds for the computer science and internet security degree program.

The committee notes that there is an increasing dependency within the Department of Defense on the internet. This reality has generated a growing need for individuals who are highly trained in computer and internet security tools and procedures necessary to protect systems from attack.

The committee recommends an increase of \$750 thousand in PE 33140G for the computer science and internet security degree program.

#### Defense agency science and technology funding

The budget request contained \$9,677.2 million for defense science and space technology, including all defense-wide and military service funding for basic research, applied research, and advanced development.

The committee notes that this amount represents an increase of \$919.5 million, or 10.5 above the amount requested for the fiscal year 2002 budget, and 2.7 percent of the budget request. However, the committee also notes that the amount requested for science and technology is a decrease of \$199.3 million from the amount provided by Congress for fiscal year 2002. The committee commends the Department of Defense commitment to a goal of 3 percent of the budget request for the defense science and technology program and progress toward this goal.

The committee views defense science and technology investments as critical to maintaining U.S. military technological superiority in the face of growing and changing threats to national security interest around the world, and believes that both the defense agencies and the military departments have vital roles in DOD's science and technology investment strategy. Defense agencies focus on science and technology specific to the particular agency or, in the case of DARPA, on problems of national-level problems, operational dominance, and exploitation of high-risk, high-payoff technology. The military departments' science and technology programs focus on the development and transition of more mature technologies into future weapons systems.

The committee notes that the defense-wide science and technology account increased over 14 percent while the Air Force account increased over 5 percent and the Army and Navy science and technology accounts each decreased more than 21 percent (over \$400 million each). Although the committee is pleased with the overall progress in the defense science and technology program, the committee continues to be disturbed by the continuing trend of overall reduction in the military departments' science and technology program in comparison to significant increases in the Defense-wide science and technology account and in the amount budgeted for the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA), in particular. The committee concern is not directed at the content of the DARPA program, but rather on the Department's continuing trend of placing higher priority on defense agency science and technology programs at the expense of the already inadequate service research and development budgets. The committee believes that the Department has not provided sufficient justification to support these imbalances in funding levels between defense agencies and the services, and, therefore, recommends correcting these imbalances by reductions in the DARPA accounts that appear to show disproportionate growth and distribution of those funds among service science and technology projects.

The committee recommends the reductions in the program elements listed:

#### [In millions of dollars]

61101E—Defense research science	12.0
62301E—Computing systems and communications technology	50.0
62712E—Materials and electronics technology	50.0
63285E—Advanced aerospace systems	50.0
The committee directs that the reduction of \$50.0 million in	n PE

62301E not be assessed against DARPA Information Awareness Office programs.

#### *Defense counterintelligence programs*

The budget request contained \$60.7 million in PE 35146D8Z for classified programs-C3I, but included no funding for an initiative to accelerate efforts to support specific counterintelligence awareness efforts.

Additional details are contained in the classified annex to this report.

The committee recommends an increase of \$5.0 million in PE 35146D8Z for the Defense counterintelligence programs initiative

# Defense experimental program to stimulate competitive research

The budget request contained \$9.9 million in PE 61114D8Z for the Defense Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research (DEPSCoR).

The committee is aware that DEPSCoR is helping to improve our nation's science and technology capability through funding of meritreviewed research activities at universities in 21 states and Puerto Rico. The committee notes that these programs help individuals, institutions and states improve their research capabilities and to become more competitive for other funding sources.

The committee recommends \$19.9 million in PE 61114D8Z, an increase of \$10.0 for DEPSCoR.

# Defense imagery and mapping program

The budget request contained \$143.5 million in PE 35102BQ for the Defense imagery and mapping program. The committee is concerned that promising projects are under-funded. Additional details are found in the classified annex to this report.

The committee recommends an increase of \$28.0 million in PE 35102BQ for the Defense imagery and mapping program.

# Defense manufacturing supply chain management

The budget request contained \$25.5 million in PE 63712S for logistics research and development technology demonstration.

The committee notes defense industry concerns about reducing lead time and increasing the quality of procured parts and equipment from their respective supply chains as a strategy to deliver weapons systems to their Department of Defense customers on time and on budget. These concerns extend to hard-to-find and obsolete spare parts and equipment.

The committee recommends \$27.0 million in PE 63712S, an increase of \$1.5 million to develop and demonstrate an integrated, multi-state, virtual defense manufacturing supply chain pilot capability.

# Defense travel system

The budget request contained \$30.4 million in PE 65124D8Z for the defense travel system.

The committee notes that the budget request for the defense travel system increased precipitously and believes that the last year's level is sufficient.

The committee recommends \$20.4 million in PE 65124D8Z, a decrease of \$10.0 million for the Defense travel system

#### Enhanced techniques for the detection of explosives

The budget request contained \$33.6 million in PE 63228D8Z for physical security equipment research and development.

The committee notes that the detection of explosives is a major concern. Standoff detection of hidden or buried ordnance, including improvised explosive devices, landmines, unexploded ordnance, is critical in mitigating the risk and increasing the safety of military and civilian personnel involved in the clearance of landmines and unexploded ordnance, explosive ordnance disposal operations and the response of security forces to an incident.

The committee recommends an increase of \$3.0 million in PE 63228D8Z for multidisciplinary research in the development of advanced technologies for the detection of explosives, improvised explosive devices, landmines, and unexploded ordnance that will lead toward the development of cost effective, highly reliable, computer integrated explosive detection systems.

# Environmental security technical certification program

The budget request contained \$28.3 million in PE 63851D8Z for the Environmental Security Technical Certification Program.

The committee believes that budget justification documents support funding at the previous year's level, but the 40 percent increase requested is not sufficiently justified. The committee recommends \$20.3 million in PE 63851D8Z, a de-

The committee recommends \$20.3 million in PE 63851D8Z, a decrease of \$8.0 million for the Environmental Security Technical Certification Program.

# Integrated optoelectronics technology

The budget request contained \$440.5 million in PE 62712E for applied research in materials and electronics technology.

The committee notes the potential for advances in high capacity interconnects, innovative chip scale technologies, advanced microelectromechanical systems, and miniaturization and integration of optical systems that would enable the development of advanced optoelectronics devices for defense and other applications.

The committee recommends an increase of \$2.0 million in PE 62712E for applied research in integrated optoelectronics technology.

# Interdisciplinary biological nanoscience research

The budget request included \$175.6 million in PE 61101E for multidisciplinary science basic research, and included \$9.3 million for specific research in nanostructure biology.

The committee views the Nanostructure in Biology program as potentially providing new and unprecedented opportunities to exploit a wide range of bio-functionality in a number of military application areas, including chemical and biological sensing, diagnostics, and therapeutics. Potential innovations in this area include the development of algorithms for real-time atomic level resolution of molecules. Such resolution techniques could provide unique threat countermeasures, biomolecular sensors and motors, drug delivery devices, and advanced wound healing techniques, all sharing the potential for providing far-reaching applications in future battlefield environments.

The committee notes the ongoing research involving nanospheres, nano-biosensors, and multi-crystal equipment, and encourages further work in this area for detecting cytotoxicity. The committee recognizes the unique benefit of interdisciplinary studies and strongly supports further investment in this area.

The committee recommends \$10.8 million in PE 61101E for the Nanostructure Biology program, an increase of \$1.5 million, for interdisciplinary biological nanoscience research.

#### Joint technology office

The budget request contained \$13.6 million in PE 63924D8Z for high energy laser advanced technology programs.

The committee understands the challenges of developing high energy lasers for weapons applications. The committee is encouraged by the progress demonstrated in some of the large and more visible demonstration programs, but believes it necessary to proceed on a broad front to develop the full range of technologies required to bring directed energy to the battlefield.

The committee recommends \$28.6 million in PE 63924D8Z, an increase of \$15.0 million.

# Kinetic energy-anti satellite system

The budget request contained no funding in PE 63XXXD8Z for kinetic energy-anti satellite (KE–ASAT) system.

The committee notes that the kinetic energy-anti satellite system is to develop an option for space control, a critical capability for future space operations.

The committee recommends an increase of \$7.5 million in PE 63XXXD8Z for the kinetic energy-anti satellite system.

# Medical free electron laser

The budget request contained no funding in PE 62227D8Z for the ongoing medical free electron laser (MFEL) program and recommended transfer of program management to the National Institutes of Health.

The committee is aware that the MFEL program has been a good example of a peer-reviewed program that is oriented toward military medical applications. The committee believes that the MFEL program transfer was inappropriate and supports MFEL retention within DOD.

The committee directs the Secretary of Defense not to transfer the MFEL program and recommends \$15.0 million in PE 62227D8Z for MFEL.

# Multi-function self-aligned gate

The budget contained \$11.9 million in PE 35206G for manned reconnaissance systems, but included no funds for multi-function selfaligned gate (MSAG) tile antenna technology.

The committee believes that MSAG has the potential to revolutionize antenna design and result in significant improvement in data rates to meet rapidly escalating demand for information exchange in the new digital warfare environment.

The committee recommends \$14.9 million in PE 35206G, an increase of \$3.0 million for the development and demonstration of MSAG tile antenna technology.

#### Multi-link antenna system

The budget request contained \$199.6 million in PE 63750D8Z for advanced concept technology demonstrations, but included no funds for the multi-link antenna system (MLAS).

The committee notes that MLAS technology has demonstrated communications using small arrays, and is at the point in development at which a larger, full-scale demonstration is appropriate. The committee is aware that the multi-link antenna system advanced concept technology demonstration (ACTD) has been planned and approved by the Secretary of Defense.

The committee recommends an increase of \$14.0 million in PE 63750D8Z for the multi-link antenna system ACTD.

# National collaborative environment

The committee, in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107–107), directed the Secretary of Defense and Director of Central Intelligence to develop a proposed architecture for a national collaborative environment. The committee is aware that the ongoing war against terrorism has increased the need for such a capability.

The committee notes, with pleasure, that the Secretary of Defense has initiated a well-staffed program through the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) to develop the fundamental architecture, technologies and tools necessary to enable a national collaborative environment. The committee realizes that architectural studies may well impact the direction and effort of the DARPA program, and requests the Secretary of Defense to ensure that the Director, DARPA is a full participant in those study efforts and is made fully aware of all related work within the Department.

The committee strongly encourages the Secretary of Defense to provide continued support for this vital DARPA program and the ultimate establishment of a national collaborative environment.

# "Smart" fuzing

The committee believes the United States should make every effort to reduce the susceptibility of friendly forces and non-combatants to accidental death or injury—including that which results from inappropriate contact with unexploded ordnance—without impairing military effectiveness, capability, or mission accomplishment. Hence, the committee recommends the Department of Defense place greater priority on the development of affordable and effective submunition fuses that have reliability rates comparable to those found in unitary munitions fuzing, thus increasing performance of these munitions while reducing the danger of unexploded ordnance to friendly forces and non-combatants. The committee also encourages the continued development of "smart" landmines with higher reliability and performance rates.

# Spike urban warfare system

The budget request contained \$6.7 million in PE 116401BB, for special operations technology development, but included no funds for the Spike urban warfare system.

The committee is aware that enhancements are required for shoulder fired guided missiles, and improvements to the guidance system in order to better defeat hard targets and reduce collateral damage in an urban environment.

The committee recommends \$11.7 million in PE 116401BB, an increase of \$5.0 million for the Spike urban warfare system.

# Strategic environmental research and development program

The budget request contained \$60.5 million in PE 63716D8Z for the strategic environmental research and development program (SERDP).

The committee notes that insufficient budget specific justification has been provided for SERDP including an \$11.0 million increase over the level of funding projected for fiscal year 2003 in the fiscal year 2002 amended budget request.

The committee recommends \$30.5 million in PE 63716D8Z, a decrease of \$30.0 million for the Strategic Environmental Research Program.

#### Tactical missile recycling

The budget request contained \$8.9 million in PE 63104D8Z for explosives demilitarization technology, and included \$5.8 million for tactical missile recycling.

The committee is aware that the explosive demilitarization technology program is a cooperative interservice, interagency effort focused as the sole Department of Defense program dedicated to the development of safe, efficient, and environmentally acceptable processes for resource recovery and recycling or disposition of strategic, tactical, and conventional munitions including explosives and rocket motors.

The committee recommends \$11.9 million in PE 63104D8Z, an increase of \$3.0 million for tactical missile recycling technology.

### Thermobaric warhead development

The budget request contained \$77.4 million in PE 63160BR for development and demonstration of counterproliferation advanced technologies.

The committee notes the effectiveness of thermobaric materials, a new class of explosives that demonstrate impressive capabilities for generation of pressure and thermal effects much greater than conventional high explosives. Weapons employing thermobaric warheads were developed rapidly and employed with great effectiveness in support of tunnel defeat operations in the war on terrorism in Afghanistan. The committee also notes the progress being made in the development and demonstration of advanced thermobaric warheads in the on-going thermobaric warhead advanced concept technology demonstration lead by the Defense Threat Reduction Agency.

The committee recommends an increase of \$4.0 million in PE 63160BR for thermobaric warheads advanced technology development. The committee recommends continued close coordination of the DTRA program with the Navy's insensitive munitions program and the Army's advanced warheads development program.

#### Unmanned aerial vehicles major acquisition programs

The committee is aware that recent successful operational employment of unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV) has heightened military service efforts to develop and field several new variants, with additional capabilities. The committee notes that though the budget request has rapidly increased overall funding for UAVs, formal acquisition management documentation is incomplete or not yet developed in many instances.

The committee expresses its concern about proper program management elsewhere in this report, and is specifically concerned that UAV programs adhere to the same standards as other acquisition programs. The committee recognizes the necessity for and benefit of acquiring a robust family of UAVs, but believes that the Secretary of Defense and military service Secretaries must ensure that the programs are managed well, to prevent unanticipated cost growth and schedule delays experienced by other new systems. The committee notes that while the acquisition per unit cost may be relatively small, in the aggregate, the acquisition cost rivals the investment in other larger weapon systems.

#### **OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE**

#### Overview

The budget request contained \$222.1 million for Operational Test and Evaluation RDT&E. The committee recommends authorization of \$222.1 million.

The committee recommendations for the fiscal year 2003 Operational Test and Evaluation RDT&E program are identified in the table below. Major changes to the Operational Test and Evaluation request are discussed following the table.

FY 2003 Committee FY 2003 Authorization Change from Committee Request Request Authorization	149,819 1,486,083	6,010	123,276	19,725	10,102	62,941	0 222,054	0 222,054	0
FY 2003 Authorization Request	1,336,264	6,010	123,276	19,725	10,102	62,941	222,054	222,054	0
PROGRAM TITLE		UPERATIONAL TEST & EVAL, DEFENSE TEST & EVALUATION SCIENCE TECHNOLOGY	CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT (CTEIP)	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION	LIVE FIRE TESTING	DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL TEST & EVAL, DEFENSE	RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT
R-1 Line		1	2 20 20	ი ი	4	9 9			
Program Element Number		0603941D8	0604940D8	0605118D8	0605131D8	0605804D8			

# LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

# SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

#### Section 201—Authorization of Appropriations

This section would establish RDT&E funding levels for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2002.

# Section 202—Amount for Basic and Applied Research

This section would establish basic and applied research funding levels for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2002.

# SUBTITLE B—PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS, RESTRICTIONS, AND LIMITATIONS

# Section 211–RAH–66 Comanche Aircraft Program

This section would prohibit the Secretary of the Army from obligating any of the funds in fiscal year 2003 for engineering and manufacturing development of the RAH–66 Comanche aircraft program until the Secretary submits to the congressional defense committees a report, prepared in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics, containing an accurate estimate of funds to complete engineering and manufacturing development and the new restructured timeline for bringing the aircraft to initial operational capability.

This provision would also impose a cost cap on the total cost of engineering and manufacturing development (EMD), require an annual report by the Department of Defense Inspector General (DOD IG) that would assess the progress of EMD and its prospect of completion under the cost cap, and allow adjustments to the cost cap for economic inflation and compliance with laws enacted after September 30, 2002. The annual report would be required until EMD is complete. Finally, the provision would limit the obligation of funds authorized to be appropriated for each year to 90 percent until the DOD IG annual report is submitted.

# Section 212—Management Responsibility for Navy Mine Countermeasures Programs

This section would amend section 216 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1992 and 1993 (Public Law 102– 190) and would extend the implementation of the Management Responsibility for Navy Mine Countermeasures programs through fiscal year 2008.

The committee believes that the requirement that the Secretary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff provide an annual certification of the adequacy of the Navy's mine countermeasures program has had a positive impact on the program, increasing the visibility of and attention paid to the program by officials in the Department of Defense and the Navy. The committee notes the direction contained in the committee report on H.R. 3616 (H. Rept. 105–532) that the annual certification by the Secretary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff address the adequacy of funding for the mine countermeasures program for the budget year through the end of the future years defense program and also include objective measures against which the Navy's progress in enhancing its mine countermeasures capabilities can be evaluated.

Section 213—Extension of Authority To Carry Out Pilot Program for Revitalizing the Laboratories and Test and Evaluation Centers of the Department of Defense

Section 246 of the Strom Thurmond National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (Public Law 105–261) authorizes the Secretary of Defense to conduct a pilot program for revitalizing the laboratories and test and evaluation centers of the Department of Defense with the objective of improving cooperative relationships for the performance of research and development with universities and other private sector entities. This provision would amend section 246 to add the demonstration of improved efficiency in the performance of the research, development, test, and evaluation functions of the Department of Defense to the objectives of the pilot program and would also extend the authorization for the program until March 1, 2008.

# Section 214—Revised Requirements for Plan for Manufacturing Technology Program

This provision would amend section 2525(e) of title 10, United States Code, to reduce the requirement for update and submission to Congress of the five-year plan for the Department of Defense (DOD) Manufacturing Technology Program from annually with the submission of the DOD budget to biennially. The amendment would also delete the requirement including in the report an annual assessment of program effectiveness and an annual assessment of the extent to which the costs of manufacturing technology projects are being shared.

# Section 215—Technology Transition Initiative

The provision would require the Secretary of Defense, acting through the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, to carry out an initiative to facilitate the rapid transition of new technologies from DOD science and technology programs into DOD acquisition programs. The initiative would be managed by a senior official in the Office of the Secretary of Defense, who would be assisted by a board of directors composed of the acquisition executives of the military departments, the members of the Joint Requirements Oversight Council, and the commander of the Joint Forces Command; and who would be responsible for identifying promising technology that have been demonstrated in DOD science and technology programs, identify potential sponsors and establish management agreements for the transition of such technologies into production, and provide not less that fifty percent of the funding for recommended projects that are se-lected for such funding support. The provision would also require the Secretary to establish a panel of highly qualified scientists and engineers to advise the Under Secretary on matters relating to the initiative.

The provision would further require that the amount requested for activities of the initiative shall be set forth in a separate program element within amounts requested for Defense-wide research, development, test, and evaluation activities. The committee expects that the Secretary of Defense will establish a funding planning wedge for the Technology Transition Initiative program in the future years defense plan.

The committee notes that the Technology Transition Initiative established pursuant to Sec. 215 does not replace, but complements the responsibility of the senior acquisition executives of the Department of Defense and the military departments and the heads of the Defense agencies with research and development responsibilities under section 5358, title 10, United States Code (section 904, Public Law 106–301) to ensure that the science and technology programs under their authority are carried out in such manner that will foster the transition of science and technology to higher levels of research, development, test, and evaluation.

#### Section 216—Defense Acquisition Challenge Program

This provision would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a pilot program, the Defense Acquisition Challenge Program, to provide a person, institution, industrial corporation, or activity within or outside the Department of Defense the opportunity to propose the insertion of unique and innovative technologies ("challenge proposals") at the component, subsystem, or system level of an existing DOD acquisition program that, compared to the incumbent component, subsystem, or system, would result in substantially superior improvements in performance, affordability, manufacturability, or operational capability of that acquisition program.

The provision would require the Secretary to establish procedures under which challenge proposals would be submitted for review and evaluation by a panel of scientists and engineers estab-lished under the auspices of the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics). The committee believes that these procedures should provide for the solicitation of component, subsystem, or system-level technologies that, if incorporated in the appropriate defense acquisition program would result in substantial improvements in the program and could be transitioned rapidly into a fielded program, a block change, or a spirally developmental increment. The committee expects that the review panel would take a "best value" approach that encompasses consideration of such criteria as potential improvement in performance, affordability, manufacturability, and operational capability. Those proposals with merit would be requested to submit a proposal to be reviewed by the government program office and the prime system contractor for the impacted program. The program office-prime contractor team would then conduct an independent review of the merits of the challenge proposal, including whether the challenge proposal is likely to result in improvements in performance, affordability, manufacturability, or operational capability at the component, subsystem, or system level of the applicable acquisition program and whether the challenge proposal could be implemented rapidly in the program through changes in fielded systems, through block changes to the component, subsystem, or system, or through a spiral development increment. Each challenge proposal determined under a favorable full review and evaluation by the program

office and prime contractor to satisfy the criteria outlined above would then be considered by the prime system contractor for incorporation into the acquisition program as a new technology insertion at the component, subsystem, or system level.

The committee believes that the challenge program could provide an excellent avenue for accelerating the introduction of new and innovative technology into defense acquisition programs and that appropriate incentives, such as share-in-saving or other appropriate incentives, should be established to encourage the program office and the prime contractor to adopt successful challenge proposals that meet the criteria outlined above.

# SUBTITLE C—BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE

Section 231—Limitation on Obligation of Funds for Procurement of (PAC-3) Missiles Pending Submission of Required Certification

This section would prevent obligation of funds for procurement of PAC-3 pending submission to the congressional defense committees of criteria for the transfer of missile defense programs from the Missile Defense Agency to the military departments, and certification by the Secretary of Defense that those criteria have been met for the PAC-3 program. The criteria and certification are required by sections 224(b)(2) and 224(c), respectively, of title 10, United States Code.

Section 232—Responsibility of Missile Defense Agency for Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation Related to System Improvements of Programs Transferred to Military Departments

This section would amend Section 224(e) of title 10, United States Code to require the Director of the Missile Defense Agency to retain responsibility for research, development, test, and evaluation related to improvements of missile defense systems and system components that have been transferred to the military departments for procurement and fielding.

Section 233—Amendments To Reflect Change in Name of Ballistic Missile Defense Organization to Missile Defense Agency

This section would amend a number of provisions of permanent law to reflect the name change of the "Ballistic Missile Defense Organization" or "BMDO" to the "Missile Defense Agency" or "MDA".

# TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

# OVERVIEW

The budget request for operation and maintenance represents an increase of \$22.7 billion over spending levels authorized and appropriated for fiscal year 2002. Of this increase, \$13.8 billion has been included for the Defense Emergency Response Fund (DERF), and \$3.3 billion represents the cost for Department of Defense civilian employees health care and retirement accrual funding. The remaining \$5.6 billion, which represents three percent of the operation and maintenance budget request, is allocated to what the Department has termed "realistic budgeting" and inflation. As detailed elsewhere in the report, \$10.0 billion of the DERF, and the \$3.3 bil-

lion for the civilian employees accrual funding have not been included in the committee's recommendations.

Although the budget request has been portrayed as the largest increase in defense spending in many years, the reality is that there are little, if any, real increases. The committee is concerned with the Department's ability to achieve acceptable readiness in the military services. The proposed level of funding, coupled with the need to fight a war, and \$25.4 billion in unfunded requirements identified by the chiefs of the military departments for fiscal year 2003, suggests that adequate military readiness will be difficult to sustain in the long term. Not only is there concern for sustaining adequate readiness for the duration of the current conflict, but also a looming concern for the inevitable reconstitution of our forces when the war has concluded. Many of the Department's combat weapons systems are long past their initial design lifespan, and there are only a few replacement systems on the drawing boards.

The committee notes the increased attention and recognition of historic under-funding in many of the critical readiness accounts with the application of realistic funding this year. Even this approach of realistic funding, however, may not sustain readiness at acceptable levels. As an example, the budget request includes an increase for ship depot maintenance of \$621.2 million. This significant increase will not provide any additional ship repair over previous years, it will merely fund previously programmed ship repair requirements and may negate the annual practice of re-programming and supplemental funding requests. Even with this laudable attempt at realistic funding, the committee notes that the ship repair accounts are only funded at the 95 percent level. The committee conducted a series of hearings in an effort to ob-

The committee conducted a series of hearings in an effort to obtain a more accurate and detailed assessment of current and nearterm readiness from senior DOD civilian and military leaders. As it has been for the past several years, the overwhelming impression left on the committee was of an overextended force struggling to maintain acceptable readiness levels in a wartime environment coupled with domestic terrorism concerns. The committee continues to hear complaints about lack of spare parts, aging equipment, decaying infrastructure, growing equipment and facility backlogs, and the difficulties of conducting quality training and operational deployments with significant personnel shortages. The committee notes that within the fiscal years 01, 02, and 03 DERF accounts, over \$4.0 billion has been dedicated for increased force protection requirements. The committee applauds the Department for these much needed security improvements and urges the Department to assign the same level of effort to the other infrastructure needs of the Department.

The committee notes that the Department has made some progress, but must continue to take steps to reduce costs in nonreadiness related accounts. At the same time, the Department must provide aggressive oversight over proposals to reduce costs through contracting out and privatization. The committee is concerned with the apparent differing opinions between the Department and the Office of Management and Budget as to the need for further mandated outsourcing studies. At the same time, the committee is aware that the Department has turned to strategic sourcing as a means to make outsourcing decisions without the need for prolonged studies. The committee is concerned that strategic sourcing may encompass consolidation, restructuring, privatization, and the outright termination of existing services, which may produce short-term savings, but may prove to be more costly in the future and may have an adverse impact on readiness. The committee fully supports well developed and justified programs that will reduce costs and prove over time to enhance readiness; however, at a time when military readiness is critical for the successful prosecution of the war effort, the committee does not believe the Department should conduct uncoordinated new programs.

the Department should conduct uncoordinated new programs. Consistent with past practice, the committee has identified spending that does not directly support readiness and has reprioritized it into areas that will. In making decisions on how best to apply resources to address readiness problems, the committee relied heavily on testimony received during extensive oversight hearings and on the unfunded priorities identified by the service chiefs.

Dollars in Thousands)	(Dollars in Thousands)		
	EV 2003	COMMITTEE	EV 2003
ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG	AUTHORIZATION	CHANGE FROM	COMMITTEE
	REQUEST	REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY	24,581,055	(421,322)	24.159.733
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY	29.028.813	400.063	29.428.876
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS	3.357.952	230,560	3.588.512
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE	27.304.623	(5.219)	27.299.404
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE	14,515,304	(145,267)	14,370,037
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE	1,923,330	(5,220)	1,918,110
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE	1,165,961	67,798	1,233,759
<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE</b>	185,532		185,532
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE	2,190,917	3,802	2,194,719
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	4,136,822	163,945	4,300,767
OPEATIONS AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD	4,150,961	(73,116)	4,077,845
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY	395,900		395,900
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY	256,948		256,948
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE	389,773	ı	389,773
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE-WIDE	23,498		23,498
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, FORMERLY USED DEFENSE SITES	212,102		212,102
DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES	848,907	•	848,907
NATIONAL GUARD COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES			
OVERSEAS CONTINGENCIES	50,000	(50,000)	•
PENTAGON RENOVATION			
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL	163,440	(8,275)	155,165
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES	9,925	(311)	9,614
OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AFFAIRS	58,400		58,400
SUPPORT OF INTERNATIONAL SPORTING COMPETITIONS	19,000		19,000
PAYMENT TO KAHO'OLAWE ISLAND	25,000		25,000
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM	14,360,271	(117,730)	14,242,541
COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION	416,700		416,700
EMERGENCY RESPONSE FUND, DEFENSE	20,055,000	(20,055,000)	•
TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	149,826,134	(20,015,292)	129,810,842
DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS	2,338,759	(833,803)	1,504,956
NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND	934,129		934,129
TOTAL WORKING CAPTIAL FUNDS	3,272,888	(833,803)	2,439,085

TITLE III - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

LINE	ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	HOUSE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 HOUSE RECOMMENDATION
- 0	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY			
<b>ω</b> ₹	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES			
1001	LAND FORCES DIVISIONS CONTRACTOR	<b>3,731,924</b> 1,425,204	8,000 9,000	<u>3,739,924</u> 1,433,204
~∞o⊉	void weatrer violiming (c.v.v.o.) CORPS COMBAT FORCES CORPS SUPPORT FORCES ECHELON ABOVE CORPS SUPPORT FORCES	424,191 361,001 405,752	000'0	424,191 361,001 405,752
÷	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,115,776		1,115,776
1 12 14	LAND FORCES READINESS FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	2,830,611 1,529,998	<u>82,000</u>	2.912.611 1,575,998
19 19 19	Continuity of Operations/ DERF Transfer-Alternate National Military Command Center Continuity of Operations/ DERF TransferCONUS Support LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	491,947	44,000 2,000	491,947
5 <u>5</u> 19	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE ARMY AVIATION WARFIGHTFING SIMULATION CENTER TRAINING RANGE MODERNIZATIONS	808,666	4,000 32,000	808,666 4,000 32,000
2 2 2 2 2	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT HOMISATSO I EASE Cree Increase	<u>6,343,330</u> 3,207,409	<b>21.850</b>	<b>6.365.180</b> 3,204,859
5 28 23	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION (OPERATING FORCES MANAGEMENT & OPERATIONAL HEADULARTIERS MANAGEMETAR PREVENTIONAL TRANSLACTION BARGONDE	1,146,516 297,834	6400	1,146,516 307,234
1 8 8 8 F	UNIFIED COMMANDS MISCELLANEOUS ACTIVITIES Nuclear Posture Review-DOD/DERF Transfer-Information Systems Security Program	83,961 1,607,610	15,000	83,961 1,622,610
2888	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES BUDGET ACTIVITY 02: MOBILIZATION	12,905,865	111,850	13,017,715

TITLE III - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (Dollars in Thousands)
---

LINE	ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION	HOUSE CHANGE FROM	FY 2003 HOUSE
		REQUEST	REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION
35				
36	MOBILITY OPERATIONS	544,464	0	544,464
37	STRATEGIC MOBILIZATION	365,257		365,257
38	ARMY PREPOSITIONED STOCKS	158,237		158,237
39	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	9,497		9,497
40	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION (MOBILITY OPERATIO	11,473		11,473
41				
42	TOTAL, BA 02: MOBILIZATION	544,464	0	544,464
43				
44	BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
45				
46	ACCESSION TRAINING	486.227	12.000	498.227
47	OFFICER ACQUISITION	88,026		88.026
48	RECRUIT TRAINING	20,197		20.197
49	ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING	22.486		22 4B6
50	SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS' TRAINING CORPS	209,550		209.550
51	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT (ACCESSION TRAINING)	89,214		89.214
52	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION (ACCESSION TRAININ	56,754		56,754
ß	ARMY DEPOT APPRENTICESHIP PROGRAM		10.000	12.000
54	Anniston Cooperative Program		2.000	
55				
56	BASIC SKILL/ ADVANCE TRAINING	2,690,568	19,500	2.710.068
57	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	365,041		384,541
58	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferArmy Language Program, Army TIARA	am, Army TIARA	19,500	
59	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferContract Linguists-FP, Army TIARA	Army TIARA	9,400	
60	Security, Communications & Information Operations/Contract Linguists-FP, Army TIARA, Transfer to HR 4547	ansfer to HR 4547	(6,400)	
61	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferContract Linguists-Interrogation Detainees	errogation Detainees	5,000	
62	Security, Communications & Information Operations/Contract Linguists-Interrogation Detainees, Transfer to HR 454	ees, Transfer to HR 454	(5,000)	
63				
64	FLIGHT TRAINING	402,481		402.481
65	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	133,572		133,572
99	TRAINING SUPPORT	431,508		431,508
67	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT (BASIC SKILL/ADVANCED TRAINING)	1,006,102		1,006,102
68	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION (BASIC SKILL/ADV TR.	351,864		351,864

LINE	ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	HOUSE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 HOUSE RECOMMENDATION
69	SUNIN VOLTURE TO ANNUNC	700 000 1		
2 12	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	458,788	2,300	458.788
72	EXAMINING	87,568		87,568
73	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	208,860		208,860
74	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	99,193		99,193
75	JUNIOR RESERVE OFFICERS' TRAINING CORPS	97,512		97,512
a, 12	BASE OF ENATIONS SUPPORT (HECHOLIZO HER TRAINING) Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferPE0135197	915,062	2,300	252,616
78				
62	TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING	4,379,032	33,800	4,412,832
ß				
<u>8</u> 8	BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
8	SECURITY PROGRAMS	572 013	15 000	587 013
84	SECURITY PROGRAMS	572 013	202121	587 013
85	Continuity of Operations/ DERF TransferCONUS Support		2.000	
86	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferBattle Space Characteristics		2,000	
87	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferSecurity & Investigative Activities	s	10,000	
88	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferSecurity & Investigative Activities	ŝ	1,000	
68				
8	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	1,824,815	35,000	1,859,815
91	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	608,608		608,608
92	CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES	547,994		547,994
83 83	LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	356,424		373,424
8	AIT/RFID for Maintenance		9,000	
95	AIT/RFID for Pre-Positioned Stocks		8,000	
96	EMS AND POINT-TO-POINT WIRING AND SIGNAL SYSTEM		6,000	6,000
26	AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT	311,789		311,789
888	CONTROSION CONTROL		12,000	12,000
<u>6</u>		4,091,152	16,400	4,107,552
101	Ā	638,845		639,445
102	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferCritical Infrastructure Protection	_	600	

	ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	HOUSE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 HOUSE RECOMMENDATION
103 SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	PRVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	655,796	C C C C	671,596
	Community of Operations/DELF Transfer->CONOS Support Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferCollaboration Planning/Enablers	Enablers	5,000 2.500	
	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferCONUS Support		500	
	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-InformationSystems Security Program	curity Program	4,600	
108 Security, Communicat	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-InformationSystems Security Program Security Communications & Information Constitution(DEDE Transfer InformationSystems Security Program	curity Program	1,700	
È		cumy ringiam 245.901	006'1	245.901
111 OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	UPPORT	204,749		204.749
-	ORT	623,408		623,408
-		112,215		112,215
	EMENT	54,282		54,282
	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT (SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT)	1,298,623		1,298,623
116 FACILITIES SUSTAINM	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION (SERVICEWIDE SUPP.	257,333		257,333
118 SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS	ATIONS	263 714	c	117 230
	ARY HEADQUARTERS	205.623	2	205.623
120 MISC. SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS	HER NATIONS	58,091		58,091
122 TOTAL, BA 04: ADMI 123	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	6,751,694	66,400	6,818,094
124 UNDISTRIBUTED				
			4.000	4.000
126 CSRS ACCRUAL ADJUSTMENT	STMENT		(612.382)	(612.382)
127 BRAC PREPARATION FUNDS	SONU		(24,100)	(24,100)
-	JPPORT SYSTEM		(5,000)	(2,000)
129 CAMEKBAK HYDRATION SYSTEM 130	N SYSTEM		4,110	4,110
131 TOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED	ITED		(633,372)	(633,372)
	TOTAL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY	24,581,055	(421,322)	24,159,733
135 OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE NAVY	ITENANCE NAVY			

LINE	ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION	HOUSE CHANGE FROM	FY 2003 HOUSE
137	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES	HEQUESI	HEQUESI	HECOMMENDATION
138 139	<u>AIR OPERATIONS</u>	5,297,220	2,000	5,299,220
140	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	3,247,197		3,247,197
141	FLEET AIR TRAINING	1,030,024		1,030,024
142	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	69,945		69,945
143	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT	109,072		109,072
144	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	785,052		785,052
145			2,000	2,000
147	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	55,930		55,930
148				
149		8,299,846	64,000	8,363,846
150	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	2,442,911		2,442,911
151	SHIP OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AND TRAINING	589,655		596,655
152	Continuity of Operations/DERF TransferVarious		5,000	
153	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferCryptologic Direct Support	t	2,000	
154	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	406,251		406,251
155	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	3,536,452		3,536,452
146	LHA Stability Improvement Alterations		57,000	57,000
156	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,324,577		1,324,577
157				
158	COMBAT OPERATIONS/SUPPORT	2,023,576	46,700	2.070,276
159	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	424,042		424,042
160	ELECTRONIC WARFARE	15,485		15,485
161	SPACE SYSTEMS & SURVEILLANCE	205,001		205,001
162	WARFARE TACTICS	166,186		166,186
163	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY & OCEANOGRAPHY	273,412		273,412
164	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	767,833		814,533
165	Continuity of Operations/DERF TransferVarious		2,000	
166	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferVarious		2,000	
167	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferClassified		1,000	
168	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Intelligence Analysts		3,000	
169	Security, Communications & Information Operations/IDERF TransferSCI GCCS I3		3,800	
2	Security, communications & information Operations/DEHF I ransfer-GENSEH gccs 13		5,400	

L INE	ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	HOUSE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 HOUSE RECOMMENDATION
171	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DEFF Transfer-JDIS/LOCE/CENTRIX contributions & Information Operations/IDEFF Transfer-JDIS/LOCE/CENTRIX	24	(5,300) 5 300	
173		J 4-0	1.500	
174			22,500	
175			5,500	
176	Ш	169,941		169,941
177	DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,676		1,676
178				
179		1,432,513	13,000	1,445,513
8		162,185		162,185
R	T.	001,008		813,150
182			000'/	
183	_	43,314		43,314
184	≥	420,864		426,864
185	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferPioneer		6,000	
186				
187		0	01	
188	NWCF SUPPORT			D
189				
190		3,902,471	465,581	4,368,052
191	A L	1,153,732		1,375,432
192			219,200	
193			2,500	
194	Ы	2,748,739		2,992,620
195	-		143,096	
196	-		32,573	
197	Ī		1,712	
198	Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF TransferShipyard Security Forces & technicians		28,000	
199	Homeland SecurityBase Support Services		38,500	
200	•			
201	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	20,955,626	591,281	21,546,907
202	DIIDEET ACTIVITY VS. MOBILIZATION			
204				

LINE ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	HOUSE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 HOUSE RECOMMENDATION
205 READY RESERVE AND PREPOSITIONING FORCES	733,791	4,000	737,791
	3,432		3,432
208 SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS 209 FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM	156,037 25.561		156,037
	1 207	4,000	1 207
. •	18,759		18,759
213 214 TOTAL, BA 02: MOBILIZATION	733,791	4,000	737,791
215 216 BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
21/ 218 ACCESSION TRAINING	209,817	0	209,817
	115,943	1	115,943
220 RECRUIT TRAINING 221 RESERVE DEFICIERS TRAINING CORPS	10,413 83 461		10,413 R3 461
			- pt 500
223 BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING	1.078.776	14,000	1,092,776
224 SECURIZED SWILL INVINING 225 Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferPre-deployment Training	ao1,114 a	1.000	303,114
i		1,000	
227 ELIGHT TRAINING 200 Iddaessional Devel oparent editoation	371,096		371,096
- •	218,765		137,501 218 765
~		6,000	6,000
231 NAVY SHIPYARD APPRENTICESHIP PROGRAM		6,000	6,000
232 233 BECENTTING AND OTHED TRAINING AND ENVICATION		1000 17	
-,	257,292	(4:30)	257,292
-	102,643		102,643
236 CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	75,178		75,178
	35,358		35,358
		(4,830)	(068,4)

LINE	ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	HOUSE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 HOUSE RECOMMENDATION
239 240 242 242 243 243 243	BASE SUPPORT FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF TransferSite Improvement BASE SUPPORT Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF TransferSecurity Forces and Technicians	<mark>600,462</mark> 224,764 375,698	<b>43.500</b> 42,000 1,500	<mark>643,962</mark> 266,764 377,198
246 246 247 248 248	TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	2,359,526	52,570	2,412,096
250 251 253 253 254 255 255	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT ADMINISTRATION EXTERNAL RELATIONS COMBINITY OPACES COMINUTY OF OPERATIONS/DERF TransferVarious Control Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF TransferHQ Management and Planning	4.979.870 669.509 4.639	<b>74.360</b> 7,000 1,600	5.054.230 669.509 4,639 8,600
258 258 258 259 261 261 262 263 263	UNITARY MARPOWER & PERSONNEL MG I UNITARY MANPOWER & PERSONNEL MG I OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-HO Management and Planning Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Computer Network Defense Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-enclave Boundary Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-enclave Boundary MEDICAI ACTIVITIES	119,785 199,536 732,372 nse	3,920 3,800 1,200	106,945 106,896 199,551 742,432
265 265 267 268 269 269 270 270 272	SERVICEWDE TRANSPORTATION SERVICEWDE TRANSPORTATION ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferAcquisition and Program Management AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT COMBATIWEAPONS SYSTEMS A 4	186,372 393,563 857,646 857,646 464,959 51,399 43,907	11,000	186,872 0 393,563 868,646 868,646 464,959 51,399 43,907

LINE	ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	HOUSE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 HOUSE RECOMMENDATION
273	SPACE & ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS	59,899		60,399
274	<ul> <li>Security, Communications &amp; Information Operations/DERF TransferCarry on Cryptologic Systems</li> </ul>	TIS	500	
275	SECURITY PROGRAMS	767,090		781,290
276			2,000	
277	<ul> <li>Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Intel/Security &amp; Investigative Matters</li> </ul>		3,500	
278	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferHUMINT		3,700	
279	Security, Comm. & Info Oper/DERF TransferCounter surveillance & law enforcement adv. Details		5,000	
280	INTERNATIONAL HDQTRS & AGENCIES	9,349		9,349
281	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	99,406		112,406
282	Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF TransferSite Improvement		13,000	
283	BASE SUPPORT	212,958		212,958
284	I STAINLESS STEEL SANITARY SPACES		15,000	15,000
285			2,000	2,000
286				
287	7 TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	4,979,870	74,360	5,054,230
288				
289	UNDISTRIBUTED			
290	) CSRS ACCRUAL ADJUSTMENT		(324,278)	(324,278)
291	-		1,000	
292	NAVAL SEA CADET PROGRAM		1,000	1,000
293	3 UNITING THROUGH READING PROGRAM		130	130
294				
295	5 TOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED		(322,148)	(322,148)
296				
297	7 TOTAL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY	29,028,813	400,063	29,428,876
298				
299	) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS			
300				
301	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES			
302		100 000 0	007 110	100 01 0
205	DEFRATIONALY FORCES	631 065	001.142	2./ 43.904 636.965
305	5		1,000	
306	3 Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferI-SURSS		700	

307     Security, Communications & Information Operations/DEFF Transfer - THSS     (1,000)       308     Security, Communications & Information Operations/DEFF Transfer - THSS     (1,000)       310     Security, Communications & Information Operations/DEFF Transfer - THS     (1,000)       311     Security, Communications & Information Operations/DEFF Transfer - THS     (1,000)       312     Security, Communications & Information Operations/DEFF Transfer + HEF     (200)       313     Security, Communications & Information Operations/DEFF Transfer + HEF     (200)       314     Security, Communications & Information Operations/DEFF Transfer + HEF     (200)       315     Security, Communications & Information Operations/DEFF Transfer + HEF     (200)       316     Security, Communications & Information Operations/DEFF Transfer + HEF     (200)       317     Security, Communications & Information Operations/DEFF Transfer + HEF     (200)       318     Security, Communications & Information Operations/DEFF Transfer - HEG     (200)       319     Security, Communications & Information Operations/DEFF Transfer - HEG     (200)       311     Security, Communications & Information Operations/DEFF Transfer - HEG     (200)       320     Security, Communications & Information Operations/DEFF Transfer - HEG     (200)       321     Security, Communications & Information Operations/DEFF Transfer - HEG     (200)       322		ommunications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-TRSS ommunications & Information Operations/TRSS, Transfer to HR 4547 ommunications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer to HR 4547 ommunications & Information Operations/TCAC, Transfer to HR 4547 ommunications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer to HR 4547 ommunications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer to HR 4547 ommunications & Information Operations/TERF, Transfer to HR 4547	REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION
Security, Communications & Information Operations/DEFT Transfer to HT 4547 Security, Communications & Information Operations/DEFT Transfer to HT 4547 Security, Communications & Information Operations/DEFT Transfer - TCMC Security, Communications & Information Operations/DEFT Transfer - TCMC Security, Communications & Information Operations/DEFT Transfer - TEMC Security, Communications & Information Operations/DEFT Transfer - TEM Security, Communications & Information Operations/DEFT Transfer - TEM Security, Communications & Information Operations/DEFT Transfer - Deployed Security Interdiction Devices TOD Communications & Information Operations/DEFT Transfer - Deployed Security Interdiction Devices TOD Communications & Information Operations/DEFT Transfer - Deployed Security Under Security Under Security Urbit DOIST Security, Communications & Information Operations/DEFT Transfer - Deployed Security Urbit DOIST Security, Communications & Information Operations/DEFT Transfer - Deployed Security Urbit DOIST Security, Communications & Inform		ommunications & Information Operations/TRSS, Transfer to HR 4547 ommunications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-TCAC ommunications & Information Operations/TCAC, Transfer to HR 4547 ommunications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-TREP 54 ommunications & Information Operations/TERF, Transfer-TREP 54		(1,000)	
Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer. DHR 4547 Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer. DER 4547 Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer. TEG Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer. DH 4547 Security. Communications &		ommunications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-TCAC ommunications & Information Operations/TCAC, Transfer to HR1 4547 ommunications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-MREP 54 ommunications & Information Operations/FREP, Transfer-MREP 54		1,000	
Security Communications & Information Operations/TERF Transfer-MFEF       500         Security Communications & Information Operations/TERF Transfer-MFEF       200         Security Communications & Information Operations/TERF Transfer-MFE       200         Security Communications & Information Operations/TERF Transfer-MFE       200         Security Communications & Information Operations/TERF Transfer-MFC       200         Security Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer NH 4547       2,400         Security Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer NH 4547       2,400         Security Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer NH 4547       2,400         Security Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer NH 4547       2,000         Security Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer NH 4547       2,000         Security Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer NH 4547       2,000         Security Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer NH 4547       2,000         Security Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer NH 4547       2,000         Security Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer NH       2,000         Security Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer NH       2,000         Security Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer NH       2,000         Security Communications & Information Oper		ommunications & Information Operations/TCAC, Transfer to HR 4547 ommunications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-HREP ommunications & Information Operations/FREP, Transfer to HR 4547		(200)	
Security. Communications & Information Operations/RTEP. Transfer - TREP Security. Communications & Information Operations/RTEP. Transfer to Ht 4547 Security. Communications & Information Operations/RTEP. Transfer to Ht 4547 Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferTRO.AN LIE Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferDeptyed Security Interdiction Devices Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferDeptyed Security Interdiction Devices Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferDeptyed Security Interdiction Devices Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Deptyed Security Ungrades Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Deptyed Security Ungrades Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Deptyed Security Ungrades Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Deptyed Security Ungrades ACC Outer Temoism/Trone Protection/DERF Transfer-Deptyed Security Ungrades ACC Outer Temoism/Trone Protection/DERF Transfer-Deptyed Security Ungrades ACC Outer Temoism/T		ormunications & Information Operations/DERF TransferRREP ormunications & Information Operations/RREP, Transfer to HR 4547		500	
Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer to HR 45.47 700 Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-MCA Analytic Support 2, 4000 Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer to HR 45.47 Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer to HR 45.47 Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer to HR 45.7 Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferIMMES/CESAS. Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferIMMES/CESAS. Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferIMMES/CESAS. Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferDeloyed Security Interdiction Devices 700 Exercity. Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferDeloyed Security Interdiction Devices 700 ESURPOINT DEPOT MANITENANCE ACCOUNTIER Security Exercity Exercition Security Exercition Security Communications & Information Security Communications & Information Security Exercition Security Exercition Security Exercitions/DERF TransferDhysical Security Upgrades 42.5 Counter TenroismeTores Protection/DERF TransferDhysical Security Upgrades 42.5 Counter TenroismeTores Protection/DERF TransferDhysical Security Upgrades 44.5 Counter Tenror		ommunications & Information Operations/RREP, Transfer to HR 4547		(200)	
Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferPC       700         Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer und 4347       2,400         Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer to HR 4347       2,400         Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer to HR 4347       2,400         Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer to HR 4347       2,400         Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer to HR 4347       2,900         Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer to HR 4347       2,900         Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer to HR 4347       2,900         Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer to HR 4347       2,900         Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer to HR 4347       2,900         Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer to HR 4347       2,900         Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer to HR 4347       2,900         Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Deployed Security Interdiction Devices       700         Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Secure Wireless       2,900         Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Deployed Security Interdiction Devices       700         Security, Communica				200	
Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer to HR 4547 Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Deployed Security Interdiction Devices Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Deployed Security Interdiction Devices Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Deployed Security Interdiction Devices TELL LOGISTICS DEPCT MMNTFIANCE REAS SUPPORT Counter Terrofism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Physical Security Upgrades AGES SUPPORT Counter Terrofism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Physical Security Upgrades AGES SUPPORT Counter Terrofism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Physical Security Upgrades AGES UPPORT Counter Terrofism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Physical Security Upgrades AGES UPPORT Counter Terrofism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Physical Security Upgrades AGES UPPORT Counter Terrofism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-P		ommunications & Information Operations/DERF TransferTPC		200	
Security. Comm. & Info Operations/DERF Transfer to HR 4547 Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer to HR 4547 Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-TROJAN Ltata Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-JER Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-JR 0160 Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-SR Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-SR Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-LAMES/CESAS Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Deloyed Security Interdiction Devices Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Deloyed Security Endores Ocuriter Tearoins/Fore Protection/DERF Transfer-Dhysical Security Upgrades Counter Tearoins/Fore Protection/DERF Transfer-Dhysical Security Upgrades Counter Tearoins/Fore Protection/DERF Transfer-Division Supplement Devices Supprofiles NoRWAY PREPOSITIONING 2000 2, 2455 2000 2, 2456, 2456 2000 2, 2200		ommunications & Information Operations/DERF TransferMCIA Analytic Support		(2,400)	
Security. Communications & Information Operations/ERF Transfer - TEG Security. Communications & Information Operations/ERF Transfer to HR 4547 Security. Communications & Information Operations/ERF Transfer-FLAMES/CESAS Security. Communications & Information Operations/ERF Transfer-FLAMES/CESAS Security. Communications & Information Operations/ERF Transfer-LAMES/CESAS Security. Communications & Information Operations/ERF Transfer-Deployed Security Interdiction Devices Security. Communications & Information Operations/ERF Transfer-Deployed Security Upgrades Security. Communications & Information Operations/ERF Transfer-Deployed Security Upgrades Antime Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Physical Security Upgrades Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Physical Security Upgrades Antime PREPOSITIONING Ocurter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Physical Security Upgrades Antime PREPOSITIONING Ocurter Ter		omm. & Info Oper/MCIA Analytic Support, Transfer to HR 4547		2,400	
Security. Communications & Information Operations/TEG, Transfer to HR 4547     1,000       Security. Communications & Information Operations/TEG, Transfer to HR 4547     (1,500)       Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-ISR     (1,500)       Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-ISR     (1,500)       Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-LAMES/CESAS     (2,900)       Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-LAMES/CESAS     (2,000)       Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Computer Network Defense     (2,000)       Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Computer Network     (2,000)       Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Computer Network     (2,000)       Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-LAMES/CESAS     (2,000)       Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-LAMES/CESAS     (2,000)       Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Deputer     (2,000)       Security. Communications & Inf		ommunications & Information Operations/DERF TransferTEG		(1,000)	
Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer to HR 4547 Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-LAMES/CESAS Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-LAMES/CESAS Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Deployed Security Interdiction Devices Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Deployed Security Interdiction Devices Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Deployed Security Interdiction Devices TeILD LOGISTICS DEPOT MANITENANCE ARSE SUPPORT Counter Tenrofism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Division Counter Tenrofism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-ENDING Counter Tenrofism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-ENDING Counter Tenrofism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-ENDING Counter Tenrofism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-ENDING Counter Tenrofism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-ENDING SUPPORT Counter Tenrofism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-ENDING Counter Tenrofism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-ENDING SUPPORT COUNTER FREPOSITIONNG SUPPORT COUNTER FREPOSITIONNG SUPPORT COUNTER FREPOSITIONNG SUPPORT COUNTER FREPOSITIONNG SUPPORT COUNTER FREPOSITIONNG SUPPORT COUNTER FREPOSITIONNG SUPPORT COUNTER FREPOSITIONNG SUPPORT COUNTER FREPOSITIONNG SUPPORT SUPPORT FREPOSITIONNG SUPPORT SUPPORT FREPOSITIONNG SUPPORT SUPPORT SUPPORT FREPOSITIONNG SUPPORT SUPPORT SUPPORT FREPOSITIONNG SUPPORT SUPPORT SUPPORT FREPOSITIONNG SUPPORT SUPPORT FREPOSITIONNG SUPPORT SUPPORT SUPPORT SUPPORT SUPPORT SUPPORT SUPPORT SUPPORT SUPPORT SUPPORT SUPPORT SUPPORT SUPPORT SUPPORT		ommunications & Information Operations/TEG, Transfer to HR 4547		1,000	
Security. Communications & Information Operations/TRO.MN Life, Transfer to HR 4547 Security. Communications & Information Operations/TRO.MN Life, Transfer to HR 4547 Security. Communications & Information Operations/ISR, Transfer-L-LAMES/CESAS Security. Communications & Information Operations/DEFF Transfer-L-LAMES/CESAS Security. Communications & Information Operations/DEFF Transfer-LAMES/CESAS Security. Communications & Information Operations/DEFF Transfer to HR 4547 Security. Communications & Information Operations/DEFF Transfer to HR 4547 Security. Communications & Information Operations/DEFF Transfer-Deployed Security Interdiction Devices Security. Communications & Information Operations/DEFF Transfer-Deployed Security Ungrades Security. Communications & Information Operations/DEFF Transfer-Deployed Security Ungrades Security Communications & Information Operations/DEFF Transfer-Deployed Security Ungrades Security Communications & Information Security Ungrades Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DEFF Transfer-CINC AT/FP Staffs Norther Terrorism/Force Protection/DEFF Transfer-Phy		ommunications & Information Operations/DERF TransferTROJAN Lite		(1,500)	
Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer -LISH Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer to HR 4547 Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer to HR 4547 Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer to HR 4547 Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer Computer Network Defense Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Scure Wireless Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Physical Security Upgrades ERELD LOGISTICS Counter TerrorismFroure Protection/DERF Transfer-Physical Security Upgrades FACILITIES SUFTORMMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION Counter TerrorismFrome Protection/DERF Transfer-Physical Security Upgrades FACILITIES SUFTORNING NORWAY PREPOSITIONNIG MARITIME PREPOSITIONNIG SUFTOR DERFILES DOINT DERFILES DOINT COUNTER Counter TerrorismFrome PrepositionNIG NORWAY PREPOSITIONNIG SUFTOR DERFILES DOINT		ommunications & Information Operations/TROJAN Lite, Transfer to HR 4547		1,500	
Security, Communications & Information Operations/SIR, Transfer to HH 4547 Security, Communications & Information Operations/SIR, Transfer-EL-MES/CESAS Security, Communications & Information Operations/SICAMES/CESAS, Tanafer HH 4547 Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Deployed Security Interdiction Devices Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Deployed Security Interdiction Devices TelELD LOGISTICS DEPOT MAINTERANCE ARS EURPORT Ocurer Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Dhysical Security Upgrades Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Dhysical Security Upgrades Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Dhysical Security Upgrades Admin Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Dhysical Security Upgrades Admin Time PREPOSITIONNIG Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Dhysical Security Upgrades Admin Time PREPOSITIONNIG Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Dhysical Security Upgrades Admin Time PREPOSITIONNIG DORMAY PREPOSITIONNIG 24556 Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Dhysical Security Upgrades Admin Time PREPOSITIONNIG 2455 DORMAY PREPOSITIONNIG 2455 DORMAY PREPOSITIONNIG 24557 2456, 2456 2456, 247, 2450 247, 100 2473 2456, 247, 100 2473 2456, 247, 247, 247, 247, 247, 247, 247, 2450 2456, 247, 247, 247, 247, 247, 247, 247, 2450 247, 2450 2456, 247, 247, 247, 247, 247, 247, 247, 2		ornmunications & Information Operations/DERF TransferISR		(2,900)	
Security. Communications & Information Operations/ERF Transfer-FLAMES/CESAS. Security. Communications & Information Operations/ERF Transfer to HK 4547 Security. Communications & Information Operations/ERF Transfer Omputer Network Defense Security. Communications & Information Operations/ERF Transfer-Deployed Security Interdiction Devices Security. Communications & Information Operations/ERF Transfer-Deployed Security Interdiction Devices Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Deployed Security Interdiction Devices Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Deployed Security Interdiction Devices Security Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Deployed Security Interdiction Devices Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Deployed Security Interdiction Devices Security Encommence Security Experiment Commenting Security Explorement Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-CINC AT/FP Staffs Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-CINC AT/FP Staffs Norther Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-		ommunications & Information Operations/ISR, Transfer to HR 4547	÷	2,900	
Security, Communications & Information Operations/IEAMES/CESAS, Transfer to HR 4447 2.000 Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer/Computer Network Defense 2.000 Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Secure Wieless 2.000 Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Secure Wieless 2.000 Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Deployed Security Interdiction Devices 700 EFIED IOGISTICS 2.000 BERD IOGISTICS 2.000 BERS SUPPORT Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Physical Security Upgrades 4.01 BASE SUPPORT Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Physical Security Upgrades 4.45.582 MARITIME PREPOSITIONNIG & MODEINUZATION 2.466.604 2.45.600 NORWAY PREPOSITIONNIG 3.3.813 COUNTER TERRORISMIC 2.2466.604 2.47,100 2.7 TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES 2.2466.604 2.47,100 2.7		ommunications & Information Operations/DERF TransferFLAMES/CESAS		(2,000)	
Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer/Computer Network Defense 2,000 Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer/Computer Network Defense 800 Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Deployed Security Interdiction Devices 700 FIELD LOGISTICS 289.401 DEPC In MAINTENANCE 289.401 BASE SUPPORT Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Deployed Security Equipment 907,824 Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Physical Security Upgrades 445.552 MARITIME PREPOSITIONING 8.0DEHNIZATION 445.552 MARITIME PREPOSITIONING 3.10,000 FACIULTIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODEHNIZATION 80.743 NORWAY PREPOSITIONING 3.13.13 ORIGINAL, BA 01: OPERATING SAND FORCE 7000 TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCE 7000 COUNTER FORCE 70000 FOULD FORCE 700000 FOULD FORCE 70000 FOULD FORCE 700000 FOULD FORCE 700000 FOULD FORCE 700000 FOULD FORCE 7000000 FOULD FORCE 7000000 FOULD FORCE 70000000 FOULD FORCE 70000000 FOULD FORCE 700000000 FOULD FORCE 7000000000 FOULD FORCE 7000000000 FOULD FORCE 7000000000000000000000000000000000000		ommunications & Information Operations/FLAMES/CESAS, Transfer to HR 4547		2,000	
Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Secure Wireless Security. Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Deployed Security Interdiction Devices 700 FIELD LOGISTICS DEPOT MAINTENANCE BASE SUPPORT Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Physical Security Equipment Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Physical Security Upgradets Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer Protecti		ommunications & Information Operations/DERF TransferComputer Network Defe	se	2,000	
Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Deployed Security Interdiction Devices 700 EFEOT MAINTENANCE 29101 299,401 298,576 138,576 2018,576 2		ommunications & Information Operations/DERF TransferSecure Wireless		800	
FIELD LOGISTICS     289.401     2       DEPTOT MAINTENANCE     138576     138576       DASE SUPPORT     907.624     228,000       Counter Terroism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Physical Security Equipment     97.624     228,000       Counter Terroism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Physical Security Upgrades     3,200     3,200       Counter Terroism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Physical Security Upgrades     445,582     10,000       FACILITIES SUSTINMENT, RESTORATION & MODEINIZATION     80,743     3,200       NORWAY PREPOSITIONING     3,813     3,813       NORWAY PREPOSITIONING     3,813     2,496,804     247,100       COUNTER ADDITION AND DEPORTING     2,496,804     247,100     2,7		ommunications & Information Operations/DERF TransferDeployed Security Inte	diction Devices	200	
DEPOT MAINTENANCE 138.576 138.576 14 BASE SUPPORT Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Physical Security Equipment Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Physical Security Upgrades Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Physical Security Upgrades Administration and Counter Transfer Transfer-Physical Security Upgrades Martifike Prepositific Security Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Physical Security Upgrades Martifike Prepositific Security Counter Transfer Prepositific Security Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer Protection/DERF Transfer Prepositific Security Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer Prepositific Security Prepositific Protection/DERF Transfer Prepositific Security Prepositific Protection/DERF Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer Protection/DERF Transfer Prepositific Protection/Prepositific Protection/DERF Transfer Prepositific Protection/Pre	μ.	STICS	289,401		289,401
BASE SUPPORT     907,624     1,1       BASE SUPPORT     907,624     228,000       Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-CINC AT/FP Staffs     3,200       Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-CINC AT/FP Staffs     3,200       Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Physical Security Upgrades     4,45,582       FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION     80,743       MARITIME PREPOSITIONING     80,743       NORWAY PREPOSITIONING     3,813       TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCE     2,496,804       2,496,804     247,100       2,100     2,73		VTENANCE	138,576		138,576
Counter TerroisemForce Protection/DERF Transfer-Physical Security Equipment Counter TerroisemForce Protection/DERF Transfer-Physical Security Upgrades Counter TerroisemForce Protection/DERF Transfer-Physical Security Upgrades FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION MARITIME PREPOSITIONING NORWAY PREPOSITIONING S133 TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES 2,496,804 2,47,100 2,10 2,10 2,10 2,10 2,10 2,10 2,10	B	ORT	907,624		1,148,824
Counter Terroism/Force Protection/DERF Transiter-CINC AT/FP Statfs Counter Terroism/Force Protection/DERF Transiter-Physical Security Upgrades FACIULTIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODEHNIZATION FACIULTIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODEHNIZATION AMARITIME PREPOSITIONING AMARITIME PREPOSITIONING 3.813 COTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES 2,496,804 2,47,100 2,1	-	srrorism/Force Protection/DERF TransferPhysical Security Equipment		228,000	
Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Physical Security Upgrades 4445,582 10,000 4 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION 8 00,743 MARITIME PREPOSITIONING 3,813 NORWAY PREPOSITIONING 3,813 3,813 TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES 2,486,804 247,100 2,7		strorism/Force Protection/DERF TransferCINC AT/FP Staffs		3,200	
FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION 445,582 445,582 44 MARITIME PREPOSITIONING 80,743 NORWAY PREPOSITIONING 3,813 TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES 2,496,804 247,100 2,7 DILICET ACTURY CO. TRAINING AND DECEMPTING		strorism/Force Protection/DERF TransferPhysical Security Upgrades		10,000	
MARITIME PREPOSITIONING NORWAY PREPOSITIONING TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES 2,486,804 247,100 2,7 PUIDOTE ACTURY ON TRANNING AND DECEMITING	_	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	445,582		445,582
NORWAY PREPOSITIONING TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES DILICET ACTURTY 00. TRAINING AND DECRIPTING	~	REPOSITIONING	80,743		80,743
TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES DITACT ACTIVITY ON TRANSMING AND DECEMITING	-	REPOSITIONING -	3,813		3,813
TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES 2,486,804 247,100					
		A 01: OPERATING FORCES	2,496,804	247,100	2,743,904

<ul> <li>341 ACCESSION TRAINING</li> <li>342 RECRUIT TRAINING</li> <li>343 CFFICER ACOUSITION</li> <li>344 BASE SUPPORT</li> <li>345 EACLITIES USTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</li> <li>344 BASE SUBTRIAN</li> <li>345 EACLITES USTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</li> <li>345 EACLITES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</li> <li>348 BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING</li> <li>348 SFECIALZED SKILLS TRAINING</li> <li>348 SFECIALZED SIGNAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION</li> <li>359 FLAIRING SUPPORT</li> <li>350 SES UPPORT</li> <li>351 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</li> <li>352 BASE SUPPORT</li> <li>353 BASE SUPPORT</li> <li>354 FCILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</li> <li>355 BASE SUPPORT</li> <li>365 DEF-DUT AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION</li> <li>366 FECRUTING AND ADVERTISING</li> <li>367 RECRUTING AND ADVERTISING</li> <li>368 DEF-DUT AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION</li> <li>369 DASE SUPPORT</li> <li>360 FF-DUT AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION</li> <li>361 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</li> <li>363 DEF-DUT AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION</li> <li>364 TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUTING</li> <li>365 BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION &amp; SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES</li> </ul>	account/ba/ag/sag	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 HOUSE RECOMMENDATION
	RATION & MODERNIZATION	105,899 10,516 65,906 65,906 29,122	(1.330)	104.569 10,516 355 85,906 85,906 (1,330)
	LAINING DUCATION RATION & MODERNIZATION	272.098 40,524 175 8,912 8,912 112,202 80,144 30,144	01	272.098 40.524 175 8175 812.202 80,141 30,144
	<b>IG EDUCATION</b> ATION RATION & MODERNIZATION	186,995 121,345 34,695 13,312 15,137 15,137 2,507	OI	<b>186.396</b> 121,345 34,695 13,312 15,137 2,507
	ECRUITING	564,993	(1,330)	563,663
<ul> <li>367 SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT</li> <li>368 SPECIAL SUPPORT</li> <li>368 SPECIAL SUPPORT</li> <li>369 ADMINISTRATION</li> <li>370 ADMINISTRATION</li> <li>371 BASE SUPPORT</li> <li>371 BASE SUPPORT</li> <li>373 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</li> <li>373 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</li> </ul>	nsfer-Site R HATION & MODERNIZATION	296,155 198,890 34,627 39,262 20,438 20,438 2,938	<u>1,000</u> 1,000	297.155 196.890 34.627 39.462 39.462 21,438 21,438 21,438

LINE	ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION	HOUSE CHANGE FROM	FY 2003 HOUSE
		REQUENT	REGUESI	HECOMMENDATION
375	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	296,155	1,000	297,155
3/6				
378	CSRS ACCRUAL ADJUSTMENT		(47.210)	(47.210)
379	FACILITY SUSTAINMENT AND MODERNIZATION		31,000	31,000
380				
381	TOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED		(16,210)	(16,210)
383	TOTAL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS	3,357,952	230,560	3,588,512
384				
385	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE			
386				
387	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES			
388				
389	AIR OPERATIONS	11,245,752	248,285	11,494,037
390	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	3,244,026		3,253,026
391	CONUS Combat Air Patro//DERF Transfer in from Defense Wide		1,200,000	
392	CONUS Combat Air Patrol/DERF Transfer out to HR 4547		(000'006)	
393	CONUS Combat Air Patrol		(000'000)	
394	Unmanned Aerial Vehicles/DERF Transfer-Predator O&M		9,000	
395	PRIMARY COMBAT WEAPONS	336,234		336,234
396	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES	248,367		251,867
397	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Computer Network Defense		3,500	
398	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING	1,250,537		1,250,537
399	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,382,953		1,382,953
400	B1B Pivot Shear Replacement		30,000	80,000
401	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	1,465,273		1.465.273
402	BASE SUPPORT	2,357,450		2,413,650
403	Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF TransferAEF/Force Protection Certification Training		10,200	
404	Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF TransferWMD-1st Responder		46,000	
405	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	960,912		1,060,497
406	Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF TransferAT/FP Facility Upgrades		39,585	
407				
408	COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS	1,942,193	143.780	2,085,973

I INE	ACCOUNTRAVACEAAG	FY 2003	HOUSE CHANGE EDOM	FY 2003 HOUSE
		REQUEST	REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION
409	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING	816,000		915,430
410	Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF TransferGeoReach/Geo Base		25,800	
411			5,000	
412		poddr	68,630	
413	NAVIGATION/WEATHER SUPPORT	187,671		187,671
414	OTHER COMBAT OPS SUPPORT PROGRAMS	425,618		442,418
415	Continuity of Operations/DERF Transfer-Nat.Airborne Command Cntr. (NAOC)		10,000	
416	-		3,600	
417	Continuity of Operations/DERF TransferUH-1 Support, capital region		200	
418			500	
419			2,000	
420		39,406		39,406
421	MANAGEMENT/OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	221,692		235,542
422	Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF TransferCENTCOM PSD & Forward HQs		200	
423	,		5,500	
424	_		1,250	
425			4,000	
426			1,000	
427	Nuclear Posture Review-DOD/DERF TransferMgt. HQs STRATCOM		1,000	
428	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferCritical Infrastructure Protection	ion	400	
429	F	251,806		265,506
430	-		2,300	
431	-		2,000	
432			5,000	
433			1,200	
434			3,000	
435		4	100	
436	Security, Communications & Information Operations/IDERF Transfer		100	
104				
439		281 022	10.000	1,632,549 281 022
440		133,478		133,478
441 442	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS SATELLITE SYSTEMS	244,626 60,989		244,626 60,989

		AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDATION
443 OTHER SPACE OPERATIONS	PEHATIONS	251,191		261,191
444 Continuity of Op	Continuity of Operations/DERF TransferDef. Reconnaissance Support Activities	100	10,000	
	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	157,715		157,715
	TOTAL. BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	14.810.494	402.065	15.212.559
450 BUDGET ACTIVIT 451	BUDGET ACTIVITY 02: MOBILIZATION			
452 MOBILITY OPERATIONS	TIONS	3,835,341	85,704	3,921,045
	ONS	2,147,117		2,147,117
Ν	ONS C3I	42,298		44,098
	Security, Communications & Information Operations/ DERF TransferCritical Infrastructure Protection		1,800	
-	REPAREDNESS	175,023		175,023
_	ANCE	312,552		312,552
	PAYMENTS TO TRANSPORTATION BUSINESS AREA	470,700		470,700
â		527,755		554,405
	Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF TransferAEF/Force Protection Certification Training	aining	4,800	
1	Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF TransferWMD-1st Responder		21,850	
Ľ.	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	159,896		217,150
	Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF TransferAT/FP Facility Upgrades		57,254	
464				
•	TOTAL, BA 02: MOBILIZATION	3,835,341	85,704	3,921,045
	<b>BUDGET ACTIVITY 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING</b>			
469 ACCESSION TRAINING 470 OFFICER ACOUISITION	NUNG VITION	300,056 69 262	16,341	316,397 60 262
		6.879		6.879
	RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	68,063		68,063
473 BASE SUPPORT (	BASE SUPPORT (ACADEMIES ONLY)	73,180		73,180
	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION (ACADEMIES ONLY)	82,672		99,013
475 Counter Terroris 476	Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF TransferAT/FP Facility Upgrades		16,341	

TITLE III - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (Dollars in Thousands)
---

.

LINE	ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	HOUSE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 HOUSE RECOMMENDATION
477 478 478		1,948,241 307,625	1.150	<b>1,949,391</b> 307,625
479 480 481 482 483	FLIGHT I HAINING PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION TRAINING SUPPORT DEPOT MAINTEMANCE BASE SUPPORT (OTHER TRAINING)	663,762 141,864 92,646 8,242 573,464		663,762 141,864 92,646 8,242 574,614
484 485 486	_	160,638	1,150	160,638
487 489 490 491 492	RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING DEF DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING JUNIOR ROTC	<b>402,626</b> 152,289 3,222 96,516 107,151 43,448	a	402.626 152.289 3.222 96.516 107,151 4.3,448
495 495 496	TOTAL, BA 03: TRAINING AND RECRUITING BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	2,650,923	17,491	2,668,414
498 500 501	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS LOGISTICS OPERATIONS Aging Propulsion System Lugistics System Management and Retrieval Technology/L-SMART	<u>3.067,013</u> 922,106	20.376 7,000 2.500	<b>3.087,989</b> 931,606
502 504 505	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES SERVICE-WIDE TRANSPORTATION DEPOT MAINTENANCE DEPOT MAINTENANCE DEADS SUPPORT Contributions Contributions	429,543 237,503 78,062 1,154,363		429,543 237,503 78,062 1,161,863
507 508 509 510	FA	245,436	2,900 4,600 3,976	249,412

LINE	ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	HOUSE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 HOUSE RECOMMENDATION
511 512 513	SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ADMINISTRATION SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	1.866.649 224,882 376,841	8,100	<mark>1.874.749</mark> 224,882 384,941
515 516 516	Security, Communications & Information Operations/ DEHF TransferModemization, Sustainment & Development Security, Communications & Information Operations/ DEHF TransferModemization, Sustainment & Development Security, Communications & Information Operations/ DEHF TransferInfrusion Detection Systems	nent & Development nent & Development tems	4,900 1,700 1,500	
517 518 519	PERSONNEL PROGRAMS RESCUE AND RECOVERY SERVICES ARMS CONTROL	184,558 110,418 33.092		184,558 110,418 33.092
520 521	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	572,320 44,716		572,320 44,716
522 523	CIVIL AIR PATROL CORPORATION BASE SUPPORT FACULATION OF A DEPARTON & MONTRULE AND A	19,668 276,338		19,668 276,338
525 526	TAGLETICS DUST/NINNELNI, TAGSTOMATION & MODERNIZATION SECURITY PROGRAMS Nuclear Posture Review-DOD/DERF Transfer-Security & Investigative Activities	1,054,171	2,000	23,810 1,061,171
527 528 529 530	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferDefense Security Service (DSS) INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT BRAC PREPARATION FUNDS	e (DSS) 20,032	5,000 (16,500)	20,032 (16,500)
531 532	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	6,007,865	19,576	6,027,441
533 534 535 535	UNDISTRIBUTED CSRS ACCRUAL ADJUSTMENT CAMELBAK HYDRATION SYSTEM		(531,055) 1,000	(531,055) 1,000
537 538	TOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED		(530,055)	(530,055)
539 540 541	TOTAL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE	27,304,623	(5,219)	27,299,404
542 543 544	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	398,341		388,341

	(Dollars in Thousands)			
LINE	ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	HOUSE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 HOUSE RECOMMENDATION
	CJCS Exercise Program SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	1,531,330	(10,000)	1,531,330
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1:	1,929,671	(10,000)	1,919,671
550 <b>BU</b> 551 DE 553 DE	BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY	41,420		41,420
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2:	41,420	0	41,420
555 BL	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING AMEDICIAN FORCES INFORMATION SECURICE	CCC ++		050 11
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	6,869		6,869
	DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY	103,514		103,514
	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY	4,865		4,865
	DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE	9,160		9,160
261 UE	UEFENSE HUMAN HESOURCES ACTIVITY	89,161 0 000		89,161 0 000
	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY	5,003 1.292		9,003 1.292
564 SP	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	62,982		62,982
565 566 T	TOTAL BUDGET ACTIVITY 3:	008 Q64	c	06A
			>	Loniona
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES AMERICAN FORCES INFORMATION SERVICE CONL MILITARY PROGRAMS CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS CIFA	98,564 97,006 5,829,232 34,996		98,564 97,006 5,829,232 34,996
573 C3 574 575	C3I INI ELLIGENCE PHOGHAMS Security Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Coalition-Intel.Into Sharing (CENTRIX) Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Classified	ng (CENTRIX)	14,000 9.500	23,500
576 DE 577 DE 578 DE	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE	377,495 1,070,567 2,282		377,495 1,070,567 8,682

# TITLE III - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

i.	
1	
i.	
i.	
1	
	ŝ
	p
	an
	S
1	ក
	ž
	F
1	·
F.	÷.
	ø
1	Ë
	<u>-</u>
	5
İ.	ŏ
	-
l	
1	

<ul> <li>579 Counter Terrorism/Force Protect 580 Security, Communications &amp; Intr 581 DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES 582 Covinal Personnel Data System 583 Security, Communications &amp; Int 583 Continuity of Operations/DERF 587 Continuity of Operations/DERF 588 Continuity of Operations/DERF 589 Continuity of Operations/DERF 580 Continuity of Operations/DERF 581 Continuity of Operations/DERF 583 Security, Communications &amp; Int( 593 Security, Communications &amp; Int(</li> </ul>	Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Financial Operations Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Financial Operations DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY Civilian Personnel Data System Sourity, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Critical Infrastructure Protection DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY Wireless Information Program Continuity of Operations/DERF Transfer-Defense Conferencing System Continuity of Operations/DERF Transfer-DISA Contunuity of Operations/DERF Transfer-Bettons Continuity of Operations/DERF Transfer-DISA Contunuity of Operations/DERF Transfer-Bettons Continuity of Operations/DERF Transfer-Bartowidti Expansion			RECOMMENDATION
	incations & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Financial Operations HESOURDES ACTIVITY Plats System incations & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Critical Infrastructure Protecti IATION SYSTEMS AGENCY IATION SYSTEMS AGENCY action Program rations/DERF Transfer-Defense Conferencing Enhancement Program rations/DERF Transfer-Defense Conferencing Enhancement Program rations/DERF Transfer-Defense Conferencing Enhancement Program rations/DERF Transfer-Bandwith Expansion		5,900	
	RESOURCES ACTIVITY I Data System an interions & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Critical Infrastructure Protecti AntiON SYSTEMS AGENCY ion Program rations/DERF Transfer-Defense Conferencing System rations/DERF Transfer-Defense Conferencing Enhancement Program rations/DERF Transfer-Bandwidth Expansion		500	
a	I bata System nications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Critical Infrastructure Protecti and TION SYSTEMS AGENCY ion Program rations/DERF Transfer-Delense Conferencing System rations/DERF Transfer-Delense Conferencing Enhancement Program rations/DERF Transfer-Delense Contunuity of Operations	256,042		236,542
Q	nications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Critical Infrastructure Protecti ATTON SYSTEMS AGENCY intromostant rations/DERF Transfer-Defense Conferencing Enhancement Program rations/DERF Transfer-Defense Conferencing Enhancement Program rations/DERF Transfer-Defense Conferencing Enhancement Program rations/DERF Transfer-Bandwith Expansion		(20,000)	
<u>a</u>	IATION SYSTEMS AGENCY ion Program rations/DERF Transfer-Secure Voice Teleconferencing System rations/DERF Transfer-Defense Conferencing Enhancement Program rations/DERF Transfer-Dardwith Expansion rations/DERF Transfer-Bandwith Expansion	u	500	
	ion Program rations/DERF TransferSecure Voice Teleconferencing System rations/DERF Transfer-Defense Conferencing Enhancement Program rations/DERF Transfer-DIAS Contunuity of Operations rations/DERF Transfer-Bandwidth Expansion	956,644		961,144
	rations/DERF Transfer-Secure Voice Teleconferencing System rations/DERF Transfer-Defense Conferencing Enhancement Program rations/DERF Transfer-DISA Contrunity of Operations rations/DERF Transfer-Bandwidth Expansion		(37,000)	
	rations/DERF Transfer-Defense Conferencing Enhancement Program rations/DERF Transfer-DISA Contunuity of Operations rations/DERF Transfer-Bandwidth Expansion		2,500	
	rations/DERF TransferDISA Contunuity of Operations rations/DERF TransferBandwidth Expansion		8,900	
	rations/DERF TransferBandwidth Expansion		2,500	
			7,600	
	Continuity of Operations/DERF TransferInformation Assurance		500	
	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferOn-site Administrators		3,400	
	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-IA, Intell/Coalition Encrp (CWAN)	(N)	5,000	
	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferIA, Intell/Coalition Encrp (CWAN)	(N)	1,600	
	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferIA Computer Network Defense	0	3,500	
	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferOn-site Administrators		3,000	
	Continuity of Operations/DERF TransferWhite House Communications		3,000	
ö	GENCE AGENCY			32,600
-	Continuity of Operations/DERF TransferCritical Database Backup		5,000	
	Nuclear Posture Review-DOD/DERF TransferIntel. Support to hard & deeply buried targets		12,600	
	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferDIA		15,000	
601 DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY	ICS AGENCY	201,171		211,771
602 CTMA Defense Depot Activities	Jepot Activities		20,000	
	n Grid		(10,000)	
	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferCritical Infrastructure Protection	u	600	
605 DEFENSE LEGAL S	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY	14,385		14,385
606 DEPARTMENT OF	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEPENDENTS EDUCATION	1,616,135		1,640,335
607 Counter Terrorism	Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Enhanced Force Protection		18,000	
	Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF TransferEnhanced Force Protection		6,000	
-	Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Enhanced Force Protection		200	
	DEFENSE POW /MISSING PERSONS OFFICE	15,974		15,974
	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY	67,927		67,927
612 DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE	TY SERVICE	170,447		170,947

LINE	ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG AUTH	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION	HOUSE CHANGE FROM	FY 2003 HOUSE
		0FOI		
613	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferCritical Infrastructure Protection		500	
614	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY	273,510		273.510
615	NATIONAL IMAGING AND MAPPING AGENCY (NIMA)			56,870
616	DIMAP		(28,000)	
617	Nuclear Posture Review-DOD/DERF Transfer-Hard and Deeply buried targets		2,300	
618	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferAirborne Integration		2,000	
619	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferIEC Workstations		1,000	
620	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferLibraries Communication		10,100	
621	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferPGM Targeting Workstations		2,000	
622	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferCENTCOM		1,000	
623	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferCommercial Imagery		33,670	
624	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferGeospatial Data Generation		32,800	
625	NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY			20,300
626	Nuclear Posture Review-DOD/DERF Transfer-Hard & deeply buried targets		2,600	
627	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferClassified		11,000	
628	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferU-2 support		1,300	
629	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferCoalition Wide Area Networks		5,400	
630	OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT	14,740		14,740
631	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	499,943		624,993
632	Continuity of Operations/DERF TransferOSD COOP & sustainment of critical systems		18,000	
633	Continuity of Operations/DERF TransferNational Capitol Region COOP		10,500	
634	Continuity of Operations/DERF TransferNational Infrastructure Protection Center (NIPC) Reserve Support	pport	4,000	
635	Continuity of Operations/DERF TransferNIPC DOD Detailees		4,000	
636	Nuclear Posture Review-DOD/DERF TransferHard & deeply buried targets		3,050	
637	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferCIP-Biological Agent Security		2,000	
638	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferCIP-Nuclear Security Command & Control	& Control	400	
639	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferCIP Technology		6,600	
640	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferInformation Operations		25,000	
641	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferConcept Plan		10,000	
642	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferInformation Operations		32,000	
643	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Information Operations		1,500	
644 645	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Information Operations Security: Communications & Information Operations/DERF Transfer-Horizontel Environ Analysis		6,000	
646	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	62.885	2,000	69.885

INE	ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG	AUTHORIZATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMENDATION
647 Security,	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferCombat Development Activities	rities		7.000	
ŝ	SPECIAL ACTIVITIES		00		68,000
	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	184,483	183		239,642
	Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF TransferCombating Terrorism Readiness Initiatives Fund	Fund		12,000	
Ť	CINC Homeland SecurityCINC for Homeland Security			41,000	
552 Counter	Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF TransferOther Combating Terrorism Initiatives			1,459	
Ū	Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF TransferVulnerability Assessments, AT/FP Req. tracking & analysis	tking & analysis		400	
3	Security, Communications & Information Operations/DERF TransferCritical Infrastructure Protection			300	
	WASHING ION HEADQUARTERS SERVICES	332,821	321		678,821
556 Detense	Detense Iravel System			(10,000)	
	oecurity, contributications a introntriation Operations/DEPT Transfer			28,000	
	Continuity of Operations/DERE TransferFortuguit Acceletation Continuity of Operations/DERE TransferCommand Communications Survivability Devisor			914,000	
ä	PROBLEM DISBURSEMENTS			z14,000	
562 TOTAL, I	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4:	12,245,249	99	693,179	12,938,428
963					
664 UNDISTRIBUTED	BUTED				
365 IMPACT AID	D			35,000	35.000
366 CSRS ACC	SSRS ACCRUAL ADJUSTMENT			(346,046)	(346,046)
367 NATIONAL	VATIONAL GUARD CHALLENGE PROGRAM			2,500	2,500
_	NATIONAL GUARD YOUTH FOUNDATION			2,500	2,500
_	FOREIGN CURRENCY FUND/EXCESS BALANCES			(522,400)	(522,400)
•	TOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED			(306,046)	(306,046)
	I U I AL UPEHATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE	14,515,304	304	(145,267)	14,370,037
6/5 UPEHAIIC 676	UPEHATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE				
	ICES	920,638	38	10,000	930,638
5	/ISION FOHCES 201d Weather Clothing (ECWCS)	16,323	323	4,000	26,323
580 Modular	vodular Sleep System			6,000	

LINE	ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	HOUSE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 HOUSE RECOMMENDATION
681 682 683 683	CORPS COMBAT FORCES CORPS SUPPORT FORCES ECHELON ABOVE CORPS FORCES LAND FORCES OPFEATONS SUPPORT	33,211 281,583 128,348 461,173		33,211 281,583 128,348 461,173
685 686 687 688 689	LAND FORCES READINESS LAND FORCES READINESS LAND FORCES SYSTEM READINESS DEPOT MAINTENANCE	225,668 115,962 62,255 48,451	ai	226.668 115,962 62,255 48,451
690 692 693 693	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT BASE SUPPORT FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES	<b>541,113</b> 361,907 176,494 2719	20,000	<b>561,113</b> 361,907 176,494 2,712
695 696	CONTROLLED HUMDITY PRESERVATION	Î	20,000	20,000
697 698 699 700	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	1,688,419	30,000	1,718,419
702 702 704 705 705	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ADMINISTRATION SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS PERSONNEUFINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION (MANPOWER MANAGEMENT) RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	234,911 48,752 34,842 50,044 101,273	01	234,911 48,752 34,842 50,044 101,273
707	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	234,911	0	234,911
710	CRAS ACCRUAL ADUUSTMENT CSRS ACCRUAL ADUUSTMENT MILITARY TECHNICIANS		(43,220) 8,000	(43,220) 8,000
713 714	TOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED		(35,220)	(35,220)

LINE	ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	HOUSE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 HOUSE RECOMMENDATION
715	TOTAL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE	1,923,330	(5,220)	1,918,110
717	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE			
718				
719	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES			
720				
727	RESERVE AIR OPERATIONS	200 200	J	2000 2000
221	INISSION AND UTTER FLIGTT OFERATIONS INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	18.003		18.003
724	AIR OPERATION AND SAFETY SUPPORT	2.268		2,268
725	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	129,532		129,532
726	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPS SUPPORT	366		366
727				
728	RESERVE SHIP OPERATIONS	164,296	0	164,296
729	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	68,219		68,219
730	SHIP OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AND TRAINING	558		558
731	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	11,712		11,712
732	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	80,272		80,272
733	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	3,535		3,535
734				
735	RESERVE COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	<u>69,864</u>	01	69,864
736	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	69,864		69,864
738	RESERVE WEAPONS SUPPORT	5.668	0	5.668
739	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	5,668	I	5,668
740				
741	BASE SUPPORT	212,718	69.025	281.743
742	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOUERINIZATION Counter Transfer Form Destorian (DEDE Transfer, Division) Servicity Site Immerianent	6AC'00	68 777	010,001
744	COURTER FERMINING FLORE FLORENDUILDER FLARISSET FLIJSKAR DECUNY DIG INIPOVENENI BASE SUPPORT	146,119		146,367
745	Counter Terrorism/Force Protection/DERF Transfer-Management and Planning		61	
747	Counter Lerrorism/Force Protection/UEHF Transferwanagement and Planning		181	
748	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	1,001,035	69,025	1,070,060

LINE	ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	HOUSE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 HOUSE RECOMMENDATION
749 750	BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
751 752 753	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ADMINISTRATION	164.926 12,023	5,000	169,926 12,023
754 755	CIVILIAN MANPOWER & PERSONNEL MII ITARY MANPOWER & PERSONNEL	2,161 32.479		2,161 32.479
756		111,766		116,766
757 758	ÖÖ	5,766	000's	5,766
760	OTHER SERVICE WIDE SUPPORT	16/		16/
761	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	164,926	5,000	169,926
763			1200 OT	
765	CSHS ACCHUAL ADJUS I MEN I		(p'221)	(p,221)
766	TOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED		(6,227)	(6,227)
768	TOTAL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE	1,165,961	67,798	1,233,759
902 111	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE			
772 773	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES			
775 775 776 777		154,193 80,723 12,571	OI	154,193 80,723 12,571
778 779 780	BASE SUPPORT TRAINING SUPPORT FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTOPATION & MODERNIZATION	29,473 20,641 10,785		29,473 20,641 10,785
782	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	154,193	0	154,193

LINE	ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	HOUSE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 HOUSE RECOMMENDATION
783 784 795	BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
787 787 788 788 788 788 788 788 789 790	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES SPECIAL SUPPORT SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION ADMINISTRATION ADMINISTRATION TRES SUPPORT RESE UNTING AND ADVERTISING	<b>31.339</b> 8.461 500 9.977 4.130 8.271	OI	31.339 8,461 500 9,977 4,130 8,271
792 793 794	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	31,339	o	31,339
795 797 798 798 799 799 799 800 801 801 803 803	UNDISTRIBUTED TOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED TOTAL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES	185,532	o	185,332
805 807 808 808 808	AIR OPERATIONS PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS DEPOT MAINTENANCE BAES SUPPORT	2.083.729 1,346,055 69,818 337,113 282,280	59,167	2.142.896 1,346,055 69,818 337,113 297,230
811 811 812 813 813	_	48,463	14,950 6,202 39,015	
815 816	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	2,083,729	59,167	2,142,896

