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## Highlights

- Forty-two percent of elementary and secondary public school teachers reported understanding the concept of new higher standards for student achievement very well, and 35 percent said they felt very well equipped to set or apply new higher standards for their students (figure 1).
- Two activities associated with education reform were frequently cited by teachers as being incorporated into their classes to a great extent: using instructional strategies aligned with high standards ( 56 percent) and assisting all students to achieve to high standards ( 52 percent). Only
7 percent of teachers reported incorporating innovative technologies such as the Internet and telecommunicationssupported instruction to a great extent (table 2).
- Seventy-nine percent of teachers identified innovative technologies as one of the three areas for which they most needed information, and 53 percent reported needing information on using authentic student assessments, such as portfolios that measure performance against high standards (table 2).
- According to teachers, authentic assessments (such as portfolios) that measure performance against high standards were more likely to be used in English/language arts ( 64 percent) than in mathematics ( 51 percent), science ( 42 percent), and history/social studies ( 38 percent; table 3 ).
- Fifty-six percent of teachers reported having students with limited English proficiency enrolled in their classes, and 79 percent reported having students with disabilities. Thirtythree percent of such teachers reported applying, to a great extent, the same high standards of performance used for other students to students with limited English proficiency, as did 28 percent for students with disabilities (table 4).
- Twenty-eight percent of all teachers reported that they provided information or advice, to a great extent, to parents to help them create supportive environments at home. Forty-six percent of elementary school teachers reported engaging in this activity, compared to 20 percent of middle and 10 percent of high school teachers (table 5, appendix table B-5, and figure 3 ).
- Ninety-four percent of teachers reported attending an average of 42 hours of professional development activities such as professional meetings, inservice workshops, and conferences during the period September 1, 1994, through August 31, 1995 (table 7 and appendix table B-6).
- Fifty-six percent of public school teachers participating in professional development reported attending activities in which information on high standards was a major focus (table 9).
- Teachers who reported that they implemented larger numbers of reform activities in their classrooms were more likely to report attending professional development activities with a major focus on higher standards (table 9).
- Among teachers who used various sources of information or resources to help them understand or use comprehensive reform strategies, ${ }^{1}$ one-third or more reported they felt that other teachers ( 39 percent), inservice training ( 37 percent), and institutes or workshops ( 38 percent) were very effective resources. U.S. Department of Education resources were considered very effective sources of information on comprehensive reform strategies by 4 percent to 11 percent of teachers consulting these sources (table 12).
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Increasingly, national initiatives are directed toward finding ways to improve the quality of education for all students. These initiatives address many aspects of the educational process, including the application of high standards for student achievement. The Public School Teacher Survey on Education Reform was conducted to provide nationally representative data on teachers' understanding of standards-based education reforms. In addition, the study gathered nationally representative data on specific reform activities teachers reported implementing in their classrooms. The study also attempted to identify information and assistance needed by teachers.

Data from this report represent findings from one of two studies that were requested jointly by the Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) and the Planning and Evaluation Service (PES) in the U.S. Department of Education. The Public School Teacher Survey on Education Reform provides data from a nationally representative sample of 1,445 public elementary, middle, and high school teachers on their individual efforts toward education reform. The other study, Public School Survey on Education Reform, collected data from a sample of nationally representative public school principals that are intended to provide information about public school education reform strategies being implemented, principals' need for information and assistance, and the role of Title I program resources in supporting education reforms. Findings from the principal survey are presented in a separate report. ${ }^{2}$

Both studies were initiated during the spring of 1996. Followup with nonresponding principals was completed in July 1996 and with nonresponding teachers in October 1996 (see appendix A for survey methodology). The study was conducted through the Fast Response Survey System (FRSS) for the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) by Westat, a research firm in Rockville, Maryland. The survey asked teachers to report for the 1995-96 school year.

This report contains information about education reform efforts in U.S. public schools as reported by school teachers through a mail survey. The information has not been independently verified. Because of the survey questions and collection methodology used, results should be interpreted carefully for the following reasons:

1. Since all teachers do not share the same concept of reform, survey questions were designed to be inclusive of a wide variety of reform activities.

[^1]2. There may be a tendency for respondents to over-report activities in which they believe they should be engaged.
3. As a Fast Response survey, the questionnaire was brief and could not collect information to judge the accuracy of the teachers' reports about their reform efforts.

Teachers were given guidance for completing their surveys in the form of a general definition of new higher standards. It was defined on the questionnaire as "recent and current education reform activities that seek to establish more challenging expectations for student achievement and performance, such as the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics standards for mathematics, state- or localinitiated standards in various subjects, and those outlined in Goals 2000."

It is important to note that the survey did not limit standards to those adopted by states, since schools in states that have not adopted standards could have locally developed standards of their own.

The teacher survey included questions on the following topics:

- Teachers' understanding of the concept of new higher standards for student achievement;
- How well equipped teachers feel to set or apply new higher standards of achievement for their students;
- The extent to which teachers are implementing various reform activities and in what areas information is most needed;
- Incorporation of specific education reform activities in English/ language arts, history/social studies, mathematics, and science classes;
- The extent to which teachers hold students with limited English proficiency and disabilities to the same high standards as other students;
- The extent to which teachers have engaged in activities to involve parents in student learning;
- Sources of information or assistance in understanding and using reform strategies and activities;
- Teachers' preferred format for receiving information;
- Total number of hours teachers spent on professional development, types of professional development activities attended, and whether information on high standards was a major focus of the activities attended; and
- Characteristics of professional development activities sponsored or supported by teachers' schools.

Survey findings are presented throughout the report in aggregate for all schools; where significant differences were found, they are presented by school characteristics. Appendix B contains reference tables of the survey data broken out by the school and teacher characteristics listed below. Findings from these tables were not discussed in great depth in the report because many of the comparisons between school and teacher characteristics on the extent of their reform activities did not show relevant or statistically significant differences. Readers can use the appendix reference tables to make comparisons not cited in the text of the report.

- Instructional level (elementary school, middle school, high school);
- Geographic region (Northeast, Southeast, Central, West);
- Enrollment size (less than 500, 500-999, 1,000 or more);
- Locale (city, urban fringe, town, rural);
- Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch (less than 35 percent, $35-49$ percent, $50-74$ percent, 75 percent or more);
- Minority enrollment (less than 6 percent, 6-20 percent, 21-49 percent, 50 percent or more);
- Number of years teacher has been teaching (less than 10,10 to 20, 21 or more); and
- Main subject area teacher taught (self-contained class, mathematics, science, social studies, and English/language arts).

Data have been weighted to national estimates of public school teachers. All comparative statements made in this report have been tested for statistical significance through chi-square tests or $t$-tests adjusted for multiple comparisons using the Bonferroni adjustment and are significant at the 0.05 level or better. However, not all statistically significant comparisons have been presented.

## Teachers' Understanding of and Ability to Apply High Standards

School reform incorporates a multitude of activities. The goals of the reform movement include setting standards for development of curriculum instructional materials that offer a high level of challenge, evaluating students more fully and appropriately, and incorporating teaching methods and techniques to foster a higher level of achievement for all students. An important part of the school reform effort is that teachers should understand and teach to new higher standards for student achievement. Therefore, the survey asked sampled teachers how well they understood the concept of new higher standards and how well equipped they felt to set or apply them. In response, almost all teachers reported having some understanding of the concept of new higher standards and almost all felt at least somewhat equipped to set or apply new higher standards. Less than half ( 42 percent) reported understanding the concept very well, while 35 percent felt very well equipped to set or apply new higher standards (figure 1 and appendix table B-1).

Figure 1.-Percent of public school teachers reporting the extent to which they understood the concept of new higher standards and the percent reporting the extent to which they felt equipped to set or apply new higher standards: 1996


[^2]SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Public School Teacher Survey

About one-fourth of teachers in schools with less than 6 percent minority enrollment reported understanding the concept of new higher standards very well compared with about half of teachers in schools with larger minority enrollment (table 1 and appendix table B-1).

Table 1.-Percent of public school teachers reporting the extent to which they understood the concept of new higher standards, and the percent reporting the extent to which they felt equipped to set or apply new higher standards for student achievement, by percent minority enrollment: 1996

| Minority enrollment | Understood concept |  |  |  | Felt equipped to set or apply standards |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Very well |  | Somewhat well |  | Very well |  | Somewhat well |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers............................. | 42 | 2.1 | 52 | 2.0 | 35 | 1.8 | 57 | 2.0 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent................... | 27 | 3.1 | 64 | 3.3 | 28 | 3.8 | 59 | 4.2 |
| 6 to 20 percent ......................... | 48 | 4.7 | 47 | 4.5 | 39 | 4.4 | 55 | 4.4 |
| 21 to 49 percent....................... | 47 | 4.9 | 48 | 5.1 | 36 | 3.8 | 57 | 3.7 |
| 50 percent or more .................... | 48 | 2.5 | 48 | 2.7 | 38 | 2.5 | 56 | 2.9 |

NOTE: Percents do not add to 100 because this table does not show the third response category—not at all well—that was included on the questionnaire. Five percent of teachers reported not at all understanding the concept of new higher standards and 8 percent reported being not at all well prepared to set or apply new higher standards for student achievement.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Public School Teacher Survey on

## Types of Education Reform Activities Teachers Are Implementing in Their Classes

Teachers were also asked to report the extent to which they were implementing specific activities associated with education reform in their classes (table 2 and appendix table B-2). Teachers reported incorporating instructional strategies aligned with high standards (56 percent) and assisting all students to achieve to high standards (52 percent) in their classes to a great extent more frequently than the other reform activities. Elementary school teachers ( 61 percent) were more likely than middle school teachers ( 49 percent) and high school teachers (44 percent) to report assisting all students to achieve to high standards to a great extent (figure 2 and appendix table B-2).

Table 2.-Percent of public school teachers reporting the extent to which various reform activities were being implemented in their classes and areas for which information was most needed: 1996

| Reform activity | Extent to which activity was implemented in class ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  | Information most needed ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Great extent |  | Moderate extent |  |  |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| Using instructional strategies aligned with high standards ....................... | 56 | 1.8 | 35 | 1.7 | 34 | 2.0 |
| Assisting all students to achieve to high standards .................................. | 52 | 1.7 | 39 | 1.8 | 28 | 1.7 |
| Using curricula aligned with high standards.......................................... | 38 | 1.9 | 45 | 1.8 | 31 | 1.8 |
| Using textbooks or other instructional materials aligned with high standards $\qquad$ | 36 | 2.0 | 43 | 1.9 | 30 | 1.8 |
| Providing students or parents with examples of work that meets high standards $\qquad$ | 30 | 1.8 | 42 | 2.3 | 33 | 1.7 |
| Using authentic student assessments, such as portfolios that measure performance against high standards. $\qquad$ | 20 | 1.6 | 33 | 1.7 | 53 | 2.1 |
| Using innovative technologies such as the Internet and telecommunications-supported instruction. $\qquad$ | 7 | 1.0 | 20 | 1.6 | 79 | 1.6 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Percents do not add to 100 because this table does not show the third response cat ${ }^{2}$ Teachers could select up to three activities for information. | gory-s | ext | that was in | ded on | questio |  |
| SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistic | Fast R | nse S | y System, | blic S | ol Teach | vey |

Figure 2.-Percent of public school teachers who reported that they assisted all students to achieve to high standards to a great extent, by instructional level of school: 1996


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Public School Teacher Survey on Education Reform," FRSS 55, 1996.

About one-third of teachers reported implementing certain other activities to a great extent, including:

- Using curricula aligned with high standards (38 percent);
- Using textbooks or other instructional materials aligned with high standards ( 36 percent); and
- Providing students or parents with examples of work that are successful in meeting high standards (30 percent).

Fewer teachers ( 20 percent) reported using authentic student assessments to a great extent. The smallest percentage of teachers reported implementing innovative technologies such as the Internet and telecommunications-supported instructions to a great extent (7 percent; table 2 and appendix table B-2).

Of the seven reform activities listed, teachers were asked to identify the three areas for which information was most needed (table 2). Innovative technologies was listed most frequently by teachers (79 percent). Next most frequently mentioned was using authentic student assessments such as portfolios that measure performance against high standards ( 53 percent of teachers).

## Reform Activities Teachers Are Using in Various Subject Areas

The survey asked teachers to report about the use of the seven reform activities in four core subject areas: English/language arts, history/social studies, mathematics, and science (table 3 and appendix table B-3). The activities most often reported in history/social studies were incorporating instructional strategies aligned with high standards and assisting all students to achieve to high standards (73 and 78 percent). Assisting all students to achieve to high standards was reported by 82 percent of English/language arts teachers.

In all four subject areas, teachers reported the use of innovative technologies least often, when compared to the use of other reform activities (less than 30 percent).

Table 3.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that they implemented various reform activities in any classes, by subject area: 1996

| Reform activity | English/ <br> language arts |  | History/ social studies |  | Mathematics |  | Science |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| Using instructional strategies (e.g., hands-on activities, cooperative learning) aligned with high standards. | 75 | 2.3 | 73 | 2.8 | 82 | 1.8 | 81 | 2.3 |
| Assisting all students to achieve to high standards. | 82 | 1.9 | 78 | 2.6 | 79 | 2.2 | 74 | 2.4 |
| Using curricula aligned with high standards $\qquad$ | 69 | 2.4 | 59 | 2.8 | 67 | 2.5 | 66 | 3.0 |
| Using textbooks or other instructional materials aligned with high standards . | 66 | 2.7 | 57 | 3.0 | 69 | 2.9 | 59 | 2.4 |
| Providing students or parents with examples of work that meets high standards $\qquad$ | 67 | 2.7 | 52 | 2.2 | 64 | 3.1 | 52 | 2.8 |
| Using authentic student assessments such as portfolios that measure performance against high standards .... | 64 | 2.6 | 38 | 2.6 | 51 | 3.2 | 42 | 3.0 |
| Using innovative technologies such as the Internet and telecommunicationssupported instruction. | 29 | 2.8 | 20 | 2.3 | 22 | 2.4 | 20 | 2.6 |

NOTE: Percents are based on those respondents that teach the subject, including teachers who teach multiple subjects and who teach the subject in self-contained classes.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Public School Teacher Survey on

There were some significant differences by subject areas in teachers' reports of the use of authentic student assessments, such as portfolios, that measure performances against high standards. Teachers were less likely to report using authentic student assessments in history/social studies ( 38 percent), science (42 percent), and mathematics ( 51 percent; table 3 ).

Proportionately more teachers reported using curricula aligned with high standards in English/language arts ( 69 percent) than in history/ social studies ( 59 percent), but no significant differences were reported between teachers of English/language arts and mathematics and sciences classes ( 67 percent and 66 percent, respectively).

Teachers were more likely to report using textbooks and other instructional materials aligned with high standards in mathematics (69 percent) than in science ( 59 percent) or history/social studies classes (57 percent).

## Implementing High Standards for Students with Special Needs

For new standards to be fully applied, they must be incorporated into the curriculum for all students. The survey asked teachers to report on the application of high standards to two special groups of students-those with limited English proficiency and those with disabilities (table 4 and appendix table B-4). Seventy-nine percent of teachers reported that students with disabilities were enrolled in their classes, and 56 percent reported teaching students with limited English proficiency.

Table 4.-Percent of public school teachers with special needs students in their classes indicating the extent to which they applied the same high standards of performance used for other students to these students, and the percent of all public school teachers indicating the extent to which they needed information on helping special needs students achieve to high standards: 1996

| Type of student | Teachers with special needs students |  | Extent applied same standards* |  |  |  | Need for information |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Great extent |  | Moderate extent |  | Very much needed |  | Somewhat needed |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| Students with limited English proficiency. $\qquad$ | 56 | 2.4 | 33 | 2.4 | 47 | 2.4 | 26 | 1.7 | 31 | 1.9 |
| Students with disabilities. | 79 | 1.9 | 28 | 2.1 | 51 | 2.5 | 31 | 1.9 | 42 | 1.8 |

*Percents are based on teachers with special needs students enrolled in their classes.
NOTE: Percents do not add to 100 because this table does not show the two additional response categories-not at all and small extent-that were included on the questionnaire.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Public School Teacher Survey on

One-third of the teachers with students with limited English proficiency reported that they applied the same high standards of performance to these students to a great extent. Similarly, 28 percent of teachers with students with disabilities enrolled in their classes reported doing so. With regard to the need for information, 26 percent of all teachers reported they very much needed information to help students with limited English proficiency, and 31 percent of all teachers very much needed information on helping students with disabilities achieve to high standards (table 4 and appendix table B-4).

# Involving Parents in Student Learning Activities and Need for Information 

V arious studies, including Strong Families, Strong Schools (U.S. Department of Education, 1994), show that when parents are involved in their children's education, children perform better at school. Many schools have been actively looking for ways to improve parental involvement in student academic activities. Thus, teachers were asked to indicate the extent to which they engaged in particular activities with parents of students enrolled in their classes (table 5 and appendix table B-5).

About one-fourth of all teachers reported that they provided information or advice to parents to a great extent to help them create supportive learning environments at home, and a similar proportion of teachers said they shared responsibility with parents for the academic performance of their children to a great extent ( 28 percent and 26 percent, respectively). Ten percent of all teachers reported involving parents in classroom activities to a great extent (table 5 and appendix table B-5).

At least one-fourth of all teachers ( 27 to 33 percent) reported that they "very much needed" information about involving parents in student learning for each type of activity (table 5).

NOTE: Percents do not add to 100 because this table does not show the other response categories-not at all and small extent-that were included on the questionnaire.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Public School Teacher Survey on

Differences among parental involvement activities were found primarily by school level. Elementary school teachers were more likely than high school or middle school teachers to report engaging in these parental involvement activities to a great extent. For example, while 46 percent of elementary school teachers reported providing information or advice to parents to a great extent to help create a more supportive learning environment at home, 20 percent of middle school teachers and 10 percent of high school teachers did so (figure 3 and appendix table B-5). Similarly, elementary school teachers were more likely than middle and high school teachers to report involving parents in classroom activities to a great extent

Figure 3.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that they engaged in selected parental involvement activities to a great extent: 1996



SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Public School Teacher Survey on
(17 percent compared to 5 and 3 percent, respectively). Elementary school teachers were more likely than middle or high school teachers to report sharing responsibility with parents for the academic performance of their children to a great extent ( 35 percent compared to 15 percent, respectively).

Self-contained classroom teachers, those responsible for teaching all or most subjects to the same class, are primarily elementary school teachers, and differences reported by subject area are correlated with those reported by level. Self-contained classroom teachers were more likely to report that they engaged in parental involvement activities to a great extent than were mathematics, science, social studies, and English/language arts teachers. About half of all self-contained classroom teachers reported providing information or advice to parents to help them create supportive learning environments at home (48 percent) compared to 11 percent of science, 17 percent of mathematics, 18 percent of social studies, and 22 percent of English/language arts teachers (table 6 and appendix table B-5). Similar differences by main subject area taught were reported by the extent to which teachers involved parents in classroom activities. Self-contained classroom teachers were more likely to report sharing responsibility with parents for academic performance of their children (38 percent) than those teaching mainly social studies ( 20 percent), science (17 percent), and mathematics (15 percent).

Table 6.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that they engaged in selected parental
involvement activities to a great extent, by main subject area taught: 1996

| Main subject area taught | Providing information or advice to parents to help them create supportive learning environments at home |  | Involving parents in classroom activities |  | Sharing responsibility with parents for academic performance of their children |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{1}$.. | 48 | 3.6 | 21 | 3.8 | 38 | 3.5 |
| Mathematics .................... | 17 | 4.2 | 2 | 1.3 | 15 | 4.0 |
| Science ........... | 11 | 3.4 | 2 | 1.6 | 17 | 4.2 |
| Social studies ${ }^{2}$. | 18 | 5.0 | 4 | 2.1 | 20 | 4.5 |
| English/language arts | 22 | 4.4 | 2 | 0.9 | 25 | 4.3 |

${ }^{1}$ The teacher is responsible for teaching all or most academic subjects to one class. This includes core academic subjects taught in self-contained classrooms.
${ }^{2}$ Including history, geography, and civics.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Public School Teacher Survey on

# Professional Development Activities Among Teachers 

An important component of educational reform involves promoting continued professional growth among teachers. The survey asked teachers to report the number of hours they spent on any professional development from September 1, 1994, through August 31, 1995, including attendance at professional meetings, workshops, and conferences, but not including regular college courses. Ninety-four percent of teachers reported participating in professional development. These teachers reported that they completed an average of 42 hours of professional development during the 1994-95 school year (table 7 and appendix table B-6).

| Table 7.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that they engaged in professional development activities, and the mean number of hours they spent on professional development activities during the period from September 1, 1994, through August 31, 1995: 1996 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characteristic | Percent or mean |  |
| Percent of teachers engaged in professional development activities | 94 percent |  |
| Mean number of hours spent on professional development activities* | 42.3 hours 1.8 |  |
| *Mean is based only on those teachers who reported that they participated in professional development during the period September 1, 1994, through August 31, 1995. |  |  |
| SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Public School Teacher Survey on Education Reform," FRSS 55, 1996. |  |  |

Teachers were asked to report on the types of professional development activities they attended and whether the activities focused on high standards (table 8 and appendix table B-7). The largest percentage of teachers reported attending inservice workshops or programs ( 97 percent), followed by district or school-based long-term or ongoing comprehensive professional development ( 71 percent). About half ( 54 percent) attended professional teacher association meetings, while 36 percent attended summer institutes.

Between 41 and 47 percent of teachers attending inservice workshops or programs, district or school-based long-term or ongoing comprehensive professional development programs, and summer institutes reported that information on higher standards was a major focus of the professional development activities they attended (table 8). However, only 22 percent of teachers attending professional teacher association meetings indicated that it was a major focus.

Table 8.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that they attended specific types of professional development activities, and the percent reporting that information on high standards was a focus of the activity attended: 1996

| Professional development activity | Attended ${ }^{1}$ |  | Information on high standards presented ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Major focus |  | Not major focus, but information provided |  | No information |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| Inservice workshop or program .... | 97 | 0.5 | 41 | 1.9 | 46 | 1.9 | 13 | 1.2 |
| District or school-based longterm or ongoing comprehensive professional development program. | 71 | 2.0 | 47 | 2.9 | 46 | 3.0 | 7 | 1.2 |
| Professional teacher association meeting $\qquad$ | 54 | 1.9 | 22 | 2.4 | 60 | 2.7 | 17 | 2.1 |
| Summer institute ........................ | 36 | 1.6 | 45 | 3.8 | 45 | 3.7 | 10 | 1.9 |

[^3]Attendance at professional development activities where information on higher standards was a major focus was related to implementation of reform activities in classes. Overall, 56 percent of teachers reported that they attended such activities (table 9). Of those teachers who reported that they had not implemented any reform activities to a great extent, 61 percent reported that they had not attended such professional activities, whereas 39 percent said they had. The reverse pattern held for teachers who reported that they implemented three or more reform activities to a great extent: 65 percent reported attending professional development activities that had a major focus on higher standards, and 35 percent reported that they had not attended such meetings.

Table 9.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that they implemented activities associated with education reform into their classes to a great extent, by whether or not they attended professional development activities with a major focus on higher standards: 1996

| Number of reform activities implemented | Attended professional development activities with a major focus on higher standards |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes |  | No |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| Total. | 56 | 2.5 | 44 | 2.5 |
| None. | 39 | 4.4 | 61 | 4.4 |
| 1 or 2 . | 53 | 4.0 | 47 | 4.0 |
| 3 or 4. | 65 | 3.6 | 35 | 3.6 |
| 5 or more................................. | 65 | 4.4 | 35 | 4.4 |

[^4]Response Survey System, "Public School Teacher Survey on Education Reform," FRSS 55, 1996.

In the survey, teachers were also asked to describe or characterize professional development activities sponsored or supported by their schools. Many reported that the activities were planned, to a great extent, according to school needs ( 41 percent; table 10 and appendix table B-8); almost one-third ( 30 percent) felt that the activities provided opportunities to share information with colleagues at their schools to a great extent. Four of the remaining characteristicsuseful for helping students achieve to high standards, aligned with high standards, provided strategies to apply in the classroom, and ongoing, integrated professional development programs-were described as being true to a great extent by 26 to 28 percent of the teachers. Fewer, 10 to 17 percent, felt that the activities provided followup or networking activities to a great extent.

Table 10.-Percent of public school teachers describing schoolsponsored or supported professional development activities they attended* during the period September 1, 1994, through August 31, 1995: 1996

| Professional development activity | Great extent |  | Moderate extent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| Planned according to school needs.......... | 41 | 2.1 | 41 | 2.0 |
| Provided opportunities to share information with colleagues at your school $\qquad$ | 30 | 2.3 | 35 | 2.3 |
| Useful for helping students achieve to high standards | 28 | 2.1 | 45 | 2.0 |
| Aligned with high standards ................... | 28 | 1.9 | 44 | 1.8 |
| Provided strategies to apply in the classroom. | 28 | 1.9 | 40 | 1.8 |
| Ongoing, integrated professional development program | 26 | 1.9 | 40 | 2.0 |
| Provided followup activities ................... | 17 | 1.7 | 33 | 2.1 |
| Provided networking activities ................ | 10 | 1.3 | 24 | 1.6 |

*Percents are based on public school teachers who reported that they participated in professional development during September 1994 through August 1995-94 percent of all teachers.

NOTE: Percents do not add to 100 because this table does not show the other response categories-not at all and small extent-that were included on the questionnaire.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Public School Teacher Survey on Education Reform," FRSS 55, 1996.

Elementary school teachers more frequently reported that these characteristics were present in the school-sponsored or supported professional development activities they attended. Forty-one percent of elementary school teachers felt that to a great extent the professional development sponsored or supported by their school provided strategies to apply in the classroom, compared to 19 percent of middle and high school teachers (table 11 and appendix table B-8). About one-third of elementary school teachers described the professional development sponsored or supported by their school as including ongoing, integrated professional development programs to a great extent, compared to the 16 percent of high school teachers who felt that way. While 25 percent of elementary school teachers indicated that followup activities were provided to a great extent, 8 percent of high school teachers and 12 percent of middle school teachers agreed.
$\left.\begin{array}{ll}\text { Table 11.-_Percent of public school teachers indicating that } \\ \text { school-sponsored or supported professional } \\ \text { development they attended during the period from } \\ \text { September 1, 1994, through August 31, 1995, }\end{array}\right\}$
*Data for combined schools are not reported as a separate institutional level because there are very few such schools in the sample. Data for combined schools are included in the totals and in analyses by other school characteristics.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Public School Teacher Survey on Education Reform," FRSS 55, 1996.

## Sources of Information and Assistance in Helping Understand or Use Comprehensive Reform Strategies

Teachers have at their disposal a number of sources of information or assistance in integrating and better understanding reform strategies. Respondents were asked to report the extent to which a series of specific information resources were effective in this regard (table 12 and appendix table B-9). Teachers appear to rely largely on state and local sources, teacher organizations, and professional journals for information.

Of the 21 listed sources, 5 were reported being used by at least 90 percent of the teachers: other teachers and inservice training ( 97 percent each); school administrators ( 94 percent); institutes or workshops ( 92 percent); and school district ( 91 percent). Eleven other resources were reported being used by more than 50 percent of the teachers (table 12). Federal government sources and electronic networks/discussion groups were less frequently used ( 27 percent to 37 percent).

Table 12.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that specific information sources were used and that they were somewhat or very effective in helping the respondent to understand or use comprehensive reform strategies: ${ }^{1} 1996$

| Source of information or assistance | Used source |  | In understanding and using compre-hensive reform strategy source was: ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Very } \\ \text { effective }^{3} \end{gathered}$ |  | Somewhat effective ${ }^{3}$ |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| Other teachers . | 97 | 0.6 | 39 | 2.1 | 55 | 2.2 |
| Inservice training. | 97 | 0.6 | 37 | 2.2 | 54 | 2.2 |
| School administrators ... | 94 | 0.7 | 23 | 1.9 | 59 | 2.1 |
| Institutes or workshops | 92 | 0.9 | 38 | 2.0 | 56 | 2.0 |
| School district..... | 91 | 1.1 | 16 | 1.7 | 64 | 2.2 |
| Professional journals. | 87 | 1.3 | 26 | 2.3 | 65 | 2.4 |
| State-developed content standards. | 82 | 1.4 | 15 | 1.4 | 63 | 1.8 |
| State- or district-sponsored education conferences .................... | 80 | 1.7 | 28 | 2.1 | 61 | 2.1 |
| Media (e.g., newspapers, television)...................................... | 80 | 1.5 | 12 | 1.5 | 58 | 2.1 |
| Professional teacher associations. | 79 | 1.8 | 20 | 1.8 | 61 | 2.0 |
| Institutions of higher education.. | 74 | 1.6 | 26 | 2.0 | 61 | 2.2 |
| State department of education .... | 68 | 1.8 | 7 | 1.1 | 56 | 2.5 |
| Other teacher organizations or networks .. | 65 | 2.2 | 19 | 2.3 | 62 | 2.8 |
| Teacher unions ................................................................ | 60 | 2.4 | 11 | 1.6 | 53 | 2.2 |
| National model content standards.. | 57 | 2.1 | 12 | 1.7 | 59 | 2.7 |
| Intermediate or regional education agency................................ | 56 | 2.2 | 8 | 1.5 | 58 | 2.6 |
| Electronic networks/discussion groups ........ | 37 | 2.3 | 15 | 1.8 | 56 | 3.3 |
| National Science Foundation-funded initiatives (e.g., SSI, USI) ... | 34 | 2.2 | 16 | 2.4 | 56 | 3.5 |
| U.S. Department of Education's ERIC ................................... | 33 | 2.1 | 11 | 2.1 | 50 | 3.3 |
| Other U.S. Department of Education offices/ programs ............... | 30 | 1.8 | 7 | 1.4 | 54 | 2.8 |
| U.S. Department of Education Regional Labs . | 27 | 1.8 | 4 | 1.1 | 36 | 3.4 |

[^5]SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Public School Teacher Survey on

One-third or more of teachers reported that they found other teachers ( 39 percent), inservice training ( 37 percent), and institutes and workshops ( 38 percent) to be very effective sources of information. Ten percent or less of the teachers using them reported finding the following sources very effective:

- State department of education (7 percent);
- Intermediate or regional education agency (8 percent);
- U.S. Department of Education Regional Labs (4 percent); and
- Other U.S. Department of Education offices or programs (7 percent).

Teachers also reported the method in which they prefer to receive information. Most teachers' reported first choice for receiving information was through workshops and summer institutes (56 percent), followed by hardcopy sources, such as journal articles and magazines ( 34 percent). Only 6 percent said they preferred electronic media (e.g., e-mail, Internet, electronic bulletin boards, micro cards; figure 4 and appendix table B-10).

Figure 4.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that various formats were their first choice for receiving information: 1996


NOTE: Percents may not add to 100 because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Public School Teacher Survey on Education Reform," FRSS 55, 1996.

## Summary

The Teacher Survey on Education Reform provides information about teachers' perspectives on the status of education reform in U.S. public elementary and secondary schools. In this report, most findings are presented in the aggregate because there were few significant differences by school/teacher characteristics.

Forty-two percent of the teachers reported understanding the concept of new higher standards very well, and 35 percent reported feeling very well equipped to apply them.

About half of the sampled teachers reported that certain activities related to education reform were incorporated into the classroom to a great extent, including assisting all students to achieve to high standards and using instructional strategies aligned with high standards. Teachers did not report incorporating innovative technologies, such as the Internet and telecommunications-supported instruction, and authentic student assessment, such as portfolios that measure performance against high standards, into the classroom to a great extent. Teachers were, however, likely to report a need for more information in these areas. With the exception of innovative technologies, a majority of teachers in core academic subjects also generally reported use of education reform activities to some extent in at least one class.

The survey asked teachers whether they applied the same high standards of performance to special needs students, i.e., those with limited English proficiency and those with disabilities. About 30 percent of the teachers reported applying the same high standards to such students to a great extent. Future research efforts might ask teachers whether they have made adjustments to their teaching methods to allow these students to achieve to the same high standards.

Elementary school teachers were more likely to report engaging parents in parental involvement activities, to a great extent, than middle and high school teachers. Also, since almost all self-contained classroom teachers taught at the elementary level, they too were more likely to report involving parents than were teachers whose main assignment was teaching a single subject.

Almost all teachers reported participating in professional development activities. Fifty-six percent reported that they attended professional development activities where information on high standards was a major focus. Teachers who reported that they implemented more reform activities were more likely to attend more professional development activities with a major focus on higher standards. Teachers reported receiving information on high
standards from inservice workshops or programs, district or schoolbased long-term ongoing comprehensive professional development programs, and summer institutes. Elementary school teachers were more likely than middle and high school teachers to report that the professional development activities sponsored or supported by their schools were ongoing, included classroom strategies, and provided followup activities to a great extent.

Eighty percent or more of teachers reported using other teachers, inservice training, school administrators, and institutes or workshops to help them understand or use comprehensive reform strategies. Eleven of the other 21 specific information sources were used by more than 50 percent of the teachers. However, less than 50 percent of teachers reported that any of the sources they used were very effective in helping them understand or use comprehensive reform strategies.

Teachers reported making use of many sources of information and assistance to help them understand or use comprehensive reform strategies. Teachers reported that their first choice for receiving information was workshops and summer institutes.

## Appendix A

## Survey Methodology and Data Reliability

# Survey Methodology and Data Reliability 

Sample Selection

## Teacher Sampling

Response Rates

A two-stage sampling process was used to select teachers for the FRSS Public School Teacher Survey on Education Reform. At the first stage, a stratified sample of 758 schools was drawn from the 1993-94 NCES Common Core of Data (CCD) public school universe file and included over 77,000 public elementary, middle, and high schools. Excluded from the frame were special education, vocational, and alternative/other schools, schools in the territories, and schools with the highest grade lower than grade one.

The sample was stratified by instructional level (elementary, middle, secondary/combined), poverty status (as defined by percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch: less than 35 percent; 35 to 49 percent; 50 to 74 percent; 75 percent or greater), school size (less than $300 ; 300$ to $499 ; 500$ to $999 ; 1,000$ to 1,499 ; and 1,500 or more), and locale (city, urban, fringe, town, rural). The allocation of the sample to the major strata was made in a manner that was expected to be reasonably efficient for national estimates, as well as for estimates for major subclasses.

The 758 schools in the sample were contacted by telephone during spring 1996 and asked to produce a list of eligible teachers for sampling purposes. Eligible teachers included all persons assigned to the school full time and teaching at least one class of children in grades 1-12. Excluded from the list were principals, itinerant teachers (unless at their home-based school), prekindergarten or kindergarten teachers, substitute teachers, teachers' aides, and unpaid volunteers. Using a list of randomly generated line numbers, a telephone interviewer specified the sequence numbers of the teachers on the list who were to be included in the survey. On average, one to two teachers were selected per school. The survey data were weighted to reflect these sampling rates (probability of selection) and were adjusted for nonresponse.

At the first stage of sampling of the 758 schools, 5 schools were found to be out of scope of the study. A response rate of 93.9 percent was obtained for the remaining 753 schools.

In April 1996, questionnaires (Appendix C) were mailed to 1,445 teachers at their schools. Telephone followup of nonresponding teachers was initiated in early May and temporarily halted in late June because of school closings for summer vacation. Followup for nonresponse was resumed in September 1996. Of the sampled teachers, 9 were found to be out of scope. Data collection was completed on October 16, with a teacher response rate of
89.7 percent ( 1,288 of the 1,436 eligible teachers; table 12). The overall study response rate was 84.2 percent ( 93.9 percent rate of school response multiplied by the 89.7 percent response rate at the teacher level). The weighted overall response rate was 85.9 percent ( 94.9 percent weighted school response rate multiplied by the 90.5 percent weighted teacher response rate). Item nonresponse rates ranged from 0.0 to 4.9 with nonresponse rates under 1.0 percent for most items.

Sampling and Nonsampling Errors

The response data were weighted to produce national estimates. The weights used were designed to adjust for the variable probabilities of selection and differential nonresponse. The final poststratification adjustment was made so that the weighted teacher counts equal the corresponding estimated teacher counts from the CCD frame within cells defined by instructional level, poverty status, school size, and locale. The findings in this report are estimates based on the sample selection and, consequently, are subject to sampling variability.

The survey estimates are also subject to nonsampling errors that can arise because of nonobservation (nonresponse or noncoverage) errors, errors of reporting, and errors made in collection of data. These errors can sometimes bias the data. Nonsampling errors may include such problems as the differences in the respondents' interpretations of the meaning of the questions; memory effects; or misrecording of responses; incorrect editing, coding, and data entry; differences related to particular time the survey was conducted; or errors in data preparation. While general sampling theory can be used in part to determine how to estimate the sampling variability of a statistic, nonsampling errors are not easy to measure and, for measurement purposes, usually require that an experiment be conducted as part of the data collection procedures or that data external to the study be used.

To minimize the potential for nonsampling errors, the questionnaire was pretested with teachers similar to those who completed the survey. During the design of the survey and the survey pretest, an effort was made to check for consistency of interpretation of questions and to eliminate ambiguous terms. The questionnaire and instructions were extensively reviewed by the National Center for Education Statistics, Office of Education Research and Improvement, and the Planning and Evaluation Service. Manual and machine editing of the questionnaire responses were conducted to check the data for accuracy and consistency. Cases with missing or inconsistent items were recontacted by telephone. Imputations for item nonresponse were not implemented, as item nonresponse rates were very low. Data were keyed with 100 percent verification.

| School characteristic | Respondent sample |  | National estimate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| All teachers................................................ | 1,288 | 100 | 2,200,000 | 100 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school. | 473 | 38 | 945,000 | 44 |
| Middle school ............................................ | 396 | 32 | 520,000 | 24 |
| High school............................................... | 366 | 30 | 670,000 | 31 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast. | 251 | 20 | 500,000 | 23 |
| Southeast | 389 | 30 | 560,000 | 26 |
| Central. | 234 | 18 | 520,000 | 24 |
| West ........................................................ | 414 | 32 | 615,000 | 28 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500. | 384 | 30 | 700,000 | 32 |
| 500 to $999 .$. | 554 | 43 | 925,000 | 42 |
| 1,000 or more............................................ | 350 | 27 | 575,000 | 26 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |
| City. | 423 | 33 | 550,000 | 25 |
| Urban fringe ............................................. | 292 | 23 | 615,000 | 28 |
| Town ....................................................... | 322 | 25 | 590,000 | 27 |
| Rural........................................................ | 251 | 20 | 440,000 | 20 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reducedprice lunch |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent ................................... | 406 | 32 | 1,105,000 | 50 |
| 35 to 49 percent ........................................ | 204 | 16 | 405,000 | 18 |
| 50 to 74 percent ......................................... | 318 | 25 | 350,000 | 16 |
| 75 percent or more ..................................... | 360 | 28 | 335,000 | 15 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent ..................................... | 244 | 19 | 540,000 | 25 |
| 6 to 20 percent ........................................... | 220 | 17 | 520,000 | 24 |
| 21 to 49 percent ......................................... | 274 | 21 | 600,000 | 28 |
| 50 percent or more ..................................... | 541 | 42 | 515,000 | 24 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10............................................... | 460 | 36 | 705,000 | 32 |
| 10 to 20..................................................... | 434 | 34 | 740,000 | 34 |
| 21 or more | 394 | 31 | 750,000 | 34 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$................................... | 413 | 32 | 760,000 | 35 |
| Mathematics ............................................. | 152 | 12 | 245,000 | 11 |
| Science .................................................... | 95 | 7 | 150,000 | 7 |
| Social studies ............................................ | 120 | 9 | 180,000 | 8 |
| English/language arts.................................. | 188 | 15 | 300,000 | 14 |

${ }^{1}$ Data for combined schools are not reported as a separate institutional level because there are very few in the sample. Data for combined schools are included in the totals and in analyses by other school characteristics.
${ }^{2}$ Details do not add to totals because this table does not show the response category-other (specify)—that was included on the questionnaire.
${ }^{3}$ The teacher is responsible for teaching all or most academic subjects to one class.
NOTE: Percents may not add to 100 because of rounding and details may not add to totals because of rounding for weighted estimates. Minority enrollment was not available for 9 schools in the sample. There were 59 schools in the sample with combined elementary and secondary grade levels. These schools were dropped from instructional level analyses because there were so few. However, they are included in the totals and in all other analyses.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Public School Teacher Survey on

## Variances

The standard error is a measure of the variability of estimates due to sampling. It indicates the variability of a sample estimate that would be obtained from all possible samples of a given design and size. Standard errors are used as a measure of precision expected from a particular sample. If all possible samples were surveyed under similar conditions, intervals of 1.96 standard errors below to 1.96 standard errors above a particular statistic would include the true population parameter being estimated in about 95 percent of the samples. This is what is called a 95 percent confidence interval. For example, the estimated percentage of teachers reporting that they understand the concept of new higher standards very well is
42 percent, and the estimated standard error is 2.1 percentage points. The 95 percent confidence interval for the statistic extends from [ $42+(2.1$ times 1.960$)$ ], or from 37.884 to 46.116 percent.

Estimates of standard errors were computed using a technique known as known as jackknife replication. As with any replication method, jackknife replication involves constructing a number of subsamples (replicates) from the full sample and computing the statistics of interest for each replicate. The mean square error of the replicate estimates around the full sample estimates provides an estimate of the variance of the statistic. To construct the replications, 40 subsamples of the full sample were created and then dropped, one at a time, to define 40 jackknife replicates. A proprietary computer program (WESVAR), available at Westat, Inc., was used to calculated the estimates of standard errors.

## Background Information

The survey was performed under contract with Westat, Inc., using the NCES Fast Response Survey System (FRSS). Westat's Project Director was Elizabeth Farris, and the Survey Managers were Debbie Alexander and Sheila Heaviside. Anjali Pandit was the Research Assistant. Judi Carpenter and Shelley Burns were the NCES Project Officers. The data were requested by Office of Education Research and Improvement (OERI), and the Planning and Evaluation Service (PES), U.S. Department of Education.

This report was reviewed by the following individuals:

## Outside NCES

- Daphne Hardcastle, PES
- Nancy Loy, OERI
- Valena Plisko, PES
- Andrew Porter, University of Wisconsin-Madison
- Ramsey Selden, American Institute for Research


## Inside NCES

- Michael Cohen
- Mary Frase
- Arnold Goldstein
- Elvie Germino Hausken

For more information about the Fast Response Survey System contact Shelley Burns, Office of Educational Research and Improvement, National Center for Education Statistics, 555 New Jersey Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20208-5651, telephone 202-219-1463.

## Terms Defined on the Survey Questionnaire

## Classification

Variables

Disability: An impairment that substantially limits one or more of the major life activities of an individual.

New higher standards/high standards: Refers to recent and current education reform activities that seek to establish more challenging expectations for student achievement and performance, such as the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics standards for mathematics, state- or local-initiated standards in various subjects, and those outlined in Goals 2000.

Parent/school compact: Voluntary written agreements between the school and parents on what each will do to help students succeed in school.

SSI: National Science Foundation's Statewide Systemic Initiatives program. For this program, NSF has cooperative agreements with states to undertake comprehensive initiatives for education reform in science, mathematics, and technology.

USI: National Science Foundation's Urban Systemic Initiatives program. For this program, NSF has cooperative agreements with urban areas to undertake comprehensive initiatives for education reform in science, mathematics, and technology.

- Instructional level (elementary, middle, high school)
- Geographic region (Northeast, Southeast, Central, West)
- Enrollment size (less than 500, 500-999, 1,000 or more)
- Locale (city, urban fringe, town, rural)
- Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch (less than 35 percent, 35-49 percent, 50-74 percent, 75 or more percent)
- Minority enrollment (less than 6 percent, 6-20 percent, 21-49 percent, 50 or more percent)
- Number of years teaching (less than 10, 10 to 20, 21 or more)
- Main subject area taught (self-contained, mathematics, science, social studies, and English/language arts)


## Reference

U.S. Department of Education. (1994). Strong Families, Strong

Schools. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.

## Appendix B

## Reference and Standard Error Tables

Table B-1.—Percent of public school teachers reporting the extent to which they understood the concept of new higher standards, and the extent to which they felt equipped to set or apply new higher standards for student achievement, by school characteristics: 1996

| School characteristic | Understood concept |  |  |  |  |  | Felt equipped to set or apply standards |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Very well |  | Somewhat well |  | Not at all well |  | Very well |  | Somewhat well |  | Not at all well |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Per- } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | s.e. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Per- } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per- } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | s.e. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per- } \\ & \text { cent } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers........................... | 42 | 2.1 | 52 | 2.0 | 5 | 0.8 | 35 | 1.8 | 57 | 2.0 | 8 | 1.0 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school .................. | 44 | 3.4 | 52 | 3.4 | 4 | 1.4 | 38 | 3.4 | 56 | 3.5 | 5 | 1.7 |
| Middle school ........................ | 39 | 2.4 | 54 | 3.1 | 7 | 1.8 | 31 | 2.1 | 59 | 2.6 | 9 | 1.8 |
| High school........................... | 45 | 3.4 | 50 | 3.4 | 5 | 1.3 | 35 | 3.0 | 54 | 3.6 | 11 | 2.3 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast .............................. | 45 | 3.9 | 51 | 3.5 | 4 | 1.2 | 33 | 3.8 | 60 | 3.7 | 7 | 2.2 |
| Southeast .............................. | 48 | 3.0 | 47 | 3.5 | 5 | 1.7 | 40 | 3.7 | 56 | 3.7 | 4 | 1.0 |
| Central. | 31 | 4.0 | 60 | 4.3 | 9 | 2.7 | 30 | 4.1 | 56 | 4.9 | 13 | 3.4 |
| West .................................... | 46 | 4.0 | 51 | 4.1 | 3 | 0.8 | 37 | 3.7 | 55 | 3.8 | 8 | 2.0 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than $500 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 43 | 3.6 | 50 | 3.5 | 6 | 1.8 | 34 | 3.8 | 56 | 3.7 | 10 | 2.1 |
| 500 to 999 ............................. | 37 | 3.0 | 57 | 2.9 | 5 | 1.1 | 34 | 3.0 | 58 | 2.8 | 8 | 1.6 |
| 1,000 or more........................ | 50 | 3.2 | 47 | 3.3 | 4 | 1.2 | 38 | 2.6 | 56 | 3.0 | 6 | 1.6 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City..................................... | 46 | 4.3 | 50 | 4.3 | 5 | 1.4 | 36 | 3.0 | 58 | 3.3 | 6 | 2.0 |
| Urban fringe.......................... | 50 | 4.1 | 47 | 3.9 | 3 | 1.2 | 41 | 4.2 | 52 | 3.9 | 7 | 1.7 |
| Town................................... | 38 | 3.4 | 54 | 3.4 | 8 | 1.8 | 32 | 3.3 | 58 | 4.1 | 10 | 2.4 |
| Rural................................... | 34 | 4.2 | 60 | 4.8 | 6 | 1.7 | 30 | 4.1 | 60 | 3.9 | 9 | 2.2 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent............... | 38 | 3.2 | 56 | 3.3 | 6 | 1.3 | 35 | 2.9 | 56 | 3.0 | 9 | 1.6 |
| 35 to 49 percent...................... | 48 | 5.2 | 45 | 5.1 | 6 | 1.7 | 32 | 5.6 | 59 | 5.1 | 9 | 2.4 |
| 50 to 74 percent..................... | 44 | 2.8 | 51 | 3.7 | 5 | 2.0 | 37 | 3.2 | 57 | 3.6 | 6 | 1.5 |
| 75 percent or more .................. | 49 | 2.8 | 47 | 3.0 | 4 | 1.2 | 38 | 2.6 | 55 | 3.4 | 7 | 1.8 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent................. | 27 | 3.1 | 64 | 3.3 | 8 | 2.0 | 28 | 3.8 | 59 | 4.2 | 13 | 2.9 |
| 6 to 20 percent ....................... | 48 | 4.7 | 47 | 4.5 | 5 | 1.8 | 39 | 4.4 | 55 | 4.4 | 6 | 1.8 |
| 21 to 49 percent...................... | 47 | 4.9 | 48 | 5.1 | 4 | 1.4 | 36 | 3.8 | 57 | 3.7 | 7 | 1.6 |
| 50 percent or more .................. | 48 | 2.5 | 48 | 2.7 | 3 | 0.8 | 38 | 2.5 | 56 | 2.9 | 6 | 1.1 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10.......................... | 40 | 3.3 | 56 | 3.4 | 4 | 1.4 | 31 | 3.2 | 59 | 3.5 | 10 | 1.8 |
| 10 to 20................................ | 43 | 3.2 | 50 | 3.2 | 7 | 1.8 | 34 | 3.0 | 58 | 3.0 | 8 | 1.9 |
| 21 or more............................. | 44 | 3.2 | 51 | 3.0 | 4 | 1.2 | 41 | 3.5 | 53 | 3.3 | 6 | 1.6 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$................ | 42 | 3.7 | 52 | 3.8 | 5 | 1.6 | 38 | 3.5 | 57 | 3.8 | 5 | 1.4 |
| Mathematics.......................... | 35 | 5.0 | 56 | 5.5 | 9 | 3.0 | 27 | 4.1 | 59 | 6.2 | 14 | 4.2 |
| Science................................. | 42 | 6.3 | 52 | 6.7 | 6 | 3.1 | 34 | 6.3 | 55 | 6.5 | 11 | 4.1 |
| Social studies ......................... | 44 | 6.0 | 50 | 5.9 | 6 | 2.5 | 38 | 5.5 | 53 | 5.8 | 9 | 3.2 |
| English/language arts .............. | 49 | 6.3 | 47 | 6.3 | 4 | 1.6 | 42 | 4.4 | 50 | 4.6 | 7 | 2.4 |

[^6]Table B-2.- Percent of public school teachers reporting the extent to which various reform activities
were being implemented in their classes and areas for which information was most
needed, by school characteristics: 1996

| School characteristic | Using instructional strategies (e.g., hands-on activities, cooperative learning) aligned with high standards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Extent to which activity was implemented in class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Information most needed |  |
|  | Great extent |  | Moderate extent |  | Small extent |  | Not at all |  |  |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ................. | 56 | 1.8 | 35 | 1.7 | 8 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.3 | 34 | 2.0 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school......... | 62 | 3.5 | 32 | 3.4 | 5 | 1.1 | 1 | 0.3 | 31 | 3.8 |
| Middle school.............. | 50 | 2.4 | 39 | 2.5 | 9 | 1.6 | 2 | 0.8 | 36 | 1.9 |
| High school................. | 52 | 3.6 | 35 | 3.4 | 11 | 1.9 | 2 | 0.7 | 36 | 3.7 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast................... | 53 | 4.0 | 35 | 4.4 | 10 | 2.0 | 2 | 0.8 | 28 | 3.5 |
| Southeast.................... | 62 | 4.0 | 29 | 3.3 | 9 | 1.8 | 1 | 0.4 | 39 | 3.3 |
| Central ....................... | 55 | 4.3 | 36 | 4.4 | 8 | 2.3 | 1 | 0.7 | 33 | 5.5 |
| West.......................... | 54 | 3.1 | 39 | 3.0 | 6 | 1.3 | 1 | 0.4 | 33 | 3.4 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500............... | 52 | 3.8 | 40 | 4.1 | 8 | 1.8 | 1 | 0.6 | 31 | 3.3 |
| 500 to 999 .................. | 59 | 3.3 | 33 | 2.8 | 7 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.5 | 33 | 3.1 |
| 1,000 or more .............. | 57 | 3.2 | 31 | 3.2 | 10 | 1.9 | 1 | 0.7 | 38 | 3.4 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City ........................... | 63 | 2.9 | 26 | 2.0 | 10 | 1.8 | 1 | 0.6 | 32 | 2.9 |
| Urban fringe ................ | 62 | 4.1 | 29 | 3.8 | 8 | 1.5 | (+) | 0.4 | 31 | 4.1 |
| Town ......................... | 50 | 3.7 | 41 | 3.4 | 7 | 1.8 | 2 | 0.8 | 35 | 2.8 |
| Rural .......................... | 47 | 4.0 | 45 | 4.3 | 7 | 2.4 | 1 | 0.8 | 37 | 5.1 |


| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 35 percent ..... | 56 | 3.2 | 36 | 2.8 | 6 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.5 | 32 | 3.4 |
| 35 to 49 percent ........... | 57 | 5.5 | 33 | 5.8 | 10 | 2.4 | 1 | 0.5 | 35 | 4.4 |
| 50 to 74 percent ........... | 53 | 4.5 | 36 | 3.6 | 10 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.6 | 37 | 3.4 |
| 75 percent or more ........ | 56 | 2.3 | 33 | 3.0 | 9 | 2.3 | 1 | 0.9 | 34 | 2.9 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent....... | 44 | 5.1 | 44 | 4.3 | 10 | 2.3 | 1 | 0.7 | 30 | 4.3 |
| 6 to 20 percent............. | 61 | 3.7 | 31 | 3.3 | 6 | 1.5 | 2 | 0.8 | 34 | 5.0 |
| 21 to 49 percent........... | 61 | 3.6 | 30 | 3.6 | 8 | 1.6 | 1 | 0.3 | 34 | 4.2 |
| 50 percent or more ........ | 56 | 2.2 | 34 | 2.4 | 8 | 1.5 | 2 | 0.7 | 36 | 2.2 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10 ................ | 60 | 2.4 | 32 | 2.1 | 7 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.3 | 32 | 2.6 |
| 10 to 20 ...................... | 55 | 3.6 | 35 | 3.3 | 8 | 1.6 | 2 | 0.6 | 33 | 4.0 |
| 21 or more .................. | 53 | 3.5 | 37 | 3.5 | 8 | 1.4 | 1 | 0.6 | 36 | 3.3 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$..... | 65 | 3.7 | 29 | 3.6 | 5 | 1.4 | (+) | 0.2 | 29 | 3.7 |
| Mathematics ................ | 37 | 4.6 | 43 | 5.5 | 18 | 3.7 | 2 | 1.0 | 35 | 5.7 |
| Science ..... | 49 | 6.2 | 46 | 6.6 | 5 | 2.0 | 1 | 0.5 | 35 | 5.9 |
| Social studies............... | 47 | 5.7 | 39 | 5.1 | 13 | 3.6 | 1 | 1.1 | 40 | 5.1 |
| English/language arts.... | 57 | 4.6 | 36 | 4.4 | 5 | 2.1 | 3 | 1.5 | 34 | 5.0 |

Table B-2.-Percent of public school teachers reporting the extent to which various reform activities were being implemented in their classes and areas for which information was most needed, by school characteristics: 1996 (continued)

| School characteristic | Assisting all students to achieve to high standards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Extent to which activity was implemented in class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Information most needed |  |
|  | Great extent |  | Moderate extent |  | Small extent |  | Not at all |  |  |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ................. | 52 | 1.7 | 39 | 1.8 | 7 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.3 | 28 | 1.7 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school......... | 61 | 3.1 | 32 | 2.9 | 7 | 1.8 | (+) | 0.2 | 30 | 3.3 |
| Middle school.............. | 49 | 3.4 | 42 | 3.2 | 7 | 1.5 | 2 | 0.7 | 32 | 3.0 |
| High school................. | 44 | 2.9 | 47 | 3.4 | 7 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.7 | 24 | 2.6 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast.................... | 48 | 4.0 | 43 | 3.6 | 7 | 1.7 | 2 | 0.9 | 29 | 3.6 |
| Southeast.................... | 60 | 3.6 | 36 | 3.1 | 4 | 1.1 | (+) | 0.2 | 25 | 3.1 |
| Central ....................... | 52 | 4.1 | 35 | 3.4 | 12 | 3.0 | 1 | 0.7 | 25 | 3.4 |
| West.......................... | 50 | 2.6 | 43 | 3.1 | 7 | 2.1 | 1 | 0.4 | 33 | 3.3 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500............... | 50 | 3.4 | 40 | 2.9 | 9 | 2.1 | (+) | 0.3 | 26 | 2.5 |
| 500 to 999 ................... | 55 | 3.2 | 36 | 2.9 | 8 | 1.7 | 1 | 0.5 | 31 | 2.6 |
| 1,000 or more .............. | 51 | 2.8 | 44 | 2.9 | 4 | 1.4 | 1 | 0.7 | 26 | 3.3 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City ........................... | 56 | 3.4 | 36 | 2.9 | 7 | 2.1 | 1 | 0.4 | 28 | 2.8 |
| Urban fringe ................ | 60 | 3.8 | 36 | 3.6 | 3 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.6 | 28 | 3.3 |
| Town .......................... | 49 | 3.9 | 39 | 4.0 | 10 | 3.3 | 2 | 0.8 | 28 | 3.3 |
| Rural . | 42 | 3.8 | 49 | 3.7 | 9 | 2.4 | 1 | 0.4 | 30 | 4.1 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent ..... | 53 | 2.5 | 37 | 2.2 | 9 | 1.9 | 1 | 0.4 | 28 | 2.6 |
| 35 to 49 percent........... | 51 | 5.1 | 42 | 5.1 | 6 | 2.2 | 1 | 0.9 | 28 | 3.9 |
| 50 to 74 percent........... | 51 | 4.5 | 42 | 3.7 | 6 | 1.7 | 1 | 0.4 | 28 | 2.6 |
| 75 percent or more ........ | 54 | 3.2 | 40 | 3.0 | 5 | 1.7 | 1 | 0.7 | 28 | 2.6 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent....... | 42 | 4.3 | 41 | 3.8 | 16 | 3.2 | 1 | 0.7 | 26 | 3.3 |
| 6 to 20 percent............. | 59 | 3.6 | 36 | 3.2 | 4 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.6 | 27 | 3.1 |
| 21 to 49 percent........... | 56 | 3.8 | 39 | 3.9 | 4 | 1.4 | 1 | 0.5 | 30 | 2.9 |
| 50 percent or more ........ | 52 | 2.6 | 41 | 2.6 | 6 | 1.4 | 1 | 0.5 | 30 | 2.3 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10 ................ | 47 | 3.6 | 46 | 3.7 | 7 | 1.7 | 1 | 0.4 | 26 | 3.2 |
| 10 to 20 ...................... | 50 | 3.3 | 40 | 3.2 | 9 | 2.1 | 1 | 0.4 | 32 | 3.0 |
| 21 or more ................... | 60 | 2.2 | 33 | 2.3 | 6 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.6 | 26 | 2.7 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$..... | 62 | 3.4 | 32 | 3.4 | 7 | 2.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 29 | 3.6 |
| Mathematics ................ | 46 | 4.8 | 44 | 5.3 | 9 | 3.2 | 1 | 1.2 | 20 | 4.0 |
| Science ....................... | 38 | 5.2 | 49 | 5.3 | 11 | 4.8 | 2 | 1.6 | 32 | 5.3 |
| Social studies............... | 36 | 5.2 | 58 | 5.6 | 5 | 2.4 | 1 | 0.9 | 31 | 5.7 |
| English/language arts.... | 56 | 5.2 | 35 | 4.5 | 6 | 2.8 | 3 | 1.5 | 30 | 4.7 |

Table B-2.-Percent of public school teachers reporting the extent to which various reform activities were being implemented in their classes and areas for which information was most needed, by school characteristics: 1996 (continued)

| School characteristic | Using curricula aligned with high standards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Extent to which activity was implemented in class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Information most needed |  |
|  | Great extent |  | Moderate extent |  | Small extent |  | Not at all |  |  |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ................. | 38 | 1.9 | 45 | 1.8 | 13 | 1.1 | 4 | 0.6 | 31 | 1.8 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school......... | 42 | 3.4 | 46 | 3.5 | 9 | 2.5 | 2 | 0.9 | 33 | 3.5 |
| Middle school.............. | 36 | 2.7 | 45 | 3.0 | 14 | 1.8 | 4 | 1.0 | 27 | 2.4 |
| High school................. | 35 | 3.4 | 44 | 3.9 | 16 | 2.4 | 6 | 1.5 | 31 | 2.8 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast.................... | 33 | 3.8 | 50 | 3.6 | 13 | 2.4 | 4 | 1.0 | 33 | 3.2 |
| Southeast.................... | 42 | 4.1 | 43 | 3.6 | 11 | 1.5 | 4 | 1.4 | 27 | 3.0 |
| Central ....................... | 40 | 3.7 | 41 | 3.8 | 14 | 3.0 | 5 | 1.8 | 31 | 4.2 |
| West.......................... | 38 | 3.8 | 46 | 3.7 | 12 | 2.9 | 3 | 0.9 | 33 | 3.2 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500............... | 33 | 3.5 | 50 | 3.7 | 12 | 2.3 | 4 | 1.4 | 35 | 3.4 |
| 500 to 999 ................... | 40 | 3.2 | 42 | 2.8 | 14 | 2.1 | 4 | 0.8 | 30 | 2.7 |
| 1,000 or more .............. | 41 | 3.5 | 45 | 3.7 | 11 | 2.3 | 3 | 1.2 | 28 | 3.2 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City ........................... | 44 | 3.4 | 41 | 3.2 | 12 | 2.3 | 4 | 1.2 | 34 | 2.5 |
| Urban fringe ................ | 44 | 4.1 | 42 | 3.0 | 11 | 2.8 | 2 | 1.1 | 29 | 3.8 |
| Town......................... | 30 | 2.9 | 50 | 3.9 | 15 | 2.5 | 5 | 1.5 | 29 | 3.7 |
| Rural .......................... | 33 | 4.6 | 48 | 4.1 | 13 | 2.7 | 6 | 1.7 | 33 | 3.6 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent ..... | 39 | 2.8 | 44 | 2.8 | 14 | 2.1 | 3 | 0.8 | 31 | 2.8 |
| 35 to 49 percent............ | 37 | 4.8 | 47 | 5.2 | 10 | 2.5 | 6 | 2.3 | 28 | 3.8 |
| 50 to 74 percent........... | 36 | 4.4 | 47 | 4.3 | 13 | 2.5 | 5 | 1.8 | 31 | 3.5 |
| 75 percent or more ........ | 40 | 4.0 | 44 | 5.4 | 11 | 2.5 | 5 | 1.4 | 35 | 3.6 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent....... | 29 | 4.0 | 48 | 3.8 | 20 | 2.9 | 4 | 1.3 | 36 | 4.3 |
| 6 to 20 percent............. | 47 | 3.9 | 42 | 3.8 | 8 | 1.7 | 3 | 1.0 | 30 | 3.9 |
| 21 to 49 percent........... | 40 | 5.0 | 44 | 4.6 | 11 | 2.7 | 5 | 1.6 | 28 | 3.6 |
| 50 percent or more ........ | 37 | 2.8 | 48 | 3.5 | 11 | 1.6 | 5 | 0.8 | 31 | 2.6 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10 ................ | 31 | 3.5 | 49 | 3.2 | 15 | 2.6 | 4 | 1.3 | 33 | 2.6 |
| 10 to 20 ...................... | 40 | 2.8 | 42 | 3.2 | 13 | 2.1 | 4 | 1.1 | 26 | 2.9 |
| 21 or more .................. | 43 | 3.1 | 44 | 3.3 | 10 | 2.1 | 3 | 1.0 | 35 | 2.8 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$..... | 43 | 3.7 | 46 | 3.3 | 9 | 2.1 | 2 | 0.7 | 31 | 3.7 |
| Mathematics ................ | 37 | 4.4 | 42 | 4.6 | 17 | 4.0 | 4 | 1.8 | 26 | 4.4 |
| Science ....................... | 31 | 5.6 | 46 | 5.2 | 20 | 5.1 | 3 | 1.6 | 35 | 6.0 |
| Social studies............... | 28 | 5.5 | 53 | 6.4 | 16 | 3.8 | 4 | 1.8 | 27 | 4.8 |
| English/language arts.... | 43 | 5.2 | 41 | 5.3 | 10 | 2.7 | 6 | 2.9 | 34 | 4.9 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Table B-2.- } & \text { Percent of public school teachers reporting the extent to which various reform activities } \\ \text { were being implemented in their classes and areas for which information was most } \\ \text { needed, by school characteristics: } 1996 \text { (continued) }\end{array}$

| School characteristic | Using textbooks or other instructional materials aligned with high standards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Extent to which activity was implemented in class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Information most needed |  |
|  | Great extent |  | Moderate extent |  | Small extent |  | Not at all |  |  |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ................. | 36 | 2.0 | 43 | 1.9 | 17 | 1.2 | 4 | 0.7 | 30 | 1.8 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school......... | 38 | 3.8 | 42 | 3.0 | 17 | 2.2 | 3 | 1.1 | 32 | 3.4 |
| Middle school.............. | 36 | 2.3 | 42 | 2.9 | 18 | 1.8 | 4 | 0.9 | 27 | 2.7 |
| High school................. | 34 | 3.4 | 45 | 3.5 | 15 | 2.0 | 6 | 1.6 | 30 | 2.3 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast.................... | 27 | 2.9 | 50 | 3.2 | 19 | 2.9 | 3 | 1.0 | 35 | 4.4 |
| Southeast.................... | 48 | 3.7 | 36 | 3.2 | 13 | 2.3 | 3 | 0.8 | 32 | 4.0 |
| Central ....................... | 32 | 3.8 | 43 | 4.0 | 20 | 2.8 | 5 | 2.0 | 27 | 4.3 |
| West.......................... | 37 | 4.0 | 44 | 3.7 | 15 | 2.7 | 4 | 1.4 | 27 | 3.5 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500............... | 30 | 3.9 | 48 | 4.0 | 19 | 2.2 | 4 | 1.5 | 31 | 3.9 |
| 500 to 999 .................. | 40 | 3.2 | 40 | 2.5 | 17 | 2.1 | 3 | 0.7 | 29 | 3.0 |
| 1,000 or more .............. | 38 | 3.2 | 42 | 3.8 | 14 | 2.4 | 5 | 1.8 | 31 | 2.8 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City ........................... | 42 | 3.6 | 37 | 2.9 | 17 | 3.0 | 5 | 1.3 | 33 | 3.5 |
| Urban fringe ................ | 36 | 3.6 | 45 | 4.2 | 15 | 2.8 | 3 | 1.3 | 31 | 4.0 |
| Town ......................... | 31 | 3.7 | 44 | 3.4 | 19 | 2.5 | 6 | 1.6 | 31 | 4.0 |
| Rural .......................... | 37 | 5.2 | 46 | 5.2 | 15 | 2.2 | 1 | 0.8 | 24 | 3.4 |

Percent of students eligible
for free or reduced-price lunch

| Less than 35 percent ..... | 33 | 3.0 | 46 | 3.0 | 17 | 2.0 | 4 | 1.0 | 27 | 2.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35 to 49 percent ........... | 41 | 5.7 | 42 | 5.1 | 14 | 3.1 | 3 | 1.6 | 29 | 5.0 |
| 50 to 74 percent........... | 38 | 3.4 | 40 | 3.4 | 18 | 2.4 | 4 | 1.3 | 36 | 5.0 |
| 75 percent or more ........ | 38 | 4.1 | 40 | 3.0 | 16 | 2.9 | 6 | 2.2 | 37 | 3.8 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent....... | 24 | 3.3 | 48 | 3.5 | 25 | 2.9 | 3 | 1.4 | 28 | 4.3 |
| 6 to 20 percent............. | 41 | 5.9 | 45 | 5.7 | 12 | 2.3 | 2 | 0.8 | 30 | 4.5 |
| 21 to 49 percent........... | 43 | 4.4 | 40 | 3.8 | 13 | 2.8 | 4 | 1.4 | 29 | 4.2 |
| 50 percent or more ........ | 38 | 2.6 | 39 | 2.6 | 17 | 2.5 | 6 | 1.5 | 33 | 3.2 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10 ................ | 32 | 3.6 | 46 | 3.6 | 17 | 2.0 | 5 | 1.4 | 32 | 3.0 |
| 10 to 20 ...................... | 36 | 3.1 | 45 | 3.2 | 17 | 2.5 | 3 | 0.8 | 29 | 3.0 |
| 21 or more .................. | 41 | 3.7 | 39 | 3.5 | 17 | 2.6 | 4 | 1.3 | 30 | 3.6 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$..... | 42 | 4.0 | 42 | 3.3 | 15 | 2.5 | 2 | 0.9 | 29 | 3.5 |
| Mathematics . | 44 | 4.6 | 43 | 5.2 | 11 | 3.4 | 2 | 0.8 | 22 | 4.3 |
| Science .... | 35 | 7.9 | 46 | 6.8 | 18 | 4.8 | 1 | 0.5 | 28 | 5.4 |
| Social studies............... | 31 | 4.6 | 49 | 5.6 | 17 | 6.3 | 3 | 1.4 | 33 | 5.3 |
| English/language arts.... | 37 | 4.6 | 42 | 5.0 | 18 | 4.1 | 2 | 1.4 | 37 | 5.6 |

Table B-2.-Percent of public school teachers reporting the extent to which various reform activities were being implemented in their classes and areas for which information was most needed, by school characteristics: 1996 (continued)

| School characteristic | Providing students or parents with examples of work that meets high standards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Extent to which activity was implemented in class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Information most needed |  |
|  | Great extent |  | Moderate extent |  | Small extent |  | Not at all |  |  |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ................. | 30 | 1.8 | 42 | 2.3 | 22 | 1.5 | 5 | 1.0 | 33 | 1.7 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school......... | 38 | 3.2 | 41 | 3.6 | 17 | 2.4 | 4 | 1.6 | 33 | 3.5 |
| Middle school.............. | 27 | 2.3 | 43 | 2.6 | 26 | 2.4 | 5 | 1.0 | 31 | 2.7 |
| High school................. | 24 | 2.6 | 42 | 3.6 | 26 | 2.9 | 8 | 1.5 | 34 | 2.9 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast.................... | 27 | 3.5 | 47 | 4.3 | 20 | 3.7 | 6 | 2.2 | 31 | 3.6 |
| Southeast................... | 35 | 4.0 | 42 | 3.7 | 19 | 3.2 | 4 | 1.1 | 33 | 3.1 |
| Central ....................... | 27 | 4.4 | 42 | 5.9 | 23 | 4.3 | 8 | 2.2 | 32 | 4.1 |
| West....... | 31 | 2.7 | 39 | 2.8 | 26 | 2.4 | 4 | 1.5 | 34 | 3.4 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500............... | 29 | 3.3 | 43 | 3.7 | 23 | 2.8 | 5 | 1.5 | 30 | 3.4 |
| 500 to 999 .................. | 30 | 3.1 | 41 | 2.9 | 23 | 2.0 | 6 | 1.5 | 37 | 2.5 |
| 1,000 or more .............. | 31 | 3.4 | 43 | 3.5 | 20 | 2.9 | 6 | 1.6 | 28 | 3.4 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City ........................... | 32 | 3.4 | 45 | 3.8 | 20 | 2.6 | 3 | 1.0 | 32 | 3.1 |
| Urban fringe ................ | 33 | 3.7 | 41 | 3.4 | 21 | 3.1 | 5 | 1.5 | 32 | 3.9 |
| Town ......................... | 27 | 2.7 | 43 | 4.4 | 23 | 3.0 | 7 | 2.0 | 32 | 3.1 |
| Rural .......................... | 29 | 4.5 | 39 | 3.8 | 25 | 3.9 | 7 | 2.5 | 36 | 4.0 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent ..... | 28 | 2.9 | 42 | 3.6 | 22 | 2.6 | 8 | 1.6 | 35 | 2.7 |
| 35 to 49 percent ........... | 34 | 4.0 | 41 | 4.5 | 22 | 2.9 | 3 | 1.6 | 28 | 3.8 |
| 50 to 74 percent........... | 29 | 4.2 | 43 | 4.1 | 24 | 4.0 | 4 | 1.0 | 28 | 3.7 |
| 75 percent or more ........ | 34 | 3.0 | 42 | 3.2 | 21 | 2.1 | 3 | 1.0 | 37 | 3.6 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent....... | 24 | 4.3 | 39 | 4.1 | 27 | 3.7 | 9 | 2.2 | 39 | 3.6 |
| 6 to 20 percent............. | 37 | 3.9 | 36 | 4.1 | 24 | 3.7 | 3 | 0.9 | 29 | 4.1 |
| 21 to 49 percent........... | 30 | 3.5 | 48 | 3.6 | 16 | 2.2 | 6 | 1.9 | 27 | 3.6 |
| 50 percent or more ........ | 30 | 2.4 | 44 | 2.7 | 23 | 2.0 | 3 | 0.8 | 35 | 3.0 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10 ................ | 26 | 2.3 | 43 | 2.6 | 27 | 2.4 | 5 | 1.4 | 34 | 2.6 |
| 10 to 20 ...................... | 32 | 3.4 | 39 | 4.1 | 23 | 2.8 | 6 | 2.0 | 32 | 3.1 |
| 21 or more .................. | 32 | 3.0 | 44 | 3.6 | 17 | 2.5 | 6 | 1.4 | 32 | 2.9 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$..... | 38 | 3.6 | 43 | 4.2 | 17 | 2.6 | 3 | 1.2 | 34 | 3.8 |
| Mathematics ................ | 24 | 4.9 | 37 | 4.6 | 31 | 4.8 | 8 | 3.9 | 33 | 4.9 |
| Science ....................... | 23 | 4.7 | 34 | 4.9 | 34 | 6.3 | 9 | 4.0 | 42 | 7.5 |
| Social studies............... | 20 | 4.7 | 46 | 5.4 | 28 | 5.0 | 6 | 2.4 | 35 | 5.8 |
| English/language arts.... | 31 | 4.4 | 44 | 4.9 | 21 | 4.3 | 4 | 1.9 | 35 | 5.3 |

Table B-2.-Percent of public school teachers reporting the extent to which various reform activities
were being implemented in their classes and areas for which information was most
needed, by school characteristics: 1996 (continued)

| School characteristic | Using authentic student assessments such as portfolios that measure performance against high standards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Extent to which activity was implemented in class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Information most needed |  |
|  | Great extent |  | Moderate extent |  | Small extent |  | Not at all |  |  |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ................. | 20 | 1.6 | 33 | 1.7 | 31 | 1.4 | 16 | 1.6 | 53 | 2.1 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school......... | 24 | 2.7 | 39 | 3.2 | 28 | 3.4 | 9 | 2.2 | 52 | 3.8 |
| Middle school.............. | 17 | 2.1 | 30 | 2.6 | 31 | 2.4 | 22 | 2.7 | 55 | 3.3 |
| High school................. | 17 | 2.4 | 28 | 3.0 | 34 | 3.0 | 20 | 2.7 | 50 | 3.2 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast.................... | 14 | 2.9 | 37 | 4.1 | 30 | 4.0 | 18 | 2.7 | 52 | 4.5 |
| Southeast.................... | 17 | 2.8 | 36 | 3.5 | 32 | 3.0 | 14 | 3.3 | 55 | 3.9 |
| Central ....................... | 26 | 4.4 | 26 | 4.0 | 30 | 3.9 | 18 | 3.4 | 52 | 4.8 |
| West.......................... | 22 | 3.4 | 32 | 2.9 | 31 | 3.3 | 14 | 2.5 | 51 | 4.5 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500............... | 22 | 2.9 | 33 | 3.4 | 27 | 3.2 | 18 | 2.7 | 52 | 3.8 |
| 500 to 999 .................. | 20 | 2.3 | 34 | 2.9 | 31 | 2.8 | 15 | 2.4 | 54 | 3.3 |
| 1,000 or more .............. | 17 | 2.3 | 32 | 3.0 | 36 | 2.7 | 15 | 2.4 | 51 | 3.2 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City ........................... | 21 | 2.9 | 38 | 3.5 | 29 | 3.3 | 11 | 1.9 | 53 | 3.9 |
| Urban fringe ................ | 21 | 3.8 | 36 | 3.6 | 30 | 3.6 | 14 | 3.3 | 54 | 4.5 |
| Town ......................... | 18 | 3.2 | 27 | 3.0 | 34 | 3.7 | 21 | 3.8 | 54 | 4.3 |
| Rural .......................... | 18 | 3.3 | 32 | 3.5 | 31 | 3.3 | 18 | 3.2 | 49 | 5.2 |

Percent of students eligible
for free or reduced-price lunch

| Less than 35 percent ..... | 20 | 2.9 | 30 | 2.9 | 32 | 2.6 | 17 | 2.4 | 54 | 3.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 35 to 49 percent ......... | 18 | 3.2 | 33 | 4.0 | 31 | 4.7 | 18 | 3.4 | 58 | 4.8 |
| 50 to 74 percent ......... | 12 | 2.5 | 39 | 4.6 | 30 | 3.3 | 18 | 3.6 | 48 | 4.5 |
| 75 percent or more ....... | 26 | 3.4 | 36 | 3.3 | 28 | 3.7 | 9 | 1.9 | 45 | 3.2 |


| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 6 percent ....... | 19 | 3.4 | 29 | 3.4 | 33 | 4.1 | 19 | 2.6 | 51 | 4.7 |
| 6 to 20 percent............. | 23 | 4.2 | 34 | 3.7 | 27 | 4.0 | 16 | 3.6 | 54 | 5.2 |
| 21 to 49 percent........... | 15 | 2.7 | 32 | 4.3 | 34 | 3.8 | 18 | 3.3 | 58 | 3.7 |
| 50 percent or more........ | 22 | 2.2 | 36 | 2.8 | 30 | 2.4 | 12 | 1.6 | 48 | 2.4 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10 ................ | 23 | 2.8 | 29 | 2.6 | 30 | 2.8 | 18 | 2.6 | 56 | 3.5 |
| 10 to 20 ...................... | 18 | 2.8 | 33 | 2.4 | 34 | 2.6 | 15 | 2.5 | 54 | 3.8 |
| 21 or more ................... | 19 | 2.8 | 37 | 3.3 | 29 | 2.9 | 15 | 2.1 | 47 | 3.5 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$..... | 23 | 3.1 | 37 | 3.6 | 31 | 3.8 | 8 | 2.2 | 57 | 3.7 |
| Mathematics ................ | 8 | 2.3 | 22 | 3.9 | 46 | 4.8 | 24 | 4.2 | 67 | 5.0 |
| Science ....................... | 16 | 3.7 | 23 | 5.2 | 30 | 5.0 | 31 | 5.9 | 54 | 5.9 |
| Social studies............... | 9 | 2.8 | 31 | 5.6 | 33 | 5.8 | 28 | 5.5 | 46 | 5.8 |
| English/language arts.... | 29 | 4.3 | 41 | 4.7 | 22 | 4.2 | 8 | 3.1 | 42 | 4.9 |

Table B-2.-Percent of public school teachers reporting the extent to which various reform activities were being implemented in their classes and areas for which information was most needed, by school characteristics: 1996 (continued)

| School characteristic | Using innovative technologies such as the Internet and telecommunications-supported instruction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Extent to which activity was implemented in class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Information most needed |  |
|  | Great extent |  | Moderate extent |  | Small extent |  | Not at all |  |  |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ........... | 7 | 1.0 | 20 | 1.6 | 31 | 1.9 | 42 | 1.8 | 79 | 1.6 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school .... | 6 | 1.5 | 23 | 3.5 | 29 | 3.4 | 43 | 3.4 | 79 | 2.8 |
| Middle school........ | 8 | 1.9 | 17 | 1.9 | 30 | 2.2 | 46 | 2.2 | 80 | 1.9 |
| High school ........... | 9 | 1.5 | 19 | 2.9 | 35 | 3.4 | 37 | 3.2 | 78 | 2.7 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast... | 5 | 2.0 | 19 | 3.8 | 27 | 3.7 | 48 | 4.2 | 81 | 3.2 |
| Southeast.... | 8 | 2.4 | 25 | 4.2 | 26 | 3.8 | 40 | 3.9 | 79 | 2.7 |
| Central. | 5 | 1.4 | 15 | 3.0 | 35 | 3.1 | 45 | 4.3 | 80 | 3.3 |
| West....... | 9 | 2.3 | 20 | 3.3 | 36 | 3.5 | 35 | 3.7 | 78 | 3.3 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 ........ | 8 | 1.9 | 19 | 2.8 | 31 | 3.2 | 43 | 3.4 | 84 | 2.6 |
| 500 to 999 ...... | 6 | 1.2 | 21 | 2.9 | 29 | 2.5 | 44 | 3.4 | 78 | 2.5 |
| 1,000 or more .... | 9 | 1.8 | 20 | 3.0 | 34 | 3.6 | 37 | 3.4 | 77 | 3.4 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City ....................... | 6 | 1.8 | 22 | 3.4 | 28 | 2.6 | 43 | 3.6 | 76 | 2.2 |
| Urban fringe ............. | 8 | 2.2 | 27 | 4.1 | 31 | 3.4 | 34 | 4.0 | 84 | 3.1 |
| Town... | 8 | 2.2 | 16 | 2.5 | 29 | 3.1 | 47 | 4.1 | 77 | 2.8 |
| Rural ...................... | 6 | 1.8 | 14 | 3.4 | 37 | 5.8 | 43 | 4.9 | 80 | 3.6 |

Percent of students eligible
for free or reduced-price
lunch

| Less than 35 percent ..... | 8 | 1.6 | 22 | 2.7 | 33 | 2.7 | 37 | 2.8 | 82 | 2.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35 to 49 percent.......... | 7 | 2.2 | 17 | 4.4 | 30 | 4.3 | 46 | 5.4 | 81 | 3.3 |
| 50 to 74 percent......... | 5 | 2.6 | 19 | 3.4 | 31 | 4.0 | 44 | 4.7 | 78 | 3.3 |
| 75 percent or more....... | 7 | 1.4 | 20 | 2.5 | 26 | 2.6 | 47 | 3.6 | 72 | 3.8 |
| cent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent...... | 6 | 1.7 | 21 | 3.4 | 25 | 2.7 | 48 | 3.6 | 81 | 3.1 |
| 6 to 20 percent............... | 9 | 2.3 | 20 | 3.6 | 33 | 4.5 | 38 | 4.4 | 81 | 3.2 |
| 21 to 49 percent......... | 7 | 2.1 | 21 | 4.0 | 34 | 3.6 | 37 | 3.6 | 79 | 2.7 |
| 50 percent or more...... | 6 | 1.6 | 19 | 2.2 | 31 | 2.9 | 45 | 3.4 | 76 | 2.8 |

Number of years teaching

| Less than $10 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 6 | 1.6 | 20 | 2.5 | 28 | 2.0 | 45 | 2.7 | 78 | 2.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 to $20 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 8 | 1.6 | 18 | 3.2 | 35 | 2.9 | 39 | 3.4 | 81 | 2.7 |
| 21 or more $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 8 | 2.0 | 22 | 3.4 | 30 | 3.0 | 40 | 3.0 | 79 | 3.3 |

Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$

| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$..... | 6 | 1.7 | 21 | 3.1 | 30 | 3.3 | 43 | 3.5 | 81 | 2.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics ................ | 3 | 1.9 | 10 | 2.9 | 41 | 5.4 | 46 | 4.5 | 84 | 3.6 |
| Science..................... | 6 | 2.6 | 19 | 5.5 | 35 | 5.9 | 40 | 6.4 | 72 | 4.8 |
| Social studies | 4 | 1.4 | 20 | 5.4 | 35 | 6.3 | 41 | 5.8 | 72 | 5.8 |
| English/language arts.. | 9 | 2.7 | 25 | 4.4 | 29 | 4.6 | 38 | 5.0 | 78 | 3.9 |

(+) Less than 0.5 percent.
${ }^{1}$ Data for combined schools are not reported as a separate instructional level because there are very few in the sample. Data for combined schools are included in the totals and in analyses by other school characteristics.
${ }^{2}$ This table does not show the additional response category-other (specify)-that was included on the questionnaire.
${ }^{3}$ The teacher is responsible for teaching all or most academic subjects to one class.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Public School Teacher Survey on

Table B-3.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that they implemented various education reform activities in any classes, by subject areas and school characteristics: 1996

| School characteristic | Using instructional strategies aligned with high standards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | English/ language arts |  | History/ social studies |  | Mathematics |  | Science |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers.............................. | 75 | 2.3 | 73 | 2.8 | 82 | 1.8 | 81 | 2.3 |
| Instructional level* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ........................ | 72 | 3.3 | 71 | 3.8 | 84 | 2.2 | 84 | 2.8 |
| Middle school ............................. | 79 | 3.4 | 76 | 4.6 | 80 | 3.6 | 78 | 5.6 |
| High school................................ | 81 | 4.7 | 79 | 5.1 | 78 | 5.0 | 72 | 6.7 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast ................................ | 76 | 4.5 | 75 | 5.8 | 85 | 3.7 | 82 | 5.3 |
| Southeast ................................... | 70 | 4.6 | 65 | 5.9 | 74 | 4.8 | 72 | 6.1 |
| Central...................................... | 75 | 5.9 | 77 | 6.4 | 81 | 5.2 | 80 | 5.4 |
| West ......................................... | 78 | 5.0 | 75 | 4.5 | 88 | 2.7 | 88 | 3.0 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than $500 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 72 | 4.5 | 74 | 4.8 | 84 | 3.1 | 82 | 4.0 |
| 500 to 999.................................. | 74 | 3.5 | 71 | 3.9 | 81 | 3.4 | 81 | 3.8 |
| 1,000 or more............................. | 83 | 4.5 | 77 | 5.4 | 78 | 5.8 | 80 | 6.3 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City .......................................... | 65 | 3.5 | 57 | 4.7 | 74 | 3.9 | 72 | 5.0 |
| Urban fringe............................... | 80 | 4.0 | 80 | 4.7 | 87 | 3.6 | 92 | 2.5 |
| Town......................................... | 80 | 4.3 | 79 | 5.8 | 84 | 3.8 | 78 | 4.4 |
| Rural......................................... | 71 | 7.7 | 78 | 5.3 | 83 | 4.0 | 83 | 5.4 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent.................... | 79 | 3.6 | 75 | 4.4 | 88 | 2.6 | 89 | 2.5 |
| 35 to 49 percent........................... | 71 | 7.2 | 80 | 7.1 | 78 | 6.1 | 73 | 6.8 |
| 50 to 74 percent....... | 71 | 5.4 | 65 | 5.6 | 76 | 4.7 | 75 | 5.9 |
| 75 percent or more ...................... | 71 | 3.8 | 69 | 3.7 | 76 | 3.1 | 75 | 3.4 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent....................... | 75 | 5.0 | 77 | 6.0 | 80 | 4.7 | 86 | 4.1 |
| 6 to 20 percent ............................ | 73 | 6.1 | 70 | 6.4 | 88 | 3.8 | 84 | 4.7 |
| 21 to 49 percent........................... | 79 | 4.7 | 79 | 5.5 | 81 | 4.7 | 81 | 5.3 |
| 50 percent or more ....................... | 72 | 3.4 | 66 | 3.5 | 78 | 3.1 | 74 | 3.6 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10............................... | 80 | 3.6 | 74 | 4.2 | 86 | 2.2 | 84 | 3.3 |
| 10 to 20..................................... | 79 | 4.1 | 73 | 5.9 | 82 | 3.1 | 83 | 4.5 |
| 21 or more.................................. | 67 | 4.7 | 72 | 4.5 | 78 | 3.9 | 76 | 5.2 |

Table B-3.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that they implemented various education reform activities in any classes, by subject areas and school characteristics: 1996 (continued)

| School characteristic | Assisting all students to achieve to high standards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | English/ languagearts |  | History/ social studies |  | Mathematics |  | Science |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ............................. | 82 | 1.9 | 78 | 2.6 | 79 | 2.2 | 74 | 2.4 |
| Instructional level* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school . | 81 | 2.9 | 75 | 3.6 | 77 | 3.0 | 71 | 3.2 |
| Middle school............................... | 82 | 3.6 | 83 | 3.3 | 85 | 3.1 | 78 | 4.4 |
| High school .................................. | 83 | 4.6 | 82 | 5.8 | 83 | 4.9 | 86 | 5.3 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast..................................... | 74 | 4.8 | 67 | 5.8 | 70 | 5.8 | 66 | 7.2 |
| Southeast..................................... | 80 | 4.5 | 77 | 5.2 | 78 | 4.5 | 73 | 6.1 |
| Central ........................................ | 85 | 3.9 | 85 | 4.0 | 81 | 5.1 | 75 | 4.9 |
| West........................................... | 86 | 3.9 | 81 | 4.2 | 85 | 3.6 | 77 | 3.9 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 ................................ | 84 | 3.7 | 81 | 4.1 | 79 | 4.2 | 73 | 4.6 |
| 500 to 999 .................................... | 81 | 3.3 | 74 | 4.3 | 79 | 3.6 | 71 | 4.1 |
| 1,000 or more ................................ | 78 | 5.5 | 79 | 5.5 | 78 | 5.1 | 84 | 5.8 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City ............................................ | 82 | 3.4 | 71 | 5.4 | 75 | 3.9 | 70 | 4.2 |
| Urban fringe .................................. | 82 | 4.4 | 83 | 4.7 | 82 | 4.8 | 76 | 5.7 |
| Town.......................................... | 82 | 3.8 | 79 | 4.8 | 82 | 3.7 | 76 | 4.7 |
| Rural.. | 80 | 4.4 | 76 | 5.1 | 76 | 6.0 | 72 | 6.8 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent...................... | 84 | 3.2 | 80 | 3.9 | 80 | 3.8 | 75 | 4.1 |
| 35 to 49 percent............................ | 74 | 6.3 | 69 | 7.3 | 74 | 5.6 | 70 | 6.8 |
| 50 to 74 percent............................ | 84 | 4.6 | 83 | 4.0 | 84 | 5.2 | 77 | 7.3 |
| 75 percent or more......................... | 80 | 3.3 | 73 | 3.9 | 76 | 3.7 | 70 | 4.6 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent........................ | 78 | 5.6 | 74 | 5.9 | 70 | 6.5 | 66 | 6.3 |
| 6 to 20 percent.............................. | 84 | 4.1 | 79 | 5.9 | 81 | 4.6 | 73 | 4.8 |
| 21 to 49 percent............................ | 84 | 4.8 | 86 | 5.8 | 84 | 4.6 | 80 | 5.1 |
| 50 percent or more......................... | 80 | 3.2 | 70 | 4.4 | 77 | 3.7 | 72 | 4.5 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10 ................................. | 81 | 3.3 | 78 | 3.6 | 84 | 2.9 | 78 | 3.2 |
| 10 to 20....................................... | 85 | 3.8 | 79 | 4.4 | 81 | 4.2 | 76 | 4.9 |
| 21 or more................................... | 79 | 4.2 | 75 | 4.8 | 72 | 5.2 | 65 | 5.6 |

Table B-3.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that they implemented various education reform activities in any classes, by subject areas and school characteristics: 1996 (continued)

| School characteristic | Using curricula aligned with high standards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | English/ language arts |  | History/ social studies |  | Mathematics |  | Science |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ................................ | 69 | 2.4 | 59 | 2.8 | 67 | 2.5 | 66 | 3.0 |
| Instructional level* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ........................ | 69 | 3.3 | 53 | 4.0 | 64 | 4.0 | 66 | 4.0 |
| Middle school ............................. | 67 | 3.9 | 69 | 3.6 | 72 | 4.0 | 65 | 4.3 |
| High school................................ | 70 | 5.8 | 73 | 5.6 | 77 | 5.3 | 68 | 7.8 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast ................................... | 66 | 5.7 | 62 | 6.1 | 60 | 4.7 | 56 | 7.1 |
| Southeast. | 59 | 4.5 | 48 | 4.8 | 61 | 4.5 | 54 | 5.5 |
| Central. | 73 | 5.6 | 68 | 6.8 | 77 | 4.6 | 74 | 5.9 |
| West . | 76 | 4.5 | 58 | 6.7 | 70 | 7.5 | 74 | 5.8 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 .............................. | 72 | 4.2 | 58 | 4.6 | 68 | 4.7 | 66 | 5.4 |
| 500 to 999 .................................. | 66 | 3.6 | 55 | 5.0 | 63 | 4.8 | 64 | 5.0 |
| 1,000 or more ............................. | 69 | 5.5 | 70 | 5.1 | 75 | 6.0 | 68 | 6.7 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City.. | 64 | 4.5 | 52 | 4.8 | 64 | 3.5 | 61 | 5.0 |
| Urban fringe............................... | 71 | 5.0 | 60 | 7.1 | 64 | 7.6 | 66 | 7.1 |
| Town.. | 69 | 5.9 | 62 | 5.9 | 73 | 5.5 | 74 | 5.4 |
| Rural.. | 70 | 4.8 | 60 | 7.1 | 66 | 6.2 | 60 | 7.4 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent..................... | 75 | 4.2 | 62 | 5.2 | 70 | 4.5 | 71 | 4.9 |
| 35 to 49 percent. | 64 | 7.2 | 58 | 7.1 | 61 | 8.7 | 68 | 8.5 |
| 50 to 74 percent........................... | 66 | 5.8 | 55 | 5.1 | 68 | 5.1 | 61 | 6.4 |
| 75 percent or more ....................... | 59 | 3.9 | 54 | 4.5 | 62 | 4.5 | 55 | 4.3 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent...................... | 68 | 6.0 | 64 | 6.9 | 68 | 6.1 | 60 | 7.7 |
| 6 to 20 percent ... | 75 | 6.1 | 56 | 6.4 | 68 | 6.7 | 78 | 6.2 |
| 21 to 49 percent........................... | 69 | 4.6 | 58 | 5.3 | 66 | 5.8 | 66 | 5.6 |
| 50 percent or more ....................... | 62 | 3.5 | 57 | 3.8 | 63 | 4.0 | 56 | 3.9 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10............................... | 70 | 3.5 | 55 | 4.8 | 70 | 4.9 | 67 | 4.8 |
| 10 to 20..................................... | 69 | 3.8 | 60 | 4.7 | 70 | 4.0 | 65 | 4.7 |
| 21 or more.................................. | 67 | 5.2 | 60 | 5.2 | 60 | 5.0 | 64 | 6.0 |

Table B-3.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that they implemented various education reform activities in any classes, by subject areas and school characteristics: 1996 (continued)

| School characteristic | Using textbooks or other instructional materials aligned with high standards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | English/ language arts |  | History/ social studies |  | Mathematics |  | Science |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ................................. | 66 | 2.7 | 57 | 3.0 | 69 | 2.9 | 59 | 2.4 |
| Instructional level* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school .................... | 65 | 3.8 | 52 | 4.2 | 66 | 4.0 | 58 | 3.5 |
| Middle school ............................. | 67 | 4.2 | 66 | 4.1 | 72 | 4.3 | 60 | 4.4 |
| High school................................ | 72 | 5.4 | 74 | 6.9 | 85 | 4.1 | 68 | 8.5 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast . | 58 | 6.1 | 51 | 5.4 | 62 | 6.6 | 46 | 6.4 |
| Southeast. | 64 | 4.4 | 58 | 4.4 | 62 | 5.2 | 56 | 4.8 |
| Central.. | 72 | 5.2 | 61 | 7.6 | 82 | 4.2 | 65 | 4.2 |
| West ......................................... | 69 | 5.4 | 60 | 6.6 | 72 | 5.3 | 66 | 5.6 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 .............................. | 62 | 5.0 | 57 | 4.4 | 66 | 4.3 | 54 | 3.8 |
| 500 to 999 .................................. | 68 | 4.0 | 55 | 5.3 | 71 | 4.7 | 61 | 4.4 |
| 1,000 or more............................. | 70 | 5.2 | 64 | 5.7 | 74 | 6.5 | 68 | 6.8 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City.......................................... | 65 | 4.6 | 61 | 4.9 | 69 | 4.4 | 58 | 3.4 |
| Urban fringe............................... | 68 | 4.9 | 51 | 6.8 | 68 | 6.2 | 61 | 6.6 |
| Town......................................... | 63 | 5.9 | 56 | 6.0 | 72 | 5.8 | 64 | 4.9 |
| Rural........................................ | 68 | 6.9 | 63 | 7.4 | 68 | 6.7 | 51 | 6.3 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent.................... | 74 | 4.0 | 60 | 5.6 | 76 | 3.8 | 63 | 3.9 |
| 35 to 49 percent. | 59 | 7.5 | 54 | 5.8 | 66 | 8.6 | 51 | 6.2 |
| 50 to 74 percent........................... | 61 | 3.7 | 51 | 4.7 | 64 | 5.1 | 54 | 4.9 |
| 75 percent or more ....................... | 56 | 4.8 | 60 | 4.2 | 62 | 3.6 | 62 | 3.3 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent...................... | 63 | 6.2 | 62 | 6.9 | 70 | 5.6 | 56 | 5.9 |
| 6 to 20 percent ............................ | 72 | 5.5 | 63 | 6.6 | 75 | 6.2 | 67 | 4.7 |
| 21 to 49 percent........................... | 69 | 6.3 | 48 | 6.9 | 68 | 6.4 | 52 | 5.5 |
| 50 percent or more ....................... | 58 | 4.2 | 57 | 3.8 | 63 | 3.6 | 62 | 3.9 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10............................... | 62 | 5.1 | 56 | 5.8 | 73 | 4.1 | 64 | 4.1 |
| 10 to 20..................................... | 69 | 4.8 | 60 | 5.5 | 68 | 5.4 | 57 | 5.1 |
| 21 or more.................................. | 66 | 5.2 | 56 | 5.3 | 68 | 5.4 | 56 | 5.0 |

Table B-3.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that they implemented various education reform activities in any classes, by subject areas and school characteristics: 1996 (continued)

| School characteristic | Providing students or parents with examples of work that is successful in meeting high standards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | English/ language arts |  | History/ social studies |  | Mathematics |  | Science |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ................................. | 67 | 2.7 | 52 | 2.2 | 64 | 3.1 | 52 | 2.8 |
| Instructional level* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ........................ | 68 | 3.8 | 47 | 3.1 | 63 | 4.2 | 48 | 3.5 |
| Middle school . | 68 | 3.7 | 61 | 5.0 | 66 | 4.2 | 64 | 6.0 |
| High school................................ | 67 | 6.4 | 67 | 6.1 | 70 | 4.9 | 59 | 8.0 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast ................................... | 58 | 6.8 | 50 | 6.8 | 53 | 6.3 | 48 | 6.9 |
| Southeast ................................... | 63 | 5.2 | 53 | 4.7 | 63 | 5.0 | 42 | 3.6 |
| Central. | 70 | 5.4 | 57 | 6.9 | 69 | 6.9 | 56 | 5.4 |
| West. | 76 | 4.2 | 48 | 5.0 | 70 | 6.8 | 58 | 6.9 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 .............................. | 69 | 4.6 | 48 | 4.4 | 67 | 4.9 | 47 | 4.5 |
| 500 to $999 .$. | 64 | 4.3 | 52 | 4.0 | 60 | 5.3 | 54 | 5.2 |
| 1,000 or more.............................. | 71 | 6.5 | 61 | 5.0 | 71 | 6.6 | 56 | 6.3 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City.......................................... | 65 | 5.1 | 48 | 4.7 | 60 | 4.2 | 48 | 4.3 |
| Urban fringe. | 77 | 4.9 | 52 | 5.6 | 65 | 7.7 | 48 | 7.0 |
| Town......................................... | 64 | 5.5 | 56 | 5.4 | 69 | 6.1 | 59 | 5.3 |
| Rural........................................ | 60 | 6.7 | 53 | 6.5 | 62 | 7.7 | 51 | 6.4 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent................... | 72 | 4.2 | 52 | 4.5 | 64 | 5.8 | 52 | 5.2 |
| 35 to 49 percent........................... | 62 | 7.6 | 60 | 7.8 | 61 | 7.2 | 53 | 7.4 |
| 50 to 74 percent........................ | 68 | 6.3 | 55 | 5.0 | 69 | 5.9 | 53 | 5.0 |
| 75 percent or more ....................... | 60 | 3.3 | 44 | 3.6 | 62 | 4.2 | 50 | 4.4 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent...... | 64 | 6.5 | 57 | 8.0 | 60 | 7.5 | 47 | 6.8 |
| 6 to 20 percent ............................ | 73 | 5.9 | 54 | 5.0 | 67 | 7.3 | 55 | 5.1 |
| 21 to 49 percent.. | 72 | 6.4 | 53 | 5.3 | 65 | 7.2 | 53 | 7.0 |
| 50 percent or more ....................... | 59 | 2.9 | 44 | 3.2 | 63 | 4.4 | 50 | 4.5 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10............ | 68 | 3.4 | 46 | 4.8 | 67 | 4.1 | 54 | 4.8 |
| 10 to 20..................................... | 69 | 4.8 | 53 | 5.1 | 62 | 5.8 | 50 | 5.2 |
| 21 or more.................................. | 65 | 4.9 | 55 | 4.7 | 64 | 4.5 | 50 | 6.0 |


| School characteristic | Using authentic student assessments such as portfolios that measure performance against high standards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | English/ language arts |  | History/ social studies |  | Mathematics |  | Science |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ................................. | 64 | 2.6 | 38 | 2.6 | 51 | 3.2 | 42 | 3.0 |
| Instructional level* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ........................ | 64 | 4.0 | 38 | 3.7 | 55 | 4.5 | 44 | 4.2 |
| Middle school ............................. | 62 | 4.7 | 38 | 5.2 | 49 | 5.5 | 42 | 5.6 |
| High school ................................ | 62 | 6.3 | 39 | 5.7 | 39 | 5.5 | 34 | 6.7 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast ................................... | 65 | 5.3 | 43 | 6.5 | 49 | 7.6 | 44 | 7.5 |
| Southeast ................................... | 53 | 5.1 | 26 | 4.3 | 45 | 5.1 | 34 | 4.3 |
| Central...................................... | 66 | 7.0 | 48 | 8.0 | 59 | 7.8 | 48 | 6.3 |
| West ........................................ | 69 | 5.0 | 37 | 5.9 | 53 | 6.5 | 44 | 6.5 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 ............................. | 61 | 5.0 | 40 | 5.1 | 52 | 5.2 | 44 | 6.0 |
| 500 to 999 .................................. | 66 | 4.2 | 39 | 4.5 | 56 | 5.2 | 42 | 4.8 |
| 1,000 or more ............................. | 60 | 6.8 | 31 | 7.0 | 36 | 7.0 | 37 | 7.9 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City .......................................... | 62 | 4.9 | 35 | 4.0 | 51 | 4.6 | 40 | 4.8 |
| Urban fringe. | 76 | 4.5 | 42 | 7.4 | 56 | 8.6 | 48 | 8.8 |
| Town........................................ | 56 | 6.4 | 30 | 5.7 | 46 | 6.8 | 40 | 6.6 |
| Rural........................................ | 57 | 6.4 | 47 | 6.2 | 51 | 6.8 | 41 | 8.7 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent..................... | 70 | 4.0 | 38 | 5.1 | 52 | 5.7 | 44 | 5.0 |
| 35 to 49 percent........................... | 55 | 7.3 | 39 | 7.8 | 47 | 7.2 | 34 | 10.6 |
| 50 to 74 percent........................... | 57 | 5.6 | 36 | 8.8 | 50 | 7.0 | 44 | 7.8 |
| 75 percent or more ....................... | 61 | 5.3 | 40 | 3.5 | 54 | 3.3 | 45 | 4.8 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent...................... | 61 | 5.9 | 47 | 7.5 | 50 | 5.6 | 39 | 6.7 |
| 6 to 20 percent ............................ | 68 | 6.1 | 41 | 7.0 | 55 | 7.3 | 48 | 5.8 |
| 21 to 49 percent........................... | 64 | 4.7 | 31 | 5.2 | 47 | 7.1 | 39 | 7.5 |
| 50 percent or more ...................... | 60 | 4.3 | 35 | 3.4 | 53 | 2.7 | 43 | 4.4 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10............................... | 66 | 4.2 | 43 | 5.1 | 54 | 4.9 | 44 | 5.6 |
| 10 to 20..................................... | 62 | 4.2 | 43 | 4.3 | 51 | 5.2 | 43 | 4.8 |
| 21 or more.................................. | 62 | 5.0 | 30 | 3.7 | 49 | 5.4 | 39 | 5.7 |

Table B-3.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that they implemented various education reform activities in any classes, by subject areas and school characteristics: 1996 (continued)

| School characteristic | Using innovative technologies such as the Internet and telecommunications-supported instruction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | English/ language arts |  | History/ social studies |  | Mathematics |  | Science |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ................................. | 29 | 2.8 | 20 | 2.3 | 22 | 2.4 | 20 | 2.6 |
| Instructional level* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ........................ | 25 | 3.7 | 17 | 3.3 | 20 | 3.2 | 16 | 3.3 |
| Middle school . | 33 | 3.6 | 27 | 4.4 | 27 | 4.7 | 29 | 5.1 |
| High school................................ | 39 | 6.6 | 28 | 6.4 | 28 | 5.4 | 32 | 7.8 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast ................................... | 23 | 6.0 | 17 | 4.6 | 14 | 4.5 | 17 | 4.7 |
| Southeast ................................... | 35 | 6.5 | 23 | 5.9 | 26 | 6.2 | 28 | 6.9 |
| Central. | 20 | 4.3 | 20 | 4.6 | 18 | 5.3 | 13 | 3.4 |
| West. | 34 | 5.1 | 21 | 4.0 | 28 | 5.2 | 23 | 4.6 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 .............................. | 27 | 4.6 | 21 | 4.1 | 18 | 3.6 | 21 | 4.4 |
| 500 to 999 .................................. | 26 | 4.2 | 20 | 4.0 | 24 | 4.2 | 19 | 4.0 |
| 1,000 or more............................. | 40 | 7.6 | 21 | 4.9 | 28 | 4.5 | 25 | 5.8 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City.......................................... | 28 | 5.4 | 15 | 3.2 | 22 | 3.5 | 19 | 4.0 |
| Urban fringe............................... | 30 | 6.0 | 21 | 5.6 | 20 | 5.0 | 21 | 6.0 |
| Town......................................... | 29 | 5.1 | 24 | 4.9 | 26 | 5.8 | 22 | 5.5 |
| Rural........................................ | 28 | 4.0 | 21 | 6.9 | 18 | 5.1 | 19 | 5.6 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent..................... | 28 | 4.4 | 22 | 4.3 | 22 | 4.1 | 22 | 4.5 |
| 35 to 49 percent... | 22 | 5.6 | 22 | 6.4 | 17 | 5.7 | 19 | 6.7 |
| 50 to 74 percent. | 37 | 7.2 | 18 | 5.4 | 27 | 5.8 | 25 | 6.0 |
| 75 percent or more ....................... | 28 | 3.6 | 16 | 2.6 | 21 | 4.0 | 15 | 2.2 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent....................... | 24 | 4.5 | 19 | 4.6 | 19 | 5.3 | 16 | 4.6 |
| 6 to 20 percent ............................ | 28 | 6.3 | 13 | 4.0 | 17 | 5.4 | 22 | 5.8 |
| 21 to 49 percent.. | 33 | 5.5 | 31 | 6.8 | 28 | 5.2 | 24 | 6.2 |
| 50 percent or more ....................... | 28 | 3.2 | 16 | 2.7 | 21 | 3.1 | 16 | 2.4 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10............................... | 33 | 3.8 | 18 | 4.1 | 25 | 4.4 | 22 | 4.1 |
| 10 to 20..................................... | 24 | 4.6 | 18 | 3.9 | 19 | 3.5 | 18 | 4.4 |
| 21 or more.................................. | 29 | 4.9 | 24 | 4.3 | 22 | 4.9 | 21 | 5.3 |

*Data for combined schools are not reported as a separate instructional level because there are very few in the sample. Data for combined schools are included in the totals and in analyses by other school characteristics.
NOTE: Percents are based on those that teach the subject.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Public School Teacher Survey on

Table B-4.-Percent of public school teachers with special needs students in the classes indicating the extent to which they applied the same high standards of performance used for other students to these students, and the percent of all public school teachers indicating the extent to which they needed information on helping special needs students achieve to high standards, by school characteristics: 1996

| School characteristic | Students with limited English proficiency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Extent held to same high standards as other students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Great extent |  | Moderate extent |  | Small extent |  | Not at all |  |
|  | Percent | s.e | Percent | s.e | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ................. | 33 | 2.4 | 47 | 2.4 | 17 | 2.1 | 3 | 1.0 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ....... | 33 | 4.9 | 48 | 5.0 | 16 | 3.8 | 3 | 2.1 |
| Middle school............. | 29 | 3.3 | 51 | 3.5 | 17 | 2.6 | 3 | 1.2 |
| High school ................ | 37 | 4.0 | 42 | 3.5 | 18 | 3.3 | 3 | 1.2 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast................... | 34 | 4.1 | 46 | 4.7 | 16 | 3.8 | 5 | 1.7 |
| Southeast................... | 34 | 6.0 | 48 | 6.4 | 17 | 5.2 | 1 | 0.8 |
| Central ...................... | 32 | 6.8 | 43 | 7.3 | 22 | 4.9 | 3 | 1.7 |
| West......................... | 34 | 3.5 | 48 | 3.6 | 14 | 2.9 | 3 | 1.6 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 ............. | 24 | 4.3 | 50 | 5.6 | 22 | 4.1 | 4 | 1.7 |
| 500 to 999 .................. | 34 | 3.6 | 48 | 3.9 | 15 | 2.5 | 4 | 1.6 |
| 1,000 or more ............. | 41 | 3.7 | 42 | 3.5 | 15 | 3.3 | 1 | 0.5 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City .......................... | 35 | 4.8 | 49 | 3.8 | 14 | 3.8 | 2 | 0.7 |
| Urban fringe ............... | 36 | 4.1 | 47 | 4.6 | 13 | 3.0 | 4 | 2.4 |
| Town........................ | 27 | 4.1 | 43 | 5.5 | 27 | 3.4 | 4 | 1.6 |
| Rural........................ | 35 | 7.2 | 49 | 7.5 | 13 | 4.6 | 3 | 2.2 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent.... | 33 | 3.7 | 44 | 3.6 | 20 | 3.1 | 3 | 1.4 |
| 35 to 49 percent.......... | 38 | 7.3 | 44 | 5.6 | 18 | 5.1 | (+) | 0.4 |
| 50 to 74 percent.......... | 27 | 3.6 | 59 | 4.6 | 8 | 3.3 | 6 | 2.3 |
| 75 percent or more....... | 37 | 4.4 | 45 | 4.4 | 15 | 2.6 | 3 | 1.3 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent...... | 27 | 5.8 | 41 | 6.2 | 28 | 6.9 | 4 | 2.2 |
| 6 to 20 percent............ | 27 | 6.3 | 50 | 6.3 | 21 | 3.9 | 2 | 1.1 |
| 21 to 49 percent........... | 36 | 4.8 | 46 | 5.2 | 13 | 4.3 | 5 | 2.4 |
| 50 percent or more....... | 40 | 3.0 | 46 | 3.2 | 12 | 2.3 | 2 | 0.7 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10 ............... | 30 | 3.7 | 48 | 3.8 | 18 | 2.6 | 4 | 1.9 |
| 10 to 20 ..................... | 33 | 4.8 | 49 | 5.0 | 14 | 3.2 | 3 | 1.4 |
| 21 or more .................. | 37 | 4.0 | 43 | 4.6 | 18 | 3.7 | 2 | 1.0 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$.... | 39 | 4.7 | 43 | 4.7 | 16 | 3.8 | 2 | 0.9 |
| Mathematics ............... | 42 | 7.8 | 38 | 7.8 | 12 | 4.9 | 8 | 5.9 |
| Science...................... | 23 | 6.8 | 60 | 7.0 | 12 | 5.1 | 4 | 3.6 |
| Social studies .............. | 26 | 5.7 | 42 | 6.9 | 30 | 6.4 | 3 | 1.8 |
| English/language arts... | 31 | 5.4 | 57 | 5.7 | 10 | 2.9 | 2 | 1.7 |

Table B-4.-Percent of public school teachers with special needs students in the classes indicating the extent to which they applied the same high standards of performance used for other students to these students, and the percent of all public school teachers indicating the extent to which they needed information on helping special needs students achieve to high standards, by school characteristics: 1996 (continued)

| School characteristic | Students with limited English proficiency |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Need for information |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Very much needed |  | Somewhat needed |  | Not needed |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ................ | 26 | 1.7 | 31 | 1.9 | 42 | 2.0 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ....... | 25 | 3.6 | 31 | 3.7 | 43 | 4.0 |
| Middle school............. | 32 | 2.8 | 28 | 2.6 | 41 | 2.8 |
| High school ................ | 24 | 2.9 | 35 | 2.8 | 41 | 3.2 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast................... | 21 | 2.9 | 39 | 4.1 | 40 | 4.5 |
| Southeast................... | 22 | 3.3 | 23 | 3.5 | 55 | 4.0 |
| Central ....................... | 22 | 3.3 | 28 | 3.2 | 50 | 4.3 |
| West......................... | 39 | 4.4 | 35 | 3.5 | 26 | 3.4 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 ............. | 25 | 3.4 | 27 | 3.5 | 48 | 3.9 |
| 500 to 999 .................. | 29 | 3.4 | 32 | 3.6 | 39 | 3.4 |
| 1,000 or more ............. | 25 | 2.8 | 34 | 3.1 | 40 | 4.1 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City .......................... | 29 | 4.0 | 34 | 4.1 | 37 | 3.4 |
| Urban fringe ............... | 31 | 4.3 | 38 | 3.5 | 31 | 4.6 |
| Town........................ | 24 | 3.4 | 32 | 3.0 | 44 | 3.6 |
| Rural........................ | 20 | 3.4 | 19 | 4.4 | 62 | 4.2 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent.... | 25 | 2.6 | 33 | 3.0 | 42 | 3.4 |
| 35 to 49 percent.......... | 18 | 3.0 | 32 | 4.7 | 50 | 5.0 |
| 50 to 74 percent........... | 36 | 4.6 | 22 | 3.3 | 42 | 4.7 |
| 75 percent or more....... | 29 | 4.2 | 35 | 2.2 | 35 | 4.3 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent...... | 18 | 2.6 | 28 | 3.9 | 54 | 3.8 |
| 6 to 20 percent............ | 27 | 4.0 | 32 | 4.3 | 41 | 4.9 |
| 21 to 49 percent........... | 26 | 3.6 | 31 | 4.2 | 43 | 4.5 |
| 50 percent or more....... | 35 | 3.1 | 35 | 2.6 | 30 | 2.6 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10 ............... | 28 | 3.1 | 34 | 3.5 | 37 | 3.5 |
| 10 to 20 ..................... | 25 | 2.9 | 29 | 3.4 | 46 | 3.6 |
| 21 or more ................. | 26 | 2.6 | 30 | 3.4 | 43 | 3.9 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$.... | 25 | 3.7 | 29 | 3.1 | 45 | 3.7 |
| Mathematics ............... | 24 | 5.0 | 32 | 4.6 | 44 | 3.9 |
| Science ...................... | 31 | 5.4 | 28 | 4.1 | 40 | 5.6 |
| Social studies ............. | 32 | 6.4 | 37 | 6.4 | 32 | 4.4 |
| English/language arts... | 30 | 4.0 | 28 | 4.7 | 42 | 5.5 |

Table B-4.-Percent of public school teachers with special needs students in the classes indicating the extent to which they applied the same high standards of performance used for other students to these students, and the percent of all public school teachers indicating the extent to which they needed information on helping special needs students achieve to high standards, by school characteristics: 1996 (continued)

| School characteristic | Students with disabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Extent held to same high standards as other students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Great extent |  | Moderate extent |  | Small extent |  | Not at all |  |
|  | Percent | s.e | Percent | s.e | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ................ | 28 | 2.1 | 51 | 2.5 | 19 | 1.8 | 2 | 0.6 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ....... | 24 | 3.9 | 55 | 4.5 | 18 | 3.2 | 2 | 1.0 |
| Middle school............. | 32 | 2.6 | 44 | 3.0 | 21 | 2.2 | 3 | 0.9 |
| High school ................ | 33 | 3.6 | 48 | 3.2 | 18 | 3.1 | 2 | 0.9 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast................... | 25 | 3.4 | 50 | 4.8 | 23 | 4.9 | 2 | 0.9 |
| Southeast................... | 30 | 3.7 | 48 | 3.4 | 19 | 3.1 | 4 | 1.7 |
| Central ...................... | 29 | 5.3 | 54 | 5.6 | 16 | 3.9 | 1 | 0.6 |
| West......................... | 30 | 4.2 | 51 | 4.6 | 18 | 2.5 | 2 | 0.9 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 .............. | 20 | 3.7 | 58 | 4.1 | 21 | 3.8 | 1 | 0.4 |
| 500 to 999 .................. | 28 | 3.6 | 52 | 3.8 | 18 | 2.5 | 2 | 0.7 |
| 1,000 or more ............. | 41 | 3.8 | 39 | 4.1 | 16 | 3.1 | 4 | 1.8 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City .......................... | 34 | 3.7 | 44 | 3.7 | 20 | 2.5 | 3 | 1.0 |
| Urban fringe ............... | 33 | 4.9 | 51 | 5.4 | 14 | 2.5 | 3 | 1.5 |
| Town........................ | 24 | 3.9 | 48 | 4.2 | 25 | 3.1 | 3 | 1.0 |
| Rural ......................... | 21 | 4.4 | 61 | 5.9 | 17 | 4.3 | (+) | 0.3 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent.... | 29 | 2.9 | 52 | 3.6 | 17 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.6 |
| 35 to 49 percent.......... | 29 | 5.2 | 50 | 5.0 | 21 | 4.7 | 1 | 0.6 |
| 50 to 74 percent.......... | 26 | 4.4 | 52 | 5.4 | 17 | 3.1 | 6 | 2.8 |
| 75 percent or more....... | 28 | 5.4 | 46 | 4.3 | 23 | 2.4 | 3 | 1.3 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent...... | 27 | 4.7 | 49 | 5.4 | 24 | 4.2 | 1 | 0.4 |
| 6 to 20 percent............ | 29 | 3.9 | 54 | 4.6 | 15 | 3.1 | 2 | 0.8 |
| 21 to 49 percent........... | 24 | 4.6 | 55 | 5.3 | 17 | 4.0 | 4 | 1.7 |
| 50 percent or more....... | 34 | 3.9 | 43 | 3.1 | 20 | 2.2 | 3 | 0.8 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10 ............... | 27 | 3.1 | 51 | 3.4 | 20 | 2.4 | 2 | 0.8 |
| 10 to 20 ..................... | 31 | 3.7 | 48 | 4.5 | 17 | 3.2 | 3 | 1.4 |
| 21 or more.................. | 27 | 3.3 | 52 | 3.9 | 20 | 3.6 | 1 | 0.5 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$.... | 28 | 3.8 | 52 | 5.0 | 18 | 3.7 | 3 | 1.3 |
| Mathematics............... | 28 | 5.3 | 51 | 5.9 | 21 | 4.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Science..................... | 30 | 5.7 | 52 | 5.6 | 18 | 4.4 | (+) | 0.3 |
| Social studies ............. | 29 | 5.0 | 39 | 6.2 | 30 | 5.4 | 2 | 1.2 |
| English/language arts... | 34 | 6.2 | 51 | 6.1 | 14 | 3.4 | 1 | 0.9 |

Table B-4.- Percent of public school teachers with special needs students in the classes indicating the extent to which they applied the same high standards of performance used for other students to these students, and the percent of all public school teachers indicating the extent to which they needed information on helping special needs students achieve to high standards, by school characteristics: 1996 (continued)

| School characteristic | Students with disabilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Need for information |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Very much needed |  | Somewhat needed |  | Not needed |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ................ | 31 | 1.9 | 42 | 1.8 | 27 | 1.7 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ....... | 33 | 3.5 | 43 | 3.3 | 24 | 2.7 |
| Middle school............. | 32 | 2.7 | 42 | 3.8 | 26 | 2.6 |
| High school ................ | 25 | 2.9 | 42 | 3.5 | 32 | 3.6 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast................... | 36 | 4.6 | 39 | 3.7 | 25 | 3.3 |
| Southeast................... | 30 | 3.7 | 43 | 4.2 | 27 | 3.0 |
| Central ....................... | 22 | 3.3 | 43 | 4.0 | 35 | 4.2 |
| West......................... | 35 | 4.3 | 44 | 3.6 | 22 | 3.5 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 ............. | 28 | 3.9 | 47 | 3.4 | 25 | 2.9 |
| 500 to 999 .................. | 34 | 3.5 | 40 | 3.3 | 26 | 2.6 |
| 1,000 or more ............. | 28 | 3.0 | 41 | 4.5 | 31 | 4.1 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City .......................... | 36 | 3.3 | 34 | 3.9 | 29 | 2.7 |
| Urban fringe ............... | 32 | 4.5 | 45 | 4.2 | 23 | 3.5 |
| Town........................ | 28 | 3.8 | 47 | 3.7 | 25 | 3.4 |
| Rural........................ | 26 | 5.5 | 42 | 4.8 | 33 | 4.7 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent.... | 28 | 2.9 | 44 | 2.7 | 28 | 2.7 |
| 35 to 49 percent........... | 28 | 5.6 | 47 | 5.7 | 25 | 3.3 |
| 50 to 74 percent.......... | 33 | 5.2 | 39 | 4.3 | 28 | 3.8 |
| 75 percent or more....... | 39 | 3.3 | 36 | 2.4 | 26 | 3.1 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent...... | 26 | 4.0 | 46 | 3.3 | 28 | 4.2 |
| 6 to 20 percent............ | 25 | 4.1 | 47 | 3.7 | 28 | 3.8 |
| 21 to 49 percent........... | 32 | 4.2 | 40 | 4.5 | 28 | 3.4 |
| 50 percent or more....... | 39 | 3.1 | 37 | 3.0 | 23 | 2.4 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10 ............... | 32 | 3.4 | 44 | 3.5 | 25 | 2.9 |
| 10 to $20 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 31 | 2.8 | 43 | 2.9 | 26 | 2.9 |
| 21 or more................. | 29 | 3.2 | 41 | 3.1 | 30 | 2.8 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$.... | 33 | 4.0 | 41 | 3.8 | 26 | 3.0 |
| Mathematics............... | 30 | 5.0 | 36 | 5.4 | 34 | 5.2 |
| Science...................... | 33 | 5.8 | 45 | 5.6 | 22 | 5.5 |
| Social studies ............. | 32 | 6.0 | 45 | 6.1 | 23 | 3.9 |
| English/language arts... | 21 | 3.7 | 44 | 4.7 | 34 | 5.0 |

[^7]
## Table B-5.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that they engaged in selected parental involvement activities, and the percent indicating the extent to which information was needed, by school characteristics: 1996

| School characteristic | Providing information or advice to parents to help them create supportive learning environments at home |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Extent held to same high standards as other students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Great extent |  | Moderate extent |  | Small extent |  | Not at all |  |
|  | Percent | s.e | Percent | s.e | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ................ | 28 | 1.7 | 36 | 1.9 | 28 | 1.6 | 8 | 1.0 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ....... | 46 | 3.5 | 32 | 2.6 | 20 | 2.6 | 1 | 0.4 |
| Middle school............. | 20 | 3.0 | 41 | 3.8 | 30 | 2.9 | 8 | 1.8 |
| High school ................ | 10 | 2.3 | 36 | 3.3 | 36 | 3.2 | 18 | 2.9 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast................... | 31 | 4.3 | 36 | 3.8 | 24 | 3.6 | 9 | 2.0 |
| Southeast................... | 28 | 4.1 | 41 | 4.4 | 25 | 3.4 | 6 | 1.6 |
| Central ...................... | 29 | 4.6 | 31 | 3.3 | 30 | 3.6 | 10 | 1.9 |
| West......................... | 25 | 3.2 | 34 | 3.6 | 32 | 3.6 | 9 | 2.1 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 ............. | 33 | 3.6 | 34 | 3.0 | 27 | 3.1 | 7 | 1.7 |
| 500 to 999 .................. | 30 | 3.2 | 38 | 2.8 | 25 | 2.6 | 7 | 1.4 |
| 1,000 or more ............. | 19 | 3.3 | 35 | 3.4 | 33 | 3.1 | 13 | 2.7 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City .......................... | 31 | 3.4 | 34 | 3.1 | 29 | 2.5 | 6 | 1.3 |
| Urban fringe ............... | 35 | 3.8 | 36 | 3.6 | 22 | 3.5 | 7 | 2.1 |
| Town........................ | 22 | 3.9 | 36 | 3.8 | 30 | 3.2 | 12 | 2.0 |
| Rural ........................ | 23 | 4.6 | 38 | 3.5 | 32 | 4.2 | 7 | 2.0 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent.... | 28 | 2.5 | 34 | 2.8 | 28 | 2.7 | 11 | 1.8 |
| 35 to 49 percent.......... | 32 | 5.2 | 34 | 4.5 | 28 | 3.9 | 5 | 1.3 |
| 50 to 74 percent.......... | 27 | 4.1 | 40 | 4.6 | 25 | 4.0 | 8 | 1.6 |
| 75 percent or more....... | 26 | 3.3 | 39 | 2.8 | 29 | 3.4 | 5 | 1.6 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent...... | 23 | 3.7 | 34 | 3.0 | 30 | 3.6 | 13 | 2.5 |
| 6 to 20 percent............ | 27 | 3.8 | 35 | 4.6 | 30 | 4.5 | 8 | 2.1 |
| 21 to 49 percent.......... | 36 | 5.3 | 35 | 4.0 | 22 | 3.6 | 6 | 1.6 |
| 50 percent or more....... | 25 | 2.3 | 39 | 2.8 | 30 | 2.3 | 6 | 1.3 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10 ............... | 19 | 2.2 | 36 | 3.2 | 36 | 2.6 | 9 | 1.9 |
| 10 to 20 ..................... | 35 | 4.0 | 32 | 3.2 | 24 | 2.7 | 8 | 1.9 |
| 21 or more................. | 30 | 3.4 | 39 | 3.4 | 23 | 3.0 | 7 | 1.5 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$.... | 48 | 3.6 | 32 | 3.0 | 20 | 2.4 | (+) | 0.1 |
| Mathematics.............. | 17 | 4.2 | 33 | 5.6 | 39 | 5.5 | 11 | 3.0 |
| Science ...................... | 11 | 3.4 | 36 | 5.8 | 27 | 6.9 | 26 | 5.7 |
| Social studies ............. | 18 | 5.0 | 46 | 6.7 | 30 | 4.9 | 6 | 3.0 |
| English/language arts... | 22 | 4.4 | 42 | 5.9 | 27 | 4.4 | 9 | 2.6 |

Table B-5.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that they engaged in selected parental involvement activities, and the percent indicating the extent to which information was needed, by school characteristics: 1996 (continued)

| School characteristic | Providing information or advice to parents to help them create supportive learning environments at home |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Need for information |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Very much needed |  | Somewhat needed |  | Not needed |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ................ | 27 | 1.5 | 47 | 1.8 | 26 | 1.6 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ....... | 20 | 3.1 | 48 | 3.6 | 31 | 3.6 |
| Middle school............. | 30 | 2.6 | 45 | 3.0 | 25 | 2.3 |
| High school ................ | 31 | 2.6 | 48 | 3.0 | 21 | 3.1 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast................... | 26 | 3.1 | 42 | 3.9 | 32 | 3.7 |
| Southeast................... | 26 | 3.2 | 51 | 3.1 | 23 | 3.4 |
| Central ...................... | 25 | 3.8 | 46 | 4.2 | 29 | 3.6 |
| West......................... | 29 | 3.9 | 50 | 3.9 | 21 | 3.2 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 ............. | 25 | 3.6 | 48 | 3.4 | 27 | 3.3 |
| 500 to 999 .................. | 26 | 2.1 | 48 | 3.0 | 26 | 3.0 |
| 1,000 or more ............. | 30 | 3.0 | 46 | 2.8 | 25 | 3.3 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City .......................... | 29 | 3.2 | 44 | 2.8 | 27 | 3.4 |
| Urban fringe ............... | 20 | 2.5 | 49 | 3.6 | 31 | 4.5 |
| Town........................ | 33 | 4.1 | 42 | 4.1 | 25 | 3.6 |
| Rural ........................ | 24 | 3.6 | 55 | 4.3 | 20 | 4.4 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent.... | 22 | 2.4 | 48 | 2.8 | 30 | 3.0 |
| 35 to 49 percent.......... | 26 | 3.9 | 49 | 4.4 | 25 | 4.5 |
| 50 to 74 percent.......... | 31 | 3.6 | 49 | 3.9 | 20 | 4.3 |
| 75 percent or more....... | 36 | 5.4 | 41 | 4.0 | 23 | 3.6 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent...... | 24 | 3.0 | 50 | 3.1 | 25 | 2.8 |
| 6 to 20 percent............ | 24 | 3.4 | 48 | 4.2 | 27 | 4.0 |
| 21 to 49 percent.......... | 20 | 2.7 | 47 | 4.0 | 32 | 4.7 |
| 50 percent or more....... | 37 | 3.9 | 44 | 3.5 | 18 | 2.3 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10 | 35 | 2.4 | 44 | 2.7 | 21 | 2.5 |
| 10 to 20 | $21$ | 2.1 | 53 | 3.3 | 26 | 2.6 |
| 21 or more ................. | 23 | 3.3 | 45 | 3.0 | 31 | 2.8 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$.... | 22 | 2.4 | 46 | 3.9 | 32 | 3.6 |
| Mathematics ............... | 32 | 4.8 | 51 | 5.6 | 17 | 3.6 |
| Science...................... | 29 | 4.2 | 49 | 6.0 | 22 | 6.1 |
| Social studies ............. | 32 | 5.8 | 42 | 5.6 | 26 | 5.4 |
| English/language arts... | 30 | 3.6 | 48 | 5.4 | 22 | 4.8 |

Table B-5.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that they engaged in selected parental involvement activities, and the percent indicating the extent to which information was needed, by school characteristics: 1996 (continued)

| School characteristic | Involving parents in classroom activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Extent held to same high standards as other students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Great extent |  | Moderate extent |  | Small extent |  | Not at all |  |
|  | Percent | s.e | Percent | s.e | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ................ | 10 | 1.4 | 26 | 1.8 | 36 | 2.1 | 28 | 1.6 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ....... | 17 | 3.3 | 38 | 3.9 | 34 | 3.9 | 11 | 2.1 |
| Middle school............. | 5 | 1.0 | 18 | 2.1 | 43 | 2.4 | 35 | 3.0 |
| High school ................ | 3 | 1.4 | 14 | 2.3 | 35 | 3.2 | 48 | 3.5 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast.................. | 8 | 3.2 | 22 | 2.7 | 35 | 3.5 | 35 | 3.8 |
| Southeast................... | 9 | 2.2 | 28 | 4.5 | 35 | 3.6 | 28 | 3.5 |
| Central ...................... | 9 | 2.9 | 28 | 4.3 | 38 | 4.0 | 26 | 3.7 |
| West......................... | 12 | 3.3 | 25 | 3.2 | 38 | 4.4 | 25 | 3.1 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 ............. | 12 | 2.7 | 33 | 3.2 | 34 | 3.1 | 21 | 2.7 |
| 500 to 999 .................. | 11 | 2.8 | 25 | 3.1 | 40 | 3.2 | 23 | 2.2 |
| 1,000 or more ............. | 4 | 1.6 | 18 | 2.5 | 32 | 3.8 | 45 | 3.2 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City ........................... | 11 | 2.4 | 27 | 3.2 | 36 | 3.4 | 26 | 2.4 |
| Urban fringe ............... | 11 | 3.5 | 29 | 3.9 | 31 | 4.4 | 29 | 3.7 |
| Town........................ | 10 | 2.1 | 26 | 3.9 | 37 | 3.6 | 27 | 3.7 |
| Rural ......................... | 6 | 2.8 | 19 | 3.3 | 43 | 4.4 | 32 | 4.5 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent.... | 10 | 2.4 | 23 | 2.6 | 36 | 3.1 | 31 | 2.5 |
| 35 to 49 percent.......... | 13 | 3.8 | 27 | 5.0 | 33 | 4.5 | 27 | 3.9 |
| 50 to 74 percent.......... | 5 | 1.4 | 29 | 5.5 | 37 | 4.3 | 29 | 4.1 |
| 75 percent or more....... | 10 | 1.8 | 28 | 2.7 | 41 | 3.5 | 21 | 3.4 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent...... | 8 | 3.0 | 20 | 3.2 | 40 | 3.5 | 32 | 3.4 |
| 6 to 20 percent............ | 12 | 3.9 | 29 | 4.4 | 29 | 4.1 | 29 | 3.8 |
| 21 to 49 percent.......... | 10 | 3.0 | 28 | 4.3 | 38 | 4.9 | 24 | 3.5 |
| 50 percent or more....... | 8 | 1.5 | 26 | 2.3 | 38 | 2.7 | 28 | 3.3 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10 ............... | 6 | 1.3 | 20 | 2.4 | 44 | 3.1 | 31 | 2.8 |
| 10 to 20 ..................... | 10 | 2.6 | 30 | 3.6 | 29 | 3.4 | 31 | 3.6 |
| 21 or more ................. | 13 | 2.5 | 27 | 3.0 | 36 | 2.9 | 24 | 2.3 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$... | 21 | 3.8 | 39 | 3.7 | 32 | 4.0 | 8 | 1.8 |
| Mathematics ............... | 2 | 1.3 | 13 | 3.3 | 38 | 4.8 | 47 | 4.3 |
| Science...................... |  | 1.6 | 9 | 3.5 | 45 | 5.9 | 43 | 5.9 |
| Social studies ............. | 4 | 2.1 | 20 | 4.7 | 39 | 6.4 | 37 | 6.2 |
| English/language arts... | 2 | 0.9 | 21 | 4.0 | 41 | 4.6 | 35 | 4.2 |

Table B-5.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that they engaged in selected parental involvement activities, and the percent indicating the extent to which information was needed, by school characteristics: 1996 (continued)

| School characteristic | Involving parents in classroom activities |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Need for information |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Very much needed |  | Somewhat needed |  | Not needed |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ................ | 29 | 1.6 | 45 | 2.3 | 26 | 2.0 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ....... | 22 | 2.9 | 51 | 3.4 | 26 | 3.2 |
| Middle school............. | 36 | 3.0 | 42 | 2.7 | 22 | 2.0 |
| High school ................ | 33 | 2.4 | 38 | 3.4 | 29 | 3.7 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast................... | 27 | 4.0 | 47 | 5.1 | 26 | 3.4 |
| Southeast................... | 37 | 3.7 | 38 | 3.9 | 25 | 4.0 |
| Central ... | 20 | 3.0 | 54 | 4.7 | 26 | 4.2 |
| West......................... | 32 | 3.1 | 42 | 3.1 | 26 | 3.2 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 ............. | 24 | 2.7 | 50 | 3.6 | 26 | 3.8 |
| 500 to 999 .................. | 32 | 2.9 | 44 | 3.6 | 24 | 3.1 |
| 1,000 or more ............. | 32 | 2.8 | 41 | 3.7 | 27 | 3.6 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City .......................... | 32 | 2.9 | 41 | 3.9 | 27 | 3.5 |
| Urban fringe ............... | 24 | 3.5 | 45 | 3.6 | 30 | 3.4 |
| Town........................ | 31 | 3.7 | 42 | 3.6 | 27 | 3.8 |
| Rural........................ | 30 | 2.8 | 54 | 3.4 | 16 | 3.8 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent.... | 23 | 2.6 | 48 | 3.3 | 29 | 3.0 |
| 35 to 49 percent........... | 31 | 3.7 | 45 | 4.5 | 24 | 5.1 |
| 50 to 74 percent.......... | 40 | 5.3 | 39 | 5.2 | 22 | 4.7 |
| 75 percent or more....... | 38 | 3.3 | 39 | 3.2 | 22 | 3.2 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent...... | 22 | 3.5 | 51 | 4.4 | 27 | 3.3 |
| 6 to 20 percent............ | 25 | 3.6 | 47 | 3.5 | 28 | 4.2 |
| 21 to 49 percent.......... | 28 | 3.7 | 46 | 4.6 | 26 | 4.7 |
| 50 percent or more....... | 43 | 2.7 | 36 | 3.1 | 21 | 2.6 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10 ............. | 39 | 3.2 | 41 | 2.9 | 20 | 3.0 |
| 10 to $20 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 24 | 2.6 | 51 | 3.6 | 25 | 3.1 |
| 21 or more.................. | 26 | 2.7 | 43 | 4.0 | 31 | 3.5 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$.... | 23 | 2.9 | 48 | 3.7 | 29 | 3.4 |
| Mathematics ............... | 38 | 5.1 | 42 | 5.8 | 21 | 5.2 |
| Science...................... | 33 | 6.2 | 50 | 6.1 | 17 | 4.6 |
| Social studies ............. | 38 | 5.1 | 39 | 5.5 | 23 | 4.3 |
| English/language arts... | 34 | 4.2 | 43 | 5.2 | 22 | 4.5 |

Table B-5.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that they engaged in selected parental
involvement activities, and the percent indicating the extent to which information was
needed, by school characteristics: 1996 (continued)

| School characteristic | Sharing responsibility with parents for academic performance of their children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Extent held to same high standards as other students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Great extent |  | Moderate extent |  | Small extent |  | Not at all |  |
|  | Percent | s.e | Percent | s.e | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ................ | 26 | 2.0 | 34 | 2.0 | 24 | 1.7 | 16 | 1.5 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ...... | 35 | 3.5 | 31 | 3.3 | 22 | 2.8 | 11 | 2.3 |
| Middle school............. | 25 | 2.6 | 38 | 2.7 | 23 | 2.7 | 15 | 1.9 |
| High school ............... | 15 | 2.3 | 36 | 3.5 | 27 | 3.0 | 22 | 2.9 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast.................. | 26 | 4.2 | 33 | 4.9 | 26 | 3.2 | 16 | 3.2 |
| Southeast................... | 22 | 3.7 | 39 | 3.3 | 26 | 3.6 | 13 | 2.6 |
| Central ...................... | 27 | 4.2 | 30 | 3.6 | 24 | 3.0 | 18 | 2.9 |
| West........... | 28 | 3.7 | 33 | 3.2 | 22 | 3.0 | 17 | 3.0 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 ............. | 25 | 3.6 | 36 | 4.0 | 25 | 2.8 | 15 | 2.2 |
| 500 to 999 ..... | 30 | 3.8 | 30 | 2.3 | 25 | 2.9 | 15 | 2.2 |
| 1,000 or more ............. | 20 | 2.4 | 38 | 3.8 | 23 | 3.4 | 18 | 3.5 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City .......................... | 33 | 4.0 | 33 | 3.4 | 22 | 2.8 | 11 | 2.5 |
| Urban fringe ............... | 28 | 3.5 | 34 | 4.0 | 22 | 4.0 | 15 | 3.2 |
| Town........................ | 21 | 3.4 | 34 | 3.4 | 23 | 2.1 | 22 | 3.1 |
| Rural ........................ | 20 | 5.5 | 35 | 3.9 | 32 | 3.6 | 14 | 3.0 |

Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch

| Less than 35 percent.... | 26 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 35 to 49 percent.......... | 24 |
| 50 to 74 percent.......... | 26 |


| 3.3 | 31 | 3.0 | 25 | 2.6 | 18 | 2.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4.6 | 40 | 5.1 | 25 | 3.0 | 11 | 2.8 |
| 4.2 | 36 | 3.0 | 23 | 3.3 | 15 | 3.2 |
| 2.9 | 36 | 2.8 | 22 | 2.1 | 14 | 2.2 |

Percent minority
enrollment

| Less than 6 percent...... | 19 | 3.8 | 36 | 4.1 | 27 | 2.4 | 17 | 2.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 to 20 percent............ | 24 | 4.6 | 35 | 4.1 | 25 | 4.8 | 15 | 3.6 |
| 21 to 49 percent.......... | 32 | 4.9 | 29 | 3.3 | 23 | 3.2 | 16 | 3.6 |
| 50 percent or more....... | 28 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 21 | 2.0 | 15 | 2.0 |
| mber of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10 ............... | 21 | 2.2 | 35 | 3.4 | 29 | 2.9 | 14 | 2.2 |
| 10 to 20 ..................... | 29 | 2.9 | 34 | 3.4 | 21 | 2.6 | 16 | 3.0 |
| 21 or more................. | 28 | 3.4 | 32 | 4.0 | 23 | 3.0 | 17 | 2.6 |
| in subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$.... | 38 | 3.5 | 31 | 3.1 | 22 | 3.3 | 8 | 1.9 |
| Mathematics ............... | 15 | 4.0 | 36 | 4.7 | 31 | 5.0 | 17 | 4.1 |
| Science ...................... | 17 | 4.2 | 34 | 5.4 | 27 | 5.7 | 22 | 5.9 |
| Social studies ............. | 20 | 4.5 | 37 | 6.8 | 16 | 3.7 | 27 | 6.4 |
| English/language arts... | 25 | 4.3 | 31 | 4.2 | 26 | 4.7 | 17 | 3.5 |

Table B-5.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that they engaged in selected parental involvement activities, and the percent indicating the extent to which information was needed, by school characteristics: 1996 (continued)

| School characteristic | Sharing responsibility with parents for academic performance of their children |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Need for information |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Very much needed |  | Somewhat needed |  | Not needed |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ................ | 33 | 2.0 | 44 | 1.9 | 23 | 1.7 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ....... | 29 | 3.0 | 46 | 3.5 | 25 | 3.2 |
| Middle school............. | 36 | 2.6 | 41 | 3.0 | 24 | 2.5 |
| High school ................ | 35 | 2.9 | 44 | 3.1 | 21 | 2.9 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast................... | 27 | 3.0 | 51 | 3.6 | 22 | 3.0 |
| Southeast................... | 39 | 4.0 | 41 | 4.2 | 20 | 3.1 |
| Central ...................... | 27 | 4.1 | 45 | 3.8 | 27 | 3.0 |
| West......................... | 38 | 3.7 | 38 | 3.5 | 24 | 3.4 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 ............. | 28 | 3.0 | 44 | 3.9 | 28 | 3.9 |
| 500 to 999 .................. | 35 | 2.8 | 44 | 2.9 | 21 | 2.4 |
| 1,000 or more ............. | 37 | 3.6 | 42 | 4.2 | 21 | 3.5 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City .......................... | 36 | 3.6 | 39 | 3.8 | 24 | 2.4 |
| Urban fringe ............... | 28 | 3.3 | 47 | 4.0 | 25 | 2.3 |
| Town........................ | 36 | 3.6 | 38 | 2.7 | 26 | 3.8 |
| Rural ......................... | 32 | 4.6 | 52 | 4.5 | 16 | 3.4 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent.... | 27 | 2.3 | 46 | 2.6 | 27 | 2.4 |
| 35 to 49 percent........... | 38 | 4.6 | 41 | 4.2 | 20 | 4.3 |
| 50 to 74 percent.......... | 43 | 5.7 | 42 | 5.6 | 15 | 2.8 |
| 75 percent or more....... | 36 | 3.1 | 41 | 2.6 | 22 | 2.8 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent...... | 27 | 3.7 | 49 | 3.7 | 24 | 3.0 |
| 6 to 20 percent............ | 33 | 4.8 | 42 | 3.9 | 25 | 4.7 |
| 21 to 49 percent.......... | 33 | 3.8 | 42 | 5.2 | 25 | 3.8 |
| 50 percent or more....... | 40 | 3.1 | 43 | 2.4 | 17 | 1.9 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10 .............. | 40 | 4.2 | 42 | 3.5 | 17 | 2.9 |
| 10 to 20 ..................... | 28 | 2.9 | 48 | 3.8 | 24 | 3.1 |
| 21 or more .................. | 32 | 3.2 | 40 | 3.4 | 28 | 3.1 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$.... | 30 | 3.0 | 45 | 3.6 | 26 | 3.6 |
| Mathematics ............... | 40 | 5.0 | 40 | 5.2 | 19 | 5.0 |
| Science...................... | 38 | 7.1 | 50 | 6.6 | 12 | 4.1 |
| Social studies ............. | 38 | 6.0 | 39 | 6.0 | 23 | 6.0 |
| English/language arts... | 39 | 4.0 | 40 | 5.1 | 21 | 3.8 |

${ }^{1}$ Data for combined schools are not reported as a separate instructional level because there are very few in the sample. Data for combined schools are included in the totals and in analyses by other school characteristics.
${ }^{2}$ This table does not show the additional response category-other (specify)-that was included on the questionnaire.
${ }^{3}$ The teacher is responsible for teaching all or most academic subjects to one class.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Public School Teacher Survey on

Table B-6.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that they engaged in professional development activities, and the mean number of hours they spent on professional development activities during the period from September 1, 1994, through August 31, 1995, by school characteristics: 1996

| School characteristic | Percent attending activities |  | Mean number of hours ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Number | s.e. |
| All teachers........................................ | 94 | 1.0 | 42.3 | 1.8 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ............................... | 95 | 1.8 | 46.2 | 3.0 |
| Middle school ..................................... | 94 | 2.0 | 42.5 | 2.9 |
| High school....................................... | 93 | 1.6 | 37.8 | 2.7 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast ........................................... | 95 | 2.0 | 41.8 | 4.5 |
| Southeast ........................................... | 94 | 2.0 | 48.2 | 3.6 |
| Central.............................................. | 94 | 1.6 | 37.2 | 3.1 |
| West ................................................. | 93 | 2.1 | 41.8 | 2.5 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |
|  | 95 | 1.6 | 42.5 | 3.0 |
|  | 94 | 1.7 | 42.0 | 2.4 |
| 1,000 or more..................................... | 92 | 2.2 | 42.6 | 3.1 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |
| City ................................................... | 92 | 2.3 | 44.0 | 3.1 |
| Urban fringe....................................... | 95 | 1.6 | 49.8 | 3.8 |
| Town................................................. | 92 | 2.0 | 36.7 | 2.4 |
| Rural................................................ | 97 | 1.2 | 37.2 | 3.9 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent............................ | 94 | 1.2 | 40.1 | 2.4 |
| 35 to 49 percent.................................. | 89 | 3.4 | 38.4 | 3.8 |
| 50 to 74 percent.................................. | 95 | 1.2 | 50.0 | 5.6 |
| 75 percent or more .............................. | 97 | 0.9 | 46.4 | 3.5 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent.............................. | 94 | 1.6 | 34.7 | 2.2 |
| 6 to 20 percent .................................... | 97 | 1.6 | 48.0 | 4.6 |
| 21 to 49 percent.................................. | 91 | 2.6 | 42.4 | 3.6 |
| 50 percent or more .............................. | 94 | 1.6 | 44.2 | 2.6 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10....................................... | 89 | 2.5 | 38.2 | 2.4 |
| 10 to 20............................................. | 96 | 1.6 | 41.8 | 2.6 |
| 21 or more......................................... | 97 | 1.0 | 46.8 | 3.7 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{4}$............................. | 97 | 1.4 | 47.6 | 3.2 |
| Mathematics....................................... | 93 | 3.0 | 35.9 | 2.9 |
| Science.............................................. | 91 | 3.8 | 46.5 | 6.1 |
| Social studies ..................................... | 94 | 3.2 | 30.9 | 2.9 |
| English/language arts ........................... | 92 | 3.2 | 37.6 | 3.4 |

${ }^{1}$ Mean is based only on those teachers who reported that they participated in professional development during the period September 1, 1994, through August 31, 1995.
${ }^{2}$ Data for combined schools are not reported as a separate instructional level because there are very few in the sample. Data for combined schools are included in the totals and in analyses by other school characteristics.
${ }^{3}$ This table does not show the additional response category-other (specify)-that was included on the questionnaire.
${ }^{4}$ The teacher is responsible for teaching all or most academic subjects to one class.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Public School Teacher Survey on

Table B-7.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that they attended specific types of professional development activities, and the percent reporting that information on high standards was a focus of the activity attended, by school characteristics: 1996

| School characteristic | Inservice workshop or program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Attended ${ }^{1}$ |  | Information on high standards presented ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Major focus |  | Not major focus, but information provided |  | No information provided |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ................................. | 97 | 0.5 | 41 | 1.9 | 46 | 1.9 | 13 | 1.2 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ........................ | 98 | 0.5 | 45 | 3.4 | 46 | 3.3 | 9 | 1.9 |
| Middle school | 96 | 1.1 | 34 | 3.2 | 49 | 2.8 | 18 | 2.3 |
| High school ................................ | 95 | 1.3 | 42 | 3.3 | 44 | 3.7 | 14 | 2.6 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast ................................... | 95 | 1.1 | 41 | 4.7 | 47 | 4.0 | 12 | 2.3 |
| Southeast | 97 | 1.2 | 40 | 3.4 | 47 | 3.5 | 13 | 2.5 |
| Central. | 97 | 1.2 | 43 | 3.7 | 46 | 4.5 | 11 | 2.5 |
| West | 98 | 0.7 | 39 | 4.4 | 46 | 3.2 | 14 | 2.4 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 ............................. | 98 | 0.6 | 46 | 3.7 | 46 | 3.7 | 8 | 1.8 |
| 500 to 999 .................................. | 97 | 0.8 | 39 | 3.5 | 48 | 2.7 | 14 | 1.7 |
| 1,000 or more.............................. | 95 | 1.4 | 38 | 4.0 | 46 | 3.7 | 16 | 3.3 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City........................................ | 96 | 1.1 | 44 | 3.3 | 48 | 3.2 | 8 | 2.1 |
| Urban fringe............................... | 98 | 0.9 | 42 | 4.3 | 46 | 3.7 | 12 | 2.1 |
| Town........................................ | 97 | 0.9 | 36 | 3.2 | 47 | 3.4 | 17 | 2.6 |
| Rural........................................ | 95 | 1.8 | 41 | 5.0 | 46 | 5.5 | 13 | 3.2 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent..................... | 96 | 0.9 | 37 | 2.9 | 48 | 2.7 | 14 | 2.0 |
| 35 to 49 percent........................ | 97 | 1.2 | 42 | 5.2 | 46 | 5.0 | 11 | 2.7 |
| 50 to 74 percent........................... | 98 | 0.7 | 41 | 5.3 | 45 | 4.1 | 13 | 2.6 |
| 75 percent or more ....................... | 97 | 1.2 | 49 | 3.6 | 42 | 4.0 | 8 | 1.5 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent....................... | 96 | 1.2 | 34 | 4.1 | 52 | 4.6 | 14 | 2.7 |
| 6 to 20 percent ............................ | 98 | 0.7 | 44 | 4.5 | 40 | 4.2 | 16 | 3.1 |
| 21 to 49 percent.. | 97 | 1.3 | 40 | 5.0 | 49 | 4.4 | 11 | 2.2 |
| 50 percent or more ....................... | 96 | 1.2 | 46 | 2.9 | 44 | 2.9 | 10 | 1.7 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10............................... | 96 | 1.1 | 42 | 2.9 | 44 | 2.9 | 13 | 2.1 |
| 10 to 20..... | 97 | 0.9 | 33 | 3.0 | 52 | 3.7 | 14 | 2.3 |
| 21 or more.................................. | 97 | 0.9 | 47 | 3.1 | 42 | 2.6 | 11 | 2.1 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{5}$..................... | 98 | 0.6 | 45 | 3.7 | 44 | 3.7 | 12 | 2.3 |
| Mathematics... | 97 | 1.7 | 43 | 5.2 | 46 | 5.0 | 10 | 3.5 |
| Science... | 94 | 3.1 | 40 | 6.0 | 49 | 6.9 | 11 | 4.1 |
| Social studies .............................. | 96 | 1.6 | 34 | 5.5 | 59 | 5.6 | 7 | 2.8 |
| English/language arts ................... | 96 | 1.9 | 32 | 4.6 | 48 | 5.4 | 20 | 4.7 |

Table B-7.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that they attended specific types of professional development activities and the percent reporting that information on high standards was a focus of the activity attended, by school characteristics: 1996 (continued)

| School characteristic | District or school-based long-term or ongoing comprehensiveprofessional development program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Attended ${ }^{1}$ |  | Information on high standards presented ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Major focus |  | Not major focus, but information provided |  | No information provided |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ................................ | 71 | 2.0 | 47 | 2.9 | 46 | 3.0 | 7 | 1.2 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ........................ | 75 | 2.7 | 50 | 4.6 | 44 | 4.5 | 6 | 1.9 |
| Middle school ............................. | 68 | 2.7 | 44 | 3.1 | 47 | 3.3 | 10 | 1.9 |
| High school................................ | 68 | 3.0 | 47 | 3.8 | 46 | 4.1 | 7 | 2.3 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast ................................... | 68 | 3.5 | 43 | 4.7 | 50 | 5.8 | 7 | 2.5 |
| Southeast | 70 | 3.6 | 48 | 4.7 | 47 | 4.6 | 5 | 1.7 |
| Central. | 70 | 4.6 | 49 | 5.9 | 46 | 5.7 | 5 | 1.8 |
| West ......................................... | 75 | 3.8 | 48 | 6.3 | 42 | 5.1 | 11 | 2.7 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 .............................. | 71 | 3.2 | 52 | 5.1 | 43 | 5.1 | 5 | 1.8 |
| 500 to 999 ................................. | 72 | 2.7 | 43 | 4.5 | 49 | 4.1 | 8 | 2.0 |
| 1,000 or more ............................. | 69 | 3.4 | 48 | 4.9 | 45 | 5.0 | 8 | 2.3 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City .......................................... | 69 | 4.0 | 54 | 3.9 | 42 | 3.8 | 4 | 1.3 |
| Urban fringe............................... | 73 | 3.9 | 46 | 6.4 | 42 | 5.8 | 12 | 2.8 |
| Town.. | 70 | 4.2 | 46 | 4.8 | 46 | 4.2 | 8 | 2.0 |
| Rural......................................... | 71 | 3.8 | 42 | 5.7 | 55 | 5.9 | 4 | 2.0 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent..................... | 70 | 3.0 | 42 | 4.8 | 48 | 4.6 | 9 | 2.1 |
| 35 to 49 percent........................ | 79 | 3.9 | 48 | 5.2 | 45 | 5.1 | 8 | 2.6 |
| 50 to 74 percent........................... | 65 | 4.2 | 49 | 7.2 | 48 | 7.0 | 2 | 1.1 |
| 75 percent or more ....................... | 72 | 3.1 | 59 | 5.3 | 36 | 5.2 | 4 | 1.2 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent....................... | 65 | 3.5 | 43 | 6.5 | 50 | 6.4 | 7 | 2.6 |
| 6 to 20 percent ........................... | 73 | 4.1 | 47 | 6.6 | 44 | 6.3 | 9 | 3.0 |
| 21 to 49 percent........................... | 76 | 4.3 | 42 | 4.9 | 51 | 5.2 | 6 | 2.2 |
| 50 percent or more ....................... | 70 | 2.5 | 58 | 4.1 | 36 | 4.0 | 5 | 1.2 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10............................... | 65 | 3.1 | 48 | 4.3 | 47 | 4.2 | 5 | 1.9 |
| 10 to 20........ | 69 | 3.2 | 42 | 4.4 | 51 | 5.0 | 7 | 2.1 |
| 21 or more................................ | 78 | 2.5 | 51 | 4.9 | 41 | 4.7 | 8 | 2.4 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{5}$..................... | 75 | 3.4 | 51 | 5.3 | 43 | 5.3 | 6 | 2.3 |
| Mathematics............................... | 67 | 5.5 | 44 | 6.8 | 45 | 6.6 | 11 | 4.0 |
| Science...................................... | 65 | 7.1 | 50 | 8.3 | 44 | 9.0 | 6 | 4.0 |
| Social studies .............................. | 72 | 4.2 | 46 | 7.1 | 49 | 7.1 | 5 | 3.2 |
| English/language arts ................... | 70 | 5.5 | 42 | 5.7 | 46 | 6.1 | 11 | 3.0 |

Table B-7.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that they attended specific types of professional development activities and the percent reporting that information on high standards was a focus of the activity attended, by school characteristics: 1996 (continued)

| School characteristic | Professional teacher association meeting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Attended ${ }^{1}$ |  | Information on high standards presented ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Major focus |  | Not major focus, but information provided |  | No information provided |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ................................ | 54 | 1.9 | 22 | 2.4 | 60 | 2.7 | 17 | 2.1 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ........................ | 56 | 3.6 | 21 | 4.2 | 62 | 4.7 | 16 | 3.8 |
| Middle school ............................. | 51 | 3.3 | 24 | 3.2 | 56 | 4.4 | 20 | 3.3 |
| High school................................ | 55 | 3.8 | 23 | 3.7 | 61 | 4.4 | 15 | 3.7 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast ................................... | 62 | 4.1 | 22 | 5.2 | 61 | 5.6 | 16 | 3.4 |
| Southeast ................................... | 51 | 4.4 | 28 | 3.5 | 60 | 3.9 | 12 | 3.0 |
| Central...................................... | 55 | 4.2 | 27 | 5.7 | 56 | 6.8 | 18 | 4.5 |
| West ........................................ | 49 | 3.8 | 13 | 3.1 | 64 | 5.7 | 23 | 5.3 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 .............................. | 53 | 3.5 | 21 | 5.0 | 59 | 6.0 | 19 | 4.6 |
| 500 to 999 .................................. | 54 | 2.9 | 20 | 3.3 | 62 | 3.4 | 18 | 2.8 |
| 1,000 or more............................. | 55 | 4.2 | 28 | 4.2 | 59 | 4.7 | 13 | 3.6 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City .......................................... | 52 | 3.8 | 28 | 3.9 | 63 | 4.4 | 9 | 2.3 |
| Urban fringe. | 58 | 2.9 | 24 | 5.4 | 57 | 5.9 | 18 | 4.0 |
| Town........................................ | 54 | 3.2 | 16 | 3.9 | 58 | 6.4 | 26 | 6.8 |
| Rural........................................ | 50 | 5.2 | 23 | 6.0 | 64 | 6.4 | 13 | 4.0 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent..................... | 56 | 3.3 | 21 | 3.6 | 59 | 4.0 | 19 | 3.2 |
| 35 to 49 percent........................... | 52 | 5.5 | 22 | 5.3 | 61 | 8.4 | 16 | 8.3 |
| 50 to 74 percent........................... | 54 | 5.2 | 19 | 3.6 | 66 | 5.8 | 15 | 3.6 |
| 75 percent or more ...................... | 50 | 3.7 | 31 | 5.4 | 57 | 6.9 | 12 | 3.6 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent....................... | 58 | 4.1 | 25 | 5.6 | 52 | 4.8 | 24 | 4.0 |
| 6 to 20 percent ............................ | 50 | 4.5 | 17 | 3.9 | 72 | 6.0 | 11 | 3.8 |
| 21 to 49 percent.......................... | 55 | 4.6 | 19 | 4.9 | 61 | 7.4 | 20 | 6.2 |
| 50 percent or more ....................... | 51 | 2.7 | 30 | 4.2 | 58 | 4.7 | 11 | 2.1 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10............................... | 39 | 3.6 | 22 | 4.4 | 60 | 5.4 | 18 | 4.4 |
| 10 to 20..................................... | 60 | 3.5 | 22 | 3.7 | 64 | 4.6 | 14 | 2.8 |
| 21 or more................................. | 61 | 3.6 | 23 | 3.4 | 56 | 4.2 | 20 | 3.8 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{5}$..................... | 56 | 3.6 | 18 | 4.6 | 64 | 6.0 | 19 | 5.3 |
| Mathematics............................... | 44 | 5.7 | 28 | 8.2 | 55 | 9.1 | 17 | 6.2 |
| Science...................................... | 56 | 6.7 | 31 | 7.6 | 64 | 8.1 | 5 | 2.6 |
| Social studies .............................. | 47 | 6.6 | 24 | 7.1 | 59 | 8.7 | 18 | 6.8 |
| English/language arts .................... | 46 | 5.8 | 18 | 4.4 | 60 | 6.9 | 23 | 5.7 |

Table B-7.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that they attended specific types of professional development activities and the percent reporting that information on high standards was a focus of the activity attended, by school characteristics: 1996 (continued)

| School characteristic | Summer institute (which may have included followup activities) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Attended ${ }^{1}$ |  | Information on high standards presented ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Major focus |  | Not major focus, but information provided |  | No information provided |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ................................. | 36 | 1.6 | 45 | 3.8 | 45 | 3.7 | 10 | 1.9 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school. | 39 | 3.2 | 50 | 6.3 | 42 | 6.3 | 8 | 2.6 |
| Middle school ... | 33 | 2.8 | 36 | 5.4 | 48 | 5.5 | 16 | 3.6 |
| High school................................ | 36 | 3.4 | 46 | 6.4 | 46 | 6.0 | 8 | 3.1 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast . | 32 | 4.5 | 43 | 7.8 | 48 | 7.4 | 9 | 3.4 |
| Southeast | 42 | 3.9 | 56 | 6.1 | 36 | 5.4 | 8 | 2.8 |
| Central... | 37 | 4.0 | 36 | 8.5 | 55 | 9.5 | 9 | 3.8 |
| West | 34 | 3.7 | 42 | 7.9 | 45 | 7.7 | 12 | 4.0 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 ........................... | 35 | 2.8 | 47 | 5.6 | 44 | 5.6 | 9 | 2.4 |
| 500 to 999 .. | 39 | 2.7 | 41 | 5.4 | 49 | 5.6 | 10 | 2.8 |
| 1,000 or more.. | 33 | 3.7 | 50 | 7.5 | 39 | 5.6 | 11 | 3.5 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City.......................................... | 37 | 3.9 | 60 | 6.5 | 36 | 5.8 | 4 | 1.6 |
| Urban fringe............................... | 38 | 3.4 | 43 | 7.3 | 48 | 8.0 | 9 | 5.2 |
| Town.. | 35 | 3.2 | 46 | 7.5 | 38 | 6.6 | 16 | 3.7 |
| Rural..................................... | 34 | 3.4 | 28 | 6.2 | 62 | 7.4 | 10 | 4.4 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent..................... | 36 | 2.5 | 37 | 5.7 | 49 | 6.2 | 13 | 3.6 |
| 35 to 49 percent. | 40 | 5.1 | 51 | 10.2 | 43 | 9.6 | 5 | 2.6 |
| 50 to 74 percent........................... | 36 | 4.3 | 48 | 7.3 | 46 | 7.1 | 6 | 2.3 |
| 75 percent or more ....................... | 32 | 3.5 | 61 | 4.4 | 33 | 4.2 | 7 | 2.8 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent...................... | 36 | 4.0 | 35 | 9.8 | 55 | 10.5 | 10 | 3.3 |
| 6 to 20 percent | 36 | 4.0 | 42 | 6.8 | 47 | 6.1 | 11 | 4.7 |
| 21 to 49 percent........................... | 36 | 4.8 | 47 | 7.9 | 44 | 7.0 | 9 | 3.5 |
| 50 percent or more ....................... | 35 | 2.6 | 59 | 3.7 | 35 | 3.4 | 6 | 1.7 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10........... | 33 | 2.5 | 37 | 5.3 | 50 | 5.7 | 13 | 2.8 |
| 10 to 20....... | 37 | 2.6 | 43 | 5.7 | 48 | 5.7 | 8 | 2.8 |
| 21 or more.. | 38 | 3.6 | 53 | 6.5 | 39 | 5.4 | 8 | 4.4 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{5}$..................... | 42 | 3.6 | 50 | 5.9 | 41 | 6.0 | 9 | 2.8 |
| Mathematics............................... | 33 | 4.9 | 58 | 9.7 | 38 | 9.4 | 4 | 2.8 |
| Science.. | 43 | 7.3 | 36 | 10.8 | 49 | 9.9 | 15 | 7.0 |
| Social studies .............................. | 27 | 5.5 | 54 | 13.1 | 43 | 12.8 | 3 | 2.9 |
| English/language arts ................... | 32 | 3.8 | 45 | 8.4 | 40 | 8.6 | 15 | 6.3 |

${ }^{1}$ Percents are based on public school teachers participating in professional development during the period September 1994 through August $1995-94$ percent of all teachers.
${ }^{2}$ Percents are based on teachers who attended the activity.
${ }^{3}$ Data for combined schools are not reported as a separate instructional level because there are very few in the sample. Data for combined schools are included in the totals and in analyses by other school characteristics.
${ }^{4}$ This table does not show the additional response category-other (specify)-that was included on the questionnaire.
${ }^{5}$ The teacher is responsible for teaching all or most academic subjects to one class.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Public School Teacher Survey on

Table B-8.-Percent of public school teachers describing the school-sponsored or supported professional development activities they attended during the period from September 1, 1994, through August 31, 1995, according to selected criteria, by school characteristics: 1996

| School characteristic | Planned according to school needs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Great extent |  | Moderate extent |  | Small extent |  | Not at all |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers...................... | 41 | 2.1 | 41 | 2.0 | 16 | 1.4 | 2 | 0.6 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ............. | 48 | 3.7 | 40 | 3.6 | 10 | 2.1 | 1 | 1.0 |
| Middle school ................... | 40 | 3.5 | 42 | 3.1 | 15 | 2.3 | 3 | 0.8 |
| High school...................... | 31 | 4.2 | 43 | 2.9 | 23 | 3.1 | 3 | 1.0 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast ......................... | 34 | 5.3 | 46 | 4.3 | 18 | 3.7 | 2 | 0.8 |
| Southeast ......................... | 46 | 4.4 | 35 | 3.7 | 17 | 3.4 | 2 | 0.8 |
| Central............................ | 38 | 4.0 | 44 | 4.4 | 14 | 2.5 | 4 | 2.1 |
| West ............................... | 44 | 3.8 | 40 | 3.9 | 14 | 3.4 | 1 | 0.4 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 ................... | 43 | 4.4 | 38 | 4.2 | 16 | 3.1 | 2 | 1.3 |
| 500 to 999....................... | 44 | 3.1 | 40 | 2.4 | 15 | 1.9 | 2 | 0.6 |
| 1,000 or more................... | 33 | 3.3 | 47 | 4.2 | 17 | 2.4 | 3 | 1.2 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City................................ | 44 | 3.4 | 40 | 2.8 | 14 | 2.0 | 2 | 0.6 |
| Urban fringe..................... | 39 | 4.1 | 46 | 4.2 | 12 | 2.6 | 3 | 1.1 |
| Town.............................. | 36 | 3.4 | 40 | 3.5 | 21 | 4.0 | 3 | 1.6 |
| Rural.............................. | 46 | 6.2 | 37 | 4.8 | 17 | 3.1 | (+) | 0.2 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent.......... | 39 | 3.3 | 44 | 3.1 | 15 | 2.1 | 2 | 0.9 |
| 35 to 49 percent................ | 36 | 6.3 | 37 | 6.0 | 25 | 5.3 | 1 | 1.0 |
| 50 to 74 percent................ | 44 | 4.2 | 38 | 3.7 | 14 | 2.7 | 3 | 1.0 |
| 75 percent or more ............ | 47 | 2.5 | 39 | 3.5 | 11 | 2.2 | 3 | 1.0 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent............ | 34 | 4.8 | 42 | 3.8 | 20 | 3.6 | 4 | 1.9 |
| 6 to 20 percent .................. | 44 | 4.0 | 41 | 4.4 | 14 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.5 |
| 21 to 49 percent................ | 47 | 4.1 | 37 | 4.1 | 15 | 3.1 | 1 | 0.4 |
| 50 percent or more ............. | 39 | 2.5 | 45 | 3.0 | 12 | 1.7 | 4 | 1.1 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10..................... | 37 | 2.6 | 42 | 3.1 | 19 | 2.3 | 2 | 0.6 |
| 10 to 20.......................... | 40 | 3.9 | 41 | 4.0 | 16 | 2.7 | 3 | 1.4 |
| 21 or more........................ | 45 | 3.4 | 41 | 3.8 | 12 | 2.6 | 2 | 0.6 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$.......... | 47 | 3.6 | 41 | 3.9 | 11 | 1.8 | 2 | 1.2 |
| Mathematics..................... | 40 | 5.8 | 42 | 5.9 | 16 | 3.5 | 2 | 0.8 |
| Science............................ | 35 | 5.8 | 38 | 6.5 | 26 | 6.4 | 1 | 0.8 |
| Social studies ................... | 33 | 5.4 | 47 | 5.9 | 17 | 4.5 | 3 | 1.2 |
| English/language arts ......... | 43 | 5.1 | 46 | 4.8 | 9 | 2.4 | 2 | 1.0 |

Table B-8.--Percent of public school teachers describing the school-sponsored or supported
professional development activities they attended during the period from
September 1, 1994, through August 31, 1995, according to selected criteria,
by school characteristics: 1996 (continued)

| School characteristic | Provided opportunities to share information with colleagues at your school |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Great extent |  | Moderate extent |  | Small extent |  | Not at all |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ...................... | 30 | 2.3 | 35 | 2.3 | 26 | 1.8 | 8 | 1.1 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ............. | 39 | 3.6 | 35 | 3.4 | 20 | 3.0 | 6 | 1.7 |
| Middle school .................. | 26 | 2.3 | 38 | 2.6 | 27 | 2.8 | 9 | 1.8 |
| High school..................... | 21 | 3.2 | 34 | 3.4 | 32 | 3.2 | 12 | 1.6 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast ...................... | 25 | 3.9 | 39 | 5.0 | 29 | 3.9 | 6 | 1.4 |
| Southeast ........................ | 32 | 3.6 | 37 | 3.7 | 23 | 3.0 | 8 | 3.0 |
| Central.......................... | 38 | 4.6 | 30 | 4.4 | 22 | 4.2 | 10 | 2.8 |
| West .............................. | 27 | 3.7 | 34 | 4.3 | 29 | 3.4 | 9 | 2.2 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 ................... | 34 | 3.4 | 31 | 3.4 | 26 | 3.2 | 9 | 2.5 |
| 500 to 999 ....................... | 31 | 3.1 | 39 | 3.3 | 25 | 2.6 | 5 | 1.2 |
| 1,000 or more................... | 26 | 3.3 | 34 | 2.8 | 29 | 3.4 | 12 | 2.0 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City................................ | 35 | 3.3 | 33 | 3.4 | 24 | 2.7 | 8 | 2.1 |
| Urban fringe..................... | 33 | 4.8 | 31 | 4.3 | 28 | 3.6 | 9 | 2.0 |
| Town.............................. | 24 | 3.3 | 39 | 3.5 | 26 | 3.2 | 11 | 3.5 |
| Rural.............................. | 29 | 4.2 | 39 | 5.5 | 27 | 3.5 | 6 | 1.7 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent.......... | 27 | 3.4 | 36 | 3.3 | 28 | 2.8 | 10 | 1.6 |
| 35 to 49 percent................ | 28 | 4.7 | 35 | 4.6 | 28 | 4.0 | 9 | 3.6 |
| 50 to 74 percent................ | 33 | 5.1 | 36 | 4.4 | 23 | 3.4 | 8 | 2.2 |
| 75 percent or more ............ | 41 | 4.8 | 31 | 4.6 | 22 | 2.6 | 5 | 1.5 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent............ | 30 | 5.5 | 32 | 4.0 | 31 | 4.2 | 7 | 2.3 |
| 6 to 20 percent ................. | 32 | 3.5 | 31 | 3.5 | 23 | 3.6 | 14 | 2.7 |
| 21 to 49 percent................ | 26 | 4.2 | 41 | 3.8 | 26 | 3.6 | 6 | 2.1 |
| 50 percent or more ............ | 34 | 3.8 | 34 | 3.5 | 25 | 2.6 | 7 | 1.4 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10.................... | 33 | 3.8 | 33 | 3.3 | 25 | 2.4 | 8 | 2.0 |
| 10 to 20........................... | 29 | 3.4 | 35 | 2.8 | 29 | 3.1 | 7 | 1.6 |
| 21 or more....................... | 30 | 3.1 | 36 | 3.7 | 24 | 3.2 | 10 | 2.4 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$.......... | 39 | 3.8 | 34 | 3.9 | 20 | 3.6 | 7 | 2.1 |
| Mathematics.................... | 27 | 5.2 | 39 | 4.8 | 29 | 4.6 | 5 | 2.0 |
| Science........................... | 27 | 5.2 | 27 | 7.1 | 31 | 6.2 | 15 | 4.3 |
| Social studies ................... | 22 | 5.5 | 41 | 5.6 | 29 | 5.0 | 7 | 2.8 |
| English/language arts ......... | 21 | 4.5 | 43 | 5.3 | 25 | 4.6 | 11 | 3.2 |


| School characteristic | Useful for helping students achieve to high standards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Great extent |  | Moderate extent |  | Small extent |  | Not at all |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers...................... | 29 | 2.1 | 45 | 2.0 | 22 | 1.8 | 5 | 0.7 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ............. | 37 | 3.8 | 46 | 3.3 | 14 | 2.5 | 3 | 1.0 |
| Middle school .................. | 24 | 2.4 | 45 | 2.6 | 25 | 2.5 | 6 | 1.2 |
| High school...................... | 20 | 3.2 | 43 | 3.6 | 29 | 3.6 | 7 | 1.6 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast ........................ | 20 | 2.9 | 49 | 3.9 | 25 | 3.8 | 6 | 1.8 |
| Southeast ......................... | 38 | 3.9 | 36 | 2.7 | 21 | 3.2 | 5 | 1.0 |
| Central............................ | 31 | 4.4 | 45 | 5.0 | 19 | 3.2 | 5 | 2.0 |
| West ............................... | 25 | 3.9 | 49 | 4.3 | 22 | 3.9 | 4 | 1.2 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 ................... | 26 | 2.7 | 49 | 3.3 | 21 | 3.6 | 4 | 1.5 |
|  | 33 | 3.6 | 43 | 3.2 | 18 | 2.5 | 6 | 1.1 |
| 1,000 or more................... | 25 | 3.4 | 43 | 4.0 | 27 | 3.1 | 4 | 1.2 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City ................................ | 37 | 4.5 | 38 | 3.4 | 21 | 3.1 | 4 | 1.1 |
| Urban fringe..................... | 26 | 3.7 | 50 | 4.4 | 19 | 3.1 | 4 | 1.4 |
| Town.............................. | 22 | 3.0 | 44 | 3.9 | 26 | 4.4 | 8 | 2.2 |
| Rural.............................. | 30 | 5.0 | 47 | 4.7 | 19 | 3.1 | 4 | 1.5 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent.......... | 27 | 3.1 | 48 | 3.2 | 21 | 2.2 | 4 | 1.1 |
| 35 to 49 percent................ | 22 | 5.8 | 39 | 4.8 | 32 | 5.4 | 6 | 2.3 |
| 50 to 74 percent................ | 29 | 3.7 | 46 | 4.1 | 19 | 2.7 | 7 | 1.6 |
| 75 percent or more ............ | 40 | 3.2 | 39 | 3.1 | 16 | 2.6 | 4 | 1.2 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent............ | 22 | 3.9 | 45 | 3.9 | 27 | 4.0 | 5 | 2.0 |
| 6 to 20 percent ................. | 27 | 3.6 | 46 | 4.0 | 21 | 3.5 | 5 | 1.6 |
| 21 to 49 percent................ | 31 | 4.6 | 45 | 4.3 | 20 | 3.2 | 4 | 1.3 |
| 50 percent or more ............ | 34 | 2.7 | 42 | 3.4 | 19 | 2.2 | 5 | 1.2 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10..................... | 22 | 2.7 | 47 | 3.2 | 25 | 2.9 | 6 | 1.6 |
| 10 to 20........................... | 30 | 3.4 | 45 | 3.0 | 20 | 2.6 | 5 | 1.5 |
| 21 or more....................... | 33 | 3.5 | 43 | 4.0 | 20 | 2.5 | 4 | 1.0 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$.......... | 37 | 3.8 | 44 | 3.6 | 15 | 3.1 | 3 | 1.3 |
| Mathematics.................... | 31 | 6.2 | 39 | 5.1 | 21 | 3.7 | 9 | 3.1 |
| Science........................... | 22 | 5.3 | 45 | 5.3 | 24 | 6.2 | 10 | 3.5 |
| Social studies ................... | 20 | 5.1 | 50 | 6.4 | 26 | 5.1 | 3 | 1.4 |
| English/language arts ......... | 33 | 4.7 | 44 | 4.9 | 19 | 3.6 | 4 | 1.4 |


| School characteristic | Aligned with high standards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Great extent |  | Moderate extent |  | Small extent |  | Not at all |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers...................... | 28 | 1.9 | 45 | 1.8 | 23 | 1.7 | 5 | 0.7 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ............. | 34 | 3.4 | 47 | 3.4 | 15 | 3.1 | 3 | 1.1 |
| Middle school ................... | 26 | 2.0 | 42 | 2.9 | 25 | 2.6 | 7 | 1.2 |
| High school..................... | 22 | 3.3 | 43 | 3.5 | 30 | 3.1 | 5 | 1.2 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast ........................ | 25 | 3.8 | 41 | 3.7 | 28 | 4.0 | 5 | 1.2 |
| Southeast ......................... | 35 | 4.3 | 39 | 3.0 | 21 | 3.2 | 4 | 1.1 |
| Central............................ | 26 | 3.8 | 50 | 4.4 | 19 | 2.8 | 5 | 2.2 |
| West ............................... | 26 | 3.2 | 48 | 3.7 | 22 | 3.9 | 4 | 1.0 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 ................... | 30 | 3.6 | 44 | 3.9 | 22 | 3.6 | 5 | 1.6 |
| 500 to $999 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 28 | 3.1 | 45 | 2.8 | 22 | 2.5 | 5 | 0.9 |
| 1,000 or more................... | 27 | 3.8 | 44 | 3.8 | 24 | 2.5 | 5 | 1.2 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City ................................ | 32 | 4.3 | 44 | 4.1 | 19 | 3.1 | 4 | 1.0 |
| Urban fringe..................... | 30 | 3.8 | 45 | 3.7 | 20 | 2.6 | 4 | 1.1 |
| Town.............................. | 25 | 3.0 | 38 | 3.6 | 30 | 3.8 | 6 | 2.0 |
| Rural.............................. | 23 | 4.6 | 52 | 4.5 | 22 | 3.6 | 4 | 1.2 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent.......... | 26 | 2.9 | 46 | 3.1 | 24 | 2.0 | 4 | 1.0 |
| 35 to 49 percent................ | 22 | 6.1 | 50 | 5.8 | 24 | 4.9 | 4 | 1.4 |
| 50 to 74 percent................ | 31 | 4.0 | 40 | 3.4 | 21 | 3.6 | 9 | 1.7 |
| 75 percent or more ............ | 37 | 3.5 | 40 | 3.2 | 19 | 2.2 | 4 | 1.2 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent............ | 21 | 3.7 | 46 | 5.3 | 26 | 3.6 | 6 | 2.2 |
| 6 to 20 percent .................. | 27 | 3.8 | 46 | 3.9 | 23 | 3.1 | 4 | 1.4 |
| 21 to 49 percent................ | 33 | 4.3 | 43 | 4.1 | 21 | 4.0 | 3 | 0.9 |
| 50 percent or more ............ | 30 | 2.2 | 43 | 2.6 | 20 | 1.8 | 6 | 1.1 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10..................... | 25 | 3.0 | 47 | 3.7 | 24 | 2.8 | 4 | 1.0 |
| 10 to 20........................... | 29 | 2.9 | 42 | 3.0 | 24 | 3.0 | 5 | 1.4 |
| 21 or more..................... | 29 | 3.1 | 45 | 3.5 | 21 | 2.6 | 5 | 1.2 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$.......... | 35 | 3.7 | 47 | 4.1 | 14 | 3.4 | 4 | 1.3 |
| Mathematics..................... | 30 | 5.6 | 44 | 5.3 | 24 | 3.8 | 3 | 1.3 |
| Science............................ | 31 | 4.8 | 32 | 5.1 | 31 | 6.0 | 7 | 3.4 |
| Social studies ................... | 24 | 4.4 | 45 | 5.4 | 26 | 5.7 | 5 | 3.0 |
| English/language arts ......... | 25 | 4.2 | 49 | 5.0 | 21 | 4.0 | 5 | 1.6 |


| School characteristic | Provided strategies to apply in the classroom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Great extent |  | Moderate extent |  | Small extent |  | Not at all |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers...................... | 29 | 1.9 | 40 | 1.8 | 24 | 1.9 | 7 | 1.2 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ............. | 41 | 3.8 | 40 | 3.3 | 16 | 2.7 | 3 | 1.1 |
| Middle school ................... | 19 | 2.1 | 44 | 2.6 | 29 | 2.7 | 8 | 1.5 |
| High school..................... | 19 | 3.4 | 38 | 3.6 | 29 | 3.5 | 14 | 2.5 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast ........................ | 26 | 3.9 | 39 | 4.8 | 29 | 4.9 | 6 | 1.5 |
| Southeast ......................... | 37 | 3.6 | 40 | 4.1 | 17 | 2.8 | 7 | 1.9 |
| Central............................ | 27 | 4.9 | 37 | 3.9 | 26 | 3.6 | 9 | 2.7 |
| West ............................... | 24 | 4.1 | 45 | 3.9 | 23 | 3.8 | 7 | 1.9 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 ................... | 28 | 3.9 | 44 | 3.7 | 22 | 3.3 | 7 | 1.8 |
| 500 to 999....................... | 34 | 3.2 | 38 | 2.7 | 23 | 2.4 | 5 | 1.2 |
| 1,000 or more................... | 21 | 2.5 | 40 | 3.9 | 27 | 3.4 | 11 | 2.7 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City ................................ | 34 | 3.9 | 39 | 3.0 | 20 | 3.0 | 6 | 1.7 |
| Urban fringe..................... | 29 | 4.0 | 38 | 3.6 | 26 | 3.1 | 8 | 2.0 |
| Town.............................. | 24 | 2.5 | 38 | 3.1 | 30 | 4.0 | 9 | 2.4 |
| Rural.............................. | 26 | 5.6 | 49 | 4.3 | 17 | 3.2 | 7 | 1.8 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent.......... | 25 | 2.9 | 40 | 2.9 | 28 | 2.9 | 7 | 1.6 |
| 35 to 49 percent................ | 24 | 5.8 | 43 | 6.0 | 25 | 5.3 | 9 | 2.6 |
| 50 to 74 percent................ | 33 | 4.7 | 41 | 4.0 | 18 | 3.1 | 8 | 2.3 |
| 75 percent or more ............ | 41 | 2.8 | 40 | 3.0 | 14 | 2.3 | 6 | 1.6 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent............ | 25 | 4.7 | 38 | 3.1 | 26 | 3.6 | 10 | 3.0 |
| 6 to 20 percent .................. | 30 | 4.2 | 37 | 3.9 | 25 | 3.2 | 7 | 1.8 |
| 21 to 49 percent................ | 26 | 4.6 | 43 | 4.0 | 26 | 4.8 | 5 | 1.6 |
| 50 percent or more ............ | 33 | 2.3 | 42 | 2.3 | 17 | 2.3 | 8 | 1.7 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10..................... | 28 | 2.7 | 40 | 3.1 | 26 | 3.4 | 6 | 1.2 |
| 10 to 20........................... | 28 | 3.4 | 41 | 3.2 | 23 | 2.7 | 9 | 2.4 |
| 21 or more...................... | 30 | 3.2 | 40 | 3.1 | 22 | 3.2 | 7 | 1.7 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$.......... | 39 | 4.0 | 40 | 3.7 | 17 | 3.4 | 4 | 1.4 |
| Mathematics..................... | 21 | 4.6 | 44 | 5.3 | 27 | 5.7 | 8 | 2.4 |
| Science............................ | 18 | 4.4 | 39 | 6.5 | 33 | 5.7 | 10 | 4.7 |
| Social studies ................... | 17 | 4.6 | 52 | 6.2 | 22 | 4.8 | 9 | 3.5 |
| English/language arts ......... | 31 | 4.8 | 43 | 4.6 | 22 | 3.3 | 4 | 1.5 |


| School characteristic | Ongoing, integrated professional development program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Great extent |  | Moderate extent |  | Small extent |  | Not at all |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers...................... | 26 | 1.9 | 40 | 2.0 | 23 | 1.9 | 11 | 1.3 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ............. | 34 | 3.8 | 40 | 3.8 | 18 | 3.2 | 9 | 2.0 |
| Middle school ................... | 25 | 2.5 | 37 | 2.4 | 25 | 2.5 | 13 | 2.0 |
| High school..................... | 16 | 2.6 | 43 | 3.3 | 29 | 3.3 | 12 | 2.1 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast ........................ | 28 | 4.0 | 41 | 5.1 | 21 | 3.5 | 11 | 2.5 |
| Southeast ........................ | 25 | 3.5 | 40 | 3.9 | 21 | 3.4 | 14 | 3.2 |
| Central........................... | 31 | 5.0 | 34 | 3.9 | 25 | 5.2 | 10 | 2.1 |
| West .. | 22 | 4.3 | 44 | 3.8 | 25 | 3.8 | 9 | 2.0 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 ................... | 28 | 3.9 | 36 | 3.7 | 25 | 3.8 | 11 | 2.5 |
| 500 to $999 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 29 | 3.4 | 40 | 2.7 | 19 | 2.2 | 12 | 2.0 |
| 1,000 or more................... | 18 | 2.7 | 45 | 4.2 | 27 | 3.3 | 9 | 1.8 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City................................ | 27 | 4.1 | 41 | 3.5 | 25 | 3.0 | 7 | 1.8 |
| Urban fringe..................... | 29 | 4.7 | 41 | 4.2 | 21 | 4.0 | 8 | 2.0 |
| Town.............................. | 22 | 2.7 | 37 | 3.9 | 25 | 3.7 | 17 | 3.1 |
| Rural.............................. | 26 | 6.1 | 42 | 5.5 | 20 | 4.5 | 11 | 2.8 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent.......... | 27 | 3.0 | 38 | 2.6 | 23 | 2.8 | 11 | 1.6 |
| 35 to 49 percent................ | 23 | 4.8 | 42 | 5.0 | 26 | 5.0 | 10 | 3.6 |
| 50 to 74 percent................ | 24 | 4.0 | 40 | 3.4 | 22 | 3.4 | 14 | 2.6 |
| 75 percent or more ............ | 29 | 3.0 | 43 | 3.6 | 20 | 2.0 | 8 | 1.7 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent............ | 24 | 4.7 | 36 | 3.6 | 24 | 4.1 | 15 | 2.7 |
| 6 to 20 percent ................. | 29 | 3.8 | 35 | 3.6 | 26 | 3.9 | 10 | 3.2 |
| 21 to 49 percent................ | 26 | 4.9 | 44 | 4.5 | 20 | 4.3 | 10 | 2.4 |
| 50 percent or more ............ | 25 | 2.5 | 45 | 3.2 | 22 | 1.8 | 9 | 1.5 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10..................... | 22 | 3.4 | 42 | 3.4 | 21 | 2.3 | 14 | 2.2 |
| 10 to 20........................... | 27 | 3.2 | 39 | 3.3 | 24 | 2.8 | 10 | 2.1 |
| 21 or more....................... | 29 | 3.0 | 39 | 3.4 | 23 | 3.0 | 9 | 2.2 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$.......... | 35 | 4.0 | 36 | 3.9 | 17 | 3.4 | 11 | 2.3 |
| Mathematics.................... | 14 | 4.4 | 50 | 5.0 | 29 | 5.3 | 7 | 1.7 |
| Science........................... | 20 | 5.4 | 35 | 5.8 | 35 | 7.2 | 11 | 5.4 |
| Social studies ................... | 20 | 4.4 | 48 | 6.1 | 25 | 5.3 | 7 | 2.8 |
| English/language arts ......... | 26 | 4.7 | 43 | 4.4 | 20 | 3.3 | 11 | 3.2 |


| School characteristic | Provided followup activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Great extent |  | Moderate extent |  | Small extent |  | Not at all |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers...................... | 17 | 1.7 | 33 | 2.1 | 34 | 2.0 | 16 | 1.4 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ............. | 25 | 3.1 | 37 | 3.4 | 28 | 3.0 | 9 | 1.9 |
| Middle school ................... | 12 | 1.8 | 32 | 2.6 | 36 | 2.4 | 19 | 2.3 |
| High school..................... | 8 | 2.3 | 27 | 3.1 | 40 | 3.4 | 24 | 3.1 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast ........................ | 16 | 3.1 | 34 | 4.2 | 35 | 3.9 | 15 | 2.7 |
| Southeast ......................... | 22 | 4.2 | 34 | 4.0 | 31 | 3.8 | 13 | 1.8 |
| Central............................ | 16 | 3.2 | 32 | 5.2 | 32 | 4.2 | 20 | 3.4 |
| West ............................... | 13 | 3.6 | 31 | 4.1 | 39 | 4.0 | 17 | 2.7 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 ................... | 15 | 3.0 | 36 | 3.4 | 33 | 3.9 | 16 | 2.6 |
|  | 21 | 2.8 | 33 | 3.0 | 31 | 2.6 | 15 | 2.0 |
| 1,000 or more................... | 12 | 2.3 | 28 | 3.3 | 42 | 4.2 | 19 | 2.4 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City ................................ | 21 | 3.2 | 36 | 3.2 | 28 | 3.3 | 15 | 2.5 |
| Urban fringe..................... | 18 | 3.8 | 30 | 4.0 | 34 | 3.5 | 17 | 2.8 |
| Town.............................. | 12 | 2.4 | 29 | 3.3 | 41 | 3.3 | 18 | 3.2 |
| Rural.............................. | 15 | 3.9 | 37 | 5.7 | 33 | 5.4 | 15 | 3.1 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent.......... | 13 | 2.5 | 31 | 3.3 | 38 | 3.2 | 17 | 2.3 |
| 35 to 49 percent................ | 14 | 4.6 | 33 | 4.9 | 39 | 5.4 | 14 | 3.5 |
| 50 to 74 percent................ | 23 | 4.7 | 34 | 3.7 | 24 | 3.1 | 19 | 2.7 |
| 75 percent or more ............ | 23 | 2.6 | 37 | 4.1 | 27 | 2.3 | 13 | 2.4 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent............ | 13 | 3.1 | 32 | 4.8 | 35 | 4.4 | 19 | 3.4 |
| 6 to 20 percent .................. | 17 | 4.2 | 34 | 3.5 | 32 | 3.4 | 17 | 3.5 |
| 21 to 49 percent................ | 18 | 3.5 | 28 | 4.0 | 39 | 4.3 | 14 | 2.8 |
| 50 percent or more ............ | 18 | 1.9 | 37 | 3.2 | 30 | 2.2 | 14 | 2.0 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10..................... | 16 | 2.6 | 30 | 3.2 | 36 | 2.6 | 18 | 2.5 |
| 10 to 20........................... | 17 | 2.7 | 34 | 3.5 | 32 | 2.8 | 17 | 2.5 |
| 21 or more..................... | 17 | 3.0 | 34 | 3.2 | 36 | 3.7 | 13 | 2.3 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$.......... | 26 | 2.9 | 36 | 3.6 | 27 | 3.4 | 11 | 2.5 |
| Mathematics..................... | 10 | 3.4 | 31 | 5.3 | 39 | 4.9 | 20 | 3.7 |
| Science............................ | 13 | 4.4 | 30 | 5.7 | 35 | 5.9 | 22 | 5.6 |
| Social studies ................... | 9 | 2.5 | 37 | 6.9 | 41 | 6.8 | 14 | 4.4 |
| English/language arts ......... | 13 | 3.7 | 38 | 5.2 | 34 | 3.8 | 15 | 3.5 |

Table B-8.-Percent of public school teachers describing the school-sponsored or supported professional development activities they attended during the period from September 1, 1994, through August 31, 1995, according to selected criteria, by school characteristics: 1996 (continued)

| School characteristic | Provided networking activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Great extent |  | Moderate extent |  | Small extent |  | Not at all |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers...................... | 10 | 1.3 | 24 | 1.6 | 30 | 1.8 | 35 | 1.8 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ............. | 13 | 2.6 | 25 | 3.1 | 29 | 3.1 | 33 | 3.1 |
| Middle school ................... | 8 | 1.5 | 23 | 2.5 | 33 | 2.5 | 36 | 2.4 |
| High school...................... | 7 | 2.2 | 24 | 3.0 | 31 | 3.2 | 38 | 3.3 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast ........................ | 10 | 2.8 | 25 | 3.8 | 31 | 3.6 | 34 | 4.1 |
| Southeast. | 14 | 3.7 | 18 | 3.0 | 35 | 4.4 | 33 | 3.2 |
| Central......................... | 8 | 2.0 | 32 | 4.7 | 26 | 4.6 | 34 | 3.6 |
| West .............................. | 8 | 2.2 | 22 | 2.8 | 30 | 4.4 | 39 | 3.4 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 ................... | 7 | 1.8 | 28 | 3.8 | 25 | 3.2 | 39 | 4.1 |
| 500 to 999 ....................... | 12 | 2.4 | 21 | 2.0 | 34 | 2.7 | 33 | 2.5 |
| 1,000 or more................... | 10 | 2.6 | 25 | 3.7 | 31 | 3.7 | 34 | 3.3 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City............................. | 15 | 3.0 | 24 | 3.6 | 32 | 3.9 | 28 | 2.9 |
| Urban fringe..................... | 12 | 2.9 | 24 | 3.5 | 29 | 4.0 | 35 | 3.9 |
| Town.............................. | 4 | 1.1 | 24 | 3.7 | 30 | 3.9 | 41 | 4.6 |
| Rural.............................. | 8 | 3.0 | 26 | 4.3 | 30 | 4.4 | 36 | 4.9 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent.......... | 9 | 2.0 | 25 | 2.8 | 31 | 2.6 | 35 | 2.6 |
| 35 to 49 percent................ | 9 | 4.6 | 24 | 3.2 | 32 | 4.5 | 35 | 4.7 |
| 50 to 74 percent................ | 12 | 3.4 | 25 | 3.5 | 26 | 4.1 | 38 | 4.1 |
| 75 percent or more ............ | 14 | 2.3 | 22 | 2.7 | 31 | 3.7 | 33 | 5.3 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent............ | 6 | 2.0 | 27 | 4.8 | 29 | 4.5 | 38 | 3.9 |
| 6 to 20 percent .................. | 11 | 2.9 | 21 | 3.6 | 32 | 4.1 | 36 | 3.2 |
| 21 to 49 percent................ | 11 | 3.0 | 27 | 4.4 | 28 | 3.8 | 33 | 3.8 |
| 50 percent or more ............ | 11 | 1.4 | 22 | 2.2 | 33 | 3.2 | 34 | 4.0 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10..................... | 10 | 2.1 | 24 | 2.8 | 33 | 2.7 | 33 | 3.5 |
| 10 to 20........................... | 11 | 1.9 | 25 | 2.6 | 32 | 2.8 | 32 | 2.8 |
| 21 or more....................... | 9 | 2.3 | 25 | 3.2 | 26 | 2.9 | 41 | 3.7 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$.......... | 13 | 2.4 | 23 | 2.8 | 27 | 2.9 | 38 | 3.0 |
| Mathematics..................... | 6 | 3.5 | 23 | 4.7 | 36 | 5.3 | 34 | 5.5 |
| Science........................... | 11 | 4.4 | 13 | 5.0 | 38 | 6.3 | 38 | 6.0 |
| Social studies ................... | 6 | 1.8 | 29 | 6.1 | 32 | 6.3 | 33 | 6.1 |
| English/language arts ......... | 7 | 2.2 | 27 | 4.4 | 34 | 4.0 | 32 | 4.0 |

${ }^{1}$ Data for combined schools are not reported as a separate instructional level because there are very few such schools in the sample. Data for combined schools are included in the totals and in analyses by other school characteristics.
${ }^{2}$ This table does not show the additional response category-other (specify)-that was included on the questionnaire.
${ }^{3}$ The teacher is responsible for teaching all or most academic subjects to one class.
NOTE: Percents are based on public school teachers participating in professional development during the period September 1994 through August 1995-94 percent of all teachers.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Public School Teacher Survey on

Table B-9.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that specific information sources were used and that they were very effective in helping the respondent to understand or use comprehensive reform strategies, by school characteristics: 1996

| School characteristics | Other teachers |  | Inservice training |  | School administrators |  | Institutes or workshops |  | School districts |  | Professional journals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers............................... | 39 | 2.1 | 37 | 2.2 | 23 | 1.9 | 38 | 2.0 | 16 | 1.7 | 26 | 2.3 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school......................... | 42 | 3.8 | 46 | 3.7 | 27 | 3.3 | 44 | 3.9 | 20 | 2.7 | 34 | 3.8 |
| Middle school............................... | 38 | 2.6 | 34 | 2.6 | 23 | 2.7 | 37 | 2.6 | 15 | 2.0 | 24 | 3.0 |
| High school .................................. | 35 | 3.1 | 28 | 3.4 | 14 | 2.0 | 29 | 3.2 | 12 | 2.3 | 17 | 2.6 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast..................................... | 33 | 4.4 | 37 | 4.9 | 21 | 3.7 | 36 | 4.5 | 16 | 3.2 | 28 | 4.6 |
| Southeast. | 41 | 3.8 | 46 | 4.6 | 29 | 3.5 | 42 | 3.6 | 22 | 3.6 | 30 | 3.8 |
| Central......................................... | 39 | 3.8 | 33 | 4.3 | 22 | 5.1 | 37 | 4.1 | 14 | 3.0 | 27 | 4.7 |
| West ........................................... | 40 | 3.9 | 33 | 2.9 | 19 | 3.3 | 35 | 3.2 | 14 | 2.6 | 19 | 2.8 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 ............................... | 36 | 4.5 | 42 | 3.7 | 21 | 3.4 | 43 | 3.6 | 16 | 2.7 | 30 | 4.8 |
| 500 to 999.................................... | 38 | 2.8 | 36 | 3.2 | 24 | 3.1 | 36 | 3.0 | 17 | 2.8 | 26 | 3.1 |
| 1,000 or more............................... | 43 | 3.4 | 33 | 3.1 | 23 | 3.1 | 33 | 3.5 | 16 | 2.3 | 20 | 3.0 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City............................................. | 40 | 3.5 | 41 | 3.9 | 29 | 3.5 | 43 | 3.6 | 21 | 4.3 | 28 | 4.3 |
| Urban fringe ................................. | 49 | 4.7 | 44 | 4.7 | 26 | 4.4 | 38 | 3.4 | 18 | 3.8 | 27 | 4.2 |
| Town .......................................... | 36 | 3.7 | 28 | 3.8 | 15 | 2.2 | 30 | 3.6 | 12 | 2.2 | 25 | 3.7 |
| Rural .......................................... | 27 | 3.8 | 36 | 4.5 | 21 | 3.7 | 39 | 6.5 | 15 | 2.5 | 24 | 5.3 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent ...................... | 37 | 3.3 | 36 | 3.0 | 21 | 2.9 | 38 | 3.0 | 14 | 2.1 | 30 | 3.3 |
| 35 to 49 percent ........................... | 35 | 5.1 | 34 | 5.0 | 18 | 4.0 | 34 | 4.5 | 17 | 4.2 | 21 | 4.8 |
| 50 to 74 percent ............................ | 44 | 3.9 | 42 | 5.2 | 30 | 4.9 | 39 | 5.0 | 22 | 4.9 | 18 | 2.9 |
| 75 percent or more ........................ | 42 | 2.3 | 41 | 2.8 | 26 | 3.7 | 40 | 3.4 | 19 | 2.5 | 27 | 3.4 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent........................ | 34 | 3.2 | 33 | 3.6 | 22 | 4.6 | 33 | 5.0 | 12 | 2.7 | 27 | 5.0 |
| 6 to 20 percent .............................. | 44 | 5.8 | 43 | 4.6 | 24 | 4.5 | 42 | 2.3 | 22 | 4.1 | 29 | 5.1 |
| 21 to 49 percent ............................ | 36 | 3.3 | 35 | 4.4 | 21 | 3.3 | 36 | 4.1 | 13 | 4.2 | 24 | 3.8 |
| 50 percent or more ........................ | 41 | 2.6 | 40 | 3.0 | 25 | 3.0 | 39 | 2.8 | 19 | 2.3 | 25 | 2.8 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10 ................................. | 47 | 3.2 | 35 | 3.1 | 23 | 3.1 | 36 | 2.6 | 15 | 2.8 | 24 | 3.0 |
| 10 to 20 ...................................... | 40 | 3.6 | 34 | 3.2 | 27 | 3.2 | 36 | 3.6 | 16 | 2.6 | 29 | 3.7 |
| 21 or more................................... | 30 | 3.5 | 43 | 4.6 | 18 | 2.4 | 40 | 3.7 | 18 | 2.8 | 25 | 3.5 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$...................... | 45 | 4.1 | 45 | 4.3 | 30 | 3.7 | 45 | 4.0 | 20 | 3.2 | 33 | 4.6 |
| Mathematics................................ | 35 | 4.4 | 24 | 4.8 | 18 | 5.0 | 29 | 4.1 | 11 | 3.4 | 13 | 3.6 |
| Science ........................................ | 32 | 4.7 | 28 | 5.6 | 13 | 4.7 | 25 | 6.0 | 16 | 4.6 | 26 | 5.7 |
| Social studies ............................... | 31 | 5.7 | 32 | 5.0 | 18 | 3.5 | 24 | 4.2 | 14 | 4.5 | 20 | 5.4 |
| English/language arts..................... | 47 | 5.1 | 38 | 4.3 | 20 | 3.5 | 45 | 5.0 | 20 | 4.0 | 28 | 4.9 |

Table B-9.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that specific information sources were used and that they were very effective in helping the respondent to understand or use comprehensive reform strategies, by school characteristics: 1996 (continued)

| School characteristics | State-developed content standards |  | State or district education conferences |  | Media |  | Professional teacher associations |  | Institutions of higher education |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers............................... | 15 | 1.4 | 28 | 2.1 | 12 | 1.5 | 20 | 1.8 | 26 | 2.0 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school.. | 16 | 2.7 | 32 | 3.9 | 14 | 2.7 | 21 | 3.1 | 31 | 4.4 |
| Middle school............................... | 15 | 2.6 | 29 | 2.5 | 10 | 1.9 | 24 | 2.8 | 25 | 2.7 |
| High school .................................. | 13 | 2.4 | 22 | 2.3 | 10 | 2.2 | 15 | 2.2 | 19 | 3.2 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast..................................... | 14 | 4.1 | 21 | 5.0 | 14 | 4.1 | 18 | 3.3 | 31 | 5.7 |
| Southeast..................................... | 17 | 3.1 | 35 | 4.1 | 12 | 2.2 | 20 | 2.8 | 23 | 3.2 |
| Central......................................... | 15 | 3.0 | 24 | 4.3 | 12 | 2.8 | 18 | 5.1 | 26 | 5.1 |
| West ........................................... | 12 | 2.8 | 30 | 3.6 | 10 | 2.0 | 22 | 3.3 | 23 | 3.8 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 ............................... | 18 | 3.5 | 29 | 4.2 | 13 | 3.1 | 17 | 3.6 | 31 | 3.9 |
| 500 to $999 .$. | 13 | 2.5 | 25 | 2.6 | 10 | 2.1 | 21 | 2.7 | 26 | 2.8 |
| 1,000 or more............................... | 13 | 2.5 | 30 | 3.1 | 13 | 2.6 | 21 | 3.3 | 19 | 3.0 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City............................................ | 13 | 2.2 | 29 | 2.9 | 15 | 2.4 | 18 | 2.9 | 24 | 3.0 |
| Urban fringe ................................. | 16 | 3.5 | 31 | 3.6 | 14 | 3.2 | 25 | 4.8 | 32 | 5.2 |
| Town ........................................... | 14 | 3.2 | 20 | 2.6 | 6 | 1.8 | 21 | 3.5 | 21 | 3.3 |
| Rural ........................................... | 17 | 3.8 | 32 | 7.0 | 12 | 4.3 | 12 | 3.1 | 25 | 4.5 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent ...................... | 14 | 2.5 | 24 | 3.4 | 11 | 2.6 | 23 | 3.3 | 27 | 3.2 |
| 35 to 49 percent ............................ | 14 | 3.6 | 27 | 3.4 | 11 | 3.1 | 12 | 3.1 | 20 | 3.9 |
| 50 to 74 percent ............................ | 12 | 3.2 | 36 | 4.5 | 12 | 2.8 | 21 | 3.7 | 21 | 3.6 |
| 75 percent or more ........................ | 20 | 3.2 | 32 | 2.7 | 15 | 3.2 | 16 | 2.1 | 32 | 3.0 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent........................ | 16 | 4.0 | 25 | 5.7 | 14 | 3.8 | 17 | 4.7 | 27 | 4.2 |
| 6 to 20 percent .............................. | 12 | 2.4 | 29 | 4.0 | 7 | 2.2 | 22 | 3.9 | 24 | 5.5 |
| 21 to 49 percent ............................ | 14 | 3.3 | 24 | 3.1 | 11 | 3.1 | 21 | 3.7 | 24 | 4.1 |
| 50 percent or more ........................ | 16 | 2.3 | 33 | 2.2 | 15 | 2.4 | 18 | 2.3 | 28 | 2.7 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10 ................................. | 11 | 1.9 | 26 | 2.8 | 13 | 2.7 | 16 | 2.7 | 28 | 2.6 |
| 10 to 20 ....................................... | 14 | 2.8 | 28 | 3.2 | 12 | 2.3 | 22 | 2.9 | 21 | 3.0 |
| 21 or more................................... | 18 | 3.0 | 29 | 4.6 | 11 | 2.3 | 21 | 3.8 | 27 | 4.1 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$..................... | 16 | 3.0 | 32 | 3.3 | 14 | 2.8 | 21 | 3.6 | 30 | 4.4 |
| Mathematics................................ | 14 | 4.9 | 20 | 4.5 | 4 | 1.7 | 25 | 5.3 | 15 | 4.3 |
| Science ........................................ | 6 | 2.8 | 14 | 4.4 | 9 | 4.0 | 21 | 5.6 | 20 | 5.2 |
| Social studies ................................ | 12 | 3.6 | 21 | 5.1 | 17 | 5.1 | 16 | 3.9 | 18 | 4.4 |
| English/language arts..................... | 15 | 3.6 | 34 | 5.5 | 11 | 3.3 | 16 | 3.8 | 23 | 4.6 |

Table B-9.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that specific information sources were used and that they were very effective in helping the respondent to understand or use comprehensive reform strategies, by school characteristics: 1996 (continued)

| School characteristics | State department of education |  | Other teacher organizations |  | Teacher unions |  | National model content standards |  | Intermediate or regional education agency |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers.............................. | 7 | 1.1 | 19 | 2.3 | 11 | 1.6 | 12 | 1.7 | 8 | 1.5 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school......................... | 8 | 2.2 | 22 | 3.6 | 12 | 2.8 | 12 | 2.9 | 8 | 2.7 |
| Middle school............................... | 10 | 2.0 | 23 | 3.2 | 11 | 2.1 | 13 | 2.6 | 10 | 2.2 |
| High school ................................. | 4 | 1.3 | 14 | 2.9 | 10 | 2.6 | 11 | 2.8 | 7 | 2.3 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast..................................... | 7 | 4.0 | 20 | 4.5 | 10 | 2.5 | 13 | 4.0 | 9 | 3.4 |
| Southeast...................................... | 12 | 2.4 | 14 | 3.0 | 9 | 3.6 | 13 | 3.7 | 7 | 3.2 |
| Central........................................ | 3 | 1.4 | 24 | 5.0 | 14 | 3.6 | 11 | 3.6 | 5 | 2.0 |
| West ........................................... | 5 | 1.6 | 18 | 3.0 | 12 | 3.1 | 10 | 2.0 | 11 | 2.4 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 ............................... | 8 | 2.8 | 18 | 3.3 | 7 | 2.7 | 15 | 3.8 | 9 | 3.0 |
| 500 to 999................................... | 7 | 1.6 | 21 | 3.6 | 14 | 2.7 | 10 | 2.2 | 7 | 1.4 |
| 1,000 or more............................... | 6 | 1.6 | 18 | 4.3 | 12 | 2.9 | 11 | 2.7 | 8 | 4.2 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City............................................ | 10 | 2.1 | 18 | 3.7 | 14 | 3.6 | 10 | 3.0 | 8 | 1.8 |
| Urban fringe ................................. | 3 | 1.3 | 26 | 5.1 | 16 | 4.0 | 17 | 4.8 | 12 | 4.6 |
| Town .......................................... | 6 | 1.3 | 15 | 3.9 | 6 | 1.9 | 9 | 3.4 | 5 | 1.7 |
| Rural .......................................... | 11 | 4.0 | 15 | 3.4 | 8 | 3.8 | 11 | 3.4 | 8 | 2.8 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent ...................... | 5 | 1.9 | 25 | 3.4 | 14 | 2.6 | 12 | 2.9 | 7 | 1.8 |
| 35 to 49 percent ............................ | 7 | 3.2 | 10 | 3.3 | 7 | 2.1 | 18 | 4.9 | 12 | 5.0 |
| 50 to 74 percent ............................ | 8 | 2.3 | 15 | 3.0 | 8 | 4.2 | 6 | 2.0 | 5 | 2.4 |
| 75 percent or more ........................ | 14 | 2.4 | 17 | 2.7 | 13 | 2.2 | 8 | 1.8 | 10 | 2.3 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent........................ | 7 | 3.7 | 19 | 3.7 | 13 | 3.2 | 9 | 3.4 | 7 | 3.1 |
| 6 to 20 percent .............................. | 5 | 1.4 | 24 | 4.9 | 6 | 2.7 | 15 | 2.9 | 9 | 2.8 |
| 21 to 49 percent ............................ | 4 | 2.0 | 16 | 3.8 | 11 | 4.2 | 11 | 3.6 | 5 | 2.8 |
| 50 percent or more ........................ | 14 | 2.1 | 19 | 2.2 | 15 | 2.4 | 11 | 2.5 | 10 | 2.0 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10 ................................. | 7 | 1.6 | 21 | 3.2 | 9 | 2.4 | 10 | 2.2 | 7 | 1.7 |
| 10 to 20 ....................................... | 5 | 1.2 | 18 | 3.9 | 12 | 2.7 | 13 | 3.2 | 10 | 2.9 |
| 21 or more................................... | 9 | 2.8 | 18 | 3.5 | 13 | 2.9 | 12 | 2.7 | 8 | 1.9 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-contained class ${ }^{3}$..................... | 6 | 1.2 | 20 | 4.5 | 14 | 3.8 | 14 | 3.5 | 8 | 2.6 |
| Mathematics................................. | 4 | 1.6 | 10 | 4.0 | 8 | 3.9 | 12 | 4.4 | 6 | 4.4 |
| Science ........................................ | 10 | 3.9 | 28 | 6.3 | 6 | 5.0 | 10 | 4.4 | 11 | 4.6 |
| Social studies ............................... | 3 | 2.0 | 14 | 4.5 | 10 | 4.3 | 13 | 4.5 | 2 | 1.2 |
| English/language arts..................... | 8 | 4.0 | 23 | 5.1 | 15 | 5.6 | 5 | 2.4 | 7 | 3.0 |

Table B-9.-Percent of public school teachers reporting that specific information sources were used and that they were very effective in helping the respondent to understand or use comprehensive reform strategies, by school characteristics: 1996 (continued)

| School characteristics | Electronic networks |  | NSF-funded initiatives |  | U.S. ED ERIC |  | Other U.S. ED offices/programs |  | U.S. ED Regional Labs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers.............................. | 15 | 1.8 | 16 | 2.4 | 11 | 2.1 | 7 | 1.4 | 4 | 1.1 |
| Instructional level ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school......................... | 14 | 4.0 | 15 | 3.6 | 13 | 4.7 | 8 | 2.5 | 5 | 2.6 |
| Middle school............................... | 18 | 3.0 | 20 | 3.5 | 11 | 2.8 | 8 | 2.6 | 4 | 1.7 |
| High school ................................. | 15 | 3.8 | 12 | 3.7 | 7 | 3.3 | 3 | 2.0 | 1 | 0.4 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast..................................... | 21 | 5.2 | 13 | 5.0 | 11 | 7.7 | 6 | 2.6 | 6 | 4.8 |
| Southeast..................................... | 15 | 4.3 | 15 | 3.8 | 16 | 4.4 | 8 | 4.0 | 4 | 1.5 |
| Central......................................... | 11 | 4.1 | 16 | 5.5 | 9 | 3.6 | 6 | 2.9 | 2 | 1.8 |
| West ........................................... | 14 | 3.0 | 18 | 4.1 | 5 | 2.0 | 7 | 1.7 | 2 | 0.8 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 ................................ | 14 | 4.1 | 13 | 3.1 | 16 | 6.2 | 4 | 1.9 | 4 | 3.1 |
| 500 to 999................................... | 13 | 3.2 | 17 | 4.2 | 8 | 1.6 | 9 | 3.1 | 4 | 1.3 |
| 1,000 or more............................... | 19 | 4.4 | 16 | 3.9 | 7 | 2.5 | 6 | 2.2 | 2 | 1.0 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City............................................ | 19 | 3.6 | 18 | 5.0 | 16 | 3.8 | 13 | 3.6 | 6 | 2.0 |
| Urban fringe ................................. | 16 | 4.3 | 18 | 4.8 | 3 | 1.6 | 5 | 2.2 | 1 | 0.7 |
| Town ........................................... | 9 | 2.8 | 12 | 3.1 | 3 | 1.4 | 2 | 1.0 | 1 | 0.6 |
| Rural ........................................... | 15 | 4.4 | 14 | 4.8 | 27 | 9.8 | 5 | 2.6 | 7 | 6.6 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent ...................... | 17 | 3.1 | 9 | 3.9 | 12 | 4.3 | 3 | 1.4 | 3 | 2.3 |
| 35 to 49 percent ............................ | 13 | 6.4 | 18 | 5.3 | 6 | 3.6 | 10 | 6.6 | 2 | 1.4 |
| 50 to 74 percent ............................ | 13 | 3.9 | 19 | 5.5 | 6 | 2.2 | 4 | 2.2 | 2 | 1.0 |
| 75 percent or more ........................ | 15 | 2.7 | 27 | 5.0 | 16 | 3.8 | 17 | 3.0 | 10 | 2.5 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent........................ | 15 | 5.0 | 7 | 3.2 | 14 | 6.9 | 2 | 1.9 | 4 | 4.5 |
| 6 to 20 percent .............................. | 9 | 3.7 | 19 | 6.9 | 7 | 4.8 | 2 | 1.7 | 2 | 1.3 |
| 21 to 49 percent ............................ | 18 | 5.2 | 14 | 4.3 | 9 | 3.3 | 9 | 5.0 | 1 | 0.4 |
| 50 percent or more ........................ | 20 | 3.5 | 22 | 3.2 | 12 | 2.4 | 13 | 2.1 | 8 | 1.9 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10 ............................... | 22 | 4.4 | 15 | 3.4 | 10 | 2.6 | 6 | 1.9 | 4 | 1.3 |
| 10 to 20 ....................................... | 13 | 3.6 | 13 | 3.6 | 8 | 3.8 | 8 | 3.6 | 2 | 0.9 |
| 21 or more................................... | 12 | 3.2 | 19 | 4.6 | 14 | 5.6 | 6 | 2.0 | 5 | 3.6 |
| Main subject area taught ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mathematics................................. | 18 | 7.6 | 10 | 3.6 | 13 | 7.8 | 2 | 1.7 | 1 | 1.1 |
| Science ........................................ | 12 | 4.4 | 14 | 4.3 | 6 | 4.6 | 10 | 5.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Social studies ............................... | 19 | 6.3 | 16 | 11.4 | 3 | 2.1 | 2 | 1.7 | 1 | 0.9 |
| English/language arts.................... | 12 | 4.5 | 10 | 5.5 | 17 | 7.4 | 10 | 4.2 | 2 | 1.6 |

${ }^{1}$ Data for combined schools are not reported as a separate instructional level because there are very few such schools in the sample. Data for combined schools are included in the totals and in analyses by other school characteristics.
${ }^{2}$ This table does not show the additional response category-other (specify)-that was included on the questionnaire.
${ }^{3}$ The teacher is responsible for teaching all or most academic subjects to one class.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Public School Teacher Survey on

## Table B-10.—Percent of public school teachers reporting their ranking of selected formats for receiving information about comprehensive reform strategies or activities, by school characteristics: 1996

| School characteristic | Hard copy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First choice |  | Second choice |  | Third choice |  | Fourth choice |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ................................ | 34 | 1.8 | 50 | 1.7 | 15 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.4 |
| Instructional level* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school. | 28 | 3.4 | 53 | 3.3 | 17 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.8 |
| Middle school ............................. | 40 | 3.2 | 49 | 3.3 | 10 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.5 |
| High school................................ | 39 | 2.9 | 47 | 3.1 | 13 | 1.7 | 1 | 0.3 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast ................................... | 40 | 3.6 | 48 | 3.3 | 12 | 2.2 | (+) | 0.3 |
| Southeast ................................... | 31 | 3.2 | 51 | 3.4 | 16 | 2.4 | 2 | 1.6 |
| Central...................................... | 33 | 4.6 | 52 | 5.2 | 14 | 2.8 | (+) | 0.3 |
| West ......................................... | 33 | 3.1 | 49 | 2.6 | 16 | 2.4 | 1 | 0.4 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 .............................. | 30 | 4.2 | 51 | 3.5 | 17 | 2.8 | 1 | 1.3 |
| 500 to 999 .................................. | 37 | 2.4 | 50 | 2.6 | 13 | 1.8 | 1 | 0.3 |
| 1,000 or more............................. | 35 | 2.7 | 49 | 3.1 | 15 | 1.7 | 1 | 0.4 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City .......................................... | 31 | 3.4 | 53 | 3.4 | 15 | 2.9 | 1 | 0.5 |
| Urban fringe............................... | 32 | 3.4 | 49 | 3.7 | 18 | 2.6 | (+) | 0.2 |
| Town......................................... | 39 | 3.6 | 46 | 3.2 | 12 | 2.4 | 2 | 1.3 |
| Rural.. | 34 | 4.1 | 51 | 3.9 | 12 | 2.7 | (+) | 0.3 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent..................... | 36 | 2.9 | 46 | 2.8 | 17 | 1.9 | (+) | 0.2 |
| 35 to 49 percent........................... | 33 | 4.2 | 49 | 4.1 | 13 | 3.1 | 3 | 2.2 |
| 50 to 74 percent........................... | 28 | 3.6 | 58 | 3.8 | 13 | 2.7 | 1 | 0.6 |
| 75 percent or more ....................... | 35 | 5.0 | 53 | 3.8 | 11 | 2.2 | 1 | 0.4 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent...................... | 40 | 3.4 | 46 | 3.8 | 14 | 2.3 | (+) | 0.2 |
| 6 to 20 percent ............................ | 34 | 4.4 | 46 | 3.5 | 18 | 3.0 | (+) | 0.3 |
| 21 to 49 percent........................... | 27 | 3.3 | 57 | 3.6 | 15 | 2.8 | 1 | 1.3 |
| 50 percent or more ....................... | 35 | 3.3 | 51 | 3.0 | 12 | 1.7 | 2 | 0.6 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10............................... | 34 | 2.4 | 46 | 2.1 | 18 | 2.3 | 1 | 1.1 |
| 10 to 20...................................... | 34 | 3.4 | 52 | 3.9 | 12 | 2.4 | 1 | 0.3 |
| 21 or more................................... | 34 | 3.1 | 50 | 3.2 | 14 | 2.2 | 1 | 0.3 |


| School characteristic | Workshops and summer institutes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First choice |  | Second choice |  | Third choice |  | Fourth choice |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers.... | 56 | 1.8 | 34 | 1.8 | 10 | 1.4 | (+) | 0.0 |
| Instructional level* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ........................ | 64 | 3.4 | 27 | 3.1 | 8 | 2.0 | (+) | 0.1 |
| Middle school ............................. | 52 | 3.5 | 37 | 3.1 | 10 | 1.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| High school | 49 | 3.4 | 40 | 3.5 | 12 | 2.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast ... | 53 | 3.2 | 38 | 3.5 | 9 | 2.3 | (+) | 0.1 |
| Southeast. | 62 | 3.7 | 29 | 3.4 | 9 | 2.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Central..... | 56 | 5.4 | 33 | 4.5 | 11 | 3.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| West | 54 | 3.8 | 35 | 3.3 | 10 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 ............................. | 59 | 3.8 | 29 | 3.4 | 11 | 2.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 500 to 999 .................................. | 56 | 2.2 | 36 | 2.6 | 8 | 1.6 | (+) | 0.1 |
| 1,000 or more... | 54 | 3.3 | 34 | 3.1 | 12 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City ........................................ | 62 | 3.2 | 30 | 2.8 | 8 | 1.5 | (+) | 0.1 |
| Urban fringe............................... | 56 | 3.4 | 36 | 3.1 | 7 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Town... | 52 | 4.0 | 35 | 3.9 | 13 | 3.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Rural. | 55 | 4.5 | 32 | 4.1 | 12 | 3.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent.................... | 52 | 2.9 | 37 | 2.6 | 10 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 35 to 49 percent........................... | 56 | 4.6 | 31 | 4.1 | 13 | 3.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 to 74 percent....... | 66 | 4.2 | 28 | 4.0 | 5 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 75 percent or more ...................... | 60 | 4.8 | 30 | 4.4 | 9 | 1.8 | (+) | 0.2 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent...... | 49 | 3.8 | 37 | 3.6 | 13 | 3.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 6 to 20 percent ............................ | 55 | 4.5 | 40 | 4.7 | 4 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 21 to 49 percent...... | 63 | 3.6 | 24 | 2.9 | 12 | 3.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 50 percent or more ...................... | 58 | 3.8 | 33 | 3.4 | 8 | 1.6 | (+) | 0.1 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10............................... | 51 | 2.2 | 36 | 1.8 | 13 | 2.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 10 to 20..................................... | 59 | 3.7 | 31 | 3.2 | 10 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 21 or more.................................. | 59 | 3.4 | 34 | 3.4 | 7 | 1.5 | (+) | 0.1 |

Table B-10.-Percent of public school teachers reporting their ranking of selected formats for receiving information about comprehensive reform strategies or activities, by school characteristics: 1996 (continued)

| School characteristic | Electronic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First choice |  | Second choice |  | Third choice |  | Fourth choice |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ................................ | 6 | 1.0 | 13 | 1.3 | 39 | 1.6 | 3 | 0.7 |
| Instructional level* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ........................ | 4 | 1.3 | 14 | 2.3 | 32 | 3.4 | 4 | 1.3 |
| Middle school ............................. | 5 | 1.1 | 11 | 1.5 | 43 | 2.7 | 2 | 0.7 |
| High school................................ | 10 | 2.2 | 12 | 1.9 | 46 | 3.4 | 4 | 1.2 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast .................................. | 4 | 1.5 | 12 | 2.4 | 36 | 4.6 | 4 | 1.3 |
| Southeast | 6 | 2.4 | 15 | 2.7 | 42 | 3.5 | 2 | 1.0 |
| Central. | 8 | 2.1 | 11 | 2.5 | 43 | 4.0 | 4 | 1.8 |
| West ......................................... | 8 | 1.8 | 13 | 2.4 | 36 | 3.7 | 4 | 1.8 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 .............................. | 8 | 2.1 | 14 | 2.2 | 31 | 3.2 | 3 | 1.1 |
| 500 to 999 .................................. | 4 | 0.8 | 11 | 1.8 | 42 | 3.4 | 4 | 1.3 |
| 1,000 or more.............................. | 9 | 2.3 | 15 | 1.9 | 45 | 3.4 | 3 | 1.2 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City .......................................... | 5 | 1.5 | 15 | 2.4 | 42 | 3.4 | 3 | 1.0 |
| Urban fringe.. | 6 | 1.6 | 12 | 2.1 | 40 | 4.4 | 5 | 1.8 |
| Town.. | 7 | 2.3 | 13 | 2.6 | 37 | 4.0 | 3 | 1.3 |
| Rural.. | 8 | 2.2 | 12 | 3.1 | 37 | 3.8 | 2 | 1.1 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent..................... | 7 | 1.4 | 14 | 2.1 | 42 | 2.9 | 5 | 1.1 |
| 35 to 49 percent......................... | 8 | 2.8 | 13 | 2.7 | 33 | 4.7 | 2 | 1.7 |
| 50 to 74 percent........................... | 4 | 2.0 | 12 | 2.4 | 42 | 4.5 | 2 | 0.8 |
| 75 percent or more ....................... | 4 | 0.8 | 12 | 2.0 | 35 | 2.8 | 2 | 1.0 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent....................... | 8 | 2.2 | 16 | 2.7 | 35 | 4.2 | 3 | 1.2 |
| 6 to 20 percent ............................ | 7 | 1.8 | 9 | 2.7 | 45 | 4.4 | 3 | 1.5 |
| 21 to 49 percent........................... | 6 | 1.9 | 14 | 3.0 | 40 | 4.0 | 5 | 2.0 |
| 50 percent or more ....................... | 5 | 1.2 | 12 | 1.8 | 36 | 2.0 | 2 | 0.6 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10............................... | 10 | 2.1 | 14 | 2.2 | 38 | 2.8 | 5 | 1.5 |
| 10 to 20.... | 5 | 1.5 | 14 | 3.0 | 42 | 2.8 | 2 | 0.8 |
| 21 or more.................................. | 4 | 1.0 | 10 | 2.4 | 38 | 3.5 | 3 | 1.1 |

Table B-10.-Percent of public school teachers reporting their ranking of selected formats for receiving information about comprehensive reform strategies or activities, by school characteristics: 1996 (continued)

| School characteristic | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First choice |  | Second choice |  | Third choice |  | Fourth choice |  |
|  | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. | Percent | s.e. |
| All teachers ................................. | 3 | 0.7 | 3 | 0.6 | 5 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.6 |
| Instructional level* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary school ........................ | 4 | 1.5 | 4 | 1.2 | 7 | 1.6 | 3 | 1.1 |
| Middle school ............................ | 3 | 0.8 | 3 | 0.9 | 5 | 1.0 | 2 | 0.8 |
| High school................................ | 2 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.6 | 4 | 1.1 | 2 | 0.8 |
| Geographic region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast ................................... | 2 | 1.5 | 2 | 0.9 | 7 | 2.1 | 2 | 0.8 |
| Southeast ................................... | 1 | 0.6 | 4 | 1.6 | 4 | 0.9 | 4 | 2.3 |
| Central.. | 3 | 1.4 | 4 | 1.9 | 3 | 1.6 | 1 | 0.9 |
| West ......................................... | 5 | 1.7 | 2 | 0.6 | 6 | 1.8 | 2 | 0.7 |
| Enrollment size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 500 .............................. | 3 | 1.4 | 4 | 1.5 | 6 | 1.7 | 2 | 1.4 |
| 500 to 999 .................................. | 4 | 1.3 | 3 | 1.0 | 5 | 1.3 | 2 | 0.6 |
| 1,000 or more... | 2 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.5 | 5 | 1.2 | 3 | 1.1 |
| Locale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City .......................................... | 2 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.9 | 6 | 1.2 | 4 | 2.1 |
| Urban fringe............................... | 6 | 2.0 | 3 | 1.2 | 6 | 1.9 | 2 | 0.6 |
| Town........................................ | 2 | 0.6 | 3 | 1.7 | 5 | 1.3 | 2 | 0.9 |
| Rural. | 2 | 1.7 | 3 | 1.2 | 3 | 1.9 | 2 | 0.8 |
| Percent of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 35 percent.................... | 4 | 1.4 | 3 | 0.9 | 4 | 1.2 | 2 | 0.9 |
| 35 to 49 percent........................... | 2 | 0.9 | 3 | 2.0 | 4 | 2.1 | 2 | 1.1 |
| 50 to 74 percent... | 2 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.6 | 6 | 1.8 | 1 | 0.6 |
| 75 percent or more ....................... | 2 | 0.6 | 4 | 1.2 | 8 | 1.7 | 4 | 1.3 |
| Percent minority enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 6 percent...................... | 2 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.7 | 2 | 1.1 | 2 | 0.8 |
| 6 to 20 percent ............................ | 3 | 1.5 | 4 | 1.8 | 5 | 1.8 | 3 | 1.7 |
| 21 to 49 percent........................... | 4 | 2.0 | 3 | 1.3 | 5 | 2.2 | 2 | 0.8 |
| 50 percent or more ....................... | 2 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.9 | 8 | 1.2 | 3 | 1.1 |
| Number of years teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 10............................... | 4 | 1.6 | 2 | 0.7 | 5 | 1.3 | 2 | 0.6 |
| 10 to 20..................................... | 2 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.6 | 4 | 1.4 | 2 | 1.1 |
| 21 or more.................................. | 3 | 1.1 | 4 | 1.7 | 6 | 1.6 | 3 | 0.9 |

(+) Less than 0.5 percent.
*Data for combined schools are not reported as a separate instructional level because there are very few in the sample. Data for combined schools are included in the totals and in analyses by other school characteristics.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Public School Teacher Survey on

Table B-11.-Estimates and standard errors for the figures: 1996

| Figure | Estimate | Standard error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Figure 1. Percent of public school teachers reporting the extent to which they understood the concept of higher standards and the percent reporting the extent to which they felt equipped to set or apply higher standards: 1996 Understood concept of new higher standards |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Very well. | 42 | 2.1 |
| Somewhat well | 52 | 2.0 |
| Not at all.. | 5 | 0.8 |
| Felt equipped to set/apply new higher standards |  |  |
| Very well.. | 35 | 1.8 |
| Somewhat well | 57 | 2.0 |
| Not at all. | 8 | 1.0 |
| Figure 2. Percent of public school teachers who reported that they assisted all students to achieve to high standards to a great extent, by instructional level of school: 1996 |  |  |
| All public schools | 52 | 1.7 |
| Elementary schools. | 61 | 3.1 |
| Middle schools | 49 | 3.4 |
| High schools ................................................................................................. | 44 | 2.9 |
| Figure 3. Percent of public school teachers reporting that they engaged in selected parental involvement activities to a great extent: 1996 |  |  |
| Providing information or advice to parents to help create a supportive environment |  |  |
| All public schools ..................................................................................... | 28 | 1.7 |
| Elementary schools | 46 | 3.5 |
| Middle schools... | 20 | 3.0 |
| High schools .. | 10 | 2.3 |
| Involving parents in classroom activities |  |  |
| All public schools. | 10 | 1.4 |
| Elementary schools | 17 | 3.3 |
| Middle schools............................................................................................ | 5 | 1.0 |
| High schools .... | 3 | 1.4 |
| Sharing responsibility with parents for academic performance of children |  |  |
| All public schools ....................................................................................... | 26 | 2.0 |
| Elementary schools. | 35 | 3.5 |
| Middle schools........................................................................................... | 25 | 2.6 |
| High schools ........................................................................................... | 15 | 2.3 |
| Figure 4. Percent of public school teachers reporting that various formats were their first choice for receiving information: 1996 |  |  |
| Workshops and summer institutes ..................................................................... | 56 | 1.8 |
| Hardcopy ... | 34 | 1.8 |
| Electronic ..................................................................................................... | 6 | 1.0 |
| Other........................................................................................................... | 3 | 0.7 |

[^8]
## Appendix C

## Survey Form

# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION <br> NATIONAL CENTER FOR EDUCATION STATISTICS <br> WASHINGTON, D.C. 20208-5651 <br> PUBLIC SCHOOL TEACHER SURVEY ON EDUCATION REFORM 

FAST RESPONSE SURVEY SYSTEM
This survey is authorized by law (20 U.S.C. 1221e-1). While you are not required to respond, your cooperation is needed to make the results of this survey comprehensive, accurate, and timely.

## DEFINITIONS FOR THIS SURVEY:

Disability: An impairment that substantially limits one or more of the major life activities of an individual.
ERIC: Educational Resources Information Center. ERIC is an education database, clearinghouse, and document reproduction service financed by the U.S. Department of Education.
New higher standards/high standards: Refers to recent and current education reform activities that seek to establish more challenging expectations for student achievement and performance, such as the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics standards for math, state- or local-initiated standards in various subjects, and those outlined in Goals 2000.
Parent/school compact: Voluntary written agreements between the school and parents on what each will do to help students succeed in school.
Parent/teacher compact: Voluntary written agreements between the teachers and parents on what each will do to help students succeed in school.
SSI: National Science Foundation's Statewide Systemic Initiatives program. For this program, NSF has cooperative agreements with states to undertake comprehensive initiatives for education reform in science, mathematics, and technology.
USI: National Science Foundation's Urban Systemic Initiatives program. For this program, NSF has cooperative agreements with urban areas to undertake comprehensive initiatives for education reform in science, mathematics, and technology.

## AFFIX LABEL HERE

## IF ABOVE INFORMATION IS INCORRECT, PLEASE MAKE CORRECTIONS DIRECTLY ON LABEL.

Name of person completing form:
Telephone: $\qquad$ Fax: $\qquad$
$\qquad$

Best days and times to reach you (in case of questions):

```
PLEASE RETURN COMPLETED FORM TO:
    WESTAT
    1650 Research Boulevard
    Rockville, Maryland 20850
    Attention: 900172-Heaviside
IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, CONTACT:
    Sheila Heaviside
    800-937-8281, ext. }839
    Fax: 800-254-0984
    E-mail: heavis1@westat.com
```

[^9]FRSS Form No. 55, 4/96

1. Currently there is much discussion of the need to establish new higher standards for student achievement. How well do you understand this concept?

Not at all well........... 1 Somewhat well ........ 2 Very well ................. 3
2. How well equipped do you feel as a teacher to set or apply these new higher standards of achievement for your students?

Not at all well $\qquad$ 1 Somewhat well $\qquad$ 2 Very wel 3
3. The following are examples of some types of activities that are part of new or ongoing education reforms taking place in various parts of the country. For each, indicate in column A the extent to which you are implementing the activity in your classes. In column B, check the three activities for which information is most needed.

|  | A. Extent to which reform activity is being implemented in your classes |  |  |  | B. Information most needed (Check three) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not at all | Small extent | Moderate extent | Great extent |  |
| a. Assisting all students to achieve to high standards | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| b. Providing students or parents with examples of work that is successful in meeting high standards. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| c. Using authentic student assessments such as portfolios that measure performance against high standards. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| d. Using curricula aligned with high standards .............. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| e. Using instructional strategies (e.g., hands-on activities, cooperative learning) aligned with high standards $\qquad$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| f. Using textbooks or other instructional materials aligned with high standards | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| g. Using innovative technologies such as the Internet and telecommunications-supported instruction | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |

4. For each of the education reform activities in question 3 above, circle the letter corresponding to the activity ( $a-g$ ) if you are implementing it in any of your classes in the following subject areas. For any subjects you do not teach, circle NA.

| a. | English/language arts ......................................... | NA | a | b | C | d | e | f | g |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | History/social studies ......................................... | NA | a | b | c | d | e | f | g |
|  | Math.............................................................. | NA | a | b | c | d | e | f | g |
| d. | Science .......................................................... | NA | a | b | c | d | e | f | g |

5. Many educators are making efforts to apply the same high standards of performance to all students, including students with limited English proficiency or with disabilities. In column A, indicate the extent to which you apply the same high standards of performance for students with limited English proficiency and for students with disabilities as for other students. In column B, indicate the extent to which you need information on helping these students achieve to high standards. (Circle one response in each column for each student category.)

|  | A. Extent to which you hold these to the same high standards as other students |  |  |  |  | B. Need for information |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | None enrolled | Not at all | Small extent | Moderate extent | Great extent | Not needed | Somewhat needed | Very much needed |
| a. Students with limited English proficiency | None | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| b. Students with disabilities | None | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 |

6. For each area of parental involvement below, in column A indicate to what extent you have engaged in the activity with parents of your students, and in column B, indicate the extent to which you need information on these activities to involve parents in student learning. (Circle one response in each column for each category.)

|  | A. Extent to which engaged in activity |  |  |  | B. Need for information |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not at all | Small extent | Moderate extent | Great extent | Not needed | Somewhat needed | Very needed |
| a. Providing information or advice to parents to help them create supportive learning environments at home (e.g., shared parent-child activities, periodic review of homework folders by parents, etc.).... | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| b. Involving parents in classroom activities | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| c. Sharing responsibility with parents for academic performance of their children (e.g., parent/teacher or parent/school compact, etc.) $\qquad$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 |

7. How effective have the following sources of information or assistance been in helping you understand or use comprehensive reform strategies or activities such as those mentioned in question 3? (Circle one answer on each line.)
$\left.\begin{array}{lccccc} & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Not } \\ \text { used }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Not at all } \\ \text { effective }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Somewhat } \\ \text { effective }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Very } \\ \text { effective }\end{array} \\ \text { a. Other teachers .......................................................................................................................................................... } & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3\end{array}\right]$
8. In what format do you prefer to receive information? Please rank the following in order of your preference from 1 to 4 , with $1=1$ st choice; $2=2$ nd choice; $3=3$ rd choice; and $4=4$ th choice. If you do not have access to format "c" (electronic), circle "no access."

Rank
a. Hard copy (e.g., journal articles, magazines).
b. Workshops and summer institutes
c. Electronic (e.g., e-mail, Internet, electronic bulletin boards, micro cards)
d. Other (specify) $\qquad$ ..
9. Approximately how many hours did you spend on any professional development during the period from September 1, 1994, through August 31, 1995? Include attendance at professional meetings, workshops, and conferences, but do not include regular college courses. (If 0 hours, skip to question 12.)

Total hours $\qquad$
10. In column A, please indicate whether you attended professional development activities listed below, and for each activity attended, indicate in column B whether information on high standards was a focus of the professional development.

|  | A. Attended |  | B. Information on higher standards |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Major focus | Not major focus, but information provided | No information provided |
| a. Professional teacher association meeting ............ | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| b. In-service workshop or program. | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| c. Summer institute (which may have included follow-up activities) $\qquad$ | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| d. District or school based long-term or ongoing comprehensive professional development program | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| e. Other (specify) | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 |

11. Overall, to what extent do the following describe the professional development sponsored or supported by your school in which you participated during the period from September 1, 1994, through August 31, 1995? (Circle one answer on each line.)

|  | Not at all | Small extent | Moderate extent | Great extent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Planned according to school needs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| b. Useful for helping students achieve to high standards ... | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| c. Ongoing, integrated professional development program $\qquad$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| d. Aligned with high standards . | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| e. Provided strategies for you to apply in the classroom.... | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| f. Provided followup activities...................................... | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| g. Provided networking activities .................................. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| h. Provided opportunities to share information with colleagues at your school $\qquad$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

12. Including this school year, how many years have you been employed as a teacher? $\qquad$
At this school? $\qquad$
13. What is the main subject area you are currently teaching? (Circle one.)

Self-contained class (responsible for teaching all or most academic subjects to one class) ............... 1
Math ............................................................................................................................................... 2
Science.......................................................................................................................................... 3
History/geography/social studies/civics ........................................................................................... 4
English/language arts..................................................................................................................... 5
Other (specify) ___ ....................... 6
14. What grade(s) are you currently teaching? (Circle all that apply.)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Ungraded, <br> specify age <br> groups: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data were collected prior to the Obey-Porter legislation and do not report information about the Comprehensive School Reform Demonstration Program created under that legislation and initiated in fall 1997. "Comprehensive reform" would have been interpreted broadly for a variety of school reform activities.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, Status of Education Reform in Public Elementary and Secondary Schools: Principals' Perspectives, FRSS 54, 1998.

[^2]:    NOTE: Percents may not add to 100 because of rounding.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Percents are based on public school teachers participating in professional development activities during the period September 1994 through August 1995-94 percent of all teachers.
    ${ }^{2}$ Percents are based on teachers who reported attending the type of activity.
    NOTE: Percents may not add to 100 because of rounding.
    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Public School Teacher Survey on

[^4]:    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data were collected prior to the Obey-Porter legislation and do not report information about the Comprehensive Reform Report created under that legislation and initiated in 1996. The term would have been interpreted broadly for a variety of school reform activities.
    ${ }^{2}$ Percents do not add to 100 because this table does not show the other response categories-not used and not at all effective-that were included on the questionnaire.
    ${ }^{3}$ Percents are based on public school teachers who used sources of information or assistance.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data for combined schools are not reported as a separate instructional level because there are very few in the sample. Data for combined schools are included in the totals and in analyses by other school characteristics.
    ${ }^{2}$ This table does not show the additional response category-other (specify)—that was included on the questionnaire.
    ${ }^{3}$ The teacher is responsible for teaching all or most academic subjects to one class.
    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Public School Teacher Survey on

[^7]:    (+) Less than 0.5 percent.
    ${ }^{1}$ Data for combined schools are not reported as a separate instructional level because there are very few in the sample. Data for combined schools are included in the totals and in analyses by other school characteristics.
    ${ }^{2}$ This table does not show the additional response category-other (specify) - that was included on the questionnaire.
    ${ }^{3}$ The teacher is responsible for teaching all or most academic subjects to one class.
    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Public School Teacher Survey on

[^8]:    SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Fast Response Survey System, "Public School Teacher Survey on

[^9]:    According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1850-0727. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: U.S. Department of Education, Washington, D.C. 20202-4651. If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form, write directly to: National Center for Education Statistics, 555 New Jersey Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20208.

