

Calendar No. 176

108TH CONGRESS }
1st Session }

SENATE

{ REPORT
108-82

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATION BILL, 2004

JUNE 26, 2003.—Ordered to be printed

Mrs. HUTCHISON, from the Committee on Appropriations,
submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany S. 1357]

The Committee on Appropriations reports the bill (S. 1357) making appropriations for military construction, family housing, and base realignment and closure for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2004, and for other purposes, reports favorably thereon and recommends that the bill do pass.

Total of bill as reported to Senate	\$9,196,000,000
Amount of 2004 budget estimate	9,117,281,000
Amount of 2003 appropriations	10,698,800,000
The bill as reported to the Senate:	
Over the budget estimate, 2004	78,719,000
Below appropriations for fiscal year 2003	1,502,800,000

CONTENTS

Background:	Page
Purpose of the bill	3
Comparative statement	3
Compliance with section 308 of the Budget Control Act	9
Committee recommendation	9
Items of special interest	10
Military construction, Army	20
Military construction, Navy	21
Military construction, Air Force	22
Military construction, Defense-wide	23
Military construction, Reserve components	25
NATO Security Investment Program	27
Family housing overview	28
Family housing, Army	28
Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps	29
Family housing, Air Force	30
Family housing, Defense-wide	31
Family housing improvement fund	31
Base realignment and closure account, part IV	32
General provisions	34
Compliance with paragraph 7, rule XVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate ...	35
Compliance with paragraph 7(c), rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate	35
Compliance with paragraph 12, rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate	36
Project listing by location	36

BACKGROUND

PURPOSE OF THE BILL

The Military Construction appropriation bill provides necessary funding for the planning, design, construction, alteration, and improvement of military facilities worldwide, both for Active and Reserve Forces. It also finances the construction, alteration, improvement, operation, and maintenance of military family housing, including payments against past housing mortgage indebtedness. Certain types of community impact assistance may be provided, as well as assistance to members of the military who face loss on the sale of private residences due to installation realignments and closures. The bill is also the source for the U.S. share of the NATO Security Investment Program. In addition, the bill provides funding to implement base closures and realignments authorized by law.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

The Committee recommends appropriations totaling \$9,196,000,000 for fiscal year 2004 military construction, family housing, and base closure. The following table displays the Committee recommendation in comparison with the current fiscal year, and the President's fiscal year 2004 request.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY
[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2003 enacted	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Increase (+) or decrease (—) compared with—	
				2003 enacted	Budget estimate
Military construction, Army	1,472,022	1,602,060	1,255,155	— 216,867	— 346,905
Defense emergency response fund [DERF]	211,688	— 211,688
Subtotal	1,683,710	1,602,060	1,255,155	— 428,555	— 346,905
Rescission	— 49,376	— 66,050	— 183,615	— 134,239	— 117,565
Supplemental appropriations (Public Law 108–11)	2,000	— 2,000
Total	1,636,334	1,536,010	1,071,540	— 564,794	— 464,470
Military construction, Navy	1,095,698	1,147,537	1,195,659	+ 99,961	+ 48,122
Defense emergency response fund [DERF]	209,430	— 209,430
Subtotal	1,305,128	1,147,537	1,195,659	— 109,469	+ 48,122
Rescission	— 1,340	— 14,679	— 39,322	— 37,982	— 24,643
Supplemental appropriations (Public Law 108–11)	48,100	— 48,100
Total	1,351,888	1,132,858	1,156,337	— 195,551	+ 23,479
Military construction, Air Force	891,650	830,671	1,056,377	+ 164,727	+ 225,706
Defense emergency response fund [DERF]	188,597	— 188,597
Subtotal	1,080,247	830,671	1,056,377	— 23,870	+ 225,706
Rescission	— 13,281	+ 13,281
Rescission (Public Law 108–7)	— 18,600	+ 18,600
Supplemental appropriations (Public Law 108–11)	152,900	— 152,900
Total	1,201,266	830,671	1,056,377	— 144,889	+ 225,706
Military construction, Defense-wide	836,345	695,298	712,567	— 123,778	+ 17,269
Defense emergency response fund [DERF]	33,300	— 33,300
Subtotal	869,645	695,298	712,567	— 157,078	+ 17,269

Rescissions	-2,976	- 997	- 32,680	- 29,704	- 31,683
Total	866,669	694,301	679,887	- 186,782	- 14,414
Total, Active components	5,056,157	4,193,840	3,964,141	- 1,092,016	- 229,699
Military construction, Army National Guard	241,377	168,298	304,085	+ 62,708	+ 135,787
Military construction, Air National Guard	194,880	60,430	221,013	+ 26,133	+ 160,583
Defense emergency response fund [DERF]	8,933	- 8,933
Total	203,813	60,430	221,013	+ 17,200	+ 160,583
Military construction, Army Reserve	100,554	68,478	73,979	- 26,575	+ 5,501
Military construction, Naval Reserve	67,804	28,032	34,742	- 33,062	+ 6,710
Defense emergency response fund [DERF]	7,117	- 7,117
Total	74,921	28,032	34,742	- 40,179	+ 6,710
Military construction, Air Force Reserve	63,650	44,312	57,426	- 6,224	+ 13,114
Defense emergency response fund [DERF]	3,576	- 3,576
Subtotal	67,226	44,312	57,426	- 9,800	+ 13,114
Miscellaneous appropriations (Public Law 108-7)	18,600	- 18,600
Total	85,826	44,312	57,426	- 28,400	+ 13,114
Total, Reserve components	706,491	369,550	691,245	- 15,246	+ 321,695
Total, Military construction	5,762,648	4,563,390	4,655,386	- 1,107,262	+ 91,996
Appropriations	(5,185,580)	(4,645,116)	(4,911,003)	(- 274,577)	(+ 265,887)
Defense emergency response fund	(662,641)	(- 662,641)
Rescissions	(- 85,573)	(- 81,726)	(- 255,617)	(- 170,044)	(- 173,891)
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program	167,200	169,300	169,300	+ 2,100
Family housing construction, Army	280,356	409,191	409,191	+ 128,835
Rescission	- 4,920	- 52,300	- 52,300	- 47,380
Total	275,436	356,891	356,891	+ 81,455
Family housing operation and maintenance, Army	1,106,007	1,043,026	1,043,026	- 62,981

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	2003 enacted	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Increase (+) or decrease (–) compared with—	
				2003 enacted	Budget estimate
Family housing construction, Navy and Marine Corps	376,468	184,193	184,193	– 192,275
Rescission	– 2,652	– 3,585	– 933	– 3,585
Total	373,816	184,193	180,608	– 193,208	– 3,585
Family housing operation and maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps	861,788	852,778	852,778	– 9,010
Family housing construction, Air Force	684,824	657,065	657,065	– 27,759
Rescission	– 8,782	– 19,347	– 29,039	– 20,257	– 9,692
Total	676,042	637,718	628,026	– 48,016	– 9,692
Family housing operation and maintenance, Air Force	833,419	834,468	834,468	+ 1,049
Defense emergency response fund [DERF]	29,631	– 29,631
Subtotal	863,050	834,468	834,468	– 28,582
Supplemental appropriations (Public Law 108–11)	1,800	– 1,800
Total	864,850	834,468	834,468	– 30,382
Family housing construction, Defense-wide	5,480	350	350	– 5,130
Family housing operation and maintenance, Defense-wide	42,395	49,440	49,440	+ 7,045
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund	2,000	300	300	– 1,700
Total, Family housing	4,207,814	3,959,164	3,945,887	– 261,927	– 13,277
Base realignment and closure account	561,138	370,427	370,427	– 190,711
General provision (sec. 118)	55,000	55,000	+ 55,000
Grand total:	10,698,800	9,117,281	9,196,000	– 1,502,800	+ 78,719
New budget (obligational) authority	(10,108,455)	(9,270,654)	(9,536,541)	(– 571,914)	(+ 265,887)
Appropriations	(692,272)	(– 692,272)
Defense emergency response fund

Rescissions	(- 101,927)	(- 153,373)	(- 340,541)	(- 238,614)	(- 187,168)
SUMMARY OF APPROPRIATIONS ACCOUNTS					
Military Construction, Army	1,636,334	1,536,010	1,071,540	- 564,794	- 464,470
Military Construction, Navy	1,351,888	1,132,858	1,156,337	- 195,551	+ 23,479
Military Construction, Air Force	1,201,266	830,671	1,056,377	- 144,889	+ 225,706
Military Construction, Defense-wide	866,669	694,301	679,887	- 186,782	- 14,414
Total, Active components	5,056,157	4,193,840	3,964,141	- 1,092,016	- 229,699
Military Construction, Army National Guard	241,377	168,298	304,085	+ 62,708	+ 135,787
Military Construction, Air National Guard	203,813	60,430	221,013	+ 17,200	+ 160,583
Military Construction, Army Reserve	100,554	68,478	73,979	- 26,575	+ 5,501
Military Construction, Naval Reserve	74,921	28,032	34,742	- 40,179	+ 6,710
Military Construction, Air Force Reserve	85,826	44,312	57,426	- 28,400	+ 13,114
Total, Reserve components	706,491	369,550	691,245	- 15,246	+ 321,695
Total, Military Construction	5,762,648	4,563,390	4,655,386	- 1,107,262	+ 91,996
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program	167,200	169,300	169,300	+ 2,100
Family Housing, Army:					
Construction	275,436	356,891	356,891	+ 81,455
Operation and Maintenance	1,106,007	1,043,026	1,043,026	- 62,981
Family Housing, Navy and Marine Corps:					
Construction	373,816	184,193	180,608	- 193,208	- 3,585
Operation and Maintenance	861,788	852,778	852,778	- 9,010
Family Housing, Air Force:					
Construction	676,042	637,718	628,026	- 48,016	- 9,692
Operation and Maintenance	864,850	834,468	834,468	- 30,382
Family Housing, Defense-wide:					
Construction	5,480	350	350	- 5,130
Operation and Maintenance	42,395	49,440	49,440	+ 7,045
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund	2,000	300	300	- 1,700
Total, Family Housing	4,207,814	3,959,164	3,945,887	- 261,927	- 13,277
Base Realignment and Closure Account	561,138	370,427	370,427	- 190,711

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY—Continued
 [In thousands of dollars]

Item	2003 enacted	Budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Increase (+) or decrease (–) compared with—	
				2003 enacted	Budget estimate
General provision	55,000	55,000	+ 55,000
Grand Total	10,698,800	9,117,281	9,196,000	– 1,502,800	+ 78,719

COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 308 OF THE BUDGET CONTROL ACT

Section 308(a) of the Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344) requires that the Committee include in its report a comparison of its recommendations with levels contained in the first concurrent resolution. Appropriate data are reflected below:

BUDGETARY IMPACT OF BILL

PREPARED IN CONSULTATION WITH THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE PURSUANT TO SEC.
308(a), PUBLIC LAW 93–344, AS AMENDED

[In millions of dollars]

	Budget authority		Outlays	
	Committee allocation ¹	Amount of bill	Committee allocation ¹	Amount of bill
Comparison of amounts in the bill with Committee allocations to its subcommittees of amounts in the Budget Resolution for 2004: Subcommittee on Military Construction:				
Discretionary	9,196	9,196	10,297	¹ 10,273
Projection of outlays associated with the recommendation:				
2004				² 2,593
2005				3,376
2006				1,883
2007				722
2008 and future years				622
Financial assistance to State and local governments for 2004	NA		NA	

¹ Includes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

² Excludes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

NA: Not applicable.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends new fiscal year 2004 appropriations of \$9,196,000,000. This is \$78,719,000 over the budget request, and \$1,502,800,000 below the appropriations for fiscal year 2003. The basis for this recommendation is contained in the following “Items of special interest,” and under the discussions pertaining to each individual appropriation. Complete project detail is provided in the tables at the end of the report.

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

HEARINGS

The Subcommittee on Military Construction held a hearing on the fiscal year 2004 budget request during March 2003. The subcommittee heard testimony from representatives of the military services and defense agencies concerning fiscal year 2004 budget priorities and base realignment and closure [BRAC] issues. The subcommittee also held a hearing in March on the BRAC process, with testimony from Defense Department officials and from representatives of communities which have undergone BRAC. In April, the subcommittee held a hearing in which the commanders of United States forces in Europe and Korea testified about their visions for revising the structure of military base facilities in their respective commands.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

The budget request for fiscal year 2004 reflects a decrease of \$1,581,519,000 from the amount enacted in fiscal year 2003.

The Committee recommends an additional \$78,719,000 above the fiscal year 2004 budget request. The total recommended appropriation for fiscal year 2004 is \$9,196,000,000, a decrease of \$1,502,800,000 from fiscal year 2003 funding.

OVERSEAS MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

The budget request included over \$1,000,000,000 for military construction at U.S. installations outside the United States, much of it destined for facilities constructed for prosecution of the cold war. For several years, the Committee has expressed concern that our overseas basing structure has not been updated to reflect the realities of the post-cold war world. Our Nation is dealing with new threats, new strategies, new force structure, new deployment concepts, and new geopolitical realities, yet a basing structure born of and rationalized for the cold war endures. The Committee has questioned the wisdom of continuing to expend taxpayer dollars on overseas facilities that may not be appropriate to the Nation's future military needs. To spur the Defense Department to address this issue, in its report accompanying the Fiscal Year 2002 Military Construction Appropriations Act, the Committee directed the Defense Department to submit a report on its overseas basing master plan no later than April 1, 2002; that report has not yet been received.

The Defense Department continues to study the question and has underway an overseas basing and presence study that will lead to, among other things, recommendations for a major overhaul of the United States overseas basing structure. Like the overseas basing master plan due to Congress, that study has yet to be completed,

but in testimony before the Military Construction Subcommittee in April, two of the combatant commanders—General James Jones, Supreme Allied Commander, Europe and Commander of United States European Command, and General Leon LaPorte, Commander of United States Forces, Korea—presented their visions for military basing in their respective areas of responsibility. General Jones described a concept for Europe that featured fewer large bases, several smaller, more austere bases in forward locations, and greater use of rotational forces in and out of these facilities instead of permanent stationing of large forces with the attendant support infrastructure. General LaPorte described a vision for Korea in which United States forces are consolidated at a greatly reduced number of facilities, located further south on the Korean peninsula than at present.

As part of the effort to realign overseas basing, the Secretary of Defense asked the combatant commanders to review whether the enacted fiscal year 2003 military construction program and their fiscal year 2004 military construction budget requests supported the emerging concept for the operation of U.S. military forces in their respective areas of responsibility. That review resulted in a budget amendment which rescinded or deleted \$531,000,000 in fiscal year 2003 and fiscal year 2004 projects at various overseas locations and proposed new construction in an equal amount, approximately \$276,000,000 of which was overseas.

The Committee commends both the commanders and the Department for identifying construction projects that are not in accord with the emerging outlines of a new overseas basing structure. Moreover, the Committee was impressed by the combatant commanders' boldness and creativity in reassessing basing needs, and believes that their respective visions hold great promise for a more efficient and effective basing structure that will enhance the ability of the United States to meet new threats. The Committee believes that when fully developed, this vision will provide a sound basis on which Congress and the administration will be able to determine the future of our overseas basing structure.

The Committee recognizes that at this point the vision has not yet been developed into a comprehensive plan on which decisions to pursue new construction initiatives can prudently be based. The overseas basing and presence study involves far more than military facilities. According to public statements of Defense Department officials, it will result in potentially dramatic changes in the disposition of U.S. forces abroad, including where they are based, how they operate, how they move to and from their theaters of operations, and even the number of forces deployed in specific theaters. In various press accounts, administration officials have acknowledged considering: new bases in Australia; Navy ships ported in Vietnam; increased United States troop presence in Malaysia and Singapore; bases in Algeria, Morocco and Tunisia, as well as Senegal, Ghana, Mali, and Kenya; bases on territory of the former Soviet Union; a rotational model for circulating forces in and out of overseas bases; significant reductions to force levels in Germany; and a major relocation and possible reduction to forces in the Republic of Korea. Summarizing the extent of the changes under consideration, the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy stated that

“Everything is going to move everywhere.” If the sweeping changes under consideration are to be implemented, they will require extensive diplomatic efforts both in the nations in which the United States seeks a new presence and in those in which it will reduce or reshape its presence.

Because a comprehensive plan is not yet developed, the Committee is unwilling to undertake extensive new military construction projects that would begin the implementation of that plan without a thorough and deliberate review by Congress. The Committee is particularly concerned about projects proposed for Korea in the budget amendment submitted May 1, 2003. For example:

- The amendment proposes to build three new barracks on privately held land, which the United States does not control, adjacent to Camp Humphreys. The Minister of National Defense of the Republic of Korea has committed to obtaining the land for use by the United States. The Committee applauds and appreciates the commitment of the Korean Government, but it is not confident the land will in fact be acquired in time to support execution of these projects in fiscal year 2004.
- The budget amendment proposes to build at Camp Humphreys a fitness center, first appropriated for Camp Kyle in the fiscal year 2000 Act, then “realigned” to Camp Bonifas in fiscal year 2003, and now “realigned” again to Camp Humphreys. The Committee is concerned that the repeated movement of this fitness center indicates it is a project in search of a home rather than part of a comprehensive and sound plan to support military requirements.
- The budget amendment proposes to realign a middle school from Seoul to Camp Humphreys. This building was designed as a three story structure because of space limitations in the urban environment for which it was intended, but Department officials have indicated that this may not be an appropriate design for a school at a location where similarly restrictive land constraints do not necessarily exist.
- The budget amendment proposed to “realign” a barracks project from the K-16 airfield to Camp Humphreys. Subsequent to the budget amendment submission, however, the Committee was informed by Army officials that this request was in error, that the barracks was still required at K-16, and a correction to the budget amendment would be forthcoming. Then the Committee was then advised that a correction would not be issued, and the “realignment” request would stand.

The Committee has similar reservations about proposed construction in Europe. For example, the budget request includes a number of projects in areas in Germany that, according to public statements of Army and other Defense Department officials, are likely to see significant force level reductions. Military officials also have stated that it is unclear whether it is feasible to implement the rotational unit concept that is central to the emerging vision of the U.S. overseas presence.

Evaluated against a backdrop of uncertainty about fundamental aspects of a revised overseas basing structure which the Department has yet to propose, including the number of troops that these facilities will be required to support, these examples indicate to the

Committee that the Defense Department's overseas basing and presence plan is not yet sufficiently mature to enable the Committee to commit with confidence to extensive new construction. Thus the Committee has declined to fund much of the new construction in Europe and Korea requested in the May 1, 2003 budget amendment.

The Committee's actions do not indicate dissatisfaction with the general direction in which the Department appears to be headed. To the contrary, the Committee welcomes what it regards as a refreshing and overdue effort to think creatively about this issue. The Committee looks forward to receiving and evaluating the Department's full recommendations once they become available. Although the Committee expects that the Fiscal Year 2005 Military Construction Act will be the vehicle by which new overseas construction initiatives will be implemented, it does not rule out the possibility of considering pressing military construction needs in a supplemental appropriation. However, the Committee is insistent that before any such decisions are taken, the Department's plan be finished and presented to Congress with sufficient time for deliberate consideration.

OVERSEAS BASING COMMISSION

In keeping with the Committee's continuing concern about the Nation's overseas basing, the Committee recommends a provision that would establish a commission to conduct a thorough study of matters related to U.S. military facility structure overseas. The Commission on the Review of the Overseas Military Facility Structure of the United States would consist of eight members appointed by the congressional leadership. The Commission would be authorized to hold hearings and receive information from Federal agencies in order to assess whether the current U.S. overseas basing structure is adequate to execute current missions, and to assess the feasibility of closures, realignments, or establishment of new installations overseas to meet emerging defense requirements. The Commission would not preclude in any way the Defense Department's ongoing efforts to develop a comprehensive and integrated global presence and basing strategy. Rather, it would provide Congress an independent view of the Nation's overseas basing requirements to aid it in its oversight role.

The Commission would submit a report to the President and Congress by August 30, 2004, containing findings, conclusions, and recommendations for legislation and administrative actions, as well as a proposal for an overseas basing strategy to meet current and future requirements.

COMPREHENSIVE MASTER PLANS FOR OVERSEAS MILITARY FACILITIES INFRASTRUCTURE

The Committee is concerned about the use of military construction budget authority for construction projects at bases that may soon be obsolete due to changes being considered in overseas presence and basing. To ensure that military construction funding is devoted to facilities needed to meet firm future requirements, the Committee directs the Department of Defense to (1) prepare detailed comprehensive master plans for the changing infrastructure

requirements for U.S. military facilities within each of its overseas regional commands; the plans should, at a minimum identify precise facility requirements, and the status of properties being returned to host nations. The plans also should identify funding requirements and the division of funding responsibilities between the United States and cognizant host nations; and (2) provide, with each yearly MILCON budget submission to the congressional defense committees through fiscal year 2008, a report on the status of those plans and their implementation. The first such report shall be provided with the fiscal year 2005 MILCON budget submission and updated each succeeding year to reflect changes to the plans involving specific construction projects being added, canceled, modified, or funding for those projects being redirected to other needs, and justification for such changes. During this period, the Committee also directs the General Accounting Office to monitor the infrastructure master plans being developed and implemented for the overseas regional commands and to provide the congressional defense committees with a report by May 15 of each year giving their assessment of the status of the plans, associated costs, burden-sharing implications, and other relevant information involving property returns to host nations, restoration issues, and residual values.

IMPACT OF MILITARY HOUSING PRIVATIZATION ON LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICTS

This Committee has supported the Department of Defense program to privatize military family housing. The Department has shown that private sector financing, ownership, operation, and maintenance of military housing can help to eliminate inadequate housing faster than could otherwise be achieved. However, the Committee is concerned about the impact of privatization projects on local school districts. In most instances, the children of families living in military-owned housing located on military installations attend schools operated by local school districts. Because the children live on non-taxed Federal property, the government compensates the local school districts through payment of impact funds averaging about \$2,000 per student. Privatization of the on-base housing could result in the classification of the property being changed from Federal to non-Federal. Such a change could result in the impact funds paid to the local school districts dropping significantly—to about \$200 per student. Privatization projects could also impact local school districts by changing the number or geographic dispersion of the children of military families in local communities thus affecting the size and location of needed schools. For example, the privatization project at Fort Hood, Texas, is estimated to create a need for three more schools in the local school district.

Consequently, the Secretary of Defense shall report to congressional defense committees by March 15, 2004, on the impact of privatization of military family housing on local school districts, and options for addressing local school requirements resulting from the privatization. The report should include an assessment of whether establishing military charter schools on Department of Defense installations—modeled on the example of Belle Chasse Academy at the Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base, New Orleans—could pro-

ductively help to alleviate the impact of housing privatization on local school districts. The Comptroller General shall report on his evaluation of the Secretary's report within 60 days of its issuance and make recommendations, if needed, to help ensure that the impacts from privatization on local school districts are mitigated.

PERCHLORATE

The Committee is growing increasingly concerned about the potential impact of perchlorate contamination at installations that have been closed through the BRAC process as well as at active and inactive defense sites. Perchlorate, a chemical used in solid rocket propellant, has been identified by the Environmental Protection Agency [EPA] as an unregulated toxin. Perchlorate contamination has been found in drinking water supplies in 29 States, including California, Texas, Colorado, Maryland, and Massachusetts. More than 300 groundwater wells in California are contaminated with perchlorate, as is the Colorado River, which supplies drinking water to more than 15,000,000 people in the Southwest.

According to the EPA, the Department of Defense and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration are responsible for 90 percent of the perchlorate produced in the United States. Although the EPA last year concluded in a draft assessment that perchlorate could pose a risk to human health at drinking water concentrations of just one part per billion, the Federal Government has yet to set a drinking water standard for perchlorate. Therefore, no remediation standard exists. The EPA assessment has been referred to the National Academy of Sciences for review, which could significantly delay the establishment of a national drinking water standard for perchlorate.

The Committee recognizes that, absent a state or Federal standard for perchlorate, the Department of Defense is under no legal obligation to remediate perchlorate contamination at defense sites. However, the Committee is disappointed that the Department has been unresponsive to requests to test for perchlorate at BRAC properties or other defense sites.

To ensure that the Department is prepared to respond quickly and appropriately once a perchlorate standard is determined, the Committee directs that the Department take the following actions:

- (1) Submit to the congressional defense committees no later than December 31, 2003, a report on the activities of the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee of the Department of Defense that was established in January 1998 and was originally chartered to facilitate and coordinate accurate accounts of technological issues (occurrence, health effects, treatability and waste stream handling, analytical detection, and ecological impacts) related to perchlorate contamination of drinking water supplies and irrigation water supplies and to create information transfer links for interagency and intergovernmental activities regarding such areas of concern. The report shall cover all activities that were identified in the memorandum of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Environmental Security), dated January 24, 2001, to the Secretaries of the military departments and the Director of the Defense Logistics Agency.

- (2) Identify sources of perchlorate on BRAC properties and develop a plan to remediate perchlorate contamination on BRAC sites

that can be implemented rapidly once State or Federal perchlorate standards are set. The Department shall report to the congressional defense committees on its perchlorate findings and remediation action plan no later than March 30, 2004.

CONFORMANCE WITH AUTHORIZATION BILL

The Committee strongly supports the authorization-appropriation process. However, the Committee has reported the appropriation bill prior to completion of the authorization process. Therefore, the Committee has provided construction funds for specific projects which were included in the Senate-passed version of the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2004 and projects subject to authorization.

REPROGRAMMING RULES/CRITERIA

The following rules apply for all military construction and family housing reprogrammings. A project or account (including the subelements of an account) which has been specifically reduced by the Congress in acting on the appropriation request is considered to be a congressional interest item. A prior approval reprogramming is required for any increase to an item that has been specifically reduced by the Congress. Accordingly, no below threshold reprogrammings to an item specifically reduced by the Congress are permitted.

The reprogramming criteria that apply to military construction projects (25 percent of the funded amount or \$2,000,000 whichever is less) continue to apply to new housing construction projects and to improvements over \$2,000,000. To provide the individual Services the flexibility to proceed with construction contracts without disruption or delay, the costs associated with environmental hazard remediation such as asbestos removal, radon abatement, lead-based paint removal or abatement, and any other legislated environmental hazard remediation may be excluded, provided that such remediation requirements could not be reasonably anticipated at the time of budget submission. This exclusion applies to projects authorized in the budget year, and also projects authorized in prior years for which construction contracts have not been completed.

Furthermore, in instances where a prior approval reprogramming request for a project or account has been approved by the Committee, the amount approved becomes the new base for any future increase or decrease via below threshold reprogrammings (provided that the project or account is not a congressional interest item).

TRANSFER AUTHORITY

The budget request proposed a general provision which would allow the transfer of appropriations for military family housing for a given military service to appropriations available for pay and allowance of military personnel of the same service, to be merged with and to be available for the same purposes and period of time as the account to which transferred. The Committee believes that existing reprogramming procedures are sufficient in solving urgent funding problems and denies this request.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE

The Committee has included \$370,427,000 for the “Base realignment and closure” account, an amount equal to the budget request.

BARRACKS CONSTRUCTION

The fiscal year 2004 budget request includes \$1,211,800,000 to construct or modernize 43 barracks projects.

The Committee recommends \$1,068,100,000 for barracks construction projects in fiscal year 2004, a decrease of \$143,700,000 from the budget request.

BARRACKS CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

Location/Project	Request	Recommended
Army:		
Alaska:		
Fort Richardson Barracks Complex D Street, Ph 3 (144 spaces)	\$33,000,000	\$33,000,000
Fort Richardson Barracks Complex (60 spaces)	8,200,000	8,200,000
Fort Wainwright Barracks Luzon Avenue (144 spaces)	21,500,000	21,500,000
Georgia:		
Fort Stewart Barracks Complex Perimeter Road (576 spaces)	49,000,000	49,000,000
Fort Stewart Barracks (Phase I) (298 spaces)	17,000,000	17,000,000
Hawaii:		
Schofield Barracks, Barracks Complex Capron Road, Ph 2 (180 spaces)	49,000,000	49,000,000
Schofield Barracks, Barracks Complex Quad E (150 spaces)	49,000,000	49,000,000
Kansas: Fort Riley Barracks Complex Graves Street (312 spaces)	40,000,000	40,000,000
Kentucky: Fort Campbell Barracks Complex Range Road, Ph 2 (372 spaces)	49,000,000	49,000,000
North Carolina:		
Fort Bragg Barracks Complex Bastogne Drive, Ph 1 (448 spaces)	47,000,000	47,000,000
Fort Bragg Barracks Complex Butner Road, Ph 4 (240 spaces)	38,000,000	38,000,000
Fort Bragg Barracks D Area, Ph 4 (384 spaces)	17,000,000	17,000,000
New York:		
Fort Drum Barracks 10200 Area (276 spaces)	22,500,000	22,500,000
Fort Drum Barracks Complex Wheeler Sack AAF (240 spaces)	49,000,000	49,000,000
Texas: Fort Hood Barracks Complex 67th & Battalion Ave (480 spaces)	47,000,000	47,000,000
Washington: Fort Lewis Barracks Complex 17th & B St, Ph 3 (300 spaces)	48,000,000	48,000,000
Germany:		
Grafenwoehr, Brigade Complex Barracks	30,000,000
Heidelberg, Hospital Barracks	17,000,000
Vilsek, Barracks Complex (Phase I)	12,000,000
Korea:		
Camp Humphreys (Realigned from Camp Casey)	41,000,000
Camp Humphreys (Realigned from Camp Casey)	35,000,000
Camp Humphreys (Realigned from Camp Hovey)	29,000,000
Subtotal, Army	740,000,000	584,200,000
Navy:		
California:		
Camp Pendleton Bachelor Enlisted Quarters San Mateo (400 spaces)	22,900,000	22,900,000
Monterey Bachelor Officer Quarters (280 spaces)	35,600,000	35,600,000
San Nicolas Island Transient Quarters (32 spaces)	6,200,000	6,200,000
San Diego Bachelor Enlisted Quarters Homeport Ashore (500 spaces)	42,700,000	42,700,000
Twentynine Palms Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (384 spaces)	26,100,000	26,100,000
Illinois:		
Great Lakes Recruit Barracks (1056 spaces)	31,600,000	31,600,000
Great Lakes Recruit Barracks (1056 spaces)	34,100,000	34,100,000
Rhode Island: Newport Bachelor Enlisted Quarters Replacement (NAPS) (360 spaces)	16,100,000	16,100,000
Virginia: Norfolk Bachelor Enlisted Quarters Shipboard Ashore, Ph II (500 spaces) ...	46,700,000	46,700,000
United Kingdom: Saint Mawgan Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (48 spaces)	7,100,000	7,100,000
Subtotal, Navy & Marine Corps	269,100,000	269,100,000

BARRACKS CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS—Continued

Location/Project	Request	Recommended
Air Force:		
Alabama: Maxwell AFB SOS Dormitory, Ph 3 (162 spaces)	13,400,000	13,400,000
Alaska: Eielson AFB Dormitory (96 spaces)	13,900,000	13,900,000
California: Beale AFB Global Hawk Dormitory (96 spaces)	13,300,000	13,300,000
North Carolina: Seymour Johnson AFB Dormitory (144 spaces)	9,500,000	9,500,000
Ohio: Wright-Patterson AFB Dormitory (144 spaces)	10,500,000	10,500,000
South Carolina: Charleston AFB Dormitory (144 spaces)	8,900,000	8,900,000
Texas:		
Goodfellow AFB Student Dormitory (200 spaces)	18,100,000	18,100,000
Lackland AFB Student Dormitory (200 spaces)	21,000,000	21,000,000
Lackland AFB Student Dormitory (300 spaces)	35,300,000	35,300,000
Sheppard AFB Student Dormitory (300 spaces)	28,600,000	28,600,000
Korea: Osan AB Dormitory (156 spaces)	16,600,000	16,600,000
United Kingdom: Royal AF Lakenheath Dormitory (120 spaces)	13,600,000	13,600,000
Subtotal, Air Force	202,700,000	202,700,000
Air National Guard:		
Virginia: Camp Pendleton Troop Training Quarters (Red Horse) (40 spaces)		2,500,000
Air Force Reserve:		
New York: Niagara Falls ARS Visiting Officer's Quarters (65 spaces)		9,600,000
Subtotal, Reserve Components		12,100,000
Total Barracks Construction	1,211,800,000	1,068,100,000

BARRACKS PRIVATIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PRACTICES

The General Accounting Office [GAO] issued a report in June 2003 that discussed opportunities for DOD to reduce costs in the unaccompanied housing program (*Military Housing: Opportunities That Should Be Explored to Improve Housing and Reduce Costs for Unmarried Junior Service members*, GAO—03–602, June 10, 2003). GAO found that DOD and the services had not fully explored barracks privatization to determine whether the concept could provide a better economic value to the government than the use of military construction financing, and that DOD and the military services had not taken advantage of opportunities to potentially reduce their housing costs for unmarried service members through use of residential construction practices in government-owned barracks construction and better utilization of existing government-owned barracks. GAO recommended that the Secretary of Defense promote a coordinated, focused effort to determine the feasibility and cost effectiveness of barracks privatization and that DOD undertake engineering studies to resolve questions about the use of residential construction practices, issue guidance to direct the maximum use of required existing barracks space, and identify and eliminate any barracks space determined to be excess. DOD generally agreed with the recommendations.

The Committee believes that the report's recommendations should be implemented without delay and directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a progress report to the Committee by March 1, 2004. The progress report should detail actions taken in response to the recommendations and itemize any budgetary savings achieved as a result of implementing the recommendations.

REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE REPORTING REQUIREMENT

The Committee recommends a continuation of the following general rules for repairing a facility under Operations and Maintenance account funding:

Components of the facility may be repaired by replacement, and such replacement can be up to current standards or code.

Interior arrangements and restorations may be included as repair, but additions, new facilities, and functional conversions must be performed as military construction projects.

Such projects may be done concurrent with repair projects, as long as the final conjunctively funded project is a complete and usable facility.

The appropriate Service Secretary shall submit a 21-day notification prior to carrying out any repair project with an estimated cost in excess of \$7,500,000.

The Department is directed to continue to provide the real property maintenance backlog at all installations for which there is a requested construction project in future budget requests. This information is to be provided on Form 1390. In addition, for all troop housing requests, the Form 1391 is to continue to show all real property maintenance conducted in the past 2 years and all future requirements for unaccompanied housing at that installation.

CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTERS

The fiscal year 2003 budget request includes \$3,646,000 for one child development center project. The Committee recommends \$16,096,000 for a total of three projects.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2003	\$1,636,334,000
Budget estimate, 2004	1,536,010,000
Committee recommendation (including rescission)	1,071,540,000

The Committee recommends \$1,071,540,000 for the Army for fiscal year 2004. This is a decrease of \$464,470,000 from the budget request for fiscal year 2004. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2004 as practical:

Water Treatment Facility, Hawthorne Army Depot, Nevada.—Of the funds provided in this account for minor construction involving urgent life, health, and safety issues, the Committee directs that \$2,950,000 be made available for the construction of this facility. Hawthorne's surface water and well water are out of compliance with State environmental and drinking water standards, and the facility recently received notice from the Nevada Division of Health that the surface water system must be brought into compliance by May 21, 2004. In addition to constructing this treatment facility to address the surface water issues, the Committee also urges the Department to include the necessary funding for a new and urgently needed treatment facility for drinking water at Hawthorne in the fiscal year 2005 budget request.

Chaffee (Main) Gate, Fort Bliss, Texas.—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$910,000 be made available for the construction of this gate.

Chapel Expansion, Fort Wainwright, Alaska.—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,500,000 be made available for the construction of this chapel expansion.

Dining Facilities Renovation, Fort Knox, Kentucky.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$178,000 be made available for the design of the facilities.

Gymnasium Addition, Fort Wainwright, Alaska.—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,500,000 be made available for the construction of this gymnasium addition.

Replace Ship Creek Bridge, Fort Richardson, Alaska.—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,500,000 be made available for the construction of this bridge.

Robert E. Lee (Main) Gate, Fort Bliss, Texas.—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,200,000 be made available for the construction of this gate.

Tactical Equipment Shop, Fort Bliss, Texas.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$663,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Maintenance Facility, Donnelly Training Area, Alaska.—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,500,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

Urban Assault Course (101st Airborne Division), Fort Campbell, Kentucky.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$239,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Wheeled Vehicle Rebuild Facility, Red River Army Depot, Texas.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$2,890,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Battery Test Facility, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$182,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Conversion of Former Officer's Club, Fort Campbell, Kentucky.—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,500,000 be made available for the conversion of the former officers' club into an Army Community Center.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY

Appropriations, 2003	\$1,351,888,000
Budget estimate, 2004	1,132,858,000
Committee recommendation (including rescission)	1,156,337,000

The Committee recommends \$1,156,337,000 for Navy and Marine Corps military construction for fiscal year 2004. This amount is an increase of \$23,479,000 from the fiscal year 2004 budget request. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2003 as practical:

Blue Angels Hangar, Pensacola Naval Air Station, Florida.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,400,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Engineering Management and Logistics Facility, Carderock Naval Special Warfare Center, Maryland.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,500,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Structural Shop Consolidation, Portsmouth Naval Shipyard, New Hampshire.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,500,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Suspect Cargo Handling Facility, Norfolk Naval Shipyard, Virginia.—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,420,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

Range Operations Complex, Pacific Missile Range Facility, Hawaii.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,500,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

count, the Committee directs that \$1,250,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Joint Explosive Ordnance Disposal Technology Support Facility, Indian Head Naval Special Warfare Center, Maryland.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,200,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2003	\$1,201,266,000
Budget estimate, 2004	830,671,000
Committee recommendation	1,056,377,000

The Committee recommends \$1,056,377,000 for the Air Force in fiscal year 2004. This is an increase of \$225,706,000 from the fiscal year 2004 budget request. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2004 as practical:

Addition/Alteration to Fitness Center, Malmstrom AFB, Montana.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$684,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Addition/Alteration to Training Annex Fire Station, Lackland AFB, Texas.—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$950,000 be made available for the construction of this annex.

Air Mobility Operations Group [AMOG] Global Reach Deployment Center, Travis AFB, California.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,350,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Child Development Center, Charleston Air Force Base, South Carolina.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$500,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Combat Offload Ramp, Lakehurst Naval Air Station, New Jersey.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$400,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Consolidated Software Support Facility, Hill Air Force Base, Utah.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,710,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Corrosion Control Facility, Malmstrom AFB, Montana.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$504,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Elevated Basic Military Training [BMT] Troop Walk at Carswell Avenue, Lackland AFB, Texas.—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$756,000 be made available for the construction of this elevated walkway.

Fire Crash Rescue Station, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$990,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Fire Department Addition, Laughlin AFB, Texas.—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$450,000 be made available for the construction of this addition.

Fitness Center, Goodfellow AFB, Texas.—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,475,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

Mission Support Complex, Fairchild Air Force Base, Washington.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,200,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Repair Alaska Command Headquarters, Elmendorf AFB, Alaska.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$3,000,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Replace Working Dog Kennel, Elmendorf AFB, Alaska.—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,400,000 be made available for the construction of this kennel.

Squadron Operations Facility, Laughlin AFB, Texas.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$200,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

War Reserve Material Storage Facility, Holloman AFB, New Mexico.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,026,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2003	\$866,679,000
Budget estimate, 2004	694,301,000
Committee recommendation (including rescissions)	679,887,000

The Committee recommends \$679,887,000 for projects considered within the “Defense-wide” account. The amount recommended is an decrease of \$39,914,000 from the fiscal year 2004 budget request. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2004 as possible.

Biometrics Training Center, Bridgeport, West Virginia.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,400,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

DOD Hospital [TRICARE], Denver, Colorado.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$4,000,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Tripler Army Hospital, Biomedical Center, Honolulu, Hawaii.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$4,600,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MEDICAL CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM

The fiscal year 2004 budget request includes \$161,699,000 for seven major construction projects plus planning and design, and unspecified minor construction. The Committee recommends a total of \$170,299,000, which fully funds the budget request and provides additional planning and design funding.

Location/project title	Request	Recommended
Alaska: Fort Wainwright, Hospital Replacement (Phase V)	\$71,600,000	\$71,600,000
Colorado: U.S. Air Force Academy, Hospital Addition/Alteration	21,500,000	21,500,000
Connecticut: New London, Dental Clinic Replacement	6,400,000	6,400,000
District of Columbia: Anacostia, Medical/Dental Clinic Conversion	15,714,000	15,714,000
District of Columbia: Walter Reed Army Medical Center, Energy Plant	9,000,000	9,000,000
Germany: Grafenwoehr, Dispensary/Dental Clinic Addition/Alteration	12,585,000	12,585,000
Guam: Andersen Air Force Base, Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement	24,900,000	24,900,000
Total	161,699,000	161,699,000

CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION

The Committee has provided \$8,960,000 for the Secretary of Defense "Contingency construction" account in accordance with the budget request. This account provides funds which may be used by the Secretary of Defense for unforeseen facility requirements. The Committee believes that the funding provided in the account is adequate to meet the needs of the Department.

ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM

The Committee recommends the full budget request of \$69,500,000 for the Energy Conservation Investment Program [ECIP] and commends the Department for emphasizing the importance of this innovative program. The Defense Department accounts for three fourths of Federal energy consumption. In 2001, the Department spent \$6,800,000,000 on energy use, including fuels. According to DoD, ECIP projects improve energy and water efficiency in existing facilities and produce average savings of four dollars for every dollar invested. The Department has estimated that up to \$420,000,000 in energy cost savings could be produced as a result of the proposed fiscal year 2004 ECIP investment.

The Committee is particularly pleased to note the inclusion of several renewable energy projects in the fiscal year 2004 ECIP program, including the acquisition of geothermal heat pumps at Fort Sill, Oklahoma, and wind generators at Vandenberg Air Force Base, California, and F.E. Warren Air Force Base, Wyoming. The Committee is aware that acquisition of renewable energy is often the most cost effective means of reaching energy conservation goals, and encourages the use of ECIP funding for that purpose.

In fiscal year 2002, the Committee provided \$10,000,000 to fund an assessment of the regional potential of renewable energy generation, transmission, and distribution by industry on or near Department of Defense installations in the United States (Senate Report 107-68). The Committee directs that of the funds included in the fiscal year 2004 ECIP account, \$2,500,000 be used to continue this important work. The Committee further directs that the Air Force continue to serve as program management lead for the as-

assessment. The report should include proposals to coordinate and accelerate renewable energy development and utilization, focused on building capability according to the potential within each of the services. Because of the complexity and scope of the assessment, the Committee agrees to provide additional time for completion of the study. The Department is therefore directed to provide the congressional defense committees an interim report no later than October 30, 2003, and a final report no later than July 31, 2004.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, RESERVE COMPONENTS

Appropriations, 2003	\$706,491,000
Budget estimate, 2004	369,550,000
Committee recommendation	691,245,000

The Committee recommends \$691,245,000 for military construction projects for the Guard and Reserve components. This amount is \$321,695,000 above the fiscal year 2004 budget request. This increase reflects the Committee's continued strong support for the Guard and Reserve.

The Committee's recommended action on each Reserve component project is reflected in the State list at the end of this report.

The Committee recommends approval of military construction, Reserve component as outlined in the following table:

RESERVE COMPONENT

Component	Request	Recommended
Army National Guard	\$168,298,000	\$304,085,000
Air National Guard	60,430,000	221,013,000
Army Reserve	68,478,000	73,979,000
Naval Reserve	28,032,000	34,742,000
Air Force Reserve	44,312,000	57,426,000
Total	369,550,000	691,245,000

The Committee has added funding for specific Reserve component planning and design initiatives. The Committee recommendation also provides additional funding over the budget request for minor construction activities for the Reserve components.

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2004 as practical:

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Army National Guard Aviation Support Facility, North Little Rock, Arkansas.—The committee understands the need to upgrade the aviation facilities at Camp Robinson at North Little Rock, Arkansas and urges the Department to include this facility in the fiscal year 2005 budget request.

Aviation Support Facility, Grand Island, Nebraska.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,555,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Aviation Support Facility, Kingston, Rhode Island.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$2,014,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Aviation Support Facility, Rochester, New York.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,549,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Aviation Support Facility, Whiteman Air Force Base, Missouri.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,769,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Federal Scout Readiness Center, Angoon, White Mountain, Alaska.—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,018,000 be made available for the construction of this center.

Federal Scout Storage Facilities, Manokotak, Toksook Bay, Napaskiak, Alaska.—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$246,000 be made available for the construction of these facilities.

Information Systems Facility, Colchester, Camp Johnson, Vermont.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$498,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Maneuver Training Center, Ammunition Supply Point, Fort Smith, Fort Chaffee, Arkansas.—The Committee understands the need to upgrade the facilities at Fort Chaffee in Fort Smith, Arkansas and urges the Department to include this facility in the fiscal year 2005 budget request.

Organizational Maintenance Shops, Hamilton, Ohio.—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,500,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

Pistol Range Replacement, Marseilles, Illinois.—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,100,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

Readiness Center, Camp Dodge, Iowa.—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,500,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

Readiness Center, Monticello, Mississippi.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$515,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Readiness Center, Pascagoula, Mississippi.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$356,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Readiness Center, Sacramento, California.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$306,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Readiness Center, Watertown, South Dakota.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,228,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

TASS Barracks, Gowen Field, Idaho.—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,140,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

Unit Training & Equipment Site, Souix Falls, South Dakota.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the

Committee directs that \$758,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Readiness Center/Maintenance Shop, Iowa City, Iowa.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$830,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

C-5 Upgrades, Martinsburg, West Virginia.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$5,000,000 be made available for the design of facilities required to support the C-5 program.

Mobility Storage Warehouse Addition, Kulis, Alaska.—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,000,000 be made available for the construction of this addition.

Munitions Administration Facility, Klamath Falls, Oregon.—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,350,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

Repair Maintenance Shops, Fargo, North Dakota.—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,400,000 be made available for the construction of these facilities.

Air Mobilization Facility, Burlington, Vermont.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$443,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, U.S. ARMY RESERVE

Reserve Center, Eau Claire, Wisconsin.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$631,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE RESERVE

Headquarters Building, 911th Airlift Wing, Pittsburgh Air Reserve Station, Pennsylvania.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$684,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Upgrade Utilities, March Air Reserve Base, California.—Of the funds provided for minor construction in this account, the Committee directs that \$1,444,000 be made available for the construction of these upgrades.

Squadron Operations Center, Barksdale AFB, Louisiana.—Of the funds provided for planning and design in this account, the Committee directs that \$426,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION

SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

Appropriations, 2003	\$167,200,000
Budget estimate, 2004	169,300,000
Committee recommendation	169,300,000

The Committee has provided \$169,300,000 for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization [NATO] Security Investment Program for fiscal year 2004, fully funding the budget request.

The Committee continues the requirement that no funds will be used for projects (including planning and design) related to the enlargement of NATO and the Partnership for Peace program, unless Congress is notified 21 days in advance of the obligation of funds. In addition, the Committee's intent is that section 122 of the General Provisions shall apply to this program.

The Department of Defense is directed to identify separately the level of effort anticipated for NATO enlargement and for Partnership for Peace for that fiscal year in future budget justifications.

MISSILE DEFENSE STUDIES

The Committee has been made aware that approximately \$44,000,000 in funds appropriated for the NATO Security Investment Program in past years have been expended on studies examining the feasibility of theater ballistic missile defense for NATO. While the Committee fully supports the development and fielding of missile defense systems, it believes missile defense studies are an inappropriate expenditure of funds intended to provide critically needed infrastructure to the Alliance. Accordingly, the Committee directs that no funds appropriated in this or any other Act for the NATO Security Investment Program be obligated or expended for missile defense studies.

FAMILY HOUSING OVERVIEW

The Committee has provided \$3,945,887,000 for family housing construction, operations and maintenance, and the Department's family housing improvement fund. This amount is \$13,277,000 below the fiscal year 2004 budget request and \$261,927,000 below the amount appropriated in fiscal year 2003.

FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY

Appropriations, 2003	\$1,381,443,000
Budget estimate, 2004	1,399,917,000
Committee recommendation (including rescission)	1,399,917,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$1,399,917,000 for family housing, Army, in fiscal year 2004. This is equal to the fiscal year 2004 budget request.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$220,673,000 for new construction, as shown below:

Location/project	Request	Recommended
Alaska:		
Fort Wainwright, (100 Units)	\$44,000,000	\$44,000,000
Fort Wainwright (40 Units)	20,000,000	20,000,000
Arizona:		
Fort Huachuca, (60 Units)	4,000,000	4,000,000
Fort Huachuca Replace (160 Units)	27,000,000	27,000,000
Kansas:		
Fort Riley (32 Units)	8,300,000	8,300,000

Location/project	Request	Recommended
Fort Riley (30 Units)	8,400,000	8,400,000
Kentucky: Fort Knox (178 Units)	41,000,000	41,000,000
New Mexico: White Sands Missile Range (58 Units)	14,600,000	14,600,000
Oklahoma:		
Fort Sill (50 Units)	10,000,000	10,000,000
Fort Sill (70 Units)	15,373,000	15,373,000
Virginia: Fort Lee (90 Units)	18,000,000	18,000,000
Total	220,673,000	220,673,000

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

Location/project	Requested	Recommended
New York:		
Fort Drum (Privatization 2,272 Units)	\$52,000,000	\$52,000,000
USMA (56 Units)	530,000	530,000
Pennsylvania: Carlisle Barracks (Privatization 316 Units)	22,000,000	22,000,000
Texas:		
Fort Bliss (Privatization 2,776 Units)	38,000,000	38,000,000
Fort Sam Houston (Privatization 926 Units)	6,600,000	6,600,000
Utah:		
Dugway Proving Ground (162 Units)	8,100,000	8,100,000
Dugway Proving Ground (29 Units)	3,200,000	3,200,000
Germany:		
Baumholder (112 Units)	11,600,000	11,600,000
Baumholder (96 Units)	14,000,000	14,000,000
Total	156,030,000	156,030,000

FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2003	\$1,235,604,000
Budget estimate, 2004	1,036,971,000
Committee recommendation (including rescission)	1,033,386,000

The Committee recommends \$1,033,386,000 for family housing, Navy and Marine Corps, in fiscal year 2004. This amount is \$3,585,000 below the fiscal year 2004 budget request.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$155,366,000 for new construction, as shown below:

Location/project	Requested	Recommended
California: Lemoore (187 Units)	\$41,585,000	\$41,585,000
Florida: Pensacola (25 Units)	3,197,000	3,197,000
North Carolina:		
Camp Lejeune (161 Units)	21,537,000	21,537,000
Camp Lejeune (358 Units)	46,244,000	46,244,000
Cherry Point (339 Units)	42,803,000	42,803,000
Total	155,366,000	155,366,000

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

Location/project	Requested	Recommended
Arizona: Yuma (Privatization 821 Units)	\$12,654,000	\$12,654,000
California: Lemoore (3 Units)	331,000	331,000
Maryland: Annapolis (51 Units)	6,737,000	6,737,000
Japan: Iwakuni (44 Units)	724,000	724,000
Total	20,446,000	20,446,000

FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2003	\$1,540,892,000
Budget estimate, 2004	1,472,186,000
Committee recommendation (including rescission)	1,462,494,000

The Committee recommends \$1,462,494,000 for family housing, Air Force, in fiscal year 2004, which is \$9,692,000 below the budget request.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$399,598,000 for new construction, as requested, as shown below:

Location/project	Requested	Recommended
Arizona: Davis Monthan AFB (93 Units)	\$19,357,000	\$19,357,000
California: Travis AFB (56 Units)	12,723,000	12,723,000
Delaware: Dover AFB (112 Units)	19,601,000	19,601,000
Florida: Eglin AFB (279 Units)	32,166,000	32,166,000
Idaho: Mountain Home AFB (186 Units)	37,126,000	37,126,000
Maryland: Andrews AFB (50 Units)	20,233,000	20,233,000
Missouri: Whiteman AFB (100 Units)	18,221,000	18,221,000
Montana: Malmstrom AFB (94 Units)	19,368,000	19,368,000
North Carolina: Seymour Johnson AFB (138 Units)	18,336,000	18,336,000
North Dakota:		
Grand Forks AFB (144 Units)	29,550,000	29,550,000
Minot AFB (200 Units)	41,117,000	41,117,000
South Dakota: Ellsworth AFB (75 Units)	16,240,000	16,240,000
Texas:		
Dyess AFB (116 Units)	19,973,000	19,973,000
Randolph AFB (96 Units)	13,754,000	13,754,000
Korea: Osan AB (111 Units)	44,765,000	44,765,000
Portugal: Lajes Field (42 Units)	13,428,000	13,428,000
United Kingdom: RAF Lakenheath (89 Units)	23,640,000	23,640,000
Total	399,598,000	399,598,000

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

Location/project	Requested	Recommended
Arizona: Luke AFB (Privatization 874 Units)	(¹)	(¹)
California: Los Angeles AFB (279 Units)	\$19,483,000	\$19,483,000
Hawaii: Hickam AFB (90 Units)	26,955,000	26,955,000
Oklahoma:		
Altus AFB (Privatization 966 Units)	3,093,000	3,093,000
Tinker AFB (Privatization 730 Units)	14,338,000	14,338,000
South Carolina: Shaw AFB (Privatization 1,702 Units)	3,087,000	3,087,000
Texas: Sheppard AFB (Privatization 1,288 Units)	17,736,000	17,736,000
Washington: McChord AFB (Privatization 950 Units)	(¹)	(¹)
Wyoming: FE Warren AFB (Privatization 265 Units)	5,391,000	5,391,000
Germany: Ramstein AB (216 Units)	62,211,000	62,211,000

Location/project	Requested	Recommended
Guam: Andersen AFB (165 Units)	24,456,000	24,456,000
Japan:		
Kadena AB (122 Units)	24,224,000	24,224,000
Install Government Furnished Materials	565,000	565,000
Misawa AB, Install Government Furnished Materials	405,000	405,000
Yokota AB, (263 Units)	26,035,000	26,035,000
Funded from Prior Year Savings	— 4,000,000	— 4,000,000
Total	223,979,000	223,979,000

¹ No cost to the government privatization.

FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2003	\$49,875,000
Budget estimate, 2004	50,090,000
Committee recommendation	50,090,000

The Committee recommends \$50,090,000 for family housing, Defense-wide, in fiscal year 2004. This amount is equal to the budget request. Specific details are included in the tables at the end of the report.

FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND

Appropriations, 2003	\$2,000,000
Budget estimate, 2004	300,000
Committee recommendation	300,000

The Committee recommends \$300,000 for the Family Housing Improvement Fund. This amount is equal to the budget request.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT OVERVIEW

The Congress has appropriated a net total of \$22,587,164,000 for the Base Realignment and Closure [BRAC] program for fiscal years 1990 through 2003. For fiscal year 2004, the Committee recommends \$370,427,000, an amount equal to the budget request, with the explicit understanding that the appropriated funds are to be supplemented in fiscal year 2004 with \$21,300,000 in prior year unobligated balances and \$68,000,000 in land sale revenue, as proposed by the Department.

In appropriating these funds, the Committee continues to provide the Department with the flexibility to allocate funds by Service, by function, and by installation. The Committee recognizes the complexity of the base realignment and closure process, and particularly of the environmental clean up requirements, and believes that it is important to give the Department a significant degree of flexibility in order to execute the program efficiently.