International         Accountractional         Frame         Fra		TITLE III - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (Dollars in Thousands)	) MAINTENANCE <sup>nds)</sup>			
BUGGET ACTIVITY OF: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES BUGGET ACTIVITY OF: ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ADMINISTRATION ADMINIS	LINE	ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	HOUSE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 HOUSE RECOMMENDATION	_
Administration and Servicewide         107.185         0           Administration         27.136         0           Administration         27.136         0           Administration         27.136         0           Administration         24.068         0           Recourting and odvertising         6.533         6.533           Other Personnet.         6.593         6.536           Other Personnet.         0         0           Other Personnet.         0.07.183         6.536           Other Personnet.         0.07.183         0           Understand         0.7.183         0         0           Understand         0.7.183         0.7.183         0           Understand         0.7.183         0.7.183         0.7.183           Understand         0.7.183         0.7.183         0.7.183           Understand         0.7.183         0.7.183 <td< td=""><td>817 818</td><td>BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION &amp; SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	817 818	BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
MILTARY MANPOWER AND FERSONNEL MANAGEMENT RECAUTING AND AVERTISNG OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT AUDIOVISUAL TOTAL, BA G4: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES TOTAL, BA G4: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES TOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED TOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED TOTA	819 820	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ADMINISTRATION	107,188 57,136	01	<u>107,18</u> 57 13	<b>8</b>
Checholing and	821	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	24,088		24,08	ģ
AUDIOVISIUAL AUDIOVISIUAL TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES UNDISTRIBUTED UNDISTRIBUTED UNDISTRIBUTED UNDISTRIBUTED UTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED TOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED TOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED UTAL, UND FORCES CORPS SUPPORT ECHELION ABOVE CORPS FORCES CORPS SUPPORT CORPS SUPPOR	822 823	HECKULIING AND ADVERTISING OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	18,683 6 593		18,68 6 50	<u>ي</u> ۳
TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES         107,188         0           VINDERTIBULED         (55,365)         (55,365)           VINDERTIBULED         (55,365)         (55,365)           TOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED         (55,365)         (55,365)           TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENNICE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD         (55,365)         (55,365)           OTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENNICE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD         (55,365)         (55,365)           DIOGET ACTIVITY OIL, OPERATIONAL GUARD         (10,00)         (55,365)         (55,365)           DIOGET ACTIVITY OIL, OPERATIONAL GUARD         (10,00)         (55,365)         (1000)           BUGGET ACTIVITY OIL, OPERATIONAL GUARD         (10,00)         (10,00)         (10,00)           Ocid Weather Cothing ((ECWCS)         (10,00)         (10,00	824	AUDIOVISUAL	688		689	ģ
UNDSTRIBUTED     (5,365)       CSRS ACCRUAL ADUUSTNENT     (5,365)       TOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED     (5,365)       TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENNCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD     2,190,917     3,802     2       OPERATION AND MAINTENNCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD     2,190,917     3,802     2       DOEET ACTIVITY 01. OPERATING FORCES     2,190,917     3,802     2       BUDGET ACTIVITY 01. OPERATING FORCES     582,730     4,000       Model Contrage     582,730     4,000       Model CONCES     582,730     9,000       Model CONCES     582,730     4,000       Model CONCES     582,730     9,000       Model CONCES     582,730     9,000       Model CONCES     582,730     9,000       Model CONCES     582,746     313,867       CORPS SUPPORT     582,406     2,200       MUD FORCES     7005     382,406     2,200       MUD FORCES OFFERATIONESS     707,925     7746     2,200	826 826	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	107,188	0	107.18	8
UNDISTRIBUTED       (55,365)         CSRS ACCRUAL ADJUSTMENT       (55,365)         TOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED       (55,365)         TOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED       (55,365)         TOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED       (55,365)         TOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED       (55,365)         TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENNCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE       2,190,917       3,802       2         OPERATION AND MAINTENNCE, AIR/ NATIONAL GUARD       2,190,917       3,802       2         UNDISTRIBUTED       2,190,917       3,802       2       2         OPERATION AND MAINTENNCE, AIR/ NATIONAL GUARD       2,190,917       3,802       2       2         UNDICATED       EDDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES       13,000       9,000       9,000         OLIQ Weather Colhing (ECWCS)       Moduler Siege System       9,000       9,000       9,000         Model Siege System       0005 SOMBAT FORCES       9,000       9,000       9,000         Cold Weather Colhing (ECWCS)       Moduler Siege System       9,13,967       9,13,967       9,13,967         Cold Weather Colhing (ECWCS)       Moduler Siege System       9,13,967       9,13,967       9,000         Cold Weather Colhing (ECWCS)       Moduler Siege System       9,13,967       9,000       9,000	827		•			
COTAL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE       2,190,917       3,802       2         TOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED       (55,365)       3,802       2         TOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED       (55,365)       3,802       2         TOTAL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE       2,190,917       3,802       2         OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIRMY NATIONAL GUARD       (5,365)       3,802       2         OPERATION AND MAINTENNCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD       (5,366)       4,000       5         BUGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES       (5,730       4,000       4,000         UNISIONS       (600 Weather Clothing (ECWCS)       (600 %)       9,000       9,000         OAD Weather Clothing (ECWCS)       (600 %)       (7,420 %)       4,000       9,000         Modular Step PORT FORCES       (617,420 %)       (7,420 %)       9,000       9,000         CORPS SUPPORT FORCES       (616,742 %)       (7,420 %)       9,000       9,000         Modular Step PORT FORCES       (617,42 %)       (7,420 %)       9,000       9,000         CORPS SUPPORT FORCES       (7,000 %)       (7,420 %)       9,000       9,000       9,000         Modular Step PORT FORCES       (7,000 %)       (7,420 %)       (7,440 %)       9,000       0,000	828					į
TOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED         (55,365)           TOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED         3,802         2           TOTAL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE         2,190,917         3,802         2           OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE         2,190,917         3,802         2           OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR Y NATIONAL GUARD         2,190,917         3,802         2         2           OPERATION AND MAINTENNCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD         BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES         3,802         2         2,802         2         2         2         2         2,124,777         3,802         2	830	CSHS ACCHUAL ADJUSTMENT		(55,365)	-	35)
TOTAL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE       2,190,917       3,802       2         OPERATION AND MAINTENNCE, AIMY NATIONAL GUARD       OPERATION AND MAINTENNCE, AIMY NATIONAL GUARD       3,802       2         BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES       BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES       2,124.777       13.000       2         BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES       BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES       0,000       2       2         Modular Control       BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES       BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES       13.000       2	831	TOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED		(55,365)	-	35)
OPERATION AND MAINTENNCE, AFMY NATIONAL GUARD       BUDGET ACTIVITY 01. OPERATING FORCES       BUDGET ACTIVITY 01. OPERATING FORCES       LAND FORCE       Cold Weather Cothing (ECWCS)       Modular PORCES       Cold Weather P	833	TOTAL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE	2,190,917	3.802		6
OPERATION AND MAINTENNCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUAHD         2.124.777         13.000         2           BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES         592.730         4,000         9,000 <t< td=""><td>834</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	834					
BUGGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES         2.124.777         13.000         2           LAND FORCES         592.730         592.730         4,000           OVISIONS         Cold Weather Clothing (ECWCS)         652.885         9,000           Modular Sleep System         652.885         9,000         9,000           CORPS COMBAT FORCES         652.885         9,000         9,000           CORPS COMBAT FORCES         652.885         9,000         9,000           Modular Sleep System         652.885         9,000         9,000           CORPS COMBAT FORCES         652.885         9,000         9,000           CORPS COMBAT FORCES         652.885         9,000         9,000           Modular Sleep System         652.885         9,000         9,000           Modular Sleep System         652.885         9,000         9,000           CORPS COMBAT FORCES         64.43         4,44.3         4,44.3           LAND FORCES READINESS         24.04         2.200         7,925           LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS         107.925         107.925         107.925	835	OPERATION AND MAINTENNCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
Land FORCES         2.124.777         13.000         2           DIVISIONS         592,730         4,000         4,000           Outlistions         652,895         9,000         9,000           Cold Weather Clothing (ECWCS)         652,895         9,000         9,000           Modular Sleep System         652,895         9,000         9,000           CorPPS COMBAT FORCES         616,742         4,000         9,000           CorPPS COMBAT FORCES         616,742         9,000         9,000           CorPPS COMBAT FORCES         616,742         9,000         9,000           LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT         616,742         9,000         9,000           LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT         616,742         9,000         9,000           AND FORCES READINESS         9,000         9,000         9,000         9,000           AND FORCES READINESS         107,925         77,925         107,925         107,925	837	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES				
LAND FORCES         2.124.77         13.000         2           DIVISIONS         582.730         582.730         4,000           DIVISIONS         582.730         4,000         9,000           Modular Step System         582.730         4,000         9,000           Modular Step System         582.730         4,000         9,000           CORPS COMBAT FORCES         513.397         9,000         9,000           CORPS COMBAT FORCES         513.497         9,000         9,000           CORPS COMBAT FORCES         513.497         9,000         9,000           CORPS COMBAT FORCES         13.397         9,000         9,000           CORPS COMBAT FORCES         13.397         9,143         9,000           LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT         48,443         14,43         14,43           LAND FORCES READINESS         75,746         2,200         75,746           LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS         107,925         107,925         107,925	838					
DIVISIONS         592,730           Cold Weather Clothing (ECWCS)         4,000           Modular Tespe System         9,000           Modular Step System         652,895           CORPS COMBAT FORCES         313,967           CORPS SUPPORT FORCES         516,742           ECHELION ABOVE CORPS FORCES         516,742           LAND FORCES READINESS         982,404         2,200           LAND FORCES READINESS         75,746         77,446           LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS         107,925         107,925	839	LAND FORCES	2,124,777	13,000	2,137,77	Ľ
Cold Weather Colning (ECWCS)         4,000           Modular Silep System         9,000           CORPS COMBAT FORCES         9,000           CORPS SUPPORT FORCES         513,867           CORPS SUPPORT FORCES         513,867           CORPS SUPPORT FORCES         513,367           CORPS SUPPORT FORCES         513,367           LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT         48,443           LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT         362,404         2.200           LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT         707,925         707,925	840	DIVISIONS	592,730		605,73	١œ
Modular Silep System         9,000           CORPS COMBAT FORCES         652,895         9,000           CORPS COMBAT FORCES         313,867         313,867           CORPS SUPPORT FORCES         313,867         313,867           ECHELON ABOVE CORPS FORCES         313,867         313,867           LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT         48,443         413,443           LAND FORCES READINESS         362,404         2,200           FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT         75,746         75,746           LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS         107,925         107,925	841	Cold Weather Clothing (ECWCS)		4,000		
CORPS COMBAT FORCES         652,885           COORPS COMBAT FORCES         313,967           COORPS SUPPORT FORCES         313,967           LAND FORCES OFERATIONS SUPPORT         516,742           LAND FORCES OFERATIONS SUPPORT         48,443           LAND FORCES READINESS         362,404         2,200           LAND FORCES READINESS         75,746         75,746           LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS         107,925         107,925	842	Modular Sleep System		9,000		
CORPS SUPPORT FORCES         313,967           ECHELON ABOVE CORPS FORCES         516,742           LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT         48,443           LAND FORCES READINESS         382,404         2,200           FORCES READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT         75,746         2,200           LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS         107,925         107,925	843	CORPS COMBAT FORCES	652,895		652,89	35
ECHELON ABOVE COPPS FORCES LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT 48.443 LAND FORCES READINESS FORCE READINESS FORCE READINESS LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS 107,925	844	CORPS SUPPORT FORCES	313,967		313,96	23
LAND FORCES READINESS FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT T5.746 LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS 107,925	845 046	ECHELON ABOVE CORPS FORCES	516,742		516,74	<u>م</u>
Land FORCES READINESS         382,404         2.200           FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT         75,746         107,925           LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS         107,925         107,925	847		C+++,0+		40,44	2
LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS 107,925	848 849	LAND FORCES READINESS FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	<u>362,404</u> 75.746	2,200	<u>364,60</u> 75 74	계영
	850	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	107,925		107,92	52

TITLE III - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

	ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	HOUSE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 HOUSE RECOMMENDATION
851		178,733		178,733
852	AZUR BLUE CANNON BORE CLEANING SYSTEM		2,200	2,200
854	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT	1,392,540	236,000	1,628,540
855	B	561,967		629,367
856	CINC Homeland Security/DERF Transfer-Enhanced Secure Communications to Reserve Components Counter Terrorism/Entre Protection/DERF Transfer-Access Control Vulnerschilty Accessments	omponents	30,700	
858	-	2112	2.900	
859	Ц	363,571		363.571
860	_	420,329		420,329
861	MISCELLANEOUS ACTIVITIES	46,673		215,273
862			86,200	
863			48,500	
864	-	omponents	5,900	
865	-	omponents	25,600	
866	CINC Homeland Security/DERF TransferEnhanced Secure Communications to Reserve Components	omponents	2,400	
867				
868	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	3,879,721	251,200	4,130,921
869				
870	BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
871				
872		257,101	0	257.101
873	STAFF MANAGEMENT	104,409		104,409
874	INFORMATION MANAGEMENT	15,565		15,565
875	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION	52,259		52,259
876	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	84.868		84.868
877				
878	TOTAL, BA 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	257,101	0	257,101
879				
880	UNDISTRIBUTED			
881	CSRS ACCRUAL ADJUSTMENT		(87,255)	(87,255)
882				
883	TOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED		(87,255)	(87,255)

	(Dollars In Thousands)			
LINE	ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG	FY 2003 AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	HOUSE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	FY 2003 HOUSE RECOMMENDATION
885	TOTAL OPERATION AND MAINTENACE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	4,136,822	163,945	4,300,767
886 887	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
888				
888 008	BUDGET ACTIVITY 01: OPERATING FORCES			
891	AIR OPERATIONS	4.115.962	4.000	4,119,962
892		2,637,374		2,641,374
893	Cold Weather Clothing (ECWCS)		4,000	
894		341,385		341,385
895	_	407,751		407,751
896		164,228		164,228
897	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	565,224		565,224
868				
899	TOTAL, BA 01: OPERATING FORCES	4,115,962	4,000	4,119,962
006				
901	BUDGET ACTIVITY 04: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
902				
903		34,999	0	34,999
904		24,871		24,871
905 906	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	10,128		10,128
200	TOTAL BADA: ADMINISTRATION & SERVICEMINE ACTIVITIES	000 10	c	31,000
806		01,900	5	94,939
606	UNDISTRIBUTED			
910	CSRS ACCRUAL ADJUSTMENT		(88,416)	(88.416)
911	MILITARY TECHNICIANS		11,300	11,300
912				
913	TOTAL, UNDISTRIBUTED		(77,116)	(77,116)
914				
915	TOTAL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD	4,150,961	(73,116)	4,077,845
916				
918		395,900		395,900

	ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG	AUTHORIZATION REQUEST	HOUSE CHANGE FROM REQUEST	F 7 2003 HOUSE RECOMMENDATION
919	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY	256,948		256,948
620	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE	389,773		389,773
	EINVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE-WIDE	23,498		23,498
922	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, FORMERLY USED DEFENSE SITES	212,102		212,102
923	DHUG IN LERDIC FICH AND COUNTERFURIG ACTIVITIES OVERSEAS CONTINGENCIES	848,907 F0 000	150,000	
925		000,00	(nnn'ne)	-
926	PENTAGON RENOVATION			
927 928	TOTAL ORM TRANSFED ACCOUNTS	961 771 0	(000 02)	001 201 0
929			(anator)	21,121,2
930	MISCELLANEOUS			
931	OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL (O&M)	163.440		155.165
932	CSRS Accrual Adjustment		(8,275)	
933	U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES	9,925		9.614
934	CSRS Accrual Adjustment		(311)	
935	SUPPORT OF INTERNATIONAL SPORTING COMPETITIONS	19,000		19,000
936	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AFFAIRS/1	58,400		58,400
937	PAYMENT TO KAHO'OLAWE ISLAND	25,000		25,000
938	EMERGENCY RESPONSE FUND, DEFENSE	20,055,000		
939	Incremental costs of continuing the global war on terrorism		(10,000,000)	
940	Transfer to other accounts		(6,213,252)	
941	Transfer to other O&M Accounts		(3,841,748)	
942	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM	14,360,271		14,242,541
943	CSRS Accrual Adjustment		(126,230)	
944	Defense Health ProgramCritical Infrastructure Protection		500	
945	TRICARE Prime Remote		6,000	
946	Marshall Islands Diabetes reversal/wellness program		2,000	
947	FORMER SOVIET UNION THREAT REDUCTION	416,700		416,700
948				
949 950	TOTAL, MISCELLANEOUS	35,107,736	(20,181,316)	14,926,420
951	TOTAL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE TITLE:	149.826.134	(20.015.292)	129 810 842

### ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

### BUDGET REQUEST ADJUSTMENTS

The committee recommends the following adjustments to the fiscal year 2003 budget request:

### [In millions of dollars]

Department of the Army Adjustments	
Department of the Army Adjustments: AIT/RFID Maintenance	.0.0
AIT/RFID Prepositioned Stocks	+9.0
Army Aviation Warfighting Simulation Center	+8.0
Army Aviation Warnghting Simulation Center	$^{+4.0}_{+8.0}$
Army Cold Weather Clothing (ECWCS)	
Army Depot Apprenticeship Program	+10.0
Army National Guard Cold Weather Clothing (ECWCS)	+4.0
Army National Guard Modular Sleep System	+10.0
Army Reserve Modular Sleep System Army Reserve Cold Weather Clothing (ECWCS)	+6.0
Army Reserve Cold Weather Clothing (ECWCS)	+4.0
Army Reserve Military Technicians	+8.0
Azur Blue Cannon Bore Cleaning System	+2.2
BA-4 BRAC Preparation Funds	-24.1
BA-4 Global Command Support System	-5.0
Controlled Humidity Preservation	+20.0
Corrosion Prevention and Control Program	+12.0
Electronic Maintenance and Point to Point Wiring	+6.0
Hydration on the Move (CamelBak)	+4.1
M–Gators	+4.0
Training Range Modernizations	+32.0
Transfers to H.R. 4547	-14.4
USARSO Leasing Increases	-2.55
Department of the Navy Adjustments:	
AV–8B Engine Life Maintenance Program	+2.0
BA–3 IMEŤ Funding BA–3 IMET Funding, USMC	-4.93
BA-3 IMET Funding, USMC	-1.33
Corrosion Control Program ATC Glass	+2.0
Hydration on the Move CamelBak	+1.0
LHA Stability Improvement Alterations	+57.0
Navy Aviation Depot Apprenticeship Program Navy Shipyard Depot Apprenticeship Program	+6.0
Navy Shipyard Depot Apprenticeship Program	+6.0
Stainless Steel Sanitary Spaces	+15.0
Navy Transfer to H.R. 4547	-5.3
Naval Sea Cadets	+1.0
USMC Facility Restoration and Modernization	+31.0
USMC Transfers to H.R. 4547	-11.5
Uniting through Beading	+0.1
Uniting through Reading Department of the Air Force Adjustments:	
Aging Propulsion Systems Life Extension Air Force Logistics System/L–SMART Air National Guard Cold Weather Clothing (ECWCS)	+7.0
Air Force Logistics System/L-SMART	+2.5
Air National Guard Cold Weather Clothing (ECWCS)	+4.0
B–1B Pivot Shear Replacement	+80.0
BA-4 BRAC Preparation Funds	-16.5
Combat Air Patrol Flying Hours	-300.0
Hydration on the Move (CamelBak)	+1.0
Office, Secretary of Defense Adjustments:	11.0
Impact Aid	+35.0
Defense-wide Activities Adjustments:	10010
CSRS/FEHBP Accrual Funding transfer out	-2,276.3
Defense Human Resources Activity	-20.0
Defense Information Systems Agency	-37.0
DERF transfer to other accounts	-6,213.3
Foreign Currency Account	-522.4
Joint Chiefs of Staff Exercise Program	-10.0
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund	-50.0
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund Washington Headquarters Service	-10.0
TRICARE Prime Remote	+6.0
Marshall Island Diabetes Reversal/Wellness Program	+0.0 +2.0
National Guard Challenge	+2.0 +2.5
National Guard Youth Foundation	+2.5
Tuttohar Guara Toulli Foundation	12.0

### **Excess Foreign Currencies Reductions**

Since the submission of the budget request, the U.S. dollar has increased in value compared to various foreign currencies. As a result, the committee believes that the budget request is overstated. Therefore, the committee recommends a reduction in this account of \$522.4 million to be apportioned to the military services by the Department of Defense.

### Joint Chiefs of Staff Training Exercises

The committee is concerned with the increasing pace of operations throughout the military services and the proposed Joint Chiefs of Staff (JCS) training exercises program. The budget request includes an increase of \$21.8 million for JCS training exercises. The committee believes that requirements for these additional training exercises will be levied against units that are already overextended with the execution of the war on terrorism, other world-wide operational deployments, home station training exercises, and training exercises at the services' major combat training centers. The committee questions whether the benefit of additional JCS exercises, at this time, is worth the price paid by units already suffering the effects of high operational tempo. Therefore, the committee recommends a reduction of \$10.0 million for the JCS training exercises program.

### BUDGET REQUEST DISPLAY ISSUES

### Accrual Accounting for Civil Service Retirement and Health Programs

The budget request proposed, for the first time, to include \$3.3 billion for the costs of the Civil Service Retirement System (CSRS) and the Federal Employees Health Benefits (FEHB) program for future retirees on an accrual basis in the accounts that pay the salaries of current civilian employees. Currently, these accrual accounts are funded by the Office of Management and Budget and are paid from a general account of the U.S. Treasury. Specific legislation is required to accomplish this change in these mandatory accounts. The Congress has not acted on the required legislation and, therefore, the committee recommends the continuation of the current practice of funding these accounts. The following represents the total budget request for CSRS and FEHB that have not been included in the committee's recommendation:

### [In millions of dollars]

Operation and Maintenance—Army	612.382
Operation and Maintenance—Navy	324.278
Operation and Maintenance—Marine Corps	47.210
Operation and Maintenance—Air Force	531.055
Operation and Maintenance—Defense-Wide	346.046
Operation and Maintenance—Army Reserve	43.220
Operation and Maintenance—Navy Reserve	6.227
Operation and Maintenance—Air Force Reserve	55.365
Operation and Maintenance—Army National Guard	87.255
Operation and Maintenance—Air National Guard	88.416
Office of the Inspector General	8.275
Court of Military Appeals	0.311
RDT&E—Army	98.161
RDT&E—Navy	5.565

RDT&E—Air Force	36.249
RDT&E—Defense-Wide	14.688
Military Construction—Army	26.083
Military Construction-Navy	10.470
Working Capital Fund-Army	109.042
Working Capital Fund—Navy	
Working Capital Fund—Air Force	122.365
Working Capital Fund-Defense Commissary Agency	27.589
Working Capital Fund—Defense-Wide	206.879
Family Housing—Army	
Family Housing-Defense Logistics Agency	0.037
Defense Health Program	126.230
Total	3,309.893

### Defense Emergency Response Fund

The budget request for operation and maintenance contained, for the first time, a single entry for a transfer account entitled the Defense Emergency Response Fund (DERF), which is intended to be used to support the efforts of the Department of Defense (DOD) to respond to, or protect against, acts or threatened acts of terrorism against the United States. Of the requested \$20.1 billion for the DERF, \$10.0 billion is designated as incremental funding for ongoing operations in the War on Terrorism. The remaining \$10.1 billion contained in the DERF transfer account is intended for enhancements and new initiatives identified to assist the Department in force protection, munitions, military construction, security and communications requirements, continuity of operations requirements, and for additional flying hours to support combat air patrols within the United States.

In accordance with the Budget Resolution for Fiscal Year 2003, (H.Con. Res. 353), the \$10.0 billion designated as incremental funding for ongoing operations in the war on terrorism has not been included in the committee's recommendation.

The committee is concerned that maintaining a single transfer account for the additional items needed by the military services to increase security, and continue operations of the war on terrorism, will be difficult to manage and, of greater concern, will be difficult to audit. The committee notes that the Department provided detailed DERF justification materials based on the traditional appropriation account formats. Using this data, the committee has distributed all of the remaining DERF transfer account into the specific services' accounts by budget activities and sub-activities groups. The following shows the distribution of the DERF transfer account to the traditional appropriations accounts:

Operation and Maintenance	\$ 3,847,048,000
Procurement	3,382,433,000
Research and Development	2,198,235,000
Military Construction	594,384,000
Military Personnel	32,900,000
- Total	10,055,000,000

### INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (IT) ISSUES

### Overview

The Assistant Secretary of Defense for Command, Control, Communications and Intelligence [ASD(C3I)] is a single position with various roles and responsibilities, one of those roles being the Department of Defense's (DOD) Chief Information Officer (CIO). Under title 10, United States Code, the DOD CIO is responsible for reviewing budget requests; ensuring interoperability; ensuring federal and Department of Defense standards are prescribed; and eliminating duplicative information technology and national security systems. The committee recognizes these are difficult and challenging responsibilities, yet these tasks are vital if DOD is to succeed in management reform and transformation.

The committee is aware of several dominant problems regarding the purchase of information technology systems. These problems include the inability to aggressively control and manage user requirements; failure to integrate information technology reviews with budget and financial decisions; difficulty in properly using performance based contracts; and promotion or endorsement of program managers who do not have the varied skills necessary to run a successful information technology program.

### Requirements

Information technology systems have the ability to improve dramatically the Department's numerous administrative processes. The committee believes that too often tremendous resources are wasted on systems that do not meet the initial requirement for which the systems were intended. Without proper oversight and aggressive leadership, management reform will suffer and cases, such as described below, will prevail.

The committee recognizes that requirements setting and management are particularly difficult for those IT systems that are intended to be joint or defense wide. Evidence suggests that these IT systems cannot move beyond the requirements phase without strong leadership making difficult trade-offs among the various requirements. Without leadership, joint or defense wide systems duplicate military or agency unique systems rather than replace those systems. Equally, a joint or defense-wide system often migrates into a more unique system as the various agencies and military services adapt the system to their individual requirements. One of the more glaring examples of failure to minimize requirement growth is the Standard Procurement System (SPS), a system touted as moving the procurement world into paperless contracting.

This system was stunted by the services' and agencies' insurmountable requirements and lack of Department leadership to arrest unnecessary requirements growth. The services and agencies complained that their unique requirements were not being met and independently changed the system to fit their own needs. The committee is aware of other problems with SPS, but believes requirements growth was one of the more significant problems. The Department committed over \$320.0 million to this system prior to Congress bringing SPS to a halt last year.

### Budget Reviews

The committee believes that the Department of Defense has not articulated or successfully integrated the CIO's responsibilities with budgeting and financial decisions. The committee believes the opportunity for such integration presents itself with the Department's recent commitment to a financial management modernization program. The Under Secretary of Defense, Comptroller, is committed to leadership and strong oversight over this program—which includes the development, funding, and financing of the information technology systems under the modernization program. The committee believes the Comptroller's interest in information technology should be captured and the Comptroller should have greater responsibility and oversight over all information technology systems within the Department of Defense. The committee believes that the need to integrate the CIO's responsibilities with the Comptroller's responsibilities is well within the foundation principles of the Clinger-Cohen Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–106).

### Performance-based contracting

A major concern for the committee is the way in which the Department uses performance-based contracts, or task orders, for IT services. The committee believes in the concept of payment for performance. When the Department chooses a performance based service contract for an IT system, the Department should design the contract in a way that ties contractor payment with performance. The committee believes that a performance metric, and thus payment, should be more than a delivery schedule. Payment should also include an affirmative evaluation of whether the service or product does in fact meet all of the contract objectives. Failure to incentivize the contractor to perform as originally agreed in the contract is a practice inconsistent with good management and good business practice.

### Program management

A successful IT program manager needs a strong and varied set of skills and knowledge. Not only does the program manager need acquisition experience, it is equally important to have technical and functional knowledge. The technical experience is important in evaluating the vendor product, understanding vendor progress, and recognizing technical difficulties ahead. Functional knowledge is a key to evaluating user requirements, recognizing the necessary degree of business re-engineering, and anticipating user concerns. These three skills can be difficult to find in one program manager. The committee believes, however, that at a minimum, the senior program management team must incorporate all three varied skills.

### Defense Messaging System (DMS)

This system was originally intended to replace the automatic digital network (AUTODIN), a messaging system that DOD uses to transmit messages ranging from unclassified to top secret, including sensitive compartmented information. Seven years after the original DMS contract was awarded, and the expenditure of \$647.0 million, the AUTODIN is still not in operation because, in part, top secret and sensitive compartmented information cannot be transmitted with DMS. The committee believes this is just one of several examples where after general requirements for an IT system have been established, the Department has failed to provide the necessary leadership to manage and move the system in a positive and successful direction. The committee strongly believes that without proper oversight and aggressive leadership in this area, management reform will suffer and cases, such as demonstrated above, will prevail.

### Defense Integrated Military Human Resources Systems

The Department of Defense is attempting to develop and implement the Defense Integrated Military Human Resources System (DIMHRS), a single personnel and pay system that will support all military personnel. The committee is concerned with the Department's continued disparate efforts in the development of DIMHRS. Information technology systems of this magnitude and importance require uniform, rigid, and continuous oversight. The committee believes that such an oversight management structure is not in place.

In the budget request for fiscal year 2003, the committee received three different budget exhibits from the three organizations that play a significant role in development of DIMHRS. None of the three organizations were aware of the other submissions, or could discuss information or data contained in the other's submissions. The program manager and program executive office could not even explain the purpose or work of all four prime contractors working for the program manager. The committee notes there are over 12 prime contracts under this initiative.

The committee has seen total confusion, a lack of communication, and complete mistrust between the personnel community and the pay community. The two sides do not support each other's funding requirements, user requirements, or testing procedures. This system will fail unless the Secretary of Defense takes immediate steps to vastly improve the management structure of DIMHRS. The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to obligate no funds appropriated for fiscal year 2003 for DIMHRS until a report is submitted to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services. The report shall include: a statement of the roles and responsibilities assigned to the Defense Human Resources Activity, the Defense Finance and Accounting Service, and the Department of the Navy; all funds appropriated and obligated, by appropriation, for DIMHRS since its inception; a list of each prime contractor and the work to be performed under the contract; a description of the pay and personnel module that will be used in DIMHRS and a description of the testing each module underwent and a statement whether the module can meet user requirements.

### Global Command Support System—Army

In 1997, the Army initiated actions that would transform the Army's information technology (IT) support systems. This included replacing 16 legacy IT systems with five modules. After spending over \$320.0 million on this system to date, nothing has been fielded and no legacy systems have been turned off. In fact, the Army is still attempting to implement the first, and admittedly easiest, module. The committee is troubled by the amount of money and time needed for initial fielding of the first and easiest module, and is equally concerned with the Army's decision to start development of the second module, even before there is an Army decision about whether the second module will be a commercial off the shelf product or a government developed product. In light of these concerns, the committee recommendation includes a reduction of \$5.0 million for the Global Command Support System.

### Supply Maintenance Aviation Reengineering Team

The Supply Maintenance Aviation Reengineering Team is one of four enterprise resource planning initiatives within the Department of the Navy. Although the objectives of this plan have commendable goals, the committee is concerned with the Navy's acquisition plan for this initiative. The Navy has awarded a performance based contract for this initiative; however, at the same time, the Navy is still developing performance measurements, quantifying mission improvements, resource savings and mission benefits. In addition, the Navy has informed the committee that it is still waiting for the contractor to document critical aspects of the project. The committee urges the Navy to re-evaluate this initiative.

### Warfighters Simulation System

The Warfighter Simulation system, initiated by the Department of the Army in 1994, is intended to allow Army units world wide to train in their local command posts using their assigned organizational equipment. The committee understands that no formal cost benefit or return on investment analysis was ever performed for this system. In April 2001, the system experienced a "schedule breach". At that time, the milestone decision authority for this system directed that a revised acquisition program baseline be developed and cost position established. To date, neither is complete. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of the Army to not obligate any funds for fiscal year 2003 for the Warfighter Simulation System until the military decision authority reviews the revised acquisition program baseline and cost position and determines it is appropriate to move forward with this program.

### Wireless Priority Service

The budget request includes \$101.0 million in the Defense Emergency Response Fund, and \$73.0 million in the operation and maintenance request for the Defense Information Systems Agency to initiate and implement a priority wireless service whereby government officials can achieve priority access when using cellular phones. Following White House guidance, the committee understands that the Department hopes to have priority access nationwide by December, 2003. However, the committee does not believe that the Department has developed a realistic implementation plan, and has not seen evidence that the Department can obligate \$73.0 million in the first quarter of fiscal year 2003. Therefore, the committee recommends a reduction of \$37.0 million for the Defense Information Systems Agency.

### ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

Through a series of hearings, fact-finding trips and in-depth briefings, the committee has received a growing number of reports from the Department of Defense and the military services that mandatory compliance with federal environmental laws is having an increasingly adverse impact on their ability to fully utilize training ranges that are critical to maintaining military readiness. The committee is concerned that maintaining military readiness is not only necessary to insure national security, but especially critical for the successful prosecution of the War on Terrorism. During the past several months, the committee has had numerous meetings with representatives from the Department, the military services, federal environmental regulators, state regulatory organizations and representatives of the nonprofit environmental community to consider their common and competing concerns regarding the impact on military readiness and national security caused by compliance with various federal environmental laws. In addition to meetings in Washington, D.C., the committee has visited numerous military facilities throughout the country to examine the positive effects of compliance with environmental laws and regulations, as well as the adverse impact environmental compliance is creating in other geographic areas, particularly in the context of encroachment upon existing and available land which can be used for military training and testing.

The committee is of the opinion that it is essential that all federal agencies, including the Department, be required to comply with all federal environmental laws, including the Endangered Species Act (Public Law 93–205), the Marine Mammal Protection Act (Public Law 92–522), the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (Public Law 93–300), the Clean Air Act (Public Law 88–206), and the Clean Water Act (Public Law 92–500), to name a few. However, due to its unique training and operational missions, the Department often faces unique challenges in balancing its obligations to comply with these environmental laws and sustaining military readiness. The ever increasing limitations and restrictions on lands and waters which are currently set aside for military training exercises, as well as restrictions on the times and conditions under which military training exercises can be conducted, are some examples of these environmental encroachment challenges.

For example, the Navy spends approximately \$2.4 million each year and is forced to close its shore bombardment range off the California coast at San Clemente Island four days each week during the breeding season due to the presence of a bird called the loggerhead shrike, an endangered species that inhabits the island. When the shrike was initially listed as an endangered species on San Clemente Island, the population was estimated to include only 13 birds. Today the population has grown to approximately 160 birds, of which approximately 70 birds are in the wild. The rest are housed in the captive breeding programs on San Clemente Island or at the San Diego Zoo, all at the expense of the Navy. To achieve this environmental success, the Navy has found it necessary to reduce one of the island's two firing ranges in size by 90 percent and the other by 50 percent during the fire season. Although the shrike population has increased dramatically, current laws establish no goal at which restrictions on the Navy's activities will be relaxed. Similarly, at Fort Hood, Texas, one of the Army's premier train-

Similarly, at Fort Hood, Texas, one of the Army's premier training installations, of approximately 200,000 training acres, 66,000 acres, or 33 percent, of the training land is committed to protect the habitat of two endangered species. The presence of cultural artifacts restricts an additional 11 percent of Fort Hood's training area, and 128,000 acres have restrictions on digging, affecting 64 percent of the training area. Combined with additional restrictions of smoke and noise, a total of 84 percent of Fort Hood's available training area is currently subject to some kind of limitation. The committee notes that some type of encroachment problem exists at nearly every military installation.

The Migratory Bird Treaty Act is an important environmental statute that was enacted in 1918 to control the mass slaughter of birds for commercial purposes. Under the statute, a federal agency can obtain a permit to "take" migratory birds intentionally, such as clearing large flocks of Canadian Geese from a landing field or golf course. However, a federal court recently ruled that the Navy had violated the Migratory Bird Treaty Act by accidentally taking migratory birds while conducting training at one of its facilities in Guam without a permit to take migratory birds. The court recognized a paradox in that the statute prohibits the issuance of a permit to authorize unintentional takings during military readiness activity. The committee recommends a provision that would amend the Migratory Bird Treaty Act to correct this paradox.

After several weeks of requesting that the Department communicate its environmental proposals to Congress, the Department delivered its proposed legislative language to the committee three business days prior to the Readiness Subcommittee markup. Among the requests received from the Department for relief of environmental encroachment was a proposal addressing encroachment of the Navy's operational activities by creating a new definition of "harassment" under the Marine Mammal Protection Act.

The committee recognizes the need to balance important federal environmental laws against the need to safeguard the Navy's ability to maximize its readiness capabilities. The committee declined to adopt a provision addressing the encroachment challenges created by the current language in the Marine Mammal Protection Act due to the lack of a meaningful period during which the committee had to examine the long-term environmental impact of this legislative provision. The committee recognizes that modifications to the Marine Mammal Protection Act may be required to address the Navy's concerns and intends to continue its examination of this matter in order to derive the correct legislative solution to this issue.

It is not the intent of the committee to weaken or repeal any of the existing federal environmental laws. The committee remains committed to fully funding the environmental programs of the Department. This commitment is demonstrated through annual authorizations to the Department and the military services of approximately \$4 billion for environmental programs. The committee is similarly committed to preserving the invaluable natural resources that occupy the lands and seas upon which the military services operate, including authorization of important research and development projects with partners throughout the government and environmental community.

The committee recommends several legislative provisions to promote a statutory balance between the need to preserve and protect our invaluable natural resources and the equally important imperative to ensure national security

### MORALE, WELFARE, AND RECREATION ISSUES

### Appropriated Fund Support for Morale, Welfare, and Recreation Programs

The committee has long supported robust appropriated fund support to Morale, Welfare, and Recreation (MWR) programs of the Department of Defense and the military services. At the same time, the committee believes that the Department should conduct efficient, self-supporting business activities that both provide a benefit and some measure of income. The committee has discovered, how-ever, that the tragic events of September 11, 2001, and the resultant tightened security on military bases have had a devastating impact on many installation MWR programs. Many business activities suffered significant financial losses as customers had difficulty accessing base facilities and a substantial number of personnel deployed. In testimony before the committee, Department of Defense officials stated that the Department was reviewing a proposal to provide some measure of financial relief for affected programs. The committee commends the Secretary of Defense for this initiative and urges him to follow through and provide needed funds to these important programs.

### Defense Commissary Agency Funding and Staffing

The committee believes that the commissary benefit is one of the most important non-pay benefits provided to military families. The committee has learned in repeated family testimony and installation visits that the commissary store is a key element of a cohesive military community, especially in times of crisis and major deploy-ments. The committee is aware that the Defense Commissary Agency (DECA) has embarked on an aggressive cost cutting campaign that will eliminate 2,650 civilian positions over a three-year period. While the committee believes that all Defense agencies should be operated at maximum efficiency, the committee has heard testimony that these cuts may have gone too far, to the point of jeopardizing service to the customer. The Secretary of Defense, in testimony before the committee on February 6, 2002, committed to maintain the present level of savings and customer service in commissary stores, and the committee fully supports that objective. There is dispute, however, on whether that commitment is being fulfilled. To answer that question and help the committee and the Department preserve this important benefit, the committee believes that an independent agency should be tasked to determine whether an adequate level of funding and staffing will be maintained.

Accordingly, the committee directs the Comptroller General to review the DECA budget, staffing plan, and customer satisfaction survey methodology to determine the adequacy of the customer service survey methodology and whether current levels of savings and customer service can be maintained. The Comptroller General shall report his findings to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services not later than March 1, 2003. The committee further directs the Secretary of Defense to moderate the pace of these proposed personnel reductions until the results of the Comptroller General review are available.

### Force Protection for Morale, Welfare, and Recreation Activities

The committee is aware that the Department of Defense is reviewing force protection standards for military installations. This review includes overall base security, facility stand off distances from installation perimeters, parking security, building engineering upgrades and the like. The committee believes that Morale, Welfare, and Recreation (MWR) activities of all types should be included in this review. The committee is aware of instances where major resale and other MWR activities are located outside the perimeter of a military installation. The committee affirms its long held view that the protection of Department of Defense assets and facilities, including MWR activities, wherever they may be located, is a command responsibility that must be resourced with appropriated funds.

### Support of Privatized Housing Areas

The committee supports the military services' efforts to improve military family housing through privatization initiatives. The committee has also acted to preserve the virtual monopoly of the military resale system on installations by prohibiting such projects from including facilities that would compete with military commissaries, exchanges, or other Morale, Welfare, and Recreation (MWR) programs. The committee provided that protection because it believes that military communities are best served by military resale activities. In order to continue to receive such protection, the military services' resale and MWR programs have an obligation to aggressively support privatized housing with needed programs such as shoppettes, child care centers, and other necessary facilities, especially if such housing is isolated from the parent installation.

### OTHER ISSUES

### Automatic Identification Technology/Radio Frequency Identification

The committee believes that equipment maintenance is a critical requirement to achieving readiness. The Department of the Army is developing depot level maintenance programs to re-capitalize equipment and mitigate the impact of aging combat equipment. The key to the maintenance process of these programs, is the ability to track and manage critical parts within the repair cycle process. Currently, the ability to accurately see the location of items in the repair cycle is limited. Many items undergoing repair are often lost, misrouted, or misplaced requiring procurement of a replacement part, or work being deferred until the part can be found. Either action results in production delays and increased repair costs.

The committee is aware of a promising automatic identification technology that would enable the tracking and management of critical parts and equipment known as Radio Frequency Identification (RF/ID) for maintenance. In January 2001, the Army successfully concluded a pilot program using RF/ID to continuously track and monitor critical and essential repair parts of selected major aviation components undergoing maintenance at their aviation depot. In addition, the committee believes that every opportunity to obtain and employ technologies that will aid in Total Asset Visibility (TAV) of all materiel in storage, transit, and from manufacturer or vendor, to depots, through ports, afloat on the high seas, and in land based storage sites. This is particularly important to optimize the processes associated with the storage and shipment of pre-positioned stocks, sets, kits, and outfits stored throughout the globe to support contingency requirements.

Because these new technologies have the potential to improve readiness, the committee recommends the addition of \$8.6 million for Maintenance RF/ID, and \$7.9 million for Pre-Positioned Stocks RF/ID. Procurement requirements for these systems are discussed elsewhere in the report.

### Cold Weather Clothing

The committee is aware that within the active and reserve components, there is a need for additional funding for the Extended Cold Weather Clothing System (ECWCS), which is designed to provide protection during cold and wet weather. As an example, many military units operating in harsh weather environments, including Afghanistan, continue to provide individuals with obsolete cold weather clothing that was designed and fabricated with 1970's fabric technology and construction techniques. These critical protective items, now approaching 25 years in the inventory, represent the oldest and most inefficient items of clothing in use by soldiers in the field. Faced with the dilemma of wearing the outmoded coldweather gear and being uncomfortable, many soldiers rely on per-sonal outdoor wear to keep warm purchased from commercial sources at their own expense. The committee believes that individual mobility, protection and comfort is a significant contributor to the combat readiness of the individual war-fighter and would significantly improve their quality of life. Therefore, the committee recommends an increase of funding for ECWCS as follows:

[In millions of dollars]

Army	8.0
Army Reserve	4.0
Army National Guard	4.0
Air Ňational Guard	4.0

### **Commercial Technologies for Maintenance Activities**

The committee continues to believe that the Commercial Technologies for Maintenance Activities (CTMA) program, created by the Department of Defense (DOD) in 1998 and designed to bring the most modern and advanced manufacturing capabilities used from commercial industry in DOD maintenance depots and related maintenance activities, is of great value as a technology resource and will have a positive effect on the efficiency and effectiveness of DOD industrial activities. The CTMA program is in direct support of section 361 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law 105–85) that required DOD to re-engineer industrial processes and adopt best-business practices at their depot-level activities. Although DOD initially funded the CTMA program in fiscal years 1998 and 1999 to carry out the mandate of Section 361, it has failed to keep CTMA in its budget despite the strong support of the program by Congress and the depot activities of the Department. Therefore, the committee recommends the addition of \$20.0 million for the Defense Logistics Agency to continue the CTMA program at depot-level activities that will lower operations and sustainment costs. The committee believes the addition of these funds will allow depot-level activities to participate in manufacturing technology demonstration projects in collaboration with more than 220 of the leading U.S. manufacturers and urges the Department of Defense to include funding for the CTMA program in future budget requests.

### Industrial Mobilization Capacity/Unutilized Plant Capacity

Industrial Mobilization Capacity (IMC)/Unutilized Plant Capacity (UPC) funding at Department of the Army arsenals is required to compensate the arsenals for the costs of that capability that is maintained only for mobilization. If the arsenals were a private business, they would get rid of this mobilization capacity and associated costs. The arsenals, however, have been directed to retain this mobilization capacity. Absent direct IMC/UPC funding the arsenals would have to include these mandated mobilization costs in their overhead rates. These inflated overhead rates would greatly hamper the arsenals as they compete for work from outside the Army as well as drive up production costs. The practical implication of not fully funding IMC/UPC has been costly to the arsenals. The Congress has expressed its concern about the Army's lack of support of IMC/UPC for the past several years, including a requirement in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106-398) to fully budget for IMC/UPC. The committee is aware that the budget request includes \$24.8 million for IMC/UPC for Rock Island Arsenal and \$25.2 million for IMC/UPC for Watervliet Arsenal. The committee congratulates the Secretary of the Army for finally fully budgeting for this critical requirement and expects the secretary to use the funding only for IMC/UPC needs at the Army's production arsenals.

### Fuel Savings Technology

The United States uses more petroleum each year than the next five largest consuming nations combined. Military fuel consumption for aircraft, ships, ground vehicles and facilities makes the department of Defense the single largest consumer of petroleum in America. Ten years after the end of the Cold War, over 70 percent of the tonnage required to deploy Army combat units is fuel. Naval forces depend each day on millions of gallons of fuel to operate around the globe. The Air Force is the largest Department consumer, and spends approximately 85 percent of its fuel budget to deliver, by airborne tankers, just 6 percent of its annual jet fuel usage. Due to unpredictable changes in fuel costs, the projected loss in the Department's fuel account in fiscal years 2002 and 2003 is expected to be approximately \$1.2 billion.

The committee believes the Department should consider new technologies to reduce the amount of required fuel. A report issued by the Defense Science Board Task Force in January 2001 titled: "More Capable Warfighting Through Reduced Fuel Burden", stated "High payoff, fuel-efficient technologies are available now to improve warfighting effectiveness in current weapon systems through retrofit and by new systems acquisition". One of these new technologies to reduce fuel usage is a bolt-on fuel catalyst that treats fuel prior to ignition and has shown remarkable reductions not only in fuel usage, but also in engine emissions.

The committee is aware that a fuel catalyst system, designed for diesel engines, has been tested over a 14 month period by the Marine Corps at Camp Pendleton, California with results showing an average 38.7 percent fuel economy and a 44.8 percent reduction in certain engine emissions. This same technology was also tested by the Navy Facilities Engineering Service Center onboard a Navy vessel that resulted in a 39 to 50 percent reduction in exhaust pollution and a 21 percent reduction in fuel consumption. This new technology would increase fuel efficiency resulting in substantial cost savings on fuel, would extend vehicle range, and would reduce the attendant logistical cost to supply fuel. The committee understands the cost of this new technology can be amortized in as little as six months through fuel savings alone.

Given the magnitude of potential fuel savings and emissions reductions, the committee does not understand why the Department has not taken advantage of this technology. The committee urges the Secretary of Defense to take immediate steps for the application of this new technology as soon as practicable.

### **Energy Savings Performance Contracts**

Authority for the Department of Defense to enter into Energy Savings Performance Contracts (ESPC) is contained in section 8287 of title 42, United States Code, and Executive Order 13123. Based on this authority, the Department of the Air Force has entered into numerous ESPCs. Under current Air Force policy, a single contractor is awarded an ESPC for each of the five regions, which make up the continental United States. The committee believes there could be instances where an installation is interested in entering into an ESPC, but the capabilities of the contractor assigned to that region do not meet the installation's requirements. The committee, therefore, requests the Secretary of the Air Force to reevaluate its current policy of dividing the country into regions and entering into only one ESPC per region.

### Long Term Depot Strategy

The committee is concerned that the Air Force has not yet completed a long-term depot strategy. Over the past few years, the committee has expressed a need for the Air Force to develop a strategy that provides a roadmap for how the air logistics centers will be used and supported in the future. While the committee is pleased that the Air Force has made significant progress in developing such a strategy, the committee is concerned that the strategy has not yet been finalized and looks forward to receiving, as soon as possible, a completed long-term depot strategy that will identify:

(1) Future weapon systems and how they will be supported in the depots,

(2) The plans for future investments in infrastructure and technology to ensure that the depots are fully equipped and resourced to support critical weapon systems, and

(3) The parameters of public-private partnerships to ensure effective and efficient support of weapon systems for the Air Force.

### National Defense Sealift Fund Issues

The budget request contained \$388.8 million for one T-AKE vessel in the National Defense Sealift Fund. The T-AKE is a Department of the Navy cargo and ammunition ship that provides at-sea replenishment. Twelve T-AKE ships are planned for procurement between fiscal years 2000 and 2007.

The committee notes that the previous future years defense program (FYDP) submitted to Congress for fiscal year 2001 included two T-AKE ships in fiscal year 2004 but the FYDP for fiscal year 2003 includes only one ship in this year, and understands that costs for T-AKE ships in fiscal year 2004 and later years are based on the option that the Navy would procure two T-AKE ships in fiscal year 2004.

Since the committee understands that fixed-price contracts have been awarded under the assumption that the Department of the Navy would provide funding for two ships in fiscal year 2004 and to deviate from that assumption would increase unit costs, it encourages the Navy to return to a funding profile that would exercise the option to procure two T-AKE ships in fiscal year 2004.

### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

### SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

### Section 301—Operation and Maintenance Funding

This section would authorize \$129.8 billion in operations and maintenance funding for the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense.

### Section 302—Working Capital Funds

This section would authorize \$2.4 billion for Working Capital Funds of the Department of Defense.

### Section 303—Armed Forces Retirement Home

This section would authorize \$69.9 million from the Armed Forces Retirement Trust Fund for the operation of the Armed Forces Retirement Home, including the Armed Forces Retirement Home—Washington, and the Armed Forces Retirement Home— Gulfport.

### SUBTITLE B—ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

### Section 311—Incidental Taking of Migratory Birds During Military Readiness Activity

This section would amend section 704 of title 16, United States Code, to give the Department of Defense statutory authority under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, P.L. 93–300, to obtain a permit for incidental taking of birds during authorized military readiness activity.

### Section 312—Military Readiness and the Conservation of Protected Species

This section would amend section 1533 of title 16, United States Code, (Public Law 93–205), to amend the Endangered Species Act of 1973 to prohibit further designations of critical habitat for endangered species in areas for which an Integrated Natural Resources Management Plan has been prepared under section 101 of the Sikes Act, (Public Law 86–797). This section would also require regulatory agencies to consider national security concerns in addition to economic impact prior to designating future areas of critical habitat. This section would not annul existing critical habitat designations, but it would permit the Secretary of the Interior to exercise discretion to revise existing critical habitat designations on military installations. No existing critical habitat can be revised, however, if such action would result in the extinction of an endangered or threatened species.

Section 313—Single Point of Contact for Policy and Budgeting Issues Regarding Unexploded Ordnance, Discarded Military Munitions, and Munitions Constituents

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a single point of contact in the Department of Defense for policy and budgeting issues involving the characterization, remediation, and management of explosive and related risks with respect to unexploded ordnance, discarded military munitions, and munitions constituents at defense sites that pose a threat to human health or safety. The section would also permit the Secretary to establish an independent advisory and review panel to report annually to Congress on progress made by the Department of Defense regarding unexploded ordnance.

### SUBTITLE C—COMMISSARIES AND NONAPPROPRIATED FUND INSTRUMENTALITIES

### Section 321—Authority for Each Military Department To Provide Base Operating Support to Fisher Houses

This section would amend section 2493 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the secretary of a military department to provide appropriated fund support to Fisher Houses associated with the health care facilities of that military department. Currently, only the Secretary of the Navy may provide such support. This provision would expand that authority to the Secretaries of the Army and Air Force.

### Section 322—Use of Commissary Stores and MWR Retail Facilities by Members of National Guard Serving in National Emergency

This section would amend section 1063a of title 10, United States Code, to authorize members of the national guard who are ordered to non-federal service in response to a federally declared national emergency to use commissary and exchange stores. Many members of the national guard have been called to service in their states to help secure the homeland in the War on Terrorism, and the committee believes that they should be authorized commissary and exchange privileges.

### Section 323—Uniform Funding and Management of Morale, Welfare, and Recreation Programs

This section would authorize the Secretary of Defense to permit installation commanders to manage funds appropriated for installation Morale, Welfare, and Recreation (MWR) programs under the procedures used for nonappropriated funds. In effect, the installation commander would be allowed to pool appropriated and nonappropriated MWR funds into a single nonappropriated fund account, resulting in greater flexibility and a more streamlined financial accounting system. The Department of Defense conducted a successful test of this concept, and the committee believes adoption of this initiative throughout the Department will increase the efficiency of MWR programs operated at the installation level.

### SUBTITLE D—WORKPLACE AND DEPOT ISSUES

Section 331—Notification Requirements in Connection With Required Studies for Conversion of Commercial or Industrial Type Functions to Contractor Performance

This provision would require the Secretary of Defense to notify Congress when the public sector maintains performance of a commercial function after competing against the private sector to determine which workforce could perform the work in the most efficient and effective manner.

### Section 332—Waiver Authority Regarding Prohibition on Contracts for Performance of Security-Guard Functions

This section would provide a waiver to section 2465(a) of title 10, United States Code, which currently prohibits the contracting out of security guard functions of the Department of Defense. The waiver authority would allow the Secretary of Defense or the secretary of a military department to contract for security guard functions if these functions are or will be performed by members of the armed forces, and at locations where security-guard functions are now required since September 11, 2001.

Section 333—Exclusion of Certain Expenditures From Percentage Limitation on Contracting for Performance of Depot-Level Maintenance and Repair Workloads

Currently, section 2474(f) of title 10, United States Code, excludes, until the year 2005, all work performed by non-federal personnel at Department of Defense maintenance and repair depots from the percentage limitations (50/50) on contracting for depotlevel maintenance by the private sector. This provision would remove the date limitation. The committee believes that the date limitation impedes the ability of both the public and the private sectors to fully achieve the benefits of public-private partnerships. Section 334—Repeal of Obsolete Provision Regarding Depot-Level Maintenance and Repair Workloads That Were Performed at Closed or Realigned Military Installations

This provision would repeal section 2469a of title 10, United States Code, that addressed depot-level maintenance and repair workloads that were performed at installations closed or realigned under the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (Public Law 101–510). All applicable installations have completed closure or realignment actions and, therefore, section 2469a is no longer necessary.

### Section 335—Clarification of Required Core Logistics Capabilities

This provision would amend section 2464(a)(3) of title 10, United States Code to change the definition of core logistics to include acquisition logistics, supply management, system engineering, maintenance, and modification management.

### SUBTITLE E—DEFENSE DEPENDENTS' EDUCATION

Section 341—Assistance to Local Educational Agencies That Benefit Dependents of Members of the Armed Forces and Department of Defense Civilian Employees

This section would authorize \$30.0 million for educational assistance to local education agencies where the standard for the minimum level of education within the state could not be maintained because of the large number of military connected students. The committee's commitment to military children has provided much needed boosts to the education of military children around the country. Even so, the committee notes that the Department of Education impact aid program provides supplementary funds to eligible school districts nationwide, and believes that the Department of Education bears the principal responsibility for providing support for the educational needs of the nation's children.

Section 342—Availability of Quarters Allowance for Unaccompanied Defense Department Teacher Required To Reside on Overseas Military Installation

This section would amend section 905 of title 20, United States Code, to provide a method for the Department of Defense Education Activity (DODEA) to reimburse the Department of the Navy for the costs associated with making excess family housing available to unaccompanied DODEA teachers assigned to Guantanamo Bay Naval Station, Cuba. The provision would also provide DODEA with a needed incentive to attract and retain qualified educators to accept employment at Guantanamo Bay.

### Section 343—Provision of Summer School Programs for Students Who Attend Defense Dependents' Education System

This section would amend section 921 of title 20, United States Code, to clarify that the Secretary of Defense may provide optional summer school programs in the defense dependents' education system at no cost to those students who would normally be entitled to a free public education.

### SUBTITLE F—INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

### Section 351—Navy-Marine Corps Intranet Contract

This provision would authorize the Department of the Navy to expand the duration of the current contract for Navy Marine Corps Intranet services from the current five years to seven years. The committee believes that this extension is necessary for the continued success of this program.

The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (P.L. 106–398) authorized a phased implementation for the Navy-Marine Corps Intranet. Under this approach, the first phase consisted of implementing and testing the first 15 percent of the total number of seats to be provided under the contract. Although the Navy agreed that this approach was reasonable, the following year the Navy sought legislative relief. In response, Congress granted Navy the authority to order 250,000 seats, over half the program, before the operational testing of the original 15 percent was complete. The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (P.L. 107–107) granted this authority. The Department of the Navy has recently notified the committee that it needs authority to lengthen the duration of the original contract. The committee recognizes the enormous infrastructure the contractor has built and implemented in order for the Navy-Marine Corps Intranet to be successful. The committee believes it is appropriate for the contractor to have a longer period of time to recoup its investment costs.

The committee, however, continues to have significant concerns over this program. At this time, the primary concerns are cost and funding. The budget request for NMCI is \$1.4 billion. This funding request, however, does not include the costs for maintaining legacy systems, being connected to the SIPRNET, or to fund a transition office. The committee is concerned these unfunded requirements for fiscal year 2003 will exceed \$600.0 million.

### Section 352—Annual Submission of Information on National Security and Information Technology Capital Assets

This provision would codify information and data the Department of Defense must supply Congress on information technology systems. In the past, the committee has received information technology documents that describe the various information technology initiatives and provide budget data on these initiatives. These documents, however, are too often inaccurate, misleading, and incomplete. The Department must provide the committee accurate and precise information and data on information technology systems. The committee will rely on the documents, submitted pursuant to this provision, when making recommendations. It is not the committee's intention, however, to eliminate data or information the Office of Management and Budget or the Department of Defense may internally request on information technology and national security systems.

### Section 353—Implementation of Policy Regarding Certain Commercial Off-the-Shelf Information Technology Products

This provision would require the Secretary of Defense to ensure effective implementation, throughout the military services and defense agencies, of the federal government's policy to purchase only those commercial off-the-shelf information assurance and information assurance-enable information technology products that have been evaluated and validated in accordance with specified criteria, schemes, or programs.

### Section 354—Installation and Connection Policy and Procedures Regarding Defense Switch Network

This provision would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a clear, uniform, and enforceable policy, applicable to all military and defense agencies, regarding the testing and certification requirements that must be satisfied before a telecom switch can be connected to the Defense Switch Network.

The committee understands that there is a current policy in place, but believes the current policy is not well defined and does not have clear requirements for certifying and connecting telecom switches. The Department of Defense must apply the new policy consistently, or risk vendor re-evaluating the Department as a customer.

### SUBTITLE G—OTHER MATTERS

Section 361—Distribution of Monthly Reports on Allocation of Funds Within Operation and Maintenance Budget Subactivities

This provision would amend section 228 of title 10, United States Code, to clarify that the report required by section 228, concerning the allocation of funds within the various operation and maintenance accounts of the Department of Defense, be provided to the congressional defense committees.

### Section 362—Minimum Deduction From Pay of Certain Members of the Armed Forces to Support Armed Forces Retirement Home

This provision would amend section 1007(i) of title 37, United States Code, to require that the minimum amount to be collected monthly from all active duty enlisted and warrant officer personnel for the support of the Armed Forces Retirement Home be no less than \$1. The committee notes that the current rate of deduction, \$.50 cents, was established in 1977 and; although Congress authorized the Department of Defense to increase the monthly deduction to \$1 in section 371 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103–337), the Department has not acted on this authority. The committee further notes that had the Department increased the monthly deduction to the authorized level of \$1 in 1995, the Armed Forces Retirement Home Trust Fund would have received, approximately, an additional \$55.0 million thereby maintaining the stability of the Trust Fund.

### Section 363—Condition on Conversion of Defense Security Service to a Working Capital Funded Entity

This provision would prohibit the Department of Defense from converting the Defense Security Service (DSS) to a working capital fund (WCF) entity until the Secretary of Defense certifies to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services that the financial tools and systems are in place to support DSS as a WCF.

### Section 364—Continuation of Arsenal Support Program Initiative

This section would amend Section 343 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106–398) to authorize the Secretary of the Army to extend the Arsenal Support Initiative Program through fiscal year 2004. It would also require the Secretary of the Army to provide a report to the congressional defense committees by July 1, 2003, on the benefits of this program for Army manufacturing arsenals and to the Army and the success as of that date in achieving the goals of the program.

### Section 365—Training Range Sustainment Plan, Global Status of Resources and Training System, and Training Range Inventory

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to develop a comprehensive plan for addressing problems created by limitations on the use of military lands, marine areas, and airspace reserved, withdrawn, or designated for training and testing activities by the Department. The section would also require the Secretary of Defense to report to Congress on plans of the Department to improve the Global Status of Resources and Training System to better reflect the extent that military units are achieving training requirements and the impact of encroachment and other factors negatively affecting military readiness. In addition, the section would require the Secretary of Defense to develop and maintain a training range data bank for each of the military services.

Section 366—Amendments to Certain Education and Nutrition Laws Relating to Acquisition and Improvement of Military Housing

This section would amend section 8003(b)(2) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, (section 7703(b)(2) of title 20, United States Code), to prevent changes in the daily attendance, caused by the privatization of family housing on military installations, from affecting payments under the impact aid program. The section would also amend section 9(b)(3) of the Richard B. Russell National School Lunch Act (section 1758(b)(3) of title 42 United States Code), to exclude payments to military personnel for privatized military housing from affecting the eligibility of students for free or reduced price school lunches.

### TITLE IV—MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS

### OVERVIEW

The committee's military personnel recommendations directly reflect its considerations of the impact that the war on terrorism is having on service members and their families. Following the September 11, 2001 attack on America, the nation's military forces, already stressed by years of high operations tempo and under-resourcing, responded magnificently to a range of challenges, and many new missions. Notwithstanding that magnificent response, the committee believes that the wartime operations have both masked and exacerbated the same debilitating stresses of high operations and personnel tempos that existed before the global war on terrorism. While fully supporting the efforts of the Secretary of Defense to reduce operational and mission requirements, the committee recognizes that the war on terrorism will be a long-term effort and that some growth in active manpower is prudent at this time. Therefore, the committee's recommendation would increase active duty end strength by 12,600 above fiscal year 2002 levels, the largest single-year growth in active strength since 1985–1986, and provide an additional \$550.0 million to support the increase.

The committee also understands that service in uniform, whether in peace or war, often inflicts disabling injuries on military personnel. Heretofore, disabled military retirees were prohibited by law from receiving both their full military retired pay and their disability payments from the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA). The committee's recommendation would end this injustice by authorizing the most severely disabled military retirees, those rated 60 percent and above, to receive both their full retired pay and their full VA disability payments by 2007.

Building on its continuing multi-year effort to improve pay and benefits, the committee continues to believe that fully funded and flexible compensation programs are essential to successful recruiting and retention. Accordingly, the committee would provide a pay raise that combines both across-the-board and targeted increases for mid-grade noncommissioned officers and officers, and a new, more flexible approach to managing the retention of critical health care providers. Furthermore, the committee's recommendation would reduce out-of-pocket housing expenses from the current 11.3 percent to 7.5 percent in fiscal year 2003 and sustain the commitment to eliminate out-of-pocket expenses by fiscal year 2005.

Responding to the Secretary of Defense's desire for increased freedom to manage, the committee remains committed to providing military and civilian personnel managers within the Department of Defense the flexible authorities needed to promote efficient, effective, equitable, and timely management practices. Accordingly, the committee would include more flexible legislative initiatives for promotion, retirement, education programs, leave management, medical deferment of separation or retirement, support for veterans' funerals, privately-owned vehicle storage, and recruit candidate testing.

Finally, in the area of health care, the committee continues to seek better implementation of the TRICARE For Life program and to improve existing programs. To this end, the committee's recommendations focus on several limited benefit changes, necessary improvements to TRICARE's management and business practices, the future of the managed care support contracts and optimization efforts.

### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

### SUBTITLE A—ACTIVE FORCES

### Section 401–End Strengths for Active Forces

This section would authorize the following end strengths for active duty personnel of the armed forces as of September 30, 2003.

Service	FY 2002 au- thorized	FY 2	FY 2003		from
		Request	Committee recommenda- tion	FY 2003 re- quest	FY 2002 au- thorized
Army	480,000	480,000	484,800	4,800	4,800
Navy	376,000	375,700	379,457	3,757	3,457
USMC	172,600	175,000	175,000	0	2,400
Air Force	358,800	359,000	360,795	1,795	1,995
DOD Total	1,387,400	1,389,700	1,400,052	10,352	12,652

The committee's strength recommendation approves the 1.4 percent growth for the Marine Corps requested by the Secretary of Defense, and also provides for one percent increases for the Army and Navy, and a one-half of one percent increase for the Air Force that are in addition to the budget request. The committee's concerns about the inadequacy of active component manning levels extend back for at least five years prior to the commencement of the worldwide war on terrorism on September 11, 2001. Since that date, new military force requirements have emerged and the operations tempo has increased. In only one case-the increased Marine Corps end strength-did the budget request recognize these new realities. For the other services, the net end strength requested in the budget was just below the fiscal year 2002 authorized levels. While the committee fully supports the efforts of the Secretary of Defense to require the military services to comprehensively review their total force manpower requirements, the committee also be-lieves that some increases in end strength are prudent now, that the recommended increases are within the discretionary end strength growth permitted by current law, and that the recommended increases can be achieved by each of the military services. To support the additional end strength, the committee recommends increasing by \$550.0 million the total amount authorized for the military personnel accounts of the Army, Navy and Air Force.

### Section 402—Revision in Permanent End Strength Minimum Levels

This section would amend section 691 of title 10, United States Code, by establishing end strength floors for the active forces at the fiscal year 2003 strength levels recommended by the committee in section 401.

# Section 403—Authority for Military Department Secretaries To Increase Active-Duty End Strengths by Up to One Percent

This section would authorize the secretaries of the military departments to increase the authorized active duty end strength of their respective military service by up to one percent. The increase allowed to the secretaries of the military departments would be within the overall two percent increase in end strength that current law now permits the Secretary of Defense to authorize. The committee recommends this expanded authority for the secretaries of the military departments to allow them flexibility to enhance manning and readiness in essential units or critical specialties or ratings, and to assist them in managing dynamic strength fluctuations occurring in the military services as a result of new requirements, hard-to-predict recruiting and retention variables, and variables induced by the movement of reserve component personnel on and off active duty.

#### Section 404—General and Flag Officer Management

This section would increase by one the limit on the number of United States Marine Corps three- and four-star general and flag officers authorized to be on active duty, thereby permitting the commanding general, II Marine Expeditionary Force, to serve as a lieutenant general. This section would also exempt the senior military assistant to the Secretary of Defense from counting against the limits on three- and four-star general and flag officers that apply to that officer's specific military service. In addition, the section would also require that the chief of the Army Veterinary Corps serve in the grade of brigadier general. This section would become effective upon Congressional receipt of a report, using current requirements and data, that complies fully with section 1213, of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1997 (Public Law 104–201).

#### Section 405—Extension of Certain Authorities Relating to Management of Numbers of General and Flag Officers in Certain Grades

This section would extend to December 31, 2004, three expiring authorities relating to general and flag officer management. Those authorities provide for: the process by which the Secretary of Defense and Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff fill vacant senior joint four-star general and flag officer positions; the exemption of the senior joint four-star general and flag officer sappointed by that process from the general and flag officer limits that apply to the military services; and, the process by which the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff designates and fills 12 general and flag officer positions on the joint staff and 10 reserve component general and flag positions on the staffs of the commanders of the unified and specified commands.

# SUBTITLE B—RESERVE FORCES

# Section 411—End Strengths for Selected Reserve

This section would authorize the following end strengths for the selected reserve personnel, including the end strength for reserves on active duty in support of the reserves, as of September 30, 2003:

	FY 2002 au- thorized	FY 2003		Change from	
Service		Request	Committee recommenda- tion	FY 2003 re- quest	FY 2002 au- thorized
Army National Guard	350,000	350,000	350,000	0	0
Army Reserve	205,000	205,000	205,000	0	0
Naval Reserve	87,000	87,800	87,800	0	800
Marine Corps Reserve	39,558	39,558	39,558	0	0
Air National Guard	108,400	106,600	106,600	0	-1,800
Air Force Reserve	74,700	75,600	75,600	0	900
DOD Total	864,658	864,558	864,558	0	- 100
Coast Guard Reserve	8,000	9,000	9,000	0	1,000

# Section 412—End Strengths for Reserves on Active Duty in Support of the Reserves

This section would authorize the following end strengths for reserves on active duty in support of the reserves as of September 30, 2003:

	FY 2002 au- thorized	FY 2003		Change from	
Service		Request	Committee recommenda- tion	FY 2003 re- quest	FY 2002 au- thorized
Army National Guard	23,698	23,768	24,562	794	864
Army Reserve	13,406	13,588	14,070	482	664
Naval Reserve	14,811	14,572	14,572	0	- 239
Marine Corps Reserve	2,261	2,261	2,261	0	0
Air National Guard	11,591	11,697	11,697	0	106
Air Force Reserve	1,437	1,498	1,498	0	61
DOD Total	67,204	67,384	68,660	1,276	1,456

The committee believes that full time manning is a crucial component of readiness in the reserve components and for the last several years has supported continued overall growth in full-time manning. In that vein, the committee's recommendation for fiscal year 2003 would provide for a 2.2 percent growth over the previous year's end strength for reserves on active duty in support of the reserves.

Section 413—End Strengths for Military Technicians (Dual Status)

This section would authorize the following end strengths for military technicians (dual status) as of September 30, 2003:

	FY 2002 au- thorized	FY 2003		Change from	
Service		Request	Committee recommenda- tion (floor)	FY 2003 re- quest	FY 2002 au- thorized
Army National Guard	23,615	23,615	24,102	487	487
Army Reserve Air National Guard	6,249 22,422	6,349 22,495	6,599 22,495	250 0	350 73

Service	FY 2002 au- thorized	FY 2003		Change from	
		Request	Committee recommenda- tion (floor)	FY 2003 re- quest	FY 2002 au- thorized
Air Force Reserve	9,818	9,911	9,911	0	93
DOD Total	62,104	62,370	63,107	737	1003

The committee's recommendation would provide for a 1.6 percent growth in the strength of military technicians above the levels authorized in fiscal year 2002.

# Section 414—Fiscal Year 2003 Limitation on Non-Dual Status Technicians

This section would establish the following limits on the numbers of non-dual status technicians as of September 30, 2003:

	FY 2002 limit	FY 2003		Change from	
Service		Request	Committee recommenda- tion (limit)	FY 2003 re- quest	FY 2002 limit
Army National Guard	1,600	1,600	1,600	0	0
Army Reserve	1.095	995	995	0	-100
Air National Guard	350	350	350	0	0
Air Force Reserve	90	0	90	90	0
DOD Total	3,135	2,945	3,035	90	- 100

The committee's recommended increase in the number of Air Force Reserve non-dual status technicians results from revised data provided by that component subsequent to the committee's receipt of the budget request. The committee notes that the Army Reserve and the Air Force Reserve are required by section 10217 of title 10, United States Code, to reduce the total number of non-dual status technicians in both components to no more than 175 by September 30, 2007, and the committee urges both components to coordinate their efforts to reach that objective.

## SUBTITLE C—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

## Section 421—Authorization of Appropriations for Military Personnel

This section would authorize \$93,725.028 million to be appropriated for military personnel. This authorization of appropriations reflects both reductions and increases to the budget request that are itemized below.

[Dollars in millions]

	Military per- sonnel ac- counts	0&M accounts
Recommended Increases		
Active End Strength:		
Army	247.00	
Navy	201.00	
Air Force	102.00	
RC End Strength:		
Army National Guard AGRs	28.40	
Army Reserve AGRs	11.50	

306

[Dollars in millions]

	Military per- sonnel ac- counts	0&M accounts
Army National Guard Military Technicians		11.30
Army Reserve Military Technicians		8.00
Defense Health Program:		
TRICARE Prime Remote		6.00
Marshall Island diabetes program		2.0
Other Programs:		
Military personnel funding in Defense Emergency Response Fund	32.90	
Naval Sea Cadets		1.00
National Guard Challenge		2.50
National Guard Youth Foundation		2.50 0.13
Uniting Through Reading		0.15
Total Recommended Additions	622.80	33.43
Recommended Reductions		
Effect of FY 2002 NDAA legislation on accrual payment to Uniformed Services Retiree Health		
Care Fund	810.00	
Savings from not adopting DOD legislative proposals	29.20	
Savings from repeal of Special Stipend for Severely Disabled	33.43	
Transfer funding for wartime-related pays to H.R. 4547	320.80	
Total Recommended Reductions	1,193.43	

# TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY

## **OVERVIEW**

The committee remains committed to providing military and civilian personnel managers within the Department of Defense the flexible authorities needed to promote efficient, effective, equitable, and timely management practices. Accordingly, the committee would include more flexible legislative initiatives for promotion, retirement, education programs, leave management, medical deferment of separation or retirement, support for veterans' funerals, privately-owned vehicle storage, and recruit candidate testing.

# ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

## Absentee Voting for Military Members

Section 1604 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107–107) requires the Secretary of Defense to conduct during the November 2002 general election a statistically valid demonstration project under which uniformed voters may cast their absentee ballot through an electronic voting system. The committee is aware that the Secretary of Defense has exercised the discretionary authority included in section 1604 to delay the electronic voting demonstration project until the general election in November 2004. The committee urges the Secretary of Defense to continue to investigate the potential to participate in, and provide funding for, smaller scale tests during the November 2002 election as preparation for the major effort envisioned for the November 2004 election. The committee is aware of existing initiatives that would benefit from the support of the Department of Defense and would provide such an interim step opportunity during the November 2002 election.

# Compensation and Benefits for Reserve Component Members

The committee recognizes that the current level of reserve component participation and responsibility in military operations changed dramatically during the last decade of the twentieth century. Today the reserve components represent a significant portion of the capability of the total force and are an essential element in the full spectrum of worldwide military operations. Since 1996, the reserve components have contributed between 12 and 13 million full time equivalent days in direct support of DOD missions, a tenfold increase over a 1989 benchmark period. In addition, the current national military strategy calls for a significant number of military occupational specialties and skills to reside solely in the reserve components. The recent war on terrorism has required the call-up of over 85,000 reservists to active duty, and the current operational tempo gives no indication that there will be significant reductions in the near future. This increase in operations tempo of reserve forces raises questions about compensation and benefits of particular concern to the citizen-soldier.

Accordingly, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to review the terms and elements of reserve compensation, benefit, and personnel support programs, including the retirement system. The review should address the effectiveness and adequacy of compensation and benefit programs, income protection for reservists called to active duty, family support programs, health care access, and other programs of interest to reservists serving on active duty. The review should assess the need for these programs to be improved and, if appropriate, offer recommendations for achieving needed improvements. The review should also include a comparison of these programs to similar programs conducted for the benefit of active duty forces to determine if the reserve programs are fair and equitable given the increased contributions by reserve forces to the defense of the nation.

Additionally, the review should include an examination of the differences in benefits and protections provided to reservists who are called to serve under different authorities to include: title 10, United States Code; title 32, United States Code; and state active duty. The review should assess the need for benefits and protections to be made consistent regardless of the authority under which reservists are called to serve and, if appropriate, offer recommendations for achieving that objective.

The committee directs the Comptroller General to report his findings and recommendations to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services by March 31, 2003.

#### Consideration of Innovative Readiness Training Initiatives

The committee recognizes the success of the Department of Defense Civil Military Innovative Readiness Training Program, which is authorized by section 2012 of title 10, United States Code. This program provides military unit and individual support and services to non-Department of Defense organizations in a manner that accomplishes unit and individual training requirements. The committee urges the Secretary of Defense to consider training opportunities that provide a benefit to our nation's youth and support the President's education priorities. The committee recommends the secretary to consider applications from local schools, particularly those in rural and urban areas, for support of training initiatives to establish computer networks in schools.

#### Review and Report on Increased Participation of U.S. Navy Officers in Intermediary and Senior War Colleges

The committee is concerned about the challenges facing the Navy in providing its officers with appropriate and timely opportunities for professional military education. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to review the plans and progress achieved to increase participation of active and reserve Navy officers in intermediate and senior war colleges. The review should include an assessment of the attendance objective for each level, as well as actions being taken to achieve the objectives. Specific attention should be given to responses that may require enabling congressional action. The Secretary of the Navy shall provide the results of the review to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services by April 18, 2003.

## Uniting Through Reading

The committee is pleased to note the success of the Navy's Uniting Through Reading program. Uniting Through Reading improves literacy and strengthens the quality of life for Navy and Marine Corps families separated during deployments. This popular family support program is currently available to all ships preparing for deployment, and is being extended to the Naval Construction Forces (Seabees), Marine Expeditionary Units, the Naval Reserve Forces, individual deployers, and deployed, shore-based squadrons. Uniting Through Reading helps maintain the emotional bond between children and parents during extended periods of separation. The committee recommends an additional \$130,000 for this important program.

# LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

## SUBTITLE A—GENERAL PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT AUTHORITIES

#### Section 501—Increase in Number of Deputy Commandants of the Marine Corps

This section would increase the authorized number of deputy commandants at Headquarters, United States Marine Corps, from five to six. This authorization would permit the commander, Marine Corps Combat Developments Command, to be designated a deputy commandant, but would not increase the number of threestar Marine Corps general officers on active duty because the commander, Marine Corps Combat Developments Command, already holds that rank.

Section 502—Extension of Good-of-the-Service Waiver Authority for Officers Appointed to a Reserve Chief or Guard Director Position

This section would extend to December 31, 2004, the authority of the Secretary of Defense to waive the requirement for significant joint experience as a qualification for appointment as the chief of the Army, Navy, Air Force, or Marine Corps reserve or as director of the Army or Air National Guard. The committee recommends the extension because it understands that the requirement for significant joint experience was established just two years ago with the enactment of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106–398), and that the Department and service secretaries will require a period of time for future candidates for appointment as chiefs of the reserve and national guard components to acquire that joint experience. However, the committee also believes that unless the Secretary of Defense acts aggressively, it could be many years before senior reserve component officers will gain the requisite experience. Therefore, this section would also require the Secretary of Defense to report to Congress regarding the steps that he, together with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs and the secretaries of the military departments, will take to ensure that no further extensions of this waiver authority will be required after 2004.

#### SUBTITLE B—RESERVE COMPONENT MANAGEMENT

#### Section 511—Reviews of National Guard Strength Accounting and Management and Other Issues

This section, like section 512, is a result of the committee's continued concerns about the national guard's unit strength management, senior officer selection and oversight, and whistleblower protections. This section would require both the Comptroller General and the Secretary of Defense to conduct reviews and to provide the committee assessments of:

(1) The effectiveness of the Department of Defense's continuing effort to improve unit strength management in the Army National Guard;

(2) The effectiveness of the federal recognition process for senior national guard officers;

(3) The nature and extent of administrative and judicial actions taken in cases of substantiated misconduct by senior national guard officers;

(4) The effectiveness of federal protections for whistleblowers and the national guard and the nature and extent of corrective actions taken against those in the national guard who retaliate against whistleblowers; and

(5) The differing Army and Air Force policies for taking adverse administrative actions against national guard general officers serving in a state status.

## Section 512—Courts-Martial for the National Guard When Not in Federal Service

This section would amend title 32 United States Code, to update and streamline the administration of military justice in the national guard when it is not in a federal status. Furthermore, reflecting the committee's support for the 1998 recommendation of the Department of Defense Panel to Study Military Justice in the National Guard, this section would require the Secretary of Defense to develop a model state Uniform Code of Military Justice, as well as a model state Manual for Courts-Martial, and undertake an effort to present these two models for consideration and possible adoption by all the states and territories. As noted in the panel's report, such an effort would promote the modernization and uniformity of the administration of military justice in the national guard while in state status.

#### Section 513—Matching Funds Requirements Under National Guard Youth Challenge Program

This section would revise the Department of Defense cost share for each state's National Guard Challenge Program from 60 percent to 75 percent.

# SUBTITLE C-RESERVE COMPONENT OFFICER PERSONNEL POLICY

Section 521—Exemption from Active Status Strength Limitation for Reserve Component General and Flag Officers Serving on Active Duty in Certain Joint Duty Assignments Designated by the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff

This section would exempt the 10 reserve component general and flag officers who are serving on active duty on the joint staffs of the commanders of the unified and specified commands from counting against the numbers of reserve component general and flag officers authorized by section 12004 of title 10, United States Code. These 10 reserve component general and flag officers, the so-called "Chairman's ten" because the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff designates the joint staff positions they fill on active duty, already are exempted by section 526 of title 10, United States Code, from counting against active duty general and flag officer limits. The proposed exemption from reserve component general and flag officer authorizations would enable the reserve components not on active duty to fill 10 more general and flag officer mobilization positions. The authority for this exemption would expire on December 31, 2004.

Section 522—Eligibility for Consideration for Promotion to Grade of Major General for Certain Reserve Component Brigadier Generals Who do Not Otherwise Qualify for Consideration for Promotion Under the One-Year Rule

Section 14301 of title 10, United States Code, precludes promotion eligibility for reserve officers who are serving on the reserve active-status list or the active-duty list (or combination of both lists) for less than one year as of the convening of the promotion board. This section would permit reserve brigadier generals of the Army and Air Force to be eligible for promotion with less than one year on the reserve active-status list or the active-duty list (or combination of both lists) when the following three factors apply to the officer:

(1) The officer had been transferred from an inactive status to the active status list during the one-year period preceding the date of the convening of the promotion board.

(2) The officer had been in an inactive status for less than one year immediately before the officer's most recent transfer to an active status.

(3) The officer had continuously served for at least one year on the reserve active status list, the active duty list (or a combination of both lists) before the officer's most recent transfer to an inactive status.

#### Section 523—Retention of Promotion Eligibility for Reserve Component General and Flag Officers Transferred to an Inactive Status

Section 14317 of title 10, United States Code, requires that reserve officers forfeit their status as a selectee for promotion when they are transferred to inactive status. They may be promoted upon return to active status, but only if recommended for promotion by a subsequent promotion board. This section would permit reserve officers selected for promotion to major general and rear admiral to retain their promotion eligibility and, if otherwise qualified, be promoted to the higher grade upon returning to an active status from an inactive status.

Section 524—Authority for Limited Extension of Medical Deferment of Mandatory Retirement or Separation for Reserve Officers

This section would authorize the secretaries of the military departments to defer mandatory retirement or separation of reserve officers undergoing hospitalization or medical observation when such hospitalization or medical observation is part of an evaluation to determine the officer's eligibility for disability retirement or separation. The secretary of the military department would be authorized to extend the retirement or separation for 30 days after completion of the evaluation requiring hospitalization or medical observation.

#### SUBTITLE D—EDUCATION AND TRAINING

## Section 531—Authority for Phased Increase to 4,400 in Authorized Strengths for the Service Academies

This section would permit the secretaries of the military departments, beginning with classes entering the service academies during the 2003–2004 academic year, to increase the end strengths for cadets or midshipmen at their respective service academies, in annual increments of up to 100, from the current limit of 4,000 to 4,400. In addition, this section would specify that the annual increase in service academy end strength could not exceed the increase achieved during the preceding year in enrollments in the senior Reserve Officers Training Program (ROTC) of that service. This section also urges the secretaries of the military departments to ensure that by the 2006–2007 academic year the corresponding increase in enrollments in the senior ROTC program are all scholarship participants. The committee recommends a linkage between end strengths at the service academies and senior ROTC enrollments because it firmly believes that the long-term effectiveness of the officer corps of the military services will be enhanced by growth in both commissioning sources.

### Section 532—Enhancement of Reserve Component Delayed Training Program

This section would authorize members who enlist in the reserve delayed training program to remain in that program for one year, a full three months longer than authorized in current law. The oneyear duration would be consistent with the active duty delayed entry program.

#### SUBTITLE E—DECORATIONS AND AWARDS

#### Section 541—Waiver of Time Limitations for Award of Certain Decorations to Certain Persons

This section would waive the statutory time limitations for the award of the Distinguished Flying Cross to individuals recommended for award of the Distinguished Flying Cross by the service secretary concerned.

Section 542—Option To Convert Award of Armed Forces Expeditionary Medal Awarded for Operation Frequent Wind to Vietnam Service Medal

This section would authorize participants in Operation Frequent Wind, the evacuation of Vietnam conducted on April 29 and 30, 1975, to return the award of the Armed Forces Expeditionary Medal and to receive the Vietnam Service Medal in its place.

#### SUBTITLE F—ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

#### Section 551—Staffing and Funding of the Defense Prisoner of War/ Missing Personnel Office

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to increase the military and civilian manning levels, as well the annual funding, for the Defense Prisoner of War/Missing in Action Office (DPMO) in fiscal year 2004 and subsequent years to enable the DPMO to adequately perform its full range of missions. This section would also prohibit the secretary in fiscal year 2003 from reducing the assigned military and civilian personnel and funding below the levels requested in the budget. The committee makes this recommendation because it believes the DPMO plays a crucial role in the fulfillment of the national commitment to provide a full accounting for the prisoners of war and missing in action of the nation's wars. The committee is disappointed that the secretary did not heed the committee's direction in the committee report on H.R. 2586 (H. Rept. 107-194), to increase DPMO resources. The committee also understands that the DPMO faces a potential reduction of 15 percent or more in fiscal year 2003 as part of the secretary's plan to reduce the size of headquarters. The committee believes such a reduction in DPMO to be imprudent.

Section 552—Three-Year Freeze on Reductions of Personnel of Agencies Responsible for Review and Correction of Military Records

The committee considers the review boards agencies, and specifically the boards for correction of military records, within each military department as representing congressional interests in safeguarding fairness and equity for all service members. The committee views these boards differently from other headquarters functions and becomes concerned when announced management decisions to reduce manpower levels within the boards threaten their efficiency and effectiveness.

Accordingly, this section would preclude the secretaries of the military departments from reducing the number of military and civilian personnel assigned to duty within the boards through fiscal year 2005 until 90 days after the secretary of the military department concerned submits a report that describes the proposed reduction, provides the rationale for the reduction, and specifies the number of personnel that will be assigned to the board after the reduction is complete.

#### Section 553—Department of Defense Support for Persons Participating in Military Funeral Honors Details

This section would authorize the Secretary of Defense to prescribe a flat-rate daily stipend for military retirees and others who are not service members or government employees participating in funeral honors details. The stipend would be paid in lieu of separate payments for transportation and miscellaneous expenses.

Section 554—Authority for Use of Volunteers as Proctors for Administration of Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery Test

This section would authorize the secretaries concerned to accept the voluntary services of educators and other individuals to assist recruiters in administering the Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery to high school students.

#### Section 555—Annual Report on Status of Female Members of the Armed Forces

This provision would require the Secretary of Defense to submit an annual report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services on the status of female members of the armed forces regarding assignments and assignments policies, deployment, promotion and retention rates, and sexual harassment.

# SUBTITLE G—BENEFITS

#### Section 561—Voluntary Leave Sharing Program for Members of the Armed Forces

This section would authorize a service member to transfer accrued leave to another member when the recipient is likely to require a prolonged absence from duty due to a medical condition of a family member or other hardship condition. The commander of the recipient and the commander of the contributor would be required to approve such transfer of leave.

### Section 562—Enhanced Flexibility in Medical Loan Repayment Program

This section would repeal the bar against providing loan repayment benefits to participants in the armed forces health professions scholarship and financial assistance program and would remove the limit on the total benefit that may be paid.

#### Section 563-Expansion of Overseas Tour Extension Benefits

Section 705 of title 10, United States Code, authorizes members who have been granted leave and transportation benefits in connection with an extension of an overseas tour to travel to the nearest port of entry within the 48 contiguous states and return. This section would authorize members who have been granted leave and transportation benefits in connection with an extension of an overseas tour to travel to an alternative location within the 48 contiguous states, so long as the cost does not exceed the cost of transportation to the nearest port of entry.

Section 564—Vehicle Storage in Lieu of Transportation When Member Is Ordered to a Nonforeign Duty Station Outside Continental United States

This section would authorize members to store a privately-owned vehicle when the member is ordered to a duty station in a nonforeign area outside the continental United States and the shipment of a vehicle is prohibited or contingent upon completion of extensive modification.

#### SUBTITLE H—MILITARY JUSTICE MATTERS

# Section 571—Right of Convicted Accused to Request Sentencing by Military Judge

This section would amend chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code, to permit the sentencing phase of trial in courts-martial to be conducted by a military judge sitting alone, rather than by court members.

Under the present courts-martial process, a military judge alone may not sentence an accused if the accused elects to be tried by court members. Such a result, however, has disadvantages. Sentencing trials involving members may be more lengthy and complicated than judge-alone proceedings, costing the government time and expense and keeping court members away from their regular duties for extended periods. Moreover, military judges generally have as sound a sense of community and disciplinary norms and mores as court members because they typically preside over many cases at a single installation.

This section would permit a separate choice of forum decision to be made following announcement of findings of guilt or innocence by the court but before evidence on sentencing is received. A request for sentencing by judge-alone could be made orally on the record or in writing. Consistent with article 18 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, section 818 of title 10, United States Code, and Rule for Courts-Martial 201(f)(1)(C), judge-alone sentencing would not be permitted in capital cases.

This section would apply to offenses committed after January 1, 2003. The committee notes that Congress considered a similar provision last year but deferred legislative action pending receipt of a report from the Secretary of Defense on this issue. The report was due March 1, 2002, but has not been received. The committee has

been provided no explanation for the failure to timely provide this report. The committee anticipates receipt of the report in time to permit full consideration of this issue before the effective date of this provision.

Section 572—Report on Desirability and Feasibility of Consolidating Separate Courses of Basic Instruction for Judge Advocates

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to study the feasibility and desirability of consolidating the separate Army, Navy and Air Force courses on basic instruction for judge advocates into a single course to be conducted at a single location. Recent deployments of American military personnel have demonstrated increased interoperability requirements, as well as the likelihood that joint military operations will be more common in the future. Given this reality, questions must be asked about whether cost savings in training activities can be achieved and whether common courses of instruction among the services in certain disciplines leading to better interoperability in joint operations make sense.

In the context of the services' judge advocate officer corps, the legal subjects officers should learn in order to be successful military attorneys are not service-specific. For example, the provisions of the Uniform Code of Military Justice and courts-martial practices are substantially the same for all the services, as are the majority of laws pertaining to claims, civil law and legal assistance. Moreover, overhead and infrastructure cost savings could be achieved by consolidating the physical facilities of the three service judge advocate schools into a single location. Service-unique instruction or acculturation could easily be provided as an adjunct to a generic legal course of instruction. The result of this consolidation could be well-trained legal officers who could perform better in joint operational environments and whose training costs are less than those of officers who attend the separate courses of instruction provided at present. The committee requires the secretary to report on his findings to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services by February 28, 2003.

# TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS

#### OVERVIEW

The committee continues to believe that fully funded and flexible compensation programs are essential to successful recruiting and retention. Accordingly, the committee would include a pay raise that combines both across-the-board and targeted increases for mid-grade noncommissioned officers and officers, increases to wartime pays and benefits and a new more flexible approach to managing the retention of critical health care providers.

The committee remains committed to achieving for disabled military retirees concurrent receipt of military retired pay and disability compensation from the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA). The committee is pleased that the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget—Fiscal Year 2003 (H. Con. Res. 353), adopted in the House includes an allocation of \$5.8 billion over 5 years in mandatory spending to fund full concurrent receipt during fiscal year 2007 for disabled retirees rated by the VA as 60 percent disabled and above. Accordingly, the committee would include a provision that achieves full concurrent receipt of military retired pay and VA disability compensation during fiscal year 2008 for military retirees rated by the VA as 60 percent disabled and above.

# ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

#### Integrating Basic Allowance for Subsistence into Basic Pay

The committee has grown increasingly concerned that similarly situated deployed service members receive disparate treatment regarding receipt of basic allowance for subsistence (BAS). Based on the type of deployment and service-unique policies, some service members receive their full BAS while others serving at the same duty location receive only a portion of their BAS. While the committee remains committed to resolving such inequities, this concern has prompted the committee to question the larger issue of whether BAS continues to be a useful management tool. It occurs to the committee that the services would benefit from eliminating the administrative burden and structure associated with managing BAS and integrating the value of the allowance into basic pay for all personnel. While such a strategy would raise the issue of how to deal with the increase in the tax burden for individuals, the committee notes that the increase in personal taxes would be counterbalanced by an increase in the value of retired pay for those that remain for a full career. The committee is aware that the Seventh Quadrennial Review of Military Compensation recognized the benefits of simplifying military pay systems and made a recommendation that included the elimination of BAS.

Accordingly, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to study this issue, examine the cost implications, develop implementation strategies, and determine the Department of Defense position. The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to report the findings of his review to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services by March 31, 2003.

### Montgomery G.I. Bill for Members of the Selected Reserve

The committee has received complaints that the benefits of the Montgomery G.I. Bill for members of the selected reserve have not kept pace with the benefits provided under the Montgomery G.I. Bill for members serving on active duty. The committee recognizes that there are necessary differences between the programs and that benefit levels will be different due to the different purposes of the programs. However, the committee believes that some of the existing differences between the programs are not justified and have resulted from administrative oversight or neglect. Accordingly, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to study the differences between the two programs and report the findings and recommendations of his review to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services by March 31, 2003.

## Reducing the Gap Between Military and Private Sector Pay Increases

The committee believes that eliminating the gap in pay raise rates between the military and the private sector is fundamental to an effective compensation strategy and an essential prerequisite to successful recruiting and retention. While a variety of other allowances, special pays, and bonuses must be constantly monitored and adjusted when required, basic pay is the foundation upon which the other elements of compensation are based and it must keep pace with private sector pay raises.

Section 602 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106–65) requires that military pay raises exceed the private sector rate by one-half of one percent during fiscal years 2001 through 2006. The committee recognizes that, depending on the pay raises planned for the defense budgets through fiscal year 2006, the pay gap will likely not be eliminated during fiscal year 2006. The committee is inclined to extend beyond fiscal year 2006 the period of time that military pay raises are required to exceed the private sector pay raise rates. While such an action would signal military forces and their leaders that the committee is committed to restoring and maintaining parity between military and private sector pay raises, it may also prematurely set a goal that will ultimately be unnecessary.

Accordingly, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to report the details of his long-term plan for military pay raises and his estimate as to when the gap in pay raise rates between the military and the private sector will be eliminated. The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide the report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services by March 31, 2003.

# Use of the Critical Skills Retention Bonus for Linguists

The committee urges the secretaries of the military departments to fully utilize the flexibility provided in the critical skills retention bonus to address the need to retain qualified foreign language speakers. The war on terrorism has highlighted the need to attract and retain foreign language speakers, including, but not limited to, critical languages such as Arabic, Korean, Mandarin Chinese, Persian-Farsi, and Russian. For example, a January 2002 report by the General Accounting Office (GAO) indicated that the Army had a requirement for 608 Korean linguists, but was only able to fill 480 positions. This shortfall did not result because of inadequate training levels. The Defense Language Institute has graduated 693 Korean linguists over the previous 5 years, or 114 percent of the Army's total requirement. The committee believes that more needs to be done to retain skilled linguists to take advantage of the higher productivity inherent with more experienced and proficient linguists. The committee believes that the critical skill retention bonus offers the means to achieve the needed improvement in the retention of military linguists.

# LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

## Subtitle A—Pay and Allowances

#### Section 601—Increase in Basic Pay for Fiscal Year 2003

This section would increase basic pay a minimum of 4.1 percent for all members of the uniformed services. In addition, the section would provide additional increases to mid-grade and senior noncommissioned officers, and mid-grade officers to maintain incentives to serve throughout the enlisted career and to increase incentives to retain junior officers and highly skilled enlisted members.

This raise would continue to fulfill Congress' commitment to increasing pay for the uniformed services. The combined across-theboard and targeted raise would be the equivalent of a 4.7 percent across-the-board raise and would reduce the pay gap between military and private sector pay increases over time from 7.5 percent to 6.4 percent.

Section 602—Expansion of Basic Allowance for Housing Low-Cost or No-Cost Moves Authority to Members Assigned to Duty Outside United States

This section would authorize the secretary concerned to pay members assigned overseas who complete low-cost or no-cost moves to continue to receive basic allowance for housing (BAH) based on the BAH rate for the member's previous duty location if the secretary determines that it would be inequitable to pay the member the BAH rate for the member's new duty location. The provision would bring the treatment of BAH rates for low-cost or no-cost moves in an overseas area in line with the treatment of BAH for similar moves inside the United States.

## SUBTITLE B—BONUSES AND SPECIAL AND INCENTIVE PAYS

#### Section 611—One-Year Extension of Certain Bonus and Special Pay Authorities for Reserve Forces

This section would extend the authority for the selected reserve reenlistment bonus, the selected reserve enlistment bonus, special pay for enlisted members of the selected reserve assigned to certain high priority units, the selected reserve affiliation bonus, the ready reserve enlistment and reenlistment bonus, and the prior service enlistment bonus until December 31, 2003.

## Section 612—One-Year Extension of Certain Bonus and Special Pay Authorities for Certain Health Care Professionals

This section would extend the authority for the nurse officer candidate accession program, the accession bonus for registered nurses, the incentive special pay for nurse anesthetists, the special pay for selected reserve health care professionals in critically short wartime specialties, and the accession bonus for dental officers until December 31, 2003. The provision would also extend the authority for repayment of educational loans for certain health professionals who serve in the selected reserve until January 1, 2004.

## Section 613—One-Year Extension of Special Pay and Bonus Authorities for Nuclear Officers

This section would extend the authority for the special pay for nuclear-qualified officers extending the period of active service, nuclear career accession bonus, and the nuclear career annual incentive bonus until December 31, 2003.

# Section 614—One-Year Extension of Other Bonus and Special Pay Authorities

This section would extend the authority for the aviation officer retention bonus, the reenlistment bonus for active members, the enlistment bonus for active members, the retention bonus for members with critical military skills, and the accession bonus for new officers in critical skills until December 31, 2003.

# Section 615—Minimum Levels of Hardship Duty Pay for Duty on the Ground in Antarctica or on Arctic Icepack

This section would specify a hardship duty pay rate of not less than \$240 per month for duty performed by service members on the ground in Antarctica or on the Arctic icepack. The provision would specify that the monthly rate be prorated and paid for each day of qualified service.

# Section 616—Increase in Maximum Rates for Prior Service Enlistment Bonus

This section would increase the rates paid to reservists with critical skills under the prior service enlistment bonus from \$5,000 to \$8,000 in the case of a member who enlists for six years, from \$2,500 to \$4,000 in the case of a member who enlists for three years, and from \$2,000 to \$3,500 in the case of a member who received a prior bonus for a three year enlistment and who reenlists or extends for an additional three years.

# Section 617—Retention Incentives for Health Care Providers Qualified in a Critical Military Skill

The committee recognizes that, in some cases, the legislative authorities for health care provider special and incentive pays and bonuses have not been updated for a decade and have lost their value to attract and retain quality practitioners. The committee understands that the Department of Defense is studying health care provider compensation and that a new structure for these incentives will be forthcoming. In an effort to facilitate the ultimate implementation of the new pay and bonus structure, the committee elects to adopt a more flexible legislative authority for this purpose that preserves through an annual report the capability of Congress to provide oversight. Accordingly, this section would amend the critical skill retention bonus to provide exceptions to the limits on bonus amounts and years of service for bonuses paid to health care providers.

## Section 631—Extension of Leave Travel Deferral Period for Members Performing Consecutive Overseas Tours of Duty

This section would authorize members who have been granted travel and transportation benefits in connection with a consecutive overseas tour to defer those benefits for the full duration of the additional tour of duty. If the member is unable to undertake the travel before the completion of the additional tour because of duty in connection with a contingency operation, the provision would authorize the member to defer the travel and transportation for a year after the contingency operation duty ends.

## SUBTITLE D—RETIRED PAY AND SURVIVORS BENEFITS

Section 641—Phase-in of Full Concurrent Receipt of Military Retired Pay and Veterans Disability Compensation for Military Retirees with Disabilities Rated at 60 Percent or Higher

The committee is opposed to reducing retired pay due to members of the uniformed services to offset the receipt of compensation for service-connected disabilities paid by the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA). The committee believes that retirees are entitled to receive both the retired pay for which they contributed years of faithful service and the VA compensation for a service-connected disability intended to recognize a lifelong limitation on earning potential. The committee intends to continue to promote concurrent receipt for all eligible retirees and is committed to adopt the legislative changes needed to achieve advances in concurrent receipt to the extent that funding for this purpose is made available in future concurrent budget resolutions.

Accordingly, and consistent with the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget—Fiscal Year 2003 (H. Con. Res. 353) adopted in the House, this section would authorize retirement-qualified members of the uniformed services with disabilities rated as 60 percent and above to receive during the fifth year of a 5-year transition program, full VA disability compensation without a reduction in retired pay. In the case of a member who receives a disability retirement, the section would allow the retired pay to be reduced, but only to the extent that the member's retired pay exceeds the amount of retired pay to which the member would have been entitled based solely on the member's years of service.

The transition program would provide the following amounts to disabled retirees during fiscal year 2003:

(1) Members rated 100 percent disabled would receive \$750 per month.

(2) Members rated 90 percent disabled would receive \$500 per month.

(3) Members rated 80 percent disabled would receive \$250 per month.

(4) Members rated 70 percent disabled would receive \$250 per month.

(5) Members rated 60 percent disabled would receive \$125 per month.

The transition program during fiscal years 2004, 2005, and 2006 would reduce for each retiree the difference between the amount of retired pay received the previous year and full concurrent receipt by 23 percent, 30 percent, and 64 percent, respectively. During fiscal year 2007, all retirees with disability rating of 60 percent and above would receive their entire retired pay and VA disability compensation.

## Section 642—Change in Service Requirements for Eligibility for Retired Pay for Non-Regular Service

This section would reduce the number of years of continuous reserve component service required immediately before qualifying for non-regular retired pay from eight to six.

Section 643—Elimination of Possible Inversion in Retired Pay Costof-Living Adjustment for Initial COLA Computation

This section would limit partial-year retired pay cost-of-living adjustments (COLAs) in the first year of retirement to be no greater than the COLA paid to retirees who were retired for the entire year.

## Section 644—Technical Revisions to So-Called "Forgotten Widows" Annuity Program

This section would make technical and administrative changes to section 644 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law 105–85) that addressed annuities for certain military surviving spouses.

## SUBTITLE E-RESERVE COMPONENT MONTGOMERY GI BILL

### Section 651—Extension of Montgomery G.I. Bill-Selected Reserve Eligibility Period

This section would extend the eligibility window for educational assistance for members of the selected reserve through the Montgomery G.I. Bill from 10 to 14 years from the date of first eligibility.

## SUBTITLE F—OTHER MATTERS

Section 661—Addition of Definition of Continental United States in Title 37

This section would amend section 101 of title 37, United States Code, to include the definition of continental United States as the 48 contiguous states and the District of Columbia and amend other sections of title 37, United States Code, to reflect the addition of the definition.

# TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE MATTERS

#### OVERVIEW

Enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107–107) limited changes to TRICARE in fiscal year 2002 to necessary improvements intended to facilitate better implementation of TRICARE For Life or to improve existing programs. The committee commends the Secretary of Defense for the Department's efforts to ensure smooth implementation of the new benefits for Medicare-eligible military retirees and their eligible beneficiaries and other improvements to TRICARE. With this in mind, the committee has focused this year's legislation on several limited benefit changes, necessary improvements to TRICARE's management and business practices, the future of the managed care support contracts, and optimization efforts.

The committee was encouraged that the defense health program budget request relied on more realistic cost and budgeting assumptions. The commitment to fully fund the defense health program (DHP) is commendable. However, the committee continues to urge the Department of Defense (DOD) to more fully optimize the military treatment facilities. Although the direct care system of military treatment facilities has received an increase in the budget request, a sustained period of under-investment in optimization, maintenance, and repair of these facilities has eroded the capacity of the military hospitals and clinics of the direct care system. The committee is concerned that current funding for the direct care system continues to undermine optimization efforts, forcing some patients into the private sector. On balance, this phenomenon drives up the cost of the defense health program and limits the resources available for treating patients in the direct care system. The committee expects to be kept informed of the efforts to allocate defense health resources in a manner that will maximize the effectiveness of the entire DHP.

For the past several years, the committee has focused its efforts to reduce the high cost of TRICARE claims processing. In testi-mony this year, the committee again heard from providers and managed care support contractors that DOD's unique claims processing system costs continue to far exceed those of equivalent civilian systems for processing claims. The testimony suggested that impediments to a cost-effective, provider and beneficiary friendly system for TRICARE claims processing continue to exist. Hearing testimony also suggested that the committee needs to better understand the nature, reasons, and extent of trends in the TRICARE network provider instability, and the effectiveness of DOD's and TRICARE managed care support contractors' efforts to measure and mitigate such turbulence. The committee was encouraged by testimony from DOD health officials that they would examine the policies and practices associated with the managed care support contractors, and the committee urges the Department to move forward and examine and formulate plans to address these issues. However, the committee is concerned about the long-term impact of these issues on the program and requires the Comptroller General to also study these areas.

The committee recognizes that DOD is negotiating extension periods to existing managed care support contracts even as it considers how to structure the next generation of TRICARE contracts. Much remains to be known of the Department's plans to redesign the contracts and possible initiatives to carve out specific contract areas such as marketing and pharmacy services. The committee notes DOD's intent to include suitable best business practices found in the health care industry and to incorporate mechanisms that share risk between TRICARE's partners—the Department of Defense and the managed care support contractors. The successful implementation of the new contracts should also keep in mind the potential impact on the beneficiaries. The committee urges the Department to continue to ensure all stakeholders are included. The committee expects to be kept fully informed as the Department approaches its final design of new contract vehicles.

The committee continues to be pleased with the nature and extent of DOD's engagement with the private non-governmental associations representing the interests of the beneficiaries of the military health care system during its deliberations on the design of next generation contracts and implementation of TRICARE programs. The committee encourages DOD to continue to reach out to beneficiaries of the military health care system and other key stakeholders including DOD's managed care support contractors. The Department should consider broadening and enhancing this outreach to include other health care organizations and providers in more routine consultations regarding benefit design and delivery, industry best practices, management initiatives, and beneficiary and provider communications and consultation. Such outreach could provide valuable insight regarding provider and beneficiary incentives and satisfaction.

#### ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

### Claims Processing for Under–65 Medicare-Eligible Beneficiaries

The committee is aware that Medicare-eligible beneficiaries under the age of 65 are not currently able to have their health care claims filed electronically because the Department has not yet resolved cross-over claims issues. Until the Department remedies this shortcoming, under-65 Medicare-eligible beneficiaries are unable to fully participate in TRICARE For Life (TFL) and, in essence are denied participation in the program because they must pay their fees upfront. For many, this is not a viable option. Until this crossover claims issue is resolved, these beneficiaries are effectively denied the ready access to Medicare providers they are entitled to under the TFL program. The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to expeditiously resolve this barrier to TFL participation and submit a report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services by March 31, 2003, on actions taken to resolve claims processing problems for under-65 Medicare-eligible beneficiaries.

#### Defense Enrollment and Eligibility Enrollment Reporting System Improvements

The committee is concerned about the accuracy and timeliness of the current process to update information in the Defense Enrollment and Eligibility Reporting System (DEERS). In particular, there appears to be some delay in entering updates when changes occur in the sponsor's military status, demographics, or duty station. The DEERS database determines the eligibility and benefit program of service members. If there are delays in accurately processing and transmitting personnel changes to DEERS by the military services, then beneficiaries are denied health care, or health care is delayed. The committee believes the Department should set a goal of near real-time updates with 100% accuracy. The committee directs the Secretary of Defense, to assess the ability of the military services to update information in DEERS in a more timely and accurate manner, and, if necessary, implement a plan to make the improvements the secretary believes are necessary. The secretary's report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services would be required by September 30, 2003.

## Force Health Protection

The committee remains strongly committed to ensuring that the force health protection of our troops continues to remain a high priority for the Department of Defense (DOD) and the military services. The committee is pleased to note the implementation of new clinical guidelines for use by DOD and the Department of Veterans Affairs' (VA) physicians in caring for the unique needs of military personnel and their families. However, as utilization of the active, guard and reserve components continues to increase to meet the growing demand for deployments around the world, force health protection remains at the forefront of our ability to meet these requirements. The Department was tasked with developing a system that can be used to track military personnel who are deployed, monitor in-theatre medical requirements, and conduct post-deployment assessments to ensure that DOD and the military services know where and when our men and women are deployed, the environment in which they are deployed, any medical requirements they are subject to while in theatre, and any post-deployment health developments. These issues were raised as a result of the Persian Gulf War. In view of the continuing and increasing deployments around the world, we need to ensure that we are doing everything possible to protect the health of our troops. The committee recently requested that the General Accounting Office examine the DOD-VA medical surveillance system. In view of this, the committee urges that the Secretary of Defense take this opportunity to review the entire spectrum of force health protection issues in order to ensure that a collaborative, focused and adequately resourced effort is underway, and that appropriate medical surveillance policies and procedures are in place throughout the DOD and the military services.

#### Military Health Care System Information Management

The committee remains interested in developments by the Department of Defense (DOD) to improve its health care information management systems. The committee, therefore, is concerned that the Department has yet to provide the interim report requested in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107–107) to conduct a comprehensive study of DOD medical data systems that will facilitate management, clinical treatment, system performance evaluations, costs, manpower and enrollment. The establishment of a comprehensive medical data system is vital to the Department on a number of levels, including improving benefits and services to military personnel, retirees and their families, increasing resource sharing activities between the Department and the Department of Veterans Affairs or other federal agencies and private organizations, improving resource management and reducing barriers to ensure medical readiness. The committee urges the Department to provide adequate resources to ensure that the development and implementation of these information management systems moves forward in a timely manner.

#### TRICARE Access Standards for Appointments

The committee is aware of the many advantages available to beneficiaries under TRICARE Prime, including the access standards for the wait time for appointments. The committee is concerned that these standards may not be uniformly met by all managed care support contractors and military treatment facilities, thereby impacting their ability to adequately address the health care needs of TRICARE Prime enrollees. The Department needs to ensure that beneficiaries calling for appointments receive them within the required access standards.

The committee recommends that the Secretary of Defense review and improve current processes to ensure that military treatment facilities inform the managed care support contractors of available appointments.

# Waiver of TRICARE Senior Pharmacy Deductible for Beneficiaries in Nursing Homes

The committee is concerned that TRICARE beneficiaries who are patients in nursing homes are currently subject to the annual deductible for using out-of-network pharmacy services. Because TRICARE considers the pharmacy services used by nursing homes in most states to be out-of-network pharmacies, the committee believes the Department could resolve this inequity by waiving the annual deductible for patients in nursing homes. This non-network pharmacy deductible policy is aimed at creating an incentive for beneficiaries to use the National Mail Order Pharmacy or network pharmacies. However, the policy unintentionally penalizes beneficiaries in nursing homes. The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to implement such policies and regulations or recommend any legislative changes that may be necessary to waive the deductible for these patients, and report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services by March 31, 2003, on actions taken.

# LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

#### SUBTITLE A—HEALTH CARE PROGRAM IMPROVEMENTS

Section 701—Elimination of Requirement for TRICARE Preauthorization of Inpatient Mental Health Care for Medicare-Eligible Beneficiaries

This section would eliminate the redundant TRICARE preauthorization requirement for specific cases in which Medicare has already authorized such care and Medicare is the primary payer. This provision would take effect on October 1, 2004.

## Section 702—Expansion of TRICARE Prime Remote for Certain Dependents

This section would extend the TRICARE Prime Remote benefit to active duty family members who are not authorized to accompany the member to the member's permanent duty station. This benefit would apply in cases when the dependent continues to reside at the location of the former duty assignment and that location is more than 50 miles, or approximately 1 hour of driving time, from the nearest military medical treatment facility adequate to provide the needed care; or when there is no reasonable expectation the member will return to the location of the former duty assignment and the dependent moves to a location that is more than 50 miles, or approximately 1 hour of driving time, from the nearest military medical treatment facility adequate to provide the needed care. This provision would take effect on October 1, 2002.

## Section 703—Enabling Dependents of Certain Members Who Died While on Active Duty to Enroll in the TRICARE Dental Program

This section would amend section 1076a(k)(2) of title 10, United States Code, to permit the dependents of members who die while serving on active duty tours of more than 30 days to enroll in the TRICARE Dental Program under that section regardless of the dependent's dental plan enrollment status on the date of the member's death. Many dependents outside the continental United States temporarily discontinue participation in the premium sharing dental plan under section 1076 because they receive their dental care in DOD dental facilities. In cases where the member dies while assigned overseas, their dependents' nonparticipation disadvantages their future eligibility. This section would authorize these dependents to participate in the dental plan in the same manner as other dependents of members who die while on active duty.

#### Section 704—Improvements Regarding the Department of Defense Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Care Fund

This section would align the normal cost contribution funding for the Department of Defense (DOD) Medicare-eligible retiree health care fund with the military personnel accounts since the payments into the fund are related to post-retirement health benefits associated with military service, this change would treat accrual funding for health benefits for Medicare-eligible beneficiaries in a manner consistent with funding for retirement pension costs under chapter 74 of title 10, United States Code.

This section would mandate participation in the Uniformed Services Retiree Health Care Fund by non-DOD uniformed services. The National Defense Authorization Act of 2002 (Public Law 107– 107), made participation in the fund discretionary for the Coast Guard, the commissioned corps of the Public Health Service, and the commissioned corps of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. The committee views the President's request to require such participation by the secretaries of the federal departments administering non-DOD uniformed services without prejudice and has included such a provision.

## Section 705—Certification of Institutional and Non-Institutional Providers Under the TRICARE Program

This section would amend section 1079 of title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Defense to prescribe regulations to allow, to the extent practicable, providers authorized under title XVIII of the Social Security Act, section 1395 et seg. of title 42, United States Code, to be deemed TRICARE providers in addition to their current certification as TRICARE For Life (TFL) providers. This provision would allow the acceptance of Medicare certification as the basis of TRICARE provider authorization. Moreover, this provision reduces administrative requirements associated with the credentialing of Medicare providers so that they may treat TRICARE patients in addition to TFL patients. This provision will take effect on October 1, 2003.

#### Section 706—Technical Correction Regarding Transitional Health Care

The transitional health care benefit for certain involuntarily separated members made permanent in the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107–107), inadvertently failed to include the dependents of the service members. This technical correction ensures transitional health care benefits for the dependents of the service members entitled to such benefit.

#### SUBTITLE B—REPORTS

# Section 711—Comptroller General Report on TRICARE Claims Processing

This section would require the Comptroller General to evaluate the continuing impediments to a cost-effective, provider and beneficiary friendly system for TRICARE claims processing. The committee has long had an interest in claims processing reform, including a range of reforms directed in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106-65). Recently the committee heard testimony from providers and industry that DOD's unique claims processing system features individual claim costs far exceeding those of equivalent civilian systems for processing claims. Moreover, the requirements associated with DOD's claims processing system may discourage some providers from par-ticipation in the TRICARE program, thus creating an impediment to beneficiary access. The committee is concerned that these issues were among those that led to the reforms in the National Defense Authorization Act of 2000 (Public Law 106-65). The committee is also concerned that on balance, the additional cost and administrative burdens associated with this unique claims processing system may serve as a hindrance to more efficient beneficiary care and satisfaction, as well as improved provider participation. The study mandated under this section would pay special attention to:

(1) The extent of progress implementing improvements in claims processing particularly the application of best industry practices;

(2) The extent of progress in simplifying claims processing procedures and eliminating or reducing the reliance on and complexity of, the Health Care Service Record; (3) The suitability of a Medicare-compatible claims processing system with regard to the data requirements necessary to administer TRICARE and related information systems; and

(4) The extent to which the TRICARE claims processing system impedes provider participation and beneficiary access, and provide recommendations for improvements.

The Comptroller General's report of findings and recommendations to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services would be required by March 31, 2003.

#### Section 712—Comptroller General Report on Provision of Care Under the TRICARE Program

This section would require the Comptroller General to evaluate the nature, reasons, and extent of trends in TRICARE network provider turbulence, and the effectiveness of DOD's and TRICARE support managed care contractors' efforts to measure and mitigate such turbulence. The committee has heard testimony that provider network instability exists in certain geographic areas, that such instability may be associated with the administrative requirements, preauthorization procedures and the reimbursement rates of the TRICARE program, that the measurement of past and future trends may be instructive, that DOD's existing authority to adjust reimbursement rates to address provider network adequacy in certain areas is largely unused, and that the administrative requirements of the TRICARE program merits review. The study mandated under this section would pay special attention to:

(1) The adequacy of provider/network stability measurement tools and their current use by DOD and/or managed care support contractors to assess network adequacy/stability;

(2) The relationship of reimbursement rates and TRICARE administration requirements, (including preauthorization requirements) to provider/network turbulence;

(3) The current extent of the problem and likely future trends with and without intervention using existing authority;

(4) DOD's and TRICARE managed care support contractors' use of existing authority to apply higher reimbursement rates in specific geographic areas;

(5) Recommendations for improvements needed in measurement tools or their application; and

(6) Recommendations for specific fiscally prudent measures that could mitigate negative trends or improve provider/network stability.

The Comptroller General's report of findings and recommendations to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services would be required by March 31, 2003.

# Section 713—Repeal of Report Requirement

This section would repeal the reporting requirement specified in section 712 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106–398). The committee notes that the reporting requirement has been superceded by the TRICARE For Life program and obviated by decisions by the Department of Defense and the Department of Health and Human Services not to pursue the Medicare subvention demonstration project.

# TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS

# ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

#### Acquisition Program Management

The Department of Defense acquisition programs continue to experience significant cost increases and schedule delays. No military service is exempt from this problem. Examples of major acquisition programs with significant cost increases and schedule delays include:

(1) Army—CH–47 helicopter upgrade and Comanche helicopter.

(2) Navy—Virginia class submarine and LPD-17.

(3) Air Force—Space based infrared system and advanced extremely high frequency communications satellite.

(4) Marine Corps—UH–1/Cobra helicopter upgrades.

(5) Special Operations Command—Advanced seal delivery system.

The continued prevalence and the pervasive nature of unscheduled cost growths and schedule delays lead the committee to conclude that the Department of Defense is not yet practicing the improvements espoused to be included in the new Department of Defense Directive 5000 series and Department of Defense Instruction 5000 series.

Each military service has a program or programs that have exceeded the Nunn-McCurdy limits, which necessitates review by the Secretary of Defense, program re-base-lining, and re-certification to Congress.

It is well recognized that cost growth and time delays are often associated with poor program structure and unsatisfactory program management, often involving both government and contractors. Examples of poor management include:

(1) Contractors submitting low cost estimates—an action inconsistent with best business practices.

(2) The Department failing to sufficiently analyze the scope of work, estimate costs accurately, establish affordable requirements and avoid requirement creep, resulting in increased system development and procurement cost.

(3) Both the Department and contractors establish high performing program management teams, but then disband the teams as other projects reach high profile.

(4) Discontinuity in program management.

(5) Allowing too many programs to progress to SDD/EMD, without sufficient funding available to adequately fund programs in the out years.

The committee believes that the issue is not to re-write Department of Defense Directive 5000 series again, but rather to properly baseline programs, beginning with the mission needs statement and operational requirements specification. Programs must be based on realistic cost estimates, which are fully funded. Program operational requirements must be complete and remain stable. The joint requirements oversight council (JROC) must guard against requirements creep and ensure compliance with applicable Department of Defense acquisition regulations, including JROC validation and approval.

The committee also believes that in order to manage acquisition properly, DOD must have and use a modern financial control system, as is discussed elsewhere in this report.

#### Defense Logistics Agency's Best Value Contracting

The committee is aware of concerns over how the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) is implementing best value contracting in the acquisition of select items. DLA is responsible for acquiring and managing over 13,000 different items that outfit military troops and civilian customers with uniforms, helmets, body armor, chemical protective suite, footwear, tents, and other related items. DLA is one of the Department of Defense's principal buyers of goods and services, yet DLA's buying practices have often come into question. The committee understands the difficult task facing DLA and other defense acquisition organizations in balancing the imperative to ensure the best possible value for the military customer while also providing for the broad participation of qualified private sector suppliers. To better understand how DLA is performing in executing this goal, the committee directs the Comptroller General to conduct a review on whether DLA is properly implementing applicable statutory and regulatory guidance for best value purchases. In par-ticular, the review should examine DLA's use of past performance as an evaluation factor in the selection of suppliers and the impact this practice is having on the imperative to maintain an adequate domestic supplier base for key items of supply. The report shall be provided to the House Armed Services Committee no later than March 1, 2003.

# Report on Small Business Concerns Owned and Controlled by Women

The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to report whether the Department of Defense has met its annual goal of awarding procurement contracts to small business concerns owned or controlled by women from fiscal years 1998—2002. To the extent the Department of Defense has not met its goals the Secretary of Defense shall include in the report actions taken to try to meet its goal. This report shall be provided to the House Committee on Armed Services no later than February 15, 2003.

#### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

# Section 801—Plan for Acquisition Management Professional Exchange Pilot Program

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to develop a pilot program for the exchange of personnel between Department of Defense acquisition management community and the private sector. The committee believes such an exchange program would improve knowledge and foster understanding between the two communities with the ultimate benefit of the Department acquiring better quality products and services.

# Section 802—Evaluation of Training, Knowledge, and Resources Regarding Negotiation of Intellectual Property Arrangements

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to evaluate the adequacy of training, education and resources within the acquisition community on the negotiation of intellectual property. This section would require the Secretary of Defense to report the results of the evaluation in a report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services. The report shall also include any actions that should be taken to meet the Department's needs, and the number of legal personnel within the Department of Defense (DOD) who are trained in the negotiation of intellectual property arrangements.

The committee is concerned that DOD does not have the resources to adequately negotiate intellectual property rights with the private sector. The committee notes that in 1995 DOD updated the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulations pertaining to rights in technical data and computer software. The updates resulted from the work of a Government-Industry Technical Advisory Committee, established by the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1992 and 1993 (Public Law 102–190). The committee is concerned that the flexibility and commercially-friendly intellectual property terms and conditions contained in these regulations are not understood, and are thus underutilized within DOD.

#### Section 803—Limitation Period for Task and Delivery Order Contracts

This section would amend sections 2304a and 2304b of title 10, United States Code, by proscribing the period of time for which these contracts can be awarded, and by more clearly characterizing advisory and service task order contracts as a type of task and delivery order contract. The committee believes it is appropriate to limit contract duration in order to better promote the use of competition. The committee also believes that all task and delivery order contracts should be treated as congruently as is possible and appropriate.

# Section 804—One-Year Extension of Program Applying Simplified Procedure to Certain Commercial items; Report

This section would amend section 4202 of the Clinger-Cohen Act of 1996 (divisions D and E of Public Law 104–106) by extending for one more year the authority for the Secretary of Defense to use simplified acquisition procedures for the purchase of commercial items not greater then \$5.0 million. The section would also require the Secretary of Defense to report to Congress, no later than January 15, 2003, whether authority under the pilot program should be made permanent. The report should also address the benefits and usefulness of this pilot program.

## Section 805—Authority to Make Inflation Adjustment to Simplified Acquisition Threshold

This section would provide the Administrator of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy with the authority to adjust the simplified acquisition threshold every five years to account for inflation.

#### Section 806—Improvement of Personnel Management Policies and Procedures Applicable to the Civilian Acquisition Workforce

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to develop an implementation plan for improving the personnel management policies and procedures for the Department of Defense acquisition workforce based on the demonstration project authorized by section 4308 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996 (Public Law 104–106). The section would additionally require the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to Congress by February 15, 2003, containing the implementation plan and any areas within the implementation plan needing legislative relief.

The committee supports the ongoing Department of Defense (DOD) Civilian Acquisition Workforce Personnel Demonstration Project, which is designed to determine the effectiveness of initiatives to increase organizational efficiencies, enhance retention rates and rewards for performance, and increase quality of the workforce and the products it acquires. The committee is concerned with the delay in commencing the demonstration project and believes that the Secretary of Defense must ensure that lessons learned from the first three years of the demonstration project are incorporated into the Department's overall acquisition management, organizational structure, and personnel systems.

#### Section 807—Modification of Scope of Ball and Roller Bearings Covered for Purposes of Procurement Limitation

This section would amend section 2534 of title 10, United States Code, by expanding the definition of ball and roller bearings to include unconventional or hybrid ball and roller bearings, cam follower bearings, ball screws and other derivatives of ball and roller bearings. This section would not extend the time period for which the procurement limitation is in place.

# Section 808—Rapid Acquisition and Deployment Procedures

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to develop rapid procedures for the acquisition and the deployment of items a commander of a unified combatant command urgently requires. The procedures would require the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the Secretary of Defense, the secretary of the military service, as well as the Director, Operational Test & Evaluation to work together in an expedited manner in order to deliver to the commander of a unified combatant command the item urgently needed to react to an enemy or to provide safety.

# Section 809—Quick-Reaction Special Projects Acquisition Team

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a special projects acquisition team to examine and address issues affecting expeditious procurements. The special projects acquisition team shall specifically address industrial base issues, lengthy acquisition procedures due to acquisition regulations, environmental issues, small business concerns, and the purchase of products made in the United States.

## Section 810—Report on Development of Anti-Cyberterrorism Technology

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to Congress by February 1, 2003, on Department of Defense efforts to enter into contracts with private entities to develop anticyberterrorism technology.

#### Section 811—Contracting with Federal Prison Industries

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to acquire a product or service from Federal Prison Industries in accordance with chapter 137 of title 10, United States Code. This provision would also require the Secretary of Defense to assure that Federal Prison Industries, Inc. does not provide contractor services if an inmate were to have access to certain information.

# TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

# ITEM OF SPECIAL INTEREST

#### **Regional Centers for Security Studies**

The committee has been concerned that the Department of Defense's regional centers for security studies, and some of its staff, have not accurately represented the views and policies of the United States government with regard to foreign affairs and national security matters. As such, the committee was inclined this year to propose legislative provisions that would seek to redress this problem. However, the committee is reassured by recent reports that senior officials in the Department of Defense are reviewing how the regional centers are organized, managed, and staffed, as well as studying a number of ways to ensure coherence with regard to policy matters and positions. As a result, the committee has withheld action this year regarding this matter, but looks forward to receiving the results of the Department's assessment, and its plans, if any, for subsequent action.

# LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

#### Section 901—Change in Title of Secretary of the Navy to the Secretary of the Navy and Marine Corps

This section would redesignate the title of the Secretary of the Navy to the Secretary of the Navy and Marine Corps.

#### Section 902—Report on Implementation of United States Northern Command

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report by September 1, 2002, containing an implementation plan for the United States Northern Command that addresses organizational, legal, diplomatic, budgetary, and personnel matters associated with the establishment of the command.

#### Section 903—National Defense Mission of Coast Guard to be Included in Future Quadrennial Defense Reviews

This section would amend section 118(d) of title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Defense to include the national defense mission of the U.S. Coast Guard when conducting the Quadrennial Defense Review. The committee recognizes that the U.S. Coast Guard currently performs a range of national security missions, and anticipates that in the future the U.S. Coast Guard will increasingly be integrated with the other military services in the conduct of deployments and joint operations. Accordingly, the committee believes the Secretary of Defense should assess and include the capabilities of the U.S. Coast Guard as part of the Quadrennial Defense Review process.

## Section 904—Change in Year for Submission of Quadrennial Defense Review

This section would amend section 118(a) of title 10, United States Code, to move the submission of the Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR) to the second year after a year divisible by four.

The committee notes the importance of the QDR to the Department's planning guidance and other important decisions. While the committee believes the QDR allows a new Administration the opportunity to lay out a blueprint for its future defense plan and activities, it also recognizes that the complexity of preparing the QDR can be compounded by the lengthy confirmation process for Presidential appointees. The committee feels that moving the submission of the QDR back a year will give senior civilian Department of Defense leadership more time to conduct the type of critical review of all aspects of the Department's operations envisioned by the statute.

## Section 905—Report on Effect of Operations Other Than War on Combat Readiness of the Armed Forces

This section would require a report on the impact of operations other than war on the combat readiness of the United States Armed Forces. These operations include humanitarian operations, counter-drug operations, peace operations (including peace monitoring activities and observer missions), and nation assistance, which is defined as the assistance provided to a host nation to promote stability, develop sustainability, and establish institutions responsive to the needs of the people. In order to better account for, understand, and highlight the impact of these operations on the Department of Defense, and to assist Congress in assessing these costs and benefits relative to the nation's foreign policy and national security interests, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to prepare and submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House a detailed report on the pecuniary and non-pecuniary costs and benefits of these operations.

## Section 906—Conforming Amendment to Reflect Disestablishment of Department of Defense Consequence Management Program Integration Office

This section would amend section 12310(c) of title 10, United States Code, to conform to an internal Department of Defense reorganization involving the Consequence Management Program Integration Office.

# TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

# ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

#### CHARTERING OF SPECIAL PURPOSE VESSELS

Each year the Department of the Navy charters a number of special purpose vessels in order to meet both its ongoing and emergency requirements. These vessels generally include salvage ships, oceanographic survey and research vessels, cable laying ships, and other vessels not traditionally used in special purpose roles. The committee notes that despite the Navy's desire to increase competition for these charters, there have been several instances where only one contractor submitted bids for these charters. In some cases, the method of procurement or the type of contract proposed for the charter may have served to inadvertently eliminate a class of vessels that are capable of performing these functions but are not technically classified or cataloged as being able to perform the mission.

In view of this and the committee's desire to increase competition in these programs, the committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to prepare a report that will address the following matters:

(1) Identification of barriers, including legal, regulatory, administrative, or acquisition procedures, that may exist with respect to the use of U.S.-flag vessels or that otherwise may decrease competition;

(2) A market survey which identifies vessels capable of performing the required activities;

(3) The need for increased use of innovative contracting methods, including greater use of performance based contracts;

(4) Identification of methods for increasing the use of U.S.flag vessels in such special purpose activities;

(5) Any proposals for legislation or administrative steps that the Secretary considers necessary to increase competition and use of U.S.-flag vessels. The report shall be submitted to the Senate Committee on

The report shall be submitted to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and to the House Committee on Armed Services not later than February 1, 2003.

#### COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES

### Overview

The budget request for counter-drug activities maintained a steady-state level of effort as compared with prior years. The committee continues to support a robust counter-drug program and is cognizant of the linkages between terrorist organizations and the international narcotics trade. In that regard, the committee is concerned with the lack of targeting of opium storage facilities in Afghanistan that were identified early in the conduct of Operation Enduring Freedom. The committee understands that U.S. Central Command deemed that opium in any form did not constitute a credible military target. However, as well established, the ruling Taliban maintained close ties to the narcotics trade in Afghanistan and used illicit narcotics trafficking profits to bolster their regime. Accordingly, the committee believes the Department of Defense should review and revise its policy in this regard to ensure that such targets are properly prosecuted in Afghanistan and any future conflicts.

The committee is also aware that the U.S. Southern Command Forward Operating Locations (FOLs) in El Salvador, Ecuador, and Curacao are all nearing full operational capability. The committee remains fully supportive of this important effort but is concerned that recent statements by senior Ecuadorian officials may restrict the use of the airfield at Manta, Ecuador. The committee believes that U.S. aircraft based at Manta should be available to conduct search and rescue and humanitarian relief operations. Accordingly, the committee urges the Administration to engage the government of Ecuador to seek approval for use of the Manta FOL for these specific purposes.

The budget request contained \$848.9 million for drug interdiction and counter-drug activities, in addition to \$149.8 million for operational tempo which is included within the operating budgets of the military services.

The committee recommends an authorization for fiscal year 2003 Department of Defense counter-drug activities as follows:

#### [In thousands of dollars]

[in thousands of donars]	
FY03 Drug Interdiction & Counter-Drug Request	\$848,900
Educate America's Youth	27,100
Increase Safety of Citizens	81,800
Reduce Health & Social Costs	82,500
Shield America's Frontiers	335,700
Break Drug Sources of Supply	321,800
Recommended Decreases:	
DEA Support	1,300
Riverine Training Deployments	1,000
Tethered Aerostat Radar System	5,000
Transit Zone Maritime Patrol Aircraft	3,000
Recommended Increases:	
Mexico Information Analysis Center	1,500
National Guard C–26 Aircraft	2,100
Southwest Border Fence	6,700
Recommendation	\$848,900

#### Items of Special Interest

#### DEA support

The budget request contained \$6.2 million for Department of Defense support to federal law enforcement, namely the Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) for data processing and analysis. While the committee fully supports the underlying merit of the classified program, the committee strongly believes the Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) must assume responsibility for adequately funding the program requirements. Accordingly, the committee recommends a decrease of \$1.3 million for this activity.

# Mexico information analysis center

The budget request contained \$790,000 for the Mexico Information Analysis Center (IAC). The committee is aware that the IAC provides tactically actionable intelligence in support of U.S. and Mexican counter-narcotics efforts and has contributed to significant gains in this area. Accordingly, the committee recommends an increase of \$1.5 million for this successful program.

### National Guard C-26 aircraft

The budget request did not contain funds to complete the upgrade of counter-narcotics National Guard C-26 aircraft with Electro-Optical (EO) digital cameras. The committee is aware that of the current fleet of 11 aircraft, only 10 C-26s are outfitted with an EO camera. The committee understands the requirement for the National Guard is to complete the standardization and additionally procure two EO camera spares. The committee supports this program and recommends \$2.1 million for this purpose.

#### *Riverine training deployments*

The budget request contained \$4.1 million for worldwide riverine deployments. The committee supports this activity but is aware that deployments of this nature are frequently postponed or delayed. Accordingly, the committee recommends a decrease of \$1.0 million for this program.

#### Southwest border fence

The Southwest border continues to be a heavily utilized drug trafficking corridor into the United States. The committee has been supportive of fence and road-building activities in this area and continues to support this effort. Accordingly, the committee recommends an increase of \$6.7 million for this purpose.

#### Tethered aerostat radar system

The budget request contained \$40.7 million for the Tethered Aerostat Radar System (TARS) which includes \$13.3 million for procurement of equipment as compared to \$3.4 million in fiscal year 2002. The committee is concerned with the relative size of this increase and, therefore, recommends a decrease of \$5.0 million in the TARS program.

## Transit zone maritime patrol aircraft

The budget request contained \$9.0 million for Transit Zone Maritime Patrol Aircraft, a new contractor lease program to assist in maritime surveillance. The committee understands the basis for this initiative but is concerned that the total budget request is not fully executable in fiscal year 2003. Accordingly, the committee recommends a decrease of \$3.0 million for this program.

# PRINCIPLES OF FEDERAL APPROPRIATION

The General Accounting Office wrote a comprehensive text on the body of law governing federal appropriations, "Principles of Federal Appropriations Law," in 1982, with a second version published in 1991. This committee considers this text to be a useful resource in deciphering federal appropriations law. As material in this publication is subject to change by statute or through decisionmaking process, the committee requests that the General Accounting Office update this text.

# SECURITY REQUIREMENTS FOR CONTRACTOR EMPLOYEES

The committee is concerned with the level of access contractor employees have to military facilities and installations within the United States. In today's environment it is appropriate for any and all security risks to be examined, and to the extent necessary precautions taken. The committee, therefore, directs the Secretary of Defense to evaluate the security risk that may be associated with contractor employee's access to military facilities and installations, and to report to the House Committee on Armed Services and the Senate Committee on Armed Services, no later than February 1, 2003, the results of the evaluation. The evaluation shall include: A determination whether the Department of Defense should require contractors to conduct background investigations on contractor employees; if background checks are appropriate, to describe the type of background checks.

#### STRATEGIC FORCE STRUCTURE PLAN

The most recent Nuclear Posture Review (NPR), submitted to Congress by the Department of Defense on January 8, 2002, is a broad policy document. Despite setting levels for active forward deployed strategic weapons of 3800 in fiscal year 2007 and 1700-2200 in fiscal year 2012, it contains no comprehensive description of the baseline force structure required to execute the national defense strategy that supports those levels. A force structure plan, including the number of warheads by type in both active (deployed, "responsive" and spares) and inactive status, the number and type of each associated weapons system, and the number and type of each associated delivery platform, is the point of departure for making intelligent decisions regarding weapons complex infrastructure recapitalization, as well as investments in stockpile life extension programs. Such a plan would also provide a framework for Department of Defense investments in weapons systems and delivery vehicles.

Accordingly, Section 1014 requires the Secretaries of Defense and Energy to jointly prepare a baseline nuclear force structure plan for the period covered by the NPR, and a budget plan to support that force structure. It requires, in addition, submission of a report on the force structure and supporting budget plans to the congressional defense committees by January 1, 2003.

The committee recognizes and endorses the Administration's efforts to reach an agreement with the Russian Federation on future strategic force levels. The committee does not intend, in this regard, to hinder or limit the President's options in carrying out the foreign policy of the United States. Consequently, the committee has allowed an extension of the due date of the report to Congress should the President determine that deferment is in the national security interests of the United States.

## U.S. STRATEGIC DETERRENT

The committee believes that a flexible, reliable, and robust nuclear deterrent is critical to the national security of the United States. Capable and credible strategic forces are essential to deterring enemies and potential adversaries, defending our friends and allies, promoting global stability, and ensuring that the United States can protect and advance its interests abroad.

Given these vitally important goals and interests, juxtaposed against a strategic environment that is as uncertain, dangerous, and complex as ever in history, the committee has outlined in a Sense of Congress provision the purposes and need for the United States to maintain a reliable, flexible and robust strategic deterrent. The key to achieving such a posture is revitalization of the nation's nuclear weapons industry, and the retention and training of skilled nuclear and weapons technicians, scientists, and engineers.

Finally, the committee firmly believes that improvements and changes to the nation's strategic deterrent should be made in accordance with the national defense strategy, the Nuclear Posture Review, and the global strategic environment.

#### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

#### SUBTITLE A—FINANCIAL MATTERS

#### Section 1001—Transfer Authority

This section would provide fiscal year 2003 transfer authority to the Department of Defense for amounts up to \$2.0 billion.

#### Section 1002—Authorization of Supplemental Appropriations for Fiscal Year 2002

This section would authorize amounts enacted in the Emergency Supplemental Act, 2002 (Division B of Public Law 107–117) for the Department of Defense and for the national security activities of the Department of Energy.

This section would also authorize those defense items appropriated pursuant to any fiscal year 2002 emergency supplemental appropriations legislation enacted during the second session of the 107th Congress.

This section would further limit the obligation of emergency supplemental funds to the Department of Defense until the Secretary submits a report to the congressional defense committees detailing the appropriation accounts to which the funds have been transferred and the purpose for which the transferred amounts are to be used.

Section 1003—Uniform Standards Throughout Department of Defense for Exposure of Personnel to Pecuniary Liability for Loss of Government Property

This section would extend the authority for imposition of pecuniary liability for government property that is lost, damaged or destroyed to military members of the Navy and Marine Corps, and to all civilian employees of the Department of Defense. Currently, only military members and civilian employees of the Departments of the Army and the Air Force are subject to this liability. This section would also extend the authority to deduct the amount of the pecuniary liability from the pay of a member of the Navy and Marine Corps. Currently, the authority to deduct the liability applies only to members of the Army and Air Force.

#### Section 1004—Accountable Officials In the Department of Defense

This section would establish pecuniary liability for those Department of Defense officials who submit illegal, improper, or incorrect data or information to an official who could rely on that data to make payment on a voucher. This provision provides the Department the ability to enforce responsibilities assigned to personnel in the management of purchase cards, as well as other areas where personnel are required to review and submit data that the Department will rely on to make payments.

#### Section 1005—Improvements in Purchase Card Management

This section would amend section 2784 of title 10, United States Code, by enhancing the Secretary of Defense's responsibilities for management and oversight of the Department of Defense's purchase card program. Recent reports, including the Department of Defense's March 2002 Inspector General Report demonstrate that additional managerial steps are needed to prevent negligence, misuse, or abuse of the purchase card. This section would add those safeguards necessary to improve internal controls over this program.

#### Section 1006—Authority to Transfer Funds Within a Major Acquisition Program from Procurement to RDT&E

This section would amend Chapter 131 of title 10, United States Code, to provide the Secretary of Defense limited authority to transfer funds from Procurement to Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E), for the same acquisition program when that program's development effort cannot transition to procurement as planned.

This transfer authority is limited to a total of \$250.0 million for any fiscal year and \$20.0 million per acquisition program per fiscal year. This authority also specifically prohibits the use of transferred amounts for new starts.

## Section 1007—Development and Procurement of Financial and Nonfinancial Management Systems

This section would require: (1) the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees providing the goals and objectives of the department's financial management modernization plan; and (2) the approval of the Under Secretary of Defense, Comptroller, prior to the expenditure of funds by any department or agency within the Department of Defense for new or upgraded financial management and non-financial feeder systems.

On July 19, 2001, the Secretary of Defense established the Financial Management Modernization Program and directed the program management office to "develop a DOD-wide blueprint—an Enterprise Architecture—that prescribes how the Department's financial and non-financial feeder systems and business processes will interact." The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107–107) authorized \$100.0 million for the Financial Management Modernization Program in PE 65016D8Z. The fiscal year 2003 budget request was \$96.3 million.

The committee believes that this program is one of the most important developmental programs within the Department. The committee also believes that the major impediment to implementing a viable financial management program within the Department will be overcoming the cultural and bureaucratic resistance to change.

Consequently the committee believes that strict control over expenditures for new and upgraded financial and non-financial feeder systems is required and that until the architecture is established for the new system that no agency or department within DOD should be permitted to commit funds for new, upgraded or interim financial management systems without the explicit approval of the Comptroller. In addition, implementation of the objective system under prescribed milestones must be mandatory.

This can only be accomplished if the responsible agency, the Department's comptroller, is given total authority for all funds authorized for obligation for financial management and feeder systems within the Department.

#### SUBTITLE B—REPORTS

Section 1011—After-Action Reports on the Conduct of Military Operations Conducted as Part of Operation Enduring Freedom

This section would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the Commander-in-Chief of United States Central Command, and the Director of Central Intelligence, to submit to the Senate Committee on Armed Services, the House Committee on Armed Services, the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, and the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence two reports on the conduct of military operations conducted as part of Operation Enduring Freedom. The first report, an interim report, would be required not later than June 15, 2003, and the final report not later than 180 days after the cessation of hostilities associated with Operation Enduring Freedom.

The committee is aware that the campaign in Afghanistan demonstrated new war fighting doctrine and concepts and believes an appropriate record must be established as soon as possible to assist in the conduct of future military operations. Therefore, the committee supports a requirement for the Secretary of Defense to produce two reports to address the accomplishments and shortcomings of the overall military operation, including personnel, readiness, basing, air and sea lift, joint operations, and equipment matters. The committee notes that after-action reports of Operation Desert Storm and Operation Allied Force can serve as a guide for the Department in this regard. The committee is particularly concerned with the factors that promoted or inhibited the timely insertion of Special Operations, their operational utility and effectiveness once in Afghanistan, and the scope and adequacy of logistical and operational support provided to both SOF and Central Intelligence Agency personnel.

#### Section 1012—Report on Biological Weapons Defense and Counter-Proliferation

This section would require a report on the Department's biological weapons defense, nonproliferation, and counter-proliferation programs. Given the anthrax letter attacks of 2001, efforts by the al Qaeda terrorist organization to acquire dangerous pathogens, and continuing reports by the intelligence community that several rogue states and other countries have active biological warfare programs, the committee is concerned about the United States' ability to halt, defend against, and counter these present and emerging threats.

As a result, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services on the Department's programs and initiatives to defend against and counter the development, production, and proliferation of biological weapons agents, technology, and expertise to terrorist groups and other states. The purpose of this report is to inform Congress of the legal (including U.S. and international law), policy, resource, and other impediments to the Department's biological warfare defense, nonproliferation, and counter-proliferation initiatives, activities, and programs.

#### Section 1013—Requirement That Department of Defense Reports to Congress Be Accompanied by Electronic Version

This section would amend section 480(a) of title 10, United States Code, to require the Department of Defense to submit to Congress electronic versions of all unclassified required reports, to include certifications, notifications, or other written communications.

The committee is concerned that the Department of Defense failed to comply with section 1042 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107–107) which required the Department of Defense to provide electronic reports only upon request from Congress. Accordingly, the committee reaffirms its view that this requirement is consistent with the Department's intention to make greater use of electronic media and will facilitate broader dissemination of, and wider access to, official DOD information.

## Section 1014—Strategic Force Structure Plan for Nuclear Weapons and Delivery Systems

This section would require the Secretaries of Defense and Energy to jointly prepare a baseline nuclear force structure plan for the period covered by, and consistent with, the Nuclear Posture Review submitted to Congress on January 8, 2002. The plan would include the warheads, weapon systems, and delivery vehicles required to execute the national defense strategy, as well as the infrastructure, modernization and life extension plans, and other elements of the defense program of the United States necessary to sustain that force structure. The section would also require a budget plan to support that force structure. The section would require submission of a report on the force structure and supporting budget plan to the congressional defense committees by January 1, 2003, but would permit the President to defer submission of the report to a date certain should the President determine that it is in the national security interest of the United States to submit the report on a later date.

## Section 1015—Report on Establishment of a Joint National Training Complex and Joint Opposing Forces

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services not later than six months after the date of enactment that outlines a plan to develop and operate a joint national training complex capable of supporting field exercises and experimentation at the operational level of war across a broad spectrum of adversary capabilities.

The committee notes that the Department of Defense currently lacks the infrastructure to support joint high-fidelity field exercises and experiments and is aware that the National Defense Panel recommended in 1997 that the Department establish a Joint National Training Complex to fully support joint transformation initiatives. Accordingly, the committee believes that the Department must assess the benefits of establishing a Joint National Training Complex in order to enhance future joint warfighting.

# Section 1016—Repeal of Various Reports Required of the Department of Defense

This section would repeal a number of reporting requirements contained in title 10, United States Code, and annual National Defense Authorization Acts.

## Section 1017—Report on the Role of the Department of Defense in Supporting Homeland Security

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees a report by December 31, 2002, on Department of Defense responsibilities, missions, and plans for military support of homeland security, with particular focus on defense against biological agents.

## Section 1018—Study of Short-term and Long-term Effects of Nuclear Earth Penetrator Weapon

This section would direct the Secretary of Defense to request a report by the National Academy of Sciences (NAS), which will be submitted to the Congress with the Secretary's comments as appropriate, on the short- and long-term effects on a civilian population and/or U.S. military personnel in the proximity of the target area, as a result of:

(1) The use of an earth-penetrating nuclear weapon, to include the effects on the target area itself;

(2) The use of an above-ground nuclear detonation to destroy hard or deeply-buried targets in the target area;

(3) The use of an advanced conventional weapon to destroy an adversary's weapons of mass destruction storage or production facilities in the target area.

## Section 1019—Study of Short-term and Long-term Effects of Nuclear-tipped Ballistic Missile Interceptor

This section would direct the Secretary of Defense to request a report by the National Academy of Sciences (NAS), which will be submitted to the Congress with the Secretary's comments as appropriate, on the short- and long-term effects of:

(1) The use of a nuclear-tipped ballistic missile interceptor in the outer atmosphere on the civilian population and U.S. military personnel in proximity to the target area;

(2) A nuclear weapon detonated above a major U.S. city on the population of that city and on the nation as a whole.

## SUBTITLE C—OTHER MATTERS

#### Section 1021—Sense of Congress on Maintenance of a Reliable, Flexible, and Robust Strategic Deterrent

This section would express the Sense of Congress regarding the purposes and need for the United States to maintain a reliable, flexible and robust strategic deterrent in accordance with the national defense strategy, the Nuclear Posture Review, and the global strategic environment.

## Section 1022—Time for Transmittal of Annual Defense Authorization Legislative Proposal

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to transmit to Congress the annual defense authorization request for a fiscal year within 30 days of the date the President transmits to Congress the budget for that fiscal year. In this section "defense authorization request" is defined as a legislative proposal submitted to Congress for enactment and includes the authorization of appropriations for that fiscal year as required by section 114 of title 10, United States Code, personnel strengths for that fiscal year as required by section 115 of title 10, United States Code, and any other matter that is proposed by the Secretary of Defense for enactment as part of the annual defense authorization bill for that fiscal year.

## Section 1023—Technical and Clerical Amendments

This section would make a number of technical and clerical amendments to existing law of a non-substantive basis.

## Section 1024—War Risk Insurance for Vessels in Support of NATO-Approved Operations

This section would authorize the Secretary of Transportation to provide war risk insurance to a commercial vessel that is supporting a shared logistics military operation approved by the North Atlantic Council. This section would also authorize the Secretary of Transportation, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, to seek from another nation a commitment to indemnify the United States for any amounts paid by the United States for claims against such insurance.

## Section 1025-Conveyance, Navy Drydock, Portland, Oregon

This section would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to sell Navy Drydock No. YFD-69, located in Portland, Oregon, to Portland Shipyard, LLC at an amount equal to the fair market value at the time of the conveyance, as determined by the Secretary.

## Section 1026—Additional Weapons of Mass Destruction Civil Support Teams

This section would express the sense of the Congress that the Secretary of Defense should establish 23 additional Weapons of Mass Destruction Civil Support Teams, raising the total to 55, and provide at least one team be established in each state and territory.

# TITLE XI—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CIVILIAN PERSONNEL

## LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 1101—Eligibility of Department of Defense Nonappropriated Fund Employees For Long-Term Care Insurance

This section would amend sections 9001 and 9002 of title 5, United States Code, to permit nonappropriated fund employees of the Department of Defense to participate in the employee-funded Federal Long Term Care Insurance program.

## Section 1102—Extension of Department of Defense Authority to Make Lump-Sum Severance Payments

This section would amend section 5595 of title 5, United States Code, to extend the lump-sum severance payment authority to employees of the Department of Defense who are involuntarily separated from September 30, 2003, to September 30, 2006. This section would also direct that the President report to Congress within twelve months whether this new authority should be made permanent or extended to other federal agencies.

Section 1103—Common Occupational and Health Standards for Differential Payments as a Consequence of Exposure To Asbestos

This section would amend sections 5343 and 5545 of title 5, United States Code, to establish a common standard for payment of hazardous duty differential pay for reason of exposure to asbestos for prevailing rate and general schedule federal employees.

## Section 1104—Continuation of Federal Employee Health Benefits Program Eligibility

This section would amend section 8905a of title 5, United States Code, to extend eligibility for health benefits to Federal employees separated before October 1, 2006, or February 1, 2007, if specific notice of separation is given to the employee before October 1, 2006.

## Section 1105—Triennial Full-Scale Federal Wage System Wage Surveys

This section would amend section 5343 of title 5, United States Code, to change the full-scale federal wage system wage survey cycle conducted by the Office of Personnel Management from two to three years.

# TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO OTHER NATIONS

## LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

#### Section 1201—Support of United Nations-Sponsored Efforts to Inspect and Monitor Iraqi Weapons Activities

This section would extend the authority under section 1505 of the Weapons of Mass Destruction Control Act of 1992, section 5859a of title 22, United States Code, for the Department of Defense to expend up to \$15.0 million in fiscal year 2003 in support of the United Nations efforts to account for Iraqi weapons of mass destruction items, facilities, and capabilities.

#### Section 1202—Strengthening the Defense of Taiwan

This section would strengthen the self-defense capability of Taiwan and promote regional stability. The Taiwan Relations Act of 1979 (Public Law 96–8) states that "the United States will make available to Taiwan such defense articles and defense services in such quantity as may be necessary to enable Taiwan to maintain a sufficient self-defense capability." This law further stipulates that the President and Congress shall determine the nature and quantity of such defense articles and services based solely upon their judgment of the needs of Taiwan. While the committee is generally satisfied with the Administration's actual and proposed sales of defense articles to Taiwan, China's missile buildup and arms acquisitions have heightened concern about Taiwan's ability to defend itself. The committee is concerned that if the balance of power in the Taiwan Strait continues to shift in China's favor, China may be tempted to seize Taiwan by force.

The United States has stated, through policy and law, that it desires a peaceful resolution to the differences between China and Taiwan. In 2000, the Department released a report that stated, "As long as Taiwan has a capable defense, the environment will be more conducive to peaceful dialogue, and thus the whole region will be more stable."

Given these reports and assessments, the committee believes that Taiwan's self-defense capability could be enhanced, and regional stability promoted, through the conduct of operational training and exchanges of senior officers between the armed forces of the United States and the armed forces of Taiwan. This training would cover a broad range of military matters, to include improving civil-military relations. The committee believes that weapons sales alone do not guarantee capability. Rather, these systems are only as effective as the military personnel trained to operate, integrate, and employ them. The committee is confident that the preparation and implementation of a comprehensive training plan by the Department, for the conduct of training between the armed forces of the United States and the armed forces of Taiwan, will help Taiwan maintain a sufficient self-defense capability, deter aggression, promote dialogue, and enhance regional stability.

This section would also require that the Secretary of Defense submit the joint training and exchange plan, at least 30 days before implementation, to the Congress, specifically the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate, the Committee on International Relations in the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Foreign Relations in the Senate.

## Section 1203—Administrative Services and Support for Foreign Liaison Officers

This section would amend Title 10, United States Code, by making clear that the Secretary of Defense and the secretaries of the military departments may pay for, or provide without cost, administrative services and support to foreign liaison officers performing duties at military facilities in the United States. Through the Department of Defense Foreign Liaison Officer Program, military representatives of foreign governments are temporarily assigned to components or commands of U.S. armed forces. The administrative services and support that could be provided under this section include base or installation operation support services, office space, utilities, copying services, fire and police protection, and computer support. This section would not authorize the Secretary to provide pay and allowances and other similar benefits for foreign liaison officers. The U.S. government would also not cover, among other things, the following costs associated with foreign liaison officers stationed in the United States: pay and allowances; travel by the officers and their dependents; movement of the household effects of the officers or their dependents; preparation and shipment of the remains and funeral expenses associated with the death of an officer or the officer's dependents; formal and informal training of the officers; and expenses in connection with the return of an officer whose assignment has been terminated or expired, along with his dependents.

This provision was requested by the Department of Defense. However, given the committee's concern that this authority could evolve over time to cover costs and activities that would be unauthorized under this provision (as outlined above), a report shall be required before this authority is either reauthorized or expires on September 30, 2005.

## Section 1204—Additional Countries Covered by Loan Guarantee Program

This section would amend section 2540(b) of title 10, United States Code, to expand the list of countries eligible under the Defense Export Loan Guarantee Program to include countries that are determined by the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to be important to the United States' efforts to combat drug trafficking organizations or foreign terrorist organizations.

The committee continues to believe that the Defense Export Loan Guarantee Program can serve as an alternative to U.S. foreign assistance programs, and can support legitimate foreign defense equipment requirements. However, the committee is concerned that many nations, such as Colombia, that are combating drug trafficking organizations or foreign terrorist organizations do not currently qualify for the Defense Export Loan Guarantee Program. Therefore, the committee believes that it is in the interest of the United States to expand the list of nations eligible to participate in the program.

## Section 1205—Limitation on Funding for Joint Data Exchange Center in Moscow

This section would prohibit the obligation or expenditure of more than 50 percent of fiscal year 2003 funds for activities associated with the Joint Data Exchange Center in Moscow, Russia, until 30 days after the Secretary of Defense submits to the Senate Committee on Armed Services, the House Committee on Armed Services, the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations, and the House Committee on International Relations the agreement required by section 1231 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106–398) and an agreement exempting the United States from Russian taxes and liability.

The committee remains concerned by Russia's apparent unwillingness to move forward with this project by agreeing to the same kinds of tax and liability exemptions that apply to other U.S.-Russia cooperative programs. The committee urges the Department of Defense to reach agreement with Russia on such exemptions, or risk continued congressional support for this endeavor.

#### Section 1206—Limitation on Number of Military Personnel in Colombia

This section would restrict funds available to the Department of Defense to support or maintain more than 500 U.S. military personnel in Colombia at any time. The amendment would provide exemptions from the limitation for military personnel assigned to the U.S. Embassy in Colombia as an attaché, part of the security assistance office or the Marine Corps security contingent, participating in natural disaster relief efforts, involved in non-operational transit through Colombia, engaged in rescuing or retrieving U.S. military or governmental personnel, or participating in a ship port call. The provision would also provide the Secretary of Defense the authority to waive the military personnel limitation should the Secretary determine that such a waiver is in the national security interests of the United States.

# TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION WITH STATES OF THE FORMER SOVIET UNION

## OVERVIEW

The budget request contained \$416.7 million for cooperative threat reduction (CTR) activities, representing a 3.4 percent increase from the \$403 million appropriated for fiscal year 2002. The request included \$239.9 million to dismantle former Soviet Union (FSU) weapons of mass destruction (WMD) and associated infrastructure; \$94.4 million to consolidate and secure FSU WMD and related technology and materials; \$8.8 million to increase transparency and encourage higher standards of conduct; \$58.9 million to support defense and military cooperation with the objective of preventing proliferation; and \$14.7 million for other program support, including administrative and management costs. Finally, from these program categories, \$55.0 million would go toward preventing the proliferation of biological weapons.

The committee recommends the budget request with modifications.

The committee has traditionally supported the overriding goal of the CTR program: to reduce the threat to the United States posed by the former Soviet Union's residual weapons of mass destruction and their delivery systems. Nevertheless, in recent years the committee has raised numerous concerns, including: the expansion in the program's scope; the Department's willingness-especially in the absence of prior congressional consultation-to absorb project costs that Russia, in particular, has not funded; the difficulty in determining whether assistance provided is accomplishing intended objectives; the lack of appropriate access and transparency agreements; the challenge of ensuring that assistance provided is not directly or indirectly facilitating the process of arms modernization; possible duplication and redundancies in similar projects executed by multiple federal agencies; fraud, waste, and mismanagement in the program; and whether CTR activities are more appropriately funded outside the Department of Defense.

This year, the committee faces a much more daunting problem. Current law stipulates that United States assistance for CTR projects may not be provided to any independent state of the former Soviet Union for any year unless the President certifies to Congress for that year that the proposed recipient state is committed to a number of conditions that are in the national interest of the United States. The President currently has no authority to waive this certification or any of these conditions.

These conditions and certification were mandated given the amount of financial assistance being provided to these countries, and the obvious need for reciprocal financial and political commitment by recipient countries to the goals and objectives of these threat reduction programs. Granting the President unlimited waiver authority for the annual certification, or any of the conditions that compose it, would most certainly weaken the President's ability to insist that recipient countries fully support, participate in, and share the fundamental goals of the Cooperative Threat Reduction Act (Public Law 103–160). However, completely suspending these programs would itself be contrary to U.S. interests in ensuring the nonproliferation of weapons of mass destruction.

The Administration informed Congress in early 2002 that the President cannot certify that Russia is committed to complying with relevant arms control agreements, specifically the Biological and Toxin Weapons Convention (BWC) and the Chemical Weapons Convention (CWC). The purposes of these conventions are to prevent the use of these types of weapons of mass destruction by prohibiting any research, development, production, or stockpiling of these weapons.

The purpose of the United States CTR programs with the states of the former Soviet Union is to similarly prevent the use of weapons of mass destruction, or their acquisition or theft by third parties, by assisting these countries in reducing their excess stockpiles, production facilities, materials, and delivery systems, among other things. By doing so, presumably the risk of proliferation, theft, and illicit or accidental use—prevention of which are in the national security interests of the United States and its allies would also be reduced.

If Russia is not committed, let alone not complying with, its obligations under the BWC and CWC, then its illicit activities undermine the purpose and goals of the CTR program. Moreover, noncompliance with its arms control agreements calls into question Russia's fundamental commitment to nonproliferation and demilitarization, its credibility and trustworthiness as a treaty partner, and its strategic intentions and plans toward the United States and other nations.

Given that the President has been forthright about Russia's questionable activities, and has put Moscow on notice regarding its commitment to comply with all relevant arms control agreements, the committee is granting the President limited waiver authority in Section 1308 so as to allow the CTR program in Russia to continue. This waiver authority, however, is limited in both duration and scope, and includes appropriate reporting requirements to ensure that Congress is properly apprised of the nature and extent of this ongoing matter, and why it is important to the United States national security to exercise this waiver authority.

Additionally, the President is required to develop a plan or policy to promote Russian compliance with its relevant arms control agreements. Needless to say, failure by Russia to take immediate action to demonstrate its commitment to the BWC and CWC risks further restrictions on CTR assistance to Russia.

The committee continues to believe that the focus of the CTR program should be the elimination of those weapons that pose the most serious and direct threat to U.S. security—first and foremost, strategic nuclear weapons and associated infrastructure. As such, the committee does not fully support the Administration's budget request for chemical weapons destruction in Russia, particularly when that country is failing to meet its obligations under the CWC.

The committee also notes that the CTR program was originally envisioned as a short-term emergency effort to reduce the threat posed to the United States by the thousands of nuclear weapons and their delivery vehicles left behind after the demise of the Soviet Union. However, the original focus of the CTR program has expanded significantly in scope since its inception. Now, there is an effort to expand the CTR program beyond the FSU. The committee does not believe this is wise given the amount of CTR work still needing to be done in the FSU, and has therefore placed a prohibition on further expansion of the CTR program.

The committee believes that the oversight provided by Congress since the program's inception has served to improve the overall management of the program and to increase its effectiveness. As such, the committee remains troubled that the Department has not complied with the various reporting requirements mandated by law that are designed to enhance congressional visibility and oversight of the CTR program. Accordingly, the committee recommends a provision (sec. 1303) that would prohibit or limit the obligation or expenditure of all fiscal year 2003 CTR funds until the necessary reports are submitted.

The committee expects the Department to consider carefully and fully the concerns the committee has identified with respect to the CTR program as the Department prepares its budget and program request for fiscal year 2004.

## ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

#### Arms Elimination Projects in Russia

The budget request contained \$70.5 million for strategic offensive arms elimination projects in Russia, a 47 percent decrease from the fiscal year 2002 appropriated amount of \$133.4 million. The committee recommends the budget request.

## **Biological Weapons Proliferation Prevention**

The budget request contained \$55.0 million for biological weapons proliferation prevention activities in the former Soviet Union, a 223 percent increase from the fiscal year 2002 appropriated level of \$17.0 million. The committee recommends the budget request.

Although generally supportive of efforts to prevent the proliferation of biological weapons expertise, the committee remains concerned over the lack of transparency with respect to Russia's biological weapons programs, the risk that collaborative research on defensive biotechnology can be applied to offensive weapons purposes, the perpetuation of a knowledge and skills base among Russian scientists that may increase their attractiveness to foreign states seeking to develop biological weapons, the difficulty of verifying that assistance provided is not being diverted to illicit purposes, and the lack of an exit strategy for this activity.

#### **Chemical Weapons Destruction in Russia**

The budget request contained \$133.6 million for chemical weapons elimination activities in Russia, which represents a 167 percent increase over the fiscal year 2002 appropriated level of \$50.0 million. The committee does not fully support this request.

Rather, the committee supports funding this request at \$50.0 million, and making the balance of the DOD request—\$83.6 million—also available for strategic offensive arms elimination in the former Soviet Union and nuclear weapons transportation and storage security in Russia.

As previously stated, the committee continues to believe that the focus of the CTR program should be the elimination of those weapons that pose the most serious and direct threat to U.S. security: strategic nuclear weapons and associated infrastructure. As such, the committee does not support a budget request that proposes to spend \$133.6 million on chemical weapons destruction in Russia, particularly when that country is failing to live up to its obligations under the Chemical Weapons Convention (CWC).

As it stands, Russia has failed to meet any of the time and destruction milestones outlined in the CWC; in fact, Russia is admittedly years behind schedule and shows little sign of making progress in complying with the convention. While Russia may claim economic hardship, and the failure of other countries—particularly the United States—to help fund the destruction activities outlined in the CWC, the committee notes that Russia's obligations under the CWC are Russia's responsibilities—not others'. Moreover, the Russian government could meet its obligations under the CWC by freeing up funds dedicated to the production of SS–27 ballistic missiles, the development of other offensive arms, and the pursuit of military modernization initiatives.

Finally, the committee is troubled by the fact that Russia has yet to meet all of the necessary conditions, particularly the requirement to provide full and accurate information regarding its chemical weapons stockpile, before CTR funds can be expended on the chemical weapons destruction facility (CWDF). Because Russia has failed to meet these conditions, no CTR funds have been expended on the destruction facility to date. Given the totality of facts, the Committee has decided to flat line this year's budget request for chemical weapons destruction rather than endorse a 167% increase in funding for this project. Additionally, continued Russian reluctance to resolve these issues not only undermines congressional support for the CTR program, it also jeopardizes continued funding for the CWDF project in particular.

#### Defense and Military Contacts

The budget request contained \$18.9 million for defense and military contacts with the states of the former Soviet Union, a slight increase over the fiscal year 2002 appropriated level of \$18.3 million. The committee recommends the budget request. Last year, the CTR program funded approximately 500 defense and military contacts with the states of the former Soviet Union. This year's budget request would also support 500 events. However, the committee continues to believe that the utility of these activities is difficult to quantify, yet fully expects the Department to do so as it plans, implements and evaluates these activities. As such, the committee has required a detailed report on this program's activities before more than 50% of fiscal year 2003 CTR funds are obligated or expended.

#### **Fissile Material Storage Facility**

The budget request did not contain funding for this activity. The committee notes that Russia continues not to seek assistance to build a second wing at the Mayak storage facility and that sufficient funds remain to complete activity on the first wing. Accordingly, the committee supports the Department's action not to seek additional funds for this activity and recommends a provision (section 1305) that would continue to prohibit CTR funds from being used for the design, planning, or construction of a second wing. The committee notes that Russia has consistently refused to agree to transparency measures that would allow the United States to verify that the fissile material stored at the facility in Mayak, Russia, is from dismantled nuclear weapons and reiterates its view that the Department should continue to seek an agreement with Russia on this issue.

#### Nuclear Weapons Storage Security in Russia

The budget request contained \$39.9 million for nuclear weapons storage security in Russia, a 27 percent decrease from the fiscal year 2002 appropriated level of \$54.7 million. The committee recommends the budget request, but reiterates the need for the Secretary of Defense to seek an agreement with Russia allowing appropriate U.S. access to nuclear weapons storage sites for which CTR assistance is provided.

#### Nuclear Weapons Transportation Security

The budget request contained \$19.7 million for nuclear weapons transportation security in Russia, a 107 percent increase from the fiscal year 2002 appropriated level of \$9.5 million. The committee recommends the budget request. The committee notes that these costs were previously paid by Russia and again urges the Department to seek an agreement that would once again shift the burden of financial responsibility for this activity back to Russia.

#### Other Assessments and Administrative Support

The budget request contained \$14.7 million for other program support, including management and administrative costs, project development, and audits and examinations, an 11 percent increase over the fiscal year 2002 appropriated level of \$13.2 million. The committee recommends the budget request.

The committee notes that a portion of these funds has traditionally been applied to new initiatives in the concept development stage. The committee understands that Russia has in the past proposed various initiatives for CTR consideration, including initiatives involving conventional weapons or delivery platforms. The committee believes that the statutory language of section 1303 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106–398), which prohibits the use of CTR funds for conventional elimination purposes, should be strictly adhered to and that CTR funds should not be expended on concept development studies designed to assess the viability of elimination projects specifically prohibited under the statutory prohibition.

## Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Kazakhstan

The budget request contained \$9.0 million for weapons of mass destruction infrastructure elimination activities in Kazakhstan, a 50 percent increase over the fiscal year 2002 appropriated level of \$6.0 million. This would include funding for activities related to the prevention of fissile and radioactive material proliferation, and the elimination of facilities used to support the deployment and operation of weapons of mass destruction, including infrastructure at former bomber bases. The committee recommends the budget request.

## Weapons of Mass Destruction Infrastructure Elimination in Ukraine

The budget request contained \$8.8 million for weapons of mass destruction infrastructure elimination activities in Ukraine, a 47 percent increase over the fiscal year 2002 appropriated level of \$6.0 million. This would include funding for activities related to the elimination of facilities used to support the deployment and operation of weapons of mass destruction, including facilities for storage and maintenance of nuclear weapons. The committee recommends the budget request.

#### Russian Proliferation to Iran

The committee is deeply concerned about continued Russian proliferation to Iran, and the clear threat that this dangerous activity presents to the national security and vital interests of the United States. Needless to say, Russian proliferation to Iran, and to other countries, conflicts with the purpose and goals of the Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) program, and could undermine continued congressional support for threat reduction efforts in Russia.

According to the U.S. intelligence community, Russian proliferation to Iran consists primarily of nuclear and missile technology, goods, and know-how, and dual-use items that could contribute to the development of weapons of mass destruction (WMD) and ballistic missiles. This proliferation also includes other WMD technologies and advanced conventional weapons; and Russian entities are also transferring similar items to other countries, including China, Libya, and Syria.

As a result of Russian assistance, the intelligence community estimates that Iran could attempt to launch an intercontinental ballistic missile by 2005, and could possess a nuclear weapon by 2010. Combined, these capabilities would create a new strategic threat to the United States, and an immediate threat to American forces, interests, allies and friends in the region.

Unclassified intelligence reports indicate that Russian proliferation to Iran takes place either covertly, under the guise of peaceful cooperation, or through academic and scientific exchanges that take place in both Russia and Iran. In either case, this matter has been raised by United States officials at the highest levels of the Russian government. Yet, despite U.S. efforts, this activity continues with either the knowledge or acquiescence of senior Russian officials, who support these illicit transfers or are tolerating them for strategic or financial reasons.

To make matters worse, Iran has a longstanding history of providing safe harbor, money, arms and assistance to foreign terrorist organizations. As a result, Iran has been rightly designated a "state sponsor of terrorism" by the United States. The most dangerous aspect of Russian proliferation to Iran, therefore, is that weapons of mass destruction technologies or materials might be passed onto foreign terrorist organizations supported by Teheran. It goes without saying that the combination of foreign terrorists organizations and weapons of mass destruction would constitute a grave threat to the national security of the United States, since an attack of this nature would be extremely difficult to prevent, deter, or defend against.

Therefore, it would be fair to state that the ongoing proliferation of WMD technologies, materials, and know-how from Russia to Iran represents a greater, broader, and more likely threat to the national security and vital interests of the United States than the potential proliferation of these same items from Russia.

Russian proliferation to Iran and other countries raises serious questions about Moscow's intentions, commitment to nonproliferation, and desire for improved U.S.-Russian relations. Thus, the President must make nonproliferation a top priority when dealing with Moscow, and must demonstrate United States resolve and commitment to nonproliferation through clear, firm and coherent policies and strategies that employ the full-range of diplomatic and economic tools at his disposal, both positive and negative, to eliminate this dangerous activity once and for always.

## LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

#### Section 1301—Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs and Funds

This section would specify the kinds of programs to be funded under this title and would make fiscal year 2003 Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) funds available for obligation for three years.

## Section 1302—Funding Allocations

This section would allocate fiscal year 2003 funding for various CTR purposes and activities.

#### Section 1303—Prohibition Against Use of Funds Until Submission of Reports

This section would prohibit the obligation or expenditure of fiscal year 2003 CTR funds until 30 days after the annual report required by section 1308 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106–398), and the update for the multi-year plan required to be submitted for fiscal year 2001, are submitted.

## Section 1304—Report on Use of Revenue Generated by Activities Carried Out Under Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs

This section would place a new reporting requirement, as practicable, in the annual report required by section 1308 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106–398) regarding use of the revenue generated by CTR activities in the states of the former Soviet Union.

## Section 1305—Prohibition Against Use of Funds for Second Wing of Fissile Material Storage Facility

This section would prohibit the use of CTR funds for the design, planning, or construction of a second wing for the fissile material storage facility in Mayak, Russia.

## Section 1306—Sense of Congress and Report Requirement Regarding Russian Proliferation to Iran

This section would express the Sense of Congress regarding continued Russian proliferation of goods, technology, and know-how that directly or indirectly contribute to Iran's development of weapons of mass destruction and ballistic missiles, and the threat that this illicit activity could pose to United States national security and vital interests.

This section would also require the President to report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services, the House Committee on Armed Services, the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations, and the House Committee on International Relations regarding the scope, nature, and extent of Russian proliferation to Iran, and to other countries of concern; the impact this activity could have on the United States and its national security interests; and the plan, policy, or strategy that the President intends to pursue to halt Russian proliferation.

Section 1307—Prohibition Against Use of Cooperative Threat Reduction Funds Outside the States of the Former Soviet Union

This section would prohibit expanding the CTR program to states outside of the former Soviet Union.

## Section 1308—Limited Waiver of Restriction on Use of Funds

This section would provide limited authority for the President to waive the certification requirement of paragraph (d)(5), section 5952 of title 22, United Stated Code—which states that Russia is committed to complying with all relevant arms control agreements—for national security purposes.

This waiver authority is limited in both duration and scope. It includes appropriate reporting requirements to ensure that Congress is properly apprised of the nature and extent of Russia's lack of commitment to all relevant arms control agreements, why it is important to the United States national security to exercise this waiver authority and continue CTR activities with Russia, and the President's plan or policy to promote Russian compliance with its relevant arms control agreements.

The committee notes that while it may be in the national security interest of the United States to continue CTR programs in Russia, it is even more important to the nation's security that Russia comply with all relevant arms control agreements, particularly those involving weapons of mass destruction.

#### Section 1309—Limitation on Use of Funds Until Submission of Report on Defense and Military Contacts Activities

This section would prohibit the obligation or expenditure of more than 50% of fiscal year 2003 CTR funds for "Defense and Military Activities" until the Secretary of Defense submits a report to Congress detailing the activities, operation, and performance of the previous year's events under this program.

# TITLE XIV—UTAH TEST AND TRAINING RANGE

## OVERVIEW

The Utah Test and Training Range is used for operational training, testing of new systems, and missile motor storage, testing and destruction. The range provides the largest overland safety footprint available in the Department for aircrew training and weapons testing. The continued operation of the Utah Test and Training Range is vital for meeting test and training requirements for the Air Force, allied forces, other national agencies, civilian industry and civilian academic institutions.

In order to fulfill its mission, the Air Force must maintain overflight capability, including low-altitude overflight, by manned and unmanned aircraft and vehicles as well as the ability to undertake supersonic events. The Air Force must protect established rightsof-way to existing ground instrumentation and communications gear, and the capability to upgrade or add additional equipment as necessary. The Air Force also requires emergency access to certain areas and the ability to control or restrict public access.

In 1990, the Bureau of Land Management recommended that approximately 1.9 million acres in Utah be designated as wilderness, including approximately 200,000 acres within the Utah Test and Training Range. The Wilderness Act of 1964, P.L. 88–577, defines wilderness as lands upon which "the imprint of man's work [is] substantially unnoticeable" such that the lands provide "outstanding opportunities for solitude." In recent years, the Department's readiness capabilities have been encroached by threatened litigation wherein parties have asserted that military training activities conducted at or near proposed wilderness areas nationwide are unlawful in instances where the activities interfere with a solitude wilderness experience.

Continued unrestricted access to the special use airspace and lands that comprise the Utah Test and Training Range is a national security priority that is compatible with the protection and proper management of the natural, environmental, cultural and other resources of these lands. This provision would not amend the Wilderness Act, which is silent on the issue of military activities above wilderness areas.

## LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

## Section 1401–Definition of Utah Test and Training Range

This section would define the "Utah Test and Training Range" as those portions of the military operating area of the Utah Test and Training Area located solely in the State of Utah, including the Dugway Proving Ground.

## Section 1402—Military Operations and Overflights at Utah Test and Training Range

This section would specify that the Wilderness Act would not restrict the ability of the military to conduct overflights or designate new training routes on the Utah Test and Training Range. The section would specify that the Wilderness Act would not require the removal of existing communication, instrumentation or electronic tracking systems, and would permit maintenance of or installation of any such equipment in the future. This section would also instruct the Secretary of the Air Force and the Secretary of Interior to enter into a Memorandum of Understanding to determine procedures and guidelines regarding emergency access, the restriction of public access for safety and security reasons and the temporary placement of communications equipment for training and testing of military activities.

## Section 1403—Designation and Management of Lands in Utah Test and Training Range

This section would specify the Federal lands located in the Utah Test and Training Range that will be designated as wilderness. All areas designated as wilderness would be administered by the Secretary of the Interior subject to the conditions specified in the provision.

## Section 1404—Designation of Pilot Range Wilderness

This section would designate specified Federal lands in Box Elder County, Utah, as wilderness known as the Pilot Range Wilderness Area.

#### Section 1405—Designation of Cedar Mountain Wilderness

This section would designate specified Federal lands in Tooele County, Utah, as wilderness known as the Cedar Mountain Wilderness Area.

# DIVISION B-MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

#### PURPOSE

The purpose of Division B is to provide military construction authorizations and related authority in support of the military departments during fiscal year 2003. As approved by the committee, Division B would authorize appropriations in the amount of \$9,953,476,000 for construction in support of the active forces, reserve components, defense agencies, and the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) security infrastructure fund for fiscal year 2003.

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION OVERVIEW

The Department of Defense requested \$4,713,916,000 for military construction and \$4,220,133,000 for family housing for fiscal year 2003. Within the military construction request, \$545,138,000 was requested for implementation of base closure and realignment ac-

tions. The committee recommends authorization of \$5,702,368,000 for military construction, including \$545,138,000 for base closure implementation, and \$4,251,108,000 for family housing.

Although the last two military construction budgets will be the highest in several years, the committee remains concerned about the state of our military installations and facilities. Despite these two relatively robust budgets, the situation at installation after installation remains grim, with little hope for the future without a serious commitment to the modernization of the Department's infrastructure. Even the improved funding for sustainment, modernization and restoration does not provide enough resources to keep the condition of these facilities from falling further behind.

The budget request would have funded little more than beddown of new missions and some quality of life projects. While important, these projects do nothing to improve the situation for long neglected current mission requirements, which continue to suffer. To address some of this shortfall, the committee recommends an increase in new budget authority of \$1,019,427,000, and carefully reviewed the projects contained in the budget request. While the committee approved all but a few of the requested projects, the committee withheld approval of seemingly redundant projects overseas and withheld judgment on the value of additional North Atlantic Treaty Organization headquarters.

The committee is pleased that three of the four military services expect to have all military families living in adequate family housing by 2007 through the housing privatization program. The committee has recommended further enhancements to this program in the effort to hasten the day when all military families will live in decent homes.

A tabular summary of the authorizations provided in Division B for fiscal year 2003 follows:

Summary of TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVIII - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING (Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2003 Committee Recommendation
Military Construction			
Military Construction, Army	1,476,521	44,912	1,521,433
Military Construction, Navy	895,131	350,454	1,245,585
Military Construction, Air Force	644,090	285,631	929,721
Military Construction, Defense-Wide	687,535	92,361	779,896
NATO Security Investment Program	168,200	0	168,200
BRAC IV	545,138	0	545,138
Military Construction, Army National Guard	101,595	69,198	170,793
Military Construction, Air National Guard	53,473	65,793	119,266
Military Construction, Army Reserve	58,779	28,010	86,789
Military Construction, Navy Reserve	51,554	15,417	66,971
Military Construction, Air Force Reserve	31,900	36,676	68,576
Total Military Construction	4,713,916	988,452	5,702,368
Family Housing			
Family Housing Construction, Army	283,346	(4,920)	278,426
Family Housing Support, Army	1,122,274	0	1,122,274
Family Housing Construction, Navy	375,700	1,916	377,616
Family Housing Support, Navy	867,788	0	867,788
Family Housing Construction, Air Force	676,694	4,348	681,042
Family Housing Support, Air Force	844,419	29,631	874,050
Family Housing Construction, Defense-Wide	5,480	0	5,480
Family Housing Support, Defense-Wide	42,432	0	42,432
Family Housing Improvement Fund	2,000	0	2,000
Total Family Housing	4,220,133	30,975	4,251,108
Total Military Construction and Family Housing	8,934,049	1,019,427	9,953,476

360

TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

	õ	Char
	FY 2003	horization
		Aut
_		
ousands		
ollars in Th		
(Doll		

				FY 2003 Com	Committee	FY 2003
				Б	Ę	Committee
No. Location	Service/Agency/Program	Installation	Project Title	Request Rer	Request R	Recommendation
1 Alabama	Army	Anniston AD	Ammunition Containerization Doors	1,900		1,900
	Army	Fort Rucker	UH-60 Parking Aprons		3,050	3,050
3 Alabama	Army	Redstone Arsenal	Cafeteria Addition		1,950	1.950
	Air Force	Maxwell AFB	Gunter Fitness Center		8,000	8,000
5 Alabama	Army National Guard	Gadsen	Readiness Center (ADRS)	1,781	480	2,261
6 Alaska	Army	Fort Richardson	Barracks Complex - D Street Phase 2A	21,000		21,000
	Army	Fort Wainwright	Automated Shiper Field Fire Range	1,600		1,600
	Army	Fort Wainwright	Battalion Headquarters	18,000		18,000
	Army	Fort Wainwright	DERF - AT/FP Windows		910	910
10 Alaska	Army	Fort Wainwright	infantry Platoon Battle Course	24,000		24,000
	Army	Fort Wainwright	Mission Support Training Facility	50,000		50,000
12 Alaska	Army	Fort Wainwright	Vehicle Maintenance Facility	16,500		16,500
13 Alaska	Air Force	Clear AS	Upgrade Power Plant	14,400		14.400
	Air Force	Elelson AFB	Central Heat Plant Bag Houses	21,600		21.600
15 Alaska	Tri-Care Management Activity	Eimendorf AFB	Hospital Construction Claim Payment	10.400		10.400
	Army	Fort Huachuca	UAV Facilities		10,400	10,400
17 Arizona	Army	Yuma PG	Aircraft Armament Test/Maintenance Facilities		4.500	4.500
	Navy	MCAS Yuma	CALA Phase 2	3.000		3.000
19 Arizona	Air Force	Davis-Monthan AFB	Dormitory (120 RM)	9,110		9.110
	Air Force	Davis-Monthan AFB	HH-60 Apron/Taxiway & Shoulders	3.720		3.720
	Air Force	Davis-Monthan AFB	HH-60 Maintenance Hangar	6,440		6,440
	Air Force	Luke AFB	Land Acquisition		13,000	13,000
	Army	Pine Bluff Arsenal	Non-Stockpile Ammunition Demolition Shop	18,937		18,937
	Air Force	Little Rock AFB	ADAL C-130J Aircrew Fuselage Trainer	2,500		2,500
	Air Force	Little Rock AFB	C-130J Engine/Propeller Storage Facility	2,100		2,100
			C-1600 Maintenance Hangar	12,900		12,900
20 Advancer	All FOICE Air Notional Guard		C-1300 Maintenance Training Facility	8,100	007 1	8,100
	All INGLIGITAL GUARD		Heptace Uperations and irraining Facility		5.100	5,100
	Almy	Monterey ULI	Water Diversion		1,500	1,500
31 California	Navy Navv	MCI B Barstow	neplace nier Comhat Vahicla Walding	6,150	4 460	6,150
	Navv	Chine Lake NAWCWPNSDIV	Pronuision File! I ab Renlacement		10 100	10100
	Navv	MCAGCC Twentvnine Palms	Aircraft Surveillance Badar		15 100	15,100
	Navy	MCAGCC Twentynine Palms	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters	25.770		25.770
	Navy	MCAS Camp Pendleton	Aviation Armament Shop	6,610		6.610
	Navy	MCAS Camp Pendleton	DERF - Force Intelligence Operations Center		20,000	20.000
	Navy	MCAS Camp Pendleton	Fire Protection Pipeline	5.320		5,320
	Navy	MCAS Miramar	Construct Equipment Shop	5,540		5,540
	Navy	MCAS Miramar	High Explosive Magazine	3,160		3,160
	Navy	MCAS Miramar	Refueling Vehicle Shop		3,510	3,510
	Navy	MCB Camp Pendleton	AAAV Training Complex	28,810		28,810
	Navy	MCB Camp Pendleton	BEQ	23,230		23,230
	Navy	MCB Camp Pendleton	Water Treatment Plant/Reservoir	12,000		12,000
44 California	Navy	Monterey NPGS	DERF - Replace Perimeter Security Fence		2,020	2,020
-	Navy	Monterey NPGS	Educational Facility Replacement		7,000	7,000
46 California	Navy	NAS Lemoore	A/C Parking Apron	8,450		8,450

TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI- MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS (Dollars in Thousands)

		(Uollar	(Dollars in Thousands)	EV 9003	Committee	2000
Line				Authorization	Change From	Committee
No. Location	Service/Agency/Program	Installation	Project Title	Reguest	Benlest	Recommendation
Califor	Navv	NAS Lemoore	Air Passenner Terminal	A DZD	000000	B UZD
48 California	Naw	NAS Lemoore	DERF - Security Updrades		19.335	19.335
49 California	Navy	NAWC Pt Mugu San Nicholas Island	Extend A/C Parking Apron	6.760		6.760
	Navy	NS San Diego	DERF - Perimeter Security Lighting		1,580	1.580
	Navy	NS San Diego	DERF - Security Lights, Piers and Quaywalls		7,100	7,100
52 California	Navy	NS San Diego	Pier 2 Electrical Upgrade	3,530		3,530
	Air Force	Beale AFB	Global Hawk Dining Facility	3,470		3,470
54 California	Air Force	Beale AFB	Global Hawk Squadron Ops/Maint Facility	3,670		3.670
	Air Force	Beale AFB	Global Hawk Upgrade Maintenance Dock	4.600		4,600
	Air Force	Travis AFB	Operations AMU		9,600	9,600
	Air Force	Vandenberg AFB	Install Stormwater Drainage	3,100		3,100
58 California	Air Force	Vandenberg AFB	Upgrade Water Distribution System Phase 2	7,400		7.400
	Defense Logistics Agency	Travis AFB	Replace Bulk Fuel Storage Tanks	16,000		16,000
	Army National Guard	Moreno Valley	Readiness Center (ADRS)	12,044		12,044
	Army National Guard	NC San Diego	OMS (ADRS)	6,774		6.774
	Army Reserve	Vallejo	Army Reserve Center with Supporting Facilities	6,501		6,501
	Air Force Reserve	March ARB	Runway Surface		2,550	2,550
	Air Force Reserve	March ARB	Taxiway Surface		4,350	4,350
	Army	Fort Carson	Barracks Complex - Nelson Blvd Phase 2	42,000		42,000
	Amy	Fort Carson	Fire Station		4,250	4,250
	Army	Fort Carson	Truck Loading/Unloading Docks	1,100		1,100
	Army	Pueblo AD	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility Phase 4	38,000	(38,000)	0
	Air Force	AF Academy	DERF - Perimeter Fence - Cadet Area Phase I		4,200	4,200
	Air Force	AF Academy	Upgrade Academic Facility		5,200	5,200
-	Air Force	Buckley ANGB	Add/Alter SBIRS Mission Control Station	6,900		6,900
	Air Force	Buckley ANGB	Wing Headquarters/Administrative Facility	10,800		10,800
_	Air Force	Peterson AFB	DERF - AT/FP Site Improvements for HQ		2,000	2,000
-	Air Force	Peterson AFB	DERF - NORAD Battle Management Center		3,500	3,500
-	Air Force	Peterson AFB	DERF - NORAD Battle Management Center		(3,500)	(3,500)
	Air Force	Shriever AFB	DERF - Construct Visitors Center/Entry Control Gates		5,700	5,700
-	ChemDemil	Pueblo AD	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility Phase 4		38,000	38,000
	Joint Chiefs of Staff	Peterson AFB	DERF - Facility Refurbishment for new CINC for Homeland Security		25,000	25,000
-	Joint Chiefs of Staff	Peterson AFB	DERF - Facility Refurbishment for new CINC for Homeland Security		(6,600)	(009'9)
	Air Force Reserve	Shriever AFB	Consolidated Space Group Ops		6,900	6,900
-	Navy	NSB New London	DERF - Gate 1 Security Improvements		4,080	4,080
	Navy	NSB New London	DERF - Gate 7 Truck Access Security		3,800	3,800
	Army	Walter Reed Army Medical Center	DERF - Construct 3 Sentry Stations		2,550	2,550
	Army	Walter Reed Army Medical Center	DERF - Electrical Switch Station		7,400	7,400
_	Navy	Marine Corps Barracks	Site Improvements	3,700		3,700
	Navy	Naval District Washington	DERF - O Street Visitor Process Center		2,690	2,690
	Air Force	Bolling AFB	DERF - Add Perimeter Wall, North Gate to Navy Line		1,500	1,500
	Air Force	Bolling AFB	DERF - SFS Operations Facility		3,500	3,500
	Air Force	Bolling AFB	Transfer to H.R. 4547		(3,500)	(3,500)
	Defense Intelligence Agency	Bolling AFB	DIA Analysis Center	121,958		121,958
91 District of Columbia	Washington Headquarters Services	WHS - DC	Parking Garage	2,500	(2,500)	0

362

TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS (Dollars in Thousands)

		(De	(Dollars in Thousands)			
l is a				FY 2003	Committee	FY 2003
Line No Looston	Comjos (A see s. (Damese			Authorization	Authorization Change From	Committee
ĩ				request	request	Hecommendation
	Navy	Mayport	DEHF - Perimeter Security Upgrades		1,900	1,900
	Navy	NAS Jacksonville	Aviation Support Equip Main Trng Fac		6,572	6,572
	Navy	NAS Jacksonville	DERF - Birmingham Gate Security Improvements		1,890	1,890
95 Florida	Navy	NAS Jacksonville	DERF - Commercial Gate Security Improvements		2.680	2,680
	Navy -	NAS Jacksonville	DERF Yorktown Gate Security Improvements		2,200	2,200
	Navy	NAS Pensacola	Approach Lights Runway 7L	066		066
	Navy	NAVSCLEODDET Eglin	Advanced Explosive Ordinance Disposal Training Facility	6.350		6.350
	Navy	Whiting Field FL NAS	Aviation Maintenance Officer School		1.780	1 780
	Air Force	Ealin AFB	DERF - Barriers & Intrusion Detection System		1 050	1 050
	Air Force	Folin AFB	DERF - Security Fencing		3 200	002'1
	Air Force	Hurburt Field	DERF - Force Protection Phase 1. HO AFSOC		3.500	3 500
	Air Force	Hurlburt Field	DERF - Force Protection Phase 2, HQ 16 SOW		2.500	2.500
	Air Force	Hurlburt Field	Dormitory (144 RM)	000'6		6,000
105 Florida	Air Force	MacDill AFB	Air Traffic Control Tower / Fire Rescue		14,000	14,000
	Air Force	MacDill AFB	DERF - Construct AT/FP Gates		7,000	7,000
	Air Force	Tyndall AFB	Fitness Center		8,100	8,100
	Special Operations Command	Hurlburt Field	SOF ADAL Command & Operations Facility	000'6		9,000
	Special Operations Command	Hurlburt Field	SOF Alter Facilities for CV-22	2,100		2,100
110 Florida	Army National Guard	Camp Blanding	Combined Support Maintenance Shop Phase 1		6,000	6,000
111 Florida	Air Force Reserve	Homestead ARS	DERF - Installation Perimeter Fence		1,100	1,100
112 Florida	Air Force Reserve	Homestead ARS	Services Complex		2,500	2,500
113 Georgia	Army	Fort Benning	Barracks Complex Main Post Phase 1	45,000		45,000
114 Georgia	Army	Fort Benning	DERF - AT/FP Access Control Points		8,000	8,000
115 Georgia	Army	Fort Benning	Urban Assault Course	3,250		3,250
116 Georgia	Army	Fort Stewart/Hunter AAF	Sabar Hall Complex	26,000		26,000
117 Georgia	Navy	Kings Bay NSB	DERF - Stimson Gate Security Improvement		1,580	1,580
118 Georgia	Air Force	Robins AFB	DERF - Base Entrance/Visitors Facility		5,400	5,400
119 Georgia	Air National Guard	Savannah	DERF - Entrance Road		1,450	1,450
120 Georgia	Navy Reserve	Atlanta	BEQ	6,730		6,730
121 Georgia	Navy Reserve	NRC Savannah	Marine Reserve Center	5,900		5,900
122 Georgia	Air Force Reserve	Dobins	DERF - Construct Visitors Center		2,000	2,000
	Army	Schofield Barracks	Barracks Complex - Capron Ave Phase	49,000		49,000
	Årmy	Schofield Barracks	Barracks Complex - Quad C	42,000		42,000
	Navy	NS Pearl Harbor	DERF - Security Lighting		4,200	4,200
	Navy	NS Pearl Harbor	Recapitalize Bravo Docks	10,490		10,490
127 Hawaii	Navy	NSY Pearl Harbor	Waterfront/Mech Shop (Bravo Pier)		18,500	18,500
128 Hawaii	Air Force	Hickam AFB	DERF - Install Flightline Security Fencing & Gates, Phase 0		1,350	1,350
129 Hawaii	Ballistic Missile Defense Organization	Kauai	THAAD Test Facility	23,400		23,400
130 Hawaii	Tri-Care Management Activity	Hickam AFB	Life Skills Clinic Replacement	2,700		2.700
	Army National Guard	NAS Barbers Point	Military Complex Phase	22,473		22,473
132 Illinois	Navy	NTC Great Lakes	DERF - Commercial Truck Inspection Facility		1,620	1,620
133 Illinois	Navy	NTC Great Lakes	DERF - Intrusion Resistant Gates		6,470	6,470
134 Illinois	Navy	NTC Great Lakes	Recruit Barracks	43,360		43,360
135 Illinois	Navy	<ul> <li>NTC Great Lakes</li> </ul>	Recruit Barracks	41.740		41.740
	Air National Guard	183rd Fighter Wing. Springfield	Composite Support Facility		A ROD	8 ROD

FITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

THEES AN THROUGH ANY - WILLIAM CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILT ROUGHNG AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPT	(Dollars in Thousands)
---	------------------------

0				Authorization	Authorization Change From	Committee
No. Location	Service/Agency/Program	Installation	Project Title	Request	Request	Recommendation
137 Indiana	Army	Newport AAP	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility Phase 5	61,494	(61,494)	0
138 Indiana	Navy	Crane NAVSURFWARCENDIV	Electrochemistry Eng Facility		11,610	11,610
139 Indiana	ChemDemil	Newport AAP	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility Phase 5		61,494	61,494
140 Indiana	Army National Guard	Camp Atterbury	Battle Simulation Center		8,327	8,327
141 Iowa	Army National Guard	Boone	Readiness Center		4,252	4,252
142 Iowa	Air National Guard	Sioux Gateway Airport	KC-135 Upgrade Aircraft Maintenance Hangar & Shop	006'9		6,900
143 Iowa	Air National Guard	Sioux Gateway Airport	KC-135 Upgrade Shops & Operations Facility	4,800		4,800
144 Kansas	Army	Fort Leavenworth	DERF - Access Control Points		3,150	3,150
45 Kansas	Army	Fort Riley	Barracks Complex - Infantry Drive East	41,000		41,000
146 Kansas	Army	Fort Riley	DERF - Access Control Gates		6,000	6,000
147 Kansas	Army	Fort Riley	Deployment Facility, Ramp Expansion		4,950	4,950
148 Kansas	Air Force	McConnell AFB	Corrosion Control Paint Facility		7,500	7,500
149 Kansas	Army National Guard	Fort Riley	OMS ADD/ALT (ADRS)	770		770
0 Kansas	Army National Guard	Kansas City	OMS, ADD/ALT (ADRS)	177		177
1 Kansas	Army National Guard	Topeka	Armed Forces Reserve Center	14,607		14,607
2 Kentucky	Army	Blue Grass AD	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility Phase 3	10.300	(10.300)	0
3 Kentucky	Army	Blue Grass AD	Ammunition Demilitarization Support Phase 3	8,300	(8,300)	0
	Army	Blue Grass AD	Railyard Infrastructure	5,500		5,500
5 Kentucky	Army	Fort Campbell	Barracks Complex - Range Road Phase 1	49,000		49,000
	Army	Fort Campbell	Purchase Easement Runway Approach & Departure		7,300	7,300
	ChemDemil	Blue Grass AD	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility Phase 3		10,300	10,300
158 Kentucky	ChemDemil	Blue Grass AD	Ammunition Demilitarization Support Phase 3		8,300	8,300
	Army	Fort Polk	Digital Multipurpose Training Range	31,000		31,000
	Air Force	Barksdale AFB	Dormitory (168 RM)	10,900		10,900
	Defense Logistics Agency	NAS New Orleans	Replace Bulk Fuel Storage Tanks	9,500		9,500
	Navy Reserve	NAS JRB New Orleans	AFRC (Phase III)		7,400	7,400
	Navy Reserve	NAS JRB New Orleans	DERF - Perimeter Road and Fencing		1,510	1,510
	Navy Reserve	NAS JRB New Orleans	Engine Maintenance Shop Addition	1,500		1,500
	Navy Reserve	NAS JRB New Orleans	Hazardous Material Storage Facility	2,690		2,690
	Navy Reserve	NAS JRB New Orleans	Runway/Faxiway Extension With Land	14,600		14,600
7 Maine	Navy	NSY Kittery-Portsmouth	AT/FP improvements	11,600		11,600
	Navy	NSY Kittery-Portsmouth	DERF - Gate 1 Security Improvements		3,600	3,600
9 Maryland	Army	Aberdeen Proving Ground	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility Phase 5	30,600	(30,600)	0
	Army	Fort Detrick	Barracks Complex - Porter Street South	16,000		16,000
1 Maryiand	Army	Fort Detrick	Community Support Center	3,700		3,700
	Army	Fort Detrick	Renovate & Expand Fire Station		2,800	2,800
	Navy	NAF Andrews AFB	BEQ Replacement	9,680		9,680
	Navy	U.S. Naval Academy	Ethical Center Classroom		1,800	1,800
175 Maryland	Air Force	Andrews	DERF - Construct AT/FP POV Gates		4,100	4,100
	Air Force	Andrews	DERF - Construct AT/FP Remaining Gates		5,500	5,500
177 Maryland	ChemDemil	Aberdeen Proving Ground	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility Phase 5		30,600	30,600
178 Maryland	National Security Agency	Fort Meade	FANX PSAT	1,896		1,896
179 Maryland	National Security Agency	Fort Meade	OPS 1 Stair Towers	2,588		2,588
180 Maryland	Army National Guard	St. Mary's County	Readiness Center		6.740	6.740

364

**OPRIATIONS** 

TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPRO (Dollars in Thousands)	
---	--

FY 2003

Committee

FY 2003

the Innation						
				Logundar	Ladues	
82 Massachusetts	Air Force	Hanscom AFB	Add/Alter Filness Center	7,700		7,700
183 Massachusetts	Air Force Reserve	Westover ARB	Security Forces		3,850	3,850
184 Michigan	Army National Guard	Shiawasse County	Readiness Center		4,700	4,700
185 Michigan	Air National Guard	Battle Creek	Vehicle Maintenance Shop		3,800	3,800
186 Michigan	Air National Guard	Selfridae	DERF - Add Perimeter Fencing		1.000	1.000
	Navy Reserve	Dututh	DERF - Harden Reserve Building		1.450	1.450
188 Minnesota	Air Force Reserve	Minn-St Paul ARS	Lodding Facility Phase 4		6.300	6,300
	Navy	NAS Meridian	Control Tower (Joe Williams Field)		2,850	2,850
	Navy	NCTC Gulfport	Communication/Inst Facility	5.460		5.460
191 Mississippi	Navy	NS Pascagoula	BEQ Shipboard Sailors Ashore Phase I		12,000	12,000
192 Mississippi	Navy	NS Pascagoula	New Channel	4.160		4.160
193 Mississippi	Air Force	Keesler AFB	Student Dormitory (200 RM)	22,000		22,000
194 Mississippi	Air National Guard	Jackson IAP	Construct C-17 Maintenance Training Facility	4,100		4,100
195 Mississippi	Air National Guard	Jackson iAP	Jackson C-17 Replace Fuei Cell Hangar/Shops	25,000		25,000
196 Missouri	Army	Fort Leonard Wood	Tactical Vehicle Simulator Building	15,500		15,500
197 Montana	Navy Reserve	NHC Billings	Reserve Center w/Land	5,905		5,905
	Army National Guard	Lincoln	Readiness Center ADD/ALT (ADRS)	757		757
199 Nebraska	Army National Guard	Norfolk Nebraska	Readiness Center ADD/ALT (ADRS)	3,666		3,666
200 Nebraska	Army Reserve	Lincoln	Army Reserve Center with Supporting Facilities	8,732		8,735
201 Nevada	Navy	NAS Fallon	Weapons Magazine Storage Facility		4,010	4,010
	Air Force	Nellis AFB	DERF - Explosive Ordnance Disposal Facility		6,900	6,900
	Air Force	Nellis AFB	Dormitory (144 RM)	12,280		12,280
	Air Force	Nellis AFB	F-22 Munitions Maintenance Facility	3,170		3,170
	Air Force	Nellis AFB	Land Acquisition	15,000		15,000
	Army	Picatinny Arsenal	High Energy Propeltant Formutation Facility Phase 2		7,500	7,500
	Navy	Lakehurst NAWC ACFTDIV	Combined Structure / Aircraft Fire Station		5,200	5,200
	Navy	NWS Earle	DERF - Waterfront Main Gate Security Improvements		5,600	5,600
	Air Force	McGuire AFB	C-17 Flightline Operations Facilities	24,631		24,631
210 New Jersey	Army Reserve	Fort Dix	Vehicle / Pallet Facility		4,012	4,015
	Army Reserve	Fort Dix	Ramp Modifications		10,000	10,000
212 New Mexico	Air Force	Cannon	DERF - Replace Security Forces Operations Facility		4,650	4,65
	Air Force	Holloman AFB	Survival Equipment Shop		4,650	4,65
214 New Mexico	Air Force	Kirtland AFB	DERF - Relocate Truman Gate		2,500	2,50
215 New Mexico	Air Force	Kirtland AFB	DERF - Upgrade Kirtland Underground Munitions Storage Complex Security		11,000	11,00
	Air Force	Kirtland AFB	Dormitory for Visiting Airmen and Officers		8,400	8,40
	Army	Fort Drum	One plus One DIVARTY Barracks		8,000	8,00
	Army	Fort Drum	Parallel Taxiway		8,800	8,80
_	Army	Fort Drum	Shoot House	1,500		1,500
_	DoD Dependents Schools	USMA West Point	West Point ES Classroom Addition	3,898		3,896
_	DoD Dependents Schools	West Point	DERF - Additional Force Protection for a Classroom Addition		449	449
222 New York	Air National Guard	Hancock Field	Upgrade Force Protection and Infrastructure		8,600	8,600
_	Air National Guard	Syracuse	Mobility Processing Facility		2,300	2,300
224 New York	Army Reserve	Oswego	Army Reserve Center with Supporting Facilities	5,492		5,49
225 New York	Navy Reserve	I & I Staff Syracuse	Combat Vehicle Maintenance	2,030		2,030

TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS (DOIBRE IN ThOUSANDS)

	111663 4411		נוונבט אא ההסטטה אאיר היוונוראה טטאי הטטווטא איט ראוונר הטטאוש אט הטהטהנא נוטא טר ארראטרווא ווטאס (Doilars in Thousands)		ć	
ani t				Authorization	Authorization Change From	PT 2003
No. Location	Service/Agency/Program	Installation	Project Title	Request		Recommendation
227 North Carolina	Amy	Fort Bragg	Barracks Complex - Butner Road Phase 3	50,000		50,000
228 North Carofina	Army	Fort Bragg	Consolidated Fuel Facility	17,500		17,500
229 North Carolina	Army	Fort Bragg	DERF - Force Protection Plan, PH II		18,000	18,000
230 North Carolina	Amy	Fort Bragg	Soldier Support Center		9,400	9,400
231 North Carolina	Navy	MCAS Cherry Point	Ordnance Magazines		4,430	4,430
232 North Carolina	Navy	MCAS Cherry Point	T-56 Test Cell	6,040		6,040
233 North Carolina	Navy	MCAS New River	Property Control Facility	6,920		6,920
234 North Carolina	Navy	MCB Camp Lejeune	Fitness Center Addition	5,370		5,370
235 North Carolina	Navy	MCB Camp Lejeune	Land Acquisition		4,200	4,200
236 North Carolina	Air Force	Pope AFB	Dormitory (144 RM)	9,700		9,700
237 North Carolina	DoD Dependents Schools	Camp Lejeune	DERF - Additional Force Protection for a Classroom Addition		1,254	1,254
238 North Carolina	DoD Dependents Schools	Fort Bragg	Butner ES Classroom Addition	006		906
239 North Carolina	DoD Dependents Schools	Fort Bragg	DERF - Additional Force Protection for a Classroom Addition		104	104
240 North Carolina	DoD Dependents Schools	Fort Bragg	DERF - Additional Force Protection for a Classroom Addition		107	107
241 North Carolina	DoD Dependents Schools	Fort Bragg	McNair ES Classroom Addition	925		925
242 North Carolina	DoD Dependents Schools	MCB Camp Lejeune	Berkeley Manor ES Replace School	10,884		10,884
243 North Carolina	Special Operations Command	Fort Bragg	SOF Renovate Bryant Hall	11,600		11,600
244 North Carolina	Special Operations Command	Fort Bragg	SOF Weapons Training Facility	19,200		19,200
245 North Carolina	Army National Guard	Elizabeth City	Motor Vehicle Storage Compound (ADRS)	208		208
246 North Carolina	Air National Guard	Charlotte	DERF - Relocate Commercial Road		2,500	2,500
247 North Carolina	Army Reserve	Fort Bragg	Army Reserve Center - Addition and Alteration	1,624		1,624
248 Ohio	Air Force	Wright-Patterson AFB	AFIT Upgrade		13,000	13,000
249 Ohio	Air Force	Wright-Patterson AFB	DERF - Fully Contained Small Arms Range Complex		12,000	12,000
250 Ohio	Air Force	Wright-Patterson AFB	Dormitory (144 RM)	10,400	(10,400)	0
251 Ohio	Defense Logistics Agency	Columbus	Physical Fitness Facility	5,021		5,021
252 Ohio	Air National Guard	179th Airlift Wing in Mansfield	Replace Vehicle Maintenance Complex		3,500	3,500
253 Ohio	Air National Guard	Toledo Express	Replace Logistics Complex		6,900	6,900
254 Ohio	Air National Guard	Rickenbacker ANGB	Fire Station		6,000	6,000
255 Ohio	Air National Guard	Springfield ANGB	Fire Station		6,100	6,100
256 Ohio	Army Reserve	North Canton	Reserve Center		11,998	11,998
257 Ohio	Navy Reserve	Columbus	DERF - Harden Reserve Building		1,040	1,040
258 Ohio	Air Force Reserve	Youngstown	DERF - Construct Visitors Center		2,500	2,500
259 Oklahoma	Air Force	Tinker AFB	Consolidated Integrated Support Facility		7,500	7,500
260 Oregon	Air National Guard	Klamath Fails	DERF - Replace Perimeter Fencing		1.000	1,000
261 Oregon	Air Force Reserve	Portiand IAP	Alter Maintenance Hangar, Bldg 310	525		525
262 Oregon	Air Force Reserve	Portland IAP	Alter Maintenance Shops	2,650		2,650
263 Oregon	Air Force Reserve	Portland IAP	Apron/Hydrant System/Blast Fence Phase 1	6.400		8,400
264 Oregon	Air Force Reserve	Portland IAP	Consolidated Training Facility Phase 1	1,609		1,609
265 Pennsylvania	Army	Letterkenny Army Depot	Ammunition Road Infrastructure	1,550		1,550
286 Pennsylvania	Army National Guard	Connellsville	Readiness Center Phase 2		1,700	1,700
267 Pennsylvania	Army National Guard	Johnstown	Runway Improvements		11,000	11,000
268 Pennsylvania	Air National Guard	Ft. Indiantown Gap	DERF - Construct Base Entry and Fencing		2,300	2,300
269 Rhode Island	Navy	Newport NAVSTA	Child Development Center		6,870	6,870
270 South Carolina	Army	Fort Jackson	Basic Combat Training Complex Phase 2	39,000		39,000
271 South Carolina	Navy	Charleston	DERF - Security Consolidation		5,740	5,740

TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS (Dollars in Thousands)

		Ō)	(Dollars in Thousands)	FY 2003	Committee	FY 2003
	:			Authorization	Authorization Change From	Committee
No. Location	Service/Agency/Program	Installation	Project Little	Hedresi	nequest	Hecommendation
272 South Carolina	Navy	MCAS Beaufort	A/C Acoustical Enclosure	13,700		13,700
273 South Carolina	Navy	MCRD Parris Island	All Weather Training Facility	7,410		/,410
274 South Carolina	Navy	MCRD Parris Island	Recruit Training Facility Addition	3,080		3,080
275 South Carolina	Air Force	Shaw AFB	New Fighter Squadron Maint Facility		6,800	6,800
276 South Carolina	DoD Dependents Schools	Fort Jackson	DERF - Additional Force Protection for a Classroom Addition		159	159
277 South Carolina	DoD Dependents Schools	Fort Jackson	DERF - Additional Force Protection for a Classroom Addition		100	100
278 South Carolina	DoD Dependents Schools	Fort Jackson	Hood St Elementary School Classroom Addition	865		865
279 South Carolina	DoD Dependents Schools	Fort Jackson	Pierce Terrace Elementary School Classroom Addition	1,382		1,382
280 South Carolina	Air Force Reserve	Charleston AFB	Medical Training Addition		2,150	2,150
281 Texas	Army	Fort Bliss	Upgrade Water Systems		5,200	5,200
	Armv	Fort Hood	Barracks Complex - Clear Creek Road	45,000		45,000
283 Texas	Army	Fort Hood	Brigade / Battalion Command and Control		16,000	16,000
284 Texas	Army	Fort Hood	DERF - Access Control Building		24,000	24,000
285 Texas	Navy	NAS Corpus Christi	Public Safety Facility		7,150	7,150
286 Texas	Navy	NAS JRB Fort Worth	AIMD Power Plant Shop		8.850	8,850
287 Texas	Navy	NAS Kingsville	Upgrade Airfield Lighting/Controls	6,210		6,210
288 Texas	Air Force	Camp Bullis	DERF - Military Operations in Urban Terrain		(000'9)	(6,000)
289 Texas	Air Force	Camp Bullis	DERF - VQ at Camp Bullis		(4,000)	(4,000)
290 Texas	Air Force	Lackland AFB	DERF - Military Operations in Urban Terrain		13,000	13,000
291 Texas	Air Force	Lackland AFB	DERF - Military Operations in Urban Terrain		6,000	6,000
292 Texas	Air Force	Lackland AFB	DERF - VQ at Camp Bullis		4,000	4,000
293 Texas	Air Force	Lackland AFB	Student Dormitory (200 RM)	18,500		18,500
294 Texas	Air Force	Lackland AFB	Training Annex, Fitness Center		5,800	5,800
295 Texas	Air Force	Laughlin AFB	Consolidated Wing Support Facility		8,000	8,000
296 Texas	Air Force	Sheppard AFB	Airfield Ops Complex		8,000	8,000
297 Texas	Air Force	Sheppard AFB	Dormitory (144 RM)	10,000		10,000
298 Texas	Air Force	Sheppard AFB	ENUPT Flight Simulator	6,000		6,000
299 Texas	Army Reserve	Grand Prairie	Army Reserve Center with Supporting Facilities	9,113		9,113
300 Texas	Navy Reserve	Fort Worth	DERF - Bass Pass ID/Visitor Center		1,500	1,500
301 Texas	Navy Reserve	NMCRC Waco	Vehicle Maintenance & Supply Storage	4,140		4,140
302 Utah	Air Force	Hill AFB	Depot Maintenance Hangar Phase 1B		14,500	14,500
303 Virginia	Army	Fort Lee	Fire and Emergency Services Center		5,200	5,200
304 Virginia	Navy	Dam Neck	Special Operations Building		3,900	3,900
305 Virginia	Navy	Little Creek	DERF - New Truck Access Gate		4,400	4,400
306 Virginia	Navy	Little Creek	DERF - Operational Control Tower		5,370	5,370
307 Virginia	Navy	MCCDC Quantico	Armory/Fleet Weapons Support Facility	4,234		4,234
308 Virginia	Navy	MCCDC Quantico	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (OCS)	10,280		10,280
309 Virginia	Navy	MCCDC Quantico	Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (SNCO)	5,040		5,040
310 Virginia	Navy	MCCDC Quantico	Candidate Instruction Facility		5,310	5,310
311 Virginia	Navy	NAS Norfolk	A/C Maintenance Facilities	34,450		34,450
312 Virginia	Navy	NAS Nortolk	Aircraft Recap	11,290		11,290
313 Virginia	Navy	NAS Oceana	Airfield Approach Lighting	2,000		2,000
314 Virginia	Navy	NAS Oceana	DERF - Airfield Perimeter Security		10,500	10,500
315 Virginia	Navy	NAS Oceana	DERF - Post 1 Security Improvements		3,990	3,990
316 Virginia	Navy	Norfolk Naval Support Activity	DERF - Gate 5 Security Improvements		2,260	2,260

TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS (DOIlars in Thousands)

				FY 2003	Committee	FY 2003
				Authorization Change From	Change From	Committee
No. Location	Service/Agency/Program	Installation	Project Title	Request	Request	Recommendation
317 Virginia	Navy	NS Nortolk	BEQ Shipboard Ashore (INCR I)	37,310		37.310
318 Virginia	Navy	NS Nortotk	DERF - Gate 2 Security Improvements		4,400	4,400
319 Virginia	Navy	NS Nortolk	DERF - Gate 3A Security Improvements		4,005	4,005
320 Virginia	Navy	NS Nortolk	DERF - Main Gate Combatting Terrorism Improvements		2,200	2,200
321 Virginia	Navy	NS Norfolk	Pier Replacement (INCR II)	33.520		33,520
322 Virginia	Navy	NS Nortox	Shoreline Security Fencing	2,030		2,030
323 Virginia	Navy	NS Norfolk	Upgrade Electrical Distribution	25,180		25,160
324 Virginia	Navy	NSWC Dahigren	DERF - Chemical/Biological Wartare Detachment Facility		6,600	6,600
325 Virginia	Navy	NSWC Dahlgren	Theater Warfare Integration Center	9,230		9,230
326 Virginia	Navy	NSY Norfolk, Portsmouth	AT/FP improvements	19,660		19,660
327 Virginia	Navy	NWS Yorktown	BEQ Replacement	15,020		15.020
328 Virginia	Air Force	Langley AFB	DERF - Air Combat Command Ops Support Center		24.000	24,000
329 Virginia	Air Force	Langley AFB	Dormitory (96 RM)	8.320		8.320
330 Virginia	Air Force	Langley AFB	F-22 Flight Simulator	8.120		8.120
331 Virginia	Air Force	Langley AFB	F-22 Infrastructure and Utilities	10.700		10.700
332 Virginia	Air Force	Langley AFB	F-22 Squadron Ops/AMU	20,800		20.800
333 Virginia	Defense Logistics Agency	Defense Dist Depot - DDNV	Renovate Operations Center	5,500		5,500
334 Virginia	Defense Threat Reduction Agency	Fort Betvoir	Defense Threat Reduction Center	50,188		50,188
335 Virginia	DoD Dependents Schools	MCB Quantico	Ashurst ES Classroom Addition	1.272		1.272
336 Virginia	DoD Dependents Schools	MCB Quantico	DERF - Additional Force Protection for a Classroom Addition		146	146
337 Virginia	Special Operations Command	NAB Little Creek	SOF Operations Trainer	4,400		4,400
338 Virginia	Special Operations Command	NAB Little Creek	SOF SEAL Team Operations Facility	006'6		006.6
339 Virginia	Washington Headquarters Services	Arlington	Land Acquisition	18,000	(18,000)	0
340 Virginia	Army Reserve	Fort Story	Army Reserve Center with Supporting Facilities	12,385		12.385
341 Virginia	Navy Reserve	NMCRC Norfolk	Reserve Center Addition	4,770		4.770
342 Washington	Army	Fort Lewis	Barracks Complex - 17th & B Street Phase 2	50,000		50,000
343 Washington	Army	Fort Lewis	Battle Simulation Center	24,000		24,000
344 Washington	Army	Fort Lewis	Combined Arms Collective Training Facility	29,800		29,800
345 Washington	Navy	Keyport NUWC	Center for Intg USW Systems Dependability		10,500	10,500
346 Washington	Navy	NAS Whidbey Island	A/C Direct Refueling Facility	9,180		9,180
347 Washington	Navy	NAS Whidbey Island	DERF - Ault Field Security Fencing		8,400	8,400
348 Washington	Navy	NAVMAG Indian Island	Ammo Wharf Improvements	4,030		4,030
349 Washington	Navy	NS Bremerton	BEQ Shipboard Ashore	35,120		35,120
350 Washington	Navy	NS Bremerton	DERF - Ship Movements Office with Control Towor		2,200	2,200
351 Washington	Navy	NS Brementon	Waterfront Revitalization	8,550		8,550
352 Washington	Navy	NSB Bangor	DERF - Small Arms Training Center		16,410	16,410
353 Washington	Navy	NSB Bangor	Relocate Encum Waterfront Shops	5.900		5,900
354 Washington	Navy	Puget Sound NSY Bremerton	AT/FP Improvements	21,670		21,670
355 Washington	Navy	Puget Sound NSY Bremerton	Industrial Waste Treatment Facility	11,390		11,390
356 Washington	Navy	Puget Sound NSY Bremerton	Waterfront Support Facility	21,072		21,072
357 Washington	Navy	Puget Sound NSY Bremerton	ATFP Parking		3,000	3,000
358 Washington	Navy	SWFPAC Bangor	Missiles Spares Storage Bidg	7,340		7,340
359 Washington	Army National Guard	Spokane	Readiness Center Phase 1		8,800	8,800
	Army National Guard	ARNG - Summersville	Army National Guard Readiness Center	6,800		6,800
361 Wisconsin	Army National Guard	Camp Willams	United States Property and Fisual Office	6,045		6,045

368

TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS (Doilars in Thousands)

No. Location	Service/Agency/Program	Installation	Protect Title	Authorization Reginest	Authorization Change From Remest Remusst	Committee Recommendation
2 Wisconsin	Army National Guard	Madioon	Linited States Dependenced Elevel Office	avoa		
				C+7'C		0.42.0
		FOR MCCOY	Battalion Ulning Facility	5,117		5,117
	Navy Reserve	Cheyenne	DERF - Harden Reserve Building		1,240	1,240
	Navy	NSA Bahrain	Installation Service Support Center	25,970		25,970
366 Belgium	Army	SHAPE	Barracks Complex - Chievres	13,600		13,600
367 Belgium	DoD Dependents Schools	SHAPE	DERF - Additional Force Protection for a Classroom Addition		163	163
368 Belgium	DoD Dependents Schools	SHAPE	SHAPE ES Classroom Addition	1.410		1.410
369 Cuba	Naw	Guantanamo Bav	DERF - Security Honrades		A 28D	086.1
0 Cuba	Navy	Grantanamo Bav			14 0001	
274 Diodo Carola	Manuel	Diana Camin MAVELIDEAC		010 0	(002/+)	(4,200)
	Act	Diago darcia NAVGUTTAN	riysical headiness center	8,370		8,370
3/2 Diego Garcia	Navy	Diego Garcia NAVSUPPFAC	Waterfront Ops Spt Facility	2,720		2,720
3 Diego Garcia	Air Force	Diego Garcia	B-2 Aircraft Parking Apron, Phase 1	17.100		17.100
374 Germany	Amy	ASG Bamberg	Barracks Complex - Warner Bldg 7002	10,200		10.200
5 Germany	Army	ASG Bamberg	Child Development Center	7,000		2 000
6 Germany	Armv	Camobell Barracks	DERF - AT/PP Riset Mitigation Windows		000 8	0000
7 Germany	Armv	Coleman Barracks	DERE - Honrada Anness Control Facility/Parimeter Sacurity		1 250	00010
378 Germany	Armv	Darmetach	Machined Decord Line Decord Automated	003 5	2005	
a Germanu	Armv	Grafanywahr	Reports Country Barrooks and a submission			000'5
	(					002-01
	A I I I I	Grarenwoenr	Brigade Complex-Site Preparation	10,000		10,000
	Army	Grafenwoehr	Brigade Complex-Utilities Infrastructure	46,666		46,666
2 Germany	Army	Landstuhi	DERF - Upgrade Access Control Facilities		1,100	1.100
383 Germany	Army	Landstuhl	DERF - Upgrade Access Control/Perimeter Security		1,300	1,300
	Army	Mannheim	Barracks Complex - Coleman Bldg 18	42,000		42,000
5 Germany	Army	Schweinfurt	Central Vehicle Wash Facility	2,000		2,000
386 Germany	Air Force	Ramstein AFB	Combined Fleet Service/In-Flight Kitchen	7,500		7.500
387 Germany	Air Force	Ramstein AFB	DERF - Construct Large Vehicle Entry Inspection Station		1.600	1.600
388 Germany	Air Force	Ramstein AFB	KMC Center Stupport	21.300		21,300
389 Germany	Air Force	Ramstein AFB	Passenger Terminal Annex	17 683		17 683
390 Germany	Air Force	Ramstein AFB	Ramo 1. Phase t	23 700		002.86
Germany	DoD Dependents Schools	Kaiserslautern	Bamstein FS Classroom Addition	RFR		95.9
Germany	DoD Danandants Schools	Barnetain AFR	DEDE - Additional Cores Distoction for a Classroom Addition		ę	
303 Germany	Doll Danandarte Schoole	Spanodehtom AR	DEDE - Additional Code Fractional At a Classification DEDE - Additional Code Distribution for a Classification		207	66 F
			DELTS: - MARINUMAL FUICE FUICECHUUTUM ANASSIOUTH ADMINIM		501	501
				889		894
cernany	In-Care wanagement Activity	spangaantom AB	Hospital Heplacement	39,629		39,629
	Navy	NSA JHC Larissa	BQ and Support Facility	14,800		14,800
	Navy	Naval Forces Marianas	BEQ Replacement	13,400		13,400
398 Guam	Air Force	Andersen AFB	DERF - Replace Off-Base Water Supply System		15.000	15.000
9 Guam	Air Force	Andersen AFB	Fitness Center	16,000		16,000
400 Guam	Defense Logistics Agency	Andersen AFB	Replace Hydrant Fuel System, Phase 4	17.586		17.586
l Guam	Defense Logistics Agency	Naval Forces Marianas	Marine Loading Arms	6.000		6.000
402 Guam	Army National Guard	Barrigada	Readiness Center		6.968	6.968
403 Iceland	Navy	NAS Kellavik	Combined Dining Facility	14.920		14.920
404 Italy	Army	Vicenza	Barracks Compex - Camp Ederle	31.000		31 000
405 Italy	Army	Vicenza	Child Development Canter	3 700		3 200
ADE Reis	Marea	ALA C. Classicalia		22.12	000	10010

TITLES XXI THHOUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS (DOMARS IN THOUSEN)

		(Doll	(Dollars in Thousands)	EV SUN3	Committee	EV 2003
i ine				Authorization	Authorization Channe Erom	Committee
No Looker	Conden/Accession	horiollation	Destruct Their	Destrouted		
				Hednesi		Hecontriendation
40/ Italy	Navy	NAS Sigonella	UEHF - Off Base Access Hoad Improvements		(11,300)	(11,300)
408 Italy	Navy	NAS Sigonelia	Parking Garage & Penmeter Security Upgrades	19,560		19,560
409 Italy	Navy	NAS Sigonella	QOL Support	33,530		33,530
410 Italy	Air Force	Aviano	DERF - Consolidate Area A-1 & Area A-2 for Force Protection		5,000	5,000
411 Italy	Air Force	Aviano	DERF - Large Vehicle Inspection Station		1,600	1,600
412 Italy	DoD Dependents Schools	Vicenza	DERF - Additional Force Protection for a Classroom Addition		219	219
413 Italy	DoD Dependents Schools	Vicenza	Vicenza ES Classroom Addition	1,898		1,696
414 Italy	Tri-Care Management Activity	NSA Naples	Medical/Dental Facility Replacement	41,449		41,449
415 Japan	Air Force	Kadena	DERF - Visitor & Traffic Control Facilities & Security Fencing		6.000	6.000
416 Japan	Defense Logistics Agency	Yokota AB	Bulk Fuel Storage Tanks	23.000		23.000
417 Korea	Armv	Camo Carroli	Barracks Complex	20.000		20.000
	Army	Camp Castle	Dhyseiral Ethnice Training Center	6.900		6 000
10 Koros	Armu					000'0
413 VOI64	Alliy		Darracks complex - Camp menty (Camp Werker)	10/2/01		002'01
420 Korea	Army	Camp Hovey	Barracks Complex	25,000		25,000
	Army	Camp Humphreys	Barracks Complex	36,000		36,000
422 Korea	Army	Garrison Yong	DERF - C4I Hardening, CP Tango		12,600	12,600
423 Korea	Army	K 16 Airfield	Barracks Complex	40,000		40,000
424 Korea	Air Force	Osan AB	Dormitory (156 RM)	15,100		15,100
425 Korea	DoD Dependents Schools	Yongsan Garrison	DERF - Additional Force Protection for a Classroom Addition		3.274	3.274
426 Korea	DoD Dependents Schools	Seout	Seoul MS Replacement	28.409		28.409
427 Portugal	Defense Logistics Agency	Lajes Field, Azores	Replace Hydrant Fuel System	19,000	(19.000)	a
428 Portugal	DoD Dependents Schools	Azores	DERF - Additional Force Protection for a Classroom Addition		123	123
429 Portugal	DoD Dependents Schools	Laies Field, Azores	Lales ES Classmorn Addition	1.069	!	1.069
430 Qatar	Armv	Oatar	Unaccompanied Personnel Housing	R RUD		8.600
431 Oatar	Armv	Oatar	Transfer to H B 4547		(A RUO)	(B. BUU)
432 Snain	Navv	foint HO CMD Madrid	NEX/MMR Facility	008 0		
433 Snain		NS Bota	DERE . Society Complex	F,000	1007 01	10 200
	Movies		T		10,700	10/101
	Air Eorre		transfer to m.m. 404/ Aircraft Barbion Acros Bhoon 4	010 10	(101/191)	(18./00)
				01010		01010
436 Spain	Letense Logistics Agency	NS Hota	Hydrant Fuel System	23,400		23,400
437 Turkey		inciriik	DERF - Construct Large Vehicle Entry inspection Station		1,550	1.550
438 United Kingdom	-	RAF Fairford	B-2 Maintenance Hangar/Apron	19,000		19,000
439 United Kingdom	`	RAF Lakenheath	Add to and Atter Fitness Center	10,800		10,800
440 United Kingdom		RAF Lakenheath	Mobility Processing Facility	2,600		2,600
441 United Kingdom	Detense Logistics Agency	RAF Fairford	Replace Hydhant Fuel System	17,000		17,000
442 Wake Island	Air Force	Wake Island	Repair Airfield Pavement, Phase 1	24,900		24,900
443 Worldwide Classified	sified Air Force	Worldwide Unspecified Classified	C-17 Various Facilities	30,569		30,569
444 Worldwide Classified	sified Air Force	Worldwide Unspecified Classified	Classified MilCon Project	1,993		1.993
445 Worldwide Classified	sified Air Force	Worldwide Unspecified Classifled	Force Protection of Facilities/Utilities/Infrastructure	23,000	(23.000)	0
446 Worldwide Unspecified Army	ecified Army	Host Nation Support	Planning and Design - Host Nation Support	23,700		23,700
447 Worldwide Unspecified Army	ecified Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Classified Project	4.000		4.000
448 Worldwide Unspecified Army	ecified Army	Unspecified Worldwide	DEBF - Planning and Design		5.340	5 340
449 Worldwide Unspecified Army	eclied Army	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning and Design	119 824	0 030	129 786
100 Moridiate Contraction	and American		I hereofical Africe Persion	20.00	1000	001,001
Han Wulldwing	Becired Attriy	Ouspecified working		000'02	090'1	096'12
ton workdwide Onepeciaeu Army	ecoled with	Onspecified wondwide	Foreign waterov Fluctuation		(0/0'01)	(13,676)

370

TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS (Dollars in Thousands)

			(Dolla	(Dollars in Thousands)	FY 2003	Committee	FY 2003
Line					Authorization	0	Committee
No	Location	Service/Agency/Program	Installation	Project Title	Request		Recommendation
452	452 Worldwide Unspecified Navy	×	Unspecified Worldwide	DERF • Planning and Design		17,630	17,630
453	Worldwide Unspecified Navy	×	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning and Design	68,573	9,542	78,115
454	Worldwide Unspecified Navy	×	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor Construction	23,262		23,262
455	Worldwide Unspecified Navy	~	Unspecified Worldwide	Foreign Currency Fluctuation		(1,340)	(1,340)
456	Worldwide Unspecified Air Force	orce	Unspecified Worldwide	DERF - Planing and Design		21,797	21,797
457	Worldwide Unspecified Air Force	-orce	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning and Design	41,496	13,665	55,161
458	Worldwide Unspecified Air Force	Force	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor Construction	11,500		11,500
459	Worldwide Unspecified Air Force	Force	Unspecified Worldwide	Foreign Currency Fluctuation		(10,281)	(10,281)
460	Worldwide Unspecified Special Operations Command	cial Operations Command	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning and Design	4,932		4,932
461	Worldwide Unspecified Special Operations Command	cla! Operations Command	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor Construction	2,000		2,000
462		ense Wide	Unspecified Worldwide	Foreign Currency Fluctuation		(2,976)	(2,976)
463	Worldwide Unspecified Defense Wide	ense Wide	Unspecified Worldwide	Accrual		(39,857)	(39,857)
464		0 Contingencies	Unspecified Worldwide	Contingency Construction	10,000		10,000
465	Worldwide Unspecified OSD Minor Construction	D Minar Construction	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor Construction	3,000		3,000
466	Worldwide Unspecified OSD Planning & Design	0 Planning & Design	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning and Design	20,000	1,300	21,300
467		Worldwide Unspecified NATO Security Investment Program	Unspecified Worldwide	NATO Security Investment Program	168,200		168,200
468	Worldwide Unspecified Army National Guard	y National Guard	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning and Design	14,724	9,645	24,369
469	Worldwide Unspecified Army National Guard	y National Guard	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor Construction	4,930	586	5,516
470		National Guard	Unspecified Worldwide	DERF - Planning and Design		683	683
471	Worldwide Unspecified Air National Guard	Vational Guard	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning and Design	8,273	5.760	14,033
472		Vational Guard	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor Construction	4,400		4,400
473	Worldwide Unspecified Army Reserve	y Reserve	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning and Design	6,965	2,000	8,965
474	Worldwide Unspecified Army Reserve	y Reserve	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor Construction	2,850		2,850
475	Worldwide Unspecified Navy Reserve	y Reserve	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning and Design	2,509	006	3,409
476	Worldwide Unspecified Navy Reserve	y Reserve	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor Construction	780		780
477	Worldwide Unspecified Navy Reserve	y Reserve	Worldwide Various	DERF - Planning and Design		377	377
478	Worldwide Unspecified Air Force Reserve	Force Reserve	Unspecified Worldwide	AF Reserve Command Judgment Fund	11,900		11,900
479	Worldwide Unspecified Air Force Reserve	Force Reserve	Unspecified Worldwide	DERF - Planning and Design		476	476
480	Worldwide Unspecified Air Force Reserve	Force Reserve	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning and Design	3,656	2,000	5,656
481	Worldwide Unspecified Air Force Reserve	Force Reserve	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor Construction	5,160		5,160
482		Worldwide Unspecified Defense Finance & Accounting Service	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor Construction	1,500		1,500
483	Worldwide Unspecified Base Closure IV	e Closure IV	BRAC IV	Base Realignment and Closure	545,138		545,138
484	Worldwide Unspecified Joint Chiefs of Staff	t Chiefs of Staff	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor Construction	6,430		6,430
485	Worldwide Unspecified Ene	Worldwide Unspecified Energy Conservation Improvement Program	Unspecified Worldwide	Energy Conservation Improvement Program	49,531		49,531
486		Care Management Activity	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning and Design	14,200		14,200
487	<ul> <li>Worldwide Unspecified Tri-Care Management Activity</li> </ul>	Care Management Activity	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Minor Construction	3,363		3,363
488	Worldwide Unspecified Che	Worldwide Unspecified Chemical & Biological Defense Program	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning and Design	5,000		5,000
489	Worldwide Various Navy	×	Various Worldwide	NATO Infrastructure	1,000		1,000
490	Alaska	h.	Fort Wainwright	Family Housing Construction (38 units)	17,752		17,752
491	Arizona Army	y,	Yuma PG	Family Housing Construction (33 units)	6,100		6,100
492	Arizona	Air Force	Luke AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase 2 (140 units)	18,954		18,954
493	r California Navy	Υ.	NAS Lemoore	Replacement Construction (178 units)	40,981		40,981
494	California Navy		Twentynine Palms	Replacement Construction-Marine Palms Phase 4 of 5 (76 units)	19,425		19,425
495	California	Air Force	Travis AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase 3 (110 units)	24,320		24,320
496	Colorado	Air Force	Air Force Academy	Replace Family Housing Phase 1 (71 units)	12,424		12,424

TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS (DOIlars in Thousands)

				EY 2003	Committee	EV 2003
Line				Authorization Change From	Change From	Committee
No. Location	Service/Agency/Program	Installation	Project Title	Request		Recommendation
497 Colorado	Air Force	Peterson AFB	Construct Family Housing Phase 1 (2 units)	959	ł	959
498 Connecticut	Navy	NSB New London	Replacement Construction-Polaris Park (100 units)	24,415		24,415
499 Delaware	Air Force	Dover AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase 2 (112 units)	19,615		19,615
500 Florida	Navy	NS Mayport	Construct ICQ (1 unit)	329		329
501 Florida	Air Force	Eglin AFB	Housing Management Facility	265		265
502 Florida	Air Force	Eglin AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase 2A (134 units)	15,906		15,906
503 Florida	Air Force	MacDill AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase 5 (96 units)	18,066		18,086
504 Hawaii	Nevy	MCB Kaneohe	Replacement Construction (65 units)	24,797		24.797
505 Hawaii	Air Force	Hickam AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase 2 (96 units)	29.050		29.050
506 Idaho	Air Force	Mountain Home AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase 4 (95 units)	24,392		24.392
507 Kansas	Air Force	McConnell AF3	Construct MFH Maintenance Bldg & Roads	1,514		1.514
508 Maine	Navy	NAS Brunswick	Family Housing Replacement (26 Junior Enlisted Units)		5,800	5,800
509 Maryland	Air Force	Andrews AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase 1 (53 units)	9,838		9,838
510 Maryland	Air Force	Andrews AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase 1B (52 units)	8,807		8,807
511 Mississippi	Navy	NAS Meridian	Replacement Construction-Juniper Ridge & Pine Crest (56 units)	9,755		9,755
512 Mississippi	Air Force	Cotumbus AFB	MFH Management Office	412		412
513 Mississippi	Air Force	Keesier AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase 1 (117 units)	16,505		16.505
514 Missouri	Air Force	Whiteman AFB	Replace Family Housing (97 units)	3,977	13,130	17,107
515 Montana	Air Force	Malmstrom AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase 6A (18 units)	4,717		4,717
516 New Mexico	Air Force	Holtoman AFB	Replace Family Housing (101 units)	20,161		20,161
517 North Carolina	Navy	MCB Camp Lejeune	Replacement Construction-Tarawa Terrace Phase II (317 un ts)	43,650		43,650
518 North Carolina	Air Force	Pope AFB	Replace Housing Maintenance Bldg	1991		166
519 North Carolina	Air Force	Seymour Johnson AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase 6 (126 units)	18,615		18,615
520 North Dakota	Air Force	Grand Forks AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase F (150 units)	30,140		30,140
521 North Dakota	Air Force	Minot AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase 8 (112 units)	21,428		21,428
522 North Dakota	Air Force	Minot AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase 9 (102 units)	20,315		20,315
523 Okiahoma	Air Force	Vance AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase 1 (59 units)	11,423		11,423
	Defense Logistics Agency	DDSP New Cumberland	FH Improvements	5,430		5,430
525 South Dakota	Air Force	Ellsworth AFB	Housing Maintenance Facility	447		447
	Air Force	Ellsworth AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase 2 (22 units)	4,794		4,794
	Air Force	Dyess AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase 3 (85 units)	14,824		14,824
528 Texas	Air Force	Randolph AFB	MFH Housing Maintenance Office	447		447
529 Texas	Air Force	Randolph AFB	Replace Family Housing Phase 1 (†12 units)	14,311		14,311
530 Virginia	Navy	MCB Quantico	Replacement Construction-Thomason Park Phase II (290 units)	41,843		41,843
531 Virginia	Air Force	Langley AFB	Construct Housing Management Office	1,193		1,193
	Army	Stuttgart	Replace Historic Housing Unit (1 unit)	066		066
533 Germany	Air Force	Ramstein AFB	Replace Family Housing (19 units)	8,534		8,534
534 Greece	Navy	NSA JHC Larissa	Construct Family Housing Flag Units (2 units)	1,232	(1,232)	0
535 Korea	Army	Yongsan	Family Housing Construction (10 units)	3,100		3,100
536 Korea	Air Force	Osan AB	Construct Family Housing Phase 1 (113 units)	35,705		35,705
537 Korea	Air Force	Osan AB	Replace Furnishings Management Warehouse	834		834
538 United Kingdom	Navy	JMF St. Mawgan Scotland	Construct Enlisted Family Housing (62 Units) and Housing Office	18,524		18,524
539 United Kingdom	Air Force	RAF Lakenheath	Farnily Housing Management Facility	2,203		2.203
540 Worldwide Unspecified Army	fied Army	Unspecified Worldwide	FH Improvements	239,751		239,751

TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS (Dollars in Thousands)

Line 641 Wortshoude Unspecified Army 542 Wortshoude Unspecified Army 542 Wortshoude Unspecified Army 544 Wortshoude Unspecified Army 545 Wortsholde Unspecified Army 545 Wortsholde Unspecified Army 549 Wortsholde Unspecified Army 549 Wortsholde Unspecified Army 549 Wortsholde Unspecified Army	Service/Agency/Program	Installation	Drainer Titie	Authorization 1 Request		Committee Recommendation
	Service/Agency/Program	Installation	Project Title			ecommendation
<ol> <li>Workiwide Unspecified Army 543 Workiwide Unspecified Army 543 Workiwide Unspecified Army 544 Workiwide Unspecified Army 545 Workiwide Unspecified Army 545 Workiwide Unspecified Army 548 Workiwide Unspecified Army 548 Workiwide Unspecified Army 549 Workiwide Unspecified Army 549 Workiwide Unspecified Army</li> </ol>				the second data and the se		
<ol> <li>Wontawide Unspecified Army 542 Wontawide Unspecified Army 544 Wontawae Unspecified Army 545 Wontawide Unspecified Army 545 Wontawide Unspecified Army 547 Wontawide Unspecified Army 549 Wontawide Unspecified Army 549 Wontawide Unspecified Army 549 Wontawide Unspecified Army</li> </ol>		Unspecified Worldwide	Foreign Currency Fluctuation		(4,920)	(4,920)
<ol> <li>Worldwide Unspecified Army S44 Worldwide Unspecified Army S45 Worldwide Unspecified Army S45 Worldwide Unspecified Army S41 Worldwide Unspecified Army S48 Worldwide Unspecified Army S49 Worldwide Unspecified Army</li> </ol>		Unspecified Worldwide	Furnishing Account	48,673		48,673
<ol> <li>Worldwide Unspecified Army</li> </ol>		Unspecified Worldwide	Interest Payment	1		-
545 Worldwide Unspecified Army 546 Worldwide Unspecified Army 547 Worldwide Unspecified Army 548 Worldwide Unspecified Army 549 Worldwide Unspecified Army 549 Worldwide Unspecified Army		Unspecified Worldwide	Leasing	215,251		215,251
<ul> <li>546 Worldwide Unspecified Army</li> <li>547 Worldwide Unspecified Army</li> <li>548 Worldwide Unspecified Army</li> <li>549 Worldwide Unspecified Army</li> </ul>		Unspecified Worldwide	Maintenance of Real Property	485,257		485,257
547 Worldwide Unspecified Army 548 Worldwide Unspecified Army 549 Worldwide Unspecified Army		Unspecified Worldwide	Management Account	91,567		91,567
548 Worldwide Unspecified Army 549 Worldwide Unspecified Army		Unspecified Worldwide	Military Housing Privatization Initiative	25,926		25,926
549 Worldwide Unspecified Army		Unspecified Worldwide	Miscellaneous Account	1,321		1,321
		Unspecified Worldwide	Planning and Design	15,653		15,653
550 Worldwide Unspecified Army		Unspecified Worldwide	Services Account	41,846		41,846
551 Worldwide Unspecified Army		Unspecified Worldwide	Utilities Account	212,432		212,432
552 Worldwide Unspecified Navy		Unspecified Worldwide	FH Improvements	139,468		139,468
553 Worldwide Unspecified Navy		Unspecified Worldwide	Foreign Currency Fluctuation		(2,652)	(2,652)
554 Worldwide Unspecified Navy		Unspecified Worldwide	Furnishings Account	30,344		30,344
555 Worldwide Unspecified Navy		Unspecified Worldwide	Housing Privatization Support Cost	7,071		7,071
556 Worldwide Unspecified Navy		Unspecified Worldwide	Leasing	129,085		129,085
557 Worldwide Unspecified Navy		Unspecified Worldwide	Maintenance of Real Property	381,388		381,388
558 Worldwide Unspecified Navy		Unspecified Worldwide	Management Account	82,114		82,114
559 Worldwide Unspecified Navy		Unspecified Worldwide	Miscellaneous Account	913		913
560 Worldwide Unspecified Navy		Unspecified Worldwide	Mortgage Insurance Premiums	71		71
561 Worldwide Unspecified Navy		Unspecified Worldwide	Planning and Design	11,281		11,281
562 Worldwide Unspecified Navy		Unspecified Worldwide	Services Account	62,583		62,583
563 Worldwide Unspecified Navy		Unspecified Worldwide	Utilities Account	174,219		174,219
564 Worldwide Unspecified Air Force	90	Unspecified Worldwide	FH Improvements	226,068		226,068
	90	Unspecified Worldwide	Foreign Currency Fluctuation		(8,782)	(8,782)
	90	Unspecified Worldwide	Furnishing Account	35,619		35,619
567 Worldwide Unspecified Air Force	90	Unspecified Worldwide	Leasing	103,690		103,690
568 Worldwide Unspecified Air Force	ce.	Unspecified Worldwide	Maintenance of Real Property	476,485		476,485
569 Worldwide Unspecified Air Force	ce.	Unspecified Worldwide	Management Account	48,473		48,473
570 Worldwide Unspecified Air Force	Se	Unspecified Worldwide	Military Housing Privatization initiative	20,482		20,482
	Ce.	Unspecified Worldwide	Miscellaneous Account	1,511		1,511
	90	Unspecified Worldwide	Mortgage Insurance Premiums	36		36
	Se	Unspecified Worldwide	Planning and Design	34,188		34,188
	06	Unspecified Worldwide	Services Account	25,178		25,178
	00	Unspecified Worldwide	Utilities Account	132,945		132,945
576 Worldwide Unspecified Air Force	90	Unspecified Worldwide	DERF - Family Housing Air Force		29,631	29,631
577 Worldwide Unspecified Defense Logistics Agency	e Logistics Agency	Unspecified Worldwide	Furnishing Account	26		26
578 Worldwide Unspecified Defense Logistics Agency	e Logistics Agency	Unspecified Worldwide	Maintenance of Real Property	510		510
579 Worldwide Unspecified Defense Logistics Agency	e Logistics Agency	Unspecified Worldwide	Management Account	308		308
580 Worldwide Unspecified Defense Logistics Agency	e Logistics Agency	Unspecified Worldwide	Services Account	76		76
581 Worldwide Unspecified Defense Logistics Agency	e Logistics Agency	Unspecified Worldwide	Utilities Account	410		410
582 Worldwide Unspecified National Security Agency	al Security Agency	Unspecified Worldwide	FH Improvements	50		50
583 Worldwide Unspecified National Security Agency	al Security Agency	Unspecified Worldwide	Furnishing Account	120		120
584 Worldwide Unspecified National Security Agency	al Security Agency	Unspecified Worldwide	Leasing	9,643		9,643

TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS	(Dollars in Thousands)
TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI	

	FY 2003 Committee	å	611	15	58	339	407	3,689	26,220	2,000
	FY 2003 Committee	Reduest								
	FY 2003 Authorization	Request	611	15	58	339	407	3,689	26,220	2,000
TITLES XXI THROUGH XXVI - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS (Dollars in Thousands)		Project Title	Maintenance of Real Property	Management Account	Miscellaneous Account	Services Account	Utilities Account	Furnishing Account	Leasing	Famity Housing Improvement Fund
		Installation	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Worldwide	Unspecified Worldwide
		Service/Agency/Program	585 Worldwide Unspecified National Security Agency	586 Worldwide Unspecified National Security Agency	587 Worldwide Unspecified National Security Agency	588 Worldwide Unspecified National Security Agency	Worldwide Unspecified National Security Agency	Worldwide Unspecified Defense Intelligence Agency	591 Worldwide Unspecified Defense Intelligence Agency	Wortdwide Unspecified Family Housing Improvement Fund
		Location	Worldwide Unspecified	Worldwide Unspecified	Worldwide Unspecified	Worldwide Unspecified	589 Worldwide Unspecified	590 Worldwide Unspecified	Worldwide Unspecified	592 Worldwide Unspecified

# TITLE XXI—ARMY

## SUMMARY

The budget request contained \$1,476,521,000 for Army military construction and \$1,405,620,000 for family housing for fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends authorization of \$1,521,433,000 for military construction and \$1,400,700,000 for family housing for fiscal year 2003.

## ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

## Planning and Design

The committee recommends that, within authorized amounts for planning and design, the Secretary of the Army complete planning and design activities for the following project: \$1,600,000 for a railhead at Baumholder, Germany.

## **Unspecified Minor Construction**

The committee recommends that, within authorized amounts for unspecified minor construction, the Secretary of the Army execute the following project: \$1,050,000 for sewage plant environmental compliance upgrades at White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico.

### Water Tanks, Fort Bliss, Texas

The committee authorizes \$10,200,000 for phase two of a project to replace elevated water tanks at Fort Bliss, Texas, and recommends authorization of appropriation of \$5,200,000. The committee notes that sufficient authorization of appropriations to complete phase two of this project was provided by section 2101 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (division B of Public Law 107–107).

#### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

## Section 2101—Authorized Army Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section contains the list of authorized Army construction projects for fiscal year 2003. The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis. The state list contained in this report is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

## Section 2102—Family Housing

This section would authorize new construction and planning and design of family housing units for the Army for fiscal year 2003.

### Section 2103—Improvements to Military Family Housing Units

This section would authorize improvements to existing units of family housing for fiscal year 2003.

This section would authorize specific appropriations for each line item contained in the Army's budget for fiscal year 2003. This section also provides an overall limit on the amount the Army may spend on military construction projects.

#### Section 2105—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2002 Projects

This section would amend the table in section 2101 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (division B of Public Law 107–107) to provide for an increase in the amounts authorized for military construction at Fort Carson, Colorado, and Fort Jackson, South Carolina.

## TITLE XXII—NAVY

### SUMMARY

The budget request contained \$895,131,000 for Navy military construction and \$1,243,488,000 for family housing for fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends authorization of \$1,245,585,000 for military construction and \$1,245,404,000 for family housing for fiscal year 2003.

## ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

### Electromagnetic Aircraft Launch System Land Based Test Site

The committee commends the Secretary of the Navy for including planning and design funding in the budget request for the Electromagnetic Aircraft Launch System (EMALS) Land Based Test Site at Lakehurst Naval Air Engineering Station, New Jersey. The committee believes this project is a critical component of the CVN–X program and understands that the Secretary of the Navy intends to request full funding for the project in fiscal year 2004. The committee endorses the secretary's plan to fund this project in fiscal year 2004.

#### North Chicago Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center And Naval Hospital, Great Lakes, Illinois

The committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to consult with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs and provide a report of the plan required by the House of Representatives report on National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Report 107–333) to jointly make maximum use of the North Chicago Veterans Affairs Medical Center. The report should be transmitted to the committee by January 30, 2003.

The committee believes that efficiencies are possible if the Department of the Navy and the Department of Veterans' Affairs share a single, modern facility. The committee recognizes the many bureaucratic impediments to such a proposal, among them the reality that construction of medical facilities for the military departments is managed and budgeted by the Department of Defense (DOD). With the understanding that the Secretary of the Navy and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs agree on the design of a new joint facility that will be no more costly to each department than respective single use facilities, the committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to submit a request to fund the DOD portion of a joint facility to the Secretary of Defense for consideration in the fiscal year 2004 or future medical construction budget requests.

The committee believes that any joint venture undertaken at Great Lakes Naval Hospital and the North Chicago Veterans Affairs Medical Center should meet jointly identified needs in a cost effective manner, be mutually beneficial to the beneficiaries of both departments, and incorporate the best business practices and lessons learned from previous joint ventures. To this end, the Secretary of the Navy, in coordination with the Secretary of Defense, and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall consult on further development of compatible budget, reimbursement and accounting systems, and compatible information technology goals. The consultation shall seek to identify restrictive regulations, policies and regulatory redundancies that inhibit resource sharing, and provide milestone dates to address each identified issue.

#### Planning and Design

The committee recommends that, within authorized amounts for planning and design, the Secretary of the Navy complete planning and design activities for the following projects: \$1,100,000 for a child development center at North Island Naval Air Station, California and \$180,000 for a fire station at Whidbey Island Naval Air Station, Washington.

#### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

#### Section 2201—Authorized Navy Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section contains the list of authorized Navy construction projects for fiscal year 2003. The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis. The state list contained in this report is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

### Section 2202—Family Housing

This section would authorize new construction and planning and design of family housing units for the Navy for fiscal year 2003.

Section 2203—Improvements to Military Family Housing Units

This section would authorize improvements to existing units of family housing for fiscal year 2003.

#### Section 2204—Authorization of Appropriations, Navy

This section would authorize specific appropriations for each line item in the Navy's budget for fiscal year 2003. This section also provides an overall limit on the amount the Navy may spend on military construction projects.

### Section 2205—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2002 Project

This section would amend the table in section 2201 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (division B of Public Law 107–107) to provide for an increase in the amounts authorized for military construction at Naval Station Norfolk, Virginia.

# TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE

#### SUMMARY

The budget request contained \$644,090,000 for Air Force military construction and \$1,521,113,000 for family housing for fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends authorization of \$929,721,000 for military construction and \$1,555,092,000 for family housing for fiscal year 2003.

## ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

#### C–17 Assault Strips

The committee endorses the decision by the Secretary of the Air Force to base C-17 aircraft at March Air Reserve Base, California, and Travis Air Force Base, California. The committee notes that fully trained C-17 crews must practice combat landings at assault strips located at air bases near their home station. The committee has learned that a facility at Bicycle Lake, Fort Irwin, California, may be able to serve as an assault strip for March Air Reserve Base and Travis Air Force Base crews with some minor improvements. The committee urges the Secretary of the Air Force and the Secretary of the Army to consider upgrading the facility at Fort Irwin for use as an assault strip and urges the Secretary of the Air Force to construct assault strips at locations at appropriate distances from other bases where C-17 aircraft are stationed.

### Planning and Design

The committee recommends that, within authorized amounts for planning and design, the Secretary of the Air Force complete planning and design activities for the following projects: \$675,000 for an air traffic control tower at Dover Air Force Base, Delaware, \$2,160,000 for the 1st Air Force operations support center at Tyndall Air Force Base, Florida, and \$2,430,000 for corrosion control paint facility at Robins Air Force Base, Georgia.

#### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

### Section 2301—Authorized Air Force Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section contains the list of authorized Air Force construction projects for fiscal year 2003. The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis. The state list contained in this report is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

## Section 2302—Family Housing

This section would authorize new construction and planning and design of family housing units for the Air Force for fiscal year 2003.

## Section 2303—Improvements to Military Family Housing Units

This section would authorize improvements to existing units of family housing for fiscal year 2003.

### Section 2304—Authorization of Appropriations, Air Force

This section would authorize specific appropriations for each line item in the Air Force's budget for fiscal year 2003. This section also would provide an overall limit on the amount the Air Force may spend on military construction projects.

# TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES

## SUMMARY

The budget request contained \$687,535,000 for defense agencies military construction and \$47,912,000 for family housing for fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends authorization of \$779,896,000 for military construction and \$47,912,000 for family housing for fiscal year 2003.

## ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

## Planning and Design

The committee recommends that, within authorized amounts for planning and design, the Secretary of Defense complete planning and design activities for the following project: \$1,300,000 for the fifth building of the Uniformed Services University of Health Sciences at Bethesda, Maryland.

#### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

# Section 2401—Authorized Defense Agencies Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section contains the list of authorized defense agencies construction projects for fiscal year 2003. The authorized amounts are listed on an installation-by-installation basis. The state list contained in this report is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

## Section 2402—Improvements to Military Family Housing Units

This section would authorize improvements to existing units of family housing for fiscal year 2003.

# Section 2403—Energy Conservation Projects

This section would authorize the Secretary of Defense to carry out energy conservation projects. Section 2404—Authorization of Appropriations, Defense Agencies

This section would authorize specific appropriations for each line item in the Defense Agencies' budget for fiscal year 2003. This section also would provide an overall limit on the amount the defense agencies may spend on military construction projects.

## Section 2405—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 2000 Project

This section would amend the table in section 2401 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (division B of Public Law 106–65) to provide for an increase in the amounts authorized for military construction at Blue Grass Army Depot, Kentucky.

#### Section 2406—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 1999 Project

This section would amend the table in section 2401 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (division B of Public Law 105–261) to provide for an increase in the amounts authorized for military construction at Newport Army Depot, Indiana.

#### Section 2407—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Certain Fiscal Year 1997 Project

This section would amend the table in section 2401 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1997 (division B of Public Law 104–201) to provide for an increase in the amounts authorized for military construction at Pueblo Chemical Activity, Colorado.

# TITLE XXV—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION INFRASTRUCTURE

### OVERVIEW

The budget request contained \$168,200,000 for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) infrastructure fund (NATO Security Investment Program) for fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends \$168,200,000.

#### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

## Section 2501—Authorized NATO Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section would authorize the Secretary of Defense to make contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization security investment program in an amount equal to the sum of the amount specifically authorized in section 2502 of this bill and the amount of recoupment due to the United States for construction previously financed by the United States.

## Section 2502—Authorization of Appropriations, NATO

This section would authorize appropriations of \$168,200,000 as the U.S. contribution to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization security investment program.

# TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES

## SUMMARY

The budget request contained \$297,301,000 for military construction of guard and reserve facilities for fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends authorization for fiscal year 2003 of \$512,395,000 to be distributed as follows:

Army National Guard	170,793,000
Air National Guard	119,266,000
Army Reserve	86,789,000
Naval and Marine Corps Reserve	66,971,000
Air Force Reserve	68,576,000
Total	512,395,000

#### ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

#### Dual Use Reserve Facilities

The committee understands that select Marine Reserve units are developing innovative programs with local educational institutions that may result in greater synergy between the military and civilian community at the local level. The committee is aware that these initiatives may involve efficiencies in the form of dual use of some facilities. The committee is interested in this initiative and directs the Secretary of the Navy to report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services by March 1, 2003, on the value of this program.

## Joint Army Reserve and National Guard Reserve Center

The committee believes that the reserve components should construct joint facilities wherever possible. The committee understands that both the Army National Guard and Army Reserve have inadequate facilities in Scranton, Pennsylvania. The committee urges the Secretary of the Army to consider establishing a joint Army Reserve and Army National Guard center in the Scranton, Pennsylvania area to properly support the reserve components in northeast Pennsylvania. The committee is also aware that the Army National Guard and Army Reserve are considering such a joint project in the Moreno Valley, California, area, and urges the Secretary of the Army to complete this needed joint facility as soon as possible.

#### Planning and Design, Air National Guard

The committee recommends that, within authorized amounts for planning and design, the Secretary of the Air Force complete planning and design activities for the following projects: \$1,650,000 for a fire crash rescue station and control tower at Otis Air National Guard Base, Massachusetts, \$1,110,000 for an aircraft maintenance complex at Duluth International Airport, Minnesota, and \$347,000 for phase two of an aircraft maintenance complex in Nashville, Tennessee.

# Planning and Design, Army National Guard

The committee recommends that, within authorized amounts for planning and design, the Secretary of the Army complete planning and design activities for the following projects: \$990,000 for an armed forces reserve center in Haleyville, Alabama, \$1,126,000 for an aviation transformation readiness center at Windsor Locks, Connecticut, \$1,580,000 for an aviation support facility at Fort Stewart, Georgia, \$659,000 for a readiness center in Methuen, Massachusetts, \$2,014,000 for an aviation support facility at North Kingstown, Rhode Island, and \$856,000 for an information operations armory at Camp Murray, Washington.

#### **Unspecified Minor Construction**

The committee recommends that, within authorized amounts for unspecified minor construction, the Secretary of the Army execute the following project: \$586,000 for readiness center utilities upgrades at Worcester, Massachusetts.

## LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

## Section 2601—Authorized Guard and Reserve Construction and Land Acquisition Projects

This section would authorize appropriations for military construction for the guard and reserve by service component for fiscal year 2003. The state list contained in this report is intended to be the binding list of the specific projects authorized at each location.

# TITLE XXVII—EXPIRATION AND EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS

### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

#### Section 2701—Expiration of Authorizations and Amounts Required to Be Specified by Law

This section would provide that authorizations for military construction projects, repair of real property, land acquisition, family housing projects and facilities, contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization infrastructure program, and guard and reserve projects will expire on October 1, 2005 or the date of enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2006, whichever is later. This expiration would not apply to authorizations for which appropriated funds have been obligated before October 1, 2005 or the date of enactment of an act authorizing funds for these projects, whichever is later.

### Section 2702—Extensions of Authorizations of Certain Fiscal Year 2000 Projects

This section would provide for selected extension of certain fiscal year 2000 military construction authorizations until October 1,

2003, or the date of the enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2004, whichever is later.

#### Section 2703—Extension of Authorizations of Certain Fiscal Year 1999 Projects

This section would provide for selected extension of certain fiscal year 1999 military construction authorizations until October 1, 2003, or the date of the enactment of the act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2004, whichever is later.

#### Section 2704—Effective Date

This section would provide that Titles XXI, XXII, XXIII, XXIV, XXV, and XXVI of this bill shall take effect on October 1, 2002, or the date of the enactment of this act, whichever is later.

# TITLE XXVIII—GENERAL PROVISIONS

## ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

#### Impact of Privatized Housing on Local School Systems

The committee commends the Secretary of Defense's initiative to eliminate substandard military family housing by 2007 by aggressive use of housing privatization authorities. The committee believes that such initiatives, while worthy, should carefully consider the impact on all local support facilities, especially local school systems. It is particularly challenging for Department of Defense Education Activity Schools to respond effectively to sudden shifts in student population, since these schools must accommodate all students on a given installation, and since new school construction must be approved in the Department's military construction budget request. Local education activities feel the effects as well, and have little chance to react as federal impact payments are slow to adjust. The committee notes that service secretaries are authorized to include new school facilities in privatized housing contracts, and is concerned that this authority has not been used to its fullest advantage. In order to understand how the Department is addressing this issue both at installations with and without Department of Defense schools, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Armed Services by March 1, 2003, the impact of privatized housing on local and any Department of Defense schools at Fort Bragg, North Carolina, Fort Hood, Texas, and Lackland Air Force Base, Texas, together with the measures taken to ameliorate those impacts.

#### Integrated Water Management System on Guam

The committee recognizes the need for efficient management, utilization and conservation of water resources for the civilian and military communities on Guam. For some years, the committee has encouraged the military services to privatize utility systems where possible. In that regard, the committee encourages the Department of Defense to work collaboratively with the Government of Guam for a comprehensive and integrated water supply system and wastewater system on the island. To achieve this goal, the committee urges the exploration of a public-private partnership to manage the distribution and supply of potable water on a more efficient basis in Guam.

## LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

## SUBTITLE A—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM AND MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING CHANGES

## Section 2801—Changes to Alternative Authority for Acquisition and Improvement of Military Housing

This section would amend several provisions of subchapter IV of chapter 169, title 10, United States Code, to provide the secretaries of the military departments with additional flexibility in the management of family and unaccompanied housing under alternate authorities. This section would amend section 2872a of title 10, United States Code, to add police and fire protection services to the services that may be provided by a service secretary under these authorities; would amend section 2874 of title 10, United States Code, to permit service secretaries to lease existing housing and incorporate such housing into contracts negotiated under these authorities; would repeal section 2879 of title 10, United States Code; would amend section 2880 of title 10, United States Code, to remove restrictions on space limitations by grade for unaccompanied housing provided under these authorities on a military installation; and would amend section 2883 of title 10, United States Code, to consolidate the existing separate family housing and unaccompanied housing improvement funds into a single fund.

### Section 2802—Modification of Authority to Carry Out Construction Projects as Part of Environmental Response Action

This section would amend section 2810 of title 10, United States Code, to clarify that the secretaries of the military departments are required to notify Congress of their intent carry out military construction projects not otherwise authorized by law necessary to carry out an environmental response action when the cost of that project exceeds the minor construction threshold.

#### Section 2803—Leasing of Military Family Housing in Korea

This section would amend section 2828 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of the Army to lease in Korea no more than 2,400 units of family housing for a maximum lease amount of \$35,000 per year and no more than 1,175 units of family housing for a maximum of \$25,000 per year.

#### SUBTITLE B—REAL PROPERTY AND FACILITIES ADMINISTRATION

Section 2811—Agreements with Private Entities to Limit Encroachments and Other Constraints on Military Training, Testing, and Operations

This section would authorize the secretary of a military department to enter into an agreement with a private organization whose principal purpose is the conservation of natural resources to acquire an interest in land near military installations for the purpose of preserving natural habitat and limiting commercial development near military installations. The committee believes that judicious use of this initiative will help to preserve the last refuge habitat of some endangered species and will reduce the risk of urban encroachment impacting training at military installations.

### Section 2812—Conveyance of Surplus Real Property for Natural Resource Conservation Purposes

This section would authorize the secretary of a military department to convey surplus real property under the administrative control of the secretary to an entity of state or local government or a nonprofit conservation organization for the purpose of maintaining the property for the conservation of natural resources in perpetuity.

Section 2813—National Emergency Exemption From Screening and Other Requirements of McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act for Property Used in Support of Response Activities

This section would amend section 11411 of title 42, United States Code, to provide an exception to the requirement to screen excess or surplus property for various other uses when the property may be needed by federal, state, or local agencies to support emergency efforts in times of war, national emergency, or the occurrence of a major disaster.

## Section 2814—Demonstration Program on Reduction in Long-Term Facility Maintenance Costs

This section would authorize the Secretary of Defense to conduct a demonstration program to assess whether the inclusion of facility maintenance requirements in military construction contracts may reduce the long-term facility maintenance costs of the military departments. This program is limited to 12 contracts, but is in addition to similar authority provided to the Secretary of the Army by section 2814 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (division B of Public Law 107–107).

Section 2815—Expanded Authority to Transfer Property at Military Installations to Be Closed to Persons Who Construct or Provide Military Family Housing

This section would amend section 204 of the Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act (Public Law 100–526) and section 2905 of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (Public Law 101–510) to provide greater flexibility to the secretary of a military department to exchange property at a closed military installation for needed military family housing.

## SUBTITLE C—LAND CONVEYANCES

#### PART I—ARMY CONVEYANCES

#### Section 2821—Land Conveyances, Lands in Alaska No Longer Required for National Guard Purposes

This section would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey to the State of Alaska, a local government entity, or Indian tribe in the State of Alaska certain parcels of real estate in the National Wildlife Refuge System in Alaska that are excess to the needs of the Alaska National Guard.

## Section 2822—Land Conveyance, Fort Campbell, Kentucky

This section would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey, without consideration, a parcel of real property at Fort Campbell, Kentucky, consisting of approximately 50 acres containing an abandoned railroad spur, to the city of Hopkinsville, Kentucky. The property is to be used by the city for storm water management, recreation, and other public purposes. The cost of any surveys necessary for the conveyance shall be borne by the city.

## Section 2823—Land Conveyance, Army Reserve Training Center, Buffalo, Minnesota

This section would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey, without consideration, a parcel of real property, with improvements, to the Buffalo Independent School District 877 of Buffalo, Minnesota. The property is to be used by the school district as a learning center. The cost of any surveys necessary for the conveyance shall be borne by the school district.

#### Section 2824—Land Conveyance, Fort Bliss, Texas

This section would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey, without consideration, a parcel of real property at Fort Bliss, Texas, consisting of approximately 44 acres with and without improvements to the State of Texas. The property is to be used by the State for the construction of a veterans' nursing home. The cost of any surveys necessary for the conveyance shall be borne by the State.

## Section 2825—Land Conveyance, Fort Hood, Texas

This section would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey, without consideration a parcel of real estate at Fort Hood, Texas, consisting of approximately 174 acres with and without improvements, to the Veterans Land Board of the State of Texas. The property is to be used by the State to establish a State run veterans' cemetery. The cost of any surveys necessary for the conveyance shall be borne by the board.

## PART II—NAVY CONVEYANCES

### Section 2831—Land Conveyance, Marine Corps Air Station, Miramar, San Diego, California

This section would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to convey a parcel of real property at Marine Corps Air Station Miramar, San Diego, California, to ENPEX Corporation for consideration. The section would require that the corporation construct family housing in the San Diego area and convey such housing and underlying real estate to the Secretary of the Navy as consideration for the parcel to be conveyed by the secretary. The section would also require that the value of the housing and real estate to be acquired by the secretary be of at least equal value to real estate being conveyed, and would restrict the use of the land conveyed by the secretary to the generation of electric power.

## Section 2832—Boundary Adjustments, Marine Corps Base, Quantico, and Prince William Forest Park, Virginia

This section would authorize the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Navy to adjust the boundaries of Marine Corps Base, Quantico, Virginia, and Prince William Forest Park, Virginia. The boundary adjustment will require the Secretary of the Navy to transfer approximately 352 acres of land to the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Interior, and will require the Secretary of the Interior to transfer approximately 3,400 acres of land to the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Navy.

#### PART III—AIR FORCE CONVEYANCES

## Section 2841—Land Conveyance, Wendover Air Force Base Auxiliary Field, Nevada

This section would authorize the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Air Force to convey certain parcels of real property at Wendover Air Force Base Auxiliary Field, Nevada, to the City of West Wendover, Nevada, and Tooele County, Utah, without consideration, for the purpose of establishing a runway protection zone and the development of an industrial park.

#### SUBTITLE D—OTHER MATTERS

### Section 2861—Easement for Construction of Roads or Highways, Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton, California

This section would amend section 2867 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (division B of Public Law 107–107) to clarify that any state law that would restrict the construction of the proposed road through Camp Pendleton, California, has no effect on the authority of the Secretary of the Navy to grant the easement or on the Transportation Corridor Agency to construct and operate the road. Section 2862—Sale of Excess Treated Water and Wastewater Treatment Capacity, Marine Corps Base, Camp Lejeune, North Carolina

This section would permit the Secretary of the Navy to enter into an agreement that would allow Camp Lejeune, North Carolina, to provide treated water and wastewater treatment services to Onslow County, North Carolina, if the secretary determines that such an agreement is in the public interest and will not interfere with current or future utility needs at Camp Lejeune. The section would also require the county to reimburse the Navy for the fair market value of the services provided and specify that any amounts paid would be credited to the base operations and maintenance accounts of Camp Lejeune.

#### Section 2863—Ratification of Agreement Regarding Adak Naval Complex, Alaska, and Related Land Conveyances

This section would ratify an agreement made by the Secretary of the Interior, the Secretary of the Navy, and the Aleut Corporation in September 2000 concerning the reuse of the Adak Naval Complex, Alaska, and other related land conveyances. The agreement would provide that real estate on Adak Island withdrawn for use by the Secretary of the Navy may be transferred to the Aleut Corporation without regard to the requirements of section 1621 of title 42, United States Code, pertaining to lands in the Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge. In return, the Aleut Corporation would agree to transfer to the Secretary of the Interior at least 36,000 acres of land suitable for inclusion in the Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge. The committee believes that this agreement promotes the public interest by equitably preserving wildlife habitat and allowing the Secretary of the Navy to divest of unneeded real property.

# Section 2864—Special Requirements for Adding Military Installations to Closure List

This section would amend section 3003 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (division B of Public Law 107–107) to require that the base closure commission vote unanimously to add an installation to the list of bases being considered for closure and that at least two commissioners must visit any base ultimately recommended for closure.

# DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NA-TIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

# TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

## OVERVIEW

The budget request contained \$15,434.0 million for the national security activities of the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2003. Of this amount, \$8,038.7 million is for the programs of the Na-

tional Nuclear Security Administration and \$7,395.2 million is for environmental and other defense activities. The committee recommends \$15,400.9 million, the amount requested less \$33.1 million for retirement accrual, representing an increase of \$1,324.2 million from the amount authorized for fiscal year 2002. The following table summarizes the budget request and the committee recommendations.

Title XXXI - Department of Energy National Security Programs

nds)
Thousa
Dollars in <sup>-</sup>
(Dol

	FY 2003 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2003 Committee Recommendation
Atomic Energy Defense Activities (053)			
National Nuclear Security Administration:			
Weapons Activities	5,869,379	67,621	5,937,000
Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation	1,113,630	(39,000)	1,074,630
Naval Reactors	708,020	(1,230)	706,790
Office of the Administrator	347,705	(31,776)	315,929
Total, National Nuclear Security Administration	8,038,734	(4,385)	8,034,349
Environmental and Other Defense Activities:			
Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management	4,558,360	(14,227)	4,544,133
Defense environmental cleanup reform	800,000	I	800,000
Defense Facilities Closure Projects	1,091,314	1	1,091,314
Environmental Management Privatization	158,399	ŀ	158,399
Other Defense Activities	472,156	(14,492)	457,664
Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal	315,000	•	315,000
Total, Environmental and Other Defense Activities	7,395,229	(28,719)	7,366,510
Total Atomic Energy Defense Activities	15,433,963	(33,104)	15,400,859

	FY 2003 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2003 Committee Recommendation
National Nuclear Security Administration:			
Weapons Activities			
Directed stockpile work			
Stockpile research and development	467,149		467,149
Stockpile maintenance	401,157	,	401,157
Stockpile evaluation	197,184		197,184
Dismantlement/disposal	24,378		24,378
Production support	137,706		137,706
Field engineering, training and manuals	6,893	I	6,893
Total, Directed stockpile work	1,234,467	1	1,234,467
Campaigns			
Science campaigns Primary certification	47.159	ı	47.159
Dynamic materials properties	87,594	•	87,594
Advanced radiography			
Operations and maintenance	52,925		52,925
Secondary certification and nuclear systems	004 44		002.27
Total, Science campaigns	235,468		235,468
Engineering campaigns Enhanced survety	97 719		21712
Weapons system engineering certification	27,007	'	27,007
Nuclear survivability	23,394	•	23,394
Enhanced surveillance	77,155	1	77,155

Title XXXI - Department of Energy National Security Programs (Dollars in Thousands)	<b>it of Energy National Secur</b> (Dollars in Thousands)	ity Programs	
	FY 2003 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2003 Committee Recommendation
Advanced design and production technologies Total. Engineering campaigns	74,141 239.410	*	74,141 239.410
High energy density physics campaign Operations and maintenance	237,748	25,000	262,748
Construction: 96-D-111 National ignition facility (NIF), LLNL, Livermore, CA	214,045	·	214,045
Total, High energy density physics campaign	451,793	25,000	476,793
Advanced simulation and computing Operations and maintenance Construction:	669,527	r	669,527
01-D-101 Distributed information systems laboratory, SNL, Livermore, CA	13,305	s	13,305
00-D-103, Terascale simulation facility, LLNL, Livermore, CA	35,030	I	35,030
00-D-105, Strategic computing complex, LANL, Los Alamos, NM	y	F	
00-D-107 Joint computational engineering laboratory, SNL, Albuquerque, NM Total, Construction Total, Advanced simulation and computing	7,000 55,335 724,862	1 1 1	7,000 55,335 724,862

	FY 2003 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2003 Committee Recommendation
Pit manufacturing and certification	194,484	2	194,484
Readiness campaigns Stockpile readiness	61,027	·	61,027
High explosives manufacturing and weapons assembly/disassembly readiness	12,093	1	12,093
Non-nuclear readiness Materials readiness	- - -		22,398 ·
Tritium readiness Operations and maintenance Construction: 98-D-125 Tritium extraction facility,	56,134	·	56,134
Savannah River plant, Aiken, SC	70,165	*	70,165
Total, Readiness campaigns	221.817	, ,	221.817
Total, Campaigns	2,067,834	25,000	2,092,834
Readiness in technical base and facilities Operations of facilities Pantex Y-12	949,920	45,000 [+25,000] [+20,000]	994,920
Program readiness Special projects Material recycle and recovery	208,089 37,744 98,816	ся <u>т</u> т	208,089 37,744 98,816
Containers	17,721	,	17,721

	FY 2003 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2003 Committee Recommendation
Storage	14,593	1	14,593
Nuclear weapons incident response	91,000	•	91,000
Subtotal, Readiness in technical base and facilities	1.417.883	45.000	1.462.883
Construction:			
03-D-101 Sandia underground reactor facility SURF, SNL, Albuquerque, NM	2,000	ł	2,000
03-D-103 Project engineering and design various locations	15 539	,	15 530
			>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
03-D-121 Gas transfer capacity expansion, Kansas City Plant, Kansas City, MO	4,000	,	4,000
03-D-122 Prototype purification facility, Y-12 plant, Oak Ridge, TN	20.800	,	20.800
03-D-123 Special nuclear materials			
Requalification, Pantex plant, Amarillo, TX	3,000	ĩ	3,000
02-D-103 Project engineering and design,			
various locations	C42,12	,	27,245
02-D-105 Engineering technology complex upgrade (ETCU), LLNL, Livermore, CA	10,000		10,000
02-D-107 Electrical power systems safety			
communications and bus upgrades, Nevada Test Site	7,500	Ŧ	7,500

Title XXXI - Department of Energy National Security Programs (Dollars in Thousands)

Title XXXI - Department of Energy National Security Programs (Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2003 Committee Recommendation
01-D-103 Project engineering and design (PE&D), various locations	6,164	1	6,164
01-D-107 Atlas relocation and operations Nevada Test Site	4,123		4,123
01-D-108 Microsystem and engineering science applications (MESA), SNL, Albuquerque, NM	75,000	,	75,000
01-D-124 HEU storage facility, Y-12 plant, Oak Ridge, TN	25,000	,	25,000
01-D-126 Weapons Evaluation Test Laboratory Pantex Plant, Amarillo, TX	8,650	,	8,650
01-D-900 Sensitive compartmented information facility, LLNL	9,611	,	9,611
99-D-103 Isotope sciences facilities, LLNL, Livermore, CA	4,011	ì	4,011
99-D-104 Protection of real property (roof reconstruction-Phase II), LLNL, Livermore, CA	5,915	ï	5,915
99-D-106 Model validation & system certification center, SNL, Albuquerque, NM	,	ı	·

Title XXXI - Department of Energy National Security Programs

Thousands)	
Ċ.	
ilars i	
ŏ	
~	

	FY 2003 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2003 Committee Recommendation
99-D-108 Renovate existing roadways, Nevada Test Site, NV		,	
99-D-125 Replace boilers & controls, Kansas City plant, Kansas City, MO	•		
99-D-127 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Kanas City plant, Kansas City, MO	29,900	·	29,900
99-D-128 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Pantex plant, Amarillo, TX	407	t	407
98-D-123 Stockpile management restructing initiative, Tritium factory modernization and consolidation, Savannah River plant, SC	10,481	·	10,481
98-D-124 Stockpile management restructuring initiative, Y-12 consolidation, Oak Ridge, TN		i	·
97-D-123 Structural upgrades, Kansas City plant, Kansas City, KS	,	,	ľ
96-D-102 Stockpile stewardship facilities revitalization, Phase VI, various locations	1,000	·	1,000

	FY 2003 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2003 Committee Recommendation
Total, Construction	270,346	,	270,346
Total, Readiness in technical base and facilities	1,688,229	45,000	1,733,229
Facilities and infrastructure recapitalization program	242,512	5	242,512
Secure transnortation asset			
Operations and equipment	100,863	ſ	100,863
Program direction	54,505	(2,379)	52,126
Total, Secure transportation asset	155,368	(2,379)	152,989
Safeguards and security			
Operations and maintenance	501,054	8	501,054
Construction: 99-D-132 SMBi nuclear material safequards and			
security upgrade project, LANL, Los Alamos, NM	8,900	•	8,900
Total, Safeguards and security	509,954	E	509,954
Subtotal, Weapons Activities	5,898,364	67,621	5,965,985
Program Direction		ł	ş
Adjustments Use of prior vear balances (General reduction)	•	,	1
Less security charge for reimbursable work	(28,985)	•	(28,985)
Total, Weapons Activities	5.869.379	67.621	5.937.000

Title XXXI - Department of Energy National Security Programs (Dollars in Thousands)

ttee FY 2003 from Committee st Recommendation	- 283,407	- 283,407	- 92,668	- 233,077	- 39,334 - 17,229 (3,000) 11,576	(30,000) 19,339	4,000 198,000 (10,000) 88,000 [-10,000]	30,000 -
Committee Change from Request						(3	L) -1	
FY 2003 Authorization Request	283,407	283,407	92,668	233,077	39,334 17,229 14,576	49,339	194,000 98,000	30,000
	Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Nonproliferation and verification R&D Operation and maintenance Construction: 00-D-192 Nonproliferation and international security center (NISC), LANL	Total, Nonproliferation & verification R&D	Nonproliferation and international security	Nonproliferation programs with Russia International nuclear materials protection and cooperation	Russian transition initiatives HEU transparency implementation International nuclear safety	Elimination of weapons-grade plutonium production program	Fissile materials disposition U S surplus materials disposition Russian surplus materials disposition Program oversight	01-D-407 Mighly enriched uranium (HEU) blend down, Savannah River, SC

	FY 2003 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2003 Committee Recommendation
99-D-141 Pit disassembly and conversion facility, Savannah River, SC	33,000	•	33,000
99-D-143 Mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility, Savannah River, SC	93,000		93,000
Total, Construction	156,000	-	156,000
Total, Fissile materials disposition	448,000	(6,000)	
Total, Nonproliferation programs with Russia Pronram direction	801,555	(39,000)	762,555
Subtotal, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation	1,177,630	(39,000)	1,138,630
ugusments. Use of prior year balances	(64,000)		(64,000)
Total, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation	1,113,630	(39,000)	1,1
Naval Reactors Naval reactors development			
Operation and maintenance	671,290	ĩ	671,290
03-D-201 Cleanroom technology facility 03-D-201 Cleanroom technology facility Bettis Atomic Power Laboratory, West Mifflin, PA	7,200	,	7,200
01-D-200 Major office replacement building, Schenectady, NY	2,100	,	2,100
90-N-102 Expended core facility dry cell project, Naval Reactors Facility, ID	2,000		2,000
Total, Construction	11,300		11,300

Programs
Security
National
f Energy
Department o
Title XXXI -

(Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Authorization	Committee Change from	Committee
Total, Naval reactors development	682,590	-	682,590
Program direction	25,430	(1,230)	24,200
Total, Naval Reactors	708,020	(1,230)	
Office Of The Administrator	347,705	(31,776)	315,929
CSRS		[11,776]	
Overhead		[20,000]	
Total, Office of the Administrator	347,705	(31,776)	315,929

ation & Waste Management 779,706 - 779,706	dection system daho Falls, ID 1,119 1,119	ging and stabilization, 2,000 - 2,000	ct, engineering and 5,125 - 5,125
Defense Environmental Restoration & Waste Management Site/project completion Operation and maintenance Construction:	02-D-402 Intec cathodic protection system expansion project, INEEL, Idaho Falls, ID	02-D-420 Plutonium packaging and stabilization, Savannah River, SC	01-D-414 Preliminary project, engineering and design (PE&D), various locations

400

8,034,349

(4,385)

8,038,734

Total, National Nuclear Security Administration

Title XXXI - Department of Energy National Security Programs (Dollars in Thousands)

	FY 2003 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2003 Committee Recommendation
99-D-402 Tank farm support services, F&H area, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC	1		1
99-D-404 Health physics instrumentation laboratory. INEL, ID	,		
98-D-453 Plutonium stabilization and handling system for PFP, Richland, WA		·	,
96-D-471 CFC HVAC/chiller retrofit, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC	,		·
86-D-103 Decontamination and waste treatment facility, LLNL, Livermore, CA			
Total, Construction	8,244	•	8,244
Total, Site/project completion	787,950	1	787,950
Post 2006 completion Operation and maintenance Uranium enrichment D&D fund contribution	1,702,241 -		1,702,241 -
Construction: 93-D-187 High-level waste removal from filled waste tanks, Savannah River, SC	14,870	ı	14,870
Office of river protection Operation and maintenance	226,256		226,256

	FY 2003 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2003 Committee Recommendation
Construction: 03-D-403 Immobilized high-level waste interim storage facility, Richland, WA	6,363		6,363
01-D-416 Tank waste remediation system, RL	619,000	I	619,000
97-D-402 Tank farm restoration and safe operations, Richland, WA	25,424	·	25,424
94-D-407 Initial tank retrieval systems, Richland, WA	20,945		20,945
Total, Construction	671,732		671,732
Total. Office of river protection	892,988		897,988
Total, Post 2006 completion	2,615,099	E .	2,615,099
Science and technology	92,000	•	92,000
Excess facilities	1,300	1	. 1,30
Multi-Site activities			
Uranium enrichment D&D fund contribution Other activities	442,000 37.871		37,871
Total, Multi-Site activities	479,871		479,871
Safequards and security	228,260		228,260
Program direction	358,227	(14,227)	
Subtotal, Defense environmental restoration and	4.562.707	(14.227)	1,548,480

Title XXXI - Department of Energy National Security Programs

5	
(	
wi - pebai ilitetit ol Eliol 31 maiorial oceany i rogi an	Dollars in Thousands)
ົລ	Tho
5	.⊆
i	llars
2	å
>	Č
3	
2222	

	FY 2003 Authorization Request	Committee Change from Request	FY 2003 Committee Recommendation
General reduction Less security charge for reimbursable work	(4,347)		(4,347)
Total, Defense Environmental Restoration And Waste Management	4,558,360	(14,227)	4,544,133
Environmental Management Cleanup Reform Environmental management cleanup reform	800,000		800,000
Defense Facilities Closure Projects	1 051 4 6 0		4 DEA 4E0
Site closure Safeguards and security	37,161		37,161
Total, Defense Facilities Closure Projects	1,091,314	•	1,091,314
Defense Environmental Management Privatization Privatization initiatives, various locations	158,399		158,399
Total, Defense Environmental Management	6,608,073	(14,227)	6,593,846
Other Defense Activities			
Energy security and assurance Energy security	23.411		23,411
Program direction	4,275		4,275
Total, Energy security and assurance	27,686	¢	27,686

403

ittee FY 2003 • from Committee est Recommendation	- 91,102 - 45,870 (1,703) 48,543	- (1,703) 185,515	(313) 41,246 (128) 45,955	(185) 22,430	- 81,892 (869) 17,149 (5,000) (5,000)	(5,869) 94,041	- 22,965 (91) 2,718 (6,000) (6,000) (6,091) 19,683
FY 2003 Committee Authorization Change from Request Request	91,102 45,870 50,246	- 187,218	41,559 46,083 -	22,615	81,892 18,018	99,910	22,965 2,809 2,774
٩	Office of Security Nuclear safeguards and security Security investigations Program direction	Chief information officer Corporate management information program Total, Office of Security	Intelligence Counterintelligence Advanced accelerator applications	Independent oversight and performance assurance	Environment, safety & health Environment, safety and health (defense) Program direction Management efficiencies	Total, Environment, safety and health	Worker and community transition Worker and community transition Program direction Management efficiencies Total, Worker and community transition

Title XXXI - Department of Energy National Security Programs (Dollars in Thousands)

Programs
Security
<ul> <li>National</li> </ul>
of Energy
partment
XXXI - De
Title )

(Dollars in Thousands)

National security programs administration support	Hequest	Committee Recommendation
	- (203)	25,587 2,933
Subtotal, Other defense activities 479,568	(14,492)	465,076
Adjustments: Lien of adjust voor helennee		(6 700)
imbursable work		(712)
Total, Adjustments (7,412)	-	(7,412)
Total, Other Defense Activities 472,156	(14,492)	457,664

Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal Defense nuclear waste disposal	315,000	1	315,000
Total, Environmental and Other Defense Activities	7,395,229	(28,719)	7,366,510

Total, Environmental and Other Defense Activities	7,395,229	(28,719)	7,366,510
TOTAL, Atomic Energy Defense Activitites	15,433,963	(33,104)	15,400,859

# ITEM OF SPECIAL INTEREST

#### Full Funding for Retiree Costs in the Fiscal Year 2003 Budget Request

The Administration proposed legislation to require agencies, beginning in fiscal year 2003, to pay the full government share of the accruing cost of retirement for current Civil Service Retirement System (CSRS) employees and to pay the full accruing cost of postretirement health benefits for current civilian employees who are enrolled in the Federal Employees Health Benefits Program (FEHB). At the present time, agencies pay about half of the employer's share for accruing benefits, and the remainder is covered by a mandatory general fund payment. The Administration's proposed change would require specific legislation to move the full government share to each agency's budget.

The committee understands that the appropriate committee with jurisdiction to initiate this change has declined to consider the required legislation and, therefore, recommends continuing the current practice of funding these benefits. The fiscal year 2003 budget request for the atomic energy defense activities of the Department of Energy (DOE) includes \$33.1 million to fund this proposed change in the CSRS and the FEHB program. The following represents the total budget request for funding for CSRS and FEHB that has not been included in the committee's recommendation for the atomic energy defense activities of the Department of Energy:

#### Program

[In thousands of dollars]

National Nuclear Security Administration:	
Weapons Activities—Secure Transportation Asset program direction	2,379
Naval Reactors—program direction	1,230
Office of the Administrator	11,776
Environmental Management: Defense Environmental Restoration and	
Waste Management—program direction	14,227
Other Defense Activities:	
Office of Security—program direction	1,703
Intelligence	313
Counterintelligence	128
Independent oversight and performance assurance	185
Environmental, Safety and Health—program direction	869
Worker and community transition—program direction	91
Office of Hearings and Appeals	203
5 II	

## NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

#### Overview

The budget request contained \$8,038.7 million for the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) for fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends \$8,034.3 million, representing an increase of \$913.3 million from the amount authorized for fiscal year 2002.

## ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

## Adjustments to the budget request

The committee recommends \$8,034.3 million for the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA), including reductions for retirement accrual, and makes adjustments to individual programs.

The budget request contained a record \$5,869.4 million for Weapons Activities, including \$1,234.5 million for directed stockpile work. The committee remains concerned that NNSA nuclear weapon life extension program goals are not properly matched to Department of Defense needs, as evidenced by life extension and modernization activities for the weapon systems, and the delivery vehicles designed to carry those warheads and bombs.

The budget request contained a record \$1,113.6 million for Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation programs. The committee remains concerned that, as evidenced by a pattern of high unobligated balances, many international cooperative programs have been funded at a rate in excess of what the programs can effectively absorb.

#### Reductions

The budget request contained \$14.6 million for international nuclear safety programs. The committee recommends \$11.6 million, a reduction of \$3.0 million. The committee cautions that other federal and international entities already have nuclear safety as a primary mission.

The budget request contained \$49.3 million for the elimination of weapons-grade plutonium production program. The committee recommends \$19.3 million, a reduction of \$30.0 million. The committee notes that this program is being transferred from the Department of Defense's Cooperative Threat Reduction program, with \$57.8 million in unobligated balances. The committee believes that NNSA's request for an additional \$49.3 million in fiscal year 2003 is excessive, especially given that the Administration has no detailed plan for execution of the program, or even a formal agreement with the Russian Federation with regard to cost sharing and shut down of the reactors at Seversk and Zheleznogorsk.

The budget request contained \$98.0 million for Russian surplus fissile materials disposition. The committee recommends \$88.0 million, a reduction of \$10.0 million specifically to program support and oversight in the United States. The committee notes that the budget request more than doubles funds for these activities in fiscal year 2003 to over one-third of the request for the program. The committee has cautioned NNSA in the past regarding excessive levies on international programs.

The budget request, less retirement accrual, contained \$335.9 million for the Office of the Administrator. The committee recommends \$315.9 million, a reduction of \$20.0 million to hold this appropriation account to the comparable fiscal year 2002 level. The committee expects economies to result from the organizational streamlining and management efficiencies that Congress in large part created NNSA to effect.

#### Increases

The budget request contained \$949.9 million in Readiness in Technical Base and Facilities for operations of facilities. The committee recommends \$994.9 million, an increase of \$45.0 million. The committee is aware of the poor condition of weapons complex infrastructure, particularly at the production plants, and the continuing need to address maintenance backlogs. The committee recommends \$25.0 million for infrastructure maintenance and mission essential upgrades and replacements at the Pantex Plant. The committee recommends an additional \$20.0 million for repairs of facilities and priority upgrades at the Y-12 Plant.

The budget request contained \$451.8 million for the high energy density physics (HEDP) campaign, including \$237.7 million for operations and maintenance, and \$214.0 million for National Ignition Facility construction. The committee recommends \$262.7 million, an increase of \$25.0 million, for HEDP campaign operations and maintenance. The HEDP campaign comprises experimental programs directed towards developing data on the properties and behavior of matter under extreme conditions of temperature and pressure, and is critical to gaining a scientific understanding of how nuclear weapons work. Data developed in HEDP programs are used to validate computer simulations, which in turn are used to assess weapon characteristics, and excursions from nominal performance. In particular, the committee is concerned by reductions and terminations in the budget request of high technical quality programs such as the high average power laser program and the petawatt initiative.

The budget request contained \$194.0 million for U.S. surplus materials disposition programs. The committee recommends \$198.0 million, an increase of \$4.0 million to investigate alternative technologies and fuel cycles for disposition of weapons grade plutonium excess to defense needs. The committee understands that the Administration has selected fabrication of mixed oxide fuel (MOX) for consumption in commercial power reactors as its baseline approach. However, the committee is aware that, in the longer term, other approaches such as fuel cycles based on thorium could offer significant advantages in terms of proliferation resistance and efficiency of plutonium consumption. The committee encourages NNSA to work with both the private sector and the Russian Federation to assess the technical feasibility and economic viability of thoriumbased fuel cycles.

#### Federal workforce restructuring

A number of independent assessments have described federal management of the nuclear weapons complex as burdened by excessive, and in some cases duplicative, staffing.

In its 1999 Report on Security Problems at the U.S. Department of Energy, the President's Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board (PFIAB) described a management structure comprising "layer upon layer of bureaucracy" that made it nearly impossible to assign responsibility or accountability. The PFIAB singled out for special comment the field offices, which have been described as redundant "shadow headquarters," pressing their own agendas and priorities, concluding that the weapons labs reported to "far too many DOE masters". The PFIAB report was highly instrumental in triggering Congress to pass in 1999 the National Nuclear Security Administration Act, title XXXII of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106–65), leading to establishment of a semi-autonomous agency within the Department to manage the weapons complex.

In its report NNSA Management: Progress in the Implementation of Title 32 dated December 12, 2001, GAO noted that longstanding issues of organizational roles and responsibilities remained unaddressed in a substantive way, and that NNSA reform efforts appeared to be losing momentum in some areas.

In its FY 2001 Report to Congress of the Panel to Assess the Reliability, Safety, and Security of the United States Nuclear Stockpile of March 15, 2002, the Panel emphasized a continuing need to reduce duplicative and non-value added management practices, and correspondingly to implement significant reductions in NNSA staff. The Panel recommended that this smaller government organization focus on oversight and policy responsibilities, and "restore management responsibility, authority and accountability to the laboratory directors and plant managers for meeting requirements, standards, timelines, and budgets".

The committee concurs with these assessments. While NNSA's Report to Congress on the Organization and Operations of the National Nuclear Security Administration of February 25, 2002 appears to anticipate that "streamlined processes and redefined roles" will lead to a "significant reduction" in federal staff, the report provides no specifics on the size of the reductions or the timeline over which they will occur. In the meantime, the committee notes that justification materials submitted with the budget request show that federal staffing levels at NNSA have actually grown since fiscal year 2001. The committee strongly urges the Administrator to move forward decisively and expeditiously with a restructuring of the NNSA federal workforce, and start NNSA on the path to realizing the organizational streamlining and management efficiencies Congress intended in passing the NNSA Act in 1999.

## Foster Panel Assessment of NNSA Reform Efforts

The last underground test of a nuclear weapon at the Nevada Test Site occurred a decade ago. Since that time the United States has observed a moratorium on testing, relying instead on a sciencebased stewardship program to certify the continued viability of the nation's nuclear stockpile. Concerns regarding the efficacy of this approach led Congress in 1998 to establish a panel to assess the process for certifying the safety, reliability and performance of nuclear weapons in the absence of testing. Section 3159 of the Strom Thurmond National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (Public Law 105–261) established the Panel to Assess the Reliability, Safety, and Security of the United States Nuclear Stockpile (commonly know as the Foster Panel after its chairman, Dr. John S. Foster). The Panel, established for a period of three years, has consistently noted in its annual reports "\* \* \* the disturbing gap between the nation's policy that maintaining a safe and reliable nuclear stockpile is a supreme national interest and the actions taken to support this policy". The committee has benefited greatly from the Panel's independent assessments, and expresses its appreciation for the contributions to national security of its members.

In 1999, Congress fundamentally restructured how the Department of Energy manages defense nuclear activities. Title 32 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106–65), the National Nuclear Security Administration Act, established a semi-autonomous NNSA within DOE. In passing the Act, Congress intended to address significant and long-standing problems relating to DOE's management of defense nuclear programs by establishing an organization that would be responsible for, and accountable for, management of the nation's nuclear stockpile and related programs. NNSA was statutorily established over two years ago, on March 1, 2000. The committee has been fortunate that the Panel's tenure has included the first two years of NNSA's organizational life.

In standing up and staffing a new organization, Congress has provided a rare opportunity to address the difficult and important problems that have confounded efforts to properly manage the nation's nuclear stockpile. On March 15, 2002, the Foster Panel submitted its fiscal year 2001 report to Congress—Expectations for the U.S. Nuclear Stockpile Stewardship Program. In it, the Panel notes that some progress has been made. However, the report also states:

There remains an urgent need for NNSA to address the fundamental problems that Congress created it to correct. The start-up phase is now over. If NNSA cannot within the current year achieve the autonomy and provide the leadership Congress intended, it is appropriate for Congress to revisit other options for managing the nuclear weapons program.

# The committee concurs with this assessment.

The committee regards the current year as a watershed, during which NNSA's organizational and management reform efforts are likely to succeed or fail. Because of the value the committee places on independent assessment, and the critical need for attaining a functional nuclear weapons complex, the committee, in Section 3141, extends the termination date of the Panel to April 1, 2003.

### Pit Manufacturing and Certification Campaign

The budget request contained \$194.5 million for plutonium pit manufacturing and certification programs. The committee recommends the budget request.

The United States remains the only nuclear power without the ability to produce all the components of a nuclear weapon. In particular, the United States has not produced a plutonium pit, a critical weapon component, since manufacturing operations ceased at Rocky Flats in 1989. The goal of the manufacturing campaign is to produce a certifiable W88 pit in fiscal year 2003, and establish a limited production capability of 10 pits per year at Los Alamos National Laboratory by 2007. The National Nuclear Security Administration intends to be able to certify a W88 pit without underground testing by fiscal year 2009, with a goal of sooner achieving this capability in 2007.

The campaign as described above is designed to meet a limited need for W88 surveillance pits for destructive evaluation purposes. Ultimately the nation will require the ability to produce replacement pits at a far higher rate in order to meet the needs of the enduring stockpile. While the effects of aging, and consequently the lifetime of pits, are not known with certainty, and international agreements may further affect requirements for new pits, the committee believes that prudence dictates a need to proceed immediately, with preliminary steps to re-establish a large scale pit production facility, especially given that site selection and permitting will likely entail an extended process. The committee is somewhat concerned that the budget request of \$2.0 million for design of a modern pit facility, half that appropriated in fiscal year 2004, is not commensurate with the seriousness of the need.

## Robust nuclear earth penetrator

The committee understands that the NNSA intends to reprogram \$7.0 million of fiscal year 2002 funds, and requests \$15.0 million in fiscal year 2003, to begin formal design studies for a robust nuclear earth penetrator (RNEP). The 6.2/6.2a design study has been approved by the Nuclear Weapons Council with a cost to completion of \$46.0 million, and will involve repackaging of an existing stockpile warhead. The committee understands that RNEP is not a new design, is not a low yield "mini nuke", and is not "clean" in the sense that fallout and collateral damage can be contained. Consequently the committee does not believe that RNEP represents a significant departure from current stockpile weapons. The committee expects to be informed of any changes to the parameters of this study.

#### Stockpile certification

In 1995 the President established a requirement for annual certification of the nuclear stockpile. The committee believes this annual certification, including an assessment of the need to resume underground tests, provides a valuable measure of the health of the nation's strategic deterrent. In section 3144, the committee has taken action to strengthen this certification process by requiring an assessment of other factors that have strong bearing on the certification process, including the adequacy of the tools and methods on which those certifications are based, and the ability of the weapons complex infrastructure to detect and resolve problems in the stockpile. The committee has also taken measures to strengthen peer review in the certification process.

#### Test readiness

The President has stated that resumption of underground nuclear testing is not required at this time, and the Administration continues to observe the moratorium on nuclear testing. As reflected in justification materials submitted to Congress in support of the President's fiscal year 2003 budget request, the policy of the NNSA is to be capable of resuming underground testing within two to three years, should the President determine that such tests are necessary. The NNSA Administrator has stated that the current test readiness posture of the weapons complex is closer to three years.

The most recent Nuclear Posture Review, submitted to Congress by the Department of Defense on January 8, 2002, supports reduction of the Department of Energy's test readiness lead-time.

In its fiscal year 2001 report to Congress submitted on March 15, 2002, the Panel to Assess the Reliability, Safety, and Security of the United States Nuclear Stockpile recommends a test readiness of 3 months to a year depending on the type of test. The Panel

notes that the test "pedigree" of existing weapons is deteriorating with time, and that prudence dictates that the President should have a "realistic option" to resume nuclear testing if technical or political events so require.

The committee concurs with these recommendations. The committee believes that test readiness could be greatly enhanced by, among other actions, planning for specific tests, conducting site preparation activities, laying in diagnostics, and maintaining test articles at the Nevada Test Site. Section 3145 requires the Secretary of Energy, in consultation with the NNSA Administrator, to develop and report to Congress on a plan and budget to achieve a one-year readiness posture within one year of a decision to do so.

## Tritium readiness campaign

The budget request contained \$126.3 million for the tritium readiness campaign. The committee recommends the budget request.

Tritium is a perishable radioactive element that is essential to the proper functioning of stockpile weapons, and consequently must periodically be replaced. The United States has not had the capability to produce tritium since 1988, and has relied on reserves, and tritium recovered and recycled from dismantled weapons, to maintain the stockpile. The committee understands that the tritium readiness campaign is on schedule to begin irradiation of tritium producing bars in commercial light water reactors at Watts Bar and Sequoyah in fiscal year 2003, and to begin production extraction for the stockpile in fiscal year 2006 at the Savannah River Site. The committee urges the National Nuclear Security Administration to continue to maintain the schedule for this critical project.

## ENVIRONMENTAL AND OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

#### Overview

The budget request contained \$7,395.2 million for environmental and other defense activities for fiscal year 2003. The committee recommends \$7,366.5 million, including reductions for retirement accrual, representing an increase of \$410.9 million from the amount authorized for fiscal year 2002.

#### Items of Special Interest

## Adjustments to the Budget Request

The budget request, less retirement accrual, contained \$99.0 million for Other Defense Activities environment, safety, and health (ES&H) programs. The committee recommends \$94.0 million, a reduction of \$5.0 million. The committee notes that the budget had increased in recent years to accommodate administrative functions associated with assessment and compensation programs that should present a relatively short term increase in funding requirements, and that other worker health studies should be nearing completion.

The budget request, less retirement accrual, contained \$25.7 million for Other Defense Activities worker and community transition programs. The committee recommends \$19.7, a reduction of \$6.0 million to hold these programs to fiscal year 2002 levels.

## Environmental management cleanup reform program

The budget request contained \$800.0 million to establish a new environmental management cleanup reform program. This new program is designed to provide the vehicle for implementing the recommendations of the Department of Energy's recently completed "top to bottom review" of its environmental management programs (EM). As structured today, this review concluded that the EM pro-gram now has a life cycle cost of \$220 billion and, that without significant change in business processes, the cost estimate could easily increase to more than \$300 billion. In fact only about one-third of the EM program budget is going toward actual cleanup and risk reduction work. The remainder is spent on maintenance, fixed costs, and other activities required to support safety and security. Not only have the dollar estimates proven to be overly optimistic, the schedule estimates have followed a similar path. Numerous sites are already unable to meet their commitments as outlined in an earlier 1998 Departmental report. Moreover, the three largest sites-Savannah River, Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory, and Hanford-have such long term completion dates (2038, 2050, and 2070, respectively) that the estimates for cost and schedule are highly uncertain and subject to change. The reality of an extended cleanup schedule is that eventually it could lead to more prolonged and potentially severe public health and environmental risks.

With these facts not in dispute, it was critical for the Department to seek alternative cleanup approaches that would be designed to produce more real risk reduction, accelerated cleanup, and cost and schedule improvements. This new program is established for the purpose of meeting these goals. Evidence does suggest that a program can be turned around if a site can adopt an approach similar to that taken at Rocky Flats, Colorado. By adopting a risk based management approach, combined with a clear mission, a culture of urgency, and a performance based contract, the cleanup at Rocky Flats is now scheduled to be completed 50 years ahead of schedule and \$30 billion below the original baseline. The goal of the new program is in essence to take the successes at Rocky Flats and apply those principles complex-wide.

Under this new cleanup reform program it is contemplated that the Department will work with the States and federal regulators with a goal of reaching an agreement on an accelerated and risk-based cleanup—a cleanup that eliminates unneeded activities. Once an agreement or "site performance management plan" is reached and a new cost savings and funding profile is established for the acceleration or alternate cleanup strategy, funds will be made available from the EM Cleanup Reform account to fund or supplement existing funding of a site's base budget. The committee expects that the site's entire budget for cleanup will be used for activities addressed and agreed to in the site performance management plan. Finally, this new program is designed to ensure that constant or greater funding levels are available to those States whose cooperative efforts lead to greater and faster risk reduction. In that regard, the committee understands that the Department has been in initial discussions with state officials representing the sites most affected by this new program. As a result of these discussions, the committee has been advised that a "letter of intent"

has been signed with the State of Washington to accelerate cleanup at that state's Hanford site. The agreement proposes an allocation of approximately \$433.0 million from this new cleanup reform account. This agreement, if and when it is fully implemented, would accelerate cleanup by 35–45 years and result in cost savings of \$33 billion over the current projected costs. The committee understands that negotiations with the State of South Carolina are moving rapidly toward a similar agreement. The committee understands that this agreement, if finalized, will result in a substantial monetary increase above the site's base budget for fiscal year 2003 of \$961.1 million and at the same time result in an accelerated cleanup and risk reduction. The committee is encouraged by these efforts and urges other sites to develop proposals for an accelerated and riskbased cleanup.

#### LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

# SUBTITLE A—NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS AUTHORIZATIONS

## Section 3101-National Nuclear Security Administration

This section would authorize funds for the National Nuclear Security Administration for fiscal year 2003, including funds for weapons activities, defense nuclear nonproliferation programs, naval reactors programs, and the Office of the Administrator.

## Section 3102—Environmental and Other Defense Activities

This section would authorize funds for environmental and other defense activities for fiscal year 2003, including funds for defense environmental restoration and waste management, defense environmental management cleanup reform, defense facilities closure projects, defense environmental management privatization, other defense activities, and defense nuclear waste disposal.

## SUBTITLE B—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS GENERAL PROVISIONS

## Section 3120—Short Title; Definitions

This section would designate this subtitle as the "Department of Energy National Security Authorizations General Provisions Act". This Act will make permanent law certain recurring provisions governing the use of funds authorized for national security programs of the Department of Energy. This section would also define the terms "DOE national security authorization", "congressional defense committee", and the term "minor construction project".

## Section 3121—Reprogramming

This section would prohibit the reprogramming of funds in excess of the amount authorized for the program until the Secretary of Energy has notified the congressional defense committees and a period of 30 days has elapsed after the date on which the notification is received.

## Section 3122—Minor Construction Projects

This section would limit the initiation of a minor construction project if the current estimated cost for the project exceeds \$5.0 million, and would require the Secretary of Energy to notify the congressional defense committees in the event the estimated cost of any project exceeds \$5.0 million and the reasons for the cost variation.

## Section 3123—Limits on Construction Projects

This section would permit the initiation and continuation of any construction project only if the estimated cost for the project does not exceed 125 percent of the higher of: (1) the amount authorized for the project; or (2) the most recent total estimated cost presented to Congress as justification for such project. To exceed this limit, the Secretary of Energy must report in detail the reason therefore to the congressional defense committees and the report must be before the committees for 30 legislative days. This section would also specify that the 125 percent limitation would not apply to projects estimated to cost under \$5.0 million.

## Section 3124—Fund Transfer Authority

This section would authorize the Secretary of Energy to transfer funds to other agencies of the government for performance of work for which funds were authorized and appropriated. The provision would permit the merger of such funds with the funds made available to the agency to which they are transferred.

# Section 3125—Authority for Conceptual and Construction Design

This section would require the Secretary of Energy to certify that a conceptual design for a construction project has been completed prior to requesting funding for that project, except in the case of emergencies.

## Section 3126—Authority for Emergency Planning, Design, and Construction Activities

This section would authorize the Secretary of Energy to perform planning and design for construction activities utilizing available funds for any Department of Energy national security program whenever the Secretary determines that the design must proceed expeditiously to protect the public health and safety, to meet the needs of national defense, or to protect property.

# Section 3127—Funds Available for all National Security Programs of the Department of Energy

This section would authorize, subject to section 3121 of this act, amounts appropriated for management and support activities and for general plant projects to be made available for use in connection with all national security programs of the Department of Energy.

## Section 3128—Availability of Funds

This section would allow funds authorized for atomic energy activities of the Department of Energy to remain available until expended, except for amounts appropriated for the National Nuclear Security Administration pursuant to a DOE national security authorization. Amounts appropriated for the Office of the Administrator for Nuclear Security will remain available until the end of that fiscal year and all other amounts appropriated to the National Nuclear Security Administration will remain available for a total of three fiscal years.

## Section 3129—Transfer of Defense Environmental Management Funds

This section would provide the manager of each field office of the Department of Energy with limited authority to transfer defense environmental management funds from a program or project under the jurisdiction of the office to another such program or project.

## Section 3130—Transfer of Weapons Activities Funds

This section would provide the manager of each field office of the Department of Energy with limited authority to transfer weapons activities funds from a program or project under the jurisdiction of the office to another such program or project.

# Section 3131—Scope of Authority to Carry Out Plant Projects

This section would clarify that the authority of the Secretary of Energy to carry out plant projects includes authority for maintenance, restoration, planning, construction, acquisition, modification of facilities, and continuation of projects authorized in prior years, and related land acquisition.

# SUBTITLE C—PROGRAM AUTHORIZATIONS, RESTRICTIONS, AND LIMITATIONS

Section 3141—One-year Extension of Panel to Assess the Reliability, Safety, and Security of the United States Nuclear Stockpile

This section would extend the statutory termination date of the Panel to Assess the Reliability, Safety, and Security of the United States Nuclear Stockpile (also known as the Foster Panel) to April 1, 2003. The section would also require an additional report from the Panel on February 1, 2003.

Section 3142—Transfer to National Nuclear Security Administration of the Department of Defense's Cooperative Threat Reduction Program Relating to Elimination of Weapons Grade Plutonium in Russia

This section would transfer the Cooperative Threat Reduction program relating to elimination of weapons grade plutonium production in Russia from the Department of Defense (DOD) to the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) of the Department of Energy. The section would transfer specified assets of the program to the NNSA, including any unexpended balances of appropriations. The provision would not remove program limitations or restrictions, including the period of availability of funds for obligation. The section would also transfer responsibility for obligations under federal law from officers of DOD to those of NNSA.

## Section 3143—Repeal of Requirement for Reports on Obligations of Funds for Programs on Fissile Materials in Russia

This section repeals a duplicative reporting requirement related to programs to improve the protection, control, and accountability of fissile materials in Russia.

Section 3144—Annual Certification to the President and Congress on the Condition of the United States Nuclear Weapons Stockpile

This section would require an annual certification to the President and Congress on the safety, reliability, and performance of each nuclear weapon type in the active stockpile of the United States. The certifications would be required from the directors of the National Laboratories and the commander of United States Strategic Command for each weapon type for which they are responsible. The section would also require a report from the aforementioned on other matters related to the certifications, including an assessment of the need for the United States to resume underground nuclear testing, and would require the National Laboratory directors to use certain "red team" procedures for the certification process. The section would require the submission of the certifications and reports to the Secretaries of Defense and Energy, as appropriate, by January 15th of each year, and would require that the Secretaries forward the certifications and reports unchanged to the President and Congress not later than February 1st of each year.

Section 3145—Plan for Achieving One-Year Readiness for Resumption by the United States of Underground Nuclear Weapons Tests

This section would require the Secretary of Energy to submit to Congress with the fiscal year 2004 budget request a report on a plan and a budget to enhance underground nuclear test readiness. The report would detail the plan and budget required to achieve a one-year readiness posture for resumption of underground nuclear weapons tests. A one-year readiness posture is the capability of the Department of Energy to resume underground tests not later than one year after so directed by the President, should the President determine that such tests are necessary. The provision would require that the plan and budget provide for attainment of a one-year readiness posture within one year of a decision to execute the plan.

# SUBTITLE D—PROVISIONS RELATING TO DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

# Section 3151—Defense Environmental Management Cleanup Reform Program

This section would require the Secretary of Energy to carry out a program to reform the Department's environmental management activities using the funds authorized in section 3102(a)(2) of this act. The Secretary would be authorized to transfer funds to each site upon the execution of a site performance management plan and upon its submission to the congressional defense committees. The site performance management plan for a site is defined as a plan, agreed to by the applicable federal and state agencies with regulatory jurisdiction with respect to the site, that provides for the performance of activities that will accelerate the reduction of environmental risk and will also accelerate the environmental cleanup at the site. Upon the transfer and merger of the funds, all funds in the merged account are available only to carry out the site performance management plan at the site.

Section 3152—Report on Status of Environmental Management Initiatives to Accelerate the Reduction of Environmental Risks and Challenges Posed by the Legacy of the Cold War

This section would require the Secretary of Energy to prepare a report on the status of the management initiatives recommended in the Department's report entitled "Top-to-Bottom Review of the Environmental Management Program" and dated February 4, 2002. Specifically, this report is to address the progress being made in streamlining risk reduction processes, contract management, acquisition strategy, and consolidation of special nuclear materials. This section would require the report to be submitted to the congressional defense committees with the submission of the Department's budget justification materials for fiscal year 2004.

# TITLE XXXII—DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

# ITEM OF SPECIAL INTEREST

## Full Funding for Retiree Costs in the Fiscal Year 2003 Budget Request

The Administration proposed legislation to require agencies, beginning in fiscal year 2003, to pay the full government share of the accruing cost of retirement for current Civil Service Retirement System (CSRS) employees and to pay the full accruing cost of postretirement health benefits for current civilian employees who are enrolled in the Federal Employees Health Benefits Program (FEHB). At the present time, agencies pay about half of the employer's share for accruing benefits, and the remainder is covered by a mandatory general fund payment. The Administration's proposed change would require specific legislation to move the full government share to each agency's budget. The committee understands that the appropriate committee with jurisdiction to initiate this change has declined to consider the required legislation and, therefore, recommends continuing the current practice of funding these benefits. The fiscal year 2003 budget request for the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board includes \$0.5 million to fund this proposed change in the CSRS and the FEHB program. The committee recommendation does not include this amount.

# LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

## Section 3201—Authorization

This section would authorize \$19.0 million for the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board for fiscal year 2003.

# TITLE XXXIII—NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE

# LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

## Section 3301—Authorized Uses Of Stockpile Funds

This section would authorize \$76.4 million from the National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund for the operation and maintenance of the National Defense Stockpile for fiscal year 2003. The provision would also permit the use of additional funds for extraordinary or emergency conditions 45 days after a notification to Congress.

# TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES

# LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

## Section 3401—Authorization of Appropriations

This section would authorize \$21.1 million for fiscal year 2003 for the operation of the Naval Petroleum and Oil Shale Reserves.

# TITLE XXXV—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

## ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

# Full Funding for Retiree Costs in the Fiscal Year 2003 Budget

The Administration proposed legislation to require agencies, beginning in fiscal year 2003, to pay the full Government share of the accruing cost of retirement for current Civil Service Retirement System (CSRS) employees and to pay the full accruing cost of postretirement health benefits for current civilian employees who are enrolled in the Federal Employees Health Benefits Program (FEHB). At the present time, agencies pay about half of the employer's share for accruing benefits, and the remainder is covered by a mandatory general fund payment. The Administration's proposed change would require specific legislation to move the full Government share to each agency's budget.

The committee understands that the appropriate committee with jurisdiction to initiate this change has declined to consider the required legislation and, therefore, recommends continuing the current practice of funding these benefits. The fiscal year 2003 budget request included \$4.4 million dollars for the Maritime Administration to fund this proposed change in the CSRS and the FEHB program. The following represents the total budget request for funding for CSRS and FEHB that has not been included in the committee's recommendation for the Maritime Administration.

[In thousands of dollars]

Fitle XI Administrative Expenses	356
Total	4,445

## Blanket Approval of Vessel Time Charters

Section 9 of the Shipping Act, 1916, (46 App. United States Code 808), requires prior approval of the Secretary Transportation of vessel charters to persons who are not U.S. citizens. In 1992, the Maritime Administration, which is charged with responsibility for administering section 9, issued regulations that granted "blanket" prior approval of time charters and other forms of temporary use agreements to persons who are not U.S. citizens. The committee urges the Maritime Administration to review this policy, as implemented by this regulation, to determine whether changes should be made in light of recent concerns over the security in our nation's ports. The committee expects to receive a report on the Maritime Administration's findings and any recommendations for legislative changes, by November 1, 2002.

## Financial Assistance to States to Prepare Vessels for Use as Artificial Fish Reefs

The budget request contained \$11.1 million for the disposal of four vessels from the National Defense Reserve Fleet. The committee recommends \$20.0 million, an increase of \$8.9 million above the budget request for disposal of obsolete vessels including assistance to states. The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106–398) required the Maritime Administration to dispose of all vessels in the National Defense Fleet that are not otherwise assigned to the Ready Reserve Force or otherwise designated for a specific purpose by September 30, 2006. The cost to accomplish this goal will likely exceed \$350.0 million based on current estimates. While scrapping of certain vessels is the only method of disposal, there also appears to be a substantial demand for use of a number of these obsolete vessels as artificial fishing reefs.

While the Maritime Administration's artificial reef program was established in 1972, it has not been utilized to the extent possible since the individual states that take title to these vessels must pay for the cost of moving the vessel to the location for sinking, pay for the cost of removal of oil and other hazardous substances, and pay for the cost of sinking the vessel. In order to make this program more appealing to the states and to help offset the cost of reefing a vessel, the committee has established a new program which will allow the Secretary of Transportation, acting through the Maritime Administrator, to provide financial assistance to a state to prepare the vessel for use as an artificial reef. This assistance will include the cost of environmental remediation, towing, and sinking. The committee has not set a specific amount of assistance that can be awarded to a state but rather allows the Maritime Administrator to consider a number of elements including the total amount of funding available in the program. The committee understands that it may be substantially less expensive to sink a vessel for use as a reef than paying for the scrapping of the vessel.

The committee recognizes that the process of obtaining approval to sink a vessel as an artificial reef involves the coordination with various agencies of government, including the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the United States Coast Guard, and the United States Environmental Protection Agency, however this new program of financial assistance is intended to remove at least one obstacle in the system. While nothing in this section alters or removes any current environmental requirements, the committee urges the responsible agencies to work together to establish national standards for the cleaning of hazardous materials from ships prior to their sinking as artificial reefs. The committee understands that it typically takes nine months for a state to complete the federal agency coordination. The committee expects that the financial assistance provided in this act, coupled with a uniform national standard, can result in a cost effective and environmentally responsible partial alternative to traditional vessel disposal techniques.

### Loan Guarantee Program

The budget request, excluding the accrued agency costs of Civil Service Retirement and Federal Health benefits, contained \$4.1 million to fund administrative expense associated with the management of the title XI loan guarantee program. The budget request contained no funds for costs, as defined in section 502 of the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990 (Public Law 93–344). The committee recommends \$54.1 million for the title XI program, an increase of \$50 million above the budget request.

## Marketing Efforts to Reduce Potential Losses

The committee is concerned with the recent defaults that have occurred in the title XI loan guarantee programs as a result of the bankruptcy filing by American Classic Voyages and the potential costs of these defaults on the United States Treasury. Although the recent defaults occurred for a variety of reasons, including the downturn in the economy and the events of September 11, 2001, the committee is also concerned that the Maritime Administration may be moving ahead too quickly in its efforts to dispose of the assets which it has or will receive as a result of the bankruptcy filing. It was indeed unfortunate that two of the ships in question were partially completed at the time of the filing; however, the committee is of the opinion that the Maritime Administration should use every effort possible to avoid scrapping these ships. In that regard, the committee strongly urges the Maritime Administration to explore options that will allow these ships to be completed as originally designed, including an aggressive marketing effort to find a new buyer. The committee likewise urges the shipyard where these partially completed ships are located to join in that effort. The committee believes that completion of these ships offers the best longterm opportunity for reducing the ultimate cost to the title XI program. In that regard, the committee expects to be advised on a regular basis of the progress being made in securing a suitable buyer for these ships.

# LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

# Section 3501—Authorization of Appropriations for Fiscal Year 2003

This section would authorize a total of \$167.3 million for fiscal year 2003, an increase of \$54.4 million above the budget request for the Maritime Administration. Of the funds authorized, \$93.1 million would be for operations and training programs, \$50.0 million would be for the costs as defined in section 502 of the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990 (Public Law 93–344), of loan guarantees authorized by title XI of the Merchant Marine Act, 1936, as amended, (46 App. United States Code 1271 *et seq.*), \$4.1 million would be for administrative expenses related to providing these loan guarantees, and \$20.0 million would be for the disposal of obsolete ships in the National Defense Reserve Fleet.

Section 3502—Authorization to Transfer the USS Sphinx

This section would authorize the Secretary of Transportation to convey the vessel USS SPHINX to the Dunkirk Historical Lighthouse and Veterans Park Museum for use as a military museum.

## Section 3503—Financial Assistance to States for Preparation of Obsolete Vessels for Use as Artificial Reefs

This section will allow the Secretary of Transportation to provide financial assistance to states to offset the cost of transferring the vessel to the state and preparing the vessel for use as an artificial reef. The specific amount of funding provided shall be based on the availability of funds, the benefit to the program, and the cost effectiveness compared to other ship disposal options.

## Section 3504—Independent Analysis of Title XI Insurance Guarantee Applications

This section would grant authority to the Secretary to obtain an independent analysis of an application for a guarantee or commitment to guarantee under the Title XI program. It would also, subject to limits contained in current law, allow the Secretary to be reimbursed for the cost of this outside independent analysis.

# DEPARTMENTAL DATA

The Department of Defense requested legislation, in accordance with the program of the President, as illustrated by the correspondence set out below:

# DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION REQUEST

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL, Washington, DC, April 19, 2002.

Hon. J. DENNIS HASTERT, Speaker of the House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: The Department of Defense proposes the enclosed draft legislation, "To authorize appropriations for fiscal year

2003 for military activities of the Department of Defense, to prescribe military personnel strengths for fiscal year 2003, and for other purposes."

This legislative proposal is part of the Department of Defense Legislative Program for the Second Session of the 107th Congress and is necessary to carry out the President's budget plans for fiscal year 2003. The Office of Management and Budget advises that there is no objection to the presentation of this proposal to the Congress, and that its enactment would be in accord with the program of the President.

Sincerely,

## WILLIAM J. HAYNES II, General Counsel.

Enclosures.

## MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION REQUEST

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL, Washington, DC, March 25, 2002.

Hon. J. DENNIS HASTERT, Speaker of the House of Representatives,

Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: The Department of Defense proposes the enclosed bill, Military Construction Authorizations, as part of its legislative program for the Second Session of the 107th Congress, and we urge its enactment.

The enclosed bill will authorize military construction and facility management for the military departments, the defense agencies, the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment program, and the National Guard and Reserve components. It will enhance our efforts to mitigate encroachment on and around our installations and facilities through both partnering with natural resource conservation organizations to purchase property and conveying surplus real property to conservation organizations. Revisions to the house privatization legislation will improve program execution and enable privatization of barracks. We also propose to purchase land near the Pentagon on Boundary Channel Drive in Arlington, Virginia, in order to build a new office building that meets anti-terrorism force protection standards and consolidates activities currently in leased space. Our proposed military construction legislation also includes legislation that will increase our freedom to manage and help us to achieve greater efficiency in our installation management.

The Office of Management and Budget advises that there is no objection, from the standpoint of the Administration's program, to the presentation of these initiatives for your consideration and the consideration of the Congress.

Sincerely,

WILLIAM J. HAYNES II, General Counsel.

Enclosures.

# COMMITTEE POSITION

On May 1, 2002 the Committee on Armed Services, a quorum being present, approved H.R. 4546, as amended, by a vote of 57-1.

# COMMUNICATIONS FROM OTHER COMMITTEES

House of Representatives, Committee of Resources, Washington, DC, May 1, 2002.

Hon. BOB STUMP,

Chairman, Committee on Armed Services, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you for contacting me with regard to several provisions within H.R. 4546, the Defense Authorization Act of 2003. Specifically, you requested to know the Resources Committee's recommended disposition with regard to:

Section 311—Incidental Taking of Migratory Birds During Military Readiness Activity

Section 312—Military Readiness and the Conservation of Protected Species

Section 601—Increase in Basic Pay

Section 602—Expansion of Basic Allowance for Housing

Section 614—One-Year Extension of Other Bonus and Special Pay Authorities

Section 615—Minimum Hardship Duty Pay for Antarctica and Artic Icepack

Section 631—Extension of Leave Travel Deferral Period

Section 641—Concurrent Receipt of Military Retired Pay and Veterans Disability

Section 2821-Land Conveyance, Lands in Alaska

Section 2832—Boundary Adjustments, Marine Corps Base, Quantico

Section 2841—Land Conveyances, Wendover Air Force Base Auxiliary Field, Nevada

Section 2863—Ratification of Agreement Regarding Adak Naval Complex, Alaska

Section 3503—Financial Assistance to States for Preparation of Transferred Obsolete Ships for Use as Artificial Reefs

Title XIV—Utah Test and Training Range

Because of the urgent need to bring this bill to the floor of the House in order to provide crucial support of our military, I am writing to inform you that I have reviewed the above-referenced provisions and am in full support of their inclusion in H.R. 4546. Therefore, I will not seek a sequential referral of the bill. This action does not affect any future jurisdictional claims over these, or similar, provisions. In addition, I would request that the Committee on Resources be represented during any conference proceedings on all matters within its jurisdiction.

I recognize that our two committees have historically worked very closely together on matters of mutual interest, and I am pleased that I have had a hand in the drafting of several of these provisions. I also greatly appreciate the assistance and competence of your staff, and look forward to continuing to work with you to ensure that H.R. 4546 is enacted into law.

Sincerely yours,

JAMES V. HANSEN, Chairman.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS, Washington, DC, April 30, 2002.

Hon. BOB STUMP,

Chairman, Committee on Armed Services, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: I am writing concerning H.R. 4546, the "National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003," which is scheduled for action by your committee this week.

As you know, the Committee on Ways and Means has jurisdiction over matters concerning Medicare. Section 713 of the bill repeals the report requirement on the Medicare Subvention Demonstration Project. However, in order to expedite this legislation for floor consideration, we will not take action on this particular proposal. This is being done with the understanding that it does not in any way prejudice the Committee with respect to the appointment of conferees or its jurisdictional prerogatives on this or similar legislation.

I would appreciate your response to this letter, confirming this understanding with respect to H.R. 4546 and would ask that a copy of our exchange of letters on this matter be included in your committee report.

Best Regards,

BILL THOMAS, Chairman.

House of Representatives, Committee on Armed Services, Washington, DC, May 1, 2002.

Hon. BILL THOMAS,

Chairman, Committee on Ways and Means,

House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you for your letter of April 30, 2002 regarding H.R. 4546, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003.

I agree that the Committee on Ways and Means has valid jurisdictional claims to certain provisions in this important legislation, and I am most appreciative of your decision not to request such a referral in the interest of expediting consideration of the bill. I agree that by foregoing a sequential referral, the Committee on Ways and Means is not waiving its jurisdiction. Further, as you requested, this exchange of letters will be included in the Committee report on the bill.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

BOB STUMP, Chairman.

## House of Representatives, Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, *Washington, DC, May 2, 2002.*

Hon. BOB STUMP,

Chairman, Committee on Armed Services, House of Representatives, Washington, DC

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: This letter concerns the jurisdictional interest of the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure in H.R. 4546, the Department of Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003.

H.R. 4546, as ordered reported by the Committee on Armed Services, contains many provisions over which the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure has jurisdiction. These include all sections that affect the pay, benefits, and personnel of the United States Coast Guard and the United States Coast Guard Reserve as well as provisions concerning war risk insurance.

Our Committee recognizes the importance of H.R. 4546 and the need for this legislation to move expeditiously. While we have a valid claim to jurisdiction over several provisions, I do not intend to request a sequential referral of the bill. This is, of course, conditional on our mutual understanding that nothing in this legislation waives or affects the jurisdiction of the Transportation Committee, that every effort will be made to include any agreements worked out by our staffs as the bill is taken to the Floor, and that a copy of this letter and your response will be included in the Committee Report and as part of the Record during consideration of the bill by the House.

The Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure also asks that you support our request to be conferees on the provisions over which we have jurisdiction during any House-Senate conference.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

DON YOUNG, Chairman.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES, Washington, DC, May 2, 2002.

Hon. DON YOUNG,

Chairman, Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you for your letter of May 2, 2003 regarding H.R. 4546, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003.

I agree that the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure has valid jurisdictional claims to certain provisions in this important legislation, and I am most appreciative of your decision not to request such a referral in the interest of expediting consideration of the bill. I agree that by foregoing a sequential referral, the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure is not waiving its jurisdiction. Further, as you requested, this exchange of letters will be included in the Committee report on the bill. Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. Sincerely,

BOB STUMP, Chairman.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, COMMITTEE ON VETERANS' AFFAIRS, Washington, DC, May 2, 2002.

Hon. BOB STUMP, Chairman, Committee on Armed Services, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: I wish to inform the Committee on Armed Services that the Committee on Veterans' Affairs hereby waives any jurisdiction it may have over sections 641 and 651 of Title VI of the Defense Authorization Bill for Fiscal Year 2003, has no objection to them and does not desire their referral.

Sincerely,

CHRISTOPHER H. SMITH, Chairman.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND THE WORKFORCE, *Washington, DC, May 2, 2002.* 

Hon. BOB STUMP,

Chairman, Committee on Armed Services,

House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you for working with me in your development of H.R. 4546, the "National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003," specifically:

1. Section 341, "Assistance to Local Educational Agencies that Benefit Dependents of Members of the Armed Forces and Department of Defense Civilian Employees."

2. Section 342, "Availability of Quarters Allowance for Unaccompanied Defense Department Teacher Required to Reside on Overseas Military Installation."

3. Section 343, "Provision of Summer School Programs for Students who Attend Defense Dependent's Education System."

4. Section 366, "Amendments to Certain Education and Nutrition Laws Relating to Acquisition and Improvement of Military Housing."

As you know, these provisions are within the jurisdiction of the Education and the Workforce Committee. While I do not intend to seek sequential referral of H.R. 4546, the Committee does hold an interest in preserving its future jurisdiction with respect to issues raised in the aforementioned provisions and its jurisdictional prerogatives should the provisions of this bill or any Senate amendments thereto be considered in a conference with the Senate. We would expect to be appointed as conferees on these provisions should a conference with the Senate arise.

I do have concerns regarding the change made in Committee to the length of the authorization amending the National School Lunch Act; however, I am certain that we can work together to address our mutual goals. Again, I thank you for working with me in developing the amendments to H.R 4546 and look forward to working with you on these issues in the future.

Sincerely,

JOHN BOEHNER, Chairman.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE. Washington, DC, May 2, 2002.

Hon. BOB STUMP,

Chairman, Committee on Armed Services,

House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: On May 1, 2002, the Committee on Armed Services ordered reported H.R. 4546, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003. As ordered reported by the Committee on Armed Services, this legislation contains a number of provisions that fall within the jurisdiction of the Committee on Energy and Commerce. These provisions include the following:

Section 601. Increase in basic pay for fiscal year 2003. Section 602. Increase basic allowance for subsistence for members forced to purchase meal outside messing facilities.

Section 612. One-year extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for certain health care professionals.

Section 614. One-year extension of other bonus and special pay authorities.

Section 615. Minimum levels of hardship duty pay for duty on the ground in Antarctica or Arctic icepack. Section 631. Extension of leave travel deferral period for mem-

bers.

Section 641. Phase-in of full concurrent receipt of military retired pay and veterans disability compensation for military retirees with disabilities rated at 60 percent or higher.

Section 704. Improvements regarding the Department of Defense Medicare-eligible retiree health care fund.

Section 713. Repeal of report requirement.

Section 3201. Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board Authorization.

Recognizing your interest in bringing this legislation before the House expeditiously, the Committee on Energy and Commerce agrees not to seek a sequential referral of the bill based on the provisions listed above. By agreeing not to seek a sequential referral, the Committee on Energy and Commerce does not waive its jurisdiction over these provisions or any other provisions of the bill that may fall within its jurisdiction. In addition, the Committee on Energy and Commerce reserves its right to seek conferees on any provisions within its jurisdiction which are considered in the House-Senate conference, and asks for your support in being accorded such conferees.

I request you include this letter as part of the report on H.R. 4546 and as part of the Record during consideration of this bill by the House.

Sincerely,

W.J. "BILLY" TAUZIN, Chairman.

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, COMMITTEE ON INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS, Washington, DC, May 2, 2002.

Hon. BOB STUMP,

Chairman, Committee on Armed Services, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: I understand that on Wednesday, May 1, 2002, the Committee on Armed Services ordered favorably reported H.R. 4546, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003. The bill includes a number of provisions that fall within the legislative jurisdiction of the Committee on International Relations pursuant to Rule X(k) of the House of Representatives.

The specific provisions within our committee's jurisdiction are: (1) Section 1201, Support of United Nations-Sponsored Efforts to Inspect and Monitor Iraqi Weapons Activities; (2) Section 1202, Strengthening the Defense of Taiwan; (3) Section 1204, Additional Countries Covered by Loan Guarantee Program; (4) Title XIII, Cooperative Threat Reduction with States of the Former Soviet Union; (5) Section 3142, Transfer to National Nuclear Security Administration of Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program Relating to Elimination of Weapons Grade Plutonium in Russia; and (6) Section 3143, Repeal of Requirement for Reports on Obligation of Funds for Programs on Fissile Materials in Russia.

Pursuant to Chairman Dreier's expected announcement that the Committee on Rules will move expeditiously to consider a rule for H.R. 4546 and your desire to have the bill considered on the House floor next week, the Committee on International Relations will not seek a sequential referral of the bill as a result of including these provisions, without waiving or ceding now or in the future this committee's jurisdiction over the provisions in question. I will seek to have conferees appointed for these provisions during any House-Senate conference committee.

I would appreciate your including this letter as a part of the report on H.R. 4546 and as part of the record during consideration of the bill by the House of Representatives.

With best wishes,

HENRY J. HYDE, Chairman.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY, Washington, DC, April 30, 2002.

Hon. BOB STUMP,

Chairman, Committee on Armed Services, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: I understand that Representative Van Hilleary may offer an amendment to the FY 2003 DOD Authorization bill relating to Federal Prison Industries. I have reviewed the amendment and support it. If it is included in the DOD Authorization bill, I do not intend to seek a referral on behalf of the Committee on the Judiciary.

Sincerely,

F. JAMES SENSENBRENNER, Jr., Chairman.

# FISCAL DATA

Pursuant to clause 3(d) Rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the committee attempted to ascertain annual outlays resulting from the bill during fiscal year 2003 and the following four years. The results of such efforts are reflected in the cost estimate prepared by the Director of the Congressional Budget Office under section 402 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, which is included in this report pursuant to clause 3(c)(3)

# CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE ESTIMATE

In compliance with clause 3(c)(3) of rule XIII of the House of Representatives, the cost estimate prepared by the Congressional Budget Office and submitted pursuant to section 402 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 is as follows:

MAY 3, 2002.

## Hon. BOB STUMP,

Chairman, Committee on Armed Services, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: The Congressional Budget Office has prepared the enclosed cost estimate for H.R. 4546, the Bob Stump National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003.

The CBO staff contact is Kent Christensen, who can be reached at 226-2840. If you wish further details on this estimate, we will be pleased to provide them. Sincerely,

#### DAN L. CRIPPEN.

## **Congressional Budget Office Cost Estimate**

Summary: H.R. 4546 would authorize appropriations totaling \$382 billion for fiscal year 2003 and an estimated \$14 billion in additional funding for 2002 for the military functions of the Department of Defense (DoD) and the Department of Energy. It also would prescribe personnel strengths for each active-duty and se-lected reserve component of the U.S. armed forces. CBO estimates that appropriation of the authorized amounts for 2002 and 2003 would result in additional outlays of \$392 billion over the 2002-2007 period.

The bill also contains provisions that would raise the costs of discretionary defense programs over the 2004-2007 period. CBO estimates that those provisions would require appropriations of \$7.0 billion over those four years.

The bill contains provisions that would increase direct spending by an estimated \$5.8 billion over the 2003–2007 period and \$17.7 billion over the 2003–2012 period, primarily from the phase-in of concurrent payment of retirement annuities with veterans' disability compensation to retirees from the military and the other uniformed services who have service-connected disabilities rated at 60 percent or greater. Because it would affect direct spending, the bill would be subject to pay-as-you-go procedures.

H.R. 4546 contains no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) and would impose no costs on state, local, or tribal governments.

## Estimated cost to the Federal Government: The estimated budgetary impact of H.R. 4546 is shown in Table 1. Most of the costs of this legislation fall within budget function 050 (national defense).

TABLE 1.—BUDGETARY	IMPACT OF	H.R. 4546,	THE BOB	STUMP	NATIONAL	DEFENSE
AUT	HORIZATION	ACT FOR F	ISCAL YEA	R 2003		

	By fiscal year, in millions of dollars-						
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	
SPENDING SUB	JECT TO AP	PROPRIATIO	N				
Spending Under Current Law for Defense Programs:							
Budget Authority <sup>a</sup>	346,285	0	0	0	0	0	
Estimated Outlays	346,878	116,344	38,941	13,273	5,536	2,724	
Proposed Changes:							
Authorizations of Supplemental Appropriations for							
2002:							
Estimated Authorization Level <sup>b</sup>	14,048	0	0	0	0	0	
Estimated Outlays <sup>b</sup>	5,345	5,782	1,941	660	174	79	
Authorization of Appropriations for 2003:							
Estimated Authorization Level	0	381,522	0	0	0	0	
Estimated Outlays	0	252,982	86,639	27,346	7,944	2,726	
Spending Under H.R. 4546 for Defense Programs:							
Estimated Authorization Level a	360,333	381,522	0	0	0	0	
Estimated Outlays	352,223	375,108	127,521	41,279	13,654	5,529	
CHANGES	IN DIRECT S	PENDING					
Estimated Budget Authority	0	509	637	1,021	1,599	1,997	
Estimated Outlays	0	509	637	1,021	1,599	1,997	

NOTE: This table excludes estimated authorizations of appropriations for years after 2003. (Those additional authorizations are shown in Table 3.)

Table 3.) The 2002 level is the amount appropriated for programs authorized by the bill. <sup>b</sup> The estimates shown for the 2002 supplemental are amounts contained in the Administration's supplemental request for defense pro-grams. The outlay estimate for 2003 includes \$5,684 million of spending from funds requested as emergency appropriations. Excluding emer-gency spending would lower total outlays in 2003 to \$369,424 million.

## Basis of Estimate

## Spending Subject to Appropriation

The bill would specifically authorize appropriations totaling \$381.4 billion in 2003 (see Table 2) and additional amounts as may be necessary for supplemental appropriations for defense in 2002, which CBO estimates would total \$14 billion based on the Administration's request.<sup>1</sup> Most of those costs would fall within budget function 050 (national defense). H.R. 4546 also would specifically authorize appropriations of \$113 million for the Maritime Administration (function 400-transportation), \$70 million for the Armed Forces Retirement Home (function 600-income security), and \$21 million for the Naval Petroleum Reserves (function 270—energy).<sup>2</sup>

The estimate assumes that the estimated authorization amount for 2002 is appropriated by the end of June 2002, and that the amounts authorized for 2003 will be appropriated before the start of fiscal year 2003. Outlays are estimated based on historical spending patterns.

The bill also contains provisions that would affect various costs, mostly for personnel, that would be covered by the fiscal year 2003

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>After adding the \$92 million estimated authorization for the Coast Guard Reserve, the bill

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>After adding the \$22 minion estimated authorization for the Coast Guard Reserve, the bill would authorize appropriations of slightly more than \$381.5 million for 2003. <sup>2</sup>The authorization shown here for the Maritime Administration does not include any amounts for maritime loan guarantees and related administrative costs because they are al-ready authorized under existing law.

authorization and by authorizations in future years. Table 3 con-tains estimates of those amounts. In addition to the costs covered by the authorizations in the bill for 2003, these provisions would raise estimated costs by \$7.0 billion over the 2004–2007 period. The following sections describe the provisions identified in Table 3 and provide information about CBO's cost estimates for those provisions.

TABLE 2.—SPECIFIC AUTHORIZATIONS IN H.R. 4546

Outrans.	By fiscal year, in millions of dollars						
Category	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007		
Military Personnel:							
Authorization Level a	93,670	0	0	0	0		
Estimated Outlays	88,612	4,402	281	94	0		
Operation and Maintenance:							
Authorization Level	130,159	0	0	0	0		
Estimated Outlays	96,425	26,006	5,538	1,186	378		
Procurement:							
Authorization Level	73,160	0	0	0	0		
Estimated Outlays 20,860	27,671	15,218	5,170	1,789			
Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation:							
Authorization Level	56,424	0	0	0	0		
Estimated Outlays	31,792	20,381	3,286	591	151		
Military Construction and Family Housing:							
Authorization Level	9,954	0	0	0	0		
Estimated Outlays	2,687	3,718	2,192	793	324		
Atomic Energy Defense Activities:							
Authorization Level	15,420	0	0	0	0		
Estimated Outlays	10,369	4,094	826	74	55		
Other Accounts:	,	,					
Authorization Level	2,643	0	0	0	0		
Estimated Outlays	1,874	418	125	96	49		
General Transfer Authority:							
Authorization Level	0	0	0	0	0		
Estimated Outlays	280	- 60	-120	- 60	- 20		
Total							
Authorization Level <sup>b</sup>	381,430	0	0	0	0		
Estimated Outlays	252,899	86,630	27,346	7,944	2,726		

"
This authorization is for discretionary appropriations and does not include \$55 million for mandatory payments from appropriations for military personnel.
"
These amounts comprise nearly all of the proposed changes for authorizations of appropriations for 2003 shown in Table 1; they do not include the estimated authorization of \$92 million for the Coast Guard Reserve, which is shown in Table 3.

# TABLE 3.-ESTIMATED AUTHORIZATIONS OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR SELECTED PROVISIONS IN H.R. 4546

0.1		By fiscal yea	r, in millions	of dollars	
Category	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
MULTIYEAR PROCUR	EMENT				
Virginia Class Submarine	- 37		- 60	- 73	- 93
C-130J Aircraft	15	- 63	-121	-142	-162
FORCE STRUCTU	RE				
DoD Military Endstrengths	528	1,089	1,122	1,155	1,191
Coast Guard Reserve Endstrengths	92	0	0	0	0
COMPENSATION AND BENI	FITS (DoD)				
Military Pay Raises	276	381	398	415	430
Expiring Bonuses and Allowances	706	796	417	234	152
Education and Training	3	6	9	13	10
DEFENSE HEALTH PR	DGRAM				
TRICARE Prime Remote	12	10	9	8	8

# TABLE 3.—ESTIMATED AUTHORIZATIONS OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR SELECTED PROVISIONS IN H.R. 4546—Continued

Catagon		of dollars	ars		
Category	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Transitional Health Care	7	5	3	2	1
OTHER PROVISIO	NS				
National Guard Challenge Program	16	16	17	17	18
Asbestos Payments	-110	-110	-110	-110	-110
Federal Employees Health Benefits Program	0	2	3	3	3
School Impact Aid	0	0	0	14	14
Military Housing Privatization Initiative	0	0	80	80	80
TOTAL ESTIMATED AUTHO	RIZATIONS				
Estimated Authorization Level	1,508	2,078	1,767	1,616	1,542

Note: For every item in this table except the authorization for the Coast Guard, the 2003 levels are included in the amounts specifically authorized to be appropriated in the bill. Those amounts are shown in Table 2. Amounts shown in this table for 2004 through 2007 are not included in Table 1.

## Multiyear Procurement

In most cases, purchases of weapon systems are authorized annually, and as a result, DoD negotiates a separate contract for each annual purchase. In a small number of cases, the law permits multiyear procurement; that is, it allows DoD to enter into a contract to buy specified annual quantities of a system for up to five years. In those cases, DoD can negotiate lower prices because its commitment to purchase the weapons gives the contractor an incentive to find more economical ways to manufacture the weapon, including cost-saving investments. Annual funding is provided for these multiyear contracts, but potential termination costs are covered by an initial appropriation.

Section 111 would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to enter into a multiyear contract for procurement of Virginia class submarines starting in fiscal year 2003. This authority would be conditional on the prime contractor for the Virginia class submarine program entering into a binding agreement with the U.S. government to expend from its own funds an amount not less than \$385 million for nuclear and non-nuclear components purchased in economic quantities.

Based on information provided by the Navy, CBO assumes that the Navy would buy five Virginia class submarines over the 2003– 2007 period if this agreement can be reached. CBO estimates that savings from buying these submarines under a multiyear contract would total \$317 million, or just over \$60 million a submarine, over the 2003–2007 period. CBO estimates that funding requirements to purchase these submarines, as well as funding the advance purchase of components for future boats, would total about \$13 billion over the 2003–2007 period (instead of the more than \$13.3 billion that would be needed under annual contracts).

Section 121 would authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to enter into a multiyear contract to purchase C–130J aircraft beginning in 2003 after the Secretary of Defense certifies that two variants of the C–130J—the CC–130J airlift aircraft and the KC– 130J tanker aircraft—are operationally effective and suitable. The Secretary of Defense also must certify that this multiyear contract will result in substantial savings relative to the cost of annual contracts, that requirements for the system and the design of the system are stable, and that the program is fully funded in the department's plans for subsequent outyear budgets. Based on information provided by the Air Force, CBO assumes that DoD will procure 64 aircraft over the 2003–2008 period—40 CC–130J aircraft for the Air Force and 24 KC–130J aircraft for the Marine Corps. CBO also assumes that the CC–130J and KC–130J aircraft would be purchased under one contract administered by the Air Force and covering six years of production beginning in 2003. CBO estimates that savings from buying these aircraft under a multiyear contract would total \$473 million, or about \$95 million a year, over the 2003–2007 period. CBO also estimates that additional savings of \$182 million would accrue in 2008. Funding requirements to purchase these aircraft would total just under \$3.4 billion over the 2003–2007 period (instead of the almost \$3.9 billion that would be needed under annual contracts).

Multiyear procurement of C–130Js would raise costs in 2003 because the KC–130J did not receive advance procurement in 2002 in anticipation of multiyear procurement starting in 2003, and because the Air Force would need to provide advance procurement for the aircraft that it would purchase in 2004.

## Military endstrength

The bill would authorize active and reserve endstrength levels for 2003 and would increase the minimum endstrength authorization in permanent law. The authorized endstrengths for active-duty personnel and personnel in the selected reserve would total about 1,400,000 and 865,000, respectively. Of those selected reservists, about 69,000 would serve on active duty in support of the reserves. The bill would specifically authorize appropriations of \$93.7 billion for the discretionary costs of military pay and allowances in 2003. The authorized endstrength represents a net increase of 12,552 servicemembers that would boost costs for salaries and other expenses by \$528 million in the first year and about \$1.1 billion annually in subsequent years, compared to the authorized strengths for 2002.

The bill also would authorize an endstrength of 9,000 in 2003 for the Coast Guard Reserve. This authorization would cost about \$92 million and would fall under budget function 400 (transportation).

Section 403 would allow the service secretaries to increase endstrength by 1 percent above the level authorized by the Congress. Under current law, only the Secretary of Defense has this authority. While there is the potential for increased costs, the service secretaries would still have to manage their resources given the finite amount of money appropriated each year for military personnel. As such, CBO estimates that this provision would not significantly increase costs.

#### *Compensation and benefits*

H.R. 4546 contains several provisions that would affect military compensation and benefits for uniformed personnel.

*Military Pay Raises.* Section 601 would raise basic pay by 4.1 percent across-the-board and authorize additional targeted pay raises, ranging from 0.9 percent to 4.4 percent, for individuals with specific ranks and years of service at a total cost of about \$2.3 billion in 2003. Because the pay raises would be above those projected

under current law, CBO estimates that the incremental costs associated with the larger pay raise would be about \$276 million in 2003 and total \$1.9 billion over the 2003–2007 period.

*Expiring Bonuses and Allowances.* Several sections would extend DoD's authority to pay certain bonuses and allowances to current personnel. Under current law, most of these authorities are scheduled to expire in December 2002, or three months into fiscal year 2003. The bill would extend these authorities through December 2003. Based on data provided by DoD, CBO estimates that the costs of these extensions would be as follows:

• Payment of reenlistment bonuses for active-duty personnel would cost \$327 million in 2003 and \$191 million in 2004; enlistment bonuses for active-duty personnel would cost \$133 million in 2003 and \$361 million in 2004;

• Various bonuses for the Selected and Ready Reserve would cost \$99 million in 2003 and \$114 million in 2004;

• Special payments for aviators and nuclear-qualified personnel would cost \$67 million in 2003 and \$72 million in 2004;

• Retention bonuses for officers and enlisted members with critical skills would cost \$29 million in 2003 and \$19 million in 2004;

• Accession bonuses for new officers with critical skills would cost \$14 million in 2003 and \$5 million in 2004; and

• Authorities to make special payments and give bonuses to certain health care professionals would cost \$37 million in 2003 and \$34 million in 2004.

Most of these changes would result in additional, smaller costs in subsequent years because payments are made in installments. *Education and Training.* Section 531 would allow the military

*Education and Training.* Section 531 would allow the military services to increase the number of students at each of the service academies from the current ceiling of 4,000 to 4,400 students over a four-year period at a maximum rate of 100 students a year for academic years 2003–2004 through 2007–2008. Under this provision, the annual increase in service-academy students could not exceed the increase in the number of students in the Reserve Officer Training Corp (ROTC) for the previous academic year.

Based on information from DoD, CBO expects that only the Navy would increase its service-academy strength and that it would bring on about 50 extra academy students a year, as well as an additional 50 ROTC students, at an average annual cost of about \$34,000 per student. According to the Navy, these additional students would not be used to increase overall officer endstrength, but rather to offset a desired drawdown in the number of officers commissioned through the Officer Candidate School (OCS) program. Thus, the actual cost of the increase for the academy and ROTC students would be offset somewhat by the cost of the OCS graduates they would replace. Because the OCS program lasts less than one year, the offsetting costs would not begin to affect net outlays until 2007, when the first of the additional academy and ROTC students would graduate and be commissioned. CBO estimates the cost of implementing this provision would be \$1 million in 2003 and \$31 million over the 2003–2007 period, assuming appropriation of the necessary amounts.

Section 651 would extend the period during which eligible reservists may use their education benefits from 10 years to 14 years. In 2001, over 82,000 reservists trained under this program and received an average annual benefit of \$1,653. These benefits are paid by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs from the DoD Education Benefits Fund. Each month, DoD pays into the fund the net present value of the education benefit granted to each person who enlisted in the previous month. Based on information from DoD about current contributions to the fund and expected accessions, CBO estimates section 651 would increase payments into the fund by about \$2 million each year. (CBO estimates that there also would be direct spending of about \$29 million over the 2003–2012 period for increased outlays from the fund. CBO's estimate of those costs is discussed below under the heading of "Direct Spending.")

## Defense health program

Title VII contains several provisions that would affect DoD health care and benefits. Tricare is the name of DoD's health care program; Tricare Prime and Tricare Prime Remote are managed care programs, and Tricare Standard is a fee-for-service program.

Tricare Prime Remote. Section 702 would affect dependents of servicemembers on active duty who live in a remote area, which is defined as roughly a one-hour or more driving distance from a military treatment facility. Under certain conditions, this section would allow dependents of personnel on active duty who live in a remote area to participate in Tricare Prime Remote if the servicemember is transferred to a different duty station and is not allowed to bring his or her family. Section 702 also would allow the family members of reservists called to active duty to participate in the Tricare Prime Remote program if they live in a remote area. Under current law, dependents of personnel on active duty living in remote areas must reside with the active-duty member to participate in Tricare Prime Remote. If the active-duty servicemember is transferred to a duty station where he or she cannot bring family members, the family can no longer participate in the Tricare Prime Remote program. Families of reservists called to active duty also are not allowed to participate in this program.

Based on information provided by DoD, CBO estimates that about 36,000 dependents of personnel on active duty and about 50,000 dependents of reservists called to active duty would be affected by this provision. While CBO expects the number of family members of active-duty personnel to remain fairly constant, CBO assumes that the more than 80,000 reservists currently on active duty will decline to about 65,000 in 2003 and 10,000 by 2006. If the number of reservists called to active duty were to remain at current levels over the 2003–2007 period, then the estimated costs would be correspondingly higher.

According to DoD, about 40 percent of those dependents who would be eligible for Tricare Prime Remote under this section already participate in Tricare Standard. Based on data provided by the department, CBO estimates that the additional incremental cost of providing Tricare Prime Remote to those individuals would be \$113 per person. In addition, CBO estimates that the new benefit would attract about 4,300 dependents to Tricare Prime Remote who had not previously used any Tricare program at an estimated annual cost of \$1,900 per person. Thus, CBO estimates that the cost of providing Tricare Prime Remote to more individuals would be \$12 million in 2003 and \$47 million over the 2003–2007 period, assuming appropriation of the estimated amounts.

*Transitional Health Care.* Under section 706, family members of reservists who were called to active duty for more than 30 days would be eligible for health care coverage under Tricare for 60 days after the reservist is released from active duty. Under current law, only the reservist is eligible for health care coverage under Tricare for the 60 days after he or she is released from active duty. While there are currently more than 80,000 reservists on active duty, CBO assumes for this estimate that the number of reserves will fall to about 65,000 in 2003 and 10,000 by 2006. If the number of reservists remains at current levels over the 2003–2007 period, the estimated costs would be correspondingly higher.

Based on data from DoD and the General Accounting Office, CBO estimates that about 50 percent of the reservists have families and that about 40 percent of those families would use the transitional health care. CBO further estimates that providing an additional 60 days of health care coverage to those families would cost, on average, about \$600 per family. After accounting for inflation and the assumed decline in the level of reservists called to active duty, CBO estimates that this provision would cost \$7 million in 2003, and \$18 million over the 2003–2007 period, assuming appropriation of the estimated amounts.

## National Guard Challenge Program

Section 513 would allow DoD to reimburse a state program of the National Guard Challenge Program for up to 75 percent of the cost of operating the state program in a fiscal year. If fully implemented, CBO estimates that implementing this provision would cost \$84 million over the 2003–2007 period. CBO estimates that raising the federal contribution to these programs to 75 percent would increase the annual federal cost for each participant by approximately \$2,500. Applying this annual cost to the 6,400 participants in the program would increase the cost by about \$17 million per year over the 2003–2007 period.

#### Asbestos differential pay

Under section 1103, federal wage-grade employees would be subject to the same standards as general schedule employees when determining eligibility for environmental differential pay (EDP), based on exposure to asbestos. Under current law, general schedule employees are entitled to 8 percent hazard differential pay if they are exposed to asbestos that exceeds the permissible exposure limits established by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). The current EDP standard for wage-grade employees entitles them to the same 8 percent of pay, but does not set an objective measure for determining the level of asbestos exposure necessary to qualify for EDP. In several instances where wage-grade employees have sought back pay for EDP, arbitrators found in favor of the employees when asbestos levels were below those consistent with OSHA standards. Based on information from DoD on prior and pending arbitration rulings, CBO expects that implementing section 1103 would reduce the amount of back pay federal agencies would be required to pay for EDP based on asbestos exposure. Assuming these cases would be handled administratively, CBO estimates establishing OSHA standards for asbestos EDP would save \$110 million in 2003 and \$550 million over the 2003–2007 period, assuming appropriations are reduced by the estimated amounts.

## Federal Employees Health Benefits (FEHB) Program

Section 1104 would extend a provision of law into fiscal year 2007 that allows DoD and certain Department of Energy employees whose employment is terminated because of a reduction-in-force action to continue to participate in the FEHB health insurance program and only pay the regular employee's share of the insurance premium. The respective departments would be responsible for paying the normal employer's share of the premium. Under current law, this provision expires in fiscal year 2004. Based on information from DoD and the Office of Personnel Management, CBO estimates that this provision would affect about 500 people a year at an average annual cost of \$5,500 per person over the 2003–2007 period. CBO estimates that extending this provision into fiscal year 2007 would cost \$2 million in 2004, and \$11 million over the 2004–2007 period, assuming appropriation of the estimated amounts.

## School impact aid

Section 366 would allow school districts with a large percentage of children from military families to continue to receive heavy impact aid when military families are temporarily relocated. Heavy impact aid is federal funding earmarked for school districts with large military populations. Many military families in those school districts live on federal installations and do not contribute to the local property tax base that is used to help finance school operations. Heavy impact aid helps to offset this loss of local tax revenue. Under current law, schools can only receive heavy impact aid if they meet strict criteria for numbers of federal students located in their districts, local tax rates, and per pupil expenditures. Because of population relocations associated with certain military housing initiatives, some school districts would temporarily be unable to meet these criteria and would lose their heavy impact aid for several years.

Based on data from the Department of Education and the Military Impacted Schools Association, CBO estimates that about four school districts would initially be affected by housing privatization and that these school districts receive about \$18 million in heavy impact aid annually. Because applications for heavy impact aid are based on school district statistics from three years prior, CBO estimates that the cost of implementing this section would not occur until 2006. After adjusting for the changes in student population within the affected districts, CBO estimates that restoration of this aid would cost about \$14 million per year. Since the requirements of the School Impact Aid program are not always fully funded, CBO expects that the Department of Education would likely fund this increase through reductions in aid to other school districts. CBO expects this cost would reoccur annually only for the duration of the privatization effort within the affected school districts, which CBO estimates to be about three years.

# Military Housing Privatization Initiative (MHPI)

Section 2801 would increase the value of budget authority that DoD can use to finance special authorities for the construction and renovation of military family housing and military unaccompanied housing. Those authorities allow DoD to use direct loans, loan guarantees, long-term leases, rental guarantees, barter, direct government investment, and other financial arrangements to encourage private-sector participation in building military housing. Funding for those activities comes from the Family Housing Improvement Fund which is financed by appropriations made to the fund, transfers from other accounts, receipts from property sales and rents, returns on any capital, and other income from operations or transactions connected with the program. Currently the amounts in the fund are available for use by DoD to acquire housing using the various techniques mentioned above, but the total value of budget authority for all contracts and investments undertaken is limited to \$1 billion (\$850 million for family housing and \$150 million for unaccompanied housing). Under the bill, those limits would be increased to \$2 billion (\$1.7 billion for family housing and \$300 million for unaccompanied housing).

To date, DoD has signed contracts for 16 family housing projects and is proceeding with solicitations for or considering plans for close to 60 other projects over the next few years. Based on how the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has recorded obligations from DoD's use of this authority, DoD has only recorded obligations of about \$250 million—well below the current \$1 billion limit. Given DoD's plans for future projects, CBO estimates that the department will reach the current limit by the end of 2004. As a result, CBO estimates that raising the limit effectively authorizes additional appropriations beginning in 2005. Based on recent trends and DoD's current plans, CBO estimates these authorizations would total about \$80 million a year over the 2005–2007 period.

CBO, however, continues to believe that OMB's current accounting for MHPI initiatives is at odds with government-wide standards for recording obligations and outlays. Those standards call for different treatments depending on the character of the transaction. The OMB accounting treats certain initiatives primarily as credit and other transactions that have relatively little cost in terms of recorded obligations and outlays. In contrast, CBO considers many MHPI projects as having characteristics of lease-purchases or of public-private partnerships, both of which require the Administration to record higher levels of obligations and outlays. Currently the Administration's approach allows DoD to obligate significantly more federal resources than the existing \$1 billion limitation for such projects. Consequently, if the limitation is increased to \$2 billion, DoD would be allowed to obligate much more than that figure.

Government-Wide Accounting Principles. Some of the options available for use of the Family Housing Improvement Fund involve up-front commitments of government resources that would be spent over a long period of time. According to standard principles of federal accounting, obligations of the fund should reflect the full amount of the financial liability incurred when the government makes such a commitment. In the case of a long-term capital lease or rental guarantee, for example, obligations should equal the total amount of lease or rental payments over the life of the contract, and appropriations to cover the full amount of such obligations should be available before entering into the lease or guarantee. Some commitments could take the form of lease-purchases, which would require the recording of both obligations and outlays up front. Still others could be public-private partnerships formed to borrow private funds in order to construct housing on a military base; in those instances, obligations should equal the borrowing authority—a form of budget authority—used for that project. If direct loans are used to help finance an on-base project, the value of future appropriations that will be passed through to developers—by servicemembers who pay rent with their housing allowances should be viewed as a subsidy, which would increase obligations.

In effect, the Administration's accounting is allowing DoD to record the costs of the projects incrementally over time rather than up front. CBO believes this is counter to the government-wide principles that require costs from such projects to be recorded up front in the budget. In future years, CBO's approach would reduce the amount of budget authority and outlays the Administration would need to record each year in the military personnel accounts—which fund housing allowances—since such costs would already be recorded.

CBO plans to consult with the Committee on the Budget in both the House and the Senate on how to score future legislation that expands or extends these authorities. Depending on the outcome of those consultations, CBO may score such future legislation as direct spending.

## Matters relating to other nations

Section 1204 would expand the list of countries eligible for loan financing under the defense export loan guarantee program to include countries combating drug trafficking organizations or combating foreign terrorist organizations, as determined by the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State. CBO estimates that implementing this provision would have no significant budgetary effect because CBO believes that the authority would not be used. The section would not authorize appropriations for the cost of any loan guarantees, as defined by the Federal Credit Reform Act. Without a subsidy appropriation, the borrowing country would be required to pay fees sufficient to cover the cost of the contingent liability of the United States under the guarantee plus administrative expenses. CBO estimates that the required fees would render the total cost of financing no more attractive to potential borrowers than financing without a government guarantee. CBO also estimates that the other limitations and authorizations provided in title 12 would have little effect on the spending of funds otherwise authorized to be appropriated.

## Direct Spending

The bill contains provisions that would increase direct spending, primarily from the phase-in of concurrent payment of retirement annuities with veterans' disability compensation to retirees from the military and the other uniformed services who have serviceconnected disabilities rated at 60 percent or greater. The bill also contains a few provisions with small direct spending savings. On balance, CBO estimates that enacting H.R. 4546 would result in a net increase in direct spending totaling \$5.8 billion over the 2003–2007 period (see Table 4).

# Concurrent receipt

Section 641 would phase in over five years total or partial concurrent payment of retirement annuities together with veterans' disability compensation to retirees from the uniformed services who have service-connected disabilities rated at 60 percent or greater. The uniformed services include all branches of the U.S. military, the Coast Guard, and uniformed members of the Public Health Service (PHS) and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

Under current law, disabled veterans who are retired from the uniformed services cannot receive both full retirement annuities and disability compensation from the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA). Because of this prohibition on concurrent receipt, such veterans forgo a portion of their retirement annuity equal to the nontaxable veterans' benefit. This section would permit, beginning in 2007, individuals who have significant service-connected disabilities and have a retirement annuity based on years of service, to receive both benefits in full without the reduction called for under current law. Individuals whose retirement pay is based on their degree of disability would continue to forgo retirement pay equal to the VA compensation payment, but only to the extent that their disability had entitled them to a larger retirement annuity than they would have received based on years of service.

TABLE 4.—ESTIMATED	DIRECT SP	PENDING FROM	CONCURRENT	RECEIPT	AND	OTHER PROVISIONS
		IN H.R	. 4546			

	By fiscal year, in millions of dollars						
	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007		
CHANGES IN DIRECT SI	PENDING						
Section 641—Concurrent Receipt:							
Estimated Budget Authority	516	643	1,029	1,608	2,006		
Estimated Outlays	516	643	1,029	1,608	2,006		
Section 362—Armed Forces Retirement Home Fee:			,	,	,		
Estimated Budget Authority	-7	-7	-7	-7	-7		
Estimated Outlays	-7	-7	-7	-7	-7		
Section 643—Cost-of-Living Adjustment (COLA) Inversion:							
Estimated Budget Authority	- 3	- 3	- 4	- 4	- 4		
Estimated Outlays	- 3	- 3	- 4	- 4	- 4		
Section 644—Forgotten Widows:							
Estimated Budget Authority	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1		
Estimated Outlays	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1		
Section 651—Education Benefits for Selected Reserves:							
Estimated Budget Authority	4	4	3	2	2		
Estimated Outlays	4	4	3	2	2		
Section 701—Mental Health Benefits:							
Estimated Budget Authority	0	1	1	1	1		
Estimated Outlays	0	1	1	1	1		
TOTAL CHANGES IN DIREC	T SPENDING						
Estimated Budget Authority	509	637	1,021	1,599	1.997		
Estimated Outlays	509	637	1.021	1,599	1,997		

This section also would repeal a program that partially compensates certain severely disabled retirees for this reduction in their retirement annuities. This program currently pays a fixed benefit of \$50 to \$300 a month, depending on degree of disability. Taken together, CBO estimates that implementing section 641 would increase direct spending for retirement annuities and veterans' disability compensation by a net amount of about \$516 million in 2003, \$5.8 billion over the 2003–2007 period, and \$17.8 billion over the 2003–2012 period (see Table 5).

Description of Description Description	By fiscal year, in millions of dollars-						
Description of Benefits Program		2004	2005	2006	2007		
Retirement Annuities:							
Nondisability	490	591	887	1,370	1,744		
Disability	83	97	135	194	234		
Veterans Compensation Payments	0	13	67	104	89		
Survivor Benefit Plan Payments	8	8	8	9	9		
Special Compensation for Severely Disabled	- 65	- 66	- 68	- 69	- 70		
- Total Changes in Retiree Benefits	516	643	1,029	1,608	2,006		

TABLE 5.—ESTIMATED CHANGES IN RETIREE BENEFITS UNDER H.R. 4546

*Retirement Annuities.* Since the proposed legislation would treat retirees differently based on their type of retirement—nondisability or disability, the potential costs of the legislation depend on the number of beneficiaries, their type of retirement, their disability levels, and their benefit amounts.

Nondisability Retirees. A nondisability retirement is granted based on length of service-usually 20 or more years. Section 641 would allow those longevity retirees whose degree of disability has been rated as 60 percent or greater to receive full retirement annuities and veterans' disability benefits with no offset in 2007, and to receive an increasing portion of their retirement annuities over the 2003–2006 period. Data from the uniformed services indicate that in 2001 the prohibition on paying both benefits concurrently caused about \$1.3 billion to be withheld from the annuity payments of about 74,000 eligible DoD retirees with nondisability retirements, and about 900 eligible Coast Guard, PHS, and NOAA retirees. Using current rates of net growth in the population of new beneficiaries, CBO estimates this caseload would rise to about 78,000 nondisability retirees in 2003, and 96,000 nondisability retirees by 2012. CBO assumes that future benefit payments will increase consistent with current rates of growth in average disability levels and also increase from cost-of-living adjustments. After phasing the benefits in over five years as specified in the provision, CBO estimates that enacting the legislation would increase direct spending on retirement annuities for nondisability retirees of the uniformed services by \$490 million in 2003, \$5.1 billion over the 2003–2007 period, and \$15.6 billion over the 2003-2012 period.

Disability Retirees. Servicemembers who are found to be unable to perform their duties because of service-related disabilities may be granted a disability retirement. Section 641 would allow eligible disability retirees to receive retirement annuities based on their years of service and veterans' disability benefits with no offset in 2007, and partial concurrent receipt of these payments in 2003 through 2006. Disability retirees would be eligible to obtain concurrent receipt of their retirement annuity and veterans' disability compensation if they served 20 or more years in the uniformed services and had a disability rating of 60 percent or greater.

Data from the uniformed services indicate that in 2001, the prohibition on paying both benefits concurrently caused about \$200 million to be withheld from annuity payments of about 11,400 eligible DoD retirees with disability retirements, and about 500 eligible Coast Guard, PHS, and NOAA retirees. An analysis of retiree records by DoD indicates that, under the criteria set forth in this section, these retirees would be eligible to receive about 95 percent of their retirement annuity concurrently with their VA disability benefit. Assuming continuation of current trends in population and benefit growth, and phasing the benefit in over five years as specified in this section, CBO estimates that, of the disability retirees who would be receiving VA disability benefits in fiscal year 2003, about 12,100 would be entitled to an additional \$83 million in retirement annuities. CBO estimates their retirement annuities would increase by \$743 million over the 2003-2007 period and \$2 billion over the 2003–2012 period.

Other Effects of Concurrent Receipt. Enacting section 641 also would affect Veterans' Disability Compensation, receipts to the Treasury for Survivor Benefit Payments, Special Compensation to Severely Disabled Retirees, and the level of contributions to the Military Retirement Trust Fund.

Veterans' Disability Compensation. Data from DoD indicates that an additional 15,100 disability retirees of the uniformed services-14,500 from DoD and about 600 from the other uniformed services—do not currently receive VA disability benefits that they are entitled to receive. Since many disability retirees are not taxed on their annuities, there is no incentive under current law for these retirees to apply for the tax-free VA benefits, as they will be offset, dollar-for-dollar, against their retirement annuities. Section 641 would provide a significant incentive for the more disabled of these individuals to apply for VA disability benefits. CBO estimates that about 7,000 disability retirees might be eligible for concurrent receipt under section 641, but, because many of these retirees are both disabled and quite elderly, CBO expects that only about half of that number would become aware of this improved benefit and successfully complete the application process. Based on their DoDassessed degree of disability, CBO estimates that outlays for VA disability benefits would increase by \$13 million in 2004, about \$270 million over the 2003-2007 period, and \$760 million over the 2003-2012 period. Because of the time needed for individuals to prepare and submit their applications and the current backlog in processing applications, CBO estimates that enacting this legislation would not increase outlays for veterans' disability compensation in 2003.

Survivor Benefit Plan Offsetting Receipts. Many retirees have a Survivor Benefit Plan (SBP) premium payment deducted from their retirement annuity. The SBP was established in Public Law 92– 425 to create an opportunity for military retirees to provide annuities for their survivors. Those retirees who are not receiving a paycheck from DoD because their retirement annuity is totally offset by their VA disability benefit may still participate in the SBP by paying the monthly premium to the U.S. Treasury. These payments are recorded as offsetting receipts to DoD. According to DoD, approximately 34,000 military retirees paid \$23 million in SBP premiums to the Treasury in 2001. DoD also indicates that about \$7 million of that amount was paid by about 8,000 retirees who would begin to receive annuity checks under section 641. CBO's estimate of the increase in retirement outlays presented above assumes that the SBP premiums of retirees who benefit from the legislation would be deducted from the retirees' annuities, and their payments to the Treasury would cease. Assuming continuation of current trends in population and benefit growth, CBO estimates these offsetting receipts (a credit against direct spending) would decrease by about \$8 million in 2003, \$40 million over the 2003–2007 period, and \$90 million over the 2003–2012 period.

and \$90 million over the 2003–2012 period. Repeal of Special Compensation for Severely Disabled Retirees. Section 641 also would repeal a special compensation program that currently pays a fixed benefit of \$50 to \$300 a month to certain uniformed service retirees who were determined to be 60 percent to 100 percent disabled within four years of their retirement. Based on information from DoD and assuming the population growth trends continue, CBO estimates that about 36,000 DoD retirees and about 600 retirees of the other uniformed services will receive an average monthly benefit of \$150 in 2002. Under current law, this benefit is scheduled to increase over the next two years to \$172 a month. CBO estimates that the savings from repealing this program would be \$65 million in 2003, \$338 million over the 2003– 2007 period, and \$706 million over the 2003–2012 period.

Increased Accrual Payment Financing. The military retirement system is financed in part by an annual payment from appropriated funds (an outlay in budget function 050) to the Military Retirement Fund, based on an estimate of the system's accruing liabilities. If this provision is enacted, the yearly contribution to the fund would increase to reflect the added liability from the expected increase in annuities to future retirees. Under section 641, however, this incremental increase in the accrual payment would be paid to the Military Retirement Fund by the Secretary of the Treasury from the general fund of the Treasury. Using information from DoD, CBO estimates that the accrual payment from the Treasury would be \$569 million in 2003, and about \$3 billion over the 2003–2007 period.

## Armed Forces Retirement Home fee

Section 362 would increase the fee that certain military personnel pay toward the operation of the Armed Forces Retirement Home. Currently, these personnel—which include active-duty enlisted personnel, warrant officers, and limited-duty officers—are required to pay a fee of 50 cents per month, which is used to offset the costs of operating the retirement home. Section 362 would set the fee at \$1.00 per month. Based on information provided by the Department of Defense, CBO estimates this provision would increase offsetting receipts (a credit against direct spending) by \$7 million per year.

# Cost-of-living-adjustment (COLA) inversion

Under current law, the annual COLA for military retirement annuities, which takes effect every December, is calculated based on the amount of the increase in the consumer price index (CPI) over the course of the previous fiscal year. Members of the uniformed services who are newly retired are credited with only a partial-year COLA the first December of their retirement. The size of this partial-year COLA depends on when during the year they retired, and their retirement plan. Because the CPI declined during the fourth quarter of 2001, retirees whose "COLA clock" started counting during that period of deflation will receive a larger COLA than those retirees whose COLA is calculated from the previous, highergrowth quarter. Section 643 would prevent this "COLA inversion" by capping retirees' initial COLAs at the level of the full-year COLA received by the rest of the retiree population.

Based on data from DoD, CBO estimates that enacting this legislation would reduce the COLA adjustment of about 43,000 servicemen who retire during fiscal year 2002 by less than half a percentage point. CBO estimates that the retirement annuities they would otherwise receive in 2003 would be reduced by a total of about \$3 million. This reduction in annuities would increase over time by the amount of future COLA increases that would otherwise have applied to the original \$3 million. CBO estimates section 643 would reduce retiree annuities by about \$18 million over the 2003–2007 period and by \$38 million over the 2003–2012 period.

#### Forgotten widows

Section 644 would modify a program to compensate surviving spouses of certain active-duty servicemembers and reservists who died before they were able to enroll in the Survivor Benefit Plan. This program, which began in December 1997, is currently providing a monthly annuity of \$186 to over 2,500 beneficiaries. Under current law, benefits are retroactive to the inception of the program. CBO estimates that a survivor enrolling in the program in 2003 would receive, in addition to the monthly annuity, a lumpsum payment of over \$11,000 and that by 2012, the lump-sum payment would increase to almost \$32,000. Section 644 would eliminate the retroactive portion of the benefit, so that survivors who enroll in the program after enactment of this legislation would receive the annuity, but not the lump-sum payment. Data from DoD indicates that the eligible population is elderly, and the recent growth rate has been limited to between 100 and 150 surviving spouses a year. Without the lump-sum payment incentive, CBO assumes even fewer new enrollees would seek to become eligible each year. CBO estimates eliminating the lump-sum payment would save about \$9 million over the 2003–2012 period.

#### Education benefits for the selected reserve

Section 651 would extend the period during which eligible reservists may use their education benefits from 10 years to 14 years. VA reported that, in 2001, over 82,000 reservists trained under this program and received an average annual benefit of \$1,653. This average benefit includes both the basic benefit and a supplemental benefit that DoD can offer to enhance accessions or re-enlistment in critical skill specialties. This benefit increases each year by a COLA and by the level of supplemental benefits being offered. Based on current usage rates, CBO estimates that enacting this extension would result in an extra 2,500 trainees in fiscal year 2003 and a somewhat smaller number of additional trainees in subsequent years. The number of trainees will be larger in the first several years because reservists who are currently excluded from using their benefits because they have exceeded the 10-year limit would be expected to use the newly available benefit more intensively. Based on information from DoD and VA, CBO estimates that enacting this legislation would increase education outlays by \$4 million in 2003, \$15 million over the 2003–2007 period and by \$29 million over the 2003–2012 period. Since DoD makes monthly payments into the DoD Education Benefits Fund in the amount of the net present value of the benefits granted during the previous month, this increase in usage of the education benefit would necessitate an increase in payments to the fund. (The discretionary costs associated with these payments are discussed earlier in the "Spending Subject to Appropriation" section under the heading of "Education and Training.")

#### Mental health benefits

Section 701 would remove a statutory requirement that inpatient mental health care be preauthorized for retirees and dependents who are eligible for Medicare beginning in 2004. Under current law, Tricare for Life (TFL), another medical program run by DoD, pays all Medicare copayments and deductibles for those benefits that are covered by both programs. Beginning in 2003, TFL spending for Medicare-eligible retirees and dependents will be considered direct spending. Under current law, Medicare does not require a preauthorization for inpatient mental health care but Tricare does. Removing this requirement would make the mental health benefits identical and reduce confusion among beneficiaries and health care providers.

Although most individuals would seek preauthorization before receiving inpatient mental health care, CBO expects that, under current law, some individuals would fail to obtain the necessary preauthorization from Tricare and would have to pay the copayments and deductibles on their own. Because DoD does not have any available data on the frequency or costs of inpatient mental health care for Medicare-eligible retirees and dependents, CBO extrapolated this data from the general Medicare population. Under section 701, CBO estimates that in 2004 TFL would cover the copayments and deductibles for about 600 additional people at an average cost of about \$1,800 per person. Thus, CBO estimates section 701 would raise direct spending by \$1 million in 2004, \$4 million over the 2004–2007 period, and \$14 million over the 2004–2012 period.

#### Land conveyance and other property transactions

Titles XXVIII and XIV would authorize a variety of property transactions involving both large and small parcels of land.

Section 2841 would authorize the Secretary of the Interior to convey to the city of West Wendover, Nevada, and Tooele County, Utah, without consideration, two parcels of federal land located in those states and identified in the bill. According to the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), those lands, which are withdrawn for military purposes, currently generate no offsetting receipts and are not expected to in the foreseeable future. Hence, CBO estimates that conveying the lands would not affect offsetting receipts. According to the U.S. Air Force, portions of the lands that could be conveyed have been used as a bombing range by the Air Force. Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, the agency would have to remediate any expended and unexploded ordnance prior to conveying those lands. Any federal spending for remediation, which could be significant, would be subject to appropriation. At this time, CBO does not have sufficient information to estimate remediation costs.

CBO estimates that other provisions in title XXVIII would not result in significant costs to the federal government because they would either authorize DoD to exchange one piece of property for another or would authorize DoD to convey land that under current law is unlikely to be declared excess and sold or is likely to be given away.

Title XIV of H.R. 4546 would designate as wilderness more than 539,000 acres of federal lands throughout the state of Utah. Subject to valid existing rights, the bill would withdraw most of those lands from programs to develop mineral and geothermal resources. Withdrawing those lands from leasing and development could result in forgone offsetting receipts if, under current law, the lands would generate receipts from those activities. According to BLM, however, the lands currently generate no significant receipts and are not expected to over the next 10 years. Hence, we estimate that any resulting changes in offsetting receipts would be negligible. Title XIV also would prohibit the Secretary of the Interior from

Title XIV also would prohibit the Secretary of the Interior from issuing permits for rights-of-way through certain federal lands in Utah. According to BLM, the agency collects less than \$100,000 a year from such permits; hence, CBO estimates that any resulting loss of offsetting receipts (a credit against direct spending) would not exceed that amount in any year.

#### Other provisions

The following provisions would have an insignificant budgetary impact on direct spending:

• Section 364 would extend the arsenal support program initiative (ASPI) through 2004. ASPI allows government owned and operated arsenals to sign contracts with private companies for the use of excess plant space and equipment. The arsenal can then use the rents and fees from these contracts to reduce overhead costs. To date, these contracts have generated less than \$500,000 a year in proceeds.

• Section 366 would allow the basic allowance for housing (BAH) for service members who live in privatized housing to be disregarded as income when determining eligibility for free and reduced meals in the school lunch program. Under current law, the BAH is counted as income. The provision would allow more children to be eligible for free and reduced price meals for the one year period after enactment. CBO estimates that this section would increase direct spending in the child nutrition program in 2003, but that the costs would not be significant. (If this bill is enacted before the start of fiscal year 2003, then there would be some insignificant cost in 2002 also.)

• Section 2862 would allow the Navy to provide wastewater treatment services from its facilities at Camp Lejeune, North Carolina, to Onslow County and other public entities near the base. In exchange for those services, the Navy would receive cash or in-kind payment. This provision would have no net impact on direct spending because it would allow the Navy to spend any cash that it collects.

Pay-as-you-go considerations: The Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act sets up pay-as-you-go procedures for legislation affecting direct spending or receipts. The net changes in direct spending that are subject to pay-as-you-go procedures are shown in Table 6. For the purposes of enforcing pay-as-you-go procedures, only the effects through fiscal year 2006 are counted.

TABLE 6.-ESTIMATED IMPACT OF H.R. 4546 ON DIRECT SPENDING AND RECEIPTS

		By fiscal year, in millions of dollars—									
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Changes in outlays	0	509	637	1,021	1,599	1,997	2,123	2,256	2,386	2,524	2,672
Changes in receipts					Not	t applical	ole				

#### INTERGOVERNMENTAL AND PRIVATE-SECTOR IMPACT

H.R. 4546 contains no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined in UMRA and would impose no costs on state, local, or tribal governments.

Estimate prepared by: Federal Costs: Defense Outlays: Kent Christensen. Military Construction: David Newman. Military and Civilian Personnel: Michelle Patterson and Dawn Regan. Armed Forces Retirement Home: Geoffrey Gerhardt. Military Retirement: Sarah Jennings. Health Programs: Sam Papenfuss. Multiyear Procurement: David Newman and Raymond Hall. Conservation and Land Management: Megan Carroll. Maritime Administration: Deborah Reis. Naval Petroleum Reserves: Lisa Cash Driskill. Nutrition Programs: Valerie Womer. Operation and Maintenance: Matt Schmit. Impact on State, Local, and Tribal Governments: Elyse Goldman. Impact on the Private Sector: R. William Thomas.

Estimate approved by: Peter H. Fontaine, Deputy Assistant Director for Budget Analysis.

#### COMMITTEE COST ESTIMATE

Pursuant to clause 3(d) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the committee generally concurs with the estimates as contained in the report of the Congressional Budget Office.

#### **OVERSIGHT FINDINGS**

With respect to clause 3(c)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, this legislation results from hearings and other oversight activities conducted by the committee pursuant to clause 2(b)(1) of rule X.

With respect to clause 3(c)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives and section 308(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, this legislation does not include any new spending or credit authority, nor does it provide for any increase or decrease in tax revenues or expenditures. The bill does, however, authorize appropriations. Other fiscal features of this legislation are addressed in the estimate prepared by the Director of the Congressional Budget Office under section 402 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

With respect to clause 3(c)(4) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the committee has not received a report from the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight pertaining to the subject matter of H.R. 4546.

#### GENERAL PERFORMANCE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

With respect to clause 3(c)(4) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, this legislation would address several general and outcome-related performance goals and objectives. The general goal and objective of this legislation is to improve the quality of life for military personnel and their families, military readiness, the modernization and eventual transformation of the armed forces, to enhance the development of ballistic missile defenses, and to improve the condition of military housing and facilities.

With respect to the outcome-related goal of improving the quality of life for military personnel and their families, the objective of this legislation is to:

(1) ensure the largest one-year growth in active duty end strength since 1986 by adding 12,650 personnel, enabling all the military services to begin meeting long-standing manpower shortages, as well as new manning requirements.

(2) provide every military service member a pay raise of between 4.1 and 6.5 percent effective January 1, 2003; (3) reduce out-of-pocket housing costs for military personnel

to less than 8 percent during fiscal year 2003;

(4) eliminate unfair provisions in current law, thereby allowing those rated 60 percent disabled or greater to receive by 2007 their full military retired pay and full veteran's disability compensation.

With respect to the outcome-related goal of improving military readiness, the objective of this legislation is to:

(1) increase funding for key readiness accounts by \$4.6 billion above the fiscal year 2002 level; (2) satisfy approximately \$200 million of the service chiefs'

unfunded readiness requirements;

With respect to the outcome-related goal of improving the modernization and eventual transformation of the armed forces and enhancing the development of ballistic missile defenses, the objective of this legislation is to:

(1) increase funding for military procurement accounts by \$2.9 billion;

(2) satisfy more than \$2 billion of the unfunded procurement requirements identified by the service chiefs; and

(3) increase funding for military research and development accounts by \$914 million.

With respect to the outcome-related goal of improving military housing and facilities, the objective of this legislation is to:

(1) provide \$10 billion for military construction and military family housing programs, including \$2 billion for quality of life enhancements;

(2) provide several enhancements to the authority provided by current law to privatize military housing that will provide the military services more flexibility to procure adequate military family housing.

With respect to the outcome-related goal of increasing homeland and troop defenses against terrorist and ballistic missile attacks, the objective of this legislation is to:

(1) support the President's request for \$7.3 billion to combat terrorism; and

(2) support the approach of the President's ballistic missile defense program and to increase funding for ballistic missile defense programs by \$8.4 million above the fiscal year 2002 level.

#### CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY STATEMENT

Pursuant to Rule XIII, clause 3 (d)(1) of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the committee finds the authority for this legislation in Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution.

#### STATEMENT OF FEDERAL MANDATES

Pursuant to section 423 of Public Law 104–4, this legislation contains no federal mandates with respect to state, local, and tribal governments, nor with respect to the private sector. Similarly, the bill provides no federal intergovernmental mandates.

#### RECORD VOTES

In accordance with clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, record and voice votes were taken with respect to the committee's consideration of H.R. 4546. The record of these votes is attached to this report.

The committee ordered H.R. 4546 reported to the House with a favorable recommendation by a vote of 57–1, a quorum being present.

Amendment	Number: 9	Date: 5/1/02	
description:	Repeal 2005 BRAC Requirement	Offered by:	Taylor

Voice Vote Ayes Nays

Rep.	Aye	Nay	Present	Rep.	Aye	Nay	Present
Mr. Stump		X		Mr. Skelton		Х	
Mr. Hunter		X	1	Mr. Spratt		Х	
Mr. Hansen		X		Mr. Ortiz	X		
Mr. Weldon		X		Mr. Evans	X		
Mr. Hefley	Х			Mr. Taylor	X		
Mr. Saxton		X		Mr. Abercrombie		Х	
Mr. McHugh		X		Mr. Meehan		X	
Mr. Everett		X		Mr. Underwood	X		
Mr. Bartlett			X	Mr. Blagojevich			1
Mr. McKeon		X		Mr. Reyes		X	
Mr. Watts				Mr. Allen	X		1
Mr. Thornberry		Х		Mr. Snyder		X	
Mr. Hostettler		Х		Mr. Turner		X	
Mr. Chambliss		X		Mr. Smith		X	
Mr. Hilleary		X		Ms. Sanchez		X	
Mr. Jones	х			Mr. Maloney		X	
Mr. Graham		X		Mr. McIntyre	X		
Mr. Ryun		X		Mr. Rodriguez	X		
Mr. Riley	X			Ms. McKinney		X	
Mr. Gibbons		X	1	Ms. Tauscher	X		
Mr. Hayes		X		Mr. Brady		Х	
Mrs. Wilson	Х			Mr. Andrews		Х	
Mr. Calvert		X		Mr. Hill		Х	
Mr. Simmons		X		Mr. Thompson		Х	
Mr. Crenshaw		X	1	Mr. Larson (CT)	Х		
Mr. Kirk		Х		Mrs. Davis (CA)	X		
Mrs. Davis (VA)	Х			Mr. Langevin	X		
Mr. Schrock		Х		Mr. Larsen (WA)	X		
Mr. Akin		Х	1				
Mr. Forbes	X						
Mr. Miller	X						
Mr. Wilson (SC)		X					

Roll Call Vote Total

19 Aye 38 Nay 1 Present

Amendment	Number: 3	Date: 5/1/02	
description:	Abortion	Offered by:	Sanchez

				Va	ice Vote	Ayes	Nays
Rep.	Aye	Nay	Present	Rep.	Aye	Nay	Present
Mr. Stump	THE THE REAL	X		Mr. Skelton		X	
Mr. Hunter		X		Mr. Spratt	X		
Mr. Hansen		X		Mr. Ortiz		Х	
Mr. Weldon		X		Mr. Evans	X		
Mr. Hefley		X		Mr. Taylor		Х	
Mr. Saxton		Х		Mr. Abercrombie	X		
Mr. McHugh		X		Mr. Meehan	X		
Mr. Everett		Х	1	Mr. Underwood		Х	
Mr. Bartlett		X		Mr. Blagojevich			
Mr. McKeon		X		Mr. Reves	X		
Mr. Watts				Mr. Allen	X		
Mr. Thornberry		X	1	Mr. Snyder	x		
Mr. Hostettier		X		Mr. Turner	x		
Mr. Chambliss		X	1	Mr. Smith	X		
Mr. Hilleary		X	1	Ms. Sanchez	X		
Mr. Jones		Х		Mr. Maloney	X		
Mr. Graham		X	1	Mr. McIntyre		X	
Mr. Rvun		x	1	Mr. Rodriguez	X		
Mr. Rilev		X		Ms. McKinney	X		
Mr. Gibbons	X		1	Ms. Tauscher	X		
Mr. Haves		X		Mr. Brady	X		
Mrs. Wilson		X		Mr. Andrews	X		
Mr. Calvert		X		Mr. Hill	X		
Mr. Simmons	X			Mr. Thompson	X		
Mr. Crenshaw		X		Mr. Larson (CT)	x		
Mr. Kirk	X	1	h	Mrs. Davis (CA)	x		
Mrs. Davis (VA)		X		Mr. Langevin		Х	
Mr. Schrock		X		Mr. Larsen (WA)	x		
Mr. Akin		X		·····			
Mr. Forbes		Х					
Mr. Miller		X					
Mr. Wilson (SC)		X					

**Roll Call Vote Total** 

24 Aye 34 Nay 0 Present

Amendment	Number: 8	Date: 5/1/02	
description:	Strike title XIV	Offered by:	

Voice Vote Ayes Nays

Rep.	Aye	Nay	Present	Rep.	Aye	Nay	Present
Mr. Stump		Х		Mr. Skelton	Х		
Mr. Hunter		X		Mr. Spratt	X		1
Mr. Hansen		Х		Mr. Ortiz		Х	
Mr. Weldon		X		Mr. Evans	X		1
Mr. Hefley		X		Mr. Taylor		X	
Mr. Saxton		X		Mr. Abercrombie		Х	
Mr. McHugh		X	1	Mr. Meehan	X		
Mr. Everett		X		Mr. Underwood	X		
Mr. Bartlett		X	1	Mr. Blagojevich			
Mr. McKeon				Mr. Reves	X		
Mr. Watts		X	1	Mr. Allen	X		
Mr. Thornberry		X		Mr. Snyder	X		
Mr. Hostettier		X		Mr. Turner		X	1
Mr. Chambliss		X	1	Mr. Smith	X		1
Mr. Hilleary		X		Ms. Sanchez	X		T
Mr. Jones		Х	1	Mr. Maioney	X		
Mr. Graham				Mr. Mcintyre	X		
Mr. Ryun		Х		Mr. Rodriguez	X		
Mr. Riley		Х		Ms. McKinney	X		
Mr. Gibbons		Х		Ms. Tauscher	X		
Mr. Hayes		Х	1	Mr. Brady	X		
Mrs. Wilson		X	T	Mr. Andrews	X		
Mr. Calvert		Х		Mr. Hill	X		
Mr. Simmons		Х	T	Mr. Thompson		X	
Mr. Crenshaw		X		Mr. Larson (CT)	X		
Mr, Kirk		X		Mrs. Davis (CA)	X		1
Mrs. Davis (VA)		X	1	Mr. Langevin	X		
Mr. Schrock		Х		Mr. Larsen (WA)	X		
Mr. Akin		Х					
Mr. Forbes		X					
Mr. Miller		X					
Mr. Wilson (SC)		Х					

Roll Call Vote Total

22 Aye 35 Nay 0 Present

\_\_\_\_\_

Amendment	Number: 58	Date: 5/1/02	
description:	Perfecting to Taylor on Colombia Troop Cap	Offered by:	Chambliss

				vi	vice Vote	Ayes	Nays
Rep.	Aye	Nay	Present	Rep.	Aye	Nay	Present
Mr. Stump	X	-		Mr. Skelton		X	
Mr. Hunter	X		1	Mr. Spratt		Х	
Mr. Hansen	Х			Mr. Ortiz		X	
Mr. Weldon	Х			Mr. Evans		X	
Mr. Hefley	Х			Mr. Taylor		X	
Mr. Saxton	X			Mr. Abercrombie		Х	
Mr. McHugh	Х			Mr. Meehan		X	
Mr. Everett	Х			Mr. Underwood		X	
Mr. Bartlett		х		Mr. Blagojevich			
Mr. McKeon	X			Mr. Reyes		Х	
Mr. Watts	X			Mr. Allen		х	
Mr. Thornberry	Х			Mr. Snyder		X	
Mr. Hostettler		Х		Mr. Turner		X	
Mr. Chambliss	X			Mr. Smith		X	
Mr. Hilleary	Х			Ms. Sanchez		X	
Mr. Jones	X			Mr. Maloney		X	
Mr. Graham	X			Mr. McIntyre		Х	
Mr. Ryun	x			Mr. Rodriguez		X	
Mr. Riley	Х			Ms. McKinney		X	
Mr. Gibbons	Х			Ms. Tauscher	X		
Mr. Hayes	X			Mr. Brady		Х	
Mrs. Wilson	X			Mr. Andrews		X	
Mr. Calvert	X			Mr. Hill		Х	
Mr. Simmons	X			Mr. Thompson		Х	
Mr. Crenshaw	Х			Mr. Larson (CT)		X	
Mr. Kirk	Х			Mrs. Davis (CA)		X	
Mrs. Davis (VA)	х			Mr. Langevin		X	
Mr. Schrock	Х			Mr. Larsen (WA)		Х	
Mr. Akin	X						
Mr. Forbes	X						
Mr. Miller	X						
Mr. Wilson (SC)	X						

Roll Call Vote Total

31 Aye 28 Nay 0 Present

Amendment	Number: 26	Date: 5/1/02	
description:	SOC re: Strategic	Offered by:	Tauscher
	Deterrent		

Rep.	Aye	Nay	Present		Aye	Nay	Present
Mr. Stump		Х		Mr. Skelton	X		
Mr. Hunter		Х		Mr. Spratt	X		
Mr. Hansen		X		Mr. Ortiz	X		
Mr. Weldon		X		Mr. Evans	X		
Mr. Hefley		X		Mr. Taylor		Х	
Mr. Saxton		X		Mr. Abercromble	X		
Mr. McHugh		X		Mr. Meehan	X		
Mr. Everett		X		Mr. Underwood	X		1
Mr. Bartlett		X		Mr. Blagojevich			
Mr. McKeon		X		Mr. Reyes	X		1
Mr. Watts		X		Mr. Allen	X		1
Mr. Thornberry		X		Mr. Snyder			X
Mr. Hostettler		Х		Mr. Turner	X		1
Mr, Chambliss		X		Mr. Smith	X		1
Mr. Hilleary		X		Ms. Sanchez	X	*****	1
Mr. Jones		X		Mr. Maloney	X		1
Mr. Graham		Х		Mr. McIntyre	X		
Mr. Ryun		X		Mr. Rodriguez	X		
Mr. Rilev				Ms. McKinney	X		
Mr. Gibbons		X		Ms. Tauscher	X		
Mr. Hayes		X		Mr. Brady	X		
Mrs. Wilson		X	1	Mr. Andrews	X		
Mr. Calvert		X		Mr. Hill	X		
Mr. Simmons	·	Х		Mr. Thompson	X	*****	1
Mr. Crenshaw		X		Mr. Larson (CT)	X	4	
Mr. Kirk		X		Mrs. Davis (CA)	X		
Mrs. Davis (VA)		X		Mr. Langevin	X		
Mr. Schrock		X	1	Mr. Larsen (WA)	X		
Mr. Akin		X					1
Mr. Forbes		X					1
Mr. Miller		X	1				
Mr. Wilson (SC)		X	-				1

Roll Call Vote Total

25 Aye 32 Nay 1 Present

Amendment	Number: 21	Date: 5/1/02	
description:	Notification of	Offered by:	Spratt
	Underground Testing		

				Vo	s Nays		
Rep.	Aye	Nay	Present	Rep.	Aye	Nay	Present
Mr. Stump		X		Mr. Skelton	X		
Mr. Hunter		X	1	Mr. Spratt	X		
Mr. Hansen		X		Mr. Ortiz	х		1
Mr. Weldon		X		Mr. Evans	X		
Mr. Hefley	X			Mr. Taylor	х		
Mr. Saxton		X		Mr. Abercrombie	X		
Mr. McHugh		X		Mr. Meehan	X		
Mr. Everett		X		Mr. Underwood	X		
Mr. Bartlett		X		Mr. Blagojevich			
Mr. McKeon		X		Mr. Reves	X		
Mr. Watts		X		Mr. Allen	х		
Mr. Thornberry		X		Mr. Snyder	х		
Mr. Hostettler		X		Mr. Turner	х		
Mr. Chambliss		X		Mr. Smith	x		
Mr. Hilleary		X		Ms. Sanchez	х		
Mr. Jones		X		Mr. Maloney		X	
Mr. Graham			1	Mr. McIntyre		X	
Mr. Ryun		X	1	Mr. Rodriguez	X		
Mr. Riley				Ms. McKinney		•	
Mr. Gibbons		X		Ms. Tauscher	x		
Mr. Hayes		X	1	Mr. Brady	х		
Mrs. Wilson		X		Mr. Andrews	X		
Mr. Calvert		X		Mr. Hill	X		
Mr. Simmons		Х		Mr. Thompson	X		
Mr. Crenshaw		X		Mr. Larson (CT)	х		
Mr. Kirk		X		Mrs. Davis (CA)	x		
Mrs. Davis (VA)		X	1	Mr. Langevin	x		
Mr. Schrock		X		Mr. Larsen (WA)	x		
Mr. Akin		Х	1				
Mr. Forbes		Х	1				1
Mr. Miller		Х	1				1
Mr. Wilson (SC)		Х					

Roll Call Vote Total

25 Aye 31 Nay 0 Present

Amendment	Number: 56	Date: 5/1/02		
description:	Nuclear Interceptors	Offered by:	Spratt	

Rep.	Aye	Nay	Present	Rep.	Aye	Nay	Present
Mr. Stump		X	1	Mr. Skelton	X		1
Mr. Hunter		X	1	Mr. Spratt	X		
Mr. Hansen		X		Mr. Ortiz	X		
Mr. Weldon		X		Mr. Evans	x		
Mr. Hefley		X		Mr. Taylor		X	
Mr. Saxton		X		Mr. Abercrombie	X		
Mr. McHugh		X		Mr. Meehan	X		
Mr. Everett		X		Mr. Underwood	X		
Mr. Bartlett		X		Mr. Blagojevich			
Mr. McKeon		X		Mr. Reves	X		1
Mr. Watts		X		Mr. Allen	X		1
Mr. Thornberry		X		Mr. Snyder	X		1
Mr. Hostettler		X		Mr. Tumer	x		1
Mr. Chambliss		X		Mr. Smith	X		1
Mr. Hilleary		X	-	Ms. Sanchez	X		
Mr. Jones	(u.,	X		Mr. Malonev	X		
Mr. Graham		X		Mr. McIntyre	X		
Mr. Ryun		X		Mr. Rodriguez	X		
Mr. Riley		1	-	Ms. McKinney			
Mr. Gibbons		X	1	Ms. Tauscher	X		1
Mr. Hayes		X		Mr. Brady	X		
Mrs. Wilson		X	1	Mr. Andrews	X		
Mr. Calvert		X		Mr. Hill	X		1
Mr. Simmons				Mr. Thompson	X		
Mr. Crenshaw		X		Mr. Larson (CT)	X		
Mr. Kirk		X		Mrs. Davis (CA)			1
Mrs. Davis (VA)		X		Mr. Langevin	x		1
Mr. Schrock		X		Mr. Larsen (WA)	X		1
Mr. Akin		X					1
Mr. Forbes		X					1
Mr. Miller		X	1				1
Mr. Wilson (SC)		X		1 1			1

Roll Call Vote Total

24 Aye 31 Nay 0 Present

# 457

Amendment Number: 51	Date: 5/1/02
description: BMD Cut	Offered by: Spratt

Voice Vote Ayes Nays

Rep.	Aye	Nay	Present	Rep.	Aye	Nay	Present
Mr. Stump		X		Mr. Skelton	X		T
Mr. Hunter		Х		Mr. Spratt	Х		
Mr. Hansen		Х		Mr. Ortiz	Х		-
Mr. Weldon		Х		Mr. Evans	X		
Mr. Hefley		X		Mr. Taylor	Х		
Mr. Saxton		Х		Mr. Abercrombie	Х		
Mr. McHugh		Х		Mr. Meehan	X		
Mr. Everett		Х		Mr. Underwood	Х		
Mr. Bartlett		X		Mr. Blagojevich			
Mr. McKeon		X		Mr. Reyes	Х		
Mr. Watts		Х		Mr. Allen	X		
Mr. Thornberry		X		Mr. Snyder	X		
Mr. Hostettler		Х		Mr. Turner	X		
Mr. Chambliss		X		Mr. Smith	X		1
Mr. Hilleary		X	1	Ms. Sanchez	X		
Mr. Jones		X		Mr. Maioney	Х		
Mr. Graham		X		Mr. McIntyre	х		
Mr. Ryun		Х		Mr. Rodriguez	Х		
Mr. Riley				Ms. McKinney	X		
Mr. Gibbons		Х		Ms. Tauscher	X		
Mr. Hayes		X		Mr. Brady	X		
Mrs. Wilson		Х		Mr. Andrews	Х		
Mr. Calvert		X		Mr. Hill	X		
Mr. Simmons		Х		Mr. Thompson	X		
Mr. Crenshaw		Х		Mr. Larson (CT)	X		
Mr. Kirk		Х		Mrs. Davis (CA)		X	
Mrs. Davis (VA)		X		Mr. Langevin	х		
Mr. Schrock		X		Mr. Larsen (WA)	X		
Mr. Akin		X					
Mr. Forbes		X					
Mr. Miller		Х					
Mr. Wilson (SC)		X					

Roll Call Vote Total

26 Aye 32 Nay 0 Present

# 458

Date: 5/1/02

Final Passage of H.R. 4546 as Amended

Rep.	Aye	Nay	Present	Rep.	Aye	Nay	Present
Mr. Stump	X			Mr. Skelton	Х		
Mr. Hunter	X			Mr. Spratt	X		
Mr. Hansen	Х			Mr. Ortiz	Х		
Mr. Weldon	х			Mr. Evans	X		
Mr. Hefiey	X			Mr. Taylor	Х		
Mr. Saxton	X			Mr. Abercrombie	X		
Mr. McHugh	X			Mr. Meehan	Х		1
Mr. Everett	X			Mr. Underwood	X		
Mr. Bartlett	X			Mr. Blagojevich			
Mr. McKeon	X		1	Mr. Reyes	X		
Mr. Watts	X			Mr. Allen	X		
Mr. Thornberry	Х			Mr. Snyder	X		
Mr. Hostettler	х			Mr. Turner	X		
Mr. Chambliss	X			Mr. Smith	X		
Mr. Hilleary	X			Ms. Sanchez	Х		
Mr. Jones	x			Mr. Maloney	Х		
Mr. Graham	X			Mr. McIntyre	X		
Mr. Ryun	X			Mr. Rodriguez	X		
Mr. Riley				Ms. McKinney		X	
Mr. Gibbons	х			Ms. Tauscher	X		
Mr. Hayes	X			Mr. Brady	Х		
Mrs. Wilson	X			Mr. Andrews	Х		
Mr. Calvert	X			Mr. Hill	X		
Mr. Simmons	X		1	Mr. Thompson	X		
Mr. Crenshaw	Х			Mr. Larson (CT)	X		
Mr. Kirk	Х			Mrs. Davis (CA)	X		
Mrs. Davis (VA)	х			Mr. Langevin	Х		
Mr. Schrock	X			Mr. Larsen (WA)	Х		
Mr. Akin	X						
Mr. Forbes	X						
Mr. Miller	X						
Mr. Wilson (SC)	X						

Roll Call Vote Total

57 Aye 1 Nay 0 Present

# 459

#### CHANGES IN EXISTING LAW MADE BY THE BILL, AS REPORTED

In compliance with clause 3(e) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, changes in existing law made by the bill, as reported, are shown as follows (existing law proposed to be omitted is enclosed in black brackets, new matter is printed in italics, existing law in which no change is proposed is shown in roman):

#### TITLE 10, UNITED STATES CODE

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

# Subtitle A—General Military Law

\* \* \* \* \*

#### PART I—ORGANIZATION AND GENERAL MILITARY POWERS

\* \* \*

#### CHAPTER 2—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Sec.

\*

\*

 111. Executive department.

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

 \*
 \*

\*

#### §113a. Transmission of annual defense authorization request

(a) TIME FOR TRANSMITTAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall transmit to Congress the annual defense authorization request for a fiscal year during the first 30 days after the date on which the President transmits to Congress the budget for that fiscal year pursuant to section 1105 of title 31.

(b) DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION REQUEST DEFINED.—In this section, the term "defense authorization request", with respect to a fiscal year, means a legislative proposal submitted to Congress for the enactment of the following:

(1) Authorizations of appropriations for that fiscal year, as required by section 114 of this title.

(2) Personnel strengths for that fiscal year, as required by section 115 of this title.

(3) Any other matter that is proposed by the Secretary of Defense to be enacted as part of the annual defense authorization bill for that fiscal year.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

# §115. Personnel strengths: requirement for annual authorization

(a) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

(f) Upon determination by the Secretary of a military department that such action would enhance manning and readiness in essential units or in critical specialties or ratings, the Secretary may increase the end strength authorized pursuant to subsection (a)(1)(A) for a fiscal year for the armed force under the jurisdiction of that Secretary or, in the case of the Secretary of the Navy, for any of the armed forces under the jurisdiction of that Secretary. Any such increase for a fiscal year—

(1) shall be by a number equal to not more than 1 percent of such authorized end strength; and

(2) shall be counted as part of the increase for that armed force for that fiscal year authorized under subsection (c)(1).

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §118. Quadrennial defense review

(a) REVIEW REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense shall every four years, [during a year] during the second year following a year evenly divisible by four, conduct a comprehensive examination (to be known as a "quadrennial defense review") of the national defense strategy, force structure, force modernization plans, infrastructure, budget plan, and other elements of the defense program and policies of the United States with a view toward determining and expressing the defense strategy of the United States and establishing a defense program for the next 20 years. Each such quadrennial defense review shall be conducted in consultation with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

(d) SUBMISSION OF QDR TO CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—The Secretary shall submit a report on each quadrennial defense review to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives. The report shall be submitted not later than September 30 of the year in which the review is conducted. The report shall include the following:

(1) \*

\*

(14) The national defense mission of the Coast Guard. [(14)] (15) Any other matter the Secretary considers appropriate.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### CHAPTER 5—JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF

\* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §153. Chairman: functions

(a) PLANNING; ADVICE; POLICY FORMULATION.—Subject to the authority, direction, and control of the President and the Secretary of Defense, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff shall be responsible for the following:
(1) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### CHAPTER 8-DEFENSE AGENCIES AND DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FIELD ACTIVITIES

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### SUBCHAPTER II—MISCELLANEOUS DEFENSE AGENCY MATTERS

Sec.

201. Certain intelligence officials: consultation and concurrence regarding appointments; evaluation of performance.

[203. Director of Ballistic Missile Defense Organization.] 203. Director of Missile Defense Agency.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

#### [§ 203. Director of Ballistic Missile Defense Organization]

#### *§203. Director of Missile Defense Agency*

If an officer of the armed forces on active duty is appointed to the position of Director of the [Ballistic Missile Defense Organization] *Missile Defense Agency*, the position shall be treated as having been designated by the President as a position of importance and responsibility for purposes of section 601 of this title and shall carry the grade of lieutenant general or general or, in the case of an officer of the Navy, vice admiral or admiral.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### **CHAPTER 9—DEFENSE BUDGET MATTERS**

Sec.

221. Future-years defense program: submission to Congress; consistency in budgeting.

[230. Amounts for declassification of records.]

#### §223. Ballistic missile defense programs: program elements

(a) PROGRAM ELEMENTS SPECIFIED.—In the budget justification materials submitted to Congress in support of the Department of Defense budget for any fiscal year (as submitted with the budget of the President under section 1105(a) of title 31), the amount requested for activities of the [Ballistic Missile Defense Organization] *Missile Defense Agency* shall be set forth in accordance with program elements governing functional areas as follows:

(1) \* \* \*

\*

#### \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §224. Ballistic missile defense programs: display of amounts for research, development, test, and evaluation

(a) REQUIREMENT.—Any amount in the budget submitted to Congress under section 1105 of title 31 for any fiscal year for research, development, test, and evaluation for a Department of Defense missile defense program described in subsection (b) shall be set forth under the account of the Department of Defense for Defense-wide research, development, test, and evaluation and, within that account, under the subaccount (or other budget activity level) for the [Ballistic Missile Defense Organization] *Missile Defense Agency*.

(b) TRANSFER CRITERIA.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall establish criteria for the transfer of responsibility for a ballistic missile defense program from the Director of the [Ballistic Missile Defense Organization] *Missile Defense Agency* to the Secretary of a military department. The criteria established for such a transfer shall, at a minimum, address the following: (A) \* \* \*

(c) NOTIFICATION OF TRANSFER.—Before responsibility for a ballistic missile defense program is transferred from the Director of the [Ballistic Missile Defense Organization] Missile Defense Agency to the Secretary of a military department, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees notice in writing of the Secretary's intent to make that transfer. The Secretary shall include with such notice a certification that the program has met the criteria established under subsection (b) for such a transfer. The transfer may then be carried out after the end of the 60-day period beginning on the date of such notice.

(d) CONFORMING BUDGET AND PLANNING TRANSFERS.—When a ballistic missile defense program is transferred from the [Ballistic Missile Defense Organization] Missile Defense Agency to the Secretary of a military department in accordance with this section, the Secretary of Defense shall ensure that all appropriate conforming changes are made to proposed or projected funding allocations in the future-years defense program under section 221 of this title and other Department of Defense program, budget, and planning documents.

(e) FOLLOW-ON RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUA-TION.—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that, [before a] for each ballistic missile defense program [is] transferred from the Director of the [Ballistic Missile Defense Organization] Missile Defense Agency to the Secretary of a military department, [roles and responsibilities for research, development, test, and evaluation related to system improvements for that program are clearly defined.] responsibility for research, development, test, and evaluation related to system improvements for that program remains with the Director.

#### §228. Monthly reports on allocation of funds within operation and maintenance budget subactivities

(a) MONTHLY REPORT.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit [to Congress] to the congressional defense committees a monthly report on the allocation of appropriations to O&M budget activities and to the subactivities of those budget activities. Each such report shall be submitted not later than 60 days after the end of the month to which the report pertains.

(e) O&M BUDGET ACTIVITY DEFINED.—For purposes of this section, the] (e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term "O&M budget activity" means a budget activity within an operation and maintenance appropriation of the Department of Defense for a fiscal year.

\*

(2) The term "congressional defense committees" means the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate and the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### [§ 230. Amounts for declassification of records

[The Secretary of Defense shall include in the budget justification materials submitted to Congress in support of the Department of Defense budget for any fiscal year (as submitted with the budget of the President under section 1105(a) of title 31) specific identification of the amounts required to carry out programmed activities during that fiscal year to declassify records pursuant to Executive Order No. 12958 (50 U.S.C. 435 note) or any successor Executive order or to comply with any statutory requirement, or any request, to declassify Government records. Identification of such amounts in such budget justification materials shall be in a single display that shows the total amount for the Department of Defense and the amount for each military department and Defense Agency.]

#### **CHAPTER 23—MISCELLANEOUS STUDIES AND REPORTS**

Sec.

480. Reports to Congress: submission in electronic form.

488. Status of female members of the armed forces: annual report.

#### §480. Reports to Congress: submission in electronic form

(a) REQUIREMENT.—Whenever the Secretary of Defense or any other official of the Department of Defense submits to Congress (or any committee of either House of Congress) a report that the Secretary (or other official) is required by law to submit, the Secretary (or other official) [shall, upon request by any committee of Congress to which the report is submitted or referred, provide to Congress (or each] *shall provide to Congress (or such committee)* a copy of the report in an electronic medium.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

# §488. Status of female members of the armed forces: annual report

(a) ANNUAL REPORT.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress an annual report on the status of female members of the armed forces. Information in the report shall be shown for the Department of Defense as a whole and separately for each of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps.

(b) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.—Each report under subsection (a) shall include, at a minimum, the following information with respect to female members:

(1) Access to health care.

(2) Positions open.

(3) Assignment policies.

(4) Joint spouse assignments.

(5) Deployment availability rates.

(6) Promotion and retention rates.

(7) Assignments in nontraditional fields.

(8) Assignments to command positions. (9) Selection for service schools. (10) Sexual harassment.

# PART II—PERSONNEL

\* \* \* \*

#### **CHAPTER 32—OFFICER STRENGTH AND DISTRIBUTION** IN GRADE

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

§525. Distribution of commissioned officers on active duty in general officer and flag officer grades

(a) \* \* \* (b)(1) \* \* \* (2)(A) \* \* \*

(B) No appointment may be made in a grade above major general in the Marine Corps if that appointment would result in more than [16.2] 17.5 percent of the general officers of the Marine Corps on active duty being in grades above major general.

(5)(A) \*\*

\* (C) This paragraph shall cease to be effective at the end of [September 30, 2003 December 31, 2004.

\*

\*

\*

(8) An officer while serving in a position designated by the Secretary of Defense as Senior Military Assistant to the Secretary of Defense, if serving in the grade of lieutenant general or vice admiral, is in addition to the number that otherwise would be permitted for that officer's armed force for that grade under paragraph (1) or (2). Only one officer may be designated as Senior Military Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for purposes of this paragraph.

§526. Authorized strength: general and flag officers on active duty

(a) \* \* \*

\*

(b) LIMITED EXCLUSION FOR JOINT DUTY REQUIREMENTS.— (1) \* \*

(3) This subsection shall cease to be effective on [October 1, 2002] December 31, 2004.

(c) NOTICE TO CONGRESS UPON CHANGE IN GRADE FOR CERTAIN POSITIONS.-(1) Not later than 60 days before an action specified in paragraph (2) may become effective, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives a report providing notice of the intended action and an analytically based justification for the intended action.

[(2) Paragraph (1) applies in the case of the following actions:

[(A) A change in the grade authorized as of July 1, 1994, for a general officer position in the National Guard Bureau, a general or flag officer position in the Office of a Chief of a reserve component, or a general or flag officer position in the headquarters of a reserve component command.

[(B) Assignment of a reserve component officer to a general officer position in the National Guard Bureau, to a general or flag officer position in the Office of a Chief of a reserve component, or to a general or flag officer position in the headquarters of a reserve component command in a grade other than the grade authorized for that position as of July 1, 1994.

[(C) Assignment of an officer other than a general or flag officer as the military executive to the Reserve Forces Policy Board.]

# \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### CHAPTER 35—TEMPORARY APPOINTMENTS IN OFFICER GRADES

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §604. Senior joint officer positions: recommendations to the Secretary of Defense

(a) \* \* \*

\*

(c) EXPIRATION.—This section shall cease to be effective at the end of [September 30, 2003] December 31, 2004.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### CHAPTER 38—JOINT OFFICER MANAGEMENT

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### §663. Education

(a) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* (e) DURATION OF PRINCIPAL COURSE OF INSTRUCTION AT JOINT FORCES STAFF COLLEGE.—(1) \* \* \*

(2) In this subsection, the term "principal course of instruction" means any course of instruction offered at the [Armed Forces Staff College] Joint Forces Staff College as Phase II joint professional military education.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### CHAPTER 39—ACTIVE DUTY

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

# §691. Permanent end strength levels to support two major regional contingencies

(a) \* \* \*

(b) Unless otherwise provided by law, the number of members of the armed forces (other than the Coast Guard) on active duty at the end of any fiscal year shall be not less than the following: (1) For the Army, [480,000] 484,800.
(2) For the Navy, [376,000] 379,457.

(3) For the Marine Corps, [172,600] 175,000.

(4) For the Air Force, [358,800] 360,795.

\* \* \*

# **CHAPTER 40—LEAVE**

Sec. 701.

\*

Entitlement and accumulation.

709. Voluntary transfers of leave.

> \* \* \*

#### §705. Rest and recuperative absence for gualified enlisted members extending duty at designated locations overseas

(a) \* \* \*

(b) The benefits authorized by subsection (a) are—

(1) \*

(2) a period of rest and [recuperative] recuperation absence for not more than 15 days and round-trip transportation at Government expense from the location of the extended tour of duty to the nearest port in the 48 contiguous States and return, or to an alternate location at a cost not to exceed the cost of transportation to the nearest port in the 48 contiguous States, and return.

\* \* \*

#### § 709. Voluntary transfers of leave

(a) PROGRAM.—The Secretary concerned shall, by regulation, establish a program under which leave accrued by a member of an armed force may be transferred to another member of the same armed force who requires additional leave because of a qualifying emergency. Any such transfer of leave may be made only upon the voluntary written application of the member whose leave is to be transferred.

(b) APPROVAL OF COMMANDING OFFICER REQUIRED.—Any transfer of leave under a program under this section may only be made with the approval of the commanding officer of the leave donor and the leave recipient.

(c) QUALIFYING EMERGENCY.—In this section, the term "qualifying emergency", with respect to a member of the armed forces, means a circumstance that-

(1) is likely to require the prolonged absence of the member from duty: and

(2) is due to-

(A) a medical condition of a member of the immediate family of the member; or

(B) any other hardship that the Secretary concerned determines appropriate for purposes of this section. (d) MILITARY DEPARTMENT REGULATIONS.—Regulations pre-

scribed under this section by the Secretaries of the military depart-

ment shall be as uniform as practicable and shall be subject to approval by the Secretary of Defense.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

# CHAPTER 41—SPECIAL APPOINTMENTS, ASSIGNMENTS, DETAILS, AND DUTIES

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

§721. General and flag officers: limitation on appointments, assignments, details, and duties outside an officer's own service

(a) \* \* \*

\*

\* \* \* \* \* \*

(d) TREATMENT OF OFFICERS HOLDING MULTIPLE POSITIONS.— [(1)] If an officer described in subsection (b) simultaneously holds both a position external to that officer's armed force and another position not external to that officer's armed force, the Secretary of Defense shall determine whether that officer shall be counted for the purposes of this section.

[(2) The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress an annual report on the number of officers to whom paragraph (1) was applicable during the year covered by the report. The report shall set forth the determination made by the Secretary under that paragraph in each such case.]

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

# **CHAPTER 47—UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE**

\* \* \* \* \* \*

#### SUBCHAPTER VII—TRIAL PROCEDURE

Sec. Art.

\*

836. 36. President may prescribe rules.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

852a. 52a. Right of accused to request sentencing by military judge rather than by members.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §852a. Art. 52a. Right of accused to request sentencing by military judge rather than by members

(a) In the case of an accused convicted of an offense by a courtmartial composed of a military judge and members, the sentence shall be tried before and adjudged by the military judge rather than the members if, after the findings are announced and before evidence in the sentencing proceeding is introduced, the accused, knowing the identity of the military judge and after consultation with defense counsel, requests orally on the record or in writing that the sentence be tried before and adjudged by the military judge rather than the members. (b) This section shall not apply with respect to an offense for which the death penalty may be adjudged unless the case has been previously referred to trial as a noncapital case.

#### CHAPTER 49—MISCELLANEOUS PROHIBITIONS AND PENALTIES

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

# §986. Security clearances: limitations

\*

(a) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

[(e) ANNUAL REPORT.—Not later than February 1 each year, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report identifying each waiver issued under subsection (d) during the preceding year with an explanation for each case of the disqualifying factor in subsection (c) that applied, and the reason for the waiver of the disqualification.]

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### **CHAPTER 54—COMMISSARY AND EXCHANGE BENEFITS**

Sec.

1061. Survivors of certain Reserve and Guard members.

[1063a. Use of commissary stores and MWR retail facilities: members of National Guard serving in federally declared disaster.]

1063a. Use of commissary stores and MWR retail facilities: members of National Guard serving in federally declared disaster or national emergency.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### [§1063a. Use of commissary stores and MWR retail facilities: members of National Guard serving in federally declared disaster]

#### §1063a. Use of commissary stores and MWR retail facilities: members of National Guard serving in federally declared disaster or national emergency

(a) ELIGIBILITY OF MEMBERS.—A member of the National Guard who, although not in Federal service, is called or ordered to duty in response to a federally declared disaster *or national emergency* shall be permitted to use commissary stores and MWR retail facilities during the period of such duty on the same basis as members of the armed forces on active duty.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* (c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section: (1) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* (3) NATIONAL EMERGENCY.—The term "national emergency" means a national emergency declared by the President or Congress.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

# CHAPTER 55—MEDICAL AND DENTAL CARE

\*

#### §1076a. TRICARE dental program

\*

\*

(a) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* (k) ELIGIBLE DEPENDENT DEFINED.—In this section, the term "eligible dependent"—

(1) \* \* \*

(2) includes any such dependent of a member who dies while on active duty for a period of more than 30 days or a member of the Ready Reserve if the dependent is enrolled on the date of the death of the member in a dental benefits plan established under subsection (a) (or, if not enrolled, if the member discontinued participation under subsection (f)), except that the term does not include the dependent after the end of the threeyear period beginning on the date of the member's death.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §1079. Contracts for medical care for spouses and children: plans

(a) * * *						
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
(i)(1) * * *						
*	*	*	*	*	*	*

(3) Except in the case of an emergency or in the case of a person eligible for health care benefits under section 1086(d)(2) of this title for whom payment for such services is made under subsection 1086(d)(3) of this title, the Secretary of Defense shall require preadmission authorization before inpatient mental health services may be provided to persons covered by this section or section 1086 of this title. In the case of the provision of emergency inpatient mental health services, approval for the continuation of such services shall be required within 72 hours after admission.

(p)(1)(A) Subject to such exceptions as the Secretary of Defense considers necessary, coverage for medical care under this section for the dependents [referred to in subsection (a) of a member of the uniformed services referred to in section 1074(c)(3) of this title who are residing with the member] described in subparagraph (B), and standards with respect to timely access to such care, shall be comparable to coverage for medical care and standards for timely access to such care under the managed care option of the TRICARE program known as TRICARE Prime.

(B) A dependent referred to in subparagraph (A) is—

(i) a dependent referred to in subsection (a) of a member of the uniformed services referred to in section 1074(c)(3) of this title, who is residing with the member; or

(ii) a dependent referred to in subsection (a) of a member of the uniformed services with a permanent duty assignment for which the dependent is not authorized to accompany the member and one of the following circumstances exists:

(I) The dependent continues to reside at the location of the former duty assignment of the member (or residence in the case of a member of a reserve component ordered to ac-tive duty for a period of more than 30 days), and that location is more than 50 miles, or approximately one hour of driving time, from the nearest military medical treatment facility that can adequately provide needed health care.

(II) There is no reasonable expectation the member will return to the location of the former duty assignment, and the dependent moves to a location that is more than 50 miles, or approximately one hour of driving time, from the nearest military medical treatment facility that can adequately provide needed health care.

(q) For purposes of designating institutional and non-institutional health care providers authorized to provide care under this section, the Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations (in consultation with the other administering Secretaries) that will, to the extent practicable and subject to the limitations of subsection (a), so designate any provider authorized to provide care under title XVIII of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395 et seq.).

#### §1095. Health care services incurred on behalf of covered beneficiaries: collection from third-party payers

(a) \* \* \* \*

(g)[(1)] Amounts collected under this section from a third-party payer or under any other provision of law from any other payer for health care services provided at or through a facility of the uniformed services shall be credited to the appropriation supporting the maintenance and operation of the facility and shall not be taken into consideration in establishing the operating budget of the facility

(2) Not later than February 15 of each year, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report specifying for each facility of the uniformed services the amount credited to the facility under this subsection during the preceding fiscal year.]

#### CHAPTER 56—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MEDICARE-**ELIGIBLE RETIREE HEALTH CARE FUND** \*

\*

\*

#### §1111. Establishment and purpose of Fund; definitions; authority to enter into agreements

(a) \* \* \*

\*

\*

\*

(c) The Secretary of Defense [may enter into an agreement with any other administering Secretary] shall enter into an agreement with each other administering Secretary (as defined in section 1072(3) of this title) for participation in the Fund by a uniformed service under the jurisdiction of that Secretary. [Any] Each such agreement shall require that Secretary to determine contributions to the Fund on behalf of the members of the uniformed service under the jurisdiction of that Secretary in a manner comparable to the determination with respect to contributions to the Fund made by the Secretary of Defense under section 1116 of this title, and such administering Secretary may make such contributions.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §1116. Payments into the Fund

(a) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \*

[(c) Amounts paid into the Fund under subsection (a) shall be paid from funds available for the health care programs of the participating uniformed services under the jurisdiction of the respective administering Secretaries.]

(c) Amounts paid into the Fund under subsection (a) shall be paid from funds available for the pay of members of the participating uniformed services under the jurisdiction of the respective administering Secretaries.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### CHAPTER 58—BENEFITS AND SERVICES FOR MEMBERS BEING SEPARATED OR RECENTLY SEPARATED

\* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §1145. Health benefits

(a) TRANSITIONAL HEALTH CARE.—(1) For the applicable time period described in paragraph (3), a member of the armed forces who is separated from active duty as described in paragraph (2) (and the dependents of the member) shall be entitled to receive—

(A) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

# **CHAPTER 71—COMPUTATION OF RETIRED PAY**

Sec.

1401. Computation of retired pay.

\*

\*

[1413. Special compensation for certain severely disabled uniformed services retirees.

[1414. Members eligible for retired pay who have service-connected disabilities: payment of retired pay and veterans' disability compensation; contingent authority.]

1414. Members eligible for retired pay who have service-connected disabilities rated at 60 percent or higher: concurrent payment of retired pay and veterans' disability compensation.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §1401a. Adjustment of retired pay and retainer pay to reflect changes in Consumer Price Index

(a) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

(c) FIRST COLA ADJUSTMENT FOR MEMBERS WITH RETIRED PAY COMPUTED USING FINAL BASIC PAY.—

(1) FIRST ADJUSTMENT WITH INTERVENING INCREASE IN BASIC PAY.—Notwithstanding subsection (b) but subject to subsection (f)(2), if a person described in paragraph (3) becomes entitled to retired pay based on rates of monthly basic pay that became effective after the last day of the calendar quarter of the base index, the retired pay of the member or former member shall be increased on the effective date of the next adjustment of retired pay under subsection (b) only by the percent (adjusted to the nearest one-tenth of 1 percent) by which—

(A) the price index for the base quarter of that year, exceeds

(B) the price index for the calendar quarter immediately before the calendar quarter in which the rates of monthly basic pay on which the retired pay is based became effective.

(2) FIRST ADJUSTMENT WITH NO INTERVENING INCREASE IN BASIC PAY.—If a person described in paragraph (3) becomes entitled to retired pay on or after the effective date of an adjustment in retired pay under subsection (b) but before the effective date of the next increase in the rates of monthly basic pay, the retired pay of the member or former member shall be increased (subject to subsection (f)(2) as applied to other members whose retired pay is computed on the current rates of basic pay in the most recent adjustment under this section), effective on the date the member becomes entitled to that pay, by the percent (adjusted to the nearest one-tenth of 1 percent) by which—

(A) the base index, exceeds

(B) the price index for the calendar quarter immediately before the calendar quarter in which the rates of monthly basic pay on which the retired pay is based became effective.

(d) FIRST COLA ADJUSTMENT FOR MEMBERS WITH RETIRED PAY COMPUTED USING HIGH-THREE.—Notwithstanding subsection (b) but subject to subsection (f)(2), the retired pay of a member or former member of an armed force who first became a member of a uniformed service before August 1, 1986, or on or after August 1, 1986, if the member or former member did not elect to receive a bonus under section 322 of title 37 and whose retired pay base is determined under section 1407 of this title shall be increased on the effective date of the first adjustment of retired pay under subsection (b) after the member or former member becomes entitled to retired pay by the percent (adjusted to the nearest one-tenth of 1 percent) equal to the difference between the percent by which—

(1) the price index for the base quarter of that year, exceeds

(2) the price index for the calendar quarter immediately before the calendar quarter during which the member became entitled to retired pay.

(e) PRO RATING OF INITIAL ADJUSTMENT.—Notwithstanding subsection (b) but subject to subsection (f)(2), the retired pay of a member or former member of an armed force who first became a member of a uniformed service on or after August 1, 1986, and elected to receive a bonus under section 322 of title 37 shall be increased on the effective date of the first adjustment of retired pay under subsection (b) after the member or former member becomes entitled to retired pay by the percent (adjusted to the nearest one-tenth of 1 percent) equal to the difference between—

\*

(f) PREVENTION OF PAY INVERSIONS.—

(1) PREVENTION OF RETIRED PAY INVERSIONS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the monthly retired pay of a member or a former member of an armed force who initially became entitled to that pay on or after January 1, 1971, may not be less than the monthly retired pay to which he would be entitled if he had become entitled to retired pay at an earlier date based on the grade in which the member is retired, adjusted to reflect any applicable increases in such pay under this section. In computing the amount of retired pay to which such a member or former member would have been entitled on that earlier date, the computation shall be based on his grade, length of service, and the rate of basic pay applicable to him at that time, except that such computation may not be based on a rate of basic pay for a grade higher than the grade in which the member is retired. This subsection does not authorize any increase in the monthly retired pay to which a member was entitled for any period before October 7, 1975.

(2) PREVENTION OF COLA INVERSIONS.—The percentage of the first adjustment under this section in the retired pay of any person, as determined under subsection (c)(1), (c)(2), (d), or (e), may not exceed the percentage increase in retired pay determined under subsection (b)(2) that is effective on the same date as the effective date of such first adjustment.

#### [§1413. Special compensation for certain severely disabled uniformed services retirees

[(a) AUTHORITY.—The Secretary concerned shall pay to each eligible disabled uniformed services retiree a monthly amount determined under subsection (b). If the provisions of subsection (a) of section 1414 of this title become effective in accordance with subsection (f) of that section, payments under this section shall be terminated effective as of the month beginning on the effective date specified in subsection (e) of that section.

[(b) AMOUNT.—The amount to be paid to an eligible disabled uniformed services retiree in accordance with subsection (a) is the following:

[(1) For payments for months beginning with February 2002 and ending with December 2002, the following:

[(A) For any month for which the retiree has a qualifying service-connected disability rated as total, \$300.

[(B) For any month for which the retiree has a qualifying service-connected disability rated as 90 percent, \$200.

[(C) For any month for which the retiree has a qualifying service-connected disability rated as 80 percent or 70 percent, \$100.

[(D) For any month for which the retiree has a qualifying service-connected disability rated as 60 percent, \$50. [(2) For payments for months beginning with January 2003 and ending with September 2004, the following:

[(A) For any month for which the retiree has a qualifying service-connected disability rated as total, \$325.

[(B) For any month for which the retiree has a qualifying service-connected disability rated as 90 percent, \$225.

[(C) For any month for which the retiree has a qualifying service-connected disability rated as 80 percent, \$125.

[(D) For any month for which the retiree has a qualifying service-connected disability rated as 70 percent, \$100.

[(E) For any month for which the retiree has a qualifying service-connected disability rated as 60 percent, \$50. [(3) For payments for months after September 2004, the fol-

lowing: [(A) For any month for which the retiree has a qualifying service connected disability rated as total \$250

fying service-connected disability rated as total, \$350.

[(B) For any month for which the retiree has a qualifying service-connected disability rated as 90 percent, \$250.

[(C) For any month for which the retiree has a qualifying service-connected disability rated as 80 percent, \$150.

[(D) For any month for which the retiree has a qualifying service-connected disability rated as 70 percent, \$125.

[(E) For any month for which the retiree has a qualifying service-connected disability rated as 60 percent, \$50.

[(c) ELIGIBLE MEMBERS.—An eligible disabled uniformed services retiree referred to in subsection (a) is a member of the uniformed services in a retired status who—

[(1) completed at least 20 years of service in the uniformed services that are creditable for purposes of computing the amount of retired pay to which the member is entitled; and

[(2) has a qualifying service-connected disability.

[(d) QUALIFYING SERVICE-CONNECTED DISABILITY DEFINED.—In this section, the term "qualifying service-connected disability" means a service-connected disability that—

**[**(1) was incurred or aggravated in the performance of duty as a member of a uniformed service, as determined by the Secretary concerned; and

[(2) is rated as not less than 60 percent disabling—

[(A) by the Secretary concerned as of the date on which the member is retired from the uniformed services; or

[(B) by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs within four years following the date on which the member is retired from the uniformed services.

[(e) STATUS OF PAYMENTS.—Payments under this section are not retired pay.

[(f) SOURCE OF FUNDS.—Payments under this section for any fiscal year shall be paid out of funds appropriated for pay and allowances payable by the Secretary concerned for that fiscal year.

(g) OTHER DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

[(1) The term "service-connected" has the meaning given that term in section 101 of title 38.

[(2) The term "disability rated as total" means—

[(A) a disability that is rated as total under the standard schedule of rating disabilities in use by the Department of Veterans Affairs; or

**[**(B) a disability for which the scheduled rating is less than total but for which a rating of total is assigned by reason of inability of the disabled person concerned to secure or follow a substantially gainful occupation as a result of service-connected disabilities.

[(3) The term "retired pay" includes retainer pay, emergency officers' retirement pay, and naval pension.

#### [§1414. Members eligible for retired pay who have serviceconnected disabilities: payment of retired pay and veterans' disability compensation; contingent authority

[(a) PAYMENT OF BOTH RETIRED PAY AND COMPENSATION.—Subject to subsection (b), a member or former member of the uniformed services who is entitled to retired pay (other than as specified in subsection (c)) and who is also entitled to veterans' disability compensation is entitled to be paid both without regard to sections 5304 and 5305 of title 38, subject to the enactment of qualifying offsetting legislation as specified in subsection (f).

[(b) SPECIAL RULE FOR CHAPTER 61 CAREER RETIREES.—The retired pay of a member retired under chapter 61 of this title with 20 years or more of service otherwise creditable under section 1405 of this title at the time of the member's retirement is subject to reduction under sections 5304 and 5305 of title 38, but only to the extent that the amount of the member's retired pay under chapter 61 of this title exceeds the amount of retired pay to which the member would have been entitled under any other provision of law based upon the member's service in the uniformed services if the member had not been retired under chapter 61 of this title.

[(c) EXCEPTION.—Subsection (a) does not apply to a member retired under chapter 61 of this title with less than 20 years of service otherwise creditable under section 1405 of this title at the time of the member's retirement.

[(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

[(1) The term "retired pay" includes retainer pay, emergency officers' retirement pay, and naval pension.

[(2) The term "veterans' disability compensation" has the meaning given the term "compensation" in section 101(12) of title 38.

[(e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—If qualifying offsetting legislation (as defined in subsection (f)) is enacted, the provisions of subsection (a) shall take effect on—

**[**(1) the first day of the first month beginning after the date of the enactment of such qualifying offsetting legislation; or

[(2) the first day of the fiscal year that begins in the calendar year in which such legislation is enacted, if that date is later than the date specified in paragraph (1). [(f) EFFECTIVENESS CONTINGENT ON ENACTMENT OF OFFSETTING LEGISLATION.—(1) The provisions of subsection (a) shall be effective only if—

[(A) the President, in the budget for any fiscal year, proposes the enactment of legislation that, if enacted, would be qualifying offsetting legislation; and

[(B) after that budget is submitted to Congress, there is enacted qualifying offsetting legislation.

(2) In this subsection:

[(A) The term "qualifying offsetting legislation" means legislation (other than an appropriations Act) that includes provisions that—

[(i) offset fully the increased outlays to be made by reason of the provisions of subsection (a) for each of the first 10 fiscal years beginning after the date of the enactment of such legislation;

[(ii) expressly state that they are enacted for the purpose of the offset described in clause (i); and

[(iii) are included in full on the PayGo scorecard.

[(B) The term "PayGo scorecard" means the estimates that are made by the Director of the Congressional Budget Office and the Director of the Office of Management and Budget under section 252(d) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (2 U.S.C. 902(d)) with respect to the ten fiscal years following the date of the enactment of the legislation that is qualifying offsetting legislation for purposes of this section.]

#### §1414. Members eligible for retired pay who have service-connected disabilities rated at 60 percent or higher: concurrent payment of retired pay and veterans' disability compensation

(a) PAYMENT OF BOTH RETIRED PAY AND COMPENSATION.—Subject to subsection (b), a member or former member of the uniformed services who is entitled for any month to retired pay and who is also entitled for that month to veterans' disability compensation for a qualifying service-connected disability (hereinafter in this section referred to as a "qualified retiree") is entitled to be paid both for that month without regard to sections 5304 and 5305 of title 38. For fiscal years 2003 through 2006, payment of retired pay to such a member or former member is subject to subsection (c).

(b) SPECIAL RULES FOR CHAPTER 61 DISABILITY RETIREES.—

(1) CAREER RETIREES.—The retired pay of a member retired under chapter 61 of this title with 20 years or more of service otherwise creditable under section 1405 of this title at the time of the member's retirement is subject to reduction under sections 5304 and 5305 of title 38, but only to the extent that the amount of the member's retired pay under chapter 61 of this title exceeds the amount of retired pay to which the member would have been entitled under any other provision of law based upon the member's service in the uniformed services if the member had not been retired under chapter 61 of this title.

(2) DISABILITY RETIREES WITH LESS THAN 20 YEARS OF SERV-ICE.—Subsection (a) does not apply to a member retired under chapter 61 of this title with less than 20 years of service otherwise creditable under section 1405 of this title at the time of the member's retirement.

(c) PHASE-IN OF FULL CONCURRENT RECEIPT.—For fiscal years 2003 through 2006, retired pay payable to a qualified retiree shall be determined as follows:

(1) FISCAL YEAR 2003.—For a month during fiscal year 2003, the amount of retired pay payable to a qualified retiree is the amount (if any) of retired pay in excess of the current baseline offset plus the following:

(A) For a month for which the retiree receives veterans' disability compensation for a qualifying service-connected disability rated as total, \$750.

(B) For a month for which the retiree receives veterans' disability compensation for a qualifying service-connected disability rated as 90 percent, \$500.

(C) For a month for which the retiree receives veterans' disability compensation for a qualifying service-connected disability rated as 80 percent, \$250.

(D) For a month for which the retiree receives veterans' disability compensation for a qualifying service-connected disability rated as 70 percent, \$250.

(E) For a month for which the retiree receives veterans' disability compensation for a qualifying service-connected disability rated as 60 percent, \$125.

(2) FISCAL YEAR 2004.—For a month during fiscal year 2004, the amount of retired pay payable to a qualified retiree is the sum of—

(A) the amount specified in paragraph (1) for that qualified retiree; and

(B) 23 percent of the difference between (i) the current baseline offset, and (ii) the amount specified in paragraph (1) for that member's disability.

(3) FISCAL YEAR 2005.—For a month during fiscal year 2005, the amount of retired pay payable to a qualified retiree is the sum of—

(A) the amount determined under paragraph (2) for that qualified retiree; and

(B) 30 percent of the difference between (i) the current baseline offset, and (ii) the amount determined under paragraph (2) for that qualified retiree.

(4) FISCAL YEAR 2006.—For a month during fiscal year 2006, the amount of retired pay payable to a qualified retiree is the sum of—

(A) the amount determined under paragraph (3) for that qualified retiree; and

(B) 64 percent of the difference between (i) the current baseline offset, and (ii) the amount determined under paragraph (3) for that qualified retiree.

(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) RETIRED PAY.—The term "retired pay" includes retainer pay, emergency officers' retirement pay, and naval pension.

(2) VETERANS' DISABILITY COMPENSATION.—The term "veterans' disability compensation" has the meaning given the term "compensation" in section 101(13) of title 38. (3) SERVICE-CONNECTED.—The term "service-connected" has the meaning given that term in section 101(16) of title 38.

(4) QUALIFYING SERVICE-CONNECTED DISABILITY.—The term "qualifying service-connected disability" means a service-connected disability or combination of service-connected disabilities that is rated as not less than 60 percent disabling by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

(5) DISABILITY RATED AS TOTAL.—The term "disability rated as total" means—

(A) a disability, or combination of disabilities, that is rated as total under the standard schedule of rating disabilities in use by the Department of Veterans Affairs; or

(B) a disability, or combination of disabilities, for which the scheduled rating is less than total but for which a rating of total is assigned by reason of inability of the disabled person concerned to secure or follow a substantially gainful occupation as a result of service-connected disabilities.

(6) CURRENT BASELINE OFFSET.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The term "current baseline offset" for any qualified retiree means the amount for any month that is the lesser of—

(i) the amount of the applicable monthly retired pay of the qualified retiree for that month; and

(ii) the amount of monthly veterans' disability compensation to which the qualified retiree is entitled for that month.

(B) APPLICABLE RETIRED PAY.—In subparagraph (A), the term "applicable retired pay" for a qualified retiree means the amount of monthly retired pay to which the qualified retiree is entitled, determined without regard to this section or sections 5304 and 5305 of title 38), except that in the case of such a retiree who was retired under chapter 61 of this title, such amount is the amount of retired pay to which the member would have been entitled under any other provision of law based upon the member's service in the uniformed services if the member had not been retired under chapter 61 of this title.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### CHAPTER 74—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MILITARY RETIREMENT FUND

\* \* \* \* \*

\*

#### §1465. Determination of contributions to the Fund

(a) \* \* \* (b)(1) \* \* \*

\*

(3) At the same time that the Secretary of Defense makes the de-

(5) At the same time that the Secretary of Defense makes the determination required by paragraph (1) for any fiscal year, the Secretary shall determine the amount of the Treasury contribution to be made to the Fund for the next fiscal year under section 1466(b)(2)(D) of this title. That amount shall be determined in the same manner as the determination under paragraph (1) of the total amount of Department of Defense contributions to be made to the Fund during that fiscal year under section 1466(a) of this title, except that for purposes of this paragraph the Secretary, in making the calculations required by subparagraphs (A) and (B) of that paragraph, shall use the single level percentages determined under subsection (c)(4), rather than those determined under subsection (c)(1).

(c)(1) Not less often than every four years, the Secretary of Defense shall carry out an actuarial valuation of Department of Defense military retirement and survivor benefit programs. Each actuarial valuation of such programs shall include—

(A) a determination (using the aggregate entry-age normal cost method) of a single level percentage of basic pay for members of the armed forces (other than the Coast Guard) on active duty (other than active duty for training) or full-time National Guard duty (other than full-time National Guard duty for training only), to be determined without regard to section 1414 of this title; and

(B) a determination (using the aggregate entry-age normal cost method) of a single level percentage of basic pay and of compensation (paid pursuant to section 206 of title 37) for members of the Ready Reserve of the armed forces (other than the Coast Guard and other than members on full-time National Guard duty other than for training) who are not otherwise described by subparagraph (A), to be determined without regard to section 1414 of this title.

Such single level percentages shall be used for the purposes of subsection (b)(1) and section 1466(a) of this title.

\* \* \* \* \*

(4) Whenever the Secretary carries out an actuarial valuation under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall include as part of such valuation the following:

(A) A determination of a single level percentage determined in the same manner as applies under subparagraph (A) of paragraph (1), but based only upon the provisions of section 1414 of this title.

(B) A determination of a single level percentage determined in the same manner as applies under subparagraph (B) of paragraph (1), but based only upon the provisions of section 1414 of this title.

Such single level percentages shall be used for the purposes of subsection (b)(3).

[(4)] (5) Contributions to the Fund in accordance with amortization schedules under paragraphs (2) and (3) shall be made as provided in section 1466(b) of this title.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §1466. Payments into the Fund

(a) \* \* \*

(b)(1) At the beginning of each fiscal year the Secretary of the Treasury shall promptly pay into the Fund from the General Fund of the Treasury the amount certified to the Secretary by the Secretary of Defense under paragraph (3). Such payment shall be the contribution to the Fund for that fiscal year required by [sections

1465(a) and 1465(c)] sections 1465(a), 1465(b)(3), 1465(c)(2), and 1465(c)(3) of this title.

(2) At the beginning of each fiscal year the Secretary of Defense shall determine the sum of the following:

(A) \* \*

(D) The amount for that year determined by the Secretary of Defense under section 1465(b)(3) of this title for the cost to the Fund arising from increased amounts payable from the Fund by reason of section 1414 of this title.

\*

# CHAPTER 75—DECEASED PERSONNEL

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* SUBCHAPTER II—DEATH BENEFITS

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

\$1491. Funeral honors functions at funerals for veterans
(a) \* \* \*

ata ata

(d) SUPPORT.—[To provide a](1) To support a funeral honors detail under this section, the Secretary of a military department may provide the following:

[(1) Transportation, or reimbursement for transportation, and expenses for a person who participates in the funeral honors detail and is not a member of the armed forces or an employee of the United States.]

(A) For a person who participates in a funeral honors detail (other than a person who is a member of the armed forces not in a retired status or an employee of the United States), either transportation (or reimbursement for transportation) and expenses or the daily stipend prescribed under paragraph (2).

[(2) Materiel, equipment, and training for] (B) For members of a veterans organization or other organization referred to in subsection (b)(2) and for members of the armed forces in a retired status, materiel, equipment, and training. [(3) Articles of clothing for] (C) For members of a veterans

[(3) Articles of clothing for] (C) For members of a veterans organization or other organization referred to in subsection (b)(2), articles of clothing that, as determined by the Secretary concerned, are appropriate as a civilian uniform for persons participating in a funeral honors detail.

(2) The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe annually a flat rate daily stipend for purposes of paragraph (1)(A). Such stipend shall be set at a rate so as to encompass typical costs for transportation and other miscellaneous expenses for persons participating in funeral honors details who are members of the armed forces in a retired status and other persons are not members of the armed forces or employees of the United States.

(3) A stipend paid under this subsection to a member of the armed forces in a retired status is in addition to any compensation

to which the member is entitled under section 435(a)(2) of title 37 and any other compensation to which the member may be entitled.

\* \* \* \* \*

\*

### CHAPTER 76—MISSING PERSONS

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### §1501. System for accounting for missing persons

(a) OFFICE FOR MISSING PERSONNEL.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall establish within the Office of the Secretary of Defense an office to have responsibility for Department of Defense policy relating to missing persons. Such office shall be known as the Defense Prisoner of War/Missing Personnel Office. Subject to the authority, direction, and control of the Secretary of Defense, the responsibilities of the office shall include—(A) \* \* \*

(5)(A) The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that the office is provided sufficient military and civilian personnel levels, and sufficient funding, to enable the office to fully perform its complete range of missions. The Secretary shall ensure that Department of Defense programming, planning, and budgeting procedures are structured so as to ensure compliance with the preceding sentence for each fiscal year.

(B) For any fiscal year, the number of military and civilian personnel assigned or detailed to the office may not be less than the number requested in the President's budget for fiscal year 2003, unless a level below such number is expressly required by law.

(C) For any fiscal year, the level of funding allocated to the office within the Department of Defense may not be below the level requested for such purposes in the President's budget for fiscal year 2003, unless such a level of funding is expressly required by law.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### **CHAPTER 79—CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS**

Sec.

1551. Correction of name after separation from service under an assumed name.

1559. Personnel limitation.

\*

\*

\* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §1559. Personnel limitation

(a) LIMITATION.—During fiscal years 2003, 2004, and 2005, the Secretary of a military department may not carry out any reduction in the number of military and civilian personnel assigned to duty with the service review agency for that military department below the baseline number for that agency until—

(1) the Secretary submits to Congress a report that—

(A) describes the reduction proposed to be made;

(B) provides the Secretary's rationale for that reduction; and

(C) specifies the number of such personnel that would be assigned to duty with that agency after the reduction; and
(2) a period of 90 days has elapsed after the date on which the report is submitted.

(b) BASELINE NUMBER.—The baseline number for a service review agency under this section is—

(1) for purposes of the first report with respect to a service review agency under this section, the number of military and civilian personnel assigned to duty with that agency as of January 1, 2002; and

(2) for purposes of any subsequent report with respect to a service review agency under this section, the number of such personnel specified in the most recent report with respect to that agency under this section.

(c) SERVICE REVIEW AGENCY DEFINED.—In this section, the term "service review agency" means—

(1) with respect to the Department of the Army, the Army Review Boards Agency;

(2) with respect to the Department of the Navy, the Board for Correction of Naval Records; and

(3) with respect to the Department of the Air Force, the Air Force Review Boards Agency.

#### **CHAPTER 81—CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES**

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### §1588. Authority to accept certain voluntary services

(a) AUTHORITY TO ACCEPT SERVICES.—Subject to subsection (b) and notwithstanding section 1342 of title 31, the Secretary concerned may accept from any person the following services:

(1) \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

(6) Voluntary services as a proctor for administration to secondary school students of the test known as the "Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery".

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

# **CHAPTER 87—DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE**

\* \* \* \*

\*

# SUBCHAPTER IV—EDUCATION AND TRAINING

Sec. 1741. Policies and programs: establishment and implementation.

 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*
 \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

# [§2410h.] §1747. Acquisition fellowship program

(a) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \*

#### **CHAPTER 88—MILITARY FAMILY PROGRAMS AND** MILITARY CHILD CARE \*

#### SUBCHAPTER II—MILITARY CHILD CARE

#### \* \* \*

#### §1798. Child care services and youth program services for dependents: financial assistance for providers

(a) \* \* \*

\*

\*

\*

\*

(d) BIENNIAL REPORT.—(1) Every two years the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the exercise of authority under this section. The report shall include an evaluation of the effectiveness of that authority for meeting the needs of members of the armed forces or employees of the Department of Defense for child care services and youth program services. The report may in-clude any recommendations for legislation that the Secretary considers appropriate to enhance the capability of the Department of Defense to meet those needs.

[(2) A biennial report under this subsection may be combined with the biennial report under section 1799(d) of this title into a single report for submission to Congress.]

#### §1799. Child care services and youth program services for dependents: participation by children and youth otherwise ineligible

(a) \* \* \*

[(d) BIENNIAL REPORT.—(1) Every two years the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the exercise of authority under this section. The report shall include an evaluation of the effectiveness of that authority for achieving the objectives set out under subsection (c). The report may include any recommendations for legislation that the Secretary considers appropriate to enhance the capability of the Department of Defense to attain those objectives.

[(2) A biennial report under this subsection may be combined with the biennial report under section 1798(d) of this title into a single report for submission to Congress.]

# PART III—TRAINING AND EDUCATION

\* \* \* \* \*

\*

\*

\*

#### **CHAPTER 101—TRAINING GENERALLY** \*

# §2010. Participation of developing countries in combined exercises: payment of incremental expenses

(a) \* \* \*

\*

\*

\*

[(b) The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report each year, not later than March 1, containing— [(1) a list of the developing countries for which expenses

(1) a list of the developing countries for which expenses have been paid by the United States under this section during the preceding year; and

[(2) the amounts expended on behalf of each government.] \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### CHAPTER 103—SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS' TRAINING CORPS

\* \* \* \* \* \*

# §2107. Financial assistance program for specially selected members

(a) \* \* \*

\*

(h)(1) [Not more than 29,500 cadets and midshipmen appointed under this section may be in the financial assistance programs at any one time.] The Secretary of Defense shall determine the number of cadets and midshipmen appointed under this section who may be in the financial assistance programs at any one time in each military department.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

(i) The Secretary of each military department shall seek to achieve an increase in the number of agreements entered into under this section so as to achieve an increase, by the 2006–2007 academic year, of not less than 400 in the number of cadets or midshipmen, as the case may be, enrolled under this section, compared to such number enrolled for the 2002–2003 academic year. In the case of the Secretary of the Navy, the Secretary shall seek to ensure that not less than one-third of such increase in agreements under this section are with students enrolled (or seeking to enroll) in programs of study leading to a baccalaureate degree in nuclear engineering or another appropriate technical, scientific, or engineering field of study.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

#### CHAPTER 105—ARMED FORCES HEALTH PROFESSIONS FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

\* \* \* \* \*

\*

#### SUBCHAPTER II—NURSE OFFICER CANDIDATE ACCESSION PROGRAM

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

# §2130a. Financial assistance: nurse officer candidates

(a) BONUS AUTHORIZED.—(1) A person described in subsection (b) who, during the period beginning on November 29, 1989, and ending on December 31, [2002] 2003, executes a written agreement in accordance with subsection (c) to accept an appointment as a nurse officer may, upon the acceptance of the agreement by the Secretary concerned, be paid an accession bonus of not more than \$5,000. The bonus shall be paid in periodic installments, as determined by the

Secretary concerned at the time the agreement is accepted, except that the first installment may not exceed \$2,500. ÷

\*

\*

\*

\*

#### **CHAPTER 109—EDUCATIONAL LOAN REPAYMENT** PROGRAMS \*

# §2173. Education loan repayment program: commissioned officers in specified health professions

(a) \* \* \*

\*

\*

\*

÷

\*

\* \* \* \*

(d) CERTAIN PERSONS INELIGIBLE.—[Participants of the Armed Forces Health Professions Scholarship and Financial Assistance program under subchapter I of chapter 105 of this title and students] Students of the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences established under section 2112 of this title are not eligible for the repayment of an education loan under this section.

(e) LOAN REPAYMENTS.—(1) \* \* \*

(2) For each year of obligated service that a person agrees to serve in an agreement described in subsection (b)(3), the Secretary of the military department concerned may pay not more than \$22,000 on behalf of the person. This maximum amount shall be increased annually by the Secretary of Defense effective October 1 of each year by the percentage equal to the percent increase in the average annual cost of educational expenses and stipend costs of a single scholarship under the Armed Forces Health Professions Scholarship and Financial Assistance program. [The total amount that may be repaid on behalf of any person may not exceed an amount determined on the basis of a four-year active duty service obligation.]

> \* \* \* \* \*

# PART IV—SERVICE, SUPPLY, AND PROCUREMENT

#### \* $\mathbf{x}$ \* \*

# **CHAPTER 131—PLANNING AND COORDINATION**

Sec.

2201. Apportionment of funds: authority for exemption; excepted expenses.

Transfer of funds: transfers from procurement accounts to research and de-2214a. velopment accounts for major acquisition programs.

> \* \* \* \*

#### §2214a. Transfer of funds: transfers from procurement accounts to research and development accounts for major acquisition programs

(a) TRANSFER AUTHORITY WITHIN MAJOR PROGRAMS.—Subject to subsection (b), the Secretary of Defense may transfer amounts provided in an appropriation Act for procurement for a covered acquisition program to amounts provided in the same appropriation Act for research, development, test, and evaluation for that program.

(b) CONGRESSIONAL NOTICE-AND-WAIT.—A transfer may be made under this section only after—

(1) the Secretary submits to the congressional defense committees notice in writing of the Secretary's intent to make such transfer, together with the Secretary's justification for the transfer; and

(2) a period of 30 days has elapsed following the date of such notification.

(c) LIMITATIONS.—From amounts appropriated for the Department of Defense for any fiscal year for procurement—

(1) the total amount transferred under this section may not exceed \$250,000,000; and

(2) the total amount so transferred for any acquisition program may not exceed \$20,000,000.

(d) COVERED ACQUISITION PROGRAMS.—In this section, the term "covered acquisition program" means an acquisition program of the Department of Defense that is—

(A) a major defense acquisition program for purposes of chapter 144 of this title; or

(B) any other acquisition program of the Department of Defense—

*(i) that is designated by the Secretary of Defense as a covered acquisition program for purposes of this section; or* 

(ii) that is estimated by the Secretary of Defense to require an eventual total expenditure for research, development, test, and evaluation of more than \$140,000,000 (based on fiscal year 2000 constant dollars) or an eventual total expenditure for procurement of more than \$660,000,000 (based on fiscal year 2000 constant dollars.)

(e) TRANSFER BACK OF UNUSED TRANSFERRED FUNDS.—If funds transferred under this section are not used for the purposes for which transferred, such funds shall be transferred back to the account from which transferred and shall be available for their original purpose.

(f) ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY.—The transfer authority provided in this section is in addition to any other transfer authority available to the Secretary of Defense.

### CHAPTER 137—PROCUREMENT GENERALLY

# \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

§2304a. Task and delivery order contracts: general authority

(a) \* \* \*

(e) CONTRACT MODIFICATIONS.—(1) A task or delivery order may not increase the scope, period, or maximum value of the task or delivery order contract under which the order is issued. The scope, period, or maximum value of the contract may be increased only by modification of the contract.

(2) Unless use of procedures other than competitive procedures is authorized by an exception in subsection (c) of section 2304 of this title and approved in accordance with subsection (f) of such section, competitive procedures shall be used for making such a modification.

(3) Notice regarding the modification shall be provided in accordance with section 18 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 416) and section 8(e) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637(e)).

[(f) INAPPLICABILITY TO CONTRACTS FOR ADVISORY AND ASSIST-ANCE SERVICES.—Except as otherwise specifically provided in section 2304b of this title, this section does not apply to a task or delivery order contract for the procurement of advisory and assistance services (as defined in section 1105(g) of title 31).]

(f) LIMITATION ON CONTRACT PERIOD.—The base period of a task order contract or delivery order contract entered into under this section may not exceed five years unless a longer period is specifically authorized in a law that is applicable to such contract. The contract may be extended for an additional 5 years (for a total contract period of not more than 10 years) through modifications, options, or otherwise.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §2304b. Task order contracts: advisory and assistance services

[(a) AUTHORITY TO AWARD.—(1) Subject to the requirements of this section, section 2304c of this title, and other applicable law, the head of an agency may enter into a task order contract (as defined in section 2304d of this title) for procurement of advisory and assistance services.

[(2) The head of an agency may enter into a task order contract for procurement of advisory and assistance services only under the authority of this section.

[(b) LIMITATION ON CONTRACT PERIOD.—The period of a task order contract entered into under this section, including all periods of extensions of the contract under options, modifications, or otherwise, may not exceed five years unless a longer period is specifically authorized in a law that is applicable to such contract.]

(a) IN GENERAL.—A task order contract (as defined in section 2304d of this title) for procurement of advisory and assistance services shall be subject to the requirements of this section, sections 2304a and 2304c of this title, and other applicable provisions of law.

[(c)] (b) CONTENT OF NOTICE.—The notice required by section 18 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 416) and section 8(e) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637(e)) shall reasonably and fairly describe the general scope, magnitude, and duration of the proposed task order contract in a manner that would reasonably enable a potential offeror to decide whether to request the solicitation and consider submitting an offer.

[(d) REQUIRED CONTENT OF SOLICITATION AND CONTRACT.—(1) The solicitation for the proposed task order contract shall include the information (regarding services) described in section 2304a(b) of this title.

[(2) A task order contract entered into under this section shall contain the same information that is required by paragraph (1) to be included in the solicitation of offers for that contract.]

(c) REQUIRED CONTENT OF CONTRACT.—A task order contract described in subsection (a) shall contain the same information that is required by section 2304a(b) to be included in the solicitation of offers for that contract.

[(e)] (d) MULTIPLE AWARDS.—(1) The head of an agency may, on the basis of one solicitation, award separate task order contracts [under this section] described in subsection (a) for the same or similar services to two or more sources if the solicitation states that the head of the agency has the option to do so.

(2) If, in the case of a task order contract for advisory and assistance services to be entered into [under this section], the contract period is to exceed three years and the contract amount is estimated to exceed \$10,000,000 (including all options), the solicitation shall—

(A) \* \* \*

\*

[(f) CONTRACT MODIFICATIONS.—(1) A task order may not increase the scope, period, or maximum value of the task order contract under which the order is issued. The scope, period, or maximum value of the contract may be increased only by modification of the contract.

\*

\*

[(2) Unless use of procedures other than competitive procedures is authorized by an exception in subsection (c) of section 2304 of this title and approved in accordance with subsection (f) of such section, competitive procedures shall be used for making such a modification.

[(3) Notice regarding the modification shall be provided in accordance with section 18 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 416) and section 8(e) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637(e)).

[(g) CONTRACT EXTENSIONS.—(1) Notwithstanding the limitation on the contract period set forth in subsection (b) or in a solicitation or contract pursuant to subsection (e), a task order contract entered into by the head of an agency under this section may be extended on a sole-source basis for a period not exceeding six months if the head of such agency determines that—

[(A) the award of a follow-on contract has been delayed by circumstances that were not reasonably foreseeable at the time the initial contract was entered into; and

[(B) the extension is necessary in order to ensure continuity of the receipt of services pending the award of, and commencement of performance under, the follow-on contract.

[(2) A task order contract may be extended under the authority of paragraph (1) only once and only in accordance with the limitations and requirements of this subsection.]

[(h)] (e) INAPPLICABILITY TO CERTAIN CONTRACTS.—This section does not apply to a contract for the acquisition of property or services that includes acquisition of advisory and assistance services if the head of an agency entering into such contract determines that, under the contract, advisory and assistance services are necessarily incident to, and not a significant component of, the contract.

[(i)] (f) ADVISORY AND ASSISTANCE SERVICES DEFINED.—In this section, the term "advisory and assistance services" has the meaning given such term in section 1105(g) of title 31.

## §2306b. Multiyear contracts: acquisition of property

(a) \* \* \*

\*

(i) DEFENSE ACQUISITIONS SPECIFICALLY AUTHORIZED BY LAW.— (1) \* \* \*

> \* \*

(4)(A) Unless otherwise authorized by law, the Secretary of Defense may obligate funds for procurement of an end item under a multiyear contract for the purchase of property only for procurement of a complete and usable end item.

(B) Unless otherwise authorized by law, the Secretary of Defense may obligate funds appropriated for any fiscal year for advance procurement under a multivear contract for the purchase of property only for the procurement of those long-lead items necessary in order to meet a planned delivery schedule for complete major end items that are programmed under the contract to be acquired with funds appropriated for a subsequent fiscal year.

\*

#### §2327. Contracts: consideration of national security objectives

(a) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \*

(c) WAIVER.-(1)(A) If the Secretary of Defense determines under paragraph (2) that entering into a contract with a firm or a subsidiary of a firm described in subsection (b) is not inconsistent with the national security objectives of the United States, the head of an agency may enter into a contract with such firm or subsidiary after the date on which such head of an agency submits to Congress a report on the contract] if in the best interests of the Government.

[(B) A report under subparagraph (A) shall include the following: [(i) The identity of the foreign government concerned.

[(ii) The nature of the contract.

(iii) The extent of ownership or control of the firm or subsidiary concerned (or, if appropriate in the case of a subsidiary, of the firm that owns the subsidiary) by the foreign government concerned or the agency or instrumentality of such foreign government.

[(iv) The reasons for entering into the contract.]

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### **CHAPTER 138—COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS WITH NATO** ALLIES AND OTHER COUNTRIES

\* \* \*

#### SUBCHAPTER II—OTHER COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS

Sec.

2350a. Cooperative research and development agreements: NATO organizations; allied and friendly foreign countries.

#### §2350f. Procurement of communications support and related supplies and services

(a) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

[(c) The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives copies of all documents evidencing an arrangement entered into under subsection (a) not later than 45 days after entering into such an arrangement.]

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

# §2350k. Relocation within host nation of elements of armed forces overseas

(a) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

[(d) ANNUAL REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 30 days after the end of each fiscal year, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report specifying—

[(1) the amount of the contributions accepted by the Secretary during the preceding fiscal year under subsection (a) and the purposes for which the contributions were made; and

[(2) the amount of the contributions expended by the Secretary during the preceding fiscal year and the purposes for which the contributions were expended.]

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §2350m. Administrative services and support for foreign liaison officers

(a) AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE SERVICES AND SUPPORT.—The Secretary of Defense may provide administrative services and support for foreign liaison officers performing duties while such officers temporarily are assigned to components or commands of the armed forces. Such administrative services and support may include base or installation operation support services, office space, utilities, copying services, fire and police protection, and computer support. The Secretary may provide such administrative services and support with or without reimbursement, as the Secretary considers appropriate.

(b) EXPIRATION OF AUTHORITY.—The authority under this section shall expire on September 30, 2005.

#### **CHAPTER 139—RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT**

Sec.

2351. Availability of appropriations.

	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2359a. 2359b.	Technology Transition Initiative. Defense Acquisition Challenge Program.						
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

#### §2359a. Technology Transition Initiative

(a) INITIATIVE REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense, acting through the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, shall carry out an initiative, to be known as the Technology Transition Initiative (hereinafter in this section referred to as the "Initiative"), to facilitate the rapid transition of new technologies from science and technology programs of the Department of Defense into acquisition programs of the Department for the production of such technologies.

(b) OBJECTIVES.—The Initiative shall have the following objectives:

(1) To accelerate the introduction of new technologies into appropriate acquisition programs.

(2) To successfully demonstrate new technologies in relevant environments.

(3) To ensure that new technologies are sufficiently mature for production.

(c) MANAGEMENT OF INITIATIVE.—(1) The Initiative shall be managed by a senior official in the Office of the Secretary of Defense designated by the Secretary (hereinafter in this section referred to as the "Manager"). In managing the Initiative, the Manager shall report directly to the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics.

(2) The Secretary shall establish a board of directors (hereinafter in this section referred to as the "Board"), composed of the acquisition executive of each military department, the members of the Joint Requirements Oversight Council, and the commander of the Joint Forces Command. The Board shall assist the Manager in managing the Initiative.

(3) The Secretary shall establish, under the auspices of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, a panel of highly qualified scientists and engineers. The panel shall advise the Under Secretary on matters relating to the Initiative.

(d) DUTIES OF MANAGER.—The Manager shall have following duties:

(1) To identify, in consultation with the Board, promising technologies that have been demonstrated in science and technology programs of the Department.

(2) To identify potential sponsors in the Department to undertake the transition of such technologies into production.

(3) To work with the science and technology community and the acquisition community to develop memoranda of agreement, joint funding agreements, and other cooperative arrangements to provide for the transition of such technologies into production.

(4) Provide funding support for projects selected under subsection (e).

(e) JOINTLY FUNDED PROJECTS.—(1) The acquisition executive of each military department shall identify technology projects of that military department to recommend for funding support under the Initiative and shall submit to the Manager a list of such recommended projects, ranked in order of priority. Such executive shall identify such projects, and establish priorities among such projects, using a competitive process, on the basis of the greatest potential benefits in areas of interest identified by the Secretary of that military department.

(2) The Manager, in consultation with the Board, shall select projects for funding support from among the projects on the lists submitted under paragraph (1). From the funds made available to the Manager for the Initiative, the Manager shall provide funds for each selected project in an amount determined by mutual agreement between the Manager and the acquisition executive of the military department concerned, but not less than 50 percent of the total cost of the project.

(3) The acquisition executive of the military department concerned shall manage each project selected under paragraph (2) that is undertaken by the military department. Memoranda of agreement, joint funding agreements, and other cooperative arrangements between the science and technology community and the acquisition community shall be used in carrying out the project if the acquisition executive determines that it is appropriate to do so to achieve the objectives of the project.

(f) REQUIREMENT FOR PROGRAM ELEMENT.—In the budget justification materials submitted to Congress in support of the Department of Defense budget for any fiscal year (as submitted with the budget of the President under section 1105(a) of title 31), the amount requested for activities of the Initiative shall be set forth in a separate program element within amounts requested for research, development, test, and evaluation for Defense-wide activities.

(g) DEFINITION OF ACQUISITION EXECUTIVE.—In this section, the term "acquisition executive", with respect to a military department, means the official designated as the senior procurement executive for that military department under section 16(3) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 414(3)).

#### §2359b. Defense Acquisition Challenge Program

(a) PROGRAM REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense shall carry out a program to provide opportunities for the increased introduction of innovative and cost-saving technology in acquisition programs of the Department of Defense. The program, to be known as the Defense Acquisition Challenge Program (hereinafter in this section referred to as the "Challenge Program"), shall provide any person or activity within or outside the Department of Defense with the opportunity to propose alternatives, to be known as challenge proposals, at the component, subsystem, or system level of an existing Department of Defense acquisition program that would result in improvements in performance, affordability, manufacturability, or operational capability of that acquisition program.
(b) PANEL.—(1) In carrying out the Challenge Program, the Sec-

(b) PANEL.—(1) In carrying out the Challenge Program, the Secretary shall establish a panel of highly qualified scientists and engineers (hereinafter in this section referred to as the "Panel") under the auspices of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics. The duty of the Panel shall be to carry out evaluations of challenge proposals under subsection (c). (2) A member of the Panel may not participate in any evaluation of a challenge proposal under subsection (c) if at any time within the previous five years that member has, in any capacity, participated in or been affiliated with the acquisition program for which the challenge proposal is submitted.

(c) EVALUATION BY PANEL.—(1) Under procedures prescribed by the Secretary, a person or activity within or outside the Department of Defense may submit challenge proposals to the Panel.

(2) The Panel shall carry out an evaluation of each challenge proposal submitted under paragraph (1) to determine each of the following criteria:

(A) Whether the challenge proposal has merit.

(B) Whether the challenge proposal is likely to result in improvements in performance, affordability, manufacturability, or operational capability at the component, subsystem, or system level of the applicable acquisition program.

(C) Whether the challenge proposal could be implemented rapidly in the applicable acquisition program.

(3) If the Panel determines that a challenge proposal satisfies each of the criteria specified in paragraph (2), the person or activity submitting that challenge proposal shall be provided an opportunity to submit such challenge proposal for a full review and evaluation under subsection (d).

(d) FULL REVIEW AND EVALUATION.—(1) Under procedures prescribed by the Secretary, for each challenge proposal submitted for a full review and evaluation as provided in subsection (c)(3), the office carrying out the applicable acquisition program, and the prime system contractor carrying out such program, shall jointly conduct a full review and evaluation of the challenge proposal.

(2) The full review and evaluation shall, independent of the determination of the Panel under subsection (c)(2), determine each of the matters specified in subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C) of such subsection.

(e) ACTION UPON FAVORABLE FULL REVIEW AND EVALUATION.—(1) Under procedures prescribed by the Secretary, each challenge proposal determined under a full review and evaluation to satisfy each of the criteria specified in subsection (c)(2) shall be considered by the prime system contractor for incorporation into the applicable acquisition program as a new technology insertion at the component, subsystem, or system level.

(2) The Secretary shall encourage the adoption of each challenge proposal referred to in paragraph (1) by providing suitable incentives to the office carrying out the applicable acquisition program and the prime system contractor carrying out such program.

(f) ACCESS TO TECHNICAL RESOURCES.—The Secretary shall ensure that the Panel (in carrying out evaluations of challenge proposals under subsection (c)) and each office and prime system contractor (in conducting a full review and evaluation under subsection (d)) have the authority to call upon the technical resources of the laboratories, research, development, and engineering centers, test and evaluation activities, and other elements of the Department.

(g) ELIMINATION OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST.—In carrying out each evaluation under subsection (c) and full review under subsection (d), the Secretary shall ensure the elimination of conflicts of interest. (h) REPORT.—The Secretary shall submit to Congress, with the submission of the budget request for the Department of Defense for each fiscal year during which the Challenge Program is carried out, a report on the Challenge Program for that fiscal year. The report shall include the number and scope of challenge proposals submitted, evaluated, subjected to full review, and adopted.

(i) SUNSET.—The authority to carry out this section shall terminate on September 30, 2007.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### CHAPTER 141—MISCELLANEOUS PROCUREMENT PROVISIONS

Sec.

2381. Contracts: regulations for bids.

2397.	* Rapid ad	* cquisition o	* and deployi	* nent proced	* lures.	*	*
2403.	* Quick-re	* action spec	* sial projects	* acquisitio	* n team.	*	*
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

#### §2397. Rapid acquisition and deployment procedures

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary of Defense shall establish tailored rapid acquisition and deployment procedures for items urgently needed to react to an enemy threat or to respond to significant and urgent safety situations.

(b) PROCEDURES.—The procedures established under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A process for streamlined communications between the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the acquisition community, and the testing community.

(2) A process for expedited technical, programmatic, and financial decisions.

(3) An expedited procurement and contracting process.

(c) SPECIFIC STEPS TO BE INCLUDED.—The procedures established under subsection (a) shall provide for the following:

(1) The commander of a unified combatant command may notify the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff of the need for an item described in subsection (a) that is currently under development.

(2) The Chairman may request the Secretary of Defense to use rapid acquisition and deployment procedures with respect to the item.

(3) The Secretary of Defense shall decide whether to use such procedures with respect to the item and shall notify the Secretary of the appropriate military department of the decision.

(4) If the Secretary of Defense decides to use such procedures with respect to the item, the Secretary of the military department shall prepare a funding strategy for the rapid acquisition of the item and shall conduct a demonstration of the performance of the item.

(5) The Director of Operational Test and Evaluation shall immediately evaluate the existing capability of the item (but under such evaluation shall not assess the capability of the item as regards to the function the item was originally intended to perform).

(6) The Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff shall review the evaluation of the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation and report to the Secretary of Defense regarding whether the capabilities of the tested item are able to meet the urgent need for the item.

(7) The Secretary of Defense shall evaluate the information regarding funding and rapid acquisition prepared pursuant to paragraph (4) and approve or disapprove of the acquisition of the item using the procedures established pursuant to subsection (a).

(d) LIMITATION.—The quantity of items of a system procured using the procedures established under this section may not exceed the number established for low-rate initial production for the system, and any such items shall be counted for purposes of the number of items of the system that may be procured through low-rate initial production.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §2399. Operational test and evaluation of defense acquisition programs

(a) Condition for Proceeding Beyond Low-Rate Initial Production.—(1) \* \* \*

(2) In this subsection, the term "major defense acquisition program" [means—] means a conventional weapons system that—

(A) [a conventional weapons system that] is a major system within the meaning of that term in section 2302(5) of this title; and

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §2403. Quick-reaction special projects acquisition team

The Secretary of Defense shall establish a quick-reaction special projects acquisition team, the purpose of which shall be to advise the Secretary on actions that can be taken to expedite the procurement of urgently needed systems. The team shall address problems with the intention of creating expeditious solutions relating to—

(1) industrial-base issues such as the limited availability of suppliers;

(2) compliance with acquisition regulations and lengthy procedures;

(3) compliance with environmental requirements;

(4) compliance with requirements regarding small-business concerns; and

(5) compliance with requirements regarding the purchase of products made in the United States.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### CHAPTER 146—CONTRACTING FOR PERFORMANCE OF CIVILIAN COMMERCIAL OR INDUSTRIAL TYPE FUNC-TIONS

2460. Definition of depot-level maintenance and repair.

[2469a. Use of competitive procedures in contracting for performance of depotlevel maintenance and repair workloads formerly performed at certain military installations.]

#### \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §2461. Commercial or industrial type functions: required studies and reports before conversion to contractor performance

(a) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \*

[(c) NOTIFICATION OF DECISION.—(1) If, as a result of the completion of the examinations under subsection (b)(3), a decision is made to change the commercial or industrial type function that was the subject of the analysis to performance by the private sector, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report describing that decision. The report shall contain the following:

[(A) The date when the analysis of that commercial or industrial type function for possible change to performance by the private sector was commenced.

(B) An indication that the examinations required under subsection (b)(3) have been completed.

[(C) The Secretary's certification that the Government calculation of the cost of performance of the function by Department of Defense civilian employees is based on an estimate of the most cost effective manner for performance of the function by Department of Defense civilian employees.

[(D) The number of Department of Defense civilian employees who were performing the function when the analysis was commenced, the number of such employees whose employment was terminated or otherwise affected in implementing the most efficient organization of the function, and the number of such employees whose employment would be terminated or otherwise affected by changing to performance of the function by the private sector.

[(E) The Secretary's certification that the factors considered in the examinations performed under subsection (b)(3), and in the making of the decision to change performance, did not include any predetermined personnel constraint or limitation in terms of man years, end strength, full-time equivalent positions, or maximum number of employees.

[(F) The Secretary's certification that the examination required by subsection (b)(3)(A) as part of the analysis demonstrates that the performance of the function by the private sector will result in savings to the Government over the life of the contract.

[(G) A statement of the potential economic effect of the change on each affected local community, as determined in the examination under subsection (b)(3)(B)(ii).

[(H) The Secretary's certification that the entire analysis is available for examination.

[(I) A schedule for completing the change to performance of the function by the private sector.

[(2) If the commercial or industrial type function to be changed to performance by the private sector is performed at a Center of Industrial and Technical Excellence designated under section 2474(a) of this title or an Army ammunition plant—

[(A) the report required by this subsection shall also include a description of the effect that the performance and administration of the resulting contract will have on the overhead costs of the center or ammunition plant, as the case may be; and

[(B) notwithstanding paragraph (3), the change of the function to contractor performance may not begin until at least 60 days after the submission of the report.

[(3) The change of the function to contractor performance may not begin until after the submission of the report required by this subsection.]

(c) SUBMISSION OF ANALYSIS RESULTS.—(1) Upon the completion of an analysis of a commercial or industrial type function described in subsection (a) for possible change to performance by the private sector, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report containing the results of the analysis, including the results of the examinations required by subsection (b)(3).

(2) The report shall also contain the following:

(A) The date when the analysis of the function was commenced.

(B) The Secretary's certification that the Government calculation of the cost of performance of the function by Department of Defense civilian employees is based on an estimate of the most cost effective manner for performance of the function by Department of Defense civilian employees.

(C) The number of Department of Defense civilian employees who were performing the function when the analysis was commenced and the number of such employees whose employment was or will be terminated or otherwise affected by changing to performance of the function by the private sector or by implementation of the most efficient organization of the function.

(D) The Secretary's certification that the factors considered in the examinations performed under subsection (b)(3), and in the making of the decision regarding changing to performance of the function by the private sector or retaining performance in the most efficient organization of the function, did not include any predetermined personnel constraint or limitation in terms of man years, end strength, full-time equivalent positions, or maximum number of employees.

(E) A statement of the potential economic effect of implementing the decision regarding changing to performance of the function by the private sector or retaining performance in the most efficient organization of the function on each affected local community, as determined in the examination under subsection (b)(3)(B)(ii).

(F) A schedule for completing the change to performance of the function by the private sector or implementing the most efficient organization of the function

(G) In the case of a commercial or industrial type function performed at a Center of Industrial and Technical Excellence designated under section 2474(a) of this title or an Army am-

munition plant, a description of the effect that the manner of performance of the function, and administration of the resulting contract if any, will have on the overhead costs of the center or ammunition plant, as the case may be.

(H) The Secretary's certification that the entire analysis is available for examination.

(3)(A) If a decision is made to change the commercial or industrial type function that was the subject of the analysis to performance by the private sector, the change of the function to contractor performance may not begin until after the submission of the report required by paragraph (1).

(B) Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), in the case of a commercial or industrial type function performed at a Center of Industrial and Technical Excellence designated under section 2474(a) of this title or an Army ammunition plant, the change of the function to contractor performance may not begin until at least 60 days after the submission of the report.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

# §2464. Core logistics capabilities

(a) NECESSITY FOR CORE LOGISTICS CAPABILITIES.—(1) \* \* \*

÷

(3) The core logistics capabilities identified under paragraphs (1) and (2) shall include [those capabilities that are necessary to maintain and repair the weapon systems] those logistics capabilities (including acquisition logistics, supply management, system engineering, maintenance, and modification management) that are necessary to sustain the weapon systems and other military equipment (including mission-essential weapon systems or materiel not later than four years after achieving initial operational capability, but excluding systems and equipment under special access programs, nuclear aircraft carriers, and commercial items described in paragraph (5)) that are identified by the Secretary, in consultation with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, as necessary to enable the armed forces to fulfill the strategic and contingency plans prepared by the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff under section 153(a) of this title.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §2465. Prohibition on contracts for performance of firefighting or security-guard functions

(a) \* \* \* \*

\*

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) The Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of a military department may waive the prohibition under subsection (a) regarding contracting for the performance of security-guard functions at a military installation or facility under the jurisdiction of the Secretary if such functions—

(1) are or will be performed by members of the armed forces in the absence of a waiver; or (2) were not performed at the installation or facility before September 11, 2001.

[§2469a. Use of competitive procedures in contracting for performance of depot-level maintenance and repair workloads formerly performed at certain military installations

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

\*

\*

[(1) The term "closed or realigned military installation" means a military installation where a depot-level maintenance and repair facility was approved in 1995 for closure or realignment under the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101–510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note).

[(2) The term "military installation" includes a former military installation that was a military installation when it was approved in 1995 for closure or realignment under the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 and that has been closed or realigned under the Act.

[(3) The terms "realignment" and "realigned" mean a decision under the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 that results in both a reduction and relocation of functions and civilian personnel positions.

[(b) COVERED DEPOT-LEVEL MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR WORK-LOADS.—Except as provided in subsection (c), this section applies with respect to any depot-level maintenance and repair workload that—

[(1) was performed as of January 1, 1997, at a military installation that was approved in 1995 for closure or realignment under the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 and that has been closed or realigned under the Act; and

[(2) is proposed to be converted from performance by Department of Defense personnel to performance by a private sector source.

[(c) EXCEPTIONS.—This section shall not apply with respect to—

[(1) a depot-level maintenance and repair workload that is to be consolidated to another military installation (other than a closed or realigned military installation) as a result of a base closure or realignment action or a decision made by the Secretary concerned or the Defense Depot Maintenance Council;

[(2) a workload necessary to maintain a core logistics capability identified under section 2464 of this title; or

[(3) any contract originally entered into before November 18, 1997.

[(d) CONDITIONS AND SOLICITATION.—A solicitation of offers for the performance of any depot-level maintenance and repair workload described in subsection (b) may be issued, and a contract may be awarded pursuant to such a solicitation, only if the following conditions are met with respect to the contract and the solicitation specifically states the conditions:

[(1) The source selection process used in the case of the solicitation and contract permits the consideration of offers submitted by private sector sources and offers submitted by public sector sources. [(2) The source selection process used in the case of the solicitation and contract requires that, in the comparison of offers, there be taken into account—

[(A) the fair market value (or if fair market value cannot be determined, the estimated book value) of any land, plant, or equipment from a military installation that is proposed by a private offeror to be used to meet a specific workload (whether these assets are provided to the offeror by a local redevelopment authority or by any other source approved by an official of the Department of Defense); and

[(B) the total estimated direct and indirect costs that will be incurred by the Department of Defense and the total estimated direct and indirect savings (including overhead) that will be derived by the Department of Defense.

[(3) The cost standards used to determine the depreciation of facilities and equipment shall, to the maximum extent practicable, provide identical treatment to all public and private sector offerors.

[(4) Any offeror, whether public or private, may offer to perform the workload at any location or locations selected by the offeror and to team with any other public or private entity to perform that workload at one or more locations, including a Center of Industrial and Technical Excellence designated under section 2474 of this title.

[(5) No offeror may be given any preferential consideration for, or in any way be limited to, performing the workload inplace or at any other single location.

[(e) CONTRACTS FOR MULTIPLE WORKLOADS.—(1) A solicitation may be issued for a single contract for the performance of multiple depot-level maintenance and repair workloads described in subsection (b) only if—

[(A) the Secretary of Defense determines in writing that the individual workloads cannot as logically and economically be performed without combination by sources that are potentially qualified to submit an offer and to be awarded a contract to perform those individual workloads;

[(B) the Secretary submits to Congress a report setting forth the determination together with the reasons for the determination; and

[(C) the solicitation of offers for the contract is issued more than 60 days after the date on which the Secretary submits the report.

[(2) The Comptroller General shall review each report submitted under paragraph (1)(B) and, not later than 30 days after the report is submitted to Congress, shall submit to Congress the Comptroller General's views regarding the determination of the Secretary that is set forth in the report, together with any other findings that the Comptroller General considers appropriate.

[(f) COMPETITIVE PROCEDURES REQUIRED.—Section 2304(c)(7) of this title shall not be used as the basis for an exception to the requirement to use competitive procedures for any contract for a depot-level maintenance and repair workload described in subsection (b).

[(g) REVIEWS OF COMPETITIVE PROCEDURES.—If a solicitation of offers for a contract for, or award of, any depot-level maintenance

and repair workload described in subsection (b) is issued, the Comptroller General shall—

[(1) within 45 days after the issuance of the solicitation, review the solicitation and report to Congress on whether the solicitation—

[(A) provides substantially equal opportunity for public and private offerors to compete for the contract without regard to the location at which the workload is to be performed; and

[(B) is in compliance with the requirements of this section and all applicable provisions of law and regulations; and

[(2) within 45 days after any contract or award resulting from the solicitation is entered into or made, review the contract or award, including the contracting or award process, and report to Congress on whether—

[(A) the procedures used to conduct the competition—

[(i) provided substantially equal opportunity for public and private offerors to compete for the contract without regard to the location at which the workload is to be performed; and

[(ii) were in compliance with the requirements of this section and all applicable provisions of law and regulations;

**[**(B) appropriate consideration was given to factors other than cost in the selection of the source for performance of the workload; and

[(C) the contract or award resulted in the lowest total cost to the Department of Defense for performance of the workload.

[(h) RESOLUTION OF WORKLOAD AWARD OBJECTIONS.—Any public or private entity may, pursuant to procedures established by the Secretary, object to a solicitation of offers under this section for the performance of any depot-level maintenance and repair workload, or the award or proposed award of any workload pursuant to such a solicitation. The Secretary may designate a qualified individual or entity to review the objection; however, the Secretary shall not designate the Source Selection Authority or any individual from the same military department as the Source Selection Authority to review the objection. The Secretary shall take appropriate action to address any defect in the solicitation or award in the event that the objection is sustained.

[(i) OVERSIGHT OF CONTRACTS AWARDED PUBLIC ENTITIES.—The Secretary of Defense or the Secretary concerned may not impose on a public sector entity awarded a contract for the performance of any depot-level maintenance and repair workload described in subsection (b) any requirements regarding management systems, reviews, oversight, or reporting that are significantly different from the requirements used in the performance and management of other similar or identical depot-level maintenance and repair workloads by the entity, unless the requirements are specifically provided in the solicitation for the contract or are necessary to ensure compliance with the terms of the contract.]

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §2474. Centers of Industrial and Technical Excellence: designation; public-private partnerships

(a) \* \* \*

\*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* (f) EXCLUSION OF CERTAIN EXPENDITURES FROM PERCENTAGE LIMITATION.—(1) \* \* \*

(2) The funds referred to in paragraph (1) are funds available to the military departments and Defense Agencies for depot-level maintenance and repair workloads [for fiscal years 2002 through 2005].

\* \* \* \* \* \*

#### CHAPTER 147—COMMISSARIES AND EXCHANGES AND OTHER MORALE, WELFARE, AND RECREATION ACTIVI-TIES

Sec.

2482. Commissary stores: operation.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* 2494. Uniform funding and management of morale, welfare, and recreation programs.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §2492. Overseas commissary and exchange stores: access and purchase restrictions

(a) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

[(c) ANNUAL REPORT.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress an annual report describing the host nation laws and the treaty obligations of the United States, and the conditions within host nations, that necessitate the use of quantity or other restrictions on purchases in commissary and exchange stores located outside the United States.]

# §2493. Fisher Houses: administration as nonappropriated fund instrumentality

(a) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

[(f) SPECIAL AUTHORITY FOR NAVY.—The Secretary of the Navy shall provide base operating support for Fisher Houses associated with health care facilities of the Navy. The level of the support shall be equivalent to the base operating support that the Secretary provides for morale, welfare, and recreation category B community activities (as defined in regulations, prescribed by the Secretary, that govern morale, welfare, and recreation activities associated with Navy installations).

[(g) ANNUAL REPORT.—Not later than January 15 of each year, the Secretary of each military department shall submit to Congress a report describing the operation of Fisher Houses and Fisher Suites associated with health care facilities of that military department. The report shall include, at a minimum, the following:

[(1) The amount in the fund established by that Secretary under subsection (d) as of October 1 of the previous year.

[(2) The operation of the fund during the preceding fiscal year, including—

[(A) all gifts, fees, and interest credited to the fund; and [(B) all disbursements from the fund.

[(3) The budget for the operation of the Fisher Houses and Fisher Suites for the fiscal year in which the report is submitted.]

(f) BASE OPERATING SUPPORT.—The Secretary of a military department may provide base operating support for Fisher Houses associated with health care facilities of that military department.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

# §2494. Uniform funding and management of morale, welfare, and recreation programs

(a) AUTHORITY FOR UNIFORM FUNDING AND MANAGEMENT.— Under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, funds appropriated to the Department of Defense and available for morale, welfare, and recreation programs may be treated as nonappropriated funds and expended in accordance with laws applicable to the expenditures of nonappropriated funds. When made available for morale, welfare, and recreation programs under such regulations, appropriated funds shall be considered to be nonappropriated funds for all purposes and shall remain available until expended.

(b) CONDITIONS ON AVAILABILITY.—Funds appropriated to the Department of Defense may be made available to support a morale, welfare, or recreation program only if the program is authorized to receive appropriated fund support and only in the amounts the program is authorized to receive.

(c) CONVERSION OF EMPLOYMENT POSITIONS.—(1) The Secretary of Defense may identify positions of employees in morale, welfare, and recreation programs within the Department of Defense who are paid with appropriated funds whose status may be converted from the status of an employee paid with appropriated funds to the status of an employee of a nonappropriated fund instrumentality.

(2) The status of an employee in a position identified by the Secretary under paragraph (1) may, with the consent of the employee, be converted to the status of an employee of a nonappropriated fund instrumentality. An employee who does not consent to the conversion may not be removed from the position because of the failure to provide such consent.

(3) The conversion of an employee from the status of an employee paid by appropriated funds to the status of an employee of a nonappropriated fund instrumentality shall be without a break in service for the concerned employee. The conversion shall not entitle an employee to severance pay, back pay or separation pay under subchapter IX of chapter 55 of title 5, or be considered an involuntary separation or other adverse personnel action entitling an employee to any right or benefit under such title or any other provision of law or regulation.

(4) In this subsection, the term "an employee of a nonappropriated fund instrumentality" means an employee described in section 2105(c) of title 5.

# CHAPTER 148—NATIONAL DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY AND INDUSTRIAL BASE, DEFENSE REINVESTMENT, AND DEFENSE CONVERSION

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

#### SUBCHAPTER III—PROGRAMS FOR DEVELOPMENT, APPLI-CATION, AND SUPPORT OF DUAL-USE TECHNOLOGIES

Sec. 2511. Defense dual-use critical technology program.

\*

\*

\*

\*

\* 2520. Transfer of technology items and equipment in support of homeland security. \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §2520. Transfer of technology items and equipment in support of homeland security

The Secretary of Defense shall enter into an agreement with an independent, nonprofit, technology-oriented entity that has demonstrated the ability to facilitate the transfer of defense technologies, developed by both the private and public sectors, to aid Federal, State, and local first responders. Under the agreement the entity shall develop and deploy technology items and equipment, through coordination between Government agencies and private sector, commercial developers and suppliers of technology, that will enhance public safety and shall-

(1) work in coordination with the InterAgency Board for Equipment Standardization and Interoperability;

(2) develop technology items and equipment that meet the standardization requirements established by the Board;

(3) evaluate technology items and equipment that have been identified using the standards developed by the Board and other state-of-the-art technology items and equipment that may benefit first responders;

(4) identify and coordinate among the public and private sectors research efforts applicable to national security and homeland security;

(5) facilitate the timely transfer of technology items and equipment between public and private sources;

(6) eliminate redundant research efforts with respect to tech-

nologies to be deployed to first responders; (7) expedite the advancement of high priority projects from re-search through implementation of initial manufacturing; and

(8) establish an outreach program, in coordination with the Board, with first responders to facilitate awareness of available technology items and equipment to support crisis response.

#### SUBCHAPTER IV—MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY

\* \* \* \* \* \*

# §2521. Manufacturing Technology Program

(a) \* \* \*

\*

\*

(e) FIVE-YEAR PLAN.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall [prepare a five-year plan for the program which establishes—

[(A) the overall manufacturing technology goals, milestones, priorities, and investment strategy for the program; and

**[**(B) for each of the five fiscal years covered by the plan, the objectives of, and funding for the program by, each military department and each Defense Agency participating in the program.

[(2) The plan shall include the following:

[(A) An assessment of the effectiveness of the program, including a description of all completed projects and status of implementation.

[(B) An assessment of the extent to which the costs of projects are being shared by the following:

[(i) Commercial enterprises in the private sector.

(ii) Department of Defense program offices, including weapon system program offices.

[(iii) Departments and agencies of the Federal Government outside the Department of Defense.

[(iv) Institutions of higher education.

**[**(v) Other institutions not operated for profit.

[(vi) Other sources.] prepare and maintain a five-year plan for the program.

(2) The plan shall establish the following:

(A) The overall manufacturing technology objectives, milestones, priorities, and investment strategy for the program. (B) The specific objectives of, and funding for the program by,

each military department and each Defense Agency participating in the program.

(3) The plan shall be updated [annually] *biennially* and shall be included in the budget justification documents submitted in support of the budget of the Department of Defense [for a fiscal year] for each even-numbered fiscal year (as included in the budget of the President submitted to Congress under section 1105 of title 31).

> \* \*

#### SUBCHAPTER V-MISCELLANEOUS TECHNOLOGY BASE POLICIES AND PROGRAMS

\* \* ÷ ÷ ÷ \* \*

#### §2534. Miscellaneous limitations on the procurement of goods other than United States goods

(a) LIMITATION ON CERTAIN PROCUREMENTS.—The Secretary of Defense may procure any of the following items only if the manufacturer of the item satisfies the requirements of subsection (b):

(1) \* \* \*

(5) BALL BEARINGS AND ROLLER BEARINGS.—Ball bearings and roller bearings, in accordance with subpart [225.71] 225.70 of part 225 of the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement, as in effect on [October 23, 1992] April 27, 2002. In this section the term "ball bearings and roller bearings" includes unconventional or hybrid ball and roller bearings and cam follower bearings, ball screws, and other derivatives of ball and roller bearings.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

SUBCHAPTER VI—DEFENSE EXPORT LOAN GUARANTEES

\* \* \* \* \* \*

# §2540. Establishment of loan guarantee program

(a) \* \* \*

\*

\*

(b) COVERED COUNTRIES.—The authority under subsection (a) applies with respect to the following countries:

(1) \* \* \* \*

(5) A country that, as determined by the Secretary of Defense in consultation with the Secretary of State, assists in combatting drug trafficking organizations or foreign terrorist organizations.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

(d) REPORT.—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State, whenever the Secretaries consider such action to be warranted, shall jointly submit to the Committees on Armed Services and Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committees on Armed Services and International Relations of the House of Representatives a report enumerating those countries to be added or removed under subsection (b).

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### CHAPTER 152—ISSUE OF SUPPLIES, SERVICES, AND FACILITIES

\* \* \* \* \* \*

§2563. Articles and services of industrial facilities: sale to persons outside the Department of Defense

(a) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* (c) Conditions for Sales.—(1) \* \* \*

(2) The Secretary of Defense may waive the condition in paragraph (1)(A) and subsection (a)(1) that an article or service must be not available from a United States commercial source in the case of a particular sale if the Secretary determines that the waiver is necessary for reasons of national security [and notifies Con-

gress regarding the reasons for the waiver].

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### CHAPTER 155—ACCEPTANCE OF GIFTS AND SERVICES

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §2611. Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies: acceptance of foreign gifts and donations

\*

\*

\*

\*

(a) \* \* \*

[(e) NOTICE TO CONGRESS.—If the total amount of funds accepted under subsection (a) in any fiscal year exceeds \$2,000,000, the Secretary shall notify Congress of the amount of those donations for that fiscal year. Any such notice shall list each of the contributors of such amounts and the amount of each contribution in that fiscal year.]

#### CHAPTER 157—TRANSPORTATION

\*

\*

\*

#### § 2634. Motor vehicles: transportation or storage for members on change of permanent station or extended deployment

(a) \* \* \*

[(b)(1) In lieu of transportation authorized by this section, if a member is ordered to make a change of permanent station to a foreign country and the laws, regulations, or other restrictions imposed by the foreign country or the United States preclude entry of a motor vehicle described in subsection (a) into that country, or would require extensive modification of the vehicle as a condition to entry, the member may elect to have the vehicle stored at the expense of the United States at a location approved by the Secretary concerned.

[(2) If a member is transferred or assigned in connection with a contingency operation to duty at a location other than the permanent station of the member for a period of more than 30 consecutive days, but the transfer or assignment is not considered a change of permanent station, the member may elect to have a motor vehicle described in subsection (a) stored at the expense of the United States at a location approved by the Secretary concerned.]

(b)(1) When a member receives a vehicle storage qualifying order, the member may elect to have a motor vehicle described in subsection (a) stored at the expense of the United States at a location approved by the Secretary concerned. In the case of a vehicle storage qualifying order that is to make a change of permanent station, such storage is in lieu of transportation authorized by subsection (a).

(2) In this subsection, the term "vehicle storage qualifying order" means any of the following:

(A) An order to make a change of permanent station to a foreign country in a case in which the laws, regulations, or other restrictions imposed by the foreign country or by the United States either—

*(i)* preclude entry of a motor vehicle described in subsection (a) into that country; or

*(ii) would require extensive modification of the vehicle as a condition to entry.* 

(B) An order to make a change of permanent station to a nonforeign area outside the continental United States in a case in which the laws, regulations, or other restrictions imposed by that area or by the United States either—

(i) preclude entry of a motor vehicle described in subsection (a) into that area; or

*(ii) would require extensive modification of the vehicle as a condition to entry.* 

(C) An order under which a member is transferred or assigned in connection with a contingency operation to duty at a location other than the permanent station of the member for a period of more than 30 consecutive days but which is not considered a change of permanent station.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* (h) In this section: (1) \* \* \*

\*

(3) The term "nonforeign area outside the continental United States" means any of the following: the States of Alaska and Hawaii, the Commonwealths of Puerto Rico and the Northern Mariana Islands, and any possession of the United States.

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### CHAPTER 159—REAL PROPERTY; RELATED PERSONAL PROPERTY; AND LEASE OF NONEXCESS PROPERTY

Sec.

\*

2661. Miscellaneous administrative provisions relating to real property.

2684a. Agreements to limit encroachments and other constraints on military training, testing, and operations.

2694a. Conveyance of surplus real property for natural resource conservation.

\*

§2677. Options: property required for military construction projects

\*

(a) \* \* \*

\*

\*

\* \* \* \* \* \*

[(c)(1) Before acquiring an option on real property under subsection (a), the Secretary of a military department shall review the most recent inventory of real property assets published by the Resolution Trust Corporation under section 21A(b)(11)(F) of the Federal Home Loan Bank Act (12 U.S.C. 1441a(b)(11)(F)) and determine whether any real property listed in the inventory is suitable for use by the military department for the purposes for which the real property is sought.

[(2) The requirement for the review referred to in paragraph (1) shall terminate on September 30, 1996.]

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

# §2680. Leases: land for special operations activities

(a) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* (e) REPORTS.—Not later than March 1 of each year, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committee on [the] Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives a report that— (1) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §2684a. Agreements to limit encroachments and other constraints on military training, testing, and operations

(a) AGREEMENTS AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of a military department may enter into an agreement with a private entity described in subsection (b) to address the use or development of real property in the vicinity of a military installation for purposes of—

(1) limiting any development or use of the property that would otherwise be incompatible with the mission of the installation; or

(2) preserving habitat on the property in a manner that is compatible with both—

(A) current or anticipated environmental restrictions that would or might otherwise restrict, impede, or otherwise interfere, whether directly or indirectly, with current or anticipated military training, testing, or operations on the installation; and

(B) current or anticipated military training, testing, or operations on the installation.

(b) COVERED PRIVATE ENTITIES.—A private entity referred to in subsection (a) is any private entity that has as its stated principal organizational purpose or goal the conservation, restoration, or preservation of land and natural resources, or a similar purpose or goal, as determined by the Secretary concerned.

(c) INAPPLICABILITY OF CERTAIN CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS.— Chapter 63 of title 31 shall not apply to any agreement entered into under this section.

(d) ACQUISITION AND ACCEPTANCE OF PROPERTY AND INTER-ESTS.—(1) An agreement with a private entity under this section—

(A) may provide for the private entity to acquire all right, title, and interest in and to any real property, or any lesser interest in the property, as may be appropriate for purposes of this section; and

(B) shall provide for the private entity to transfer to the United States, upon the request of the United States, any property or interest so acquired.

(2) Property or interests may not be acquired pursuant to an agreement under this section unless the owner of the property or interests, as the case may be, consents to the acquisition.

(3) An agreement under this section providing for the acquisition of property or interests under paragraph (1)(A) shall provide for the sharing by the United States and the private entity concerned of the costs of the acquisition of the property or interests. (4) The Secretary concerned shall identify any property or interests to be acquired pursuant to an agreement under this section. The property or interests shall be limited to the minimum property or interests necessary to ensure that the property concerned is developed and used in a manner appropriate for purposes of this section.

(5) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary concerned may accept on behalf of the United States any property or interest to be transferred to the United States under paragraph (1)(B).

(6) The Secretary concerned may, for purposes of the acceptance of property or interests under this subsection, accept an appraisal or title documents prepared or adopted by a non-Federal entity as satisfying the applicable requirements of section 301 of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 4651) or section 355 of the Revised Statutes (40 U.S.C. 255) if the Secretary finds that the appraisal or title documents substantially comply with the requirements.

ments substantially comply with the requirements. (e) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary concerned may require such additional terms and conditions in an agreement under this section as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

(f) FUNDING.—(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), funds authorized to be appropriated for operation and maintenance of the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, Air Force, or Defense-wide activities, including funds authorized to be appropriated for the Legacy Resources Management Program, may be used to enter into agreements under this section.

(2) In the case of a military installation operated primarily with funds authorized to be appropriated for research, development, test, and evaluation, funds authorized to be appropriated for the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, Air Force, or Defense-wide activities for research, development, test, and evaluation may be used to enter into agreements under this section with respect to the installation.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §2694a. Conveyance of surplus real property for natural resource conservation

(a) AUTHORITY TO CONVEY.—The Secretary of a military department may convey to an eligible recipient described in subsection (b) any surplus real property that—

(1) is under the administrative control of the Secretary;

(2) is suitable and desirable for conservation purposes;

(3) has been made available for public benefit transfer for a sufficient period of time to potential claimants; and

(4) is not subject to a pending request for transfer to another Federal agency or for conveyance to any other qualified recipient for public benefit transfer under the real property disposal processes and authorities established pursuant to the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 471, et seq.).

(b) ELIGIBLE RECIPIENTS.—The conveyance of surplus real property under subsection (a) may be made to any of the following:

(A) A State or political subdivision of a State.

(B) A nonprofit organization that exists for the primary purpose of conservation of natural resources on real property.

(c) REVISIONARY INTEREST AND OTHER DEED REQUIREMENTS.—(1) The deed of conveyance of any surplus real property conveyed under subsection (a) disposed of under this subsection shall require the property to be used and maintained for the conservation of natural resources in perpetuity. If the Secretary of the military department that made the conveyance determines at any time that the property is not being used or maintained for such purpose, then, at the option of the Secretary, all or any portion of the property shall revert to the United States.

(2) The deed of conveyance may permit the recipient of the property—

(A) to convey the property to another eligible entity described in subsection (b), subject to the approval of the Secretary of the military department that made the conveyance and subject to the same covenants and terms and conditions as provided in the deed from the United States; and

(B) to conduct incidental revenue-producing activities on the property that are compatible with the use of the property for conservation purposes.

(3) The deed of conveyance may contain such additional terms, reservations, restrictions, and conditions as the Secretary of the military department considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

(d) RELEASE OF COVENANTS.—The Secretary of the military department that conveys real property under subsection (a), with the concurrence of the Secretary of Interior, may grant a release from a covenant included in the deed of conveyance of the property under subsection (c) on the condition that the recipient of the property pay the fair market value, as determined by the Secretary of the military department, of the property at the time of the release of the covenant. The Secretary of the military department may reduce the amount required to be paid under this subsection to account for the value of the natural resource conservation benefit that has accrued to the United States during the period the covenant was in effect, if the benefit was not taken into account in determining the original consideration for the conveyance.

(e) LIMITATIONS.—A conveyance under subsection (a) shall not be used in settlement of any litigation, dispute, or claim against the United States, or as a condition of allowing any defense activity under any Federal, State, or local permitting or review process. The Secretary of a military department may make a conveyance under subsection (a), with the restrictions specified in subsection (c), to establish a mitigation bank, but only if the establishment of the mitigation bank does not occur in order to satisfy any condition for permitting military activity under a Federal, State, or local permitting or review process.

(f) CONSIDERATION.—In fixing the consideration for the conveyance of real property under subsection (a) or in determining the amount of any reduction of the amount to be paid for the release of a covenant under subsection (d), the Secretary of the military department concerned shall take into consideration any benefit that

has accrued or may accrue to the United States from the use of such property for the conservation of natural resources.

(g) Relation to Other Conveyance Authorities.—(1) The Secretary of a military department may not make a conveyance under this section of any real property to be disposed of under a base closure law in a manner that is inconsistent with the requirements and conditions of the base closure law.

(2) In the case of real property on Guam, the Secretary of a military department may not make a conveyance under this section unless the Government of Guam has been first afforded the oppor-tunity to acquire the real property as authorized by section 1 of Public Law 106-504 (114 Stat. 2309).

(h) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term "State" includes the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas, and the territories and possessions of the United States.

(2) The term "base closure law" means the following:

(A) Section 2687 of this title.

(B) Title II of the Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1988 (10 U.S.C. 2687 note).

(C) The Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note).

(D) Any other similar authority for the closure or realignment of military installations that is enacted after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003.

#### §2695. Acceptance of funds to cover administrative expenses relating to certain real property transactions

(a) \* \* \*

(b) COVERED TRANSACTIONS.—Subsection (a) applies to the following transactions involving real property under the control of the Secretary of a military department:

(1) \* \* \*

\* \* (5) The conveyance of real property under section 2694a of this title.

\*

\*

#### **CHAPTER 160—ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION** \*

\*

\*

#### §2701. Environmental restoration program

\*

\*

(a) \* \* \*

\*

\* \* (d) SERVICES OF OTHER AGENCIES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), the Secretary may enter into agreements on a reimbursable or other basis with any other Federal agency, with any State or local government agency, or with any Indian tribe,] any State or local government agency, any Indian tribe, or any nonprofit conservation organization to obtain the services of the agency to assist the Secretary in carrying out any of the Secretary's responsibilities under this section. Services which may be obtained under this subsection include the identification, investigation, and cleanup of any off-site contamination resulting from the release of a hazardous substance or waste at a facility under the Secretary's jurisdiction.

(3) DEFINITION.—In this subsection, the term "Indian tribe" has the meaning given such term in section 101(36) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601(36)).]

 (3) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:
 (A) The term "Indian tribe" has the meaning given such term in section 101(36) of Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601(36).

(B) The term "nonprofit conservation organization" means any non-governmental nonprofit organization whose primary purpose is conservation of open space or natural resources.

(k) UXO PROGRAM MANAGER.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall establish a program manager who shall serve as the single point of contact in the Department of Defense for policy and budgeting issues involving the characterization, remediation, and management of explosive and related risks with respect to unexploded ordnance, discarded military munitions, and munitions constituents at defense sites (as such terms are defined in section 2710 of this title) that

pose a threat to human health or safety. (2) The Secretary of Defense may delegate this authority to the Secretary of a military department, who may delegate the authority to the Under Secretary of that military department. The authority may not be further delegated.

(3) The program manager may establish an independent advisory and review panel that may include representatives of the National Academy of Sciences, nongovernmental organizations with expertise regarding unexploded ordnance, discarded military munitions, or munitions constituents, the Environmental Protection Agency, States (as defined in section 2710 of this title), and tribal governments. If established, the panel would report annually to Congress on progress made by the Department of Defense to address unexploded ordnance, discarded military munitions, or munitions constituents at defense sites and make such recommendations as the panel considered appropriate.

> \* \* \* \* \* \*

# **CHAPTER 165—ACCOUNTABILITY AND RESPONSIBILITY**

2771. Final settlement of accounts: deceased members.

2773a. Departmental accountable officials.

	* Manageme Managemen			*	*	*	*
2787.	* Reports of s	* survey.	*	*	*	*	*
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

#### §2773a. Departmental accountable officials

(a) DESIGNATION.—(1) The Secretary of Defense may designate as a "departmental accountable official" any civilian employee of the Department of Defense or member of the armed forces under the Secretary's jurisdiction who is described in paragraph (2). Any such designation shall be in writing.

(2) An employee or member of the armed forces described in this paragraph is an employee or member who is responsible in the performance of the employee's or member's duties for providing to a certifying official of the Department of Defense information, data, or services that are directly relied upon by the certifying official in the certification of vouchers for payment.

(b) PECUNIARY LIABILITY.—(1) The Secretary of Defense may impose pecuniary liability on a departmental accountable official to the extent that an illegal, improper, or incorrect payment results from the information, data, or services that that official provides to a certifying official and upon which the certifying official directly relies in certifying the voucher supporting that payment.

(2) The pecuniary liability of a departmental accountable official under this subsection for such an illegal, improper, or incorrect payment is joint and several with that of any other officials who are pecuniarily liable for such payment.

(c) RELIEF FROM LIABILITY.—The Secretary of Defense shall relieve a departmental accountable official from liability under subsection (b) if the Secretary determines that the illegal, improper, or incorrect payment was not the result of fault or negligence by that official.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### [§2784. Management of credit cards

[(a) MANAGEMENT OF CREDIT CARDS.—The Secretary of Defense, acting through the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller), shall prescribe regulations governing the use and control of all credit cards and convenience checks that are issued to Department of Defense personnel for official use. Those regulations shall be consistent with regulations that apply Government-wide regarding use of credit cards by Government personnel for official purposes.

[(b) REQUIRED SAFEGUARDS AND INTERNAL CONTROLS.—Regulations under subsection (a) shall include safeguards and internal controls to ensure the following:

[(1) That there is a record in the Department of Defense of each holder of a credit card issued by the Department of Defense for official use, annotated with the limitations on amounts that are applicable to the use of each such card by that credit card holder.

515

[(2) That the holder of a credit card and each official with authority to authorize expenditures charged to the credit card are responsible for—

**[**(A) reconciling the charges appearing on each statement of account for that credit card with receipts and other supporting documentation; and

[(B) forwarding that statement after being so reconciled to the designated disbursing office in a timely manner.

[(3) That any disputed credit card charge, and any discrepancy between a receipt and other supporting documentation and the credit card statement of account, is resolved in the manner prescribed in the applicable Government-wide credit card contract entered into by the Administrator of General Services.

**[**(4) That payments on credit card accounts are made promptly within prescribed deadlines to avoid interest penalties.

[(5) That rebates and refunds based on prompt payment on credit card accounts are properly recorded.

[(6) That records of each credit card transaction (including records on associated contracts, reports, accounts, and invoices) are retained in accordance with standard Government policies on the disposition of records.]

#### *§2784. Management of purchase cards*

(a) MANAGEMENT OF PURCHASE CARDS.—The Secretary of Defense, acting through the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller), shall prescribe regulations governing the use and control of all purchase cards and convenience checks that are issued to Department of Defense personnel for official use. Those regulations shall be consistent with regulations that apply Government-wide regarding use of purchase cards by Government personnel for official purposes.

(b) REQUIRED SAFEGUARDS AND INTERNAL CONTROLS.—Regulations under subsection (a) shall include safeguards and internal controls to ensure the following:

(1) That there is a record in the Department of Defense of each holder of a purchase card issued by the Department of Defense for official use, annotated with the limitations on amounts that are applicable to the use of each such card by that purchase card holder.

(2) That the holder of a purchase card and each official with authority to authorize expenditures charged to the purchase card are responsible for—

(A) reconciling the charges appearing on each statement of account for that purchase card with receipts and other supporting documentation; and

(B) forwarding that statement after being so reconciled to the designated disbursing office in a timely manner.

(3) That any disputed purchase card charge, and any discrepancy between a receipt and other supporting documentation and the purchase card statement of account, is resolved in the manner prescribed in the applicable Government-wide purchase card contract entered into by the Administrator of General Services. (4) That payments on purchase card accounts are made promptly within prescribed deadlines to avoid interest penalties.

(5) That rebates and refunds based on prompt payment on purchase card accounts are properly recorded.

(6) That records of each purchase card transaction (including records on associated contracts, reports, accounts, and invoices) are retained in accordance with standard Government policies on the disposition of records.

(7) That an annual review is performed of the use of purchase cards issued by the Department of Defense to determine whether each purchase card holder has a need for the purchase card.

(8) That the Inspectors General of the Department of Defense and the military services perform periodic audits with respect to the use of purchase cards issued by the Department of Defense to ensure that such use is in compliance with regulations.

(9) That appropriate annual training is provided to each purchase card holder and each official with responsibility for overseeing the use of purchase cards issued by the Department of Defense.

(c) PENALTIES FOR VIOLATIONS.—The Secretary shall provide in the regulations prescribed under subsection (a)—

(1) that procedures are implemented providing for appropriate punishment of employees of the Department of Defense for violations of such regulations and for negligence, misuse, abuse, or fraud with respect to a purchase card, including dismissal in appropriate cases; and

(2) that a violation of such regulations by a person subject to chapter 47 of this title (the Uniform Code of Military Justice) is punishable as a violation of section 892 of this title (article 92 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice).

\* \* \* \* \* \*

#### *§*2787. *Reports of survey*

(a) REGULATIONS.—Under such regulations as the Secretary of Defense may prescribe, any officer of the Army, Navy, Air Force, or Marine Corps or any civilian employee of the Department of Defense designated by the Secretary may act upon reports of surveys and vouchers pertaining to the loss, spoilage, unserviceability, unsuitability, or destruction of, or damage to, property of the United States under the control of the Department of Defense.

(b) FINALITY OF ACTION.—Action taken under subsection (a) is final, except that action holding a person pecuniarily liable for loss, spoilage, destruction, or damage is not final until approved by the Secretary.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### CHAPTER 169—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING

# SUBCHAPTER I—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

\* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §2810. Construction projects for environmental response actions

[(a) Subject to subsection (b), the Secretary of Defense may carry out a military construction project not otherwise authorized by law (or may authorize the Secretary of a military department to carry out such a project) if the Secretary of Defense determines that the project is necessary to carry out a response action under chapter 160 of this title or under the Comprehensive Environmental Reponse, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.).]

(a) AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT UNAUTHORIZED CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.—The Secretary concerned may carry out a military construction project not otherwise authorized by law if the Secretary determines that the project is necessary to carry out a response under chapter 160 of this title or the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.).

(b)[(1) When a decision is made to carry out a military construction project under this section, the Secretary of Defense shall submit a report in writing to the appropriate committees of Congress on that decision.] CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—(1) When a decision is made to carry out a military construction project under this section that exceeds the amount specified in section 2805(b)(1) of this title, the Secretary concerned shall submit a report in writing to the appropriate committees of Congress on that decision. Each such report shall include—

(Å) the justification for the project and the current estimate of the cost of the project; and

(B) the justification for carrying out the project under this section.

(2) The project may then be carried out only after the end of the 21-day period beginning on the date the notification is received by such committees.

(c) *RESPONSE DEFINED.*—In this section, the term "response [action]" has the meaning given that term in section 101 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601).

#### §2814. Special authority for development of Ford Island, Hawaii

(a) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* (i) Use of Account.--(1) \* \* \*

(3)[(A) The Secretary may transfer funds from the Ford Island Improvement Account to the following funds:

[(i) The Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund established by section 2883(a)(1) of this title.

[(ii) The Department of Defense Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund established by section 2883(a)(2) of this title.] (A) The Secretary may transfer funds from the Ford Island Improvement Account to the Department of Defense Housing Improvement Fund established by section 2883(a) of this title.

(B) Amounts transferred under subparagraph (A) to [a fund] *the Fund* referred to in that subparagraph shall be available in accordance with the provisions of section 2883 of this title for activities authorized under subchapter IV of this chapter at Ford Island.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §2815. Joint use military construction projects: annual evaluation

(a) \* \* \*

(b) ANNUAL EVALUATION.—In the case of the budget submitted under section 1105 of title 31 [for fiscal year 2003 and each fiscal year thereafter] for any fiscal year, the Secretary of Defense shall include in the budget justification materials submitted to Congress in support of the budget a certification by each Secretary concerned that, in evaluating military construction projects for inclusion in the budget for that fiscal year, the Secretary concerned evaluated the feasibility of carrying out the projects as joint use military construction projects.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### SUBCHAPTER II—MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING

\* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §2828. Leasing of military family housing

(a) \* \* \*

(b)(1) \* \* \*

(2) Except as provided in paragraphs (3) and (4), expenditures for the rental of housing units under subsection (a) (including the cost of utilities, maintenance, and operation) may not exceed \$12,000 per unit per year, as adjusted from time to *time* under paragraph (5).

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* (e)(1) \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

[(3) In addition to the 450 units of family housing referred to in paragraph (1) for which the maximum lease amount is \$25,000 per unit per year, the Secretary of the Army may lease not more than 800 units of family housing in Korea subject to that maximum lease amount.]

(3) In addition to the 450 units of family housing referred to in paragraph (1) for which the maximum lease amount is \$25,000 per unit per year, the Secretary of the Army may lease in Korea—

(A) not more than 1,175 units of family housing subject to that maximum lease amount; and

(B) not more than 2,400 units of family housing subject to a maximum lease amount of \$35,000 per unit per year.

#### SUBCHAPTER IV—ALTERNATIVE AUTHORITY FOR ACQUISITION AND IMPROVEMENT OF MILITARY HOUSING

Sec. 2871. Definitions. \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* [2874. Leasing of housing to be constructed.] 2874. Leasing of housing. \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* [2879. Interim leases.] \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* [2883. Department of Defense Housing Funds.] 2883. Department of Defense Housing Improvement Fund. \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §2871. Definitions

In this subchapter: (1) \* \* \*

(6) The term "Fund" means the [Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund or the Department of Defense Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund] Department of Defense Housing Improvement Fund established under section 2883(a) of this title.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §2872a. Utilities and services

(a) \* \* \*

(b) COVERED UTILITIES AND SERVICES.—The utilities and services that may be furnished under subsection (a) are the following: (1) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* (11) Firefighting and fire protection services. (12) Police protection services.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### [§2874. Leasing of housing to be constructed

[(a) BUILD AND LEASE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary concerned may enter into contracts for the lease of military family housing units or military unaccompanied housing units to be constructed under this subchapter.]

#### §2874. Leasing of housing

(a) LEASE AUTHORIZED.—(1) The Secretary concerned may enter into contracts for the lease of housing units that the Secretary determines are suitable for use as military family housing or military unaccompanied housing.

(2) The Secretary concerned shall utilize housing units leased under paragraph (1) as military family housing or military unaccompanied housing, as appropriate.

#### §2875. Investments

(a) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \*

(e) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION REQUIRED.—Amounts in the [Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund or the Department of Defense Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund] Department of Defense Housing Improvement Fund may be used to make a cash investment under this section in an eligible entity only after the end of the 30-day period beginning on the date the Secretary of Defense submits written notice of, and justification for, the investment to the appropriate committees of Congress.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### [§ 2879. Interim leases

[Pending completion of a project to acquire or construct military family housing units or military unaccompanied housing units under this subchapter, the Secretary concerned may provide for the interim lease of such units of the project as are complete. The term of a lease under this section may not extend beyond the date of the completion of the project concerned.]

#### §2880. Unit size and type

(a) \* \* \*

(b) INAPPLICABILITY OF LIMITATIONS ON SPACE BY PAY GRADE.— (1) \* \* \*

(2) The regulations prescribed under section 2856 of this title shall not apply to any military unaccompanied housing unit acquired or constructed under this subchapter [unless the unit is located on a military installation].

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### [§2883. Department of Defense Housing Funds

[(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There are hereby established on the books of the Treasury the following accounts:

[(1) The Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund.

[(2) The Department of Defense Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund.

[(b) COMMINGLING OF FUNDS PROHIBITED.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall administer each Fund separately.

[(2) Amounts in the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund may be used only to carry out activities under this subchapter with respect to military family housing.

[(3) Amounts in the Department of Defense Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund may be used only to carry out activities under this subchapter with respect to military unaccompanied housing.

[(c) CREDITS TO FUNDS.—(1) There shall be credited to the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund the following:

[(A) Amounts authorized for and appropriated to that Fund.

[(B) Subject to subsection (f), any amounts that the Secretary of Defense transfers, in such amounts as provided in appropriation Acts, to that Fund from amounts authorized and appropriated to the Department of Defense for the acquisition or construction of military family housing.

[(C) Proceeds from the conveyance or lease of property or facilities under section 2878 of this title for the purpose of carrying out activities under this subchapter with respect to military family housing.

[(D) Income derived from any activities under this subchapter with respect to military family housing, including interest on loans made under section 2873 of this title, income and gains realized from investments under section 2875 of this title, and any return of capital invested as part of such investments.

[(E) Any amounts that the Secretary of the Navy transfers to that Fund pursuant to section 2814(i)(3) of this title, subject to the restrictions on the use of the transferred amounts specified in that section.

[(2) There shall be credited to the Department of Defense Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund the following:

[(A) Amounts authorized for and appropriated to that Fund.

[(B) Subject to subsection (f), any amounts that the Secretary of Defense transfers, in such amounts as provided in appropriation Acts, to that Fund from amounts authorized and appropriated to the Department of Defense for the acquisition or construction of military unaccompanied housing.

[(C) Proceeds from the conveyance or lease of property or facilities under section 2878 of this title for the purpose of carrying out activities under this subchapter with respect to military unaccompanied housing.

(D) Income derived from any activities under this subchapter with respect to military unaccompanied housing, including interest on loans made under section 2873 of this title, income and gains realized from investments under section 2875 of this title, and any return of capital invested as part of such investments.

[(E) Any amounts that the Secretary of the Navy transfers to that Fund pursuant to section 2814(i)(3) of this title, subject to the restrictions on the use of the transferred amounts specified in that section.]

#### §2883. Department of Defense Housing Improvement Fund

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is hereby established on the books of the Treasury an account to be known as the Department of Defense Housing Improvement Fund (in this section referred to as the "Fund").

(b) CREDITS TO FUND.—There shall be credited to the Fund the following:

(1) Amounts authorized for and appropriated to the Fund.

(2) Subject to subsection (e), any amounts that the Secretary of Defense transfers, in such amounts as are provided for in appropriation Acts, to the Fund from amounts authorized and appropriated to the Department of Defense for the acquisition or construction of military family housing or military unaccompanied housing.

(3) Proceeds from the conveyance or lease of property or facilities under section 2878 of this title for the purpose of carrying out activities under this subchapter with respect to military family housing or military unaccompanied housing.

(4) Income derived from any activities under this subchapter with respect to military family housing or military unaccompanied housing, income and gains realized from investments under section 2875 of this title, and any return of capital invested as part of such investments.

(5) Any amounts that the Secretary of the Navy transfers to the Fund pursuant to section 2814(i)(3) of this title, subject to the restrictions on the use of the transferred amounts specified in that section.

[(d)] (c) USE OF AMOUNTS IN [FUNDS] FUND.—(1) In such amounts as provided in appropriation Acts and except as provided in subsection [(e)] (d), the Secretary of Defense may use amounts in the [Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement] Fund to carry out activities under this subchapter with respect to military family housing, including activities required in connection with the planning, execution, and administration of contracts entered into under the authority of this subchapter. The Secretary may also use for expenses of activities required in connection with the planning, execution, and administration of such contracts funds that are otherwise available to the Department of Defense for such types of expenses.

[(2) In such amounts as provided in appropriation Acts and except as provided in subsection (e), the Secretary of Defense may use amounts in the Department of Defense Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund to carry out activities under this subchapter with respect to military unaccompanied housing, including activities required in connection with the planning, execution, and administration of contracts entered into under the authority of this subchapter. The Secretary may also use for expenses of activities required in connection with the planning, execution, and administration of such contracts funds that are otherwise available to the Department of Defense for such types of expenses.]

[(3)] (2) Amounts made available under this subsection shall remain available until expended. The Secretary of Defense may transfer amounts made available under this subsection to the Secretaries of the military departments to permit such Secretaries to carry out the activities for which such amounts may be used.

[(e)] (d) LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS.—The Secretary may not incur an obligation under a contract or other agreement entered into under this subchapter in excess of the unobligated balance, at the time the contract is entered into, of the Fund [required to be used to satisfy the obligation].

[(f)] (e) NOTIFICATION REQUIRED FOR TRANSFERS.—A transfer of appropriated amounts to [a Fund under paragraph (1)(B) or (2)(B) of subsection (c)] the Fund under subsection (b)(2) may be made only after the end of the 30-day period beginning on the date the Secretary of Defense submits written notice of, and justification for, the transfer to the appropriate committees of Congress.

[(g)] (f) LIMITATION ON AMOUNT OF BUDGET AUTHORITY.—The total value in budget authority of all contracts and investments undertaken using the authorities provided in this subchapter shall not exceed-

(1) [\$850,000,000] \$1,700,000,000 for the acquisition or construction of military family housing; and

(2) [\$150,000,000] \$300,000,000 for the acquisition or construction of military unaccompanied housing.

\* \* \* \* \*

Subtitle B—Army

\* \* \* \*

#### PART I—ORGANIZATION

\* \* \*

#### **CHAPTER 305—THE ARMY STAFF**

\* \* \* \* \*

#### § 3038. Office of Army Reserve: appointment of Chief

\*

(a) \* \* \*

\*

(b) Appointment.—(1) \* \* \* \*

(4) Until [October 1, 2003] December 31, 2004, the Secretary of Defense may waive subparagraph (B) of paragraph (2) with respect to the appointment of an officer as Chief of Army Reserve if the Secretary of the Army requests the waiver and, in the judgment of the Secretary of Defense—

(A) the officer is qualified for service in the position; and (B) the waiver is necessary for the good of the service. Any such waiver shall be made on a case-by-case basis.

> \* \* \* \* \*

#### **CHAPTER 307—THE ARMY**

Sec.

3061. Regulations. \* \* \* 3084.Chief of Veterinary Corps: grade. \* \*

#### §3084. Chief of Veterinary Corps: grade

The Chief of the Veterinary Corps of the Army serves in the grade of brigadier general. An officer appointed to that position who holds a lower grade shall be appointed in the grade of brigadier general.

#### PART III—TRAINING

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### **CHAPTER 403—UNITED STATES MILITARY ACADEMY** \*

\*

\*

\*

#### §4342. Cadets: appointment; numbers, territorial distribution

(a) The authorized strength of the Corps of Cadets of the Academy (determined for any year as of the day before the last day of the academic year) is 4,000 or such higher number as may be prescribed by the Secretary of the Army under subsection (j). Subject to that limitation, cadets are selected as follows:

(1) \*

(i) For purposes of the limitation in subsection (a) establishing the aggregate authorized strength of the Corps of Cadets, the Secretary of the Army may for any year [(beginning with the 2001-2002 academic year) permit a variance in that limitation by not more than one percent. In applying that limitation, and any such variance, the last day of an academic year shall be considered to be graduation day.

(j)(1) Beginning with the 2003–2004 academic year, the Secretary of the Army may prescribe annual increases in the cadet strength limit in effect under subsection (a). For any academic year, any such increase shall be by no more than 100 cadets or such lesser number as applies under paragraph (3) for that year. Such annual increases may be prescribed until the cadet strength limit is 4,400. However, no increase may be prescribed for any academic year after the 2007-2008 academic year.

(2) Any increase in the cadet strength limit under paragraph (1) with respect to an academic year shall be prescribed not later than the date on which the budget of the President is submitted to Congress under section 1105 of title 31 for the fiscal year beginning in the same year as the year in which that academic year begins. Whenever the Secretary prescribes such an increase, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a notice in writing of the increase. The notice shall state the amount of the increase in the cadet strength limit and the new cadet strength limit, as so increased, and the amount of the increase in Senior Army Reserve Officers' Training Corps enrollment under each of sections 2104 and 2107 of this title.

(3) The amount of an increase under paragraph (1) in the cadet strength limit for an academic year may not exceed the increase (if any) for the preceding academic year in the total number of cadets enrolled in the Army Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps program under chapter 103 of this title who have entered into an agree-

ment under section 2104 or 2107 of this title. (4) In this subsection, the term "cadet strength limit" means the authorized maximum strength of the Corps of Cadets of the Academy.

#### §4357. Acceptance of guarantees with gifts for major projects

(a) ACCEPTANCE AUTHORITY.—[Subject to subsection (c), the Secretary] The Secretary of the Army may accept from a donor or donors a qualified guarantee for the completion of a major project for the benefit of the Academy.

[(c) NOTICE OF PROPOSED ACCEPTANCE.—The Secretary of the Army may not accept a qualified guarantee under this section for the completion of a major project until after the expiration of 30 days following the date upon which a report of the facts concerning the proposed guarantee is submitted to Congress.]

#### CHAPTER 407—SCHOOLS AND CAMPS \*

#### §4416. Academy of Health Sciences: admission of civilians in physician assistant training program

(a) \* \* \* \*

\*

[(f) ANNUAL REPORT.—(1) Each year, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on the exchange of services under this section during the year. The report shall contain the following:

\*

\*

(A) The number of civilian students who receive instruction at the Academy under this section.

\*

\*

\*

\*

(B) An assessment of the benefits derived by the United States.

[(2) Reports are required under paragraph (1) only for years during which an agreement is in effect under this section.] \*

### PART IV—SERVICE, SUPPLY, AND PROCUREMENT

\* \* \*

#### **CHAPTER 453—ACCOUNTABILITY AND RESPONSIBILITY**

4831. Custody of departmental records and property.

	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
[4835.	Reports of	survey.]	·	·	·	·	
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

#### [§ 4835. Reports of survey

\*

[(a) Under such regulations as the Secretary of the Army may prescribe, any officer of the Army or any civilian employee of the Department of the Army designated by him may act upon reports of surveys and vouchers pertaining to the loss, spoilage, unserviceability, unsuitability, or destruction of or damage to prop-erty of the United States under the control of the Department of the Army.

(b) Action taken under subsection (a) is final, except that action holding a person pecuniarily liable for loss, spoilage, destruction, or damage is not final until approved by the Secretary or the Secretary's designee. The Secretary may designate officers of the Army or civilian employees of the Department of the Army to approve such action.]

\* \* \* \* \* \*

### Subtitle C—Navy and Marine Corps

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### PART I—ORGANIZATION

\* \* \* \* \* \*

#### CHAPTER 506—HEADQUARTERS, MARINE CORPS

\*

\* \* \*

\*

#### § 5045. Deputy Commandants

\*

There are in the Headquarters, Marine Corps, not more than [five] *six* Deputy Commandants, detailed by the Secretary of the Navy from officers on the active-duty list of the Marine Corps.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### CHAPTER 513—BUREAUS; OFFICE OF THE JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL

#### § 5143. Office of Naval Reserve: appointment of Chief

(a) \* \* \*

\*

(b) APPOINTMENT.—(1) \* \* \*

(4) Until [October 1, 2003] *December 31, 2004*, the Secretary of Defense may waive subparagraph (B) of paragraph (2) with respect to the appointment of an officer as Chief of Naval Reserve if the Secretary of the Navy requests the waiver and, in the judgment of the Secretary of Defense—

(A) the officer is qualified for service in the position; and (B) the waiver is necessary for the good of the service.

Any such waiver shall be made on a case-by-case basis.

#### \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

## §5144. Office of Marine Forces Reserve: appointment of Commander

(a) \* \* \*

(b) APPOINTMENT.—(1) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* (4) Until [October 1, 2003] *December 31, 2004*, the Secretary of Defense may waive subparagraph (B) of paragraph (2) with respect to the appointment of an officer as Commander, Marine Forces Reserve, if the Secretary of the Navy requests the waiver and, in the judgment of the Secretary of Defense—

(A) \* \* \*

### PART II—PERSONNEL \*

\*

\*

#### **CHAPTER 544—TEMPORARY APPOINTMENTS**

\* \* \*

### § 5721. Temporary promotions of certain Navy lieutenants (a) \* \* \*

\* (f) LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE POSITIONS.-[(1)] An appointment under this section may only be made for service in a position designated by the Secretary of the Navy for purposes of this

section. The number of positions so designated may not exceed 325. (2) Whenever the Secretary makes a change to the positions designated under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall submit notice of the change in writing to Congress.]

### PART III-EDUCATION AND TRAINING

\* \* \* \* \*

#### CHAPTER 603—UNITED STATES NAVAL ACADEMY

\* \*

#### §6954. Midshipmen: number

(a) The authorized strength of the Brigade of Midshipmen (determined for any year as of the day before the last day of the academic year) is 4,000 or such higher number as may be prescribed by the Secretary of the Navy under subsection (h). Subject to that limitation, midshipmen are selected as follows:

(1)

(h)(1) Beginning with the 2003–2004 academic year, the Secretary of the Navy may prescribe annual increases in the midshipmen strength limit in effect under subsection (a). For any academic year, any such increase shall be by no more than 100 midshipmen or such lesser number as applies under paragraph (3) for that year. Such annual increases may be prescribed until the midshipmen strength limit is 4,400. However, no increase may be prescribed for any academic year after the 2007–2008 academic year.

(2) Any increase in the midshipmen strength limit under paragraph (1) with respect to an academic year shall be prescribed not later than the date on which the budget of the President is submitted to Congress under section 1105 of title 31 for the fiscal year beginning in the same year as the year in which that academic year begins. Whenever the Secretary prescribes such an increase, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a notice in writing of the increase. The notice shall state the amount of the increase in the midshipmen strength limit and the new midshipmen strength limit, as so increased, and the amount of the increase in Senior Navy Reserve Officers' Training Corps enrollment under each of sections 2104 and 2107 of this title.

(3) The amount of an increase under paragraph (1) in the midshipmen strength limit for an academic year may not exceed the increase (if any) for the preceding academic year in the total number of midshipmen enrolled in the Navy Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps program under chapter 103 of this title who have entered into an agreement under section 2104 or 2107 of this title.

(4) In this subsection, the term "midshipmen strength limit" means the authorized maximum strength of the Brigade of Midshipmen.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

## § 6975. Acceptance of guarantees with gifts for major projects

(a) ACCEPTANCE AUTHORITY.—[Subject to subsection (c), the Secretary] *The Secretary* of the Navy may accept from a donor or donors a qualified guarantee for the completion of a major project for the benefit of the Naval Academy.

[(c) NOTICE OF PROPOSED ACCEPTANCE.—The Secretary of the Navy may not accept a qualified guarantee under this section for the completion of a major project until after the expiration of 30 days following the date upon which a report of the facts concerning the proposed guarantee is submitted to Congress.]

\* \* \* \* \* \*

#### Subtitle D—Air Force

\* \* \* \* \*

#### PART I—ORGANIZATION

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### CHAPTER 805—THE AIR STAFF

\* \* \* \* \* \*

#### § 8038. Office of Air Force Reserve: appointment of Chief

(a) \* \* \*

(b) APPOINTMENT.—(1) \* \* \*

(4) Until [October 1, 2003] December 31, 2004, the Secretary of Defense may waive subparagraph (B) of paragraph (2) with respect to the appointment of an officer as Chief of Air Force Reserve if the Secretary of the Air Force requests the waiver and, in the judgment of the Secretary of Defense—

(A) the officer is qualified for service in the position; and(B) the waiver is necessary for the good of the service.

Any such waiver shall be made on a case-by-case basis.

# PART III—TRAINING

#### CHAPTER 903—UNITED STATES AIR FORCE ACADEMY

#### \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §9342. Cadets: appointment; numbers, territorial distribution

(a) The authorized strength of Air Force Cadets of the Academy (determined for any year as of the day before the last day of the academic year) is 4,000 or such higher number as may be prescribed by the Secretary of the Air Force under subsection (j). Subject to that limitation, Air Force Cadets are selected as follows:

(1) \* \* \*

(j)(1) Beginning with the 2003–2004 academic year, the Secretary of the Air Force may prescribe annual increases in the cadet strength limit in effect under subsection (a). For any academic year, any such increase shall be by no more than 100 cadets or such lesser number as applies under paragraph (3) for that year. Such annual increases may be prescribed until the cadet strength limit is 4,400. However, no increase may be prescribed for any academic year after the 2007–2008 academic year.

(2) Any increase in the cadet strength limit under paragraph (1) with respect to an academic year shall be prescribed not later than the date on which the budget of the President is submitted to Congress under sections 1105 of title 31 for the fiscal year beginning in the same year as the year in which that academic year begins. Whenever the Secretary prescribes such an increase, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a notice in writing of the increase. The notice shall state the amount of the increase in the cadet strength limit and the new cadet strength limit, as so increased, and the amount of the increase in Senior Air Force Reserve Officers' Training Corps enrollment under each of sections 2104 and 2107 of this title.

(3) The amount of an increase under paragraph (1) in the cadet strength limit for an academic year may not exceed the increase (if any) for the preceding academic year in the total number of cadets enrolled in the Air Force Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps program under chapter 103 of this title who have entered into an agreement under section 2104 or 2107 of this title.

(4) In this subsection, the term "cadet strength limit" means the authorized maximum strength of Air Force Cadets of the Academy.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

## §9356. Acceptance of guarantees with gifts for major projects

(a) ACCEPTANCE AUTHORITY.—[Subject to subsection (c), the Secretary] *The Secretary* of the Air Force may accept from a donor or donors a qualified guarantee for the completion of a major project for the benefit of the Academy.

[(c) NOTICE OF PROPOSED ACCEPTANCE.—The Secretary of the Air Force may not accept a qualified guarantee under this section for the completion of a major project until after the expiration of 30 days following the date upon which a report of the facts concerning the proposed guarantee is submitted to Congress.]

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### PART IV—SERVICE, SUPPLY, AND PROCUREMENT

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### CHAPTER 953—ACCOUNTABILITY AND RESPONSIBILITY

Sec.

9831.	Custody of departmental records and property.						
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
[9835.	Report	s of survey	]				
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

#### [§9835. Reports of survey

[(a) Under such regulations as the Secretary of the Air Force may prescribe, any officer of the Air Force designated by him may act upon reports of surveys and vouchers pertaining to the loss, spoilage, unserviceability, unsuitability, or destruction of or damage to property of the United States under the control of the Department of the Air Force.

[(b) Action taken under subsection (a) is final, except that action holding a person pecuniarily liable for loss, spoilage, destruction, or damage is not final until approved by the Secretary or an officer of the Air Force designated by him.]

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### Subtitle E—Reserve Components

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### PART I—ORGANIZATION AND ADMINISTRATION

\* \* \* \* \* \*

#### CHAPTER 1007—ADMINISTRATION OF RESERVE COMPONENTS

\* \* \* \* \* \*

### §10217. Non-dual status technicians

(a) \* \* \*

\*

\*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* (c) PERMANENT LIMITATIONS ON NUMBER.—(1) \* \* \*

(2) [Effective October 1, 2002, the] *The* total number of non-dual status technicians employed by the National Guard may not exceed 1,950. If at any time [after the preceding sentence takes effect] the

number of non-dual status technicians employed by the National Guard exceeds the number specified in the limitation in the preceding sentence, the Secretary of Defense shall require that the Secretary of the Army or the Secretary of the Air Force, or both, take immediate steps to reduce the number of such technicians in order to comply with such limitation.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### CHAPTER 1011—NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU

\* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §10506. Other senior National Guard Bureau officers

(a) Additional General Officers.—(1) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* (3)(A) \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

(D) Until [October 1, 2003] December 31, 2004, the Secretary of Defense may waive clause (ii) of subparagraph (B) with respect to the appointment of an officer as Director, Army National Guard, or as Director, Air National Guard, if the Secretary of the military department concerned requests the waiver and, in the judgment of the Secretary of Defense—

(i) the officer is qualified for service in the position; and

(ii) the waiver is necessary for the good of the service. Any such waiver shall be made on a case-by-case basis.

\*

\*

### PART II—PERSONNEL GENERALLY

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### CHAPTER 1201—AUTHORIZED STRENGTHS AND DISTRIBUTION IN GRADE

\*

§12004. Strength in grade: reserve general and flag officers in an active status

(a) \* \* \*

\*

\*

\*

\*

(f)(1) A general or flag officer who is on active duty but who is not counted under section 526(a) of this title by reason of section 526(b)(2)(B) of this title shall also be excluded from being counted under subsection (a).

(2) This subsection shall cease to be effective on the date specified in section 526(b)(3) of this title.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### CHAPTER 1203—ENLISTED MEMBERS

#### §12103. Reserve components: terms

(a) \* \* \*

(d) Under regulations to be prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, or the Secretary of Transportation with respect to the Coast Guard when it is not operating as a service in the Navy, a nonprior-service person who is qualified for induction for active duty in an armed force and who is not under orders to report for induction into an armed force under the Military Selective Service Act (50 U.S.C. App. 451 et seq.), except as provided in section 6(c)(2)(A)(ii) and (iii) of such Act, may be enlisted in the Army National Guard or the Air National Guard, or as a Reserve for service in the Army Reserve, Naval Reserve, Air Force Reserve, Marine Corps Reserve, or Coast Guard Reserve, for a term of not less than six years nor more than eight years. Each person enlisted under this subsection shall perform an initial period of active duty for training of not less than twelve weeks to commence insofar as practicable within [270 days] one year after the date of that enlistment.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### CHAPTER 1209—ACTIVE DUTY

\* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §12302. Ready Reserve

(a) \* \* \*

\*

(b) To achieve fair treatment as between members in the Ready Reserve who are being considered for recall to duty without their consent, consideration shall be given to—(1) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe such policies and procedures as he considers necessary to carry out this subsection. [He shall report on those policies and procedures at least once a year to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives.]

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

[(d) Whenever one or more units of the Ready Reserve are ordered to active duty, the President shall, on the first day of the second fiscal year quarter immediately following the quarter in which the first unit or units are ordered to active duty and on the first day of each succeeding six-month period thereafter, so long as such unit is retained on active duty, submit a report to the Congress regarding the necessity for such unit or units being ordered to and retained on active duty. The President shall include in each such report a statement of the mission of each such unit ordered to active duty, an evaluation of such unit's performance of that mission, where each such unit is being deployed at the time of the report, and such other information regarding each unit as the President deems appropriate.]

§12310. Reserves: for organizing, administering, etc., reserve components

(a) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* (c) DUTIES RELATING TO DEFENSE AGAINST WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION.—(1) \* \* \*

(3) A Reserve may perform duties described in paragraph (1) [only—

[(A) while assigned to the Department of Defense Consequence Management Program Integration Office; or

[(B) while assigned] only while assigned to a reserve component rapid assessment element team and performing those duties within the geographical limits of the United States, its territories and possessions, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### CHAPTER 1223—RETIRED PAY FOR NON-REGULAR SERVICE

\* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §12731. Age and service requirements

(a) Except as provided in subsection (c), a person is entitled, upon application, to retired pay computed under section 12739 of this title, if the person—

(1) \* \*

\*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### PART III—PROMOTION AND RETENTION OF OFFICERS ON THE RESERVE ACTIVE-STATUS LIST

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### CHAPTER 1405—PROMOTIONS

\* \* \* \* \* \*

# §14301. Eligibility for consideration for promotion: general rules

(a) \* \* \* \*

\*

[(g) A reserve component brigadier general of the Army or the Air Force who is in an inactive status is eligible (notwithstanding subsection (a)) for consideration for promotion to major general by a promotion board convened under section 14101(a) of this title if the officer—

**[**(1) has been in an inactive status for less than one year as of the date of the convening of the promotion board; and

[(2) had continuously served for at least one year on the reserve active status list or the active duty list (or a combination of both) immediately before the officer's most recent transfer to an inactive status.]

(g) BRIGADIER GENERALS.—(1) An officer who is a reserve component brigadier general of the Army or the Air Force who is not eligible for consideration for promotion under subsection (a) because the officer is not on the reserve active status list (as required by paragraph (1) of that subsection for such eligibility) is nevertheless eligible for consideration for promotion to the grade of major general by a promotion board convened under section 14101(a) of this title if—

(A) as of the date of the convening of the promotion board, the officer has been in an inactive status for less than one year; and

(B) immediately before the date of the officer's most recent transfer to an inactive status, the officer had continuously served on the reserve active status list or the active-duty list (or a combination of the reserve active status list and the activeduty list) for at least one year.

(2) An officer who is a reserve component brigadier general of the Army or the Air Force who is on the reserve active status list but who is not eligible for consideration for promotion under subsection (a) because the officer's service does not meet the one-year-of-continuous-service requirement under paragraph (2) of that subsection is nevertheless eligible for consideration for promotion to the grade of major general by a promotion board convened under section 14101(a) of this title if—

(A) the officer was transferred from an inactive status to the reserve active status list during the one-year period preceding the date of the convening of the promotion board;

(B) immediately before the date of the officer's most recent transfer to an active status, the officer had been in an inactive status for less than one year; and

(C) immediately before the date of the officer's most recent transfer to an inactive status, the officer had continuously served for at least one year on the reserve active status list or the active-duty list (or a combination of the reserve active status list and the active-duty list).

\* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §14317. Officers in transition to and from the active-status list or active-duty list

(a) \* \* \* \*

(f) EFFECT OF TRANSFER OF OFFICERS IN PAY GRADE O-7 TO IN-ACTIVE STATUS.—Notwithstanding subsection (a), if a reserve officer on the active-status list in the grade of brigadier general or rear admiral (lower half) is transferred to an inactive status after having been recommended for promotion to the grade of major general or rear admiral under this chapter, or after having been found qualified for Federal recognition in the grade of major general under title 32, but before being promoted, the officer shall retain promotion eligibility and, if otherwise qualified, may be promoted to the higher grade after returning to an active status.

#### CHAPTER 1407—FAILURE OF SELECTION FOR PROMOTION AND INVOLUNTARY SEPARATION

Sec.

\*

\*

14501. Failure of selection for promotion.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* 14519. Deferment of retirement or separation for medical reasons.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

## §14519. Deferment of retirement or separation for medical reasons

(a) If the Secretary of the military department concerned determines that the evaluation of the physical condition of a Reserve officer and determination of the officer's entitlement to retirement or separation for physical disability require hospitalization or medical observation and that such hospitalization or medical observation cannot be completed with confidence in a manner consistent with the officer's well-being before the date on which the officer would otherwise be required to be separated, retired, or transferred to the Retired Reserve under this title, the Secretary may defer the separation, retirement, or transfer of the officer under this title.

(b) A deferral under subsection (a) of separation, retirement, or transfer to the Retired Reserve may not extend for more than 30 days after completion of the evaluation requiring hospitalization or medical observation.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### PART IV—TRAINING FOR RESERVE COMPO-NENTS AND EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

\* \* \* \* \* \*

#### CHAPTER 1606—EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE FOR MEMBERS OF THE SELECTED RESERVE

\* \* \* \* \* \*

#### §16133. Time limitation for use of entitlement

(a) Except as provided in subsection (b), the period during which a person entitled to educational assistance under this chapter may use such person's entitlement expires (1) at the end of the [10-year] 14-year period beginning on the date on which such person becomes entitled to such assistance, or (2) on the date the person is separated from the Selected Reserve, whichever occurs first.

#### **CHAPTER 1609—EDUCATION LOAN REPAYMENT** PROGRAMS \*

\*

\*

\*

\*

§16302. Education loan repayment program: health professions officers serving in Selected Reserve with wartime critical medical skill shortages

(a) \* \* \*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\* (d) The authority provided in this section shall apply only in the case of a person first appointed as a commissioned officer before January 1, [2003] 2004.

> \* \* \* \* \*

#### **SECTION 216 OF THE NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEARS 1992 AND 1993**

#### SEC. 216. MANAGEMENT OF NAVY MINE COUNTERMEASURES PRO-GRAMS.

(a) RESPONSIBILITY.—Subject to the authority, direction, and control of the Secretary of Defense, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology shall have the primary responsibility for developing and testing naval mine countermeasures systems during fiscal years 1996 [through 2003] through 2008.

> STROM THURMOND NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1999

> > \*

\*

\*

\*

\*

### DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, **TEST, AND EVALUATION** 

\* \* \* \* \* \*

### Subtitle D—Other Matters

### SEC. 246. PILOT PROGRAM FOR REVITALIZING THE LABORATORIES AND TEST AND EVALUATION CENTERS OF THE DEPART-MENT OF DEFENSE.

(a) PILOT PROGRAM.—(1) The Secretary of Defense may carry out a pilot program to demonstrate improved cooperative relationships with universities and other private sector entities for the performance of research and development functions, and to demonstrate improved efficiency in the performance of the research, development, test, and evaluation functions of the Department of Defense.

(4) The Secretary may carry out the pilot program at each selected laboratory and center [for a period of three years beginning not later than March 1, 1999.] until March 1, 2008.

(b) REPORTS.—(1) \* \*

(2) [Promptly after the expiration of the period for participation of a laboratory or center in the pilot program, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a final report on the participation of the laboratory or center in the pilot program. The report shall contain] Not later than December 31 of each year, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the activities of the pilot program during the preceding fiscal year. Each such report shall contain, for each laboratory or center in the pilot program, the following: (A) \* \*

(3) Not later than March 1, 2007, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the committees referred to in paragraph (2) the Secretary's recommendation as to whether, and to what extent, the authority to carry out the pilot program should be extended.

### DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

#### SEC. 2001. SHORT TITLE.

\*

This division may be cited as the "Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999".

\*

### TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES

\* \* \* \* \* \*

SEC. 2401. AUTHORIZED DEFENSE AGENCIES CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

(a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2404(a)(1), the Secretary of Defense may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations and locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Defense Agencies: Inside the United States

Agency	Installation or location	Amount
Chemical Demili-		
tarization	Aberdeen Proving Ground,	
	Maryland	\$223,950,000

Defense Agencies: Inside the United States—Continued

Agency		Installation or location			Amount		
*	*	Newport A diana *	rmy Depot		*	[\$191,550,000] <i>\$293,853,000</i> *	
		Total .			I	[\$727,616,000 <b>]</b> <i>\$829,919,000</i>	
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	

#### SEC. 2404. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, DEFENSE AGEN-CIES.

(a) \* \* \*

\*

(b) LIMITATION OF TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.— Notwithstanding the cost variation authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2401 of this Act may not exceed—

(1) \* \* \*

(2) [\$162,050,000] \$264,353,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2401(a) for the construction of the Ammunition Demilitarization Facility at Newport Army Depot, Indiana); and

\* \* \* \* \* \*

### TITLE XXVIII—GENERAL PROVISIONS

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### Subtitle D—Land Conveyances

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### PART II—NAVY CONVEYANCES

#### SEC. 2851. CONVEYANCE OF EASEMENT, MARINE CORPS BASE, CAMP PENDLETON, CALIFORNIA.

(a) EASEMENT AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of the Navy may grant an easement, in perpetuity, to the Foothill/Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency (in this section referred to as the "Agency") over a parcel of real property at Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton, California, consisting of approximately 340 acres to permit the recipient of the [easement to construct, operate, and maintain, notwithstanding any provision of State law to the contrary, a restricted access highway.] easement to construct, operate, and maintain a restricted access highway, notwithstanding any provision of State law that would otherwise prevent the Secretary from granting the easement or the Agency from constructing, operating, or maintaining the restricted access highway. The area covered by the easement shall include slopes and all necessary incidents thereto.

#### **DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY** SECURITY NATIONAL AUTHORIZA-TIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

### TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### Subtitle D—Other Matters

SEC. 3159. PANEL TO ASSESS THE RELIABILITY, SAFETY, AND SECU-RITY OF THE UNITED STATES NUCLEAR STOCKPILE. \* \* \*

\*

(a)

\*

\*

\*

\*

(d) REPORT.—Not later than October 1 of 1999 and 2000, and not later than [February 1, 2002,] February 1 of 2002 and 2003, the panel shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives a report setting forth its findings and conclusions re-sulting from the review and assessment carried out for the year covered by the report. The report shall be submitted in classified and unclassified form.

(g) TERMINATION OF PANEL.—The panel shall terminate [three years after the date of the appointment of the member designated as chairman of the panel.] April 1, 2003.

\*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL **YEAR 2002**

\* \*

### DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, **TEST, AND EVALUATION**

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### Subtitle C—Ballistic Missile Defense \*

[SEC. 232. PROGRAM ELEMENTS FOR BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE **ORGANIZATION.**]

SEC. 232. PROGRAM ELEMENTS FOR MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY. (a) \* \* \*

\*

(e) INTERNAL DOD REVIEWS.-(1) The officials and elements of the Department of Defense specified in paragraph (2) shall on an ongoing basis—

(A) \* \* \*

\*

\*

(B) provide to the Secretary of Defense and the Director of the [Ballistic Missile Defense Organization] Missile Defense Agency any comments on such matters as considered appropriate.

(f) DEMONSTRATION OF CRITICAL TECHNOLOGIES.-(1) The Director of the [Ballistic Missile Defense Organization] Missile Defense Agency shall develop a plan for ensuring that each critical technology for a missile defense program is successfully demonstrated in an appropriate environment before that technology enters into operational service as part of a missile defense program. (2) The Director of Operational Test and Evaluation of the De-

\*

partment of Defense shall monitor the development of the plan under paragraph (1) and shall submit to the Director of the [Ballistic Missile Defense Organization Missile Defense Agency any comments regarding that plan that the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation considers appropriate.

(g) COMPTROLLER GENERAL ASSESSMENT.-(1) At the conclusion of each of fiscal years 2002 and 2003, the Comptroller General of the United States shall assess the extent to which the [Ballistic Missile Defense Organization] Missile Defense Agency achieved the goals established under subsection (c) for such fiscal year.

(h) ANNUAL OT&E ASSESSMENT OF TEST PROGRAM.-(1) The Director of Operational Test and Evaluation shall each year assess the adequacy and sufficiency of the Ballistic Missile Defense Organization] Missile Defense Agency test program during the preceding fiscal year.

\*

\*

### TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS

\* \* \* \* \* \*

### Subtitle A—Pay and Allowances

\* \* \* \* \* SEC. 602. BASIC PAY RATE FOR CERTAIN RESERVE COMMISSIONED OFFICERS WITH PRIOR SERVICE AS AN ENLISTED MEM-BER OR WARRANT OFFICER.

(a) SERVICE CREDIT.—Section 203(d) of title 37, United States Code, is amended-

(1) \* \* \*

\*

\*

(2) by striking "active service as a warrant officer or as a warrant officer and [an] enlisted member" and inserting "service described in paragraph (2)"; and

\* \* \* \*

### TITLE XIV—ARMED FORCES **RETIREMENT HOME**

SEC. 1410. CONFORMING AND CLERICAL AMENDMENTS AND REPEALS OF OBSOLETE PROVISIONS.

\*

\*

\*

\*

\* (a) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—(1)

\*

\* (3) Section 1520 (24 U.S.C. 420), relating to disposition of effects of deceased persons and unclaimed property, is amended-\* \* \* (A)

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* (C) in subsection (e), by striking "Directors" both places it ap*pears* and inserting "Director of the facility".

#### \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### **DIVISION B-MILITARY CONSTRUCTION** AUTHORIZATIONS

#### SEC. 2001. SHORT TITLE; DEFINITION.

\*

\*

\*

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This division may be cited as the "Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002".

> \* \* \*

### TITLE XXI—ARMY

\* SEC. 2101. AUTHORIZED ARMY CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISI-TION PROJECTS.

\*

\*

\*

(a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2104(a)(1), the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations and locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Army: Inside the United States

St	ate	Installation or location				Amount
Alabama *	*	Annisto	on Army Dej	pot*	*	$$5,150,000 \\ * \\ *$
		Fort Ca	rson			[\$66,000,000] <i>\$67,000,000</i>
* South Carol	* ina	* Fort Ja	* ckson	*	*	* [\$65,650,000]
*	*	*	*	*	*	\$68,650,000 *
*	*	*	*	*	*	*

#### SEC. 2104. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, ARMY.

(a) \* \* \*

\*

\*

\*

(b) LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.-Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation author-ized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2101 of this Act may not exceed

(1) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \*

(3) [\$41,000,000] \$42,000,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2201(a) for construction of phase 1 of a barracks complex, Nelson Boulevard, at Fort Carson, Colorado);

(4) [\$36,000,000] \$39,000,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2201(a) for construction of phase 1 of a basic combat training complex at Fort Jackson, South Carolina); and

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

## TITLE XXII—NAVY \*

\*

\*

\*

#### SEC. 2201. AUTHORIZED NAVY CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISI-TION PROJECTS.

(a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204(a)(1), the Secretary of the Navy may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations and locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

#### Navy: Inside the United States

State	Installa	tion or locat	ion	Amount			
Arizona *	Marine Corp	s Air Station	n, Yuma	*	*	\$22,570,000	
*	Naval Statio	n, Norfolk .	*	* *	9,270,000] *	\$139,550,000 *	
	Total:			[\$1,058,	750,000] \$	\$1,059,030,000	
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	

#### SEC. 2204. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, NAVY.

(a) \* \* \*

(b) LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.— Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2201 of this Act may not exceed—

(1) \* \* \*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

(2) [\$33,240,000] \$33,520,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2201(a) for replacement of a pier, increment I, at Naval Station, Norfolk, Virginia); and

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

### TITLE XXVIII—GENERAL PROVISIONS

\*

\*

## Subtitle B—Real Property and Facilities Administration

SEC. 2814. DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM ON REDUCTION IN LONG-TERM FACILITY MAINTENANCE COSTS.

(a) \* \* \*

\*

\*

\*

[(d) REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.—Not later than January 31, 2005, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to Congress a report on the demonstration program, including the following:

\*

[(1) A description of all contracts that contain requirements referred to in subsection (a) for the purpose of the demonstration program.

[(2) An evaluation of the demonstration program and a description of the experience of the Secretary with respect to such contracts.

[(3) Any recommendations, including recommendations for the termination, continuation, or expansion of the demonstration program, that the Secretary considers appropriate.]

[(e)]  $(\hat{d})$  EXPIRATION.—The authority under subsection (a) to include requirements referred to in that subsection in contracts under the demonstration program shall expire on September 30, 2006.

[(f)] (e) FUNDING.—Amounts authorized to be appropriated for the Army for a fiscal year for military construction shall be available for the demonstration program under this section in such fiscal year.

#### 545

### TITLE XXX—REALIGNMENT AND CLO-SURE OF MILITARY INSTALLATIONS AND PREPARATION OF INFRASTRUC-**TURE PLAN FOR THE NUCLEAR WEAP-**ONS COMPLEX

\* \* \* \* SEC. 3007. TECHNICAL AND CLARIFYING AMENDMENTS.

\*

(a) \* \* \* \*

\*

\*

(d) Other Clarifying Amendments.—(1) That Act is further amended by inserting "or realignment" after "closure" each place it appears in the following provisions:

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

(A) Section 2905(b)(3).

(B) Section 2905(b)(5).

\*

\*

(C) Section [2905(b)(7)(B)(iv)] 2905(b)(7)(C)(iv).

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### FLOYD D. SPENCE NATIONAL DEFENSE **AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2001**

\* \*

**DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE** AUTHORIZATIONS \*

### TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

\* \* \* \* \*

### Subtitle D—Department of Defense **Industrial Facilities**

\* \* \* \* \*

#### SEC. 343. ARSENAL SUPPORT PROGRAM INITIATIVE.

\*

(a) DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM REQUIRED.—To help maintain the viability of the Army manufacturing arsenals and the unique capabilities of these arsenals to support the national security interests of the United States, the Secretary of the Army shall carry out a demonstration program under this section during fiscal years 2001 [and 2002] through 2004 at each manufacturing arsenal of the Department of the Army.

(g) REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.—(1) Not later than July 1 of each year in which a guarantee issued under subsection (d) is in effect, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to Congress a report speci-

\*

fying the amounts of loans guaranteed under such subsection during the preceding calendar year. No report is required after fiscal year [2002] 2004.

(2) [Not later than July 1, 2001, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the implementation of the demonstration program.] Not later than July 1, 2003, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the results of the demonstration program since its implementation, including the Secretary's views regarding the benefits of the program for Army manufacturing arsenals and the Department of the Army and the success of the program in achieving the purposes specified in subsection (b). The report shall contain a comprehensive review of contracting at the Army manufacturing arsenals covered by the program and such recommendations as the Secretary considers appropriate regarding changes to the program.

### TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### **Subtitle G—Other Matters**

SEC. 577. NATIONAL GUARD CHALLENGE PROGRAM.

\*

(a) \* \* \*

(b) Sources of Federal Support.—Subsection (b) of such section is amended—

(1) \* \* \*

\*

(2) by striking ", except that [Federal] Department of Defense expenditures under the program may not exceed \$62,500,000 for any fiscal year"; and

\*

TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

## **Subtitle A—Pay and Allowances**

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

SEC. 612. INCREASE IN MONTHLY SUBSISTENCE ALLOWANCE FOR MEMBERS OF PRECOMMISSIONING PROGRAMS.

(a) \* \* \*

(c) CONFORMING AND STYLISTIC AMENDMENTS.—Section 209 of

(c) CONFORMING AND STYLISTIC AMENDMENTS.—Section 209 of such title is further amended—

(1) \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* (4) in subsection (d)—

(A) \* \* \*

(B) by striking "the same rate as that prescribed by subsection (a)[,]" and inserting "a monthly rate prescribed under subsection (a)".

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, AC-QUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RE-LATED MATTERS

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### Subtitle B—Information Technology

\*

\* \* \* \* \* \*

SEC. 814. NAVY-MARINE CORPS INTRANET.

(a) \* \* \*

(b) Phased Implementation.—(1) \* \* \*

(2) Not more than 15 percent of the total number of work stations to be [provided] ordered under the Navy-Marine Corps Intranet program may be [provided] ordered in the first increment of implementation of the Navy-Marine Corps Intranet contract.

(3) No work stations in excess of the number permitted by paragraph (2) may be [provided] ordered under the program until— (A) \* \* \*

(i) DURATION OF NAVY-MARINE CORPS INTRANET CONTRACT.— Notwithstanding section 2306c of title 10, United States Code, the Navy-Marine Corps Intranet contract may have a term in excess of five years, but not more than seven years.

[(i)] (j) DEFINITIONS.—(1) In this section, the term "Navy-Marine Corps Intranet contract" means a contract providing for a long-term arrangement of the Department of the Navy with the commercial sector that imposes on the contractor a responsibility for, and transfers to the contractor the risk of, providing and managing the significant majority of desktop, server, infrastructure, and communication assets and services of the Department of the Navy.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT RE-DUCTION WITH STATES OF THE FORMER SOVIET UNION

SEC. 1308. REPORTS ON ACTIVITIES AND ASSISTANCE UNDER COOP-ERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION PROGRAMS.

(a) \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* (6) To the maximum extent practicable, a description of how revenue generated by activities carried out under Cooperative Threat Reduction programs in recipient States is being utilized, monitored, and accounted for.

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

### DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZA-TIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

### TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

\*

### Subtitle C—Program Authorizations, Restrictions, and Limitations

[SEC. 3132. ENHANCED COOPERATION BETWEEN NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION AND BALLISTIC MISSILE DE-FENSE ORGANIZATION.]

\*

SEC. 3132. ENHANCED COOPERATION BETWEEN NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION AND MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY.

(a) \* \* \*

\*

\*

\*

(b) REQUIREMENTS FOR PROJECTS.—The projects referred to in subsection (a) shall—

(1) be carried out by the National Nuclear Security Administration and the [Ballistic Missile Defense Organization] *Missile Defense Agency*; and

\*

(c) PARTICIPATION BY NNSA IN CERTAIN [BMDO] *MDA* ACTIVI-TIES.—The Administrator for Nuclear Security and the Director of the [Ballistic Missile Defense Organization] *Missile Defense Agency* shall implement mechanisms that increase the cooperative relationship between those organizations. Those mechanisms may include participation by personnel of the National Nuclear Security Administration in the following activities of the [Ballistic Missile Defense Organization] *Missile Defense Agency*:

(1) Peer reviews of technical efforts.

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL **YEAR 1998** 

> \* \* \*

### DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

\* \* \* \* \* \*

### TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, **TEST, AND EVALUATION**

\*

Subtitle C—Ballistic Missile Defense **Programs** 

\*

SEC. 233. COOPERATIVE BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE PROGRAM.

(a) REQUIREMENT FOR NEW PROGRAM ELEMENT.—The Secretary of Defense shall establish a program element for the [Ballistic Missile Defense Organization] Missile Defense Agency, to be referred to as the "Cooperative Ballistic Missile Defense Program", to support technical and analytical cooperative efforts between the United States and other nations that contribute to United States ballistic missile defense capabilities. Except as provided in subsection (b), all international cooperative ballistic missile defense programs of the Department of Defense shall be budgeted and administered through that program element.

(c) RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER PROGRAM ELEMENTS.—The program element established pursuant to subsection (a) is in addition to the program elements for activities of the [Ballistic Missile Defense Organization] Missile Defense Agency required under section 251 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996 (Public Law 104-106; 110 Stat. 233; 10 U.S.C. 221 note).

### TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

### Subtitle D—Retired Pay, Survivor Benefits, and Related Matters

### \*

### SEC. 644. ANNUITIES FOR CERTAIN MILITARY SURVIVING SPOUSES.

(a) SURVIVOR ANNUITY.—(1) The Secretary concerned shall pay an annuity to the qualified surviving spouse of each member of the uniformed services who-

(A) became entitled to retired or retainer pay before September 21, 1972, died before March 21, 1974, and was entitled to retired or retainer pay on the date of death; or

(B) was a member of a reserve component of the Armed Forces] died before October 1, 1978, and at the time of his death would have been entitled to retired pay under chapter 67 of title 10, United States Code (as in effect before December 1, 1994), but for the fact that he was under 60 years of age.

(2) A qualified surviving spouse for purposes of this section is a surviving spouse who has not remarried [and who is not eligible for an annuity under section 4 of Public Law 92-425 (10 U.S.C. 1448 note)].

(b) AMOUNT OF ANNUITY.-(1) An annuity under this section shall be paid at the rate of [\$165] \$185.58 per month, as adjusted from time to time under paragraph (3).

[(2) An annuity paid to a surviving spouse under this section shall be reduced by the amount of any dependency and indemnity compensation (DIC) to which the surviving spouse is entitled under section 1311(a) of title 38, United States Code.]

(2) The amount of an annuity to which a surviving spouse is entitled under this section for any period shall be reduced (but not below zero) by any amount paid to that surviving spouse for the same period under any of the following provisions of law:

(A) Section 1311(a) of title 38, United States Code (relating to dependency and indemnity compensation payable by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs).

(B) Chapter 73 of title 10, United States Code. (C) Section 4 of Public Law 92–425 (10 U.S.C. 1448 note).

(3) Whenever after [the date of the enactment of this Act] May 1, 2002, retired or retainer pay is increased under section 1401a(b)(2) of title 10, United States Code, each annuity that is payable under this section shall be increased at the same time and by the same total percent. [The amount of the increase shall be based on the amount of the monthly annuity payable before any reduction under this section.]

\*

(d) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section:

\*

(1) \* \*

(2) The term "surviving spouse" has the meaning given [the terms "widow" and "widower" in paragraphs (7) and (8)] such term in paragraph (9) of section 1447 of title 10, United States Code.

(e) PROSPECTIVE APPLICABILITY.—(1) Annuities under this section shall be paid for months beginning after the month in which this Act is enacted] November 1997.

(2) No benefit shall accrue to any person by reason of the enactment of this section for any period before [the first month that begins after the month in which this Act is enacted] December 1997.

(3) In the case of a person entitled to an annuity under this section who applies for the annuity after the date of the enactment of this paragraph, such annuity shall be paid only for months beginning after the date on which such application is submitted.

\* \* \*

#### NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL **YEAR 1996** \*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

### DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

\*

TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

\* \* \* \*

### Subtitle C—Ballistic Missile Defense Act of 1995

\* \* \* \* SEC. 234. THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE ARCHITECTURE. (a) \* \* \*

\*

\*

\*

\* (e) PROGRAM ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT.—(1) As part of the annual report of the [Ballistic Missile Defense Organization] *Missile De*fense Agency required by section 224 of Public Law 101-189 (10 U.S.C. 2431 note), the Secretary of Defense shall describe the technical milestones, the schedule, and the cost of each phase of development and acquisition (together with total estimated program costs) for each core and follow-on theater missile defense program.

\* \* \* \* \*

#### DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY **AUTHORIZA-**TIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

### TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### Subtitle C—Program Authorizations, **Restrictions, and Limitations**

#### SEC. 3131. AUTHORITY TO CONDUCT PROGRAM RELATING TO FISSILE MATERIALS.

[(a) AUTHORITY.—]The Secretary of Energy may conduct programs designed to improve the protection, control, and accountability of fissile materials in Russia.

(b) SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS ON OBLIGATION OF FUNDS.—(1) Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and thereafter not later than April 1 and October 1 of each year, the Secretary of Energy shall submit to Congress a report on each obligation during the preceding six months of funds appropriated for a program described in subsection (a).

(2) Each such report shall specify–

\*

[(A) the activities and forms of assistance for which the Secretary of Energy has obligated funds;

[(B) the amount of the obligation;

\*

[(C) the activities and forms of assistance for which the Secretary anticipates obligating funds during the six months immediately following the report, and the amount of each such anticipated obligation; and

[(D) the projected involvement (if any) of any department or agency of the United States (in addition to the Department of Energy) and of the private sector of the United States in the activities and forms of assistance for which the Secretary of Energy has obligated funds referred to in subparagraph (A).]

NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL **YEAR 1994** 

\*

### **DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF** DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

\* \* \* \* \* \*

### TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

\* \* \* \* \*

### Subtitle C—Missile Defense Programs

\*

\* \* \* \* \* \* SEC. 235. THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE MASTER PLAN. (a) \* \* \*

(b) TMD MASTER PLAN.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report (which shall constitute the TMD master plan) containing a thorough and complete analysis of the future of theater missile defense programs. The report shall include the following: (1) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* (4) An evaluation of the cost and relative effectiveness of each interceptor and sensor under development as part of a Theater Missile Defense system by the [Ballistic Missile Defense Organization] *Missile Defense Agency*.

SEC. 243. TRANSFER OF FOLLOW-ON TECHNOLOGY PROGRAMS. (a) \* \* \*

(b) WAIVER AUTHORITY.—The Secretary may waive the provisions of subsection (a) in the case of a particular program, project, or activity if the Secretary certifies to the congressional defense committees that it is in the national security interest of the United States to provide management and budget responsibility for that program, project, or activity through the [Ballistic Missile Defense Organization] *Missile Defense Agency*.

(c) REPORT REQUIRED.—As a part of the report required by section 231(e), the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report identifying—

(1) each program, project, and activity with respect to which the Secretary has transferred management and budget responsibility from the [Ballistic Missile Defense Organization] *Missile Defense Agency* in accordance with subsection (a);

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### SECTION 3 OF THE MIGRATORY BIRD TREATY ACT

SEC. 3. (a) \* \* \*

\*

(2)(A) In this subsection, the term "military readiness activity" includes—

(i) all training and operations of the Armed Forces that relate to combat; and

(ii) the adequate and realistic testing of military equipment, vehicles, weapons, and sensors for proper operation and suitability for combat use.

(B) The term does not include—

(i) the routine operation of installation operating support functions, such as administrative offices, military exchanges, commissaries, water treatment facilities, storage facilities, schools, housing, motor pools, laundries, morale, welfare, and recreation activities, shops, and mess halls;

(ii) the operation of industrial activities; or

(*iii*) the construction or demolition of facilities used for a purpose described in clause (*i*) or (*ii*).

#### **SECTION 4 OF THE ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT OF 1973**

DETERMINATION OF ENDANGERED SPECIES AND THREATENED SPECIES

SEC. 4. (a) GENERAL.—(1) \* \* \*

\*

(3)(A) The Secretary, by regulation promulgated in accordance with subsection (b) and to the maximum extent prudent and determinable—

\*

[(A)] (i) shall, concurrently with making a determination under paragraph (1) that a species is an endangered species or a threatened species, designate any habitat of such species which is then considered to be critical habitat; and

[(B)] (*ii*) may, from time-to-time thereafter as appropriate, revise such designation.

(B)(i) The Secretary may not designate as critical habitat any lands or other geographical areas owned or controlled by the Department of Defense, or designated for its use, that are subject to an integrated natural resources management plan prepared under section 101 of the Sikes Act (16 U.S.C. 670a), if the Secretary determines that such plan addresses special management considerations or protection (as those terms are used in section 3(5)(A)(i)).

(ii) Nothing in this subparagraph affects the requirement to consult under section 7(a)(2) with respect to an agency action (as that term is defined in that section).

(iii) Nothing in this subparagraph affects the obligation of the Department of Defense to comply with section 9 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, including the prohibition preventing extinction and taking of endangered species and threatened species.

(b) BASIS FOR DETERMINATIONS.—(1) \* \* \*

(2) The Secretary shall designate critical habitat, and make revisions thereto, under subsection (a)(3) on the basis of the best scientific data available and after taking into consideration the economic impact, *the impact on national security*, and any other relevant impact, of specifying any particular area as critical habitat. The Secretary may exclude any area from critical habitat if he determines that the benefits of such exclusion outweight the benefits of specifying such area as part of the critical habitat, unless he determines, based on the best scientific and commercial data available, that the failure to designate such area as critical habitat will result in the extinction of the species concerned.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

#### SECTION 7 OF THE DEFENSE DEPARTMENT OVERSEAS TEACHERS PAY AND PERSONNEL PRACTICES ACT

QUARTERS, QUARTERS ALLOWANCES, AND STORAGE

SEC. 7. (a) \* \* \*

\*

(b) Each teacher (other than a teacher employed in a substitute capacity) shall be entitled, for each school year for which he per-

forms services as a teacher, to quarters or a quarters allowance equal to those authorized by [the Act of June 26, 1930 (5 U.S.C. 118a)] section 5912 of title 5, United States Code. If the teacher is unaccompanied by dependents and is required to reside on a United States military installation in an overseas area, the teacher may receive a quarters allowance to reside in excess family housing at the installation notwithstanding the availability single room housing at the installation.

(c) Each teacher (other than a teacher employed in a substitute capacity) who is performing services as a teacher at the close of a school year and agrees in writing to serve as a teacher for the next school year may be authorized, for the recess period immediately preceding such next school year-

(1) quarters or a quarters allowance equal to those authorized by [the Act of June 26, 1930 (5 U.S.C. 118a)] section 5912 of title 5, United States Code, or

\*

\*

SECTION 1402 OF THE DEFENSE DEPENDENTS' **EDUCATION ACT OF 1978** 

\*

ESTABLISHMENT OF DEFENSE DEPENDENTS' EDUCATION SYSTEM

SEC. 1402. (a) \* \* \*

\*

\* \* (d)(1) The Secretary of Defense may provide optional summer school programs in the defense dependents' education system.

[(2) The Secretary shall provide in regulations for fees to be charged for the students enrolling in a summer school program under this subsection in amounts determined on the basis of family income.

(2) Individuals eligible to receive a free public education under subsection (a) may enroll without charge in a summer school pro-gram offered under this subsection. Students who are required under section 1404 to pay tuition to enroll in a school of the defense dependents' education system shall also be charged a fee, at a rate established by the Secretary, to attend a course offered as part of the summer school program.

> \* \*

### TITLE 37, UNITED STATES CODE

\* \* \* \*

### **CHAPTER 1—DEFINITIONS**

### §101. Definitions

\*

In addition to the definitions in sections 1–5 of title 1, the following definitions apply in this title:

(1) The term "United States", in a geographical sense, means the States and the District of Columbia. The term "continental

United States" means the 48 contiguous States and the District of Columbia.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### CHAPTER 5—SPECIAL AND INCENTIVE PAYS

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### § 301b. Special pay: aviation career officers extending period of active duty

(a) BONUS AUTHORIZED.—An aviation officer described in subsection (b) who, during the period beginning on January 1, 1989, and ending on December 31, [2002] 2003, executes a written agreement to remain on active duty in aviation service for at least one year may, upon the acceptance of the agreement by the Secretary concerned, be paid a retention bonus as provided in this section.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### §302d. Special pay: accession bonus for registered nurses

(a) ACCESSION BONUS AUTHORIZED.—(1) A person who is a registered nurse and who, during the period beginning on November 29, 1989, and ending on December 31, [2002] 2003, executes a written agreement described in subsection (c) to accept a commission as an officer and remain on active duty for a period of not less than four years may, upon the acceptance of the agreement by the Secretary concerned, be paid an accession bonus in an amount determined by the Secretary concerned.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### § 302e. Special pay: nurse anesthetists

\*

(a) SPECIAL PAY AUTHORIZED.—(1) An officer described in subsection (b)(1) who, during the period beginning on November 29, 1989, and ending on December 31, [2002] 2003, executes a written agreement to remain on active duty for a period of one year or more may, upon the acceptance of the agreement by the Secretary concerned, be paid incentive special pay in an amount not to exceed \$15,000 for any 12-month period.

\* \*

§ 302g. Special pay: Selected Reserve health care professionals in critically short wartime specialties

(a) \* \* \*

sle sle sle sle

(f) TERMINATION OF AGREEMENT AUTHORITY.—No agreement under this section may be entered into after December 31, [2002] 2003.

### § 302h. Special pay: accession bonus for dental officers

(a) ACCESSION BONUS AUTHORIZED.—(1) A person who is a graduate of an accredited dental school and who, during the period beginning on September 23, 1996, and ending on December 31, [2002] 2003, executes a written agreement described in subsection (c) to accept a commission as an officer of the armed forces and remain on active duty for a period of not less than four years may, upon the acceptance of the agreement by the Secretary concerned, be paid an accession bonus in an amount determined by the Secretary concerned.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### § 302j. Special pay: accession bonus for pharmacy officers

(a) ACCESSION BONUS AUTHORIZED.—A person who is a graduate of an accredited pharmacy school and who, during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 and ending on September 30, 2004, executes a written agreement described in subsection [(c)] (d) to accept a commission as an officer of a uniformed service and remain on active duty for a period of not less than 4 years may, upon acceptance of the agreement by the Secretary concerned, be paid an accession bonus in an amount determined by the Secretary concerned.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### § 305. Special pay: hardship duty pay

(a) \* \* \*

(b) DUTY IN CERTAIN LOCATIONS.—(1) In the case of duty at a location described in paragraph (2) at any time during a month, the member of a uniformed service performing that duty is entitled to special pay under this section at a monthly rate of not less than \$240, but not to exceed the monthly rate specified in subsection (a). For each day of that duty during the month, the member shall receive an amount equal to <sup>1</sup>/<sub>30</sub> of the monthly rate prescribed under this subsection.

(2) Paragraph (1) applies with respect to duty performed on the ground in Antarctica or on the Arctic icepack.

[(b)] (c) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations for the provision of hardship duty pay under subsection (a), including the specific monthly rates at which the special pay will be available.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### § 308. Special pay: reenlistment bonus

(a) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \*

(g) No bonus shall be paid under this section with respect to any reenlistment, or voluntary extension of an active-duty reenlistment, in the armed forces entered into after December 31, [2002] 2003.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

## § 308b. Special pay: reenlistment bonus for members of the Selected Reserve

(a) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

(f) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—No bonus may be paid under this section to any enlisted member who, after December 31, [2002] 2003, reenlists or voluntarily extends his enlistment in a reserve component.

### §308c. Special pay: bonus for enlistment in the Selected Reserve

(a) \* \* \*

(e) No bonus may be paid under this section to any enlisted member who, after December 31, [2002] 2003, enlists in the Selected Reserve of the Ready Reserve of an armed force.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### § 308d. Special pay: enlisted members of the Selected Reserve assigned to certain high priority units

(a) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* (c) Additional compensation may not be paid under this section for inactive duty performed after December 31, [2002] 2003.

### **§ 308e. Special pay: bonus for reserve affiliation agreement** (a) \* \* \*

(a) · · · ·

\*

(e) No bonus may be paid under this section to any person for a reserve obligation agreement entered into after December 31, [2002] 2003.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

### §308h. Special pay: bonus for reenlistment, enlistment, or voluntary extension of enlistment in elements of the Ready Reserve other than the Selected Reserve

(a) \* \* \* \*

(g) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—A bonus may not be paid under this section to any person for a reenlistment, enlistment, or voluntary extension of an enlistment after December 31, [2002] 2003.

### §308i. Special pay: prior service enlistment bonus

\*

(a) \* \* \*

(b) BONUS AMOUNTS; PAYMENT.—(1) The amount of a bonus under this section may not exceed—

(A) [\$5,000] \$8,000, in the case of a person who enlists for a period of six years;
(B) [\$2,500] \$4,000, in the case of a person who, having

(B) [\$2,500] \$4,000, in the case of a person who, having never received a bonus under this section, enlists for a period of three years; and

(C) [\$2,000] \$3,500, in the case of a person who, having received a bonus under this section for a previous three-year enlistment, reenlists or extends the enlistment for an additional period of three years.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

(f) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—No bonus may be paid under this section to any person for an enlistment after December 31, [2002] 2003.

### § 309. Special pay: enlistment bonus

(a) \* \* \*

(e) DURATION OF AUTHORITY.—No bonus shall be paid under this section with respect to any enlistment in the armed forces made after December 31, [2002] 2003.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

### §312. Special pay: nuclear-qualified officers extending period of active duty

(a) \* \* \*

(e) The provisions of this section shall be effective only in the case of officers who, on or before December 31, [2002] 2003, execute the required written agreement to remain in active service.

\*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### §312b. Special pay: nuclear career accession bonus

(a) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* (c) The provisions of this section shall be effective only in the case of officers who, on or before December 31, [2002] 2003, have been accepted for training for duty in connection with the supervision, operation, and maintenance of naval nuclear propulsion plants.

### §312c. Special pay: nuclear career annual incentive bonus

(a) \* \* \*

(d) For the purposes of this section, a "nuclear service year" is any fiscal year beginning before December 31, [2002] 2003.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

### §314. Special pay or bonus: qualified enlisted members extending duty at designated locations overseas

(a) COVERED MEMBERS.—This section applies with respect to an enlisted member of an armed force who—
(1) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \*

(3) has completed a tour of duty (as defined in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Secretary concerned) at a location outside [the 48 contiguous States and the District of Columbia] *the continental United States* that is designated by the Secretary concerned for the purposes of this section; and

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### §323. Special pay: retention incentives for members qualified in a critical military skill

\* \* \* (a)

(d) MAXIMUM BONUS AMOUNT.—(1) A member may enter into an agreement under this section, or reenlist or voluntarily extend the member's enlistment, more than once to receive a bonus under this section. However, a member may not receive a total of more than \$200,000 in payments under this section.

(2) The limitation in paragraph (1) on the total bonus payments that a member may receive under this section does not apply with respect to an officer who is assigned duties as a health care proviđer.

(e) CERTAIN MEMBERS INELIGIBLE.—(1) A retention bonus may not be provided under subsection (a) to a member of the armed forces who-

[(1)] (A) has completed more than 25 years of active duty; or

[(2)] (B) will complete the member's twenty-fifth year of active duty before the end of the period of active duty for which the bonus is being offered.

(2) The limitations in paragraph (1) do not apply with respect to an officer who is assigned duties as a health care provider during the period of active duty for which the bonus is being offered.

\*

\*

(i) TERMINATION OF BONUS AUTHORITY .- No bonus may be paid under this section with respect to any reenlistment, or voluntary extension of an enlistment, in the armed forces entered into after December 31, [2002] 2003, and no agreement under this section may be entered into after that date.

### §324. Special pay: accession bonus for new officers in critical skills

(a) \* \*

(b) DESIGNATION OF CRITICAL OFFICER SKILLS.-[(1)] The Secretary concerned shall designate the critical officer skills for the purposes of this section. A skill may be designated as a critical officer skill for an armed force under this subsection if-\* \* \*

(1)

\* \* \* \* \* (g) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—No agreement under this section may be entered into after December 31, [2002] 2003.

### CHAPTER 7—ALLOWANCES

\* \* \* \* \* \*

### §403. Basic allowance for housing

(a) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* (c) BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—(1) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* (4) In the case of a member who is assigned to duty outside of the United States, the location or the circumstances of which make it necessary that the member be reassigned under the conditions of low-cost or no-cost permanent change of station or permanent change of assignment, the member may be treated as if the member were not reassigned if the Secretary concerned determines that it would be inequitable to base the member's entitlement to, and amount of, a basic allowance for housing on the cost of housing in the area to which the member is reassigned.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

### §403b. Cost-of-living allowance in the continental United States

(a) \* \* \*

(i) OTHER DEFINITIONS.—In this section: (1) \* \* \*

[(6) The term "continental United States" means the 48 contiguous States and the District of Columbia.]

\* \* \* \* \*

### §409. Travel and transportation allowances: house trailers and mobile homes

(a) \* \* \*

(e) In this section, the term "continental United States" means the 48 contiguous States and the District of Columbia.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### §411b. Travel and transportation allowances: travel performed in connection with leave between consecutive overseas tours

[(a)(1)] (a) ALLOWANCES AUTHORIZED.—Under uniform regulations prescribed by the Secretaries concerned, a member of a uniformed service stationed outside [the 48 contiguous States and the District of Columbia] the continental United States who is ordered to a consecutive tour of duty at the same duty station or who is ordered to make a change of permanent station to another duty station outside [the 48 contiguous States and the District of Columbia] the continental United States may be paid travel and transportation allowances in connection with authorized leave from his last duty station to a place approved by the Secretary concerned, and from that place to his designated post of duty. Such allowances may be paid for the member and for the dependents of the member who are authorized to, and do, accompany him at his duty stations. [(2) Under the regulations referred to in paragraph (1), a member may defer the travel for which the member is paid travel and transportation allowances under such paragraph until not more than one year after the date on which the member begins the consecutive tour of duty at the same duty station or reports to another duty station under the order involved, as the case may be. If the member is unable to undertake the travel before the end of such one-year period as a result of duty in connection with a contingency operation, the member may defer the travel for one additional year beginning on the date the duty of the member in connection with the contingency operation ends.]

(b) AUTHORITY TO DEFER TRAVEL; LIMITATIONS.—(1) Under the regulations referred to subsection (a), a member may defer the travel for which the member is paid travel and transportation allowances under this section until anytime before the completion of the consecutive tour at the same duty station or the completion of the tour of duty at the new duty station under the order involved, as the case may be.

(2) If a member is unable to undertake the travel before expiration of the deferral period under paragraph (1) because of duty in connection with a contingency operation, the member may defer the travel until not more than one year after the date on which the member's duty in connection with the contingency operation ends.

[(b) The allowances] (c) LIMITATION ON ALLOWANCE RATE.— prescribed under this section may not exceed the rate authorized under section 404(d) of this title. Authorized travel under this section is performed in a duty status.

#### \* \* \* \* \*

### §411d. Travel and transportation allowances: transportation incident to personal emergencies for certain members and dependents

(a) \* \* \*

(d) In this section, the term "continental United States" means the 48 contiguous States and the District of Columbia.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

## §430. Travel and transportation: dependent children of members stationed overseas

(a) \* \* \*

[(1) The term "continental United States" means the 48 contiguous States and the District of Columbia.

[(2) The term "formal education" means the following:

(A) A secondary education.

[(B) An undergraduate college education.

[(C) A graduate education pursued on a full-time basis at an institution of higher education (as defined in section 101 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001)).

[(D) Vocational education pursued on a full-time basis at a post-secondary vocational institution (as defined in section 102(c) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1002(c))).]

(f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term "formal education" means the following:

(A) A secondary education.

(B) An undergraduate college education.

(C) A graduate education pursued on a full-time basis at an institution of higher education.

(D) Vocational education pursued on a full-time basis at a postsecondary vocational institution.

(2) The term "institution of higher education" has the meaning given that term in section 101 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001).

(3) The term "postsecondary vocational institution" has the meaning given that term in section 102(c) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1002(c)).

\* \* \* \* \* \*

### CHAPTER 19—ADMINISTRATION

## §1007. Deductions from pay

\*

(a) \* \* \* \*

\*

(e) The amount of any damage, or cost of repairs, to arms or equipment caused by the abuse or negligence of a member of the [Army or the Air Force] Army, Navy, Air Force, or Marine Corps, as the case may be, who had the care of, or was using, the property when it was damaged, shall be deducted from his pay.

(i)(1) There shall be deducted each month from the pay of each enlisted member, warrant officer, and limited duty officer of the armed forces on active duty [an amount (determined under paragraph (3)) not to exceed \$1.00.] an amount equal to \$1.00 and such additional amount as may be determined under paragraph (3).

(3) The Secretary of Defense, after consultation with the Armed Forces Retirement Home Board, shall determine from time to time [the amount] the additional amount to be deducted under paragraph (1) from the pay of enlisted members, warrant officers, and limited duty officers on the basis of the financial needs of the Armed Forces Retirement Home. [The amount] The additional amount to be deducted may be fixed at different amounts on the basis of grade or length of service, or both.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### SECTION 8003 OF THE ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT OF 1965

### SEC. 8003. PAYMENTS FOR ELIGIBLE FEDERALLY CONNECTED CHIL-DREN.

(a) \* \* \*

(b) BASIC SUPPORT PAYMENTS AND PAYMENTS WITH RESPECT TO FISCAL YEARS IN WHICH INSUFFICIENT FUNDS ARE APPRO-PRIATED.—

(1) \* \* \*

(2) BASIC SUPPORT PAYMENTS FOR HEAVILY IMPACTED LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES.—

(A) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \*

(H) ELIGIBILITY FOR HEAVILY IMPACTED LOCAL EDU-CATIONAL AGENCIES AFFECTED BY PRIVATIZATION OF MILI-TARY HOUSING.—

(i) ELIGIBILITY.—For any fiscal year beginning with fiscal year 2003, a heavily impacted local educational agency that received a basic support payment under subparagraph (A) for the prior fiscal year, but is ineligible for such payment for the current fiscal year under subparagraph (B) or (C), as the case may be, by reason of the conversion of military housing units to private housing described in clause (iii), shall be deemed to meet the eligibility requirements under subparagraph (B) or (C), as the case may be, for the period during which the housing units are undergoing such conversion.

(ii) AMOUNT OF PAYMENT.—The amount of a payment to a heavily impacted local educational agency for a fiscal year by reason of the application of clause (i), and calculated in accordance with subparagraph (D) or (E) (as the case may be), shall be based on the number of children in average daily attendance in the schools of such agency for the fiscal year.

(iii) CONVERSION OF MILITARY HOUSING UNITS TO PRIVATE HOUSING DESCRIBED.—For purposes of clause (i), "conversion of military housing units to private housing" means the conversion of military housing units to private housing units pursuant to subchapter IV of chapter 169 of title 10, United States Code, or pursuant to any other related provision of law.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

### SECTION 9 OF THE RICHARD B. RUSSELL NATIONAL SCHOOL LUNCH ACT

### NUTRITIONAL AND OTHER PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS

SEC. 9. (a) \* \* \* (b)(1) \* \* \*

\*

(3) Any child who is a member of a household whose income, at the time the application is submitted, is at an annual rate which does not exceed the applicable family size income level of the income eligibility guidelines for free lunches, as determined under paragraph (1), shall be served a free lunch. Any child who is a member of a household whose income, at the time the application

is submitted, is at an annual rate greater than the applicable family size income level of the income eligibility guidelines for free lunches, as determined under paragraph (1), but less than or equal to the applicable family size income level of the income eligibility guidelines for reduced price lunches, as determined under paragraph (1), shall be served a reduced price lunch. The price charged for a reduced price lunch shall not exceed 40 cents. For the one-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this sentence, the amount of a basic allowance provided under section 403 of title 37, United States Code, on behalf of an individual who is a member of the uniformed services for housing that is acquired or constructed under the authority of subchapter IV of chapter 169 of title 10, United States Code, or any other related provision of law, shall not be considered to be income for purposes of determining the eligibility of a child of the individual for free or reduced price lunches under this Act.

### **TITLE 32, UNITED STATES CODE**

\* \* \*

### CHAPTER 3—PERSONNEL

Sec.

\*

### 301. Federal recognition of enlisted members.

\* \* \*

[327. General courts-martial of National Guard not in Federal service.

Ī328. Special courts-martial of National Guard not in Federal service.

Summary courts-martial of National Guard not in Federal service. **[**329.

[330. Confinement instead of fine.

\*

- [331. Dismissal or dishonorable discharge.
- [332. Compelling attendance of accused and witnesses.

\*

Execution of process and sentence.] **[**333.

327.Courts-martial of National Guard not in Federal service: convening authority. \*

\*

\*

### §326. Courts-martial of National Guard not in Federal service: composition, jurisdiction, and procedures

In the National Guard not in Federal service, there are general, special, and summary courts-martial constituted like similar courts of the Army and the Air Force. They have the jurisdiction and powers, except as to punishments, and shall follow the forms and procedures, provided for those courts. Punishments shall be as provided by the laws of the respective States and Territories, Puerto Rico, and the District of Columbia.

### [§327. General courts-martial of National Guard not in Federal service

[(a) In the National Guard not in Federal service, general courtsmartial may be convened by the President or by the governor of a State or Territory, Puerto Rico or by the commanding general of the National Guard of the District of Columbia.

(b) A general court-martial may sentence to—

(1) a fine of not more than \$200;

[(2) forfeiture of pay and allowances;

**[**(3) a reprimand;

[(4) dismissal or dishonorable discharge;

(5) reduction of a noncommissioned officer to the ranks; or

[(6) any combination of these punishments.

# [§328. Special courts-martial of National Guard not in Federal service

[(a) In the National Guard not in Federal service, the commanding officer of a garrison, fort, post, camp, air base, auxiliary air base, or other place where troops are on duty, or of a brigade, regiment, wing, group, detached battalion, separate squadron, or other detached command, may convene special courts-martial. Special courts-martial may also be convened by superior authority.

[(b) A special court-martial may not try a commissioned officer.

[(c) A special court-martial has the same powers of punishment as a general court-martial, except that a fine imposed by a special court-martial may not be more than \$100 for a single offense.

### [§ 329. Summary courts-martial of National Guard not in Federal service

[(a) In the National Guard not in Federal service, the commanding officer of a garrison, fort, post, camp, air base, auxiliary air base, or other place where troops are on duty, or of a regiment, wing, group, detached battalion, detached squadron, detached company, or other detachment, may convene a summary court-martial consisting of one commissioned officer. The proceedings shall be informal.

[(b) A summary court-martial may sentence to a fine of not more than \$25 for a single offense, to forfeiture of pay and allowances, and to reduction of a noncommissioned officer to the ranks.

### [§ 330. Confinement instead of fine

[In the National Guard not in Federal service, a court-martial may, instead of imposing a fine, sentence to confinement for not more than one day for each dollar of the authorized fine.

### [§ 331. Dismissal or dishonorable discharge

[In the National Guard not in Federal service, no sentence of dismissal or dishonorable discharge may be executed until it is approved by the governor of the State or Territory, Puerto Rico, or whichever is concerned, or, in the case of the National Guard of the District of Columbia, by its commanding general.

### [§ 332. Compelling attendance of accused and witnesses

[In the National Guard not in Federal service, the president of a court-martial or a summary court officer may—

[(1) issue a warrant for the arrest of any accused person who, having been served with a warrant and a copy of the charges, disobeys a written order by the convening authority to appear before the court;

(2) issue subpenas duces tecum and other subpenas;

[(3) enforce by attachment the attendance or witnesses and the production of books and papers; and

[(4) sentence for refusal to be sworn or to answer, as provided in actions before civil courts.

### [§ 333. Execution of process and sentence

[In the National Guard not in Federal service, the processes and sentences of its courts-martial shall be executed by the civil officers prescribed by the laws of the States concerned. In a State where no provision is made for executing those processes and sentences, and in the Territories, Puerto Rico and the District of Columbia, the process or sentence shall be executed by a United States marshal or deputy marshal, who shall make a return to the military officer issuing the process or the court imposing the sentence.]

### \$327. Courts-martial of National Guard not in Federal service: convening authority

(a) In the National Guard not in Federal service, general, special, and summary courts-martial may be convened as provided by the laws of the States and Territories, Puerto Rico, and the District of Columbia.

(b) In addition to convening authorities as provided under subsection (a), in the National Guard not in Federal service—

(1) general courts-martial may be convened by the President;
(2) special courts-martial may be convened—

(A) by the commanding officer of a garrison, fort, post, camp, air base, auxiliary air base, or other place where troops are on duty; or

(B) by the commanding officer of a division, brigade, regiment, wing, group, detached battalion, separate squadron, or other detached command; and

(3) summary courts-martial may be convened—

(A) by the commanding officer of a garrison, fort, post, camp, air base, auxiliary air base, or other place where troops are on duty; or

(B) by the commanding officer of a division, brigade, regiment, wing, group, detached battalion, detached squadron, detached company, or other detachment.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### CHAPTER 5—TRAINING

### \* \* \* \* \* \*

§509. National Guard Challenge Program of opportunities for civilian youth

(a) \* \* \*

[(d) MATCHING FUNDS REQUIRED.—The amount of assistance provided under this section to a State program of the National Guard Challenge Program may not exceed—

[(1) for fiscal year 1998, 75 percent of the costs of operating the State program during that year;

[(2) for fiscal year 1999, 70 percent of the costs of operating the State program during that year;

[(3) for fiscal year 2000, 65 percent of the costs of operating the State program during that year; and

(4) for fiscal year 2001 and each subsequent fiscal year, 60 percent of the costs of operating the State program during that year.

(d) MATCHING FUNDS REQUIRED.—The amount of assistance provided under this section to a State program of the National Guard Challenge Program for a fiscal year may not exceed 75 percent of the costs of operating the State program during that fiscal year.

> \* \* \* \* \*

### SECTION 1896 OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

### MEDICARE SUBVENTION DEMONSTRATION PROJECT FOR MILITARY RETIREES

SEC. 1896. (a) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* (k) EVALUATION AND REPORTS.-(1) \* \* \*

(2) Report on extension and expansion of demonstra-TION PROJECT.-Not later than 6 months after the date of the submission of the final report by the Comptroller General of the United States under paragraph (1), the administering Sec-retaries shall submit to Congress a report containing their recommendation as to-

**(**(A) whether there is a cost to the health care program under this title in conducting the demonstration project, and whether the demonstration project could be expanded without there being a cost to such health care program or to the Federal Government;

[(B) whether to extend the demonstration project or make the project permanent; and

[(C) whether the terms and conditions of the project should be continued (or modified) if the project is extended or expanded.

### **SECTION 4202 OF THE CLINGER-COHEN ACT OF 1996**

### SEC. 4202. APPLICATION OF SIMPLIFIED PROCEDURES TO CERTAIN COMMERCIAL ITEMS.

(a) \* \* \*

\* \* (e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The authority to issue solicitations for purchases of commercial items in excess of the simplified acquisition threshold pursuant to the special simplified procedures authorized by section 2304(g)(1) of title 10, United States Code, section 303(g)(1) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, and section 31(a) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act, as amended by this section, shall expire January 1, [2003] 2004. Contracts may be awarded pursuant to solicitations that have been issued before such authority expires, notwithstanding the expiration of such authority.

### SECTION 4 OF THE OFFICE OF FEDERAL PROCUREMENT POLICY ACT

### SEC. 4. DEFINITIONS.

As used in this Act: (1) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* (11) The term "simplified acquisition threshold" means \$100,000, except that such amount may be adjusted by the Administrator every five years to the amount equal to \$100,000 in constant fiscal year 2002 dollars (rounded to the nearest \$10,000).

\*

### SECTION 553 OF THE NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995

\*

\*

### SEC. 553. PROHIBITION ON IMPOSITION OF ADDITIONAL CHARGES OR FEES FOR ATTENDANCE AT CERTAIN ACADEMIES.

(a) \* \* \*

\*

\*

(b) EXCEPTION.—The prohibition specified in subsection (a) shall not apply with respect to any item or service provided to cadets or midshipmen at an academy named in subsection (c) for which a charge or fee is imposed as of the date of the enactment of this Act. [The Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of Transportation, as the case shall be, shall notify Congress of any change made by an academy in the amount of a charge or fee authorized under this subsection.]

\* \* \* \* \* \*

### NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2000

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY

\* \* \* \* \*

### Subtitle H—Matters Relating to Recruiting

\* \* \* \* \* \*

\*

## SEC. 573. ARMY COLLEGE FIRST PILOT PROGRAM.

(a) \* \* \*

\*

\*

(b) DELAYED ENTRY WITH ALLOWANCE FOR HIGHER EDUCATION.— Under the pilot program, the Secretary may—

(1) \* \* \*

(2) subject to paragraph (2) of subsection (d) and except as provided in paragraph (3) of that subsection, pay an allowance to a person accepted for enlistment under paragraph (1)(A) for each month of the period during which that person is enrolled in and pursuing a program described in paragraph (1)(B).

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION WITH STATES OF THE FORMER SOVIET UNION \*

### SEC. 1305. LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS FOR CHEMICAL WEAPONS DESTRUCTION.

\*

No fiscal year 2000 Cooperative Threat Reduction funds, and no funds appropriated for Cooperative Threat Reduction programs after the date of the enactment of this Act, may be obligated or expended for planning, design, or construction of a chemical weapons destruction facility in Russia until the Secretary of Defense submits to Congress a certification that there has been-(1)

\* (6) a demonstrated commitment from the international community to fund and build infrastructure needed to support and operate the facility [.].

\* \* \* \* \* ÷

### TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES

#### \* \* \* \* \*

## SEC. 2401. AUTHORIZED DEFENSE AGENCIES CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

(a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES .- Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2403(a)(1), the Secretary of Defense may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations and locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

### **Defense Agencies: Inside the United States**

Agency		Installation or location				Amount	
	emilitariza- *	Blue Gra *	ss Army De *	epot, Kentucky *	*	[\$254,030,000] \$290,325,000 *	
		Total				[\$711,950,000] \$748,245,000	
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	

### SEC. 2405. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2000 PROJECTS.

(a) \* \* \*

\*

\*

\*

(b) LIMITATION OF TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.-Notwithstanding the cost variation authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variations authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2401 of this Act may not exceed-

\*

(1)\* \*

(3) [\$231,230,000] \$267,525,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2401(a) for the construction of a

\*

\*

chemical demilitarization facility at Blue Grass Army Depot, Kentucky).

\*

\*

\*

### SECTION 516 OF TITLE 14, UNITED STATES CODE

### §516. Presentation of United States flag upon retirement (a) \* \* \*

\*

\*

\*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* (c) NO COST TO RECIPIENT.—The presentation of a flag under [his section] *this section* shall be at no cost to the recipient.

> \* \* \*

### **MERCHANT MARINE ACT, 1936**

### TITLE XI—FEDERAL SHIP MORTGAGE INSURANCE

*	*	*	*	*	*	*
SEC. 11044	A. (a) *	* *				
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
(d)(1) * *	*					
*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*

(4) The Secretary may obtain independent analysis of an application for a guarantee or commitment to guarantee under this title.

(f) The Secretary shall charge and collect from the obligor such amounts as he may deem reasonable for the investigation of applications for a guarantee (including for obtaining independent analysis under subsection (d)(4), for the appraisal of properties offered as security for a guarantee, for the issuance of commitments, for services in connection with the escrow fund authorized by section 1108 and for the inspection of such properties during construction, reconstruction, or reconditioning: Provided, That such charges shall not aggregate more than one-half of 1 per centum of the original principal amount of the obligations to be guaranteed.

### \*

### TITLE XII—WAR RISK INSURANCE

\* \* \* \* \* SEC. 1205. (a) \* \* \* \*

(c) INSURANCE OF VESSELS IN SUPPORT OF NATO-APPROVED OP-ERATIONS.—(1) Upon request made under subsection (b), the Secretary may provide insurance for a vessel, regardless of the country in which the vessel is registered and the citizenship of its owners, that is supporting a military operation approved by the North Atlantic Council, including a vessel that is not operating under contract with a department or agency of the United States.

(2) If a vessel is insured under paragraph (1) in response to a request made pursuant to an international agreement providing for the sharing among nations of the risks involved in mutual or joint operations, the Secretary of Transportation, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, may seek from another nation that is a party to such agreement a commitment to indemnify the United States for any amounts paid by the United States for claims against such insurance.

(3) Amounts received by the United States as indemnity from a nation pursuant to paragraph (2) shall be deposited into the insurance fund created under section 1208.

(4) Any obligation of a department or agency of the United States to indemnify the Secretary or the insurance fund for any claim against insurance provided under this subsection is extinguished to the extent of any indemnification received from a nation pursuant to paragraph (2) with respect to the claim.

### TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE

\* \* \* \* \* \*

### PART III—EMPLOYEES

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### Subpart D—Pay and Allowances

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### CHAPTER 53—PAY RATES AND SYSTEMS

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### SUBCHAPTER IV—PREVAILING RATE SYSTEMS

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### §5343. Prevailing rate determinations; wage schedules; night differentials

(a) \* \* \*

\*

(b) The Office of Personnel Management shall schedule full-scale wage surveys every [2 years] 3 years and shall schedule interim surveys to be conducted between each 2 consecutive full-scale wage surveys. The Office may schedule more frequent surveys when conditions so suggest[.], based on criteria developed by the Office.

(c) The Office of Personnel Management, by regulation, shall prescribe practices and procedures for conducting wage surveys, analyzing wage survey data, developing and establishing wage schedules and rates, and administering the prevailing rate system. The regulations shall provide—

(1) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \*

(4) for proper differentials, as determined by the Office, for duty involving unusually severe working conditions or unusually severe hazards, and for any hardship or hazard related to asbestos, such differentials shall be determined by applying occupational safety and health standards consistent with the permissible exposure limit promulgated by the Secretary of Labor under the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970;

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### CHAPTER 55—PAY ADMINISTRATION

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### SUBCHAPTER V—PREMIUM PAY

\* \* \* \* \* \*

### §5545. Night, standby, irregular, and hazardous duty differential

\*

(a) \* \* \* \*

\*

(d) The Office shall establish a schedule or schedules of pay differentials for duty involving unusual physical hardship or hazard, and for any hardship or hazard related to asbestos, such differentials shall be determined by applying occupational safety and health standards consistent with the permissible exposure limit promulgated by the Secretary of Labor under the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970. Under such regulations as the Office may prescribe, and for such minimum periods as it determines appropriate, an employee to whom chapter 51 and subchapter III of chapter 53 of this title applies is entitled to be paid the appropriate differential for any period in which he is subjected to physical hardship or hazard not usually involved in carrying out the duties of his position. However, the pay differential—

(1) \* \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### SUBCHAPTER IX—SEVERANCE PAY AND BACK PAY

\*

\* \* \* \* \* \*

### § 5595. Severance pay

(a) \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* (i)(1) \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

(4) This subsection applies with respect to severance pay payable under this section for separations taking effect on or after February 10, 1996, and before October 1, [2003] 2006.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### Subpart G—Insurance and Annuities

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### **CHAPTER 89—HEALTH INSURANCE** \*

\*

\*

\*

### §8905a. Continued coverage

(a) \* \* \*

()						
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
(d)(1) * * *	:					
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
(4)(A) * * *	ĸ					

(B) This paragraph shall apply with respect to any individual whose continued coverage is based on a separation occurring on or after the date of enactment of this paragraph and before-

(i) October 1, [2003] 2006; or

(ii) February 1, [2004] 2007, if specific notice of such separation was given to such individual before October 1, [2003] 2006.

\* \* \* \* \*

### **CHAPTER 90—LONG-TERM CARE INSURANCE**

\* \* \* \* \* \*

### §9001. Definitions

\*

For purposes of this chapter:

(1) EMPLOYEE.—The term "employee" means—

(A) \* \* \*

(B) an individual described in section 2105(e); [and]

(C) an individual employed by the Tennessee Valley Authority[,]; and

(D) an employee of a nonappropriated fund instrumentality of the Department of Defense described in section 2105(c).

but does not include an individual employed by the government of the District of Columbia.

\* \* \* \*

### §9002. Availability of insurance

(a) \* \* \*

(b) Discretionary Authority Regarding Nonappropriated FUND INSTRUMENTALITIES.—The Secretary of Defense may determine that a nonappropriated fund instrumentality of the Department of Defense is covered under this chapter or is covered under an alternative long-term care insurance program.

[(b)] (c) GENERAL REQUIREMENTS.—Long-term care insurance may not be offered under this chapter unless-

(1) \* \* \*

\* \* \*

[(c)] (d) DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENT.—As a condition for obtaining long-term care insurance coverage under this chapter based on one's status as a qualified relative, an applicant shall provide documentation to demonstrate the relationship, as prescribed by the Office.

### [(d)] (e) UNDERWRITING STANDARDS.— (1) \* \* \*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

[(e)] (f) GUARANTEED RENEWABILITY.—The benefits and coverage made available to eligible individuals under any insurance contract under this chapter shall be guaranteed renewable (as defined by section 7A(2) of the model regulations described in section 7702B(g)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986), including the right to have insurance remain in effect so long as premiums continue to be timely made. However, the authority to revise premiums under this chapter shall be available only on a class basis and only to the extent otherwise allowable under section 9003(b).

SECTION 1505 OF THE WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION CONTROL ACT OF 1992

\*

### SEC. 1505. INTERNATIONAL NONPROLIFERATION INITIATIVE. (a) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* (f) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—The authority of the Secretary of Defense to provide assistance under this section terminates at the close of fiscal year [2002] 2003.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1997

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### DIVISION B-MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES

## SEC. 2401. AUTHORIZED DEFENSE AGENCIES CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

(a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2406(a)(1), and, in the case of the projects described in paragraphs (2) and (3) of section 2406(b), other amounts appropriated pursuant to authorizations enacted after this Act for the projects, the Secretary of Defense may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations and locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

\*

\*

\*

**Defense Agencies: Inside the United States** 

Agency	Installation or location	Amount	
Chemical Demilitariza- tion Program	Pueblo Chemical Activity, Colo- rado	[\$203,500,000] <i>\$261,000,000</i> \$6,200,000 *	
Defense Finance & Ac- counting Service *	Charleston, South Carolina		
	Total:	[\$549,954,000] \$607,454,000	

### SEC. 2406. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, DEFENSE AGEN-CIES.

(a) \* \* \*

(b) LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.— Notwithstanding the cost variation authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variations authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2401 of this Act may not exceed—

(1) \* \* \*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

(2) [\$203,500,000] *\$261,000,000* (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2401(a) of this Act for the construction of a chemical demilitarization facility at Pueblo Army Depot, Colorado); and

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

### SECTION 501 OF THE MCKINNEY-VENTO HOMELESS ASSISTANCE ACT

\*

### SEC. 501. USE OF UNUTILIZED AND UNDERUTILIZED PUBLIC BUILD-INGS AND REAL PROPERTY TO ASSIST THE HOMELESS. (a) \* \* \*

\*

(i) APPLICABILITY TO CERTAIN PROPERTY DURING EMER-GENCIES.—The screening requirements and other provisions of this section shall not apply to any property that is excess property or surplus property or that is described as unutilized or underutilized property if the property is subject to a request for conveyance or use for the purpose of directly supporting activities in response to—

(1) a war or national emergency declared in accordance with the National Emergencies Act (50 U.S.C. 1601 et seq.); or

(2) an emergency or major disaster declared in accordance with the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5121 et seq.).

[(i)] (j) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section— (1) \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

576

### SECTION 204 OF THE DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION AMENDMENTS AND BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGN-MENT ACT

### SEC. 204. IMPLEMENTATION

(a) \* \* \*

(e) TRANSFER AUTHORITY IN CONNECTION WITH CONSTRUCTION OR PROVISION OF MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING.—(1) Subject to paragraph (2), the Secretary may enter into an agreement to transfer by deed real property or facilities located at or near an installation closed or to be closed under this title with any person who agrees, in exchange for the real property or facilities, to transfer to the Secretary housing units that are constructed or provided by the person and located at or near a military installation at which there is a shortage of suitable housing to meet the requirements of members of the Armed Forces and their dependents. [The Secretary may not select real property for transfer under this paragraph if the property is identified in the redevelopment plan for the installation as items essential to the reuse or redevelopment of the installation.]

\* \* \* \* \* \*

### SECTION 2914 OF THE DEFENSE BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT ACT OF 1990

#### SEC. 2914. SPECIAL PROCEDURES FOR MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS FOR REALIGNMENTS AND CLOSURES FOR 2005 ROUND; COMMISSION CONSIDERATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS.

\*

(a) \* \* \*

\*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* (d) Commission Review and Recommendations.—
(1) \* \* \*

(4) LIMITATION ON AUTHORITY TO RECOMMEND ADDITIONAL IN-STALLATION FOR CLOSURE.—Notwithstanding paragraph (3), the decision of the Commission to add a military installation to the Secretary's list of installations recommended for closure must be unanimous, and at least two members of the Commission must have visited the installation during the period of the Commission's review of the list.

\*

[(4)] (5) TESTIMONY BY SECRETARY.—The Commission shall invite the Secretary to testify at a public hearing, or a closed hearing if classified information is involved, on any proposed change by the Commission to the Secretary's recommendations.

[(5)] (6) COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT.—The Comptroller General report required by section 2903(d)(5)(B) analyzing the recommendations of the Secretary and the selection process in 2005 shall be transmitted to the congressional defense committees not later than July 1, 2005.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### ACT OF AUGUST 22, 1972

### (Public Law 92-402)

## AN ACT To authorize appropriations for the fiscal year 1973 for certain maritime programs of the Department of Commerce, and for related purposes.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

SEC. 4. If, after consideration of such comments and views as are received pursuant to section 3(c), the Secretary finds that the use of Liberty ships proposed by a State will not violate any Federal law, contribute to degradation of the marine environment, create undue interference with commercial fishing or navigation, and is not frivolous, he may transfer without consideration to the State all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to any Liberty ships which are available for transfer under this Act if—

\*

(1) \* \* \*

÷

(4) the transfer would be at no cost to the Government (except for any financial assistance provided under section 7) with the State taking delivery of such obsolete ships at fleetside of the National Defense Reserve Fleet in an "as is-where is" condition.

\*

\*

\*

## SEC. 7. FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO STATE TO PREPARE TRANSFERRED SHIP.

\*

(a) ASSISTANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary, subject to the availability of appropriations, may provide, to any State to which an obsolete ship is transferred under this Act, financial assistance to prepare the ship for use as an artificial reef, including for—

(1) environmental remediation;

\*

\*

(2) towing; and

(3) sinking.

(b) AMOUNT OF ASSISTANCE.—The Secretary shall determine the amount of assistance under this section with respect to an obsolete ship based on—

(1) the total amount available for providing assistance under this section;

(2) the benefit achieved by providing assistance for that ship; and

(3) the cost effectiveness of disposing of the ship by transfer under this Act and provision of assistance under this section, compared to other disposal options for the vessel.

(c) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary—

(1) shall require a State seeking assistance under this section to provide cost data and other information determined by the Secretary to be necessary to justify and document the assistance; and

(2) may require a State receiving such assistance to comply with terms and conditions necessary to protect the environment and the interests of the United States.

SEC. [7] 8. For purposes of sections 3, 4, 5, and 6, the term "obsolete ship" means any vessel owned by the Department of Transportation that has been determined to be of insufficient value for commercial or national defense purposes to warrant its maintenance and preservation in the national defense reserve fleet and has been designated as an artificial reef candidate.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

### ADDITIONAL VIEWS

While we strongly support the overall defense authorization bill, we would like to express our views on the wisdom of the nuclear policy that was adopted and some of the amendments on this subject that were not. We agree with the bill's provision requiring that the Department of Defense provide the detailed force structure plan that should have been part of the administration's Nuclear Posture Review. We also are pleased that two amendments offered by Mr. Allen were accepted by the committee. The National Academy of Sciences' studies called for by those amendments will help Congress and the Department of Defense understand the shortand long-term effects of the possible use of nuclear earthpenetrators and nuclear-tipped ballistic missile interceptors. Previous effect studies may not reflect all current information and any future decision about the utility of these weapons must take their effects into account.

We are disappointed, however, that the sense of congress contained in Section 1021 was not modified as proposed in the amendment by Mrs. Tauscher and Mr. Allen. Further, we believe the amendments offered by Mr. Spratt and Mr. Allen prohibiting the development or deployment of nuclear-tipped ballistic missile interceptors and by Mr. Spratt requiring Congressional notification in advance of any future underground nuclear test would have improved the quality of our nuclear policy and the strength of the U.S. negotiating position with Russia and others on security issues. We all agree that nuclear deterrence remains a critical component of U.S. national security and that the United States should maintain sufficient nuclear forces to execute its Single Integrated Operational Plan (SIOP). The amendments offered, however, would have improved the chairman's mark in three critical ways.

First, the Tauscher-Allen sense of Congress amendment would have retained the President's flexibility in his current negotiations with Russia by removing a minimum requirement of operationallydeployed weapons at 1,700. The amendment would have allowed him to go lower than that figure if he negotiated a bilateral agreement to that effect. U.S. security is enhanced by encouraging Russia to eliminate as many nuclear weapons as possible. For our own purposes, retaining a large "responsive force" of non-operationally deployed nuclear weapons well above the levels required by the SIOP is counter-productive and costly. It encourages Russia to retain larger stockpiles than it otherwise would and supports the rationale for nuclear build-up of other states like China which are assessing the appropriate size of their nuclear force.

Second, both the Tauscher-Allen amendment and the Spratt-Allen amendment speak to the need for caution in expanding applications of nuclear use. The Tauscher-Allen sense of Congress would have focused our policy for countering the very real threat of hard and deeply-buried targets on advanced conventional weapons and enhanced intelligence. The amendment would not have ruled out the use of existing nuclear capabilities, but highlighted that the focus of scientific research and development should be on non-nuclear capabilities first, given the collateral damage involved and the destabilizing potential of using tactical nuclear options. Similarly, the Spratt prohibition of nuclear-tipped ballistic missile interceptors would have put Congress on record against an option dismissed twenty years ago when the Reagan administration launched the Strategic Defense Initiative. We don't believe the public would support such nuclear use and this is not an option we should be advocating in any missile defense program.

Finally, the Spratt amendment on Congressional notification before the conduct of any future underground nuclear test would have provided critical oversight of any potential change in administration policy. If the administration chooses to resume testing, Congress should have an opportunity to investigate why the test is necessary and the implications of conducting it. The twelve-month notification was designed to accommodate the authorization bill cycle and does not tie the administration's hands in any way, as the current test readiness posture is 24–36 months. DOE officials, in fact, indicate that it will cost tens of millions of dollars and take at least two years to reduce this to 18 months. Congress has a duty to oversee our deterrence and defense capability; this amendment would have strengthened our ability to do so.

We all agree on the need to maintain U.S. national security and the deterrent capability of our nuclear forces. These amendments would have enhanced both. We look forward to opportunities on the House floor and in the future to continue to advance these goals.

> IKE SKELTON. John Spratt. Lane Evans. Neil Abercrombie. Marty Meehan. Tom Allen. Loretta Sanchez. Ellen O. Tauscher. Robert A. Brady. Robert E. Andrews. Baron P. Hill. Jim Langevin. Rick Larsen. Adam Smith. Robert A. Underwood.

### ADDITIONAL VIEWS

As the Committee marked up the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003, the matters put before the members were appropriate for our consideration with one glaring exception, Title XIV concerning the Utah Test and Training Range.

We believe that every Member of the House has a stake in the committee process. If any issue under the jurisdiction of the Armed Services Committee were to be added to the Chairman's mark in another committee, the Armed Services Committee members would be right to object in the most strenuous terms.

That's why we feel that the inclusion of title XIV in the chairman's mark was a procedural foul.

First, when this language was previously introduced as legislation (H.R. 3035–106th Congress and H.R. 2488–107th Congress), it was referred solely to the Resources Committee. Its lack of success there is not because it did not have a strong champion or lacked exposure. It had both but has not passed the House.

Second, language this important and comprehensive deserves one or more hearings in this committee. It has had none.

Third, the language was inserted into the Chairman's mark and not exposed to the regular amendment process. We all know bill language is more easily adopted when it is included in the mark, rather than when it is added by amendment.

As to the substance of this legislation, it is important to note that the proponents of Title XIV and the language of the title have created confusion as to just what is the Utah Test and Training Range (UTTR). The UTTR and the adjacent Dugway Proving Grounds encompass approximately 1.7 million acres withdrawn from public use and under the administration of the Department of Defense. Title XIV speaks to not only these 1.7 million acres but to an additional six million acres of public lands and national forests administered by the Departments of the Interior and Agriculture that underlie military airspace for the UTTR.

We believe the following point must be made perfectly clear. There is no designated or proposed wilderness within the boundaries of the UTTR and the Dugway Proving Grounds. There are however, 25,000 acres of designated wilderness and several hundred thousand acres of Wilderness Study Areas (WSAs) on national forests and public lands beneath military airspace in Utah, and it is these lands as well as the millions of acres of adjacent public lands that would be adversely affected by Title XIV.

Even more troubling than that inconsistency and the procedure used to include Title XIV are the provisions themselves. The military use language of Title XIV is unprecedented and not found in any other law. Ironically, these provisions set a standard for wilderness management that would provide less protection to the wilderness areas designated by Title XIV than the protections available to non-designated public lands. Millions of acres of designated wilderness and millions more acres of public land underlie military airspace across the United States. None of these lands have or need the restrictive language that Title XIV would apply to wilderness and public lands in Utah.

Language in Title XIV would strip the authority of the Secretary of the Interior to determine where and whether facilities and equipment are placed on public lands within wilderness areas. Another provision allows the Secretary of the Air Force to unilaterally close or restrict access to wilderness and WSAs outside the boundaries of the UTTR and the Dugway Proving Grounds. These provisions are unprecedented, and no clear rationale has been given to warrant this change from existing law. Moreover, Title XIV creates a different standard for access and military use for land in Utah than is applicable to all other public land areas of the United States.

Furthermore, Title XIV requires the Secretary of the Interior to gain the prior concurrence of the Secretary of the Air Force and the commander-in-chief of the military forces of the State of Utah before developing, maintaining, or revising land use plans required by Federal law for millions of acres of public lands in Utah. Is it unwise policy, to say the least, for a Cabinet secretary's role to be subordinate to a service secretary and a state military commander.

The bottom line is two fold. All House members have a stake in preserving the committee process. House rules on committee jurisdiction exist for a reason, and we should abide by them absent some compelling exceptional justification. None has been provided here.

Second, it is wrong to ram through any committee contentious provisions of sweeping scope and substantive import. This wrong has been exacerbated in this case by the absence of committee hearings and the legislative legerdemain of embedding a previously unseen title of the bill in the chairman's mark. We cannot sanction procedural and substantive transgressions of this magnitude.

IKE SKELTON. ROBERT E. ANDREWS. LANE EVANS. ELLEN O. TAUSCHER. MARTY MEEHAN. VIC SNYDER. JOHN SPRATT. SUSAN A. DAVIS. RICK LARSEN. SILVESTRE REYES. JAMES H. MALONEY. JOHN B. LARSON. LORETTA SANCHEZ. BARON P. HILL. TOM ALLEN. ROBERT A. BRADY. CYNTHIA MCKINNEY. ADAM SMITH. JIM LANGEVIN. CIRO D. RODRIGUEZ.

### ADDITIONAL VIEWS OF REPRESENTATIVE W. TODD AKIN

The Committee's recently drafted Fiscal Year 2003 National Defense Authorization bill contains a number of provisions and initiatives that will greatly benefit our service men and women. These include initial funding for a joint replacement aircraft for the EA– 6B "Prowler" and funding to initiate a T–45 multi-year procurement contract.

We cannot move quickly enough to replace the EA-6B. Though the Prowler has served us well for nearly 30 years, all indications are that 2008 is the target date by which a replacement aircraft must enter the fleet. If a decision is made this summer, an EA-18 could readily meet that timeline and at a developmental cost of approximately \$1.6 billion. On the other hand, the years of development necessary to develop the already-accelerated JSF and then develop a follow-on EA-JSF design indicate that a JSF variant would not be available until at least 2013, and only at much higher cost. Knowing this, and given the critical importance of our electronic warfare capabilities, we should not delay further a decision on replacing the Prowler.

Knowing this, the committee authorized \$10 million to support preliminary risk reduction engineering for an EA–6B replacement. Given the sustained high operational tempo, and ongoing deterioration, of the Prowler fleet, we cannot move quickly enough toward a joint follow-on aircraft.

In addition, the committee included \$10 million to support a possible multi-year procurement of the Navy's T-45 "Goshawk" jet trainer. This is an excellent idea. Given the need to complete modernization of the Navy's training fleet and the anticipated futureyears funding shortfall in the Navy aviation budget, which becomes particular difficult beginning in fiscal year 2007, it makes excellent sense to initiate a three-year procurement contract for T-45s for fiscal years 2004-06. This would allow us to complete the Navy's requirement for 234 T-45s before 2007 and in the process purchase these aircraft at approximately 25 percent less per unit than if we did so through the annual budgeting process at eight aircraft per year.

W. TODD AKIN.

### DISSENTING VIEW OF CONGRESSWOMAN CYNTHIA McKINNEY

The National Defense Authorization Act of 2002, H.R. 4546, represents the largest real increase to defense spending since 1966. This bill contains over \$40 billion more spending than last year's defense authorization, which too was egregiously large. H.R. 4546 provides for over \$383 billion in spending for the Department of Defense and the weapons programs of the Department of Energy. Unfortunately this new spending comes at the expense of many valuable and effective government programs. As the Bush Administration's tax cut enacted last year has reduced the ability of the federal government to fully fund many important programs, the massive increase in defense spending is accompanied by cuts to programs for job training, drug elimination in public housing, prescription drug benefits, conservation spending, and much more. Such one-sided spending indicates a misdirected view of our nation's true national security reflecting a belief that relies on warfighting capabilities and which neglects the domestic issues and quality of life that are also essential to a secure nation.

In addition to the singular focus of our national security attention, there are problems within the Pentagon that raise questions about such immense spending. On September 10, 2001, Defense Secretary Rumsfeld stated that "[a]ccording to some estimates, we cannot track \$2.3 trillion in transactions." Such a lack of financial accountability not only undermines the integrity of the Pentagon, it causes severe inefficiencies that cause further financial loss, and undoubtedly leads to wasteful spending. If there were ever to be activities obscured from the public's eye, projects pursued without authorization, or other questionable action or spending, how could it ever be discovered when the level of unaccounted transactions is so high? In any other arena, either private sector or public, financial accountability would be insured prior to increasing expenditures, not the opposite.

Yet the basis for such a large increase in spending is wholly unjustified. The events of September 11, 2001 were a tragedy to the entire nation. However, the attacks in New York, Pennsylvania, and Virginia were not prompted by any failure of the United States military, but instead were the result of a breakdown in our intelligence community. In fact, just this week Yahoo News reported that CIA Deputy Director of Operation James Pavitt "dismissed charges the CIA was caught unaware by September 11 suicide attacks in the United States" and that "[t]he CIA knew the network led by Saudi-born militant Osama bin Laden was planning a major strike."

Similarly, a Washington Post article dated May 3, 2002 stated "[t]wo months before the suicide hijackings, an FBI agent in Arizona alerted Washington headquarters that several Middle Easterners were training at a U.S. aviation school and recommended contacting other schools nationwide," and further that "[l]aw enforcement officials said in retrospect the FBI believes it should have accelerated the suggested check of U.S. flight schools." The intelligence community has received substantial increases in resources in order to address these shortcomings. As there was no apparent defense shortcoming, it appears that the increases contained in the defense authorization serve to increase the armed forces' ability to wage war in foreign nations, replace regimes such as Iraq, and accelerate the expansion of war on a worldwide scale.

The increased defense authorization also permits prodigal, unneeded, and archaic projects and weapons systems to proceed. One such weapons system is the Crusader, an artillery system originally intended to defeat Soviet tanks on a large battlefield. Though it is widely assumed that the U.S. will not confront such a battle environment, the Army has continued its drive to develop and procure this weapon. Logistically, this artillery system has been found to be difficult to transport, and at 40 tons for each the artillery system and the adjoining resupply vehicle, the Crusader would be difficult to adhere to the Army's evolving mobility goals. In considering the continued pursuit of the Crusader, it is important to note that the prime contractor for this weapon system, United Defense, is owned by the Carlyle Group, which in turn is chaired by Frank Carlucci, former Secretary of Defense under President Ronald Reagan, and which also employs former President George H.W. Bush.

Nonetheless, Deputy Secretary of Defense Paul Wolfowitz has been reported to be planning to cut this expensive and unnecessary weapon, thus saving the \$475 million earmarked for the Crusader to be used for other expenditures. I would welcome such an announcement. However, contrary to reports, this defense authorization leads me to believe that the Crusader program will survive. Included in H.R. 4546 is language that "directs that there be no change to the Crusader development schedule, funding or procurement requirements, to include termination." It is unfortunate that, even when the Pentagon seeks to shelve needless and wasteful programs, this defense authorization and the defense industry are capable of keeping the Crusader and other questionable projects alive.

Furthermore, this defense authorization charts the course toward dangerous waters in terms of our nation's nuclear weapons policy, the development of missile defense, and environmental stewardship.

Section 1021 of the defense authorization bill details the proposed nuclear policy of the United States, which aptly calls for sharp reductions in the nation's nuclear weapons stock. However, the value of those reductions is lost on the fact that the policy also calls for the U.S. to "maintain a responsive force of non-deployed nuclear weapons for potential contingencies." It is difficult to conceive a contingency where the 1,700 nuclear weapons to which our stock would be reduced would be insufficient. The maintenance of such a ready reserve also provokes international concern and would incur significant future costs to the U.S. in terms of maintenance, stockpile stewardship and security. Sec. 1021 also encourages the development of new nuclear weapons for the purpose of defeating hardened and deeply buried targets. This language is an affront to the Non-Proliferation Treaty that the U.S. has ratified. This provocative section also neglects the physical science that nuclear weapons would not serve to defeat such buried targets, would have significant radioactive fallouts, and would hinder U.S. personnel from conducting damage assessments. It was unfortunate that the Committee did not pass an amendment offered by Representatives Ellen Tauscher and Tom Allen that would have improved and removed this dangerous language.

Additionally, Section 1021 urges the Administration to develop a plan to be able to resume underground nuclear testing within one year of a decision to conduct such tests. Underground nuclear tests have not been conducted in the U.S. since September 1992, and when they have been conducted in other nations, the U.S. has responded with strong rebukes and sanctions. The development of such a resumption plan is unnecessary, is internationally inflammatory, and is likely not the desire of a majority of Americans. In sum, Section 1021 sets forth a nuclear weapons policy that is unlikely to insure greater safety for Americans and could result in encouraging other nations to further their own nuclear weapons programs.

With regard to missile defense, H.R. 4546 continues the road to development of this dangerous and unreliable system. This authorization provides \$7.8 billion for missile defense, following on the nearly \$8 billion that was authorized for missile defense last year. Not only is this an unusually high amount of money to be devoting to a weapon system of questionable reliability, the need for the missile shield being sought is not apparent. The CIA's own National Intelligence Estimate states that North Korea has the greatest and soonest likelihood of attacking the U.S. with a missile, but that they will be unable to do so before 2015; the same NIE states that attacks are much more likely using weapons of mass destruction (WMD) via other untraditional routes such as trucks, ships, or airplanes.

Rather than relying on a missile defense system, which may well lead America to a false sense of security, missile defense should be re-shelved, as it was in 1976. Cooperative international arms control and disarmament agreements will be far more effective in advancing peace and security in the years ahead and will cost far less than a Star Wars type missile shield.

Evidently, the current prevailing concept for missile defense, known as hit-to-kill, which had garnered the support of many in this Committee, is not as reliable as had been thought. It was reported a few weeks ago that the Secretary of Defense had given approval to proceed with the study of nuclear-tipped missile defense. Despite the fact that this concept had already been pursued in the 1960s and 1970s and correctly cancelled, nuclear-tipped missile defense has apparently risen from the dead. An amendment offered by Representative John Spratt would have prohibited the development of such a missile defense concept. However, the Committee unfortunately defeated this amendment. While debate in opposition to the amendment noted the need to provide the Pentagon as much latitude in pursuing missile defense options, the fact that the atmospheric nuclear explosion would cause enormously destructive electro-magnetic pulses to wreak havoc on both domestic and space-based electronics, not to mention the horrible human health impacts from the ensuing radiological fallout, were evidently ignored by a majority of the Committee. Nuclear tipped missile defense was pursued once, and was then cast aside when financial and other costs were observed to be greater than the benefits. The Committee should have considered this history when voting on the amendment, and I find it inevitable that nuclear-tipped missile defense will again find a similar fate.

For a multitude of reasons, the environmental provisions of this bill are inappropriate and disappointing. The Readiness Sub-committee included in their mark, language that will carve out special exemptions so that the Department of Defense will not have to adhere to the Endangered Species Act (ESA) and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA). First, when the Subcommittee conducted hearings on these issues, only Administration officials were permitted to testify, and local and state officials and environmental organizations were not provided similar opportunities to share their viewpoints. With such contentious, wide-ranging, and important issues under consideration, these stakeholders should have been given an opportunity to be heard. Second, with regard to the Endangered Species Act, a provision already exists within the law that would permit the Secretary of Defense to request a waiver from compliance with the ESA for purposes of national security. The Defense Department has never made use of this provision, and with such an avenue for relief currently available, there is no reason that the law should be amended.

Finally, parliamentary rules of Congress provide for sequential referral to multiple committees for issues that span the jurisdiction of more than one committee for good reason. In this case, the Resources Committee has jurisdiction over these important environmental laws, and the opportunity for this committee to conduct hearings on these law changes should not have been circumvented.

In addition to the exemptions of these two important wildlife protection laws, Title XIV of the Chairman's mark is a very disappointing foray of the Armed Services Committee into the arena of public lands management. The Committee never conducted hearings on this specific provision. This section releases hundreds of thousands of acres from Wilderness Study Areas designation, permits unprecedented entry and activity in wilderness areas, cedes management authority of public lands to the Secretary of the Air Force and again denies the appropriate jurisdictional oversight of the Resources Committee. If the intent of Title XIV was to protect public land and insure emergency access for the military, there is undoubtedly a more democratic and comprehensive approach that could have been taken.

In an issue that was addressed by an amendment offered, and then withdrawn, by Representative Lindsey Graham, the Department of Energy has dangerously discarded the idea of immobilizing plutonium obtained through the dismantling of Russian and American nuclear weapons. The pursuit of the mixed-oxide (MOX) alternative, whereby the excess plutonium is not encased in a non-reactive, immobile matrix, but instead is processed into a fuel that energy companies can use in nuclear power generation is unwise. The safer and more sensible approach to this issue would have been for the DOE and the Savannah River Site to pursue the immobilization alternative, maintain a set of alternatives in case any proposed solution proves technically unfeasible, and not to provide a subsidy to the nuclear industry in the form of this MOX fuel.

As was the case with last year's authorization consideration, Representative Loretta Sanchez offered an amendment to permit service women and female military dependents to obtain privately funded abortions in overseas military hospitals. In this amendment medical practitioners in these hospitals who choose not to conduct such procedures would not have been required to conduct them. Though the Supreme Court has affirmed a woman's right to obtain an abortion, the Committee sees fit to maintain its own discretion of this constitutional right, and did not approve of this amendment. It is unfortunate that service women and female dependents must now choose between seeking abortions that can be unsafe or illegal in foreign nations, or otherwise forfeit their rights to privacy by the necessity of informing superior officers when seeking military transport to a location suitable for abortion procedures.

Though it deeply troubles me that one of the first acts of our President after declaring this War On Terrorism was to sign an Executive Order denying promised high deployment overtime pay to our service men and women, the overall mark that was reported from the Personnel Subcommittee is commendable. The bill provides for a 4.1 percent across-the-board pay raise that aptly recognizes the hard work and dedication of our nation's service personnel. This pay raise will also serve to aid in the recruitment and retention of personnel, as the increase is consistently above private sector pay increases. Additionally, the Personnel mark provides for higher raises for certain specialties and for non-commissioned officers, thereby improving force strength in essential fields and compensating many of tomorrow's leaders. Though I have dissented in this Act, I greatly respect the individual members of our armed services for their service and sacrifice in the name of our nation.

Additionally, the Personnel Subcommittee included language that will permit the payment of concurrent receipt for some retired military personnel who are also disabled veterans. For too long disabled veterans have been forced to choose between their retirement pay and their well-deserved disability benefits. Though H.R. 4546 provides only for immediate concurrent receipt to veterans who are rated 60 percent disabled or greater, I believe that the Committee has made an improvement from the previous ban on concurrent receipt and I hope that the program will continue to be expanded to provide concurrent receipt to all retired military personnel who are also disabled veterans. I doubt anyone would question that they have earned it.

### CYNTHIA MCKINNEY.