SENATE

REPORT 108–284

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2005

JUNE 24, 2004.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. Stevens, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany S. 2559]

The Committee on Appropriations reports the bill (S. 2559) making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2005, and for other purposes, reports favorably thereon and recommends that the bill do pass.

New obligational authority

Total of bill as reported to Senate	\$416,153,400,000
Total of 2005 budget estimate	417,807,305,000
Amount of fiscal year 2004 enacted with	, , ,
supplementals	431,541,115,000
The bill as reported to the Senate:	, , ,
Below fiscal year 2005 budget estimate	1,653,905,000
Below enacted appropriations for fiscal year	
2004	15,387,715,000

CONTENTS

Background:	Page
Purpose of the Bill	4
Hearings	4
Summary of the Bill	4
Title I:	
Military Personnel:	
Military Personnel Overview	9
Military Personnel, Army	11
Military Personnel, Navy	13
Military Personnel, Marine Corps	14
Military Personnel, Air Force	16
Reserve Personnel, Army	17
Reserve Personnel, Navy	18
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps	19
Reserve Personnel, Air Force	20
National Guard Personnel, Army	21
National Guard Personnel, Air Force	22
Title II:	
Operation and Maintenance:	
Operation and Maintenance Overview	24
Operation and Maintenance, Army	25
Operation and Maintenance, Navy	27
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	31
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	32
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	35
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	38
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	39
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	40
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	41
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	41
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	43
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Account	44
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces	44
Environmental Restoration, Army	44
Environmental Restoration, Navy	44
Environmental Restoration, Air Force	44
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide	44
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites	44
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid	45
Former Soviet Union Threat Reduction	45
Title III:	45
Procurement:	
Procurement Overview	47
	47
Aircraft Procurement, Army	
Missile Procurement, Army	51
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	54
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	57
Other Procurement, Army	61
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	70
Weapons Procurement, Navy	75
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps	78
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy	81
Other Procurement, Navy	83
Procurement, Marine Corps	93
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	100

	Page
Title III—Continued	
Procurement—Continued	
Missile Procurement, Air Force	106
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	109
Other Procurement, Air Force	111
Procurement, Defense-Wide	117
National Guard and Reserve Equipment	122
Defense Production Act Purchases	126
Title IV:	
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation:	
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army	130
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy	147
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force	158
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-wide	170
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	181
Title V:	
Revolving and Management Funds:	
Defense Working Capital Funds	183
National Defense Sealift Fund	183
Title VI:	
Other Department of Defense Programs:	
Defense Health Program	184
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Army	189
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense	190
Office of the Inspector General	190
Title VII:	
Related Agencies:	
Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System	
Fund	191
Intelligence Community Management Account	191
National Security Education Trust Fund	191
Title VIII: General Provisions	193
Title IX:	
Contingent Emergency Reserve Fund:	100
Iraq Freedom Fund	199
Compliance With Paragraph 7, Rule XVI, of the Standing Rules of the Sen-	200
ate	206
Compliance With Paragraph 7(c), Rule XXVI, of the Standing Rules of the	200
Senate	206
Compliance With Paragraph 12, Rule XXVI, of the Standing Rules of the	000
Senate	206

BACKGROUND

PURPOSE OF THE BILL

This bill makes appropriations for the military functions of the Department of Defense for the period October 1, 2004, through September 30, 2005. Functional areas include the pay, allowances, and support of military personnel, operation and maintenance of the forces, procurement of equipment and systems, and research, development, test, and evaluation. Appropriations for foreign military assistance, military construction, family housing, nuclear weapons programs, and civil defense are provided in other bills.

HEARINGS

The Appropriations Subcommittee on Defense began hearings on March 1, 2004, and concluded them on June 2, 2004, after eleven separate sessions. The subcommittee heard testimony from representatives of the Department of Defense, other Federal agencies, representatives of organizations, and the public.

SUMMARY OF THE BILL

The Committee recommendation of \$416,153,400,000 pays for the on-going programs of the Department of Defense and to transform the military for the new century.

The fiscal year 2005 budget request for activities funded in the Department of Defense Appropriations bill totals \$417,807,305,000 in new budget authority. This amount includes \$392,824,305,000 requested in February, and \$25,000,000,000 requested in a budget amendment requested in May of this year.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

The following table displays the recommendations for each title: [In thousands of dollars]

	Fiscal year 2004 enacted	Fiscal year 2005 estimate	Committee recommendation
Title I—Military Personnel	98,453,681 115,914,877 74,656,047 65,217,884 2,707,969 18,228,339 427,943	104,811,558 121,874,589 74,662,317 67,772,288 2,955,138 20,109,660 551,755	103,869,413 121,410,132 76,466,514 68,768,845 2,127,822 20,592,160 566,755
Title VIII—General provisions (net)	- 6,912,179	70,000	-2,648,241
Title IX—Contingent Emergency Reserve Fund		25,000,000	25,000,000
Grand total	368,694,561	417,807,305	416,153,400

CLASSIFIED PROGRAM ADJUSTMENTS

The Committee recommends adjustments to certain classified programs, as explained in the classified annex to the Committee's report.

BUDGETARY IMPACT OF BILL

PREPARED IN CONSULTATION WITH THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE PURSUANT TO SEC. 308(a), PUBLIC LAW 93-344, AS AMENDED

	lions		

	Budget	authority	Outlays	
	Committee allocation	Amount of bill	Committee allocation	Amount of bill
Comparison of amounts in the bill with Committee allocations to its subcommittees of amounts in the Budget Resolution for 2005: Subcommittee on Defense:				
Discretionary	NA NA	383,773	NA NA	¹ 401,546
Mandatory	l NA	239	NA NA	¹ 239
Projection of outlays associated with the recommendation:				
2004				² 20
2005				278,403
2006				85,353
2007				23,743
2008				8,013
2009 and future years				5,558
Financial assistance to State and local governments for				
2005	NA		NA	

¹ Includes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

NA: Not applicable.

FUNDING WITHHOLDS

The Committee remains concerned with the department-wide practice of withholding appropriated funds as a mechanism for providing flexibility in managing the procurement and research and development accounts. As requested in the conference report to the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2004, the conferees requested that the General Accounting Office [GAO] initiate a review of the Department's practices with regard to withholds. GAO determined that funds are withheld to fund laboratory overhead costs, redirected to other programs for emerging requirements, and, in some cases, used to initiate new start programs without the notification or approval of Congress. It appears that the Department has limited oversight and no standardized Departmental policy for managing withholds and that the Services' have limited visibility into how withholds are assessed and managed at lower echelon commands. In addition, the preliminary GAO findings indicate that statutory withhold such as Small Business Innovative Research are not uniformly applied to all programs.

The Committee is greatly concerned by evidence indicating that

The Committee is greatly concerned by evidence indicating that program managers within the Department routinely inflate budget estimates in anticipation of incurring withholds during the year of execution. This practice by the Department obscures the actual cost of programs and diminishes the Committee's ability to fulfill its oversight responsibility.

² Excludes outlays from prior-year budget authority

The Committee is also aware of the Departmental practice that allows programs to "borrow" funds from one another with the understanding that they will be reimbursed in future years. The Committee has found several examples where funding requests to the Congress were purposefully inflated to cover outstanding reimbursements owed to other programs, the most egregious of which is where the budget request in its entirety is sought for this pur-

pose.

In order to provide greater oversight and compliance with congressional intent, the Committee directs that statutory withholds such as those for Small Business Innovative Research shall be applied uniformly to each program element, project and activity within an account. The Committee further directs that funds shall not be withheld from congressional interest items to pay for service laboratory overhead. Finally, the Committee directs that funds shall not be budgeted for non-statutory withholds, nor shall funds be budgeted within a program or activity for reimbursement to other programs as a repayment for funds transferred to a program

in a prior fiscal year.

With regard to new start programs, the Committee finds that the Department is not abiding by section 8103 of Public Law 108–87 that prohibits the use of funds provided to initiate a new start program without notification of the congressional defense committees. The Committee reminds the Department and its officers that a violation of this section is a violation of section 1341 of title 31, United States Code, a provision of law formerly known as the Antideficiency Act. Anyone who violates that law is subject to criminal penalties; violations of all associated provisions of law require investigation and reporting to Congress. The Committee recommends strengthening the provision to now require written notification 30 days prior to the initiation of any new start program and expects the Department to strictly adhere to the provision.

TITLE I

MILITARY PERSONNEL

Funds appropriated under this title provide the resources required for basic pay, retired pay accrual, Medicare eligible retiree health care accrual, employer's contribution for Social Security taxes, basic allowance for housing, basic allowance for subsistence, special and incentive pays, permanent change of station travel, and other personnel costs for uniformed members of the Armed Forces.

The President's fiscal year 2005 budget requests a total of \$104,811,558,000 for military personnel appropriations. This request funds an Active component end strength of 1,383,000 and a Reserve component end strength of 860,900.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION

The Committee recommends military personnel appropriations totaling \$103,869,413,000 for fiscal year 2005. This is \$942,145,000 below the budget estimate.

The Committee recommends funding an Active component end strength of 1,403,000 for fiscal year 2005, an increase of 20,000 above the budget estimate. The Committee recommends funding a Reserve component end strength of 860,900 for fiscal year 2005, the same as the budget estimate.

Committee recommended military personnel appropriations for fiscal year 2005 are summarized below:

SUMMARY OF MILITARY PERSONNEL APPROPRIATIONS

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Military Personnel:			
Army	29,723,472	29,457,797	- 265,675
Navy	24,459,957	24,330,171	- 129,786
Marine Corps	9,595,902	9,567,768	- 28,134
Air Force	24,510,811	24,165,011	- 345,800
Reserve Personnel:			
Army	3,733,590	3,675,390	- 58,200
Navy	2,171,632	2,132,432	- 39,200
Marine Corps	654,973	624,973	- 30,000
Air Force	1,464,050	1,458,650	- 5,400
National Guard Personnel:			
Army	5,950,729	5,913,329	- 37,400
Air Force	2,546,442	2,543,892	- 2,550
Total	104,811,558	103,869,413	- 942,145

Committee recommended end-strengths for fiscal year 2005 are summarized below:

RECOMMENDED END STRENGTH

Item	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Active:			
Army	482,400	502,400	+ 20,000
Navy	365,900	365,900	
Marine Corps	175,000	175,000	
Air Force	359,700	359,700	
Subtotal	1,383,000	1,403,000	+ 20,000
Selected Reserve:			
Army Reserve	205,000	205,000	
Navy Reserve	83,400	83,400	
Marine Corps Reserve	39,600	39,600	
Air Force Reserve	76,100	76,100	
Army National Guard	350,000	350,000	
Air National Guard	106,800	106,800	
Subtotal	860,900	860,900	
Total	2,243,900	2,263,900	+ 20,000

Committee recommended end-strengths for full-time support of the Reserve and Guard for fiscal year 2005 are summarized below:

RECOMMENDED ACTIVE GUARD AND RESERVE END STRENGTH

Item	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Army Reserve Navy Reserve Marine Corps Reserve Air Force Reserve Army National Guard Air National Guard	14,970 14,152 2,261 1,900 26,476 12,225	14,970 14,152 2,261 1,900 26,602 12,253	+ 126 + 28
Total	71,984	72,138	+ 154

RECOMMENDED MILITARY TECHNICIANS END STRENGTH

Item	2005 budget estimate	Committee rec- ommendation	Change from budget estimate
Dual Status (minimum levels):			
Army Reserve	7,299	7,299	
Air Force Reserve	9,954	9,954	
Army National Guard	25,076	25,076	
Air National Guard	22,956	22,956	
Subtotal	65,285	65,285	
Non Dual Status (numerical limits):			
Army Reserve	795	795	
Air Force Reserve	90	90	
Army National Guard	1,600	1,600	
Air National Guard	350	350	
Subtotal	2,835	2,835	
Total:			
Army Reserve	8,094	8,094	
Air Force Reserve	10,044	10,044	
Army National Guard	26,676	26,676	

RECOMMENDED MILITARY TECHNICIANS END STRENGTH—Continued

Item	2005 budget estimate	Committee rec- ommendation	Change from budget estimate
Air National Guard	23,306	23,306	
Total	68,120	68,120	

MILITARY PERSONNEL OVERVIEW

The Committee notes that the fiscal year 2005 budget estimate represents a significant increase in the military personnel accounts. The fiscal year 2005 military personnel budget estimate is \$5,867,293,000 above the fiscal year 2004 estimate. Major initiatives include:

Across-the-Board Pay Raise.—The budget estimate proposes and the Senate reported version of the fiscal year 2005 National Defense Authorization Bill authorizes a 3.5 percent across-the-board pay raise for military personnel. The Committee supports this pay raise.

Reduced Out-of-Pocket Housing Costs.—The budget estimate includes funds to eliminate the out-of-pocket housing cost for military members. The increase in Basic Allowance for Housing funding reduces a service member's average out-of-pocket housing expense from the current 3.5 percent to zero in fiscal year 2005. The Committee supports the requested funding which should eliminate the average out-of-pocket expense by the end of fiscal year 2005.

Active Component End Strength.—The Committee supports the President's budget request for a decrease of 7,900 to Navy active end strength and an increase of 400 to Air Force active end strength. In addition, the Committee recommends an increase of 20,000 to Army end strength as authorized in S. 2400, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005. Consistent with this legislation, the Committee provides funding for additional Army end strength in a contingent emergency reserve fund under Title IX of this bill.

Reserve Component End Strength.—The Committee supports the President's budget request for a decrease of 2,500 to Navy Reserve end strength, an increase of 300 to Air Force Reserve end strength, and a decrease of 230 to Air National Guard end strength.

PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION [PCS] FUNDING

The Navy and Marine Corps budget estimates include \$835,050,000 and \$337,858,000, respectively, for Permanent Change of Station [PCS] funding. Collectively, this is \$150,553,000, or 14.7 percent, above amounts provided in the fiscal year 2004 Defense Appropriations Act (Public Law 108–87). In contrast, the Army and Air Force request modest PCS funding increases of 3.4 and 1.2 percent, respectively. The Committee considers the PCS cost growth in the Navy and Marine Corps accounts excessive and recommends a 3.5 percent increase. As a result, the Committee reduces the Navy request by \$86,636,000 and the Marine Corps request by \$28,134,000.

SELECTIVE REENLISTMENT BONUSES

On April 30, 2004, the Air Force cut the number of specialty codes eligible for selective reenlistment bonuses from 146 to 62. The Air Force implemented these reductions in an effort to lower its manning level which is more than 20,000 above authorized end strength. The initiative is expected to reduce the fiscal year 2005 selective reenlistment bonus funding requirement for the Air Force by \$82,000,000. The Committee, therefore, reduces the budget request by this amount.

The Navy request includes \$362,486,000 for selective reenlistments bonuses in fiscal year 2005. This estimate includes 356 more initial selective reenlistment bonus payments than were provided to sailors in fiscal year 2004. The Committee considers the proposed selective reenlistment bonus growth in this account unnecessary when overall retention rates are high, other pay and benefits for sailors are increasing overall, and the Navy plans to reduce its manpower by 7,900 personnel in fiscal year 2005. As a result, the Committee reduces the Navy request by \$12,000,000.

UNEXPENDED BALANCES

As part of an annual budget review, the General Accounting Office [GAO] identifies prior year unobligated and unexpended funds in the "Military Personnel" accounts. Over the past several years, this review has demonstrated a pattern by the Services' of underspending their military personnel appropriations. As a result, GAO believes the fiscal year 2005 budget request is overstated. The Committee, therefore, recommends a reduction of \$375,000,000 to the budget estimate, distributed as follows:

ſIn	thousands	nf	dollars	

Account	Committee rec- ommendation
Military Personnel, Army	- 178,000 - 25,000 - 172,000
Total adjustments	- 375,000

AIR FORCE END STRENGTH

The Committee is deeply concerned the Air Force is more than 20,000 personnel above authorized end strength. In comparison, the Army and Marine Corps are over authorized end strength by 13,000 and 600, respectively. While encouraged by steps the Air Force is taking to reduce manpower, the Committee considers it necessary that the Air Force implement an expeditious and responsible plan to return to authorized end strength by the end of fiscal year 2005. To continue funding this additional manpower in future supplemental requests is not a fair investment for the American taxpayer when major investments are needed to sustain ground operations in Iraq, Afghanistan, and other areas around the world. The Committee, therefore, directs the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a detailed plan, which includes timelines and goals, for how the service will return to authorized strength by the end of fiscal

year 2005. This plan is to be submitted to the congressional defense committees no later than October 15, 2004.

MEDICAL/DENTAL CARE AND DISABILITY BENEFITS FOR CADETS AND MIDSHIPMEN

The Committee supports enhanced benefits for cadets and midshipmen at the services academies, as included in S. 2400, National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005. If this provision is enacted, the Committee directs the Department to fund the enhanced medical and dental care and disability benefits within amounts provided in this bill.

NATO MISSION SUPPORT COSTS

The budget estimate includes funds to support a full fiscal year of NATO peacekeeping efforts in Bosnia. This mission and current operations, however, are expected to be complete by December 2004. At that time, NATO Forces will be replaced by a police force under European Union [EU] control. Due to this planned mission transfer, the Committee revises the budget estimates for military personnel and operation and maintenance to reflect end of operations by December 2004.

MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY

Appropriations, 2004	\$28,247,667,000
Budget estimate, 2005	
Committee recommendation	29,457,797,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$29,457,797,000. This is \$265,675,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY			
	ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS:			
5	BASIC PAY	4,587,538	4,587,538	
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,261,573	1,261,573	
15	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM ACCRUAL	426,390	426,390	
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,019,189	1,019,189	
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	173,278	173,278	
35	INCENTIVE PAYS	99,608	99,608	
40	SPECIAL PAYS	211,637	211,637	
45	ALLOWANCES	78,958	78,958	
50	SEPARATION PAY	73,427	73,427	
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	344,712	344,712	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	8,276,310	8,276,310	
	ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOW OF ENLISTED PERS:			
60	BASIC PAY	9,914,330	9,914,330	
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	2,726,441	2,726,441	
70	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM ACCRUAL	2,175,161	2,175,161	
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	2,322,693	2,322,693	
85	INCENTIVE PAYS	72 293	72 293	

12

		Г	T	
Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
90	SPECIAL PAYS	452,955	452,955	
95	ALLOWANCES	474,907	474,907	
100	SEPARATION PAY	287,296	287,296	
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	753,051	753,051	
100	OUTIL OLOUNTY TAX	755,051	755,051	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	19,179,127	19,179,127	
	ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOW OF CADETS:			
110	ACADEMY CADETS	50,969	50,969	
	ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERS:			
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	805,410	805,410	
120	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	520,687	520,687	
121	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	· '		
121	FAMILY SUBSISIENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	1,537	1,537	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	1,327,634	1,327,634	
	ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION:			
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL	195,971	195,971	l
130	TRAINING TRAVEL	53,235	53,235	
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	177,814	177,814	
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	438,795	438,795	
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL	154,083	154,083	
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	3,492	3,492	
155	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	31,387	31,387	
160	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	20,456	20,456	
100	TEM OWN ESDAING EN ENGE	20,100	20,100	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5	1,075,233	1,075,233	
	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERS COSTS:			
170	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	615	615	
175	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	202	202	
180	DEATH GRATUITIES	6,768	6,768	
185	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	78,595	78,595	
190	SURVIVOR BENEFITS	4,098	4,098	
195	EDUCATION BENEFITS	4,268	4,268	
200	ADOPTION EXPENSES	248	248	
210	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	4,365	4,365	
215	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	2,500	2,500	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6	101,659	101,659	
215	LESS REIMBURSABLES	- 287,460	- 287,460	
240	NATO MISSION SUPPORT COSTS	- 207,400	- 87,675	- 87,675
245	GAO UNEXPENDED BALANCES		- 67,673 - 178,000	- 67,673 - 178,000
243	UND UNLAI LINDED DALANGES		- 176,000	- 170,000
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY	29,723,472	29,457,797	- 265,675

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	Item	Committee recommendation
240 245	NATO Mission Support Costs GAO Unexpended Balances	-87,675 $-178,000$
	Total adjustments	- 265,675

MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY

Appropriations, 2004	\$23,217,298,000
Budget estimate, 2005	24,459,957,000
Committee recommendation	24.330.171.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$24,330,171,000. This is \$129,786,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY			
	ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS:			
5	BASIC PAY	3,160,423	3,160,423	
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	869,116	869,116	
15	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM ACCRUAL	289,527	289,527	
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	915,574	915,574	
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	116,645	116,645	
35	INCENTIVE PAYS	205,214	205,214	
40	SPECIAL PAYS	267,224	267,224	
45	ALLOWANCES	77.403	77,403	
50	SEPARATION PAY	26.518	26,518	
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	240,344	240,344	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	6,167,988	6,167,988	
	ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOW OF ENLISTED PERS:			
60	BASIC PAY	8,016,715	8,016,715	
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	2,204,596	2,204,596	
70	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM ACCRUAL	1,686,914	1,686,914	
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	2,555,677	2,555,677	
85	INCENTIVE PAYS	110,276	110,276	
90	SPECIAL PAYS	870,069	858,069	- 12,000
95	ALLOWANCES	448,187	448,187	
100	SEPARATION PAY	165,017	165,017	
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	608,278	608,278	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	16,665,729	16,653,729	-12,000
110	ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOW OF MIDSHIPMEN: MIDSHIPMEN	52,840	52.840	
110		32,640	32,640	
	ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERS:			
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	613,780	613,780	
120	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	394,575	394,575	
121	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	500	500	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	1,008,855	1,008,855	
	ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION:			
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL	53,895	53,895	
130	TRAINING TRAVEL	77.715	77.715	
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	212,056	212,056	
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	330,555	330,555	
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL	96,996	96,996	
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	29,934	29,934	
155	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	12,151	12,151	
160	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	14,126	14,126	
165	OTHER	7,622	7,622	
167	PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION REDUCTION		-86,636	- 86,636
			****	,

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5	835,050	748,414	- 86,636
	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS:			
170	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	825	825	
175	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	209	209	
180	DEATH GRATUITIES	3,036	3,036	
185	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	59,943	59,943	
190	SURVIVOR BENEFITS	1,721	1,721	
195	EDUCATION BENEFITS	1,370	1,370	
200	ADOPTION EXPENSES	246	246	
210	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	3,951	3,951	
215	OTHER	2,200	2,200	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6	73,501	73,501	
215	LESS REIMBURSABLES	- 344.006	- 344.006	
245	NATO MISSION SUPPORT COSTS		-6,150	- 6,150
250	GAO UNEXPENDED BALANCES		-25,000	- 25,000
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY	24,459,957	24,330,171	- 129,786

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	Committee recommendation
90 167 245 250	Selective Reenlistment Bonus Permanent Change of Station Reduction NATO Mission Support Costs GAO Unexpended Balances	- 12,000 - 86,636 - 6,150 - 25,000
	Total adjustments	- 129,786

MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2004	\$8,971,897,000
Budget estimate, 2005	9,595,902,000
Committee recommendation	9,567,768,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$9,567,768,000. This is \$28,134,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS			
	ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS:			
5	BASIC PAY	1,079,187	1,079,187	
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	296,778	296,778	
15	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM ACCRUAL	100,083	100,083	
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	266,245	266,245	

15

BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE 39,474 39,474 39,474 35,575 35,680 3,540 3,541 3,545			I		
1.00 1.00	Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1.00 1.00	30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	39 474	39 474	
SPECIAL PAYS 3,680 3,680 45 ALLOWANCES 22,819 22,819 22,819 50 SPEARATION PAY 9,351 9,351 9,351 50 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX 82,558 82,558 82,558 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 1,947,543 1,947,543 ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOW OF ENLISTED PERS:			,		
ALLOWANCES 22,819 22,819 50 SEPARATION PAY 9,351 9,351 82,558 82,582 82,582 82,582 82,582 82,582 82,582 82,582 82,582 82,582 82,582 82,582 82,582 82,582 82,582 82,5				,	
SEPARATION PAY Social Security Tax Separation Pay Social Security Tax Separation Pay Social Security Tax Separation Pay Separa					
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1			,		
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 1,947,543 1,947,543 ACTIVITY 2. PAY AND ALLOW OF ENLISTED PERS: BASIC PAY 3,549,705 3,549,705 70 DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM ACCRUAL 835,058 835,059 835					
ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOW OF ENLISTED PERS: BASIC PAY OEFFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM ACCRUAL DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM ACCRUAL BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING OEFFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM ACCRUAL BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING PSPECIAL PAYS IT7,855 117,855 117,855 117,855 117,855 117,769 SEPARATION PAY OEFFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM ACCRUAL BASIC ALLOWANCES IT72,769 SEPCIAL PAYS TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2 ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL: BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION: ACCTIVITY 6: SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 DOTAL BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION: ACCTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION: ACCTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL 125 TRANING TRAVEL 126 127 128 TOTAL BUDGET ACTIVITY 5 ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL 129 ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS: APPREHENSION OF MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS: APPREHENSI	33	SOUNE SECONOTI TAX	02,550	02,330	
BASIC PAY 3,549,705 3,549,705 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL 973,916 973,918 978,928 978,328 978,328 978,328 978,328 978,328 978,328 978,328 978,328 978,328 978,328 978,328 978,328 978,328 978,328 978,328 978,328 978,328 978,329 978,320 978,32			1,947,543	1,947,543	
65 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL 973,916 973,916 875,058 835,059 835,059					
Total Basic Allowance for Housing Total Basic Allowance Total Budget Activity 2 Total Budget Activity 2 Total Budget Activity 4 Subsistence of Enlisted Personnel: Total Budget Activity 2 Total Basic Allowance for Subsistence Basic Allowance for Subsistence Basic Allowance for Subsistence Total Budget Activity 4 Subsistence Subsiste		BASIC PAY	3,549,705	3,549,705	
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING 787,328 787,328 8.360 8.	65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	973,916	973,916	
SECOND SPECIAL PAYS S. 360 S. 3	70	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM ACCRUAL	835,058	835,058	
SPECIAL PAYS	80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	787,328	787,328	
SPECIAL PAYS	85	INCENTIVE PAYS			
172,769	90				
SEPARATION PAY 66,804 66,804 271,710	95				
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2					l
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2					
ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL: BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE 281,739 281,739 SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND 222,529 222,529 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 505,018 505,018 ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION: 39,88 39,988 ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION: 39,614 9,614 135	100				
115		,	6,783,505	6,783,505	
120 SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND 222,529 750 75					
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5 PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION: 125		BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	281,739	281,739	
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 505,018 505,018	120	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	222,529	222,529	
ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION: ACCESSION TRAVEL 39,988 39,989 39,499 30,989 39,499	121	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	750	750	
ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION: ACCESSION TRAVEL 39,988 39,989 39,499 30,989 39,499		TOTAL DUDGET ACTIVITY A	E0E 010	EOE 010	
125		TOTAL, DUDGET ACTIVITY 4	303,016	303,018	
TRAINING TRAVEL 9,614 9,614		ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION:			
135 OPERATIONAL TRAVEL 95,439 95,439 140 ROTATIONAL TRAVEL 126,018 126,018 126,018 145 SEPARATION TRAVEL 44,523 44,523 150 TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS 1,593 1,593 1,593 155 NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE 5,403 5,403 160 TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE 12,723 12,723 12,723 12,723 12,723 12,723 12,723 165 OTHER 2,557 2,557 2,557 167 PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION REDUCTION -28,134 -28,134 -28,134 ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS: APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS 1,606 1,606 1,606 1,800 DEATH GRATUITIES 984 984 984 185 UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS 44,216 44,216 190 SURVIVOR BENEFITS 1,489	125	ACCESSION TRAVEL	39,988	39,988	
140	130	TRAINING TRAVEL	9,614	9,614	
145	135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	95,439	95,439	
145	140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	126.018	126.018	
TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS 1,593 1,593 1,593 1,593 1,593 1,593 1,593 1,593 1,593 1,593 1,600 1,000 1,723 12,723 12,723 12,723 12,723 12,723 1,593 1,794 1,794 1,7	145	SEPARATION TRAVEL	44,523		
155	150		,	,	
TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE 12,723			,	,	
OTHER					
Permanent Change of Station Reduction -28,134 -28,134 -28,134 -28,134 -28,134 -28,134 -28,134 -28,134 -28,134 -28,135			,	,	
ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS: APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS			'		- 28,134
ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS: APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS		TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5	337,858	309,724	- 28,134
170		ACTIVITY C OTHER MILITARY REPROMMEL COSTS			
175	170		1 000	1 000	
180 DEATH GRATUITIES 984 984 185 UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS 44,216 44,216 44,216 190 SURVIVOR BENEFITS 1,489 1,48			,		1
185					
190 SURVIVOR BENEFITS 1,489 1,					
195 EDUCATION BENEFITS 2,797 2			,		
200 ADOPTION EXPENSES 82 82 82 1,047 1,0					
TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY 1,047 1,047			,		
215 OTHER 655 655 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6 52,892 52,892 215 LESS REIMBURSABLES -30,914 -30,914					
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6					
215 LESS REIMBURSABLES	215	OTHER	655	655	
		TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6	52,892	52,892	
TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	215	LESS REIMBURSABLES	- 30,914	- 30,914	
		TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	9,595,902	9,567,768	- 28,134

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	Committee recommendation
167	Permanent Change of Station Reduction	- 28,134
	Total adjustments	- 28,134

MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2004	\$22,910,868,000
Budget estimate, 2005	24,510,811,000
Committee recommendation	24,165,011,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$24,165,011,000. This is \$345,800,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
	ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS:			
5	BASIC PAY	4,205,163	4,205,163	
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,156,421	1,156,421	
15	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM ACCRUAL	374,546	374,546	
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	976,064	976,064	
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	153,282	153,282	
35	INCENTIVE PAYS	333,678	333,678	
40	SPECIAL PAYS	223,726	223,726	
45	ALLOWANCES	76,783	76,783	
50	SEPARATION PAY	96,728	96,728	
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	319,659	319,659	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	7,916,050	7,916,050	
	ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOW OF ENLISTED PERS:			
60	BASIC PAY	7,685,649	7,685,649	
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	2,113,554	2,113,554	
70	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM ACCRUAL	1.554.279	1.554.279	
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	2,034,706	2,034,706	
85	INCENTIVE PAYS	34,889	34,889	
90	SPECIAL PAYS	403,043	321,043	- 82,000
95	ALLOWANCES	452,134	452,134	
100	SEPARATION PAY	109,767	109,767	
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	587,952	587,952	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	14,975,973	14,893,973	- 82,000
	ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF CADETS:			
110	ACADEMY CADETS	51,398	51,398	
110		01,000	01,000	
	ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERS:			
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	717,436	717,436	
120	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	173,774	173,774	
121	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	1,254	1,254	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	892,464	892,464	
	ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION:			
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL	88.253	88.253	
130			85,083	

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	156,508	156,508	
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	428,284	428,284	
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL	161,308	161,308	
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	7,761	7,761	
155	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	28,009	28,009	
160	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	34,306	34,306	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5	989,512	989,512	
	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERS COSTS:			
170	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	100	100	
175	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	595	595	
180	DEATH GRATUITIES	2,964	2,964	
185	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	29,044	29,044	
190	SURVIVOR BENEFITS	4,009	4,009	
195	EDUCATION BENEFITS	4,141	4,141	
200	ADOPTION EXPENSES	800	800	
210	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	9,481	9,481	
215	OTHER	15,812	15,812	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6	66,946	66,946	
215	LESS REIMBURSABLES	- 381,532	- 381,532	
230	B-52 ATTRITION RESERVE		3,700	+ 3,700
245	REDUCED ACCESSIONS		-95,500	- 95,500
250	GAO UNEXPENDED BALANCES		- 172,000	- 172,000
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	24,510,811	24,165,011	- 345,800

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	Committee recommendation
90 230 245 250	Selective Reenlistment Bonus B-52 Attrition Reserve Reduced Accessions GAO Unexpended Balances	- 82,000 + 3,700 - 95,500 - 172,000
	Total adjustments	- 345,800

Reduced Accessions.—In an effort to reduce manpower, the Air Force plans to cut the number of accessions in fiscal year 2005 by 11,000 people. As a result, approximately 24,000 new recruits will join the Air Force in fiscal year 2005 rather than 35,600 as planned in the budget estimate. Based on analysis conducted by the General Accounting Office [GAO], this action will result in significant cost avoidance savings in the Air Force military personnel budget. The Committee, therefore, reduces the Air Force's budget request by \$95,500,000.

RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY

Appropriations, 2004	\$3,568,725,000
Budget estimate, 2005	3,733,590,000
Committee recommendation	3,675,390,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,675,390,000. This is \$58,200,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY			
	ACTIVITY 1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING:			
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	931.352	931,352	
20	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACT DUTY)	43,414	43,414	
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	175,891	175,891	
40	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	7,010	7,010	
45	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM ACCRUAL	595,142	595,142	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	1,752,809	1,752,809	
	ACTIVITY 2: OTHER TRAINING AND SUPPORT:			
60	MOBILIZATION TRAINING	17,559	17,559	
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	132,965	132,965	
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	166,698	166,698	
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	1,358,184	1,358,184	
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	40,751	40,751	
110	ROTC—SENIOR, JUNIOR	121,549	121,549	
120	HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP	40,769	40,769	
125	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM ACCRUAL	77,971	77,971	
130	OTHER PROGRAMS	24,335	24,335	
	TOTAL PURCET ACTIVITY O	1 000 701	1 000 701	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	1,980,781	1,980,781	
145	RESERVES COST AVOIDANCE		- 58,200	- 58,200
	TOTAL RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY	3,733,590	3,675,390	- 58,200

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	Committee recommendation
145	Reserves Cost Avoidance	- 58,200
	Total adjustments	- 58,200

RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY

Appropriations, 2004	\$2,002,727,000
Budget estimate, 2005	2,171,632,000
Committee recommendation	2.132.432.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,132,432,000. This is \$39,200,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY			
	ACTIVITY 1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING:			
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	716,763	716,763	
20	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACT DUTY)	4,670	4,670	
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	2,555	2,555	
45	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM ACCRUAL	221,457	221,457	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	945,445	945,445	
	ACTIVITY 2: OTHER TRAINING AND SUPPORT:			
60	MOBILIZATION TRAINING	6,789	6,789	
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	23,182	23,182	
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	63,527	65,527	+ 2,000
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	982,747	982,747	
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	873	873	
110	ROTC—SENIOR, JUNIOR	37,712	37,712	
120	HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP	34,405	34,405	
125	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM ACCRUAL	76,952	76,952	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	1,226,187	1,228,187	+ 2,000
140	RESERVES COST AVOIDANCE		-41,200	-41,200
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY	2,171,632	2,132,432	- 39,200

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	Committee recommendation
80 140	Gulf Coast Littoral Surveillance System	+ 2,000 - 41,200
	Total adjustments	- 39,200

RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2004	\$571,444,000
Budget estimate, 2005	654,973,000
Committee recommendation	624,973,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$624,973,000. This is \$30,000,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS			
10 20 30 40 45	ACTIVITY 1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING: PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48) PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACT DUTY) PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS) PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS) DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM ACCRUAL	185,661 21,184 77,011 187 116,658	185,661 21,184 77,011 187 116,658	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	400,701	400,701	
60 70 80 90 100 110 125 130	ACTIVITY 2: OTHER TRAINING AND SUPPORT: MOBILIZATION TRAINING SCHOOL TRAINING SPECIAL TRAINING ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT EDUCATION BENEFITS ROTC—SENIOR, JUNIOR DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM ACCRUAL OTHER PROGRAMS	2,403 11,450 34,891 157,640 17,821 5,213 12,289 12,565	2,403 11,450 34,891 157,640 17,821 5,213 12,289 12,565	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	254,272	254,272	
140	RESERVES COST AVOIDANCE		- 30,000	- 30,000
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	654,973	624,973	- 30,000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	Committee recommendation
140	Reserves Cost Avoidance	- 30,000
	Total adjustments	- 30,000

RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2004	\$1,288,088,000
Budget estimate, 2005	1,464,050,000
Committee recommendation	1 458 650 000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,458,650,000. This is \$5,400,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
10 20	ACTIVITY 1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING: PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48) PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACT DUTY)	500,780 97,025	500,780 97,025	

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
30 40	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	37,304 113	37,304 113	
45	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM ACCRUAL	233,399	233,399	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	868,621	868,621	
	ACTIVITY 2: OTHER TRAINING AND SUPPORT:			
60 70	MOBILIZATION TRAININGSCHOOL TRAINING	1,800 92.858	1,800 92,858	
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	178,366	178,366	
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	172,866	172,866	
100 110	EDUCATION BENEFITS	13,500 83.111	13,500	
120	ROTC—SENIOR, JUNIOR HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP	29,269	83,111 29,269	
125	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM ACCRUAL	9,597	9,597	
130	OTHER PROGRAMS	14,062	14,062	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	595,429	595,429	
140	RESERVES COST AVOIDANCE		- 5,400	- 5,400
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	1,464,050	1,458,650	- 5,400

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	Committee recommendation
140	Reserves Cost Avoidance	- 5,400
	Total adjustments	- 5,400

NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY

Appropriations, 2004	\$5,500,369,000
Budget estimate, 2005	5,950,729,000
Committee recommendation	5 913 329 000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,913,329,000. This is \$37,400,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY			
	ACTIVITY 1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING:			
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	1,688,571	1,688,571	
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	291,670	291,670	
40	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	36,893	36,893	
45	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM ACCRUAL	1,007,258	1,007,258	

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	3,024,392	3,024,392	
	ACTIVITY 2: OTHER TRAINING AND SUPPORT:			
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	218,603	218,603	
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	252,337	252,337	
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	2,218,432	2,234,932	+16,500
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	98,171	98,171	
125	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM ACCRUAL	138,794	138,794	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	2,926,337	2,942,837	+ 16,500
135	COST AVOIDANCE		- 55,700	- 55,700
145	LEWIS AND CLARK BICENTENNIAL ACTIVITIES		1,800	+ 1,800
	TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY	5,950,729	5,913,329	- 37,400

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	Committee recommendation
90 90 135 145	Civil Support Team AGRs Ground-Based Midcourse Missile Defense AGRs Cost Avoidance Lewis and Clark Bicentennial Commemoration Support	+ 12,600 + 3,900 - 55,700 + 1,800
	Total adjustments	- 37,400

Additional Active Guard and Reserve [AGR] End Strength.—The Committee recommends an additional 126 AGRs and \$12,600,000 for the Army National Guard to support 7 additional Civil Support Teams as authorized in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005.

Lewis and Clark Bicentennial Commemoration Support.—Army National Guard funds designated for the Lewis and Clark Bicentennial Commemoration in this account and "Operation and Maintenance Army National Guard" are to provide ceremonial, educational, safety, security, and logistics support.

NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2004	\$2,174,598,000
Budget estimate, 2005	2,546,442,000
Committee recommendation	2,543,892,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,543,892,000. This is \$2,550,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
10 30 40 45	ACTIVITY 1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING: PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48) PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS) PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS) DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM ACCRUAL	807,509 68,031 1,380 296,724	810,259 68,031 1,380 296,724	+ 2,750
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	1,173,644	1,176,394	+ 2,750
70 80 90 100 125	ACTIVITY 2: OTHER TRAINING AND SUPPORT: SCHOOL TRAINING SPECIAL TRAINING ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT EDUCATION BENEFITS DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM ACCRUAL TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	155,195 187,640 936,252 29,935 63,776	155,195 187,640 938,352 29,935 63,776	+ 2,100 + 2,100
140	COST AVOIDANCE		- 7,400	- 7,400
	TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	2,546,442	2,543,892	- 2,550

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	Committee recommendation
10 90 140	166th Information Operations Squadron Civil Support Team AGRs Cost Avoidance	+ 2,750 + 2,100 - 7,400
	Total adjustments	- 2,550

Additional Active Guard and Reserve [AGR] End Strength.—The Committee recommends an additional 28 AGRs and \$2,100,000 for the Air National Guard to support 7 additional Civil Support Teams as authorized in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005.

TITLE II

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Funds appropriated under this title provide the resources required to prepare for and conduct combat operations and other peace time missions. These funds are used to purchase fuel and spare parts for training operations, pay supporting civilian personnel, and purchase supplies, equipment, and service contracts for the repair of weapons and facilities.

The President's fiscal year 2005 budget requests a total of \$121,874,589,000 for operation and maintenance appropriations.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION

The Committee recommends operation and maintenance appropriations totaling \$121,410,132,000 for fiscal year 2005. This is \$464,457,000 below the budget estimate.

Committee recommended operation and maintenance appropriations for fiscal year 2005 are summarized below:

SUMMARY OF OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE APPROPRIATIONS

Account	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Operation and Maintenance:			
Army	26,133,411	25,705,109	- 428,302
Navy	29,789,190	29,591,679	- 197,511
Marine Corps	3,632,115	3,617,473	- 14,642
Air Force	28,471,260	28,282,160	-189,100
Defense-Wide	17,494,076	17,552,314	+ 58,238
Army Reserve	2,008,128	1,979,038	- 29,090
Navy Reserve	1,240,038	1,244,838	+4,800
Marine Corps Reserve	188,696	188,696	
Air Force Reserve	2,239,790	2,200,790	- 39,000
Army National Guard	4,440,686	4,372,436	- 68,250
Air National Guard	4,422,838	4,454,238	+ 31,400
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Account	30,000	30,000	
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces	10,825	10,825	
Environmental Restoration:			
Army	400,948	566,948	+ 166,000
Navy	266,820	447,820	+ 181,000
Air Force	397,368	397,368	
Defense-Wide	23,684	23,684	
Formerly Used Defense Sites	216,516	276,516	+ 60,000
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster and Civic Aid	59,000	59,000	
Former Soviet Union Threat Reduction	409,200	409,200	
Total	121,874,589	121,410,132	- 464,457

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

Appropriations, 2004	\$25,029,346,000
Budget estimate, 2005	26,133,411,000
Committee recommendation	25,705,109,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$25,705,109,000. This is \$428,302,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES: LAND FORCES:			
10	DIVISIONS	1,520,706	1,530,706	+ 10,000
20	CORPS COMBAT FORCES	472,692	473,892	+1,200
30	CORPS SUPPORT FORCES	445,344	445,344	
40	ECHELON ABOVE CORPS SUPPORT FORCES	515,730	515,730	
50	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,197,822	1,211,822	+ 14,000
00	LAND FORCES READINESS:	1 707 147	1 017 047	. 20 700
60	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,787,147	1,817,847	+ 30,700
70 80	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	537,567	544,567	+ 7,000
80	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,031,105	1,046,105	+ 15,000
	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT:			
90	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORTFAC SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD (OP	5,609,973	5,627,573	+ 17,600
100	FORCES)	1.956.128	1,969,928	+ 13.800
110	MANAGEMENT & OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	251,474	255,374	+ 3,900
120	UNIFIED COMMANDS	94,850	98,850	+ 4,000
130	MISCELLANEOUS ACTIVITIES	1,057,943	1,062,143	+ 4,200
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	16,478,481	16,599,881	+ 121,400
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION:	., .,	,,,,,,,	,
	MOBILITY OPERATIONS:			
140	STRATEGIC MOBILIZATION	327,345	327,345	
150	ARMY PREPOSITIONED STOCKS	126.163	126.163	
160	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	8,491	14,991	+ 6,500
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	461,999	468,499	+ 6,500
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING:			
	ACCESSION TRAINING:			
180	OFFICER ACQUISITION	107,554	107,554	
190	RECRUIT TRAINING	20,766	20,766	
200	ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING	41,961	41,961	
210	SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS' TRAINING CORPS	234,308	236,308	+ 2,000
	BASIC SKILL/ADVANCE TRAINING:			
240	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	506,557	510,057	+ 3,500
250	FLIGHT TRAINING	575,406	575,406	
260	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	102,832	103,832	+1,000
270	TRAINING SUPPORT	618,519	622,519	+ 4,000
200	RECRUITING/OTHER TRAINING: RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	401 157	AC1 157	
300 310	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	461,157 131.206	461,157 131,206	
320	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	296,311	296,311	
330	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	111,003	113,503	1

26

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
340	JUNIOR RESERVE OFFICERS' TRAINING CORPS	137,331	137,331	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	3,344,911	3,357,911	+ 13,000
360	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES: SECURITY PROGRAMS: SECURITY PROGRAMS	883,510	896,710	+ 13,200
370 380 390 400	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS: SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT	570,923 490,261 439,466 356,607	570,923 490,261 457,466 356,607	+ 18,000
410 420 430 440 450 460 470	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT: ADMINISTRATION SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS MANPOWER MANAGEMENT OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT ARMY CLAIMS REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT	702,719 610,866 267,365 191,686 848,391 115,453 60,633	702,719 611,289 267,365 191,686 852,891 115,453 60,633	+ 423
500 510	SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS: INTERNATIONAL MILITARY HEADQUARTERSMISC. SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS	250,026 60,114	250,026 60,114	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	5,848,020	5,884,143	+ 36,123
991 993 995 996	CIVILIAN PERSONNEL OVERSTATEMENT COST AVOIDANCE FOR MIL TO CIV CONVERSIONS NATO MISSION SUPPORT COSTS ENVIRONMENTAL ADJUSTMENT		$\begin{array}{r} -66,100 \\ -52,375 \\ -320,850 \\ -166,000 \end{array}$	- 66,100 - 52,375 - 320,850 - 166,000
	TOTAL, O&M, ARMY	26,133,411	25,705,109	- 428,302

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	Committee recommendation
10	Basic Hydration on the Move	+ 2,000
10	Fleece Insulated Liners (for ECWCS)	+ 8,000
20	USAARMC FCS Support Cell at Fort Knox	+ 1,200
50	Forward Osmosis Water Filtration	+7,000
50	USARPAC SBCT C4 infrastructure	+7,000
60	Advanced Combat Helmet	+ 20,000
60	Pacific deployable C4 package	+ 2,000
60	USARPAC C4 information infrastructure	+ 8,700
70	AFATDS Regional Training Team	+7,000
80	M1A1 transmission maintenance	+15,000
90	Army Conservation & Ecosystem Management	+ 3,000
90	Fort Hood offsite conservation program	+ 850
90	Fort Knox Crossroad Cluster Communities MOUT Site	+ 750
90	Fort Richardson Biathlon Trail Upgrade	+1,000
90	Restore Woody Island and historic structures	+1,000
90	USARAK Road Repairs	+11,000
100	Fort Wainwright Utilidor Repairs	+10,000
100	Rock Island Arsenal Industrial Shop Equipment	+1,000
100	Rockfall mitigation below Tripler AMC	+ 2,800
110	PACMERS	+ 3,900

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	Committee recommendation
120	Specialty containers (Quadcons)	+4,000
130	WMD-CSTs	+4,200
160	Industrial Mobilization Capacity	+6,500
210	Air Battle Captain Program	+ 2,000
240	Satellite Communications for Learning [SCOLA] language training	+3,500
260	Management training	+1,000
270	Joint Training Exercise Experimentation Project	+4,000
330	Online Technology Training Program	+2,500
360	Classified adjustment	+13,200
390	Army Ground Systems Integrated Lean Enterprise [AGILE]	+6,000
390	Corrosion prevention and control (A)	+8,000
390	Field Pack Up System	+4,000
420	Infrastructure Upgrades at Camp Carroll	+ 423
450	Army Legacy Logistics Systems Modernization	+3,000
450	Centralized Range Residue Recycling Facility [CRRRF]	+1,500
991	Civilian personnel overstatement	-66,100
993	Cost avoidance for mil-to-civ conversions	-52,375
995	NATO mission support costs	-320,850
996	Environmental adjustment	-166,000
	Total adjustments	- 428,302

Industrial Mobilization Capacity.—The Committee recognizes the critical importance of the Industrial Mobilization Capacity program for offsetting costs of maintaining wartime capabilities at Department of Defense facilities and for ensuring that facilities such as the Rock Island Arsenal, IL remain competitive. The Committee has included an additional \$6,500,000 for this program—\$14,400,000 total—and expects that all fiscal year 2005 Industrial Mobilization Capacity funding shall be used only for this purpose.

World War I Chemical Munitions Cleanup.—The Committee is aware of a significant health hazard at the American University resulting from Army munitions development and testing during World War I. While the Army recognizes its responsibility to restore the site and remove all chemicals, it has been slow to respond to this requirement. The potential danger to University students and employees mandate an immediate response to this hazard. The Committee urges the Army to expedite this clean up effort with a goal of completing its removal effort by December 2005.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Appropriations, 2004	\$28,146,658,000
Budget estimate, 2005	29,789,190,000
Committee recommendation	29 591 679 000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$29,591,679,000. This is \$197,511,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

28

	mmittee imendation 3,002,769	Change from budget estimate
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES:	3,002,769	
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES:	3,002,769	
	3,002,769	
	1,066,452	
30 INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	66,565	
40 AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT 111,146	111,146	
50 AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT	498,508	
60 AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	995,596 67,980	
SHIP OPERATIONS:	07,000	
	2,604,963	
90 SHIP OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AND TRAINING	622,119	
	3,925,439	+ 15,000
120 SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,076,310	- 37,600
COMBAT OPERATIONS/SUPPORT:	270 020	
130 COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	379,929 16,946	
150 SPACE SYSTEMS & SURVEILLANCE	136,231	
160 WARFARE TACTICS	266.032	
170 OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY & OCEANOGRAPHY 256,003	264,403	+ 8,400
	1,362,179	
190 EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	186,658	
200 DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT 3,214	3,214	
WEAPONS SUPPORT:		
210 CRUISE MISSILE	155,731	
220 FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE	830,393	
230 IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT 51,043 240 WEAPONS MAINTENANCE 447,327	67,043 447,327	+ 16,000
WORKING CAPITAL FUND SUPPORT:	TT7,527	
250 NWCF SUPPORT		
BASE SUPPORT:		
260 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD-	1 001 000	075
	1,331,338	+ 975
270 BASE SUPPORT	3,171,350	- 24,000
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 22,677,846 23	2,656,621	-21,225
BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION:		
READY RESERVE AND PREPOSITIONING FORCES:	F40 100	
280 SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE 548,199	548,199	
ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS:	7.010	
290 AIRCRAFT ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	7,619	
300 SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	222,393	+ 10,000
MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS:	00 110	
310 FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM	26,119 1,523	
330 COAST GUARD SUPPORT	17,185	
	-	-
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	823,038	+ 10,000
BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING: ACCESSION TRAINING:		
ACCESSION TRAINING: 340 OFFICER ACQUISITION	120,835	
350 RECRUIT TRAINING	7,716	
360 RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	102,336	
BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING:	,	
370 SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	434,374	
380 FLIGHT TRAINING	423,829	+ 3,000
390 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION 116,770	118,770	+ 2,000

		'		
Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
400	TRAINING SUPPORT	238,246	240,246	+ 2,000
410 420 430 440	RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION: RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING JUNIOR ROTC	282,526 146,508 67,556 39,900	282,526 146,508 67,556 41,900	+2,000
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	1,977,596	1,986,596	+ 9,000
470 480 490 500 510 520	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES: SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT: ADMINISTRATION EXTERNAL RELATIONS CIVILIAN MANPOWER & PERSONNEL MGT MILITARY MANPOWER & PERSONNEL MGT OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	773,940 3,893 110,614 198,465 317,284 605,415	773,940 3,893 110,614 198,465 317,284 605,415	
540 560 570 580 590 600 610	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT: SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION PLANNING, ENGINEERING & DESIGN ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT HULL, MECHANICAL & ELECTRICAL SUPPORT COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS SPACE & ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS	189,634 252,972 840,666 55,505 51,683 70,166	189,634 260,972 840,666 	+8,000
620	SECURITY PROGRAMS: SECURITY PROGRAMS	839.870	868.370	+ 28.500
630	SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS: INTERNATIONAL HDQTRS & AGENCIES	10,603	10,603	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	4,320,710	4,357,210	+ 36,500
991 993 994 995 996	CIVILIAN PERSONNEL OVERSTATEMENT COST AVOIDANCE FOR MIL TO CIV CONVERSIONS COST AVOIDANCE FOR CIVILIAN BUYOUTS NATO MISSION SUPPORT COSTS ENVIRONMENTAL ADJUSTMENT		- 13,300 - 11,661 - 14,800 - 11,025 - 181,000	- 13,300 - 11,661 - 14,800 - 11,025 - 181,000
	TOTAL, O&M, NAVY	29,789,190	29,591,679	- 197,511

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	Item	Committee recommendation
110	Ship depot maintenance	+ 15,000
120	Ship depot maintenance	-43,100
120	Manufacturing Technical Assistance and Production Program	+ 4,000
120	Naval Shipyard Apprenticeship Program	+ 1,500
170	Center of Excellence for Disaster Management and Humanitarian Assistance	+ 5,000
170	PACOM Theater Joint C4	+ 3,400
230	Mk 45 5 inch Gun Depot Overhauls	+16,000
260	Education, Childhood Development, Groton Navy Submarine Base	+ 975
270	Annual savings from NSRR disestablishment	-30,000
270	Flood Mitigation at Lualualei	+ 3,000
270	PMRF Flood Control	+ 3,000
300	Ship Disposal Program	+ 10,000
380	Night Vision Goggles in Advanced Helicopter Training	+ 3,000

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	Committee recommendation
390	Navy Professional Military Education	+ 2.000
400	Vital Learning Recruitment/Retention Screening Test Program	+ 2,000
440	U.S. Naval Sea Cadet Corps	+ 2,000
560	Knowledge Management and Decision Support System	+ 7,000
560	RFID (N)	+1,000
620	Classified adjustment	+ 28,500
991	Civilian personnel overstatement	-13,300
993	Cost avoidance for mil-to-civ conversions	-11,661
994	Cost avoidance for civilian buyouts	- 14,800
995	NATO mission support costs	-11,025
996	Environmental adjustment	- 181,000
	Total adjustments	- 197,511

Naval Shipyard Apprentice Program.—The Committee has included \$31,000,000 for the Shipyard Apprentice Program, and directs the Navy to induct classes of no fewer than 150 apprentices at each of the naval shipyards during fiscal year 2005. Further, the Committee directs the Navy to include the costs of the fiscal year 2006 class of apprentices in the fiscal year 2006 budget estimate.

U.S. Naval Sea Cadet Corps.—The Committee recommends \$2,000,000 for the U.S. Naval Sea Cadet Corps [USNSCC], a non-profit youth training and education organization. For several years, the Congress has provided funding for this program, which was federally chartered by Congress in 1962 (Public Law 87–655). A Department of the Navy report found that the Navy benefits from the USNSCC program in many ways, and stated "the Naval Sea Cadet Corps brings the Navy and its core values of honor, courage, and commitment into homes throughout America." The Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to fund the USNSCC program in the fiscal year 2006 budget request.

Navy Installations.—The Committee was disappointed to learn recently of the Commander, Navy Installations [CNI] plan to reduce funding for fiscal year 2004 by approximately \$300,000,000 to cover other Navy requirements. It was disturbing to discover that these reductions are not being allocated fairly. Some smaller regions are asked to forego a significantly larger percentage of their base operations support [BOS] and sustainment, restoration and maintenance funds [SRM] than are the larger regions. In one case, CNI's direction has the effect of negating congressional guidance to increase SRM for specific locations. The Committee urges CNI to

restore SRM funds to those areas.

The Committee has also been informed that the fiscal year 2005 budget request for CNI assumes substantial savings which are not likely to accrue, leaving several regions no choice but to implement general reductions. Critics of the CNI concept warned that a large centralized account would be a logical target to finance other Navy activities. Additionally, they argued that Washington based head-quarters for CNI would likely provide an east coast bias. Based on CNI's current plans it appears they were right. In the past, the Committee has reallocated Army SRM funding because of similar failings, but is hopeful that the same action will not be required here. As such, the Committee directs the Navy to reexamine its

plans for CNI funding for fiscal year 2004 and fiscal year 2005. If the Navy does not address these issues in the coming weeks, the Committee will consider the management and funding distribution of CNI in conference.

Joint Center for Operational Analysis.—The Committee supports U.S. Joint Forces Command's initiative to enhance lessons learned capabilities through increased team missions and deployment. The goal is to capture lessons learned of ongoing operations in Iraq as well as the Global War on Terrorism. Accordingly, the Committee recommends that of the funds under this heading, \$7,000,000 be provided for this initiative.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2004	\$3,440,323,000
Budget estimate, 2005	3,632,115,000
Committee recommendation	3.617.473.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,617,473,000. This is \$14,642,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

rı	Alexander de		deller.
Ш	thousands	UI	uomars

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS			
10	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES: EXPEDITIONARY FORCES: OPERATIONAL FORCES	C22 014	CEO 214	10 200
20	FIELD LOGISTICS	633,914 367,293	650,214 371,293	+ 16,300 + 4,000
30	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	102,085	102,085	1 4,000
	USMC PREPOSITIONING:	,	,	
40	MARITIME PREPOSITIONING	72,128	72,128	
50	NORWAY PREPOSITIONING	7,763	7,763	
60	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD-	451.010	451.010	
70	ERNIZATIONBASE SUPPORT	451,012 1,026,795	451,012 1,049,607	+ 22.812
70	DAGE GOLLOKI	1,020,733	1,043,007	1 22,012
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	2,660,990	2,704,102	+43,112
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING: ACCESSION TRAINING:			
80	RECRUIT TRAINING	10,539	10,539	
90	OFFICER ACQUISITION	351	351	
	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING:			
100	SPECIALIZED SKILLS TRAINING	45,155	45,155	
110 120	FLIGHT TRAINING PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	174 8,972	174 8,972	
130	TRAINING SUPPORT	134,241	134,241	
	RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING EDUCATION:	,	,	
140	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	113.988	113.988	
150	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	34,336	34,336	
160	JUNIOR ROTC	13,270	13,270	
170	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD- ERNIZATION	68.553	68.553	
180	BASE SUPPORT	162,579	162,579	
100	DIOL 0011 0111	102,575	102,575	

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	592,158	592,158	
100	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES:	074.500	074.500	
190	SPECIAL SUPPORT	274,508	274,508	
200	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	37,300	37,300	
210	ADMINISTRATION	45,271	45,271	
230	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD-			
	ERNIZATION	3.191	3,191	
240	BASE SUPPORT	18,697	18,697	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	378,967	378,967	
993	COST AVOIDANCE FOR MIL TO CIV CONVERSIONS		- 57.640	- 57,640
995	NATO MISSION SUPPORT COSTS		-114	-114
	TOTAL, O&M, MARINE CORPS	3,632,115	3,617,473	- 14,642

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	Committee recommendation
10 10 10	All-purpose environmental clothing system Mountain Cold Weather Clothing & Equipment Program [MCWCEP] Ultra-light camouflage net system	+ 4,800 + 4,000 + 7,500
20 70	Corrosion prevention and control [MC] Anti-Oxidant Micronutrients Program	+ 4,000 + 3,600
70 70 993	Communications support for USMC NOC	+ 9,212 + 10,000 - 57,640
995	NATO mission support costs	-114
	Total adjustments	- 14,642

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2004	\$26,904,731,000
Budget estimate, 2005	28,471,260,000
Committee recommendation	28,282,160,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$28,282,160,000. This is \$189,100,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES: AIR OPERATIONS:			
10 20	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCESPRIMARY COMBAT WEAPONS	3,275,334 331,333	3,310,334 331,333	+ 35,000

33

Line	ltem	2005 budget	Committee	Change from
LIIIE	iteiii	estimate	recommendation	budget estimate
30	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES	346,322	346,322	
40	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING	1,274,599	1,274,599	
50	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	1,318,159	1,318,159	
60	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	2,085,761	2,053,336	- 32,425
70	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD-	1 017 001	1 071 001	
00	ERNIZATION	1,017,301	1,071,301	+ 54,000
80	BASE SUPPORT	1,995,494	2,014,094	+ 18,600
	COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS:			
90	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING	1,147,163	1,147,163	
100	NAVIGATION/WEATHER SUPPORT	204,543	208,143	+ 3,600
110	OTHER COMBAT OPS SUPPORT PROGRAMS	622,524	622,524	
120	JCS EXERCISES	32,756	32,756	
130 140	MANAGEMENT/OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS TACTICAL INTEL AND OTHER SPECIAL ACTIVITIES	240,380	240,380 340,102	
140		340,102	340,102	
	SPACE OPERATIONS:			
150	LAUNCH FACILITIES	343,565	343,565	
160	LAUNCH VEHICLES	100,135	100,135	
170	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS	237,995	237,995	
180 190	SATELLITE SYSTEMSOTHER SPACE OPERATIONS	68,655 258,376	68,655 258,376	
200	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD-	230,370	230,370	
200	ERNIZATION	171,469	171,469	
210	BASE SUPPORT	528,332	528,332	
	57.02 00.7 07.7	020,002	020,002	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	15,940,298	16,019,073	+ 78,775
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION: MOBILITY OPERATIONS:			
220	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS	1,919,987	1,921,987	+ 2,000
230	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS C3I	51,824	51,824	
240	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS	170,623	170,623	
250	PAYMENTS TO TRANSPORTATION BUSINESS AREA			
260	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	410,679	371,379	- 39,300
270	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD-			
000	ERNIZATION	200,928	200,928	
280	BASE SUPPORT	537,718	537,718	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	3,291,759	3,254,459	- 37,300
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING:			
	ACCESSION TRAINING:			
290	OFFICER ACQUISITION	73,788	73,788	
300	RECRUIT TRAINING	6,034	6,034	
310	RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	84,381	84,381	
320	FAC SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZA-			
	TION	85,892	85,892	
330	BASE SUPPORT (ACADEMIES ONLY)	71,777	71,777	
	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING:			
340	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	336,818	337,518	+ 700
350	FLIGHT TRAINING	775,819	775,819	
360	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	158,967	158,967	
370	TRAINING SUPPORT	108,450	109,450	+ 1,000
380	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	12,914	12,914	
390	FAC SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZA-			
400	TION	190,592	190,592	
400	BASE SUPPORT (OTHER TRAINING)	584,857	584,857	
	RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION:			
410	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	143,369	120,369	- 23,000
420	EXAMINING	3,281	3,281	
430	OFF DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	159,129	159,129	
	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	158,738	158,738	l
440 450	JUNIOR ROTC	50,108	50,108	

34

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	3,004,914	2,983,614	-21,300
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES:			
	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS:			
460	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	883,478	887,478	+ 4,000
470	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	432,323	432,323	
480	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	171,501	171,501	
490	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	105,158	105,158	
500	BASE SUPPORT	1,072,242	1,072,242	
510	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD-			
	ERNIZATION	260,580	260,580	
	SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES:			
520	ADMINISTRATION	299,617	299,617	
530	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	377,574	377,574	
540	PERSONNEL PROGRAMS	262,281	262,281	
550	RESCUE AND RECOVERY SERVICES	129,437	129,437	
560	ARMS CONTROL	41,645	41,645	
570	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	728,942	728,942	
580	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	39,457	39,457	
590	CIVIL AIR PATROL CORPORATION	21,722	21,722	
600	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD-	,	,	
	ERNIZATION	12,848	12,848	
610	BASE SUPPORT	345,170	345,170	
620	SECURITY PROGRAMS	1,024,129	1,026,129	+ 2,000
	SUPPORT TO OTHER NATIONS:			
630	INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	26,185	26,185	
030	INTERNATIONAL SULFORT	20,103	20,103	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	6,234,289	6,240,289	+ 6,000
991	CIVILIAN PERSONNEL OVERSTATEMENT		- 12,500	- 12,500
993	COST AVOIDANCE FOR MIL TO CIV CONVERSIONS		- 29,273	- 29,273
994	COST AVOIDANCE FOR CIVILIAN BUYOUTS		- 32,100	- 32,100
995	NATO MISSION SUPPORT COSTS		- 141,402	- 141,402
			, 102	, 102
	TOTAL, O&M, AIR FORCE	28,471,260	28,282,160	- 189,100
		25,1,200	20,202,100	100,100

Pad Encryption Technology.—The Committee recognizes the merits of commercially available off the shelf one-time pad encryption technology and encourages the Air Force to explore its use. The Committee understands this technology could be utilized for the protection of highly sensitive special access programs and could allow for communication of such data using commercial networks.

Scott Air Force Base.—The fiscal year 2004 Department of Defense Appropriations conference report (Public Law 108–87) included funding to continue the C–9A mission flown by the 932nd Airlift Wing at Scott Air Force Base, Illinois, and training for new mission requirements, including the C–40C aircraft. The Air Force has indicated it plans to move three C–9C aircraft from Andrews Air Force Base, Maryland to be based at Scott Air Force Base in fiscal year 2005. The Committee supports the current C–9A mission as well as the transfer of the C–9C aircraft to Scott Air Force Base. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Air Force to continue, at least, the 932nd Airlift Wing C–9 mission, and to expand the current mission to include C–40C aircraft after the appropriate review and subject to the availability of funds.

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ttem	Committee recommendation
10	B-52 Attrition Reserve	+ 35,000
60	Oxygen repair facility	+ 600
60	Rack Mounted Improved AIS	+6,000
60	Realign depot maintenance funds (at AF request)	-39,500
60	Relational Extraction Server	+ 475
70	172nd Stryker Brigade Access Road Feasibility Study	+2,000
70	Building 9480 Renovation	+13,500
70	COPE THUNDER Facilities Renovation	+6,000
70	Eielson AFB Utilidor Repairs	+10,000
70	Engineering and Environmental Assessment for Stryker Railroad Extension	+14,000
70	PARC Upgrade—ACTS Ranges Phase 2	+8,500
80	11th Air Force Range Power and Fiber Upgrades	+6,000
80	Alaska Land Mobile Radio	+3,800
80	Elmendorf AFB Community Center enhancements	+700
80	PACAF IT consolidation/storage area network	+8,100
100	Sooner Drop Zone Extension	+600
100	University Partnership for Operational Support	+3,000
220	Active Noise Reduction Headsets	+2,000
260	Realign depot maintenance funds (at AF request)	-39,300
340	National Airborne Operations Center [NAOC]	+ 700
370	Simulation training for WMD emergency response programs	+1,000
410	Reduced recruiting goals	-23,000
460	Hickam AFB Alternative Fuel Vehicle Program	+4,000
620	Classified adjustment	+2,000
991	Civilian personnel overstatement	-12,500
993	Cost avoidance for mil-to-civ conversions	-29,273
994	Cost avoidance for civilian buyouts	-32,100
995	NATO mission support costs	- 141,402
	Total adjustments	- 189,100

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2004	\$16,226,841,000
Budget estimate, 2005	17,494,076,000
Committee recommendation	17,552,314,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$17,552,314,000. This is \$58,238,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE			
10 20	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES: JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFFSPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	243,062 1,992,613	243,062 1,937,771	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	2,235,675	2,180,833	- 54,842

36

	[iii thistatina of dentale]					
Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate		
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION:					
30	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY	40,599	40,599			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING:	,	,			
40	AMERICAN FORCES INFORMATION SERVICE	14,050	14,050			
60	DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY	103,532	103,532			
70	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY	5,296	5.296			
80	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY	5,968	5,968			
90	DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY	56,067	56,067			
110	DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE	7.343	7.343			
120	NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY	90,263	90,263			
130	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	96,244	96,244			
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	378,763	378,763			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES:	,				
140	AMERICAN FORCES INFORMATION SERVICE	110,528	110,528			
150	CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS	101,389	126,389	+ 25,000		
180	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY	368,119	368,119	1 25,000		
200	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY	25.484	25.484			
210	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY	265,379	285,943	+ 20.564		
220	DEFENSE POW/MISSING PERSONS OFFICE	15,964	15,964			
230	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY ADMINISTRATION	20,456	20,456			
240	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY	319,483	319,483			
250	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEPENDENTS EDUCATION	1,761,852	1,802,852	+41,000		
260	DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY	318,940	318,940			
270	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	1,029,592	1,029,217	− 375		
280	DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE	4,310	4,310			
290	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY	1,090,558	1,090,558			
300	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY	83,922	83,922			
310	DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE	277,100	277,100			
320	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	278,884	278,884			
340	OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT	44,756	80,756	+ 36,000		
350	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	805,813	795,513	-10,300		
360	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	142,457	142,457			
370	WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES	447,166	447,166			
999	OTHER PROGRAMS	7,326,887	7,328,078	+ 1,191		
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	14,839,039	14,952,119	+ 113,080		
	TOTAL, O&M, DEFENSE-WIDE	17,494,076	17,552,314	+ 58,238		

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	Item	Committee recommendation
20	NATO mission support costs	- 2,650
20	SOCOM unjustified growth	- 52,192
150	Innovative Readiness Training	+ 10,000
150	National Guard Youth ChalleNGe Program	+11,000
150	Operation Walking Shield Program [OWSP]	+ 4,000
210	Alaska Logistics Center	+ 9,000
210	NATO mission support costs	-1,839
210	Procurement Technical Assistance Cooperative Agreement Program [PTACAP]	+ 8,403
210	RFID (DW)	+ 5,000
250	DoDEA Facilities SR&M	+ 15,000
250	DoDEA Mathematics and Technology Teachers Development	+ 1,000
250	DoDEA unjustified certification program	-10,000
250	Impact Aid	+ 30,000

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	Committee recommendation
250 270 340 340 340 340 340 350 350 350 999	Impact Aid for children with disabilities NATO mission support costs Adak Airport Operations Improvements Bulkhead Construction at Military Ocean Terminal Bayonne [MOTBY] Fort Benjamin Harrison Relocation Project Port of Anchorage Army Deployment Staging Area Port of Anchorage Intermodal Marine Facility Project UCHSC-DCH Fitzsimmons Medical Center Asia-Pacific Regional Initiative Capital Security Cost Sharing Plan Information Assurance Scholarship Program Classified adjustment	+5,000 -375 +4,000 +5,000 +4,000 +5,000 +10,000 -27,300 +3,000 +1,191
	Total adjustments	+ 58,238

Corrosion Control.—The Committee has reviewed the Department of Defense long-term strategy on corrosion control, and understands that DOD has established an Office of Corrosion Policy and Oversight [CPO] for focused management attention on this issue. In addition, the Committee is aware that a General Accounting Office assessment of the adequacy of DOD's corrosion control strategy has identified a number of shortcomings that threaten the effectiveness of that strategy. One of the identified shortcomings is a lack of dedicated and visible funding. Accordingly, the Committee directs the Department to establish a specific, separate program element or budget line to ensure that sustained and adequate funding is available for the corrosion control projects that have the best potential to provide maximum benefit across the DOD.

In addition, the Committee is aware that the Defense Science Board has determined that substantial costs could be avoided with additional corrosion prevention and changes in DOD acquisition policy. The Committee encourages DOD to make the up-front in-

vestments necessary to realize these future cost savings.

Finally, the Committee is concerned about the potential for corrosion damage to pre-positioned stocks, which are frequently located in highly-corrosive environments. Such corrosion could degrade readiness to respond to contingencies and be very costly to fix. The Committee encourages the DOD Inspector General to conduct a review of the impact of corrosion on pre-position assets, and recommend policy, management or funding changes to mitigate that corrosion.

Hooked-on-Fishing/Not-on-Drugs Pilot Program.—The Committee recognizes that the current operational tempo is placing significant stress on the families of military personnel. Installation commanders can help alleviate the stressors of service life in today's environment by facilitating family oriented activities. Fishing is a family oriented outdoor experience that serves as a structured and focused activity for military dependents. The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness to consult with the Future Fisherman Foundation and the Armed Forces Foundation on the implementation of a Hooked-on-Fishing/Not on Drugs pilot program at 20 U.S. military installations with the highest rate of mobilized personnel. The Committee further directs the Department to submit a report to the congressional de-

fense committees no later than January 31, 2005 on the current status of this pilot program and the feasibility of establishing such a program at additional installations in the future.

Legacy Resource Management Program.—The Committee commends the Department of Defense for requesting funds to continue the Legacy Resource Management Program. From within these funds, the Committee encourages the Department to continue naval archaeology programs in the Lake Champlain Basin.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE

Appropriations, 2004	\$1,998,609,000
Budget estimate, 2005	2,008,128,000
Committee recommendation	1,979,038,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,979,038,000. This is \$29,090,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

			1.00	
HII	thousands	01	dollars	

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES: LAND FORCES:			
10	DIVISION FORCES	7,640	10,640	+ 3,000
20	CORPS COMBAT FORCES	34,607	34,607	
30	CORPS SUPPORT FORCES	318,411	318,411	
40	ECHELON ABOVE CORPS FORCES	150,421	150,421	
50	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	459,134	464,134	+ 5,000
	LAND FORCES READINESS:			
60	FORCES READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	153,475	153,475	
70	LAND FORCES SYSTEM READINESS	65,202	65,202	
80	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	71,548	71,548	
	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT:			
	BASE SUPPORT	379,112	379,822	+ 710
100	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD-	373,112	373,022	1 710
100	ERNIZATION	201,141	201,141	
110	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES	7,627	7,627	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	1,848,318	1,857,028	+ 8,710
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES:			
120	ADMINISTRATION	52,180	52,180	
130	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	9,116	9,116	
140	PERSONNEL/FINANCIAL ADMIN (MANPOWER MGT)	8,201	8,201	
150	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	90,313	90,313	
	TOTAL DUDOFT ACTIVITY A	150.010	150.010	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	159,810	159,810	
992	COST AVOIDANCE FOR MOBILIZED MILTECHS		- 37,800	- 37,800
	TOTAL COM ADMINISTRAÇÃO	0.000.100	1.070.000	20.222
	TOTAL, O&M, ARMY RESERVE	2,008,128	1,979,038	- 29,090

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee: $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Committee}}$

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	Committee recommendation
10 50 90 992	Extended cold weather clothing system (AR) All Terrain Military Utility Vehicle (M-Gator) (AR) USAR Citizen-Soldier Memorial Park Cost avoidance for mobilized MilTechs	+ 3,000 + 5,000 + 710 - 37,800
	Total adjustments	- 29,090

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE

Appropriations, 2004	\$1,172,921,000
Budget estimate, 2005	1,240,038,000
Committee recommendation	1,244,838,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,244,838,000. This is \$4,800,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES: RESERVE AIR OPERATIONS:			
10	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	483,526	483,526	
20	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	16,494	16,494	
30	AIR OPERATION AND SAFETY SUPPORT	1,592	1,592	
40	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	131,607	131,607	
50	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPS SUPPORT	384	384	
	RESERVE SHIP OPERATIONS:			
60	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	59,127	59,127	
70	SHIP OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AND TRAINING	531	531	
90	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	92,787	92,787	
100	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	3,596	3,596	
	RESERVE COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT:			
110	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	6,732	6,732	
120	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	224,589	229,389	+ 4,800
	RESERVE WEAPONS SUPPORT:			
130	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	5.548	5.548	
100		3,340	3,340	
140	BASE SUPPORT:			
140	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD- ERNIZATION	70.410	70.410	
150	BASE SUPPORT	73,410 108,863	73,410 108,863	
130	DASE SUFFURT	100,003	100,003	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	1,208,786	1,213,586	+ 4,800
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES:			
160	ADMINISTRATION	6,930	6,930	
170	CIVILIAN MANPOWER & PERSONNEL		.,,,	
180	MILITARY MANPOWER & PERSONNEL	8,797	8,797	

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
190 200 210	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEM OTHER SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT	3,347 5,667 6,511	3,347 5,667 6,511	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	31,252	31,252	
	TOTAL, O&M, NAVY RESERVE	1,240,038	1,244,838	+ 4,800

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	Committee recommendation
120	Gulf Coast Littoral Surveillance System	+ 4,800
	Total adjustments	+ 4,800

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE

Appropriations, 2004	\$173,952,000
Budget estimate, 2005	188,696,000
Committee recommendation	188,696,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$188,696,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

Line	Item	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES:			
10	OPERATING FORCES	72,940	72,940	
20	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	12,132	12,132	
40 60	TRAINING SUPPORT	25,544	25,544	
00	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZA- TION	12.126	12,126	
70	BASE SUPPORT	33,370	33,370	
, ,	5.02 331 311	00,070	00,070	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	156,112	156,112	
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES:			
80	SPECIAL SUPPORT	8,948	8,948	
90	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	580	580	
100	ADMINISTRATION	10,407	10,407	
120 130	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	8,013	8,013	
130	BASE SUPPORT	4,636	4,636	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	32,584	32,584	
	TOTAL, O&M, MARINE CORPS RESERVE	188,696	188,696	

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE

Appropriations, 2004	\$2,179,388,000
Budget estimate, 2005	2,239,790,000
Committee recommendation	2,200,790,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,200,790,000. This is \$39,000,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES:			
10	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	1,329,717	1,329,717	
20	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	74,077	74,077	
30	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	410,893	410,893	
40	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZA-			
	TION	53,056	53,056	
50	BASE SUPPORT	264,425	264,425	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	2,132,168	2,132,168	
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES:			
60	ADMINISTRATION	60,270	60,270	
70	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	14,516	14,516	
80	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	25,485	25,485	
90	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	6,707	6,707	
100	AUDIOVISUAL	644	644	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	107,622	107,622	
992	COST AVOIDANCE FOR MOBILIZED MILTECHS		- 39,000	- 39,000
JJ <u>L</u>	OOO! ATOIDANOE FOR MODILIZED MILIEOTIO		33,000	33,000
	TOTAL, 0&M, AIR FORCE RESERVE	2,239,790	2,200,790	- 39,000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	Committee recommendation
992	Cost avoidance for mobilized MilTechs	- 39,000
	Total adjustments	- 39,000

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Appropriations, 2004	\$4,340,581,000
Budget estimate, 2005	4,440,686,000
Committee recommendation	4.372.436.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,372,436,000. This is \$68,250,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATL GUARD			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES: LAND FORCES:			
10	DIVISIONS	558,168	564,818	+ 6,650
20	CORPS COMBAT FORCES	629,062	629,062	
30	CORPS SUPPORT FORCES	333,393	333,393	
40	ECHELON ABOVE CORPS FORCES	615,838	615,838	
50	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	20,786	20,786	
	LAND FORCES READINESS:			
60	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	180,163	180,163	
70	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	142,914	142,914	
80	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	230,567	230,567	
	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT:			
90	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	577.028	579,928	+ 2,900
100	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD-	3/1,020	3/3,320	+ 2,300
100	ERNIZATION	384.044	384.044	
110	MANAGEMENT & OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	451,167	451,167	
120	MISCELLANEOUS ACTIVITIES	59,356	69,156	+ 9,800
120	WIGGELLANEOUS ACTIVITIES	33,330	05,130	T 3,000
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	4,182,486	4,201,836	+ 19,350
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES:			
130	STAFF MANAGEMENT (ADMINISTRATION)	110,669	124,269	+ 13,600
140	INFORMATION MANAGEMENT (SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICA-			
	TIONS)	26,341	35,541	+ 9,200
150	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION (MANPOWER MANAGE-			
	MENT)	35,376	35,376	
160	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	85,814	85,814	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	258,200	281,000	+ 22,800
992	COST AVOIDANCE FOR MOBILIZED MILTECHS		- 110.400	-110,400
			110,100	110,100
	TOTAL, O&M, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	4,440,686	4,372,436	- 68,250
		, , , , , , , ,		

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee: $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Committee}}$

Line	ltem	Committee recommendation
10	Cannon bore cleaning	+ 1,650
10	Extended Cold Weather Clothing System (ARNG)	+ 5,000
90	Communicator-Automated Emergency Notification System	+ 2,900
120	WMD-CSTs	+ 9,800
130	Base Wide Protection and Facilities Monitoring System	+8,000
130	CBRA	+1,000
130	Civil Support Team Trainer	+4,000
130	Lewis and Clark Bicentennial Commemoration support	+600
140	Information operations training and operations	+4,000
140	National Response at Memorial Tunnel	+1,500
140	Readiness and Regional Technology Enhancements	+1,700
140	Real-Time Security Program	+ 2,000

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	Committee recommendation
992	Cost avoidance for mobilized MilTechs	-110,400
	Total adjustments	- 68,250

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Appropriations, 2004	\$4,431,216,000
Budget estimate, 2005	4,422,838,000
Committee recommendation	4,454,238,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,454,238,000. This is \$31,400,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES:			
10	AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS	2,685,471	2,685,471	
20	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	362,114	372,714	+10,600
30	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	676,647	755,447	+ 78,800
40	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZA-			
	TION	230,642	230,642	
50	BASE SUPPORT	431,076	436,076	+ 5,000
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	4,385,950	4,480,350	+ 94,400
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES:			
60	ADMINISTRATION	27.490	27,490	
70	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	9,398	9,398	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	36,888	36,888	
992	COST AVOIDANCE FOR MOBILIZED MILTECHS		-63,000	- 63,000
	TOTAL, O&M, AIR NATIONAL GUARD	4,422,838	4,454,238	+ 31,400

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	Committee recommendation
20 20 20 20 30 50	166th Information Operations Squadron Extended Cold Weather Clothing System (ANG) Information assurance activities Regional Geospatial Service Realign depot maintenance funds (at AF request) IT Consolidation/Storage Area Network Cost avoidance for mobilized MilTechs	+ 1,200 + 2,000 + 3,000 + 4,400 + 78,800 + 5,000 - 63,000

[In thousands of dollars]				
Line	ltem	Committee recommendation		
	Total adjustments	+ 31,400		
	Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Acco	OUNT		
Appr Budg Com	ropriations, 2004get estimate, 2005mittee recommendation	\$5,000,000 30,000,000 30,000,000		
	he Committee recommends an appropriation of \$30 s is equal to the budget estimate.	0,000,000.		
	U.S. Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces			
Budg	ropriations, 2004 get estimate, 2005 mittee recommendation	\$10,333,000 10,825,000 10,825,000		
Thi:	he Committee recommends an appropriation of \$10 s is equal to the budget estimate.),825,000.		
	Environmental Restoration, Army			
Appr Budg Com	get estimate, 2005	396,018,000 400,948,000 566,948,000		
This	he Committee recommends an appropriation of \$566 s is \$166,000,000 above the budget estimate.	3,948,000.		
	Environmental Restoration, Navy			
Appi Budg Com	get estimate, 2005	256,153,000 266,820,000 447,820,000		
This	he Committee recommends an appropriation of \$447 s is \$181,000,000 above the budget estimate.	7,820,000.		
	Environmental Restoration, Air Force			
Budg	get estimate, 2005	384,307,000 397,368,000 397,368,000		
This	he Committee recommends an appropriation of \$397 s is equal to the budget estimate.	7,368,000.		
	Environmental Restoration, Defense-wide			
Appi Budg Com	ropriations, 2004get estimate, 2005mittee recommendation	\$24,081,000 23,684,000 23,684,000		
Thi:	he Committee recommends an appropriation of \$25 s is equal to the budget estimate.	3,684,000.		
\mathbf{E}_{1}	NVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, FORMERLY USED DEFENS	E SITES		
Appi Budg Com	get estimate, 2005	284,619,000 216,516,000 276,516,000		

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$276,516,000. This is \$60,000,000 above the budget estimate.

This increase reflects the significant inventory of Formerly Used

Defense Sites requiring remediation.

OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AID

Appropriations, 2004	\$59,000,000
Budget estimate, 2005	59,000,000
Committee recommendation	59,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$59,000,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

FORMER SOVIET UNION THREAT REDUCTION

Appropriations, 2004	\$450,800,000
Budget estimate, 2005	409,200,000
Committee recommendation	409,200,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$409,200,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

TITLE III

PROCUREMENT

Funds appropriated under this title provide the resources required to purchase military equipment and hardware, including aircraft, helicopters, ships, missiles, combat vehicles, ammunition, weapons, electronic sensors and communications equipment, and other procurement items.

The President's fiscal year 2005 budget requests a total of \$74,662,317,000 for procurement appropriations.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION

The Committee recommends procurement appropriations totaling \$76,466,514,000 for fiscal year 2005. This is \$1,804,197,000 above the budget estimate.

Committee recommended procurement appropriations for fiscal year 2005 are summarized below:

SUMMARY OF PROCUREMENT APPROPRIATIONS

[In thousands of dollars]

Assessment	2005 budget	Committee	Change from
Account	estimate	recommendation	budget estimate
Aircraft Procurement, Army	2,658,241	2,653,241	- 5,000
Missile Procurement, Army	1,398,321	1,378,321	-20,000
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	1,639,695	1,698,695	+ 59,000
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	1,556,902	1,606,502	+49,600
Other Procurement, Army	4,240,896	4,685,846	+ 444,950
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	8,767,867	8,899,367	+ 131,500
Weapons Procurement, Navy	2,101,529	2,222,620	+121,091
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps	858,640	881,140	+ 22,500
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy	9,962,027	10,171,643	+ 209,616
Other Procurement, Navy	4,834,278	4,797,025	-37,253
Procurement, Marine Corps	1,190,103	1,266,803	+ 76,700
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	13,163,174	13,547,524	+ 384,350
Missile Procurement, Air Force	4,718,313	4,609,213	-109,100
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	1,396,457	1,353,859	- 42,598
Other Procurement, Air Force	13,283,557	13,284,897	+1,340
Procurement, Defense-Wide	2,883,302	2.867.303	- 15.999
National Guard and Reserve Equipment		500.000	+ 500.000
Defense Production Act Purchases	9.015	42.515	+ 33,500
DOTOTION TOURDERN FIRE MICHIGAN MICHIG	3,013	72,010	. 55,500
Total	74,662,317	76,466,514	+ 1,804,197
IVIUI	17,002,017	70,400,314	1 1,004,137

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

The Committee has displayed recommended adjustments in tables presented under each appropriation account. These adjustments reflect the following Committee actions: elimi-

These adjustments reflect the following Committee actions: elimination of funds requested for programs which are lower priority, duplicative, or not supported by firm requirements in out year development or procurement appropriations; deletion of excess funds

based on program delays or slow execution; addition of funds to reflect congressional priorities and to rectify shortfalls in the budget estimate; and implementation of recommendations reported in the Senate bill authorizing activities of the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2005.

The Committee directs that the funding increases outlined in these tables shall be provided only for the specific purposes indi-

cated in the table.

PROCUREMENT OVERVIEW

Role of Small Businesses.—The Committee is concerned that the continuous downsizing and consolidation of the U.S. defense industry has reduced the number of qualified sources, especially small businesses, for the manufacture of aviation/air ground components, such as cable/wiring harness assembly. The Committee urges the Department to make every effort to identify and use these qualified small business sources to meet the small business goals as set out in 10 U.S.C. 2323 and DFARS Part 219.

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2004	\$2,154,035,000
Budget estimate, 2005	2,658,241,000
Committee recommendation	2,653,241,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,653,241,000. This is \$5,000,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The state of the s		TOPPING GOL		0000		•
пет	Oth.	estimate	Oty.	recommen- dation	Oth.	Budget estimate
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY						
FIXED WING: ITTIITY FAV MARI AIRCRAFT		\$11.967	•	\$11.967		
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
HELICOPTER, KIOWA WARRIOR REPLACEMENT	-	24,000		24,000		
липт		45,000		45,000		
-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP)	27	279,737	27	279,737		
III—SO BLOCKHAMK (MYP) (AP—CY)	j	34 723	j	34 723		
UT-UD DEACNTAWN (MIT) (AT-U)	12	13,100	1.5	13,723		
DOTIER NEW INAINING	71	10,100	71	10,100		
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT		408,527		408.527		
MUDIFICATION OF ARCKAFT!		0		0		
GUARUKAL MUDS (I JAKA)		2,195		2,195		
		37,201		37,201		
		/03,450		683,450		-\$50,000
CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS (AP-CY)		23,832		23,832		
UTILITY/CARGO AIRPLANE MODS		10,093		10,093		
AIRCRAFT LONG RANGE MODS		754		7.54		
ONGBOW		654,460		654,460		
S00W 09-Hn		142,889		146,889		+4,000
UH-60 MODS (AP-CY)		6,130		6,130		
		39,276		39,276		
AIRBORNE AVIONICS		49 091		49 091		
d		61 259		61 259		
SPARE PARTS (AIR)		10.857		10.857		
TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT		1,741,487		1,725,487		-16,000
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES:						
GROUND SUPPORT AVIONICS:						
AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT		7,319		17,319		+10,000
ASE INERABED OM	_	221 070		010		

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
11	CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS Production efficiencies	703,450	683,450	- 20,000 - 25,000 + 5,000
18	UH-60 MODS	142,889	146,889	+ 4,000 + 4,000
25	AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQÜIPMENTAdditional AVR—2B	7,319	17,319	+ 10,000 + 10,000
29	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT	55,543	56,543	+ 1,000 + 1,000

During February of this year, the Department of Defense accepted the Army's recommendation to cease further development of the Comanche helicopter program. In March, the administration submitted a budget amendment recommending the reallocation of

funds originally requested for the Comanche program.

The Committee commends the Army leadership for arriving at the decision to terminate Comanche in a deliberate, responsible manner. Unfortunately, the budget justification and request to realign funding originally intended for Comanche has not been addressed in a similar manner. In fact, during the Committee's budget review, the Army proved unable to explain, justify, and at times identify acquisition plans, and required costs for items contained within the request. In the case of several programs [Light Utility Helicopter, Armed Reconnaissance Helicopter, Apache Block III] Army acquisition plans have yet to be approved, respectively, by the Army leadership, Secretary of Defense or Joint Requirements Oversight Council. Some of these programs may or may not be subject to competitive bidding, and are being developed based on a request for information to potential suppliers.

The Committee remains supportive of the Army's efforts to deliberately improve its aviation combat power. Although supportive of the Army's efforts to achieve task organization, the Committee has not received sufficient information to endorse the budget request.

Kiowa Warrior Replacement.—The budget request included \$24,000,000 for the procurement of an armed reconnaissance helicopter. In selecting a replacement helicopter for the Kiowa Warrior, the Army has not conducted an Analysis of Alternatives. The requirements and key performance parameters for an armed reconnaissance helicopter have not been identified to the Committee. The Army failed to provide any supporting budget justification materials, and has yet to approve an acquisition strategy for this program. The Committee recommends that funds available for procurement of an armed reconnaissance helicopter shall only be available 30 days after the Army has delivered to the Committee an acquisition plan and strategy for this system.

Light Utility Helicopter.—The budget request included a total of \$45,000,000 for procurement of light utility helicopters (\$30,000,000 for the procurement of 10 helicopters, and \$15,000,000 for support costs). The Department of Defense has yet to approve

an acquisition strategy for the procurement of light utility helicopters [LUH], and has not conducted an Analysis of Alternatives. The Army has not provided the Committee any justification materials identifying the platforms to be considered or any planned competition for the LUH. The Committee recommends that funds available for procurement of the LUH shall only be available 30 days after the Army has delivered to the Committee an acquisition

plan and strategy for this system.

CH-47 Helicopter.—The amended budget request funds both remanufacture and new procurement of CH-47 helicopters. As the remanufacturing and production lines have matured, manufacturing efficiencies identified by the contractor have yielded cost savings for these respective programs. The amended budget request includes funding of \$727,300,000 for CH-47 procurement, an increase of \$215,900,000 above fiscal year 2004 levels. Due to current manufacturing efficiencies and the economies of scale to be expected from a funding increase of over 40 percent, the Committee recommends a decrease of \$25,000,000.

Vibration Management Enhancement Program.—Funding was not included in the budget request for continuation of the Vibration Management Enhancement Program [VMEP]. The Committee supports this program for enhanced aircrew safety, improved aircraft maintenance, and reduced operation costs and encourages the Army to transition this capability to the AH–64A and AH–64D

Longbow helicopter.

MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2004	\$1,505,462,000
Budget estimate, 2005	1,398,321,000
Committee recommendation	1.378.321.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,378,321,000. This is \$20,000,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY	1		09. 1,135 1,135 1,038 1,1026 822 37 500	\$489,253 2,449 108,475 1755 6,124 117,803 86,321 12,305 6,627 112,305 6,627 112,305 6,627 112,305 6,627 112,305 6,627 112,305 6,627 112,305 6,627 112,305 6,627 112,305 6,627 112,305 6,627 112,305 6,627 112,305 6,627 112,305 6,627 112,305	Δφ	Budget B
---------------------------------------	---------------------------	---	--	--	---	----	--

		-20,000	
5,843	9,439	1,378,321	
5,843	9,312	1,398,321	
24 AIR DEFENSE TARGETS 25 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MISSILES) 27 DEPONICATION PAGE CUIDDOT		TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY	

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
14	MLRS LAUNCHER SYSTEMS	41,200	21,200	- 20,000 - 20,000

Hellfire Missile.—The Army budget amendment requested \$92,900,000 to procure additional Hellfire II laser guided missiles. However, based on emerging requirements in Iraq the Army has requested flexibility in the variant to be procured. The Committee recommends granting the requested funding and flexibility for the Army to procure up to 1,135 missiles of any Hellfire II warhead variety.

PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES, ARMY

Appropriations, 2004	\$1,857,054,000
Budget estimate, 2005	1,639,695,000
Committee recommendation	1,698,695,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,698,695,000. This is \$59,000,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

	Change from	Qty. Budget estimate			+ \$7,000			+ 15,000		+ 25,000					+ 47,000							
	Committee	recommen- dation QI				3,624		15,000			18,350			10,278	1,534,666	200					4,236	_
		Otty.										67					2,070	577	009	18		
	2005 hudget	estimate		\$3,6/13	71,378	3,624	902,074		1 670	55,424	18,350	116,917	407	10,278	1,487,666	200	25,249	5.258	8,871	37,209	4,236	1 / / / CT
		Qty.															2,070	577	009	18		
[Dollar amounts in thousands]		ltem	PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY	TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES: ARBAMS TRAC ITEV MOD	· =	BRANE TANK TRAINING DEVICES (MOU)	STRYKER	⊂ .	FIST VEHICLE (MOD)	BFVS SERIES (MOD)	HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109A6 (MOD)	MI ABRAMS TAIK (MOD) SYSTEM ENHANCEMENT PGM: SEP MIA2		Production base support (TCV-WTCV)	TOTAL, TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES	WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES: INTEGRATED AIR BURST WEAPON SYSTEM FAMILY	_	WAGTINE GUN, 3:30KMM (3AW)	R.	HOWITZER LT WT 155MM (T)	MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH: MARK-19 MODIFICATIONS	M T M S M T M T
		Line		-	2 2	w 4 i	ر م	9	~ 8	6	음 =	14	17	18		19	20	23	25	27	28	67

			2005 budget		Committee	Cha	Change from
Line	Item	Oty.	estimate	Qty.	recommen- dation	Qtb.	Budget estimate
30	SQUAD AUTOMATIC WEAPON (MOD)		3,382		3,382		
31	Medium machine guns (mods)		3,409		3,409		
32	HOWITZER, TOWED, 155MM, M198 (MODS)	13	779	13	779		
34	M16 RIFLE MODS		2,345		2,345		
35	MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV)		3,245		3,245		
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES:						
36	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV)		486		486		
37	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (WOCV-WTCV)		6,620		6,620		
38	Industrial preparedness		2,629		14,629		+12,000
33	SMALL ARMS (SOLDIER ENH PROG)		3,492		3,492		
40	REF SWALL ARMS		866		866		
	TOTAL, WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES		131,941		143,941		+ 12,000
41	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS (WTCV)		20,088		20,088		
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY		1,639,695		1,698,695		+ 59,000

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	BRADLEY BASE SUSTAINMENT	71,378	78,378	+ 7,000 + 7,000
6	CARRIER, MOD		15,000	+ 15,000 + 15,000
9	BFVS SERIES (MOD)Bradley Fighting Vehicle reactive armor tiles	55,424	80,424	+ 25,000 + 25,000
38	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESSArsenal Support Program Initiative	2,629	14,629	+ 12,000 + 12,000

M-113A2/A3 Armoring Initiative.—The Committee is encouraged by the success of armored kits for combat vehicles in the Iraqi theater of operations and encourages the Army to pursue the use of this technology on other vehicles, such as the M-113 Armored Personnel Carrier. There are well over 1,000 excess Bradley Fighting Vehicles that have armor that could be used for armor kits for M-113s that would increase the M-113 protection to a level greater than that of a Bradley. The Committee understands that these kits could be modified in CONUS at a minimum rate of 50 sets per week and then shipped to deployed units and installed in the field in several hours per set. This would be a low cost solution with existing armor sets. The Committee supports any efforts by the Army to assess this option for both deployed and deploying troops.

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2004	\$1.387.759.000
Budget estimate, 2005	1.556,902,000
Committee recommendation	1,606,502,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,606,502,000. This is \$49,600,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

AMMUNITION. SWALL/I C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Item PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY	Vapo	estimate	Qty.	recommen- dation	Oty.	Budget
SMALL SMALL	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY						estilliate
AMMUNITION SMALL (
	INITION: SNALL MEDIUM CALAMMAIINITION:						
	CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES		\$173,550		\$173,550		
	CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES		45,062		45,062		
<u> </u>	CTG, 9MM, ALL TYPES		5,078		2,078		
	CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES		59,810		59,810		
	CIG, ZDMM, ALL IYPES		21,600		21,600		
J	S. S.		119,658		119,658		
MORT			1) 6 1 1		
	SOMM MORTAR, ALL TYPES		38.436		38,436		
	81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES		4,597		6,597		+ \$2,000
_	CTG, MORTAR, 120MM, ALL TYPES		50,316		52,816		+2,500
TANK	TANK AMMUNITION:						
_	L TYPES		27,419		27,419		
	120MM TANK TRAINING, ALL TYPES		139,718		139,718		
_	CTG, TANK, 120MM TACTICAL, ALL TYPES		49,845		49,845		
ARTILI	ARTILLERY AMMUNITION:						
	CTG ARTY 105MM M927		33,286		33,286		
	CTG, ARTY, 155MM, ALL TYPES		132,668		108,668		-24,000
			16,960		8,060		006,8—
_	MODULAK AKIILLEKY CHAKGE SYSIEM (MACS), ALL I		708'59		/08,69		
ARTILI	ARTILLERY FUZES:						
-	ARTILLERY FUZES, ALL TYPES		40,796		40,796		
MINES:	¢.						
	MINE TRAINING. ALL TYPES		527		527		
	AL). ALL TYPES		4.242		4.242		
	MINE. CLEARING CHARGE. ALL TYPES		1.020		1.020		
	VES		15.222		15,222		

	+ 3,000	+ 11,600	- 13,800 + 50,000 + 13,400 + 63,400 + 49,600
15,414	32,193 52,857 26,276 20,165	8,550 5,534 3,884 11,398 16,498 10,700	1,395,181 90,746 2,315 4,745 108,772 4,743 211,321 1,606,502
15,414	29,193 52,857 26,276 20,165	8,550 5,534 3,884 11,398 4,898 10,700 80	1,408,981 40,746 2,315 4,745 95,372 4,743 147,921 1,556,902
ROCKETS. 5 SHOULDER FIRED ROCKETS, ALL TYPES 6 ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES	OTHER AMMUNITION: DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES GRENADES, ALL TYPES SIGNALS, ALL TYPES SIGNALATORS, ALL TYPES	MISCELLANFOUS: AMMO COMPONENT NON-LETHAL AMMU CAD/PAD ALL TYPE: ITEMS LESS THAN ' AMMUNITION PEOU FRST DESTINATION CLOSEOUT LIABILIT	AMMUNITION PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT: PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT: PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT: PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT: PROBLEMAN OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES MAINTENANCE OF INACTIVE FACILITIES CONVENTIONAL AMMO DEMILITARIZATION ARMS INITIATIVE TOTAL, AMMUNITION PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY
25 26	27 28 29 30	31 32 33 34 35 35 37	38 39 40 41 42

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

			Г	
Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
9	81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES	4,597	6,597	+ 2,000
	Cartridge, Mortar, 81mm, Infrared Illum, M816			+ 2,000
10	CTG, MORTAR, 120MM, ALL TYPES	50,316	52,816	+ 2,500
	Cartridge, Mortar, 120mm, Illum, w/MTSQ FZ, M930			+ 2,500
16	CTG, ARTY, 155MM, ALL TYPES	132,668	108,668	- 24,000
	M864 Program Delay			- 24,000
17	PROJ 155MM EXTENDED RANGE XM982	16,960	8,060	- 8,900
	Excalibur			- 8,900
27	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES	29,193	32,193	+ 3,000
				+ 3,000
35	AMMUNITION PECULIAR EQUIPMENT	4,898	16,498	+11,600
	Advanced Controlled Flexible Ammunition Distribution Mod-			
	ule			+ 3,000
	Hydrolysis Demilitarization Demonstration Program—Tooele			
	AD			+ 7,600
	Optimized Desert Peculiar Equipment			+1,000
38	PROVISION OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	40,746	90,746	+ 50,000
	Flexible LAP for 120mm Mortar Family of Extended Range			
	Ammunition			+ 2,000
	Flexible LAP Modern Munitions Enterprise			+10,000
	Insensitive Munitions Flexible Artillery Manufacturing Sys-			
	tem			+ 5,000
	Insensitive Munitions Production—Holston Army Ammuni-			
	tion Plant			+ 2,000
	Radford Army Ammunition Plant Modernization			+ 16,000
	Small Caliber Ammunition Production Upgrades—Lake City			15.000
	Army Ammunition Plant		l	+ 15,000
41	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS DEMILITARIZATION	95,372	108,772	+ 13,400
	Accelerated Propellant Charge Demilitarization			+ 6,000
	Additional Funding for Conventional Munitions Demili-			. 4 400
	tarization			+ 4,400
	Missile Recycling Capability—Anniston Munitions Center			+ 3,000

Hydrolysis Demilitarization Demonstration Program.—The Committee is encouraged by the progress of the Hydrolysis Demili-tarization Demonstration Program and recommends an increase of \$7,600,000 to build a production prototype hydrolysis demilitariza-

tion unit for testing and operations at Tooele Army Depot.

Small Caliber Ammunition Production Upgrades.—The Global War on Terrorism has increased demand for small caliber ammunition and has strained U.S. production capabilities. In addition, recent changes in Army training doctrine place even further demands on this production capability. The Defense Department cannot meet small caliber ammunition needs unless existing capabilities are upgraded and additional capabilities are added. The Committee, therefore, recommends an increase of \$15,000,000 to modernize small caliber production lines at Lake City Army Ammunition Plant.

Demilitarization of Tactical Missiles.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$3,000,000 to complete the implementation of an organic missile recycling capability at the Anniston Munitions Center.

OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2004	\$4,774,452,000
Budget estimate, 2005	4,240,896,000
Committee recommendation	4,685,846,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,685,846,000. This is \$444,950,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

	[Dollar amounts in thousands]						
			2005 hudgat		Committee	Chai	Change from
Line	Rem	Oty.	estimate	Othy.	recommen- dation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY						
	TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES:						
	TACTICAL TRAILERS/DOLLY SETS		\$11,940		\$10,440		-\$1,500
2	SEMITRAILERS, FLATBED		9,242		9,242		
m <	SEMITRAILERS, IANKERS		903 805		799 808		
	TRUCK, DUMP, 20T (CCE)		200,000		4,500		+4,500
9	Family of Medium Tactical Veh (FMTV)		505,664		507,664		+2,000
_ 0	FIRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMEN		2,198		18,448		+ 16,250
» C	TAWILT OF MEAVI TAUTURE VEHICLES (FRIV)		64,038		30,038		+ 105,000
13	HVY EXPANDED MOBILE TACTICAL TRICK EXT SERV P		19,204		19.204		000,01
14	MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP		25,848		25,848		
15	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (TAC VEH)		247		247		
16	TOWING DEVICE—FIFTH WHEEL		1,907		1,907		
17	HEAVY ARMORED SEDAN		196		196		
× 5	PASSENGER CARKTING VEHICLES NONTACTICAL VEHICLES OTHER		197		196		
2			2		2		
	TOTAL, TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES		980,550		1,121,800		+141,250
	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT:						
21	CUMIM—JUINI CUMMUNIUNI LUMS: JCSE EQUIPMENT (USREDCOM)		4,550		4,550		
22	DEFENSE SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM (SPAC		99,775		105,175		+5,400
23	SHF IERM CALT TEDM EMIT (CDADE)		30,621		26,621		-4,000
22	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE)		40,067		48,067		+8,000
26	SMART-T (SPACE)		73,354		71,654		-1,700
/7	SCAMP (SPACE)	_	009		- 009		

25,282	19,790	41,435	3,223 63,014 + 14,400 + 14,400		8,889		1,279	2,834	23,421 69,864 + 29,300 6,133 + 469 2,698	275,940 +7,000 12,296 84,820 14,671	15,979
25,282 12,664 198	19,790	34,435	121,452 3,223 48,614	7,776 843	8,889	28,767 6,948 4,662	1,279	2,834 114,124	23,421 40,564 6,133 469 2,698	268,940 12,296 84,820 14,671	15,979 13,993
MILSTAR COMPONENTS (SPACE) GLOBAL BRDCST SVC—GBS MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (TAC SAT)	COMM—C3 SYSTEM: ARMY GLOBAL CMD & CONTROL SYS (AGCCS)	COMM—COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS: ARMY DATA DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (DATA RADIO)	JIKS CLÜSIEK I. RADIO TERMINAL SET, MIDS LYT(2) SINGGARS FAMI Y	E E	COMMS-ELEC EQUIP FIELDING SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM COMMAELECTRONICS	Combat Survivor Evader Locator (CSEL) Radio, Improved HF Family Medical Comm for CBT Casualty Care (MC4)	COMM—INTELLIGENCE COMM: CI AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE	INFORMATION SECURITY: TSEC—ARMY KEY MGT SYS (AKMS) INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM—ISSP	COMM—LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS. TERRESTRIAL TRANSMISSION BASE SUPPORT COMMUNICATIONS ARMY DISN ROUTER ELECTRONAG COMP PROG (EMCP) WW TECH CON IMP PROG (WMTCIP)	COMM—BASE COMMUNICATIONS: INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM (DMS) LOCAL AREA NETWORK (LAN) PENTAGON INFORMATION MGT AND TELECOM	ELECT EQUIP—TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA): ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYS (ASAS) (TIARA)
28 29 30	31	32	35	36	39	41 42 43	44	45 46	47 48 49 50 51	52 53 54 55	58

	Change from	Budget estimate	+3,000	+ 46,000	+1,000				+ 3,000			000,8+		_			+3,400	+4,000												
	5	Qty.																												
	Committee	recommen- dation	20,692	149,036	15,094	9,575	5,840	2,594	7,287		7,487	110,325	49,176	24,609 461	280	1.985	8,363	21,444	120,073	12,339	14,633	346	1,456	6	50,692	26,922	2,330	11,909	12,873	6,400 2,045
		Qty.																												
	1000	cous pudget estimate	17,692	100,436	14,094	9,575	5,840	2,394	4,287		7,487	102,325	49,176	24,603	280	1.985	4,963	17,444	120,073	12,339	14,633	346	1,456	0	50,692	28,922	2,330	11,909	12,873	6,400 2,045
		Oţŷ.																												
[בסופו מוומחווים וו מוומחפפות		Item	PROPHET GROUND (TIARA)		TACTICAL EXPLOITAT	DCGS-A UNIT OF EM	TROJAN (TIARA)			ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL SURV. (TAC SURV):	SENTINEL MODS	NOTE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR	LUNG KANGE ADVANCED SCUUI SURVEILLANCE SYSIEM	MIGHT VISION, THEMWAL WITN SIGHT	MOD OF IN-SVC FOUIP (MVS)			QUIP (TAC SURV)	FORCE XXI BATTLE CMD BRIGADE & BELOW (FBCB2)	LIGHTWEIGHT LASER DESIGNATOR/RANGEFINDER (LLD	MORTAR FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM	INTEGRATED MET SYS SENSORS (IMETS)—TIARA	ENHANCED SENSOR & MONITORING SYSTEM	ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS.	HACILLAL UPERALIUN CENTER AND THE AND	ADV FA IAC DATA SYS/EFF CIRL SYS (AFAIDS/EC	MOD OF IN-SYC EQUIT, AFRIED STORMS IN THE PRESENCE OF THE DIRECTