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## INTRODUCTION AND HIGHLIGHTS

Children live in a variety of family arrangements, some of which are complex, as a consequence of the marriage, divorce, and remarriage patterns of their parents. In addition, one-third of children today are born out-of-wedlock and may grow-up in singleparent families or spend significant portions of their lives with other relatives or stepparents. This report examines the diversity of children's living arrangements in American households. The data are from the household relationship module of the Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP), collected in the fall of 1996, and update a 1994 study that presented estimates from the 1991 SIPP panel of the
number of children growing up in various family situations. ${ }^{1}$

As in the earlier survey, detailed information was obtained on each person's relationship to every other person in the household, permitting the identification of many types of relatives, and parent-child and sibling relationships. This report describes family situations beyond the traditional nuclear family of parents and their children and includes discussions of extended family households with relatives and nonrelatives who may contribute substantially to a child's development and to the household's economic well-being.

This report also examines the degree to which children are living in single-parent families, with stepparents and adoptive parents, or with no parents and in the care of another relative or guardian. Of special interest in this report are new estimates of children living with unmarried cohabiting parents (either with both of their biological parents who are not married to each other, or with a parent and an unmarried partner who is not the child's biological parent - see definitions box for descriptions of these terms).

The statistics in this report are based on national-level estimates of children (individuals under 18) and their living situations from August through November 1996. These findings pertain to all children, regardless of the child's marital or parental status. The estimates represent the living arrangements for children averaged over this 4-month period.
'Stacy Furukawa, The Diverse Living Arrangements of Children: Summer 1991, Current Population Reports, P7038, Washington, DC, U.S. Census Bureau, 1994.

## Current Population Reports

Some major findings of this report include the following:

- There were 71.5 million children under 18 years of age living in households. ${ }^{2}$ The majority of these children ( 50.7 million) lived with both parents. Only 3 percent of these children ( 1.5 million) lived in unmarried-couple families (parents who were not married to each other).
- Among the 18.2 million children living with only one unmarried parent, 1.8 million lived with their father.
${ }^{2}$ The estimates in this report are based on responses from a sample of the population. As with all surveys, estimates may vary from the actual (population) values because of sampling variation, or other factors. All statements made in this report have undergone statistical testing and meet U.S. Census Bureau standards for statistical accuracy.
- There were 10.3 million children who lived in an extended household containing at least one person (of any age) other than a member of their nuclear family (parents and siblings); 4.1 million of these children lived in extended households with grandparents. An additional 1.3 million children were living with their grandparents in households without any parent present.
- Of the 1.5 million children living in households with adoptive parents, 47 percent lived with two adoptive parents.
- Seventeen percent all of children ( 11.8 million) lived in blended families in 1996. Of these children, 4.9 million lived with at least one stepparent.


## Definitions

Children are individuals under 18 years old. This differs from the definition of children used in other reports because it includes householders and spouses of the householder ( 0.2 percent of children). These children are included so that the living arrangements of all children can be discussed.

Adopted children are determined by the reporting of the survey respondent alone and not by any administrative records.

A traditional nuclear family is defined in this report as a family in which a child lives with two married biological parents and with only full siblings if siblings are present. No other people are present in the household under this definition, not even close relatives of the family.

Cohabiting parent-child families are those in which the child's parent is living with at least one opposite sex, nonrelated adult. This additional adult may or may not be the biological parent of the child.

Blended families are formed when remarriages occur or when children living in a household share one or no parents. The presence of a stepparent, stepsibling, or half-sibling designates a family as blended.

An extended family household is a household where a child lives with at least one parent and someone outside of their nuclear family, either relatives or nonrelatives.

- There were 15.3 million children living in households with no brothers or sisters present. Among the 56.2 million children living with siblings, 9.5 million lived with at least one step- or half-sibling.


## LIVING ARRANGEMENTS OF CHILDREN - AN OVERVIEW

Many factors influence the diversity of children's living arrangements. These include parental divorce, remarriage, out-of-wedlock childbearing, cohabitation of unmarried parents, the growth of multigenerational families, and parental mortality. Immigration may also influence the type of household and family that children grow up in if families sponsor and provide housing for their immigrant relatives and friends. This is especially important in the analyses of the living arrangements of Hispanic children, ${ }^{3}$ as Hispanics constitute a major component of new immigrants to the United States. Both cultural factors and demographic characteristics of the population and family patterns influence racial differences in current and future family structure. ${ }^{4}$ Throughout this report variations in living arrangements of children are shown by race and Hispanic origin.

In 1996, 71 percent of the 71.5 million children under age 18 lived in two-parent households, about 25 percent lived in single-parent households, and the remaining 4 percent lived in households without either parent (see Table 1). A large majority of White non-Hispanic (79 percent), and Asian and Pacific Islander (84 percent) children lived with two parents,

[^0]Table 1.
Living Arrangements of Children by Race and Ethnicity: Fall 1996
(In thousands)

| Living arrangements | All races | White |  | Black | American Indian and Alaska Native | Asian and Pacific Islander | Hispanic ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children | 71,494 | 56,212 | 46,657 | 11,631 | 1,073 | 2,578 | 10,428 |
| Living with - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Two parents ${ }^{2}$ | 50,685 | 43,466 | 36,837 | 4,397 | 667 | 2,156 | 7,112 |
| Both married to each other | 49,186 | 42,333 | 36,110 | 4,126 | 605 | 2,123 | 6,627 |
| In a traditional nuclear family ${ }^{3}$ | 39,746 | 34,859 | 30,132 | 2,985 | 395 | 1,507 | 5,024 |
| One parent | 18,165 | 11,131 | 8,632 | 6,320 | 345 | 369 | 2,870 |
| Mother only | 16,340 | 9,599 | 7,274 | 6,088 | 320 | 333 | 2,689 |
| Father only | 1,825 | 1,533 | 1,358 | 232 | 25 | 36 | 181 |
| Neither parent | 2,644 | 1,615 | 1,188 | 915 | 62 | 54 | 445 |
| Grandparents only | 1,266 | 637 | 501 | 571 | 34 | 24 | 143 |
| Other relatives only | 688 | 447 | 272 | 199 | 19 | 22 | 183 |
| Nonrelatives only | 622 | 622 | 383 | 122 | 9 | 8 | 105 |
| Other arrangement | 69 | 46 | 32 | 22 | - | 1 | 14 |
| At least 1 stepparent | 4,902 | 4,066 | 3,556 | 649 | 94 | 93 | 563 |
| At least 1 foster parent | 313 | 224 | 153 | 86 | 2 | 2 | 75 |

[^1]Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 1996 Survey of Income and Program Participation, Wave 2.

Figure 1.

## Children by Presence of Parents: Fall 1996

(Percent distribution)

more than twice as high as the proportion for Black children (38 percent) and well above the proportion for Hispanic children (68 percent). A much higher proportion of Black children lived with a single parent (54 percent) compared with children of other groups: 19 percent of White non-Hispanic children and 28 percent of Hispanic children lived with only a single parent in 1996 (see Figure 1).

In 1996, 4.9 million children lived with at least one stepparent. In addition, 2.6 million lived with neither of their parents; this figure included 0.3 million children living with one or more foster parents. Only 49 percent of foster children are White nonHispanic, while Black and Hispanic children each represent about 25 percent of foster children. Relatives, usually grandparents, cared for
the majority of children living with neither parent. Higher proportions of Black children lived with their grandparents (62 percent) than either White non-Hispanic or Hispanic children (42 percent and 32 percent, respectively).

## THE TRADITIONAL NUCLEAR FAMILY

The discussion of the turmoil and changes in the American family that preoccupied academic journals and the popular press during recent decades continues. ${ }^{5}$ However, data for the 1990s indicate that the rapid increase in the proportion of children growing up in a single-parent household may have leveled off. ${ }^{6}$ Data from the SIPP show an increase in the proportion of children living in traditional two-parent nuclear families (see definitions box) from 51 percent in 1991 to over 56 percent by 1996. The symbolic importance of the traditional family rests in its compactness and a historical concept of home. Mother and father and their biological children function as a single unit without any other individuals (other relatives, in-laws, or nonrelatives) living with them on a daily basis. Fifty-six percent of all children and 78 percent of children living with two parents were living in traditional nuclear families in the fall of 1996 (see Figure 2).

A smaller proportion of all Black children lived in traditional nuclear families (26 percent) than children in other racial/ethnic groups (65 percent of White non-Hispanic children, 58 percent of Asian and Pacific Islander children, and 48 percent of Hispanic children). The difference in the proportion in traditional nuclear families is due primarily to the

[^2]Figure 2.
Children Living in Traditional Nuclear
Families: Fall 1996
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { (In percent) } & \square \text { All children } \\ & \square \\ \text { Children in two } \\ \text { parent households }\end{array}$

${ }^{1}$ People of Hispanic origin may be of any race.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Income and Program Participation, 1996 Wave 2.
difference in the propensity to live with both biological parents.

Among children in two-parent families, ${ }^{7}$ the difference between Black and White non-Hispanic children living in traditional nuclear families (68 percent and 82 percent, respectively) persists, though the difference is not as large as among all children. However, the percentage of Black children in traditional nuclear families (68 percent) is similar to the percentages for Asian and Pacific Islander children and Hispanic children (70 and 71 percent, respectively) in comparable families. All of these proportions are significantly higher than the percentage of American Indian and Alaska Native children in traditional nuclear families (59 percent).

[^3]
## CHILDREN LIVING WITH TWO PARENTS: BIOLOGICAL, STEP-, AND ADOPTIVE

In 1996, about 50.7 million children lived with two parents, of whom 88 percent ( 44.7 million) lived with their biological mother and biological father (see Table 2). An additional 9 percent ( 4.7 million) lived with a biological parent and a stepparent, usually with a biological mother and stepfather (3.7 million). Just over 2 percent of children living with two parents ( 1.3 million) lived with either two adoptive parents or a combination of an adoptive parent and a biological or a stepparent.

Stepparents and adoptive parents are an increasingly important component of the two-parent family. In 1996, 5.2 million children lived with one biological parent and either a stepparent or adoptive parent, up

Table 2.
Children Living With Two Parents by Their Biological, Step, and Adoptive Status by Race and Ethnicity: Fall 1996
(In thousands)
$\left.\begin{array}{l|r|rrrrr}\hline \text { Characteristics of parents } & & & & \begin{array}{r}\text { White } \\ \text { non- }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { American } \\ \text { Indian and } \\ \text { Alaska } \\ \text { Native }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Asian and } \\ \text { Pacific } \\ \text { Islander }\end{array} \\ \text { Chispanic }{ }^{1}\end{array}\right\}$

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
${ }^{1}$ People of Hispanic origin may be of any race.
${ }^{2}$ Includes mothers and fathers not currently married to each other.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Income and Program Participation, 1996 Wave 2.
from 4.5 million in 1991. Among children in two-parent families, about 91 percent of Hispanic and Asian and Pacific Islander children live with both biological parents, higher than any of the other race groups shown on Table 2. Black (83 percent) and American Indian and Alaska Native children (81 percent) have the lowest percentages.

Among the 702,000 children living with two adoptive parents in 1996, a disproportionate percentage were Asian and Pacific Islander; making up 13 percent of adopted children, but only 4 percent of all children. In addition 548,000 children lived with one adoptive parent and a nonadoptive parent (biological or step). Most of these children ( 87 percent) were living with their biological mother and an adoptive father; the adoptive fathers were, in all likelihood, initially stepfathers. Often, living arrangements of children are simply dichotomized by whether children live with only one or with both parents. These statistics illustrate that even among children raised by two
parents, a considerable variation exists in the blending of parental origins either as biological, step, or adoptive parents.

## CHILDREN LIVING WITH UNMARRIED PARENTS

Out-of-wedlock childbearing and divorce among parents often results in children living with an unmarried parent for significant portions of their childhood. A 1984 study estimated that almost half of children under 16 would someday live in a single-parent household. ${ }^{8}$ Data from the SIPP can be used to profile the living arrangements of children who live with their unmarried parent.

Table 3 shows that in 1996, 19.7 million children ( 28 percent of all children) lived with unmarried parents. It is particularly striking that 57 percent of Black children lived with unmarried parents. Regardless of race, however, 83 percent of children with unmarried

[^4]parents resided only with their mother ( 16.3 million).

The rapid increase in cohabitation among adults over the past several decades ${ }^{9}$ has led to significant proportions of children living with parents who are cohabiting with partners. In 1996, there were 3.3 million children living with an unmarried parent and the parent's partner, representing about 5 percent of all children or 17 percent of children living with unmarried parents (see Figure 3).

Hispanic and American Indian and Alaska Native children were as likely as White non-Hispanic

[^5]Table 3.
Children Living With Unmarried Parent(s) by Presence of Other Adults and Race and Ethnicity: Fall 1996
(Numbers in thousands)

| Living arrangement | All races | White | $\begin{array}{r} \text { White } \\ \text { non- } \\ \text { Hispanic } \end{array}$ | Black | American Indian and Alaska Native | Asian and Pacific Islander | Hispanic ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children living with (an) unmarried parent(s) | 19,663 | 12,264 | 9,358 | 6,591 | 407 | 402 | 3,355 |
| Children living with cohabiting parent(s) ${ }^{2}$ | 3,285 | 2,529 | 1,883 | 597 | 104 | 55 | 760 |
| Percent of children living with unmarried parent(s) | 16.7 | 20.6 | 20.1 | 9.1 | 25.6 | 13.7 | 22.7 |
| Living with mother, not father ${ }^{3}$ | 16,340 | 9,599 | 7,274 | 6,088 | 320 | 333 | 2,689 |
| With female other adult relative ${ }^{4}$ | 2,782 | 1,373 | 976 | 1,222 | 77 | 110 | 470 |
| With male other adult relative ${ }^{4}$ | 1,822 | 1,055 | 711 | 639 | 36 | 92 | 405 |
| With female adult nonrelative ${ }^{5}$ | 303 | 219 | 197 | 69 | - | 15 | 26 |
| With male adult nonrelative ${ }^{5}$ | 1,498 | 1,155 | 957 | 286 | 34 | 22 | 234 |
| Living with father, not mother ${ }^{3}$ | 1,825 | 1,533 | 1,358 | 232 | 25 | 36 | 181 |
| With female other adult relative ${ }^{4}$ | 284 | 244 | 181 | 32 | 5 | 3 | 63 |
| With male other adult relative ${ }^{4}$ | 168 | 149 | 100 | 13 | 6 |  | 54 |
| With female adult nonrelative ${ }^{5}$ | 288 | 241 | 200 | 40 | 7 | - | 41 |
| With male adult nonrelative ${ }^{5}$ | 25 | 17 | 14 | 6 | - | 2 | 4 |
| Living with both mother and father ${ }^{3}$ | 1,499 | 1,133 | 727 | 271 | 62 | 33 | 485 |
| With female other adult relative ${ }^{4}$. | 64 | 56 | 24 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 31 |
| With male other adult relative ${ }^{4}$ | 24 | 19 | 6 |  | . |  | 12 |
| With female adult nonrelative ${ }^{5}$ | 139 | 117 | 68 | 22 | - |  | 53 |
| With male adult nonrelative ${ }^{5}$ | 47 | 25 | 12 | 11 | 12 | - | 20 |

[^6]Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Income and Program Participation, 1996 Wave 2.
children to live with a cohabiting parent (23 percent, 26 percent, and 20 percent respectively of children living with unmarried parents). One reason Hispanic children may be this likely to be in families with cohabiting couples, especially if their parents were born outside the United States, is that consensual unions have historically been more common as precursors to formal marriages in Latin American countries. In fact, among the 760,000 Hispanic children living in unmarriedcouple families in 1996, 64 percent resided with both their mother and father, in contrast to 38 percent of White non-Hispanic children and

45 percent of Black children in comparable households.

Only 9 percent of Black children who lived with an unmarried parent also lived with a cohabiting parent, a proportion significantly lower than that of any other race group shown in Figure 3, except Asian and Pacific Islander children. A much higher proportion of Black children are living with unmarried parents ( 57 percent) than the child population as a whole (28 percent). Difficulty in measuring shorter, occasional, or transient unions that may be considered cohabiting relationships could make the proportion of children in this liv-
ing arrangement artificially low. Also, characteristics of the marriage market and labor market that have contributed to high proportions of Black mothers remaining single, may be just as salient when they make decisions about forming cohabiting unions.

As mentioned, most children who lived with an unmarried parent were more likely to live with their mother than with their father. Only 1.8 million children ( 9 percent of children living with unmarried parents) lived with their father without their mother present, including 288,000 children ( 16 percent) who lived with

Figure 3.

Children Living With a Cohabiting Parent: Fall 1996


${ }^{1}$ People of Hispanic origin may be of any race.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Income and Program Participation, 1996 Wave 2.
fathers who were cohabiting with an unmarried partner. If the fathers who were cohabiting with the children's mothers are included ( 1.5 million), there were 3.3 million children who lived with their unmarried fathers in 1996 ( 17 percent of children living with an unmarried parent).

Among those children living with their unmarried fathers, which children were most likely to reside with both of their parents? In 1996, 73 percent of Hispanic children living with their unmarried fathers also lived with their mothers, compared with 54 percent of Black children and 35 percent of White non-Hispanic children.

The overall pattern of living arrangements of children with unmarried parents clearly indicates that women are the primary or custodial parents of children who are not living in mar-ried-couple families, and that most unmarried mothers do not live with
the father of their children. In all likelihood, these circumstances arose from marital disruptions where the mother became the custodial parent or when the child was born out-of-wedlock and the parents did not marry or choose to live together. However, in the instances where children are living with their fathers, it is more common that their mothers are also living with them in an arrangement functioning as a family.

## CHILDREN IN BLENDED FAMILIES

Blended families typically are formed when remarriages occur and when stepparents enter the household accompanied by their children from previous unions, thus creating stepparents and stepsiblings among the children in the newly formed family. Blended families are also formed, for example, when a remarried parent has a child with his or her new spouse, thus producing a new brother or sister who is a half-sibling to a child from a previous union.

Figure 4 shows the proportion of children who were in blended families by race and the number of parents they were living with. The most striking feature is the high proportion of Black children ( 20 percent) living in blended families (about 20 percent of American Indian and Alaska Native children were also in blended families, but this level was not significantly different from either White non-Hispanic or Black children). High rates of out-of-wedlock childbearing ${ }^{10}$, differences in the marriage market, marital fertility, child custody, and other family formation behaviors may all be factors contributing to higher rates of children living in blended families.

By far, the largest source of blending in children's families was the presence of half-siblings. In 1996, 7.8 million children lived with at least one half-sibling (see Table 4); 11 percent of all children and 66 percent of children in blended families lived with half-siblings. In addition, 79 percent of Black children and 76 percent of Hispanic children in blended families lived with half-siblings, compared with 61 percent of White non-Hispanic children.

Living with a stepparent is the next largest contributor to children living in a blended family - 7 percent of all children, and 42 percent of children in blended families, lived with a stepparent. Stepparents were more commonly found living with White non-Hispanic children in blended families (47 percent) than with Black children (27 percent) or Hispanic children ( 36 percent).

[^7]Table 4.
Children Living in Blended Families by Composition of Family and Race and Ethnicity: Fall 1996
(Numbers in thousands)

| Characteristics | All races | White | White nonHispanic | Black | American Indian and Alaska Native | Asian and Pacific Islander | Hispanic ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children | 71,494 | 56,212 | 46,657 | 11,631 | 1,073 | 2,578 | 10,428 |
| Children living in a blended family | 11,798 | 8,929 | 7,521 | 2,375 | 221 | 272 | 1,575 |
| Percent of all children | 16.5 | 15.9 | 16.1 | 20.4 | 20.6 | 10.6 | 15.1 |
| Type of blended family-Number . . . . . . . . . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stepparent only | 2,311 | 1,948 | 1,714 | 275 | 51 | 37 | 253 |
| Stepsibling only | 1,040 | 736 | 661 | 159 | 40 | 105 | 78 |
| Half-sibling only | 5,708 | 4,025 | 3,205 | 1,526 | 83 | 75 | 932 |
| Stepparent and stepsibling | 684 | 596 | 556 | 64 | 11 | 12 | 45 |
| Stepparent and half-sibling | 1,662 | 1,329 | 1,116 | 264 | 33 | 36 | 243 |
| Stepsibling and half-sibling | 148 | 102 | 99 | 42 | 4 | - | 3 |
| Stepparent, stepsibling and half-sibling | 246 | 193 | 171 | 46 | - | 7 | 22 |
| Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Stepparent only | 19.6 | 21.8 | 22.8 | 11.6 | 23.1 | 13.6 | 16.1 |
| Stepsibling only | 8.8 | 8.2 | 8.8 | 6.7 | 18.1 | 38.6 | 5.0 |
| Half-sibling only | 48.4 | 45.1 | 42.6 | 64.3 | 37.6 | 27.6 | 59.2 |
| Stepparent and stepsibling | 5.8 | 6.7 | 7.4 | 2.7 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 2.9 |
| Stepparent and half-sibling | 14.1 | 14.9 | 14.8 | 11.1 | 14.9 | 13.2 | 15.4 |
| Stepsibling and half-sibling | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.8 | - | 0.2 |
| Stepparent, stepsibling and half-sibling | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 1.9 | - | 2.6 | 1.4 |

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
${ }^{1}$ People of Hispanic origin may be of any race.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Income and Program Participation, 1996 Wave 2.


## ADOPTED CHILDREN

The number of adopted children rose from 1.1 million in 1991 to 1.5 million in 1996. It is difficult to accurately estimate the number of adopted children, as some parents may desire to keep this information confidential. ${ }^{11}$ SIPP estimates rely on the relationships reported by the respondent - administrative records are not used. This collection method could lead to missed actual

[^8]Figure 4.
Children Living in Blended Families: Fall 1996
(In percent)

${ }^{1}$ People of Hispanic origin may be of any race.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Income and Program Participation, 1996 Wave 2.
adoptions, confusion between stepand adopted parents when a stepparent adopts their stepchild, and the inclusion of informal adoptions, where no legal adoption actually exists.

Because of the small number of adopted children and the difficulties in accurately measuring them in the SIPP, only very basic information is presented in Table 5. White nonHispanic children represent the majority of adopted children - they are also represented in a slightly larger proportion among adopted children than among all children. Black and Hispanic children are underrepresented in the population of adopted children relative to their distribution in the total population.

Only 16 percent of adopted children lived with a single parent compared with 25 percent of all children. In 1996, 84 percent of adopted children lived with two parents - 47 percent lived with two adoptive parents and 35 percent lived with one adoptive parent and a biological parent. Adoptions

Table 5.
Adopted Children by Race and Ethnicity and Living Arrangements: Fall 1996
(Numbers in thousands)

| Characteristics | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adopted children | 1,484 | 100.0 |
| Race and Ethnicity |  |  |
| White | 1,143 | 77.0 |
| Non-Hispanic | 1,027 | 69.2 |
| Black | 192 | 12.9 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native | 47 | 3.2 |
| Asian and Pacific Islander | 102 | 6.9 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{1}$ | 119 | 8.0 |
| Living arrangements |  |  |
| Two parents | 1,250 | 84.2 |
| Two adoptive parents | 702 | 47.3 |
| One adoptive and one biological | 515 | 34.7 |
| One adoptive and one step | 33 | 2.2 |
| One parent | 234 | 15.8 |
| Mother only | 182 | 12.3 |
| Father only | 52 | 3.5 |

${ }^{1}$ People of Hispanic origin may be of any race.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Income and Program Participation, 1996 Wave 2.
by stepparents are most likely the majority of the adoptions in this last group and are an important and distinct component of the total picture of adoptions.

## CHILDREN WITH SIBLINGS

Most children (79 percent) lived in households with at least one sibling (see Table 6): 11 percent lived with a half-sibling and 3 percent with a stepsibling. Black children were most likely to live with no siblings in the household (25 percent), ${ }^{12}$ but were also most likely to live with at least one half-sibling (16 percent). Asian and Pacific Islander children were the least likely to live with a half-sibling (5 percent).

In 1996, 27.7 million children (39 percent) lived with one sibling; an additional 24.3 million lived with two or three siblings (34 percent). These figures reflect the relatively
${ }^{12}$ The identification of siblings, in part, depends on the presence of parents. When two siblings are living in the same household with no parents present, they may fail to be identified as siblings or in the correct category of siblings.

Table 6.
Children by Presence of Siblings by Type of Relationship and Race and Ethnicity: Fall 1996
(In thousands)

| Presence of siblings |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

${ }^{1}$ People of Hispanic origin may be of any race.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Income and Program Participation, 1996 Wave 2.
higher levels of fertility in the United States compared with other industrialized countries, and the patterns of immigration and fertility among subgroups of the population. ${ }^{13}$ Hispanic children and American Indian and Alaska Native children live with two or more siblings 52 percent and 55 percent of the time respectively, proportions much higher than for any other race/ethnic groups. Fortythree percent of Black children and 40 percent of Asian and Pacific Islander children lived with two or more siblings, while only 24 percent of White non-Hispanic children lived with this many siblings.

## THE EXTENDED FAMILY HOUSEHOLD

Extended family households are households where a child lives with at least one parent and someone outside of the nuclear family, either relatives or nonrelatives. Examples of these other people include stepparents, non-biological siblings, grandparents, other relatives and nonrelatives. Many interrelated economic, cultural, and structural factors are involved in the creation of extended households. Affordability and availability of housing and employment force some families to double-up to save on housing costs. In addition fundamental cultural differences in family formation and household building behavior may be factors. ${ }^{14}$ On entering the United States, immigrants often live with sponsoring relatives and friends until they are able to support their own household; thus, extended families may be more prevalent among Asian

[^9]and Pacific Islander children and Hispanic children who compose the majority of new immigrants to the United States.

Structural differences, such as the likelihood of living in a singleparent household, also play significant roles in forming extended and nonparental household situations. Children born in single-parent households are more likely to experience spells of living with other relatives than are children born in two-parent households as the absence of parents may require additional economic and child support assistance. ${ }^{15}$

In 1996, 10.3 million children ( 14 percent of all children) lived in extended family households, up from 8.0 million in 1991 (see Table 7). As in 1991, White children in 1996 were least likely to live in extended family households compared with children of any other race. In 1996, about 12 percent were living in extended households compared with levels of 22 percent to 24 percent for children of other races or Hispanic origin.

The majority of extended households are formed by the presence of an additional relative (see Figure 5). Of the 10.3 million children living in extended families, 7.2 million (70 percent) had only other relatives as extended household members. Of children living in extended families, Asian and Pacific Islander children were most likely to live with relatives only (91 percent). White non-Hispanic children
${ }^{15}$ Jason M. Fields, Child Fosterage in American Households, Johns Hopkins University, School of Hygiene and Public Health, Baltimore, MD, 1998. Structural factors, like prior living arrangements, significantly effect the likelihood of a child living without their parents. Children born in single-parent households are more likely to experience spells of living with other kin instead of their parents compared to children born in two-parent households.
were more likely to live in extended family households with only nonrelatives (37 percent) than were children of other races.

Sharing resources and providing support are two of the reasons that an extended family household might be formed. Partly for those reasons, children in single-parent households are more likely to also be in extended households. Children living with only one parent in 1996 were nearly 4 times as likely to be living in an extended household than were children in two-parent families ( 33 percent versus 9 percent). Among children living in one-parent households, higher proportions of children (regardless of race or ethnic group) lived in extended households than among kids in two-parent households. White non-Hispanic children in twoparent households were the least likely to have been living in an extended family household (6 percent).

In households extended by the addition of nonrelatives only, or by nonrelatives and relatives, children were more likely to live with one parent than two compared with households extended by relatives only, where children were as likely to live with one parent as with two parents. For White non-Hispanic children in single-parent households, relatives were as likely as nonrelatives (15 percent each) to be included in the household. For the other race groups in comparable households, relatives were much more likely to be included in the households than nonrelatives were. This suggests that support systems for single-parent White non-Hispanic families depend less on the immediate presence of relatives in the household than do families of other races and ethnicities.

Table 7.
Children Living in Extended Households by Relationship of Household Members to Child and Race and Ethnicity: Fall 1996
(In thousands)

| Living arrangements | Total |  | Presence of parents |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Two parents |  | One parent |  |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| All races | 71,494 | 100.0 | 50,685 | 100.0 | 18,165 | 100.0 |
| Living in an extended household | 10,292 | 14.4 | 4,347 | 8.6 | 5,945 | 32.7 |
| Relatives only | 7,232 | 10.1 | 3,512 | 6.9 | 3,720 | 20.5 |
| Nonrelatives only | 2,618 | 3.7 | 719 | 1.4 | 1,898 | 10.4 |
| Relatives and nonrelatives | 442 | 0.6 | 116 | 0.2 | 327 | 1.8 |
| White | 56,212 | 100.0 | 43,466 | 100.0 | 11,131 | 100.0 |
| Living in an extended household | 6,764 | 12.0 | 3,211 | 7.4 | 3,553 | 31.9 |
| Relatives only | 4,390 | 7.8 | 2,509 | 5.8 | 1,881 | 16.9 |
| Nonrelatives only | 2,045 | 3.6 | 591 | 1.4 | 1,454 | 13.1 |
| Relatives and nonrelatives | 329 | 0.6 | 111 | 0.3 | 218 | 2.0 |
| Non-Hispanic | 46,657 | 100.0 | 36,837 | 100.0 | 8,632 | 100.0 |
| Living in an extended household | 4,700 | 10.1 | 2,051 | 5.6 | 2,649 | 30.7 |
| Relatives only | 2,751 | 5.9 | 1,496 | 4.1 | 1,255 | 14.5 |
| Nonrelatives only | 1,746 | 3.7 | 479 | 1.3 | 1,267 | 14.7 |
| Relatives and nonrelatives | 203 | 0.4 | 76 | 0.2 | 127 | 1.5 |
| Black | 11,631 | 100.0 | 4,397 | 100.0 | 6,320 | 100.0 |
| Living in an extended household | 2,659 | 22.9 | 579 | 13.2 | 2,080 | 32.9 |
| Relatives only | 2,090 | 18.0 | 483 | 11.0 | 1,607 | 25.4 |
| Nonrelatives only | 469 | 4.0 | 95 | 2.2 | 375 | 5.9 |
| Relatives and nonrelatives | 99 | 0.9 | 2 |  | 98 | 1.6 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native | 1,073 | 100.0 | 667 | 100.0 | 345 | 100.0 |
| Living in an extended household | 255 | 23.8 | 113 | 16.9 | 141 | 40.9 |
| Relatives only | 190 | 17.7 | 92 | 13.8 | 97 | 28.1 |
| Nonrelatives only | 58 | 5.4 | 21 | 3.1 | 37 | 10.7 |
| Relatives and nonrelatives | 7 | 0.7 |  |  | 7 | 2.0 |
| Asian and Pacific Islander | 2,578 | 100.0 | 2,156 | 100.0 | 369 | 100.0 |
| Living in an extended household | 615 | 23.9 | 444 | 20.6 | 171 | 46.3 |
| Relatives only | 562 | 21.8 | 428 | 19.9 | 134 | 36.3 |
| Nonrelatives only | 45 | 1.7 | 13 | 0.6 | 33 | 8.9 |
| Relatives and nonrelatives | 7 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.1 | 4 | 1.1 |
| Hispanic (of any race) | 10,428 | 100.0 | 7,112 | 100.0 | 2,870 | 100.0 |
| Living in an extended household | 2,284 | 21.9 | 1,247 | 17.5 | 1,037 | 36.1 |
| Relatives only | 1,790 | 17.2 | 1,080 | 15.2 | 709 | 24.7 |
| Nonrelatives only | 355 | 3.4 | 131 | 1.8 | 224 | 7.8 |
| Relatives and nonrelatives | 139 | 1.3 | 36 | 0.5 | 103 | 3.6 |

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Income and Program Participation, 1996 Wave 2.

## Relatives in Extended Families

Simply providing estimates of the number of children living with or without relatives in extended households masks the diversity present in these households. Table 8 presents data on the different types of relatives living with children (these counts are independent and not mutually exclusive with the exception of the three grandparent subcategories - i.e., a
person living with a grandmother, an uncle and an aunt is counted separately in each of those categories). The most commonly mentioned relative was grandparent(s) - about 4.1 million children were living with one or both grandparent(s) in addition to one or both of their own parents. Other relatives was the next largest category; this includes more distant relatives, cousins, and re-
sponses that lacked enough specificity to be classified into more distinct categories. As in 1991, uncles and aunts are represented in similar proportions
(23 percent and 25 percent respectively), as are nieces and nephews (7 percent each).

Differences in the types of relatives living with children are obviously related to the size of the house-

Table 8.
Children Living in Extended Family Households With Relatives by Type of Relative Present and Race and Ethnicity: Fall 1996
(In thousands)

| Living arrangements | All races | White | White non-Hispanic | Black | Asian and Pacific Islander | Hispanic ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in extended families ${ }^{2}$ | 7,674 | 4,719 | 2,954 | 2,189 | 569 | 1,929 |
| Number of different types of relatives: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 type | 4,851 | 3,184 | 2,122 | 1,161 | 379 | 1,117 |
| 2 types | 1,787 | 980 | 607 | 638 | 115 | 412 |
| 3 types | 860 | 448 | 192 | 338 | 59 | 318 |
| 4 or more types | 176 | 107 | 32 | 53 | 17 | 82 |
| Living with at least one - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grandparents ........ | 4,107 | 2,506 | 1,773 | 1,192 | 331 | 774 |
| Grandmother and grandfather | 1,557 | 1,033 | 762 | 334 | 153 | 278 |
| Grandmother only | 2,165 | 1,182 | 802 | 799 | 144 | 408 |
| Grandfather only | 385 | 291 | 209 | 57 | 34 | 88 |
| Uncle | 1,799 | 1,078 | 494 | 559 | 120 | 661 |
| Aunt | 1,923 | 1,133 | 557 | 609 | 159 | 677 |
| Nephew | 518 | 243 | 122 | 246 | 8 | 137 |
| Niece | 543 | 206 | 106 | 322 | 12 | 109 |
| Brother-in-law | 47 | 35 | 14 | - | - | 21 |
| Sister-in-law | 90 | 80 | 27 | 10 | - | 57 |
| Other extended relative | 2,696 | 1,650 | 947 | 724 | 222 | 799 |

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
${ }^{1}$ People of Hispanic origin may be of any race.
${ }^{2}$ Individual categories add to more than the total because children may live with more than one type of relative.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Income and Program Participation, 1996 Wave 2.
hold: children in larger households have a higher likelihood of living with different types of relatives. Of children living in extended families with relatives, 63 percent lived with only a single type of relative (see Table 8). Seventy-two percent of White non-Hispanic children lived with only a single type compared with 53 percent of Black children. At the opposite end of the scale, Hispanic children in these households were more likely to live with three or more types of extended family relatives ( 21 percent), compared with Asian and Pa cific Islander children and White non-Hispanic children (13 percent and 8 percent respectively), but were not significantly different from Black children (18 percent).


## Multigenerational Households and Children With Grandparents

In 1996, 4.2 million children lived in multigenerational households (see Table 9). Nearly all of them, 4.1 million children, lived in households with a parent and grandparent. Virtually all the remaining children in multigenerational households lived with their parent and own child $(94,000)$.

In addition to the 4.1 million children noted above, there were an additional 1.3 million children living with a grandparent, without a parent present, yielding a total of 5.4 million children living with at least one grandparent (see Table 10). When children live in households with grandparents - who themselves are often dependent on the householder
(the child's parent) - family budgets may be strained, and children may have to share their parents' time and resources with their grandparents, especially in situations where their grandparents may require additional care. Children and grandparents are also likely to benefit from this situation in many ways. The growing number of children in this situation has prompted recent studies on the well-being and characteristics of these children and their families. Children living in grandparentmaintained households were more likely to be in poverty, without health insurance, and receiving public assistance. ${ }^{16}$ As in 1991, the most

[^10]Figure 5.

## Children Living in Extended Family Households by Presence of Other Relatives and Nonrelatives: Fall 1996

(Percent distribution)

${ }^{1}$ People of Hispanic origin may be of any race.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Income and Program Participation, 1996 Wave 2.
common living arrangement was when the child was living with a grandparent and a single mother 2.3 million children, or 43 percent of children with grandparents in 1996. In the vast majority of these instances, the grandparent was the householder ( 1.9 million children), probably representing the situation
when a single parent and child moved into the grandparent's home and were dependent on the grandparent for providing housing and other support.

An additional 1.6 million children, or 30 percent of children who lived with a grandparent, also lived with
both parents. The grandparent was the householder in less than half the cases. Most likely, when a child lived with both parents and a grandparent, the grandparent moved into their child's household and was dependent on their grandchild's parents for assistance.

Overall, only 5 percent of White nonHispanic children lived with one or more grandparents compared with about 15 percent of Black and Asian and Pacific Islander children. The different scenarios of the type of grandparent-grandchild household children live in illustrate the different dynamics of extended household formation for different racial and ethnic groups in the United States. More than one-half of Black children who lived with grandparents lived in households with single mothers and grandparents, a proportion greater than any of the other groups shown in Figure 6. Another one-third of Black children who lived with grandparents had neither parent living with them. These children lived with their grandparents under conditions characterized by a significant degree of out-of-wedlock births, marital disruption, or the absence of both parents from their daily living arrangements.

Table 9.
Children Living in Multigenerational Households by Race and Ethnicity: Fall 1996
(Numbers in thousands)

| Living arrangements | All races | White | White non-Hispanic | Asian andPacificBlackIslander |  | Hispanic ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children living in multigenerational households | 4,201 | 2,554 | 1,797 | 1,233 | 334 | 802 |
| Percent of all children | 5.9 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 10.6 | 13.0 | 7.7 |
| With parent and grandparent | 4,103 | 2,502 | 1,769 | 1,192 | 331 | 774 |
| With parent and own child | 94 | 49 | 23 | 41 | 4 | 28 |
| Other | 4 | 4 | 4 | - | - | - |

[^11]Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Income and Program Participation, 1996 Wave 2.

Table 10.
Children Living With Grandparents by Race and Ethnicity: Fall 1996
(Numbers in thousands)

| Living arrangements |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All races | White | White <br> non-Hispanic | Black <br> Pacific <br> Islander | Hispanic ${ }^{1}$ |

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
${ }^{1}$ People of Hispanic origin may be of any race.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Income and Program Participation, 1996 Wave 2.

In contrast, the majority (72 percent) of Asian and Pacific Islander children who lived with grandparents also lived with both their parents. Another 21 percent of these children lived with their mother and only 7 percent lived with grandparents with no parent present. In the vast majority of cases where these children lived with both parents and a grandparent, the grandparent was probably dependent on the parents for assistance. Only 10 percent of Asian and Pacific Islander children in multigenerational households with two parents present were living in households where the grandparent was the householder (see Table 10).

Both White non-Hispanic children and Hispanic children were about as likely to live with both parents and a grandparent as they were to live with only their mother and a grandparent (about 37 percent each). For both groups the grandparent was the householder about 48 percent of
the time when children lived with two parents. These statistics suggest that while many children live with grandparents, the role of the grandparent as either the principal household provider or as a dependent elderly relative is likely to be very different among children in different race groups.

## HISTORICAL TRENDS

Today's family and household structures are not unique and may be put in a useful context by comparing them with family and household structures since the late $19^{\text {th }}$ century. Based on decennial census data from the Integrated Public Use Microdata Series, ${ }^{17}$ the Current Population Survey, and the 1996 SIPP, Figure 7 presents parent-child living arrangements for the period from 1880

[^12]through 1996 (See also Internet Table 2 for additional years of data not included in Figure 7).

While family and household structure has experienced some sizable changes, it also shows some remarkable stability. From 1880 to 1970, the distribution of children's living arrangements changed very little. The proportion of children who lived without parents declined from 6 percent in 1880 to about 3 percent in 1970. During this same period, the proportion of children who lived with their mothers only increased from 8 percent to just 11 percent.

More dramatic shifts in living arrangements have occurred since 1970. Children began living with only their mothers at a much higher rate - between 1970 and 1990 the proportion of these children living with only their mother doubled ( 11 percent to 22 percent). Since 1990, the changes in children's living

Figure 6.
Children Living With Grandparents by Presence of Parents and Race and Ethnicity: Fall 1996
(Percent distribution)

${ }^{1}$ People of Hispanic origin may be of any race.
Note: - Represents zero or rounds to zero.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Income and Program Participation, 1996 Wave 2.

Figure 7.
Historical Living Arrangements of Children:
Selected Years, 1880 to 1996
(Percent distribution)


[^13]arrangements have leveled off.
Changes in the proportion of children living with only with their fathers increased from 1970 and 1990, but to levels that are not historically different from those recorded 100 years ago.

Earlier in the century, migration and mortality led to more children being raised by a single parent, while later in the century, divorce and out-ofwedlock births have led to the same end. The middle of the $20^{\text {th }}$ century is a unique period in the demographic history of the U.S. population. This period is marked by increased fertility that led to the Baby Boom, and by high proportions of children living with both parents.

The diversity of children's living arrangements continues to be an important avenue for research.
Whether the changes that occurred through the middle of the century will reverse, continue their previous trend, or whether they have reached a new equilibrium for the foreseeable future remains to be seen.

## SOURCE OF DATA

The estimates in this report come from the Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP), and are based on data collected from August through November of 1996 by the U.S. Census Bureau. The SIPP is a longitudinal survey conducted at 4month intervals. Although the main focus of the SIPP is information on labor force participation, jobs, income, and participation in federal assistance programs, information on other topics is also collected in topical modules on a rotating basis. The data highlighted in this report come primarily from the core and the household relationship topical modules in the second interview (wave) of the 1996 SIPP panel.

## ACCURACY OF THE ESTIMATES

Statistics from surveys are subject to sampling and nonsampling error. All comparisons presented in this report have taken sampling error into account and meet the U.S. Census Bureau's standards for statistical significance. Nonsampling errors in surveys may be attributed to a variety of sources, such as how the survey was designed, how respondents interpret questions, how able and willing respondents are to provide correct answers, and how accurately answers are coded and classified. The Census Bureau employs quality control procedures throughout the production process-including the overall design of surveys, the wording of questions, review of the work of interviewers and coders, and statistical review of reports.

The SIPP employs ratio estimation, whereby sample estimates are adjusted to independent estimates of the national population by age, race, sex, and Hispanic origin. This weighting partially corrects for bias due to undercoverage, but how it affects different variables in the survey
is not precisely known. Moreover, biases may also be present when people who are missed in the survey differ from those interviewed in ways other than the categories used in weighting (age, race, sex, and Hispanic origin). All of these considerations affect comparisons across different surveys or data sources. Estimates for small subpopulations (less than 200,000 estimated persons) should be interpreted with particular caution.

For further information on statistical standards and the computation and use of standard errors, contact John Boise, Demographic Statistical Methods Division, at 301-457-4221 or on the Internet at
john.l.boise@census.gov.

## MORE INFORMATION

A copy of this report is available from the Population Division's Statistical information Office, 301-4572422. The report, as well as two detailed tables, is also available on the Internet (www.census.gov); search for children's data by clicking on the "Subjects A-Z" button and selecting "children" under "C."
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## CONTACTS

For additional living arrangements or children's family information, you may contact the author of this report in Fertility and Family Statistics Branch, on 301-457-2465. You may also contact the author of this report by e-mail.

```
Jason Fields -
jason.m.fields@census.gov
```


## USER COMMENTS

The Census Bureau welcomes the comments and advice of users of its data and reports. If you have any suggestions or comments, please write to:

Chief, Population Division
U.S. Census Bureau

Washington, DC 20233
or send an e-mail inquiry to:
pop@census.gov
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