United States Environmental Protection Agency Office of Water (4305)

EPA-823-R-98-003 May 1998



Bacterial Water Quality Standards Status Report

BACTERIAL WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

FOR RECREATIONAL WATERS

(FRESHWATER AND MARINE WATERS)

STATUS REPORT

Prepared by:

Standards and Applied Science Division Office of Science and Technology Office of Water U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Washington, DC 20460

Disclaimer

This publication was prepared by Tetra Tech, Inc. under contract to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Secondary information sources were used to compile data presented in this document. In no event shall either the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency or Tetra Tech, Inc. have any responsibility or liability for any use, misuse, or reliance upon the information contained herein, nor does either warrant or otherwise represent in any way the accuracy, adequacy, efficacy, or applicability of the contents hereof.

The reader should consult the water quality standards of a particular state for exact regulatory language applicable to that state. Copies of state water quality standards may be obtained from the state's Water Pollution Control Agency or its equivalent.

Additional information may also be obtained from:

Water Quality Standards Branch Standards and Applied Science Division (4305) Office of Water U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Washington, DC 20460

Contents

1.	Introduction 1
2.	Bacterial Water Quality Standards - Summary Information Summary of Bacterial Water Quality Standards for States and Territories Within EPA Regions 5 Summary of Bacterial Water Quality Standards for Tribes Within EPA Regions 7 Narrative Summary 8
3.	Bacterial Water Quality Standards - <i>Detailed Overview</i> Bacterial Water Quality Standards by EPA Region
	EPA Region 1Connecticut11Maine11Massachusetts11New Hampshire12Rhode Island12Vermont13
	EPA Region 213New Jersey13New York14Puerto Rico16Virgin Islands16
	EPA Region 317Delaware17District of Columbia17Maryland17Pennsylvania17Virginia18West Virginia18
	EPA Region 4Alabama18Florida19Georgia19Kentucky19Mississippi19North Carolina20South Carolina20Tennessee20

EPA F	Region 5	
	Illinois	21
	Indiana	21
	Michigan	
	Minnesota	
	Ohio	22
	Wisconsin	22
EPA F	Region 6	
	Arkansas	23
	Louisiana	
	New Mexico	23
	Oklahoma	23
	Texas	24
EPA F	Region 7	
	Iowa	24
	Kansas	
	Missouri	
	Nebraska	
FPA F	Region 8	
<u></u>	Colorado	25
	Montana	
	North Dakota	
	South Dakota	
	Utah	
	Wyoming	
FPA F	Region 9	
	American Samoa	26
	Arizona	-
	California	
	Hawaii	
	Guam	
		29
	Nevada	
	Trust Territory	
ЕРА Б	Region 10	
	Alaska	31
	Idaho	
	Oregon	
	Washington	

Introduction

EPA's Beach Program

On May 23, 1997, EPA Administrator Carol Browner announced the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's new Beaches Environmental Assessment, Closure, and Health (BEACH) Program. The goal of the BEACH program is to significantly reduce the risk of infection to users of the nation's recreational waters through improvements in recreational water programs, communication, and scientific advances. High levels of pathogens in recreational waters can increase human exposure through ingestion, inhalation, and body contact, thus increasing the risk of illness. Surveys and ongoing scientific studies continue to document the presence of, or the potential for, disease-carrying bacteria, viruses, and other pathogens present in local beach water, primarily from sewage and stormwater runoff.

Through its BEACH program, EPA is working to:

- Strengthen state, tribal, and local programs, including water quality standards;
- Develop and implement new tools to inform the public;
- Conduct research to improve the scientific basis for beach programs.

One specific focus of this program and the water quality standards program is to review and strengthen bacterial water quality standards.

Status Report

The purpose of the Status Report is to provide a brief overview of the bacterial water quality standards that have been adopted by states for their marine and fresh recreational waters in the United States. This report is based on consultations with EPA water quality standards coordinators. The report is accurate as of September 1997; however, there may be revisions to standards that are not reflected in this report. EPA will update the report periodically to reflect new information.

The following tables contain updated information on the bacterial water quality standards that have been adopted by states, territories, and tribes to protect human health from waterborne diseases within their jurisdictions. The information is presented in summary format for both states/territories and tribes. The standards are also described in more detail. The summary is organized first by EPA region, and then by state, territory, and tribe within each region.

For the precise regulatory language applicable to a particular state, the reader should consult the water quality standards of that state. Copies of state water quality standards may be obtained from the state's water quality management agency or its equivalent.

The reader should also note that these may not be the only guidelines or standards in effect for recreational waters in a particular location. It is not uncommon for a local health agency to develop and adopt site-specific guidelines as part of their public health codes. Although EPA is compiling a catalog of these guidelines, one should consult with the appropriate local health agency to obtain detailed information.

Water Quality Standards Background

In response to widespread public concern about the condition of our nation's waters, the United States Congress enacted landmark legislation in 1972. This statute, the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972 (referred to as the Clean Water Act of 1972, or CWA), expanded and built upon existing laws designed to control and prevent water pollution. Successive amendments to the 1972 CWA (the Clean Water Act of 1977 and the Water Quality Act of 1987) have continued to strengthen the law to better protect our nation's waters.

Water quality standards are the cornerstone of a state's water quality management program. States, territories, and Indian tribes set water quality standards for waters within their jurisdictions. Water quality standards define a use for a waterbody and describe the specific water quality criteria to achieve that use. The water quality standards also contain antidegradation policies to protect existing water quality. These are the goals by which success is ultimately gauged for a given waterbody or watershed.

The water quality standards program is administered by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Congress has mandated that EPA is responsible for providing water quality criteria recommendations, approving state-adopted standards for interstate waters, evaluating adherence to the standards, and overseeing enforcement of standards compliance. Guidance for the development of standards by individual states, tribes, and territories is contained in the EPA documents *Water Quality Standards Handbook*, Second Edition (1983) and *Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Bacteria* (1986).

Fecal bacteria have been used as an indicator of the possible presence of pathogens in surface waters and the risk of disease, based on epidemiological evidence of gastrointestinal disorders from ingestion of contaminated surface water or raw shellfish. Contact with contaminated water can lead to ear or skin infections, and inhalation of contaminated water can cause respiratory diseases. The pathogens responsible for these diseases can be bacteria, viruses, protozoans, fungi, or parasites that live in the gastrointestinal tract and are shed in the feces of warm-blooded animals.

However, because of the difficulties in analyzing for and detecting the many possible pathogens or parasites, concentrations of fecal bacteria, including fecal coliforms, enterococci, and *Escherichia coli*, are used as the primary indicators of fecal contamination. The latter two indicators are considered to have a higher degree of association with outbreaks of certain diseases than fecal coliforms and were recommended as the basis for bacterial water quality standards in the 1986 *Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Bacteria* document (both for fresh waters, enterococci for marine waters). The standards are defined as a concentration of the indicator above which the health risk from waterborne disease is unacceptably high.

Prior to the 1986 revision to the National criterion, there were recommendations in the report of the National Technical Advisory Committee to the Secretary of the Interior, *Water Quality Criteria* (1967) and by EPA in *Quality Criteria for Water* (1976). Both of these documents were based on fecal coliforms and recommended that maximum densities not exceed geometric means of 200 organisms per 100 ml in recreational waters.

The 1986 criteria statement for bacteriological criteria follows:

EPA Criteria for Bathing (Full Body Contact) Recreational Waters

Freshwater

Based on a statistically sufficient number of samples (generally not less than 5 samples equally spaced over a 30-day period), the geometric mean of the indicated bacterial densities should not exceed one or the other of the following:¹

E. coli	126 per 100 ml; or
Enterococci	33 per 100 ml.

No sample should exceed a one sided confidence limit (C.L.) calculated using the following as guidance:

Designated bathing beach	75% C.L.
Moderate use for bathing	82% C.L.
Light use for bathing	90% C.L.
Infrequent use for bathing	95% C.L.

based on a site-specific log standard deviation, or if site data are insufficient to establish a log standard deviation, then using 0.4 as the log standard deviation for both indicators.

Marine Water

Based on a statistically sufficient number of samples (generally not less than 5 samples equally spaced over a 30-day period), the geometric mean of the enterococci densities should not exceed 35 per 100 ml.

No sample should exceed a one sided confidence limit using the following as guidance:

75% C.L.
82% C.L.
90% C.L.
95% C.L.

based on a site-specific log standard deviation, or if site data are insufficient to establish a log standard deviation, then using 0.7 as the log standard deviation.

¹Only one indicator should be used. The regulatory agency should select the appropriate indicator for its conditions.

Acknowledgements

This report was compiled by Rick Hoffmann and Kent Ballentine in the Office of Science and Technology. To ensure the completeness and accuracy of this overview, the following EPA Regional Water Quality Standards Coordinators were contacted:

Region 1:	Bill Beckwith Office of Ecosystem Protection Boston, MA
Region 2:	Wayne Jackson Division of Environmental Planning and Protection New York, NY
Region 3:	Evelyn MacKnight Water Protection Division Philadelphia, PA
Region 4:	Fritz Wagener Water Management Division Atlanta, GA
Region 5:	David Pfeifer Water Division Chicago, IL
Region 6:	Cheryl Overstreet Water Quality Protection Division Dallas, TX
Region 7:	Larry Shepard Water, Wetlands and Pesticides Division Kansas City, KS
Region 8:	Bill Wuerthele Office of Ecosystems Protection and Remediation Denver, CO
Region 9:	Phil Woods Water Division San Francisco, CA
Region 10:	Lisa Macchio Office of Water Seattle, WA

Within EPA Regions					
Region/State	Freshwater	Marine Water	Region/State	Freshwater	Marine Water
Region 1			Region 2		
СТ	EN/FC/TC1.2	EN	NJ	EN/FC	EN/FC
ME	EC	EN	NY	FC/TC	FC/TC
MA	FC	FC	PR	•	EN/FC/TC
NH	EC	EN	VI	•	FC
RI	FC/TC	FC			
VT	EC	•			
Region 3			Region 4		
DE	EN	EN	AL	FC	FC
DC	FC	•	FL	FC	FC
MD	FC	FC	GA	FC	FC
PA	FC	•	KY	FC	•
VA	FC	FC	MS	FC	FC
WV	FC	•	NC	FC	FC
			SC	FC	FC
			TN	FC	•
Region 5			Region 6		
IL	FC	•	AR	FC	•
IN	EC	•	LA	FC	FC
MI	EC/FC	•	NM	FC	•
MN	FC	•	ОК	EC/EN/FC	•
ОН	EC/FC	•	ТХ	FC/EN	FC
WI	FC	•			

Summary of Bacterial Water Quality Standards for States and Territories Within EPA Regions

¹ FC = fecal coliforms; TC = total coliforms; EN = enterococci; EC = *Escherichia coli*.

 2 Many jurisdictions use both the 1986 indicator criteria and fecal coliforms; some continue to use total coliforms. Even if a state has the authority to use the 1986 indicators, it may use another indicator at its discretion.

Region/State	Freshwater	Marine Water	Region/State	Freshwater	Marine Water
Region 7			Region 8		
IA	FC	•	СО	FC	•
KS	FC	•	MT	FC	•
МО	FC	•	ND	FC	•
NE	FC	•	SD	FC	•
			UT	FC/TC	•
			WY	FC	•
Region 9			Region 10		
AZ	EC/FC	•	AK	FC	FC
CA	EC/EN/FC/TC3	EN/FC/TC	ID	FC	•
HI	FC	EN	OR	EC	FC
NV	EC/FC	•	WA	FC	FC
Amer. Samoa	FC	FC			
CNMI	EC/EN/FC	EN			
Guam	FC	FC			
Trust Territory	FC	EN/FC			

Summary of Bacterial Water Quality Standards for States and Territories Within EPA Regions

³ California has 9 Regional Boards; some use the 1986 indicator criteria, whereas some use fecal coliforms and total coliforms entirely or for other purposes.

Region/State	Tribe	Freshwater
Region 6		
NM	Isleta Pueblo	FC ¹
	Nambe Pueblo	FC
	Picuris Pueblo	FC
	Pojoaque Pueblo	FC
	Sandia Pueblo	FC
	San Juan Pueblo	FC
	Santa Clara Pueblo	FC
OK	Seminole Tribe	FC
Region 8		
CO	Southern Utes Tribe	FC
MT	Salish and Kootenai Tribes - Flathead Tribes	FC (Adopted)
	Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes - Fort Peck Reservation	EC (Proposed)
ND	Three Affiliated Tribes - Fort Berthold Reservation	FC (Proposed)
Region 9		
CA	Hoopa Valley Tribe	EC
Region 10		
WA	Chehalis Tribe	FC
	Colville Confederated Tribes	EN
	Puyallup Tribe	FC

Summary of Bacterial Water Quality Standards for Tribes Within EPA Regions

¹ FC = fecal coliforms; TC = total coliforms; EN = enterococci; EC = *Escherichia coli*.

Narrative Summary (as of September, 1997)

For freshwaters, 37 states, the District of Columbia, and two trust territories still rely on the 1968 200 FC/100 mL water standard as their primary indicator for recreational waters:

Alabama	Maryland	Oklahoma
Arkansas	Massachusetts	Pennsylvania
California (some regions)	Minnesota	Rhode Island
Colorado	Mississippi	South Carolina
District of Columbia	Missouri	South Dakota
Florida	Montana	Tennessee
Hawaii	Nebraska	Texas
Illinois	Nevada	Trust Territory
Iowa	New Jersey	Utah
Kansas	New Mexico	Virginia
Kentucky	New York	Wisconsin
Louisiana	North Carolina	West Virginia
Commonwealth of the	North Dakota	Wyoming
Northern Marianna	Ohio	
Islands		

Seven states and two territories have fecal and/or total coliform standards more stringent than 200 FC/ 100 mL and 1000 TC/100 mL:

Alaska	Guam	Massachusetts
American Samoa	Idaho	Rhode Island
California (some regions)	Illinois (Lake Michigan)	Washington

Eleven states and one territory have adopted an E. coli standard for freshwater:

Arizona	Commonwealth of the	New Hampshire
California	Northern Mariana	Ohio
(San Francisco Bay,	Islands	Oklahoma
San Diego, and	Michigan	Oregon
Colorado River Basin	Nevada	Vermont
regions)	(Lake Tahoe and	
Indiana	Humboldt River)	
Maine		

Six states, one tribe, and one territory use enterococci as a standard for freshwater:

California	Delaware	Texas
(San Francisco Bay,	Commonwealth of the	(Houston Ship Channel)
San Diego, Colorado	Northern Mariana	Washington-Colville
River Basin regions)	Islands	Confederated Tribes
Connecticut	New Jersey	
	Oklahoma	

Eight states and one territory use a combination of bacterial indicator standards:

California (*E. coli*, enterococci, fecal and total coliforms) Connecticut (enterococci, fecal and total coliforms) Ohio (*E. coli* and fecal coliforms) Oklahoma (choice of *E. coli*, enterococci, or fecal coliforms) Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (*E. coli* and enterococci) Michigan (*E. coli* and fecal coliforms) New Jersey (enterococci and fecal coliforms) New York (fecal and total coliforms) Rhode Island (fecal and total coliforms)

Twelve tribes use or have proposed using fecal coliform densities for evaluating freshwater quality, one uses enterococci, and two use or have proposed using *E. coli*.

Of those jurisdictions having marine water quality standards, 17 states and three trust territories use fecal coliforms:

Alabama Louisiana		Oregon
Alaska	Maryland	Rhode Island
American Samoa	Massachusetts	South Carolina
California	Mississippi	Texas
Florida	New Jersey	Virgin Islands
Georgia	New York	Virginia
Guam	North Carolina	Washington

Six states and one territory use enterococci:

Connecticut	Commonwealth of the	New Hampshire
Delaware	Northern Mariana	New Jersey
Hawaii	Islands	(1500 ft-3 mi from
	Maine	shoreline)

One state and two territories use a combination of fecal coliforms and enterococci:

California (San Diego Region, Shore) Puerto Rico Trust Territory

Six states and the District of Columbia have included provisions in which the numeric criteria for bacteria standards do not apply where CSOs and storm water discharges are likely to result in violations:

Delaware District of Columbia Iowa Michigan Missouri North Carolina Utah

Twenty-one states have seasonal standards, where the criteria apply only during the swimming season:

Alabama Arkansas Georgia Idaho Indiana Iowa Kansas Maine Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Nebraska North Dakota Oklahoma Pennsylvania South Dakota Vermont West Virginia Wyoming

			Fre	Freshwater		arine	
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary	
Region 1	Connecticut	Class AA	100 TC				
			•	sample to excee not meant for c			
		Class A/SA	33 EN	100 TC	33 EN		
			No single sample may exceed 61 EN. TC we monthly moving average. No more than 10% samples may exceed 500.				
		Class B/SB	33 EN	200 FC	33 EN		
			•	No single sample may exceed 61 EN. No more that 10% of FC single samples may exceed 400.			
	Comments:						
-	Maine	Class AA & A/SA	(see note)		(see note)		
			Note: Bacteria content may be as naturally occu				
		Class B/SB	64 EC		8 EN		
			For season May 15–September 30. Class B may no exceed instantaneous level of 427 EC. Class SB may not exceed instantaneous level of 54 EN.				
		Class C/SC	142 EC		14 EN		
			exceed ins	n May 15–Septe tantaneous level l instantaneous l	of 949 EC.	Class SC may	
	Comments:						
	Massachusetts	Class A/SA	20 FC		200 FC		
			Primary freshwater value based on arithmetic me No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed and 400, respectively. Marine value may be app seasonally.				

			Freshwater		M	arine	
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary	
Region 1	Massachusetts	Class B/SB	200 FC		200 FC		
				than 10% of FC lue may be app	•	•	
		Class C/SC		1000 FC		1000 FC	
			No more t	han 10% of FC	samples may	exceed 2000.	
	Comments:						
	New	Class A	47 EC		35 EN		
	Hampshire		No single sample may exceed 153 EC or 10 respectively. For "beach," no single sample exceed 88 EC. Based on minimum of 3 sample in a 60-day period.				
		Class B	126 EC		35 EN		
			No single sample may exceed 406 EC or 104 EN, respectively. Based on minimum of 3 samples taken in a 60-day period.				
		Class B	47 EC				
		(beaches)	-	e sample may of 3 samples ta			
		Temporary Partial Use	(none)	(none)	(none)	(none)	
	Comments:						
	Rhode Island	Class A/SA	100 TC 20 FC		70 TC 15FC		
				alues based on r pples may exceed than 10% of F0 spectively.	d 500 and 330), respectively.	

			Freshwater		Μ	arine	
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary	
Region 1	Rhode Island	Class B/SB	1000 TC		700 TC		
			Values based on median. No more than 20% and of TC samples may exceed 2400 and 2 respectively.				
			200 FC		50 FC		
			Values based on median. No more than 20% and of FC samples may exceed 500 and 500, respective (see note) (see note) (see note) Note: None in concentrations that would impruses assigned to this class.				
		Class C/SC					
	Comments:	Marine FC criter	iteria are guides pending further research.				
	Vermont	Class A	18 EC				
		Class B	77 EC				
			Secretary	may waive Octo	ber 31–April	1.	
	Comments:						
Region 2	New Jersey	Saline Coastal (SC) Waters			50 FC		
		Within 1500 ft of Coastline	35 EN				
		Freshwater 2 (FW2)	200 FC				
			No more t	han 10% of FC	samples may	exceed 400.	

			Fre	shwater	Marine	
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary
Region 2	New Jersey	FW2 (cont.)	33 EN			
			No single	sample may exc	ceed 61 EN.	
		Saline Estuary 1 (SE1) and SC			200 FC	
			No more t	han 10% of FC	samples may	exceed 400.
		1500 ft - 3 mi from shoreline			35 EN	
			No single			
		Saline Estuary 2 (SE2)				770 FC
		Saline Estuary 3 (SE3)				1500 FC
		Mainstem Delawa	re River and	Delaware Bay:		
		Zones 1C, 1D, 1E, 6	200 FC			
		Zone 2	200 FC	770 FC		
			Primary F 108.4	RM 133.4–117.8	81; secondar	y RM 133.4–
		Zones 3,4		770 FC		
		Zone 5	200 FC	770 FC		
			Primary R	M 59.5–48.2; se	econdary RM	[78.8–59.5
	Comments:					
	New York	Class AA	50 TC			
			samples n	ed on median. nay exceed 240 disinfection.		

			Freshwater Marine		arine	
Region	State	Class	Primary Second	ary	Primary	Secondary
Region 2	New York	Class A	2400 TC 200 FC			
			TC value based on m samples may exceed			an 20% of TC
		Class B/SB	2400 TC 200 FC		2400 TC 200 FC	
			TC values based on a samples may exceed			an 20% of TC
		Class C/SC	2400 TC 200 FC		2400 TC 200 FC	
			TC values based on a samples may exceed			an 20% of TC
		Class D/SD	2400 TC 200 FC		2400 TC 200 FC	
			TC values based on a samples may excee Class D waters. Th Class SD waters. C recreational purpose	d 500 here a lass S	0. Criteria re no bacteri	apply only to al criteria for
		Class I			10000 TC 2000 FC	
		Class A-Special (A-S)	1000 TC			
		Fresh Surface Water	200 FC			
	Comments:			_		

			Fre	shwater	Marine			
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary		
Region 2	Puerto Rico	Class SA			(see note)			
			Note: Ma	y not be altered	except by na	tural causes.		
		Class SB			35 EN 200 FC			
			35 EN for "intensely used waters"; otherwise, 2 FC. No more than 20% of FC samples may exceed 400.					
		Class SC	10,000 TC 2000 FC					
			No more than 20% of FC samples may exceed 400					
		Class SD	10,000 TC 2000 FC					
			No more t	han 20% of FC	samples may	exceed 4000.		
		Class SE			(see note)			
			Note: Nor by natural	ne of the parameter causes.	eters may be	altered, except		
	Comments:							
	Virgin Islands	Class A	(see note)					
			Note: E. changed.	xisting natural	conditions a	are not to be		
		Class B			70 FC			
		Class C			100 FC			
	Comments:							

			Fre	shwater	Μ	arine	
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary	
Region 3	Delaware		100 EN†		100 EN†		
			The criteria are valid only under condi characterized by the absence of rainfall-ind runoff. These values are Delaware's water qu standards.193 EN†155 EN†				
		These are Delaware's 1997 Recrea Quality Guidelines for bathing beache freshwater sample may exceed 360 EI marine sample may exceed 2,212 EN within one-half mile of Indian River Inl				es. No single N. No single N, or 460 EN	
<i>Comments: †EPA has not yet approved these criteria.</i>				ese criteria.			
	District of		200 FC†	1000 FC†			
	Columbia Numeric standard unionized NH ₃ do no flow conditions.						
	Comments:	†EPA has not yet	t approved th	ese criteria.			
	Maryland		200 FC	200 FC	200 FC	200 FC	
			No more t	han 10% of FC	samples may	exceed 400.	
	Comments:						
	Pennsylvania	Bac1	200 FC				
			Swimming season (May 1–September 30). 2000 FC applies during the rest of the year.			30). 2000 FC	
		Bac 2		5000 FC			
				han 20% of sam han 5% of samp			
		Bac 3		5000 FC			

			Freshwater		Marine				
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary			
Region 3	Pennsylvania	Bac 4		770 FC					
			Delaware River from head of tide to Burlington Brist Bridge.						
		Bac 5	200 FC						
			Delaware River from Burlington Bristol Br Pennsylvania-Delaware line.						
	Comments:								
	Virginia		200 FC	200 FC	200 FC	200 FC			
-			Based on two or more samples over 30 days. N sample may exceed a maximum of 1000 FC.						
	Comments:								
	West Virginia	Categories A&C	200FC						
			No more t	han 10% of FC	samples may	v exceed 400.			
		Ohio River	2000 FC						
		(Category C)	For nonree	creation season	November-A	April only.			
	Comments:								
Region 4	Alabama		200 FC	200 FC	100 FC	100 FC			
			Primary applies year-round. Secondary applies for ou of season (October–May). Out of season mean 200 FC; 4000 FC sample maximum for freshwater and marine waters.			on mean 2000			
	Comments:								

			Fre	eshwater	Marine			
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary		
Region 4	Florida		200 FC		200 FC			
			FC on any average. may exce	han 10% FC sar y one day. 1000 No more than 2 ed 1000. 2400 ed on minimum	TC maximu 20% of TC s TC maximu	m for monthly single samples m on any one		
	Comments:							
	Georgia		200 FC 200 FC 100 FC 200 I					
_			Primary applies year-round. Secondary applies for of season (October–May). Out of season, 1000 J 4000 FC sample maximum. Based on minimum o samples.					
	Comments:							
	Kentucky		200 FC 1000 FC					
			may exce	October; no mo eed 400 and 20 econdary contac	000, respecti	vely. Out of		
	Comments:							
	Mississippi	Recreation	200 FC		200 FC			
			No more t	han 10% of FC	samples may	v exceed 400.		
		Fish & Wildlife	200 FC	2000 FC	200 FC	2000 FC		
			No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 4 From November to April, secondary applies and more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 4000.					
	Comments:							

			Fre	shwater	Marine	
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary
Region 4	North Carolina	Class SA (shellfishing)	14 FC			
			samples m exposed t	median value. hay exceed 43 in to fecal contan le hydrographic	those areas in those areas in those areas in the second seco	most probably ing the most
		Class B/SB (Primary Recreation, Fresh\Tidal Salt)	200 FC		200 FC	
			No more t	han 20% of FC	samples may	exceed 400.
		Class C/SC (Secondary Recreation, Fresh\Tidal Salt)	200 FC 20			200 FC
			Violations of rainfall	than 20% of FC are expected im in segments whe lution prevents	mediately follere uncontroll	owing periods
	Comments:					
	South Carolina	Class FW/SA	200 FC 200 FC			
			No more t	han 10% of FC	samples may	exceed 400.
		Class SB				1000 FC
			No more t	han 20% of FC	samples may	exceed 2000.
	Comments:					
	Tennessee	Recreation	200 FC			
				minimum of 1 ay exceed 1000.	0 samples.	No single FC

			Fre	Freshwater		larine	
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary	
Region 4	Tennessee	Domestic Water Supply	1000 FC				
				a minimum of a system of a system of a system of the syste	10 samples.	No single FC	
		Fish & Wildlife	1000 FC				
			Based on a minimum of 10 samples. No single sample may exceed 5000.				
	Comments:						
Region 5	Illinois		200 FC				
			No more the	han 10% of FC	samples may	v exceed 400.	
		Lake Michigan	igan 20 FC				
	Comments:						
	Indiana		125 EC				
			For seasor 235 EC.	n April through	October. M	lay not exceed	
	Comments:						
	Michigan		130 EC 200 FC				
			sources. suspended affect a sev	Acceeded if due Primary stand due to flood, acc wer or wastewate November 1–A	lard can b cident, or en er treatment s	e temporarily nergencies that	
	Comments:	The EC value is a assessing effluent	-	pient monitoring	g; the FC va	lue is used for	

			Freshwater		Μ	arine		
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary		
Region 5	Minnesota	Class A	200 FC					
			No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400. Criterion applies only during the March 1–October 31 season.					
		Class B	200 FC					
			No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 200 Criterion applies only during the March 1–October season.					
	Comments:							
	Ohio	Lake Erie & Ohio River Uses	200 FC 126 EC					
			No more than 10% of FC samples m No more than 10% of EC samples m Based on not less than 5 samples tak 30-day period.					
		Rest of state	1000 FC 126 EC	5000 FC 576 EC				
			and 5000,	han 10% of FC respectively. may exceed y].	No more that	in 10% of EC		
	Comments:	Both Lake Erie and the Ohio River are designated as bathing waters . F each designation, at least one of the two bacterial standards (FC or E- must be met. These criteria apply outside the mixing zone.						
	Wisconsin		200 FC					
				than 10% of FC vater segments h	-	•		
	Comments:							

			Fre	Freshwater		arine			
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary			
Region 6	Arkansas		200 FC	1000 FC					
			No more than 10% of FC sample may exceed 400 ar 2000, respectively. For extraordinary resource water primary standard always applies; for other water primary standard in effect April 1–September 30. Re of year, secondary applies.						
	Comments:								
	Lousiana		200 FC	1000 FC	200 FC	1000 FC			
				than 10% of FC respectively.	C samples ma	ay exceed 400			
-	Comments:								
	New Mexico		200 FC 1000 FC						
			C or 2000 FC,						
		Select Segments:	100 FC						
			No single	sample may exc	ceed 200 FC				
	Comments:								
	Oklahoma		200 FC 126 EC 33 EN	Narrative					
			-	September; rest on No more than 0.	-	•			
	Comments:	Adopted WQS to	allow choice	e of FC, EC, EN	r •				

			Freshwater		M	Marine		
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary		
Region 6	Texas		200 FC	2000 FC	200 FC	2000 FC		
			No more than 10% of samples may exceed 400 FC. 10 or fewer samples collected, no single sample n exceed 400 FC and 4000 FC, respectively.					
		Houston Ship Channel	168 EN					
			samples) o may exce	than 10% of E or a single samp ed 500. This of the Houston	le (if fewer that criterion ap	an 10 samples) plies for two		
	Comments:	Texas Department of Health uses most probable number (MPN) methodology; Texas Natural Resources Conservation Commission uses membrane filtration (MF) methodology.						
Region 7	Iowa		200 FC					
			For April 1–October 31 season. Excepted wh waters are materially affected by surface runoff, b FC levels downstream from discharge may not >200 more than the background level upstream.					
	Comments:							
	Kansas		200 FC	2000 FC				
			Primary c	than 10% of Fe contact use ap applies year-re	plies April 1	-		
	Comments:	Classified surface waters may be excluded from the application of numeric criteria for fecal coliform when stream flow exceeds 50% of estimated 2-year flood flow.						
	Missouri		200 FC					
			-	s when the streater runoff. App		•		
	Comments:	State applies FC WQC to designated losing streams also, but on a year round basis.						

			Fre	shwater	Marine			
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary		
Region 7	Nebraska		200 FC					
				than 10% of FC Iay 1– Septembe	-	y exceed 400.		
	Comment:							
Region 8	Colorado		200 FC	2000 FC				
	Comments:							
	Montana	Class A	50 FC					
		Class B-E	200 FC					
			No more t	han 10% of FC	samples may	exceed 400.		
	Comments:							
	North Dakota		200 FC					
			Only duri	ng recreation sea	ason May 1–S	September 30.		
	Comments:							
	South Dakota		200 FC	1000 FC				
			and 1000, exceed 40	than 20% of FC respectively. A 00 and 2000, re ptember 30.	any one FC sa	imple may not		
	Comments:							

			Fre	Freshwater		arine		
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary		
Region 8	Utah	Class 2A	1000 TC 200 FC					
			Failure of stream to meet WQS when flow unusually high is not a cause for action if discharge meeting permit requirements.					
		Class 2B		5000 TC 200 FC				
	Comments:							
	Wyoming		200 FC	1000 FC				
			No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400 and 2000, respectively. For recreational season May 1 –September 30.					
	Comments:							
Region 9	American Samoa		100 FC		100 FC			
			No more t	han 10% of FC	samples may	exceed 200.		
	Comments:							
	Arizona		130 EC	1000 FC				
			Single sample maximum of 580 EC in primary. N more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400 ar 2000, respectively. Limits of 200 FC also apply secondary waters that are effluent-dominated.					
	Comments:							

			Fre	shwater	Μ	arine	
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary	
Region 9	California	North Coastal Region	50 FC		50 FC		
			No more t	han 10% of FC	samples may	exceed 400.	
		SF Bay Region	200 FC 240 TC	2000 FC	200 FC 240 TC	2000 FC	
			No more t	han 10% of FC	samples may	exceed 400.	
			33 EN† 126 EC†				
			†Maximum EN and EC limits vary by level of use.				
		Central Coast Region	200 FC	2000 FC	200 FC	2000 FC	
			No more t	han 10% of FC	samples may	exceed 400.	
		Los Angles	200 FC	2000 FC	200 FC	2000 FC	
		Region	No more the	han 10% of FC	samples may	exceed 400.	
		Central Valley	200 FC				
		Region	No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400.				
		Folsom Lake:	100 FC				
			No more t	han 10% of FC	samples may	exceed 200.	
		Lahontan Region	20 FC				
		(Eagle Lake, Susan River, Lake Tahoe)	No more t	han 10% of FC	samples may	exceed 400.	

			Fre	shwater	M	arine
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary
Region 9	California	Colorado River Basin Region	200 FC 33 EN 126 EC	630 EC 165 EN		
				han 10% of FC mum limits for l	•	•
		Santa Ana	200 FC	2000 FC	200 FC	2000 FC
		Region	100 TC m	han 10% of FC aximum in lake vater supply.		
		San Diego Region	200 FC 33 EN 126 EC	2000 FC	200 FC 35 EN	2000 FC
		Ocean Plan			1000 TC 200 FC	
				nan 20% of TC s stuaries. No mo ed 400.		
		Shore			24 EN	
			12 EN me	an over 6 montl	15.	
	Comments:					
	Hawaii		200 FC		7 EN†	
			Inland: ba than 10% †Marine: b			
	Comments:					

			Free	shwater	N	Marine		
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary		
Region 9	Guam	M1/S1/Shellfish	(see note)		(see note)			
				may not be at any time.	increased	from natural		
		M2/S2	70 FC		70 FC			
			Values based on arithmetic mean. No FC sample exceed 400 at any time.					
		M3/S3	200 FC 200 FC					
			Values based on arithmetic mean. No FC sample exceed 400 at any time.					
_	Comments:	All Guam standards based on a minimum of 4 samples.						
	Common- wealth of the	All waters	200 FC 200 FC					
	Northern Mariana Islands		No FC samples may exceed 400 at any time.					
		Class AA			35 EN			
		Class 1	33 EN 125 EC		35 EN			
		Class A			125 EN			
		Class 2	90 EN 300 EC					
	Comments:	All Mariana Islan	nds standards	s based on a mi	nimum of 5	samples.		

			Freshwater		Marine		
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary	
Region 9	Nevada	Class A and B	200 FC				
			No more th	nan 10% of FC	samples may	v exceed 400.	
	Wate	ers not listed below	200 FC	1000 FC			
			More stringent of the following: For 1000 FC, no more than 20% of samples exceed 2400 FC. Annual geometric mean concentration may not exceed characteristics of na conditions by more than 200 FC, nor 400 FC single sample. For primary, no more than 10% of samples may exceed 400.				
		Lake Tahoe and Tributaries and	126 EC				
		Humboldt River Basin	The take Tahoe also has FC limits between 5 a (median) for offshore and undeveloped lake sh Humboldt River Basin has single value of 406				
	Comments:						
	Trust Territory	Classes AA and 1 (shellfish)	70 FC		70 FC		
			Values bas exceed 230	sed on median.).	No FC sing	le sample may	
		Classes			33 EN		
		AAand A	No EN single sample may exceed 60.				
		Classes A, B, and 2	200 FC		200 FC		
			No FC sing	FC single sample may exceed 400.			
	Comments:	All Trust Territor	y standards l	based on a min	imum of 10 s	amples.	

			Fre	Freshwater		Marine		
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary		
Region 10	Alaska		100 FC	200 FC	100 FC	200 FC		
			No more than 1 sample, or 10% of the samples if there are more than 10 samples, may exceed 200 FC and 400 FC for both freshwater and marine, primary and secondary, respectively.					
	Comments:	must be used. The section of 20 FC usual TMDL develop criterion is for the samples matching t	ates all waters for all uses, and the most stringent criteria Therefore, for freshwater, the drinking water use criterion by drives most NPDES permit actions, $303(d)$ listings, and benent. For marine waters, the most stringent bacterial the seafood processing use = 20 FC (no more than 10% of the seafood PC). Even though Alaska has 100 FC/200 FC in criteria, more stringent criteria for other use categories be.					
	Idaho		50 FC	50 FC 200 FC				
			and 400, r respectivel May 1–Se	No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 200 and 400, respectively. May not exceed 500 and 800, respectively, at any time. Levels apply during season May 1–September 30 for primary only; secondary applies all other times.				
	Comments:							
	Oregon		126 EC		14 FC			
			more than For estuar same crite	ater single samp 10% of FC mari rine waters oth rion as freshwa h shellfish, sam	ine samples n er than shell ter criterion.	hay exceed 43. Ifish growing, For estuarine		
	Comments:							

			Freshwater		Marine		
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary	
Region 10	Washington	Class AA (extraordinary)	50 FC		14 FC		
				more than 10% of FC samples may exc d 43, respectively.			
		Class A (excellent)	100 FC		14 FC		
			No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 200 and 43, respectively.				
		Class B (good)		200 FC		100 FC	
			No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400 and 200, respectively. Only designated for secondary contact.				
		Class C (fair)				200 FC	
			No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400. Only designated for secondary contact.				
		Lake Class	50 FC				
			No more t	han 10% of FC	samples may	v exceed 100.	
	Coleville Conf. Tribes	Class I (extraordinary)	8 EN				
			No single	sample may exc	ceed 35 EN.		
		Class II (excellent)	16 EN				
			No single sample may exceed 75 EN.				
		Class III (good)		33 EN			
			-	e sample may I for secondary) EN. Only	
		Lake Class	33 EN				
			No single sample may exceed 150 EN.				

Region	State	Class	Freshwater		Marine	
			Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary
Region 10	Washington (Coleville Conf. Tribes)	Class IV (special resource waters)	(see note)			
			•	v not exceed natu ary and seconda	ral conditions. Applies	s. Applies for
	Comments:	Chehalis and Puyallup tribal water quality standards are identical to Washington's standards for bacteria.				

Sources: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Regional Offices and Office of Science and Technology, Standards and Applied Science Division.