The following table displays the total amount appropriated for each round of base closure, including amounts recommended for fiscal year 2004.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE

[Total funding, fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 2004]

	Fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 2002	Fiscal year 2003 enacted	Fiscal year 2004 Committee rec- ommended	Total
Part I	\$2,684,557,000	(¹)	(¹)	\$2,684,557,000

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE—Continued
[Total funding, fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 2004]

	Fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 2002	Fiscal year 2003 enacted	Fiscal year 2004 Committee rec- ommended	Total
Part II	4,915,636,000	(¹)	(¹)	4,915,636,000
Part III	7,269,267,000	(¹)	(¹)	7,269,267,000
Part IV	7,156,566,000	\$561,138,000	\$370,427,000	8,088,131,000
Total	22,026,026,000	561,138,000	370,427,000	22,957,591,000

¹ Not Applicable.

Since the start of the current process for Base Realignment and Closure, Military Construction Appropriations Acts have appropriated a net total of \$22,587,164,000 for the entire program for fiscal years 1990 through 2003. The total amount appropriated combined with the Committee recommendation for fiscal year 2004 Base Realignment and Closure is \$22,957,591,000.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV

Appropriations, 2003	\$561,138,000
Budget estimate, 2004	370,427,000
Committee recommendation	370,427,000

The Committee recommends a total of \$370,427,000 for the base realignment and closure account, part IV. This is a decrease of \$190,711,000 from the fiscal year 2003 appropriation. However, the fiscal year 2004 BRAC budget also assumes an additional \$21,300,000 from prior year unobligated balances and \$68,000,000 from Navy land sale revenue, which is to be used to increase the Navy's BRAC budget. With the additional monies, the funding for BRAC environmental cleanup and caretaker costs totals \$459,727,000. The Military Departments have assured the Committee that this level of funding is adequate to address urgent BRAC requirements for fiscal year 2004.

Expeditious cleanup of environmental contaminants at closed or realigned bases remains a priority of the Committee. In the past two years, the Committee has been able to increase appropriations over the President's budget request to expedite environmental cleanup. This year, the Department anticipates that revenue from land sales will significantly supplement the BRAC budget request, and will enable the Department to meet or exceed its requirements for fiscal year 2004 BRAC environmental remediation.

The Navy, for example, recently completed the public sale of approximately 235 acres at the former Marine Corps Air Station at Tustin, California. According to the Navy, the sale generated \$208,500,000 in revenue, about four times as much as all previous Navy BRAC property sales. The Committee commends the Navy for pursuing public land sales where circumstances permit to complement and enhance the public benefit and economic development conveyance program.

Existing statutes require BRAC land sale revenue to be deposited into the Department of Defense BRAC account, which funds BRAC activities for all of the military services and defense agencies. To ensure equity and provide an incentive for the military services to pursue public sales of BRAC property when warranted, the Com-

mittee directs the Department of Defense to apply BRAC land sale revenue to the Military Department that earned the revenue to be used to accelerate environmental cleanup of that Department's remaining BRAC sites. The Committee intends for the revenue from land sales to be used to supplement, not offset, funds appropriated by Congress to the BRAC account.

As the Department prepares to undertake a new round of BRAC in fiscal year 2005, it is imperative that the environmental cleanup of sites that were closed or realigned under previous BRAC rounds be completed as expeditiously as possible. The Navy's BRAC budget for fiscal year 2004, including \$68,000,000 in land sale revenue and unobligated balances from previous years, totals \$180,600,000. However, the Navy estimates that it could execute an additional \$250,000,000 in fiscal year 2004 for environmental cleanup. Likewise, the Air Force could execute \$64,700,000 in environmental cleanup projects in fiscal year 2004 above its budget allocation of \$198,700,000. The Army estimates that it could execute \$42,400,000 for BRAC clean up in fiscal year 2004 in addition to its budget allocation of \$67,500,000.

Clearly, the backlog of BRAC environmental remediation requirements continues to be a problem for the Department, and for the communities in which the contaminated property is located. The Committee intends to monitor carefully the effectiveness of using BRAC land sale revenues to accelerate the cleanup process. In the meantime, the Committee directs the Department to provide sufficient resources in future budget requests to expedite the environmental cleanup of existing BRAC property.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

The following lists general provisions proposed by the Committee. The Committee recommends inclusion of several proposals which have been incorporated in previous appropriations acts, provisions requested by the Defense Department, and new provisions. The Committee recommendations are as follows:

SEC. 101. Restricts payments under a cost-plus-a-fixed-fee contract for work, except in cases of contracts for environmental restoration at base closure sites.

SEC. 102. Permits use of funds for hire of passenger motor vehicles.

SEC. 103. Permits use of funds for defense access roads.

SEC. 104. Prohibits construction of new bases inside the continental United States for which specific appropriations have not been made.

SEC. 105. Limits the use of funds for purchase of land or land easements.

SEC. 106. Prohibits the use of funds to acquire land, prepare a site, or install utilities for any family housing except housing for which funds have been made available.

SEC. 107. Limits the use of minor construction funds to transfer or relocate activities among installations.

SEC. 108. Prohibits the procurement of steel unless American producers, fabricators, and manufacturers have been allowed to compete.

SEC. 109. Prohibits payments of real property taxes in foreign nations.

SEC. 110. Prohibits construction of new bases overseas without prior notification.

SEC. 111. Establishes a threshold for American preference of \$500,000 relating to architect and engineering services.

SEC. 112. Establishes preference for American contractors for military construction in the United States territories and possessions in the Pacific, and on Kwajalein Atoll, or in the Arabian Sea.

SEC. 113. Requires notification of military exercises involving construction in excess of \$100,000.

SEC. 114. Limits obligations during the last 2 months of the year.

SEC. 115. Permits funds appropriated in prior years to be available for construction authorized during the current session of Congress.

SEC. 116. Permits the use of expired or lapsed funds to pay the cost of supervision for any project being completed with lapsed funds.

SEC. 117. Permits obligation of funds from more than 1 fiscal year to execute a construction project, provided that the total obligation for such project is consistent with the total amount appropriated for the project.

SEC. 118. Allows expired funds to be transferred to the “Foreign currency fluctuations, construction, defense” account.

SEC. 119. Directs the Department to report annually on actions taken to encourage other nations to assume a greater share of the common defense budget.

SEC. 120. Allows transfer of proceeds from earlier base realignment and closure accounts to the continuing base realignment and closure accounts.

SEC. 121. Permits the transfer of funds from Family Housing Construction accounts to the DOD Family Housing Improvement Fund.

SEC. 122. Restricts the use of funds for the Partnership for Peace Program.

SEC. 123. Requires the Secretary of Defense to notify the congressional defense committees of all family housing privatization solicitations and agreements which contain any clause providing consideration for base realignment and closure, force reductions and extended deployments.

SEC. 124. Provides transfer authority to the Homeowners Assistance Program.

SEC. 125. Requires that all Military Construction Appropriations Acts be the sole funding source of all operation and maintenance for family housing, including flag and general officer quarters, and limits the repair on flag and general officer quarters to \$35,000 per year without prior notification to the defense committees.

SEC. 126. Limits funds from being transferred from this appropriation measure into any new instrumentality without authority from an appropriation Act.

SEC. 127. Prohibits funds appropriated for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program from being obligated or expended for the purpose of missile defense studies.

SEC. 128. Establishes a commission to review the overseas military facility structure of the United States and provide a report of its findings to the President and Congress no later than August 30, 2004.

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7, RULE XVI, OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 7 of rule XVI requires that Committee reports on general appropriations bills identify each Committee amendment to the House bill “which proposes an item of appropriation which is not made to carry out the provisions of an existing law, a treaty stipulation, or an act or resolution previously passed by the Senate during that session.”

The Committee bill as recommended contains no such provisions.

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7(C), RULE XXVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Pursuant to paragraph 7(c) of rule XXVI, on June 26, 2003, the Committee ordered reported an original Military Construction Appropriations bill, 2004, subject to amendment and subject to its budget allocations, by a recorded vote of 29–0, a quorum being present. The vote was as follows:

Yeas	Nays
Chairman Stevens	
Mr. Cochran	
Mr. Specter	
Mr. Domenici	
Mr. Bond	
Mr. McConnell	
Mr. Burns	
Mr. Shelby	
Mr. Gregg	
Mr. Bennett	
Mr. Campbell	
Mr. Craig	
Mrs. Hutchison	
Mr. DeWine	
Mr. Brownback	
Mr. Byrd	
Mr. Inouye	
Mr. Hollings	
Mr. Leahy	
Mr. Harkin	
Ms. Mikulski	
Mr. Reid	
Mr. Kohl	
Mrs. Murray	
Mr. Dorgan	
Mrs. Feinstein	
Mr. Durbin	
Mr. Johnson	
Ms. Landrieu	

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 12, RULE XXVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 12 of rule XXVI requires that Committee reports on a bill or joint resolution repealing or amending any statute or part of any statute include “(a) the text of the statute or part thereof which is proposed to be repealed; and (b) a comparative print of that part of the bill or joint resolution making the amendment and of the statute or part thereof proposed to be amended, showing by stricken-through type and italics, parallel columns, or other appropriate typographical devices the omissions and insertions which would be made by the bill or joint resolution if enacted in the form recommended by the committee.”

The Committee bill as recommended contains no such provisions.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
ALABAMA			
ARMY: REDSTONE ARSENAL: VIBRATION DYNAMIC TEST FACILITY	5,500	5,500
AIR FORCE: MAXWELL AFB: SQUADRON OFFICER COLLEGE DORMITORY (PHASE III)	13,400	13,400

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
DEFENSE-WIDE: REDSTONE ARSENAL: ADMIN/OPS COMPLEX, MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY PH III	20,000	+ 20,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
FORT MC CLELLAN: FIRE STATION	1,873	1,873
FORT PAYNE: READINESS CENTER ADDITION/ALTERATION	3,648	3,648
MOBILE: ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER (PHASE II)	2,943	2,943
SPRINGVILLE: READINESS CENTER ADDITION/ALTERATION	3,365	3,365
VINCENT: READINESS CENTER ADDITION/ALTERATION	3,353	3,353
TOTAL, ALABAMA	34,082	54,082	+ 20,000
ALASKA			
ARMY:			
FORT RICHARDSON:			
BARRACKS COMPLEX—D STREET (PHASE III)	33,000	33,000
BARRACKS	8,200	+ 8,200
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	2,500	+ 2,500
FORT WAINWRIGHT:			
ALERT HOLDING AREA FACILITY	32,000	32,000
AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT UPGRADE	10,600	10,600
BARRACKS COMPLEX—LUZON AVENUE	21,500	21,500
MILITARY OPERATIONS ON URBAN TERRAIN FACILITY	11,200	11,200
MULTI-PURPOSE TRAINING RANGE COMPLEX	47,000	47,000
PALLET PROCESSING FACILITY	16,500	16,500
AIR FORCE:			
EIELSON AFB:			
DORMITORY	13,914	13,914
JOINT SECURITY FORCES COMPLEX	15,800	+ 15,800
REPAIR/EXPAND ENROUTE RAMP	19,060	19,060
ELMENDORF AFB: MAINTENANCE FACILITY	2,000	2,000
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
EIELSON AFB: REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	17,000	17,000
FORT WAINWRIGHT: HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT (PHASE V)	71,600	71,600
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: JUNEAU: ORGANIZATION MAINTENANCE SHOP	3,100	+ 3,100
TOTAL, ALASKA	295,374	324,974	+ 29,600
ARIZONA			
NAVY:			
YUMA MARINE CORPS AIR STATION:			
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	14,250	14,250
STATION ORDNANCE AREA (PHASE II)	7,980	7,980
AIR FORCE:			
DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB:			
C-130 APRON/SHOULDERS	1,954	1,954
HH-60 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT	6,004	6,004
MISSION READY SUPPLY PARTS WAREHOUSE	1,906	1,906
LUKE AIR FORCE BASE: LAND ACQUISITION MODIFICATION	14,300	+ 14,300
TOTAL, ARIZONA	32,094	46,394	+ 14,300
ARKANSAS			
AIR FORCE:			
LITTLE ROCK AFB:			
C-130 OPERATIONS TRAINING FACILITY	2,478	2,478
C-130J ADD/ALTER HANGAR 280	1,144	1,144
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	3,750	+ 3,750
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: WARREN: READINESS CENTER	3,610	+ 3,610
TOTAL, ARKANSAS	3,622	10,982	+ 7,360

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
CALIFORNIA			
NAVY:			
CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS BASE:			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—SAN MATEO	22,930	22,930
TERTIARY SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT (PHASE II)	24,960	24,960
CHINA LAKE NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER: AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS UPGRADE	12,890	12,890
LEMOORE NAVAL AIR STATION:			
INTEGRATED MAINTENANCE HANGAR	24,610	24,610
OPERATIONAL TRAINER FACILITY	9,900	9,900
MIRAMAR MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: AIRCRAFT FIRE AND RESCUE STATION	4,740	4,740
MONTEREY NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL: BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS	35,550	35,550
NORTH ISLAND NAVAL AIR STATION:			
SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	35,590	35,590
TAXIWAY/AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER	13,650	13,650
POINT MUGU: AIRCRAFT TEST STAND	3,000	+ 3,000
SAN NICOLAS ISLAND NAVAL AIR WEAPONS STATION: TRANSIENT QUARTERS	6,150	6,150
SAN CLEMENTE NAVAL AIR FACILITY: OPERATIONAL ACCESS—SHORE BOMBARDMENT AREA	18,940	18,940
SAN DIEGO: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HOMEPORT ASHORE ...	42,710	42,710
TWENTYNINE PALMS:			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	26,100	26,100
EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE OPERATIONS CENTER	2,290	2,290
AIR FORCE:			
BEALE AFB:			
GLOBAL HAWK DORMITORY	13,342	13,342
GLOBAL HAWK UPGRADE DOCK	8,958	8,958
EDWARDS AFB: JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER COMPLEX (PHASE I)	19,060	19,060
LOS ANGELES AFB: AREA B MAIN GATE COMPLEX	5,000	+ 5,000
VANDENBERG AFB: CONSOLIDATED FITNESS CENTER	16,500	— 16,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
BAKERSFIELD: READINESS CENTER	5,495	5,495
LOS ALAMITOS: REPLACE UTILITIES INFRASTRUCTURE, PHASE I	21,000	+ 21,000
NAVAL RESERVE: NORTH ISLAND NAVAL AIR STATION: C-40 AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	15,973	15,973
TOTAL, CALIFORNIA	360,338	372,838	+ 12,500
COLORADO			
AIR FORCE:			
BUCKLEY AFB: UPGRADE BASE INFRASTRUCTURE (PHASE III)	6,957	6,957
PETERSON AFB: ADD/ALTER MISSION SUPPORT FACILITY	10,200	+ 10,200
U.S. AIR FORCE ACADEMY: HOSPITAL ADDITION/ALTERATION	21,500	21,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: FORT CARSON: CENTENNIAL TRAINING SITE, PHASE II, III (DESIGN)	4,500	+ 4,500
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: BUCKLEY AFB: CIVIL ENGINEER COMPLEX	6,900	6,900
TOTAL, COLORADO	35,357	50,057	+ 14,700
CONNECTICUT			
NAVY: NEW LONDON NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE: TOMAHAWK MISSILE MAGAZINE	3,000	+ 3,000
DEFENSE-WIDE: NEW LONDON NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE: DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	6,400	6,400
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
NEWTOWN MILITARY RESERVATION: WORKING ANIMAL BUILDING	2,167	2,167
STONE RANCH MILITARY RESERVATION: FIRE STATION	2,422	2,422

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
TOTAL, CONNECTICUT	10,989	13,989	+ 3,000
DELAWARE			
AIR FORCE: DOVER AFB: AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER		8,500	+ 8,500
TOTAL, DELAWARE		8,500	+ 8,500
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA			
NAVY: MARINE BARRACKS, 8TH AND I: MOTOR TRANSPORT FACILITY ADDITION	1,550	1,550
AIR FORCE: BOLLING AFB: AIR FORCE CENTRAL ADJUDICATION FACILITY	9,300	9,300
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
WASHINGTON NAVY YARD: MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC CONVERSION/RENOVATION	15,714	15,714
WALTER REED ARMY MEDICAL CENTER: HOSPITAL ENERGY PLANT ADDITION	9,000	9,000
TOTAL, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	35,564	35,564
FLORIDA			
NAVY:			
BLOUNT ISLAND: LAND ACQUISITION	115,711	115,711
JACKSONVILLE NAVAL AIR STATION: AIRFIELD PERIMETER SECURITY	3,190	3,190
PANAMA CITY COASTAL SYSTEMS STATION: LITTORAL WARFARE RESEARCH COMPLEX	9,550	9,550
WHITING FIELD NAVAL AIR STATION: CLEAR ZONE LAND ACQUISITION ..	4,830	4,830
AIR FORCE:			
HURLBURT FIELD:			
AFC2TIG SYSTEM/WARRIOR SCHOOL COMPLEX	19,400	19,400
SPECIAL TACTICS ADVANCED SKILLS TRAINING FACILITY	7,800	7,800
PATRICK AFB: SECURITY FORCES OPERATIONS FACILITY		8,800	+ 8,800
TYNDALL AFB: F-22 PARKING APRON/RUNWAY EXTENSION	6,195	6,195
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
EGLIN AFB: REPLACE JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	4,800	4,800
HURLBURT FIELD:			
REPLACE FUEL PIER	3,500	3,500
AC-130 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT	6,000	6,000
MACDILL AFB: ADD/ALTER BUILDING 501A	25,500	25,500
TOTAL, FLORIDA	206,476	215,276	+ 8,800
GEORGIA			
ARMY:			
FORT BENNING: MULTI-PURPOSE TRAINING RANGE COMPLEX	30,000	30,000
FORT GORDON: TRAINING SUPPORT CENTER		4,350	+ 4,350
FORT STEWART:			
BARRACKS (PHASE I)	17,000	17,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX—PERIMETER ROAD	49,000	49,000
COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	25,050		— 25,050
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	15,500	15,500
NAVY:			
KINGS BAY NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE:			
RIFLE RANGE	8,170	8,170
WATERFRONT SECURITY FORCE FACILITY ADDITION	3,340	3,340
AIR FORCE:			
MOODY AFB: C-130 MAINTENANCE HANGAR		7,600	+ 7,600
ROBINS AFB:			
CORROSION CONTROL PAINT FACILITY	25,731	25,731
J-STARS FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	2,954	2,954
DEFENSE-WIDE: FORT BENNING: PHYSICAL EVALUATION CENTER	2,100		— 2,100

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
ARMY RESERVE: FORT GILLEM: ORG MAINT SHOP/DIRECT SUPPORT/PARTS WHSE/STORAGE	7,620	7,620
TOTAL, GEORGIA	186,465	171,265	— 15,200
HAWAII			
ARMY:			
HELEMANO MILITARY RESERVATION:			
LAND EASEMENT	1,400	1,400
POHAKULOA TNG AREA SADDLE RD ACCESS, PHASE III	17,000	+ 17,000
SCHOFIELD BARRACKS:			
BARRACKS COMPLEX—CAPRON ROAD (PHASE II)	49,000	49,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX—QUAD E	49,000	49,000
INFORMATION SYSTEMS FACILITY	18,000	18,000
LAND ACQUISITION	19,400	19,400
MISSION SUPPORT TRAINING FACILITY	33,000	33,000
NAVY:			
LUALUALEI NAVAL MAGAZINES: ORDNANCE HOLDING AREAS	6,320	6,320
PEARL HARBOR:			
PERIMETER SECURITY LIGHTING	7,010	7,010
WATERFRONT IMPROVEMENTS	32,180	32,180
AIR FORCE:			
HICKAM AFB:			
C-17 CONSOLIDATED MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	7,529	7,529
C-17 CORROSION CONTROL/MAINTENANCE FACILITY	30,400	30,400
C-17 FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	5,623	5,623
C-17 KUNTZ GATE AND ROAD	3,050	3,050
C-17 SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	10,674	10,674
C-17 SUPPORT UTILITIES (PHASE I)	4,098	4,098
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	6,800	+ 6,800
EXPAND STRATEGIC AIRLIFT RAMP	10,102	10,102
DEFENSE-WIDE: HICKAM AFB: REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	14,100	14,100
TOTAL, HAWAII	300,886	324,686	+ 23,800
IDAHO			
AIR FORCE:			
MOUNTAIN HOME AFB:			
726TH AIR CONTROL SQUADRON COMPLEX	9,800	+ 9,800
FITNESS CENTER ADDITION	5,337	5,337
TOTAL, IDAHO	5,337	15,137	+ 9,800
ILLINOIS			
NAVY:			
GREAT LAKES NAVAL TRAINING CENTER:			
BATTLE STATION TRAINING FACILITY (PHASE I)	13,200	13,200
RECRUIT BARRACKS	31,600	31,600
RECRUIT BARRACKS	34,130	34,130
AIR FORCE: SCOTT AFB: SHILOH GATE	1,900	1,900
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: GALESBURG: READINESS CENTER	3,750	+ 3,750
TOTAL, ILLINOIS	80,830	84,580	+ 3,750
INDIANA			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CAMP ATTERBURY: READINESS CENTER ADDITION	2,849	2,849
ELKHART: READINESS CENTER ADDITION	1,770	1,770
GARY:			
LIMITED AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	15,581	+ 15,581
READINESS CENTER ADDITION	1,417	1,417

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
SOUTH BEND: READINESS CENTER ADDITION	1,496	1,496
TOTAL, INDIANA	7,532	23,113	+ 15,581
IOWA			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
SIOUX CITY: UPGRADE RUNWAYS/TAXIWAYS PHASE II	2,000	+ 2,000
SIOUX GATEWAY AIRPORT: KC-135 FIRE CRASH/RESCUE STATION	6,091	6,091
TOTAL, IOWA	6,091	8,091	+ 2,000
KANSAS			
ARMY:			
FORT LEAVENWORTH: LEWIS AND CLARK INSTRUCTIONAL FACILITY (PHASE I)	28,000	28,000
FORT RILEY:			
BARRACKS COMPLEX—GRAVES STREET	40,000	40,000
COMBINED ARMS COLLECTIVE TRAINING FACILITY PH II	13,600	+ 13,600
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: KANSAS CITY: READINESS CENTER ADDITION/ALTERATION	2,982	2,982
TOTAL, KANSAS	70,982	84,582	+ 13,600
KENTUCKY			
ARMY:			
FORT CAMPBELL: BARRACKS COMPLEX—RANGE ROAD (PHASE II)	49,000	49,000
FORT KNOX:			
DINING FACILITY	10,000	+ 10,000
MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	3,500	3,500
DEFENSE-WIDE: FORT CAMPBELL: FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY	7,800	7,800
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
GREENVILLE: FIRE STATION	2,238	2,238
MAYSVILLE: READINESS CENTER	4,997	4,997
RICHMOND: READINESS CENTER ADDITION	756	756
TOTAL, KENTUCKY	68,291	78,291	+ 10,000
LOUISIANA			
ARMY:			
FORT POLK:			
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	34,000	34,000
ALERT HOLDING AREA FACILITY	8,400	8,400
ARMS STORAGE FACILITY	1,350	1,350
MISSION TRAINING SUPPORT FACILITY	27,000	27,000
SHOOT HOUSE	1,250	1,250
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: PINEVILLE: CONSOLIDATED MAINTENANCE FACILITY (PHASE I)	18,579	18,579
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: NAS/ARB NEW ORLEANS: VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT FACILITY	6,300	+ 6,300
TOTAL, LOUISIANA	90,579	96,879	+ 6,300
MAINE			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: BANGOR: AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY, PHASE II	14,900	+ 14,900
TOTAL, MAINE	14,900	+ 14,900
MARYLAND			
ARMY:			
ABERDEEN: CHEM/BIO SAMPLE RECEPTION FACILITY	13,000	+ 13,000
FORT MEADE: DINING FACILITY	9,600	9,600

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
NAVY:			
INDIAN HEAD NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER: WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	14,850	14,850
PATUXENT RIVER NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER: JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER TEST AND SUPPORT FACILITIES	24,370	24,370
DEFENSE-WIDE: FORT MEADE: CRITICAL UTILITY CONTROL (PHASE II-B)	1,842	1,842
ARMY RESERVE: FORT MEADE: RESERVE CENTER/OMS/WAREHOUSE (PHASE I)	19,710	19,710
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
ANDREWS AFB:			
ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOPS	2,900	2,900
HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	7,375	7,375
UPGRADE AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS	835	835
TOTAL, MARYLAND	81,482	94,482	+ 13,000
MASSACHUSETTS			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: OTIS (FALMOUTH): FIRE CRASH/RESCUE STATION	11,000	+ 11,000
TOTAL, MASSACHUSETTS	11,000	+ 11,000
MICHIGAN			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CALUMET: SINGLE UNIT NG READINESS CENTER	3,370	+ 3,370
JACKSON: READINESS CENTER	5,591	5,591
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
ALPENA: DINING FACILITY	8,500	+ 8,500
SELFRIIDGE ANGB:			
JOINT MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	9,600	+ 9,600
PASS AND ID/VISITORS CENTER	4,000	+ 4,000
TOTAL, MICHIGAN	5,591	31,061	+ 25,470
MINNESOTA			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: DULUTH: AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY MODERNIZATION	9,000	+ 9,000
TOTAL, MINNESOTA	9,000	+ 9,000
MISSISSIPPI			
NAVY: MERIDIAN NAVAL AIR STATION: FIRE AND RESCUE STATION	4,570	4,570
AIR FORCE:			
COLUMBUS AFB: AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER	5,500	+ 5,500
KEESLER AFB: CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	2,900	+ 2,900
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CAMP SHELBY: REGIONAL MILITARY EDUCATIONAL CENTER (PHASE I)	7,733	7,733
GULFPORT: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOPS	4,650	+ 4,650
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP SHELBY: C-17 ASSAULT RUNWAY	7,409	7,409
AIR FORCE RESERVE: KEESLER AFB: FUEL CELL MAINTENANCE HANGAR	6,650	6,650
NAVAL RESERVE: PASCAGOULA: LITTORAL SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM FACILITY	6,100	+ 6,100
TOTAL, MISSISSIPPI	26,362	45,512	+ 19,150
MISSOURI			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: KANSAS CITY: READINESS CENTER	4,947	4,947
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: ROSECRANS FIELD: AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TRAINING COMPLEX	8,000	+ 8,000
TOTAL, MISSOURI	4,947	12,947	+ 8,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
MONTANA			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
BILLINGS: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP ADDITION	1,209	1,209
KALISPELL:			
ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER	9,020	+ 9,020
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP ADDITION	706	706
TOTAL, MONTANA	1,915	10,935	+ 9,020
NEBRASKA			
DEFENSE-WIDE: OFFUTT AFB: REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	13,400	13,400
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
CAMP ASHLAND: CONSTRUCT FRONTAGE LEVEE SEGMENT	3,000	+ 3,000
COLUMBUS: READINESS CENTER ADDITION/ALTERATION	618	618
NORFOLK: FIRE STATION	1,068	1,068
OMAHA:			
ARMY RESERVE CENTER LAND ACQUISITION	3,100	+ 3,100
READINESS CENTER	5,804	5,804
YORK: READINESS CENTER ALTERATION	758	758
TOTAL, NEBRASKA	21,648	27,748	+ 6,100
NEVADA			
NAVY: FALLON NAVAL AIR STATION: HIGH EXPLOSIVE MAGAZINES	4,700	+ 4,700
AIR FORCE: NELLIS AFB: VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	11,800	+ 11,800
DEFENSE-WIDE: NELLIS AFB: HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	12,800	12,800
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: RENO: REPLACE COMMUNICATION AND SECURITY FORCES FACILITY	9,000	+ 9,000
TOTAL, NEVADA	12,800	38,300	+ 25,500
NEW HAMPSHIRE			
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: PEASE ANG BASE: FIRE STATION	6,100	+ 6,100
TOTAL, NEW HAMPSHIRE	6,100	+ 6,100
NEW JERSEY			
NAVY:			
EARLE NAVAL WEAPONS STATION: GENERAL PURPOSE BERTHING PIER REPLACEMENT	26,740	26,740
LAKEHURST NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER: ELECTROMAGNETIC AIR-CRAFT LAUNCHING SYSTEM FACILITY	20,681	20,681
AIR FORCE:			
MCGUIRE AFB:			
C-17 MAINTENANCE TRAINING DEVICE FACILITY	6,862	6,862
C-17 ROADS AND UTILITIES	4,765	4,765
TOTAL, NEW JERSEY	59,048	59,048
NEW MEXICO			
AIR FORCE:			
CANNON AFB:			
AEROSPACE GROUND EQUIPMENT COMPLEX	7,700	+ 7,700
INSTALL APPROACH LIGHTS, RUNWAY 13	1,300	+ 1,300
TULAROSA RADAR TEST SITE: UPGRADE RADAR TEST FACILITY	3,600	3,600
KIRTLAND AFB: ARSENIC TREATMENT SYSTEMS	6,957	6,957
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: ALBUQUERQUE: READINESS CENTER ADDITION/ALTERATION	2,533	2,533
TOTAL, NEW MEXICO	13,090	22,090	+ 9,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
NEW YORK			
ARMY:			
FORT DRUM:			
BARRACKS—10200 AREA	22,500	22,500
BARRACKS COMPLEX—WHEELER SACK AAF (PHASE I)	49,000	49,000
MOUNTAIN RAMP EXPANSION	11,000	11,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
ROCHESTER: READINESS CENTER ADDITION/ALTERATION	4,332	4,332
UTICA: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	3,261	3,261
AIR FORCE RESERVE: NIAGRA ARS: VISITING AIRMAN'S QUARTERS	9,600	+ 9,600
TOTAL, NEW YORK	90,093	99,693	+ 9,600
NORTH CAROLINA			
ARMY:			
FORT BRAGG:			
BARRACKS COMPLEX—BASTOGNE DRIVE (PHASE I)	47,000	47,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX—BUTNER ROAD (PHASE IV)	38,000	38,000
BARRACKS-D AREA (PHASE IV)	17,000	17,000
NAVY:			
CAMP LEJEUNE MARINE CORPS BASE:			
CONSOLIDATED ARMORIES	10,270	10,270
HEADQUARTERS AND ACADEMIC INSTRUCTION FACILITY	6,300	6,300
OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITIES	12,880	12,880
MCAS CHERRY POINT: LAND ACQUISITION	1,270	+ 1,270
NEW RIVER MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: WATER TREATMENT FACILITY	6,240	6,240
AIR FORCE:			
POPE AFB:			
C-130J 2-BAY HANGAR	15,629	15,629
C-130J UPGRADE HANGAR 6	2,716	2,716
C-130J/30 RAMP UPGRADE	1,239	1,239
C-130J/30 TECH TRAINING FACILITY	4,431	4,431
SEYMOUR JOHNSON AFB:			
BOUNDARY FENCE	1,500	1,500
DORMITORIES	9,530	9,530
FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATIONS	11,400	+ 11,400
DEFENSE-WIDE: CAMP LEJEUNE: NEW MAINSIDE PRIMARY SCHOOL	15,259	15,259
FORT BRAGG:			
BATTALION AND COMPANY HEADQUARTERS	4,200	4,200
COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITY ADDITION	1,500	1,500
JOINT OPERATIONS COMPLEX	19,700	19,700
MAZE AND FACADE	2,400	2,400
TRAINING COMPLEX	8,500	8,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
ASHEVILLE: READINESS CENTER	6,251	6,251
LENOIR: READINESS CENTER	5,184	5,184
MORRISVILLE: FIRE STATION	1,306	1,306
SALISBURY: FIRE STATION	926	926
TOTAL, NORTH CAROLINA	237,961	250,631	+ 12,670
NORTH DAKOTA			
AIR FORCE:			
MINOT AFB:			
ADD/ALTER MISSILE MAINTENANCE VEHICLE FACILITY	3,050	3,050
FITNESS CENTER	9,500	+ 9,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: BISMARCK: READINESS CENTER ADDITION	1,873	1,873
TOTAL, NORTH DAKOTA	4,923	14,423	+ 9,500

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
OHIO			
AIR FORCE: WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB: DORMITORY	10,500	10,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP SHERMAN, CHILLICOTHE: READINESS CENTER	5,560	+ 5,560
ARMY RESERVE: CLEVELAND: RESERVE CENTER/OMS/AMSA/STORAGE	21,595	21,595
TOTAL, OHIO	32,095	37,655	+ 5,560
OKLAHOMA			
ARMY:			
FORT SILL:			
CONSOLIDATED MAINTENANCE COMPLEX (PHASE II)	13,000	13,000
MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE	3,500	3,500
AIR FORCE:			
ALTUS AFB: C-17 MODIFY SIMULATOR BAYS	1,144	1,144
TINKER AFB:			
BUILDING 3001 REVITALIZATION (PHASE I)	19,060	19,060
CONSOLIDATED INTEGRATION SUPPORT FACILITY	7,500	+ 7,500
VANCE AFB: CONSOLIDATED LOGISTICS COMPLEX	15,000	+ 15,000
TOTAL, OKLAHOMA	36,704	59,204	+ 22,500
OREGON			
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
PORTLAND IAP:			
ALTER FLIGHTLINE FACILITIES	2,900	2,900
FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION	4,300	4,300
HYDRANT REFUELING SYSTEM (PHASE II)	3,050	3,050
TOTAL, OREGON	10,250	10,250
PENNSYLVANIA			
NAVY: PHILADELPHIA FOUNDRY: UPGRADE LARGE PROPELLER SHOP BLDG 546	10,200	+ 10,200
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
HARRISBURG IAP: C130J EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	3,000	3,000
NEW CUMBERLAND DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT: REPLACE GENERAL PURPOSE WAREHOUSES	27,000	27,000
TOTAL, PENNSYLVANIA	30,000	40,200	+ 10,200
RHODE ISLAND			
NAVY:			
NEWPORT NAVAL STATION:			
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT	16,140	16,140
IMPROVE GATE I SECURITY	2,550	+ 2,550
UNDERWATER WEAPON SYSTEMS LABORATORY	10,890	10,890
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: QUONSET STATE AIRPORT: REPLACE COMPOSITE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	18,500	18,500
TOTAL, RHODE ISLAND	45,530	48,080	+ 2,550
SOUTH CAROLINA			
AIR FORCE:			
CHARLESTON AFB: DORMITORY	8,863	8,863
SHAW AFB: DEPLOYMENT PROCESSING CENTER	8,500	+ 8,500
TOTAL, SOUTH CAROLINA	8,863	17,363	+ 8,500

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
SOUTH DAKOTA			
AIR FORCE: ELLSWORTH AFB: B-1 WEAPONS SYSTEM TRAINING FACILITY	9,300	+ 9,300
TOTAL, SOUTH DAKOTA	9,300	+ 9,300
TENNESSEE			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: NASHVILLE: READINESS CENTER, PHASE I	8,100	+ 8,100
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
MEMPHIS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT: C-5 MAINTENANCE SHOPS CONVERSION	5,000	+ 5,000
NASHVILLE: COMPOSITE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX, PHASE II	11,000	+ 11,000
MCGHEE-TYSON: FIRE STATION/SECURITY FORCES FACILITY	6,000	+ 6,000
ARMY RESERVE: NASHVILLE: RESERVE CENTER/OMS/UNHEATED STORAGE ...	8,955	8,955
TOTAL, TENNESSEE	8,955	39,055	+ 30,100
TEXAS			
ARMY:			
FORT HOOD:			
BARRACKS COMPLEX—67TH ST AND BATTALION AVE	47,000	47,000
URBAN ASSAULT COURSE	2,800	2,800
NAVY: CORPUS CHRISTI NAVAL AIR STATION: NS INGLESIDE: HEAD-QUARTERS, MINE WARFARE COMMAND	7,070	+ 7,070
AIR FORCE:			
GOODFELLOW AFB:			
FIRE TRAINING CLASSROOM FACILITY	1,863	1,863
STUDENT DORMITORY	18,107	18,107
LACKLAND AFB:			
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER PHASE II	8,700	+ 8,700
STUDENT DORMITORY	20,966	20,966
STUDENT DORMITORY	35,260	35,260
RANDOLPH AFB:			
FITNESS CENTER	13,600	+ 13,600
STUDENT DORMITORY	28,590	28,590
DEFENSE-WIDE: LAUGHLIN AFB: REPLACE TRUCK FUEL LOADING FACILITY ...	4,688	4,688
TOTAL, TEXAS	159,274	188,644	+ 29,370
UTAH			
AIR FORCE:			
HILL AFB:			
AEF DEPLOYMENT CENTER	5,900	+ 5,900
MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,000	1,000
REPLACE MUNITIONS STORAGE IGLOOS	13,000	13,000
SMALL DIAMETER BOMB STORAGE IGLOOS	1,811	1,811
TOTAL, UTAH	15,811	21,711	+ 5,900
VERMONT			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: SOUTH BURLINGTON: ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	23,827	23,827
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: BURLINGTON: AIR MOBILIZATION FACILITY	5,400	+ 5,400
TOTAL, VERMONT	23,827	29,227	+ 5,400
VIRGINIA			
ARMY: FORT MYER: VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	9,000	9,000
NAVY:			
ARLINGTON: PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER ADDITION	1,970	1,970

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
DAHLGREN NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER:			
OPERATIONS CENTER ADDITION	20,520	20,520
WEAPONS DYNAMIC RDT&E CENTER	3,500	+ 3,500
LITTLE CREEK NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE: GATE 1 IMPROVEMENTS	3,810	3,810
NORFOLK:			
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	36,460	36,460
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS—HOMEPORT ASHORE (PHASE II)	46,730	46,730
CRANE/WEIGHT HANDLING EQUIPMENT SHOP	17,770	17,770
PIER 11 REPLACEMENT (PHASE I)	27,610	27,610
QUANTICO MARINE CORPS BASE:			
NETWORK OPERATIONS CENTER	14,420	+ 14,420
WEAPONS TRAINING BATTALION LOAD AND TEST FACILITY	3,700	3,700
AIR FORCE:			
LANGLEY AFB:			
F-22 CLEAR WATER RINSE PAD	2,383	2,383
F-22 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AMU/HANGAR	20,013	20,013
F-22 VERTICAL WING TANK STORAGE	2,573	2,573
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
ARLINGTON: PENTAGON ATHLETIC CENTER RESTORATION PROJECT	38,086	38,086
DAM NECK FLEET COMBAT TRAINING CENTER:			
MISSION SUPPORT FACILITY	5,600	5,600
SMALL ARMS RANGE	9,681	9,681
LITTLE CREEK OPERATIONS CENTER	9,000	+ 9,000
FORT BELVOIR: DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION CENTER (PHASE II)	25,700	25,700
LANGLEY AFB: REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	13,000	13,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP PENDLETON: TROOP TRAINING QUARTERS (RED HORSE)	2,500	+ 2,500
NAVAL RESERVE: QUANTICO: RESERVE CENTER	9,497	9,497
TOTAL, VIRGINIA	294,103	323,523	+ 29,420
WASHINGTON			
ARMY:			
FORT LEWIS:			
BARRACKS COMPLEX—17TH AND B STREET (PHASE III)	48,000	48,000
DEPLOYMENT STAGING FACILITY	2,650	2,650
SHOOT HOUSE	1,250	1,250
NAVY:			
BANGOR NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE:			
SERVICE PIER UPGRADE AND BUILDING ADDITION	33,820	33,820
WATERFRONT SECURITY FORCE FACILITY	6,530	6,530
INDIAN ISLAND NAVAL MAGAZINES: ORDNANCE TRANSFER FACILITY	2,240	2,240
AIR FORCE: MCCHORD AFB: UPGRADE MISSION SUPPORT CENTER (PHASE II)	19,000	19,000
DEFENSE-WIDE: MCCHORD AFB: BULK FUEL STORAGE TANKS	8,100	8,100
AIR NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP MURRAY: RED HORSE MEDICAL TNG COMPLEX	7,500	+ 7,500
TOTAL, WASHINGTON	121,590	129,090	+ 7,500
WEST VIRGINIA			
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: ELEANOR: ROAD SECURITY FORCE PROTECTION MODIFICATION	4,000	+ 4,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
MARTINSBURG:			
AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER	5,800	+ 5,800
C-5 PARKING APRON, JET FUEL STORAGE, HYDRANT SYS	20,000	+ 20,000
TOTAL, WEST VIRGINIA	29,800	+ 29,800

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
WISCONSIN			
ARMY RESERVE: FORT MCCOY: BATTLE SIMULATION CENTER	4,340	+ 4,340
TOTAL, WISCONSIN	4,340	+ 4,340
WYOMING			
AIR FORCE: F. E. WARREN AFB: STORMWATER DRAINAGE SYSTEM PHASE I	10,000	+ 10,000
TOTAL, WYOMING	10,000	+ 10,000
BAHRAIN			
NAVY: BAHRAIN NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY: OPERATIONS CONTROL CENTER	18,030	18,030
TOTAL, BAHRAIN	18,030	18,030
GERMANY			
ARMY:			
GRAFENWOEHR:			
BRIGADE COMPLEX—BARRACKS AND MAINT/SUPPORT	30,000	— 30,000
BRIGADE COMPLEX—TROOP SUPPORT FACILITIES	46,000	— 46,000
HEIDELBERG: BARRACKS—HEIDELBERG HOSPITAL	17,000	— 17,000
HOHENFELS: PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	13,200	— 13,200
VILSECK: BARRACKS COMPLEX (PHASE I)	12,100	— 12,100
AIR FORCE:			
RAMSTEIN AB:			
CIVIL ENGINEERING MIDFIELD COMPLEX	6,250	— 6,250
CONSOLIDATE 1ST COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS SQUADRON (PHASE II)	19,713	19,713
FITNESS CENTER ANNEX	15,903	15,903
SPANGDAHLEM AB:			
FIRE STATION ANNEX AND TRAINING FACILITY	3,865	— 3,865
PASSENGER TERMINAL	1,546	— 1,546
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
GRAFENWOEHR:			
DISPENSARY/DENTAL CLINIC ADDITION/ALTERATION	12,585	12,585
ELEMENTARY AND MIDDLE SCHOOL	36,247	18,616	— 17,631
HEIDELBERG: ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	3,086	3,086
STUTTGART: FORWARD STATION COMPLEX	11,400	11,400
VILSECK: ELEMENTARY SCHOOL RENOVATION/ADDITION	1,773	1,773
TOTAL, GERMANY	230,668	83,076	— 147,592
GUAM			
NAVY: GUAM: DEFENSE-WIDE: ANDERSEN AFB: MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT	24,900	24,900
TOTAL, GUAM	24,900	24,900
ITALY			
ARMY:			
AVIANO AB:			
JOINT DEPLOYMENT FACILITY (PHASE I)	15,500	15,500
JOINT DEPLOYMENT FACILITY (PHASE II)	13,000	— 13,000
LIVORNO: VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	22,000	22,000
NAVY:			
LA MADALENA NAVAL SUPPORT FACILITY: CONSOLIDATE SANTO STEFANO FACILITIES			
.....	39,020	39,020
SIGONELLA NAVAL AIR STATION:			
BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITIES (PHASE I)	34,070	34,070

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITIES (PHASE II)	14,679	- 14,679
AIR FORCE:			
AVIANO AB:			
REMOVE AIRFIELD OBSTRUCTION—SOUTH RAMP	7,730	7,730
MUNITIONS ADMINISTRATION FACILITY	5,301	5,301
ZULU ARM/DEARM PAD	994	994
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
SIGONELLA NAVAL AIR STATION: ELEMENTARY AND HIGH SCHOOL AD- DITIONS/RENOVATIONS	13,969	13,969
VICENZA: ELEMENTARY AND HIGH SCHOOL ADDITIONS/RENOVATIONS ..	16,374	16,374
TOTAL, ITALY	182,637	154,958	- 27,679
KOREA			
ARMY:			
CAMP HUMPHREYS:			
BARRACKS COMPLEX	35,000	- 35,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX	41,000	- 41,000
BARRACKS COMPLEX	29,000	- 29,000
AIR FORCE:			
KUNSAN AB: UPGRADE HARDENED AIRCRAFT SHELTERS	7,059	7,059
OSAN AB: DORMITORY	16,638	16,638
TOTAL, KOREA	128,697	23,697	- 105,000
KWAJALEIN			
ARMY:			
KWAJALEIN ATOLL: VEHICLE PAINT AND PREP FACILITY	9,400	9,400
TOTAL, KWAJALEIN	9,400	9,400
PORTUGAL			
AIR FORCE: LAJES FIELD: ADD/ALTER FITNESS CENTER	4,086	4,086
TOTAL, PORTUGAL	4,086	4,086
TURKEY			
AIR FORCE: INCIRLIK AB: CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	3,262	- 3,262
TOTAL, TURKEY	3,262	- 3,262
UNITED KINGDOM			
NAVY: SAINT MAWGAN: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	7,070	7,070
AIR FORCE:			
RAF MILDENHALL:			
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER ANNEX	3,646	3,646
POST OFFICE	3,592	3,592
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	3,320	3,320
RAF LAKENHEATH:			
ADD/ALT CRASH FIRE STATION	2,667	2,667
COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	8,436	8,436
DORMITORY	13,606	13,606
FAMILY SUPPORT CENTER	5,878	5,878
MOBILITY CARGO PROCESSING CENTER	11,900	11,900
TOTAL, UNITED KINGDOM	60,115	60,115
WAKE ISLAND			
AIR FORCE:			
WAKE ISLAND:			
REPAIR AIRFIELD PAVEMENT (PHASE III)	14,000	14,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
UPGRADE ISLAND-WIDE INFRASTRUCTURE (PHASE I)	10,000	10,000
TOTAL, WAKE ISLAND	24,000	24,000
NATO			
NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM	169,300	169,300
WORLDWIDE CLASSIFIED			
ARMY: CLASSIFIED LOCATION: CLASSIFIED PROJECT	178,700	— 178,700
AIR FORCE:			
CLASSIFIED LOCATION:			
CLASSIFIED PROJECT	3,250	3,250
PREDATOR B-SQUADRON OPS/AMU AND HANGAR	25,731	25,731
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE CLASSIFIED	207,681	28,981	— 178,700
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED			
ARMY:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
HOST NATION SUPPORT	22,000	22,000
PLANNING AND DESIGN	100,710	112,645	+ 11,935
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	20,000	32,560	+ 12,560
RESCISSION (PUBLIC LAW 107-249)	— 66,050	— 142,200	— 76,150
RESCISSION (PUBLIC LAW 107-64)	— 24,000	— 24,000
RESCISSION (PUBLIC LAW 106-246)	— 17,415	— 17,415
NAVY:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	65,612	77,283	+ 11,671
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	12,334	13,754	+ 1,420
OUTLYING LANDING FIELD FACILITIES (PHASE I)	27,610	27,610
RESCISSION (PUBLIC LAW 107-249)	— 14,679	— 27,213	— 12,534
RESCISSION (PUBLIC LAW 107-64)	— 12,109	— 12,109
AIR FORCE:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	79,116	112,075	+ 32,959
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	12,000	17,020	+ 5,020
DEFENSE-WIDE:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION	8,960	8,960
ENERGY CONSERVATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	69,500	69,500
RESCISSION (PUBLIC LAW 107-249)	— 997	— 32,680	— 31,683
PLANNING AND DESIGN:			
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	14,768	14,768
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEPENDENT EDUCATION	6,500	6,500
TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY	18,616	27,216	+ 8,600
UNDISTRIBUTED	20,997	22,397	+ 1,400
SUBTOTAL, PLANNING AND DESIGN	60,881	70,881	+ 10,000
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION:			
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	2,723	2,723
MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY	2,600	600	— 2,000
DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE	1,500	1,500
UNDISTRIBUTED	3,000	3,000
JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	6,330	6,330
SUBTOTAL, UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	16,153	14,153	— 2,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	26,570	48,612	+ 22,042
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	1,451	7,955	+ 6,504

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
AIR NATIONAL GUARD:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	16,030	36,163	+ 20,133
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	5,500	9,250	+ 3,750
ARMY RESERVE:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	7,712	8,873	+ 1,161
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	2,886	2,886
NAVAL RESERVE: UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS: PLANNING AND DESIGN	2,562	3,172	+ 610
AIR FORCE RESERVE:			
UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS:			
PLANNING AND DESIGN	11,142	13,212	+ 2,070
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	5,160	6,604	+ 1,444
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED	492,163	459,551	— 32,612
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY			
ALASKA:			
FORT WAINWRIGHT (100 UNITS)	44,000	44,000
FORT WAINWRIGHT (40 UNITS)	20,000	20,000
ARIZONA:			
FORT HUACHUCA (160 UNITS)	27,000	27,000
FORT HUACHUCA (60 UNITS)	14,000	14,000
KANSAS:			
FORT RILEY (32 UNITS)	8,300	8,300
FORT RILEY (30 UNITS)	8,400	8,400
KENTUCKY: FORT KNOX (178 UNITS)	41,000	41,000
NEW MEXICO: WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE (58 UNITS)	14,600	14,600
OKLAHOMA:			
FORT SILL (50 UNITS)	10,000	10,000
FORT SILL (70 UNITS)	15,373	15,373
VIRGINIA: FORT LEE (90 UNITS)	18,000	18,000
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	156,030	156,030
PLANNING AND DESIGN	32,488	32,488
RESCISSION (PUBLIC LAW 107–249)	— 52,300	— 52,300
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	356,891	356,891
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	167,332	157,332	— 10,000
SERVICES ACCOUNT	46,735	46,735
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	86,326	96,326	+ 10,000
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	1,311	1,311
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	44,658	44,658
LEASING	234,471	234,471
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	432,605	432,605
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUM	1	1
HOUSING PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	29,587	29,587
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	1,043,026	1,043,026
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY	1,399,917	1,399,917
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS			
CALIFORNIA: LEMOORE (187 UNITS)	41,585	41,585
FLORIDA: PENSACOLA (25 UNITS)	3,197	3,197
NORTH CAROLINA:			
CHERRY POINT (339 UNITS)	42,803	42,803
CAMP LEJEUNE (161 UNITS)	21,537	21,537
CAMP LEJEUNE (358 UNITS)	46,244	46,244
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	20,446	20,446

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
PLANNING AND DESIGN	8,381	8,381
RESCISSION (PUBLIC LAW 107-249)	— 3,585	— 3,585
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	184,193	180,608	— 3,585
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	164,556	154,556	— 10,000
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	25,462	25,462
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	78,325	78,325
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	807	807
SERVICES ACCOUNT	62,730	62,730
LEASING	132,433	132,433
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	377,792	387,792	+ 10,000
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUM	64	64
HOUSING PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	10,609	10,609
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	852,778	852,778
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS	1,036,971	1,033,386	— 3,585
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE			
ARIZONA: DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB (93 UNITS)	19,357	19,357
CALIFORNIA: TRAVIS AFB (56 UNITS)	12,723	12,723
DELAWARE: DOVER AFB (112 UNITS)	19,601	19,601
FLORIDA: EGLIN AFB (279 UNITS)	32,166	32,166
IDAHO: MOUNTAIN HOME AFB (186 UNITS)	37,126	37,126
MARYLAND: ANDREWS AFB (50 UNITS)	20,233	20,233
MISSOURI: WHITEMAN AFB (100 UNITS)	18,221	18,221
MONTANA: MALMSTROM AFB (94 UNITS)	19,368	19,368
NORTH CAROLINA: SEYMOUR JOHNSON AFB (138 UNITS)	18,336	18,336
NORTH DAKOTA:			
GRAND FORKS AFB (144 UNITS)	29,550	29,550
MINOT AFB (200 UNITS)	41,117	41,117
SOUTH DAKOTA: ELLSWORTH AFB (75 UNITS)	16,240	16,240
TEXAS:			
DYESS AFB (116 UNITS)	19,973	19,973
RANDOLPH AFB (96 UNITS)	13,754	13,754
KOREA: OSAN AB (111 UNITS)	44,765	44,765
PORTUGAL: LAJES FIELD (42 UNITS)	13,428	13,428
UNITED KINGDOM: RAF LAKENHEATH (89 UNITS)	23,640	23,640
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	223,979	223,979
PLANNING AND DESIGN	33,488	33,488
RESCISSION (PUBLIC LAW 107-249)	— 19,347	— 19,347
RESCISSION (PUBLIC LAW 105-237)	— 9,692	— 9,692
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	637,718	628,026	— 9,692
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	132,651	142,651	+ 10,000
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	70,083	60,083	— 10,000
SERVICES ACCOUNT	26,070	26,070
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	43,006	43,006
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	2,527	2,527
LEASING	119,908	119,908
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	395,650	395,650
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUM	37	37
PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS	44,536	44,536
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	834,468	834,468
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE	1,472,186	1,462,494	— 9,692

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE			
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS (NSA)	50	50
PLANNING AND DESIGN (DLA)	300	300
SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	350	350
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE:			
UTILITIES ACCOUNT (NSA)	413	413
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (NSA)	112	112
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (NSA)	13	13
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT (NSA)	51	51
SERVICES ACCOUNT (NSA)	405	405
LEASING (NSA)	11,987	11,987
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (NSA)	2,528	2,528
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DLA)	3,844	3,844
LEASING (DLA)	27,225	27,225
UTILITIES ACCOUNT (DLA)	412	412
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DLA)	32	32
SERVICES ACCOUNT (DLA)	72	72
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (DLA)	289	289
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (DLA)	2,057	2,057
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	49,440	49,440
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE	49,790	49,790
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND			
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND	300	300
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT			
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT	370,427	370,427
GENERAL PROVISIONS			
GENERAL PROVISION (SEC. 118)	55,000	55,000
GRAND TOTAL	9,117,281	9,196,000	+ 78,719
RECAPITULATION			
ARMY	1,602,060	1,255,155	– 346,905
RESCISSION	– 66,050	– 183,615	– 117,565
NAVY	1,147,537	1,195,659	+ 48,122
RESCISSION	– 14,679	– 39,322	– 24,643
AIR FORCE	830,671	1,056,377	+ 225,706
DEFENSE-WIDE	695,298	712,567	+ 17,269
RESCISSION	– 997	– 32,680	– 31,683
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	168,298	304,085	+ 135,787
AIR NATIONAL GUARD	60,430	221,013	+ 160,583
ARMY RESERVE	68,478	73,979	+ 5,501
NAVAL RESERVE	28,032	34,742	+ 6,710
AIR FORCE RESERVE	44,312	57,426	+ 13,114
TOTAL, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	4,563,390	4,655,386	+ 91,996
DATA INFRASTRUCTURE	169,300	169,300
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY	1,399,917	1,399,917
(CONSTRUCTION)	(409,191)	(409,191)
(RESCISSION)	(– 52,300)	(– 52,300)
(OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE)	(1,043,026)	(1,043,026)
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS	1,036,971	1,033,386	– 3,585
(CONSTRUCTION)	(184,193)	(184,193)
(RESCISSION)	(– 3,585)	(– 3,585)

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued
[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project	Budget request	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
(OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE)	(852,778)	(852,778)
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE	1,472,186	1,462,494	— 9,692
(CONSTRUCTION)	(657,065)	(657,065)
(RESCISSION)	(— 19,347)	(— 29,039)	(— 9,692)
(OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE)	(834,468)	(834,468)
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE	49,790	49,790
(CONSTRUCTION)	(350)	(350)
(OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE)	(49,440)	(49,440)
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND	300	300
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT	370,427	370,427
GENERAL PROVISION	55,000	55,000
GRAND TOTAL	9,117,281	9,196,000	+ 78,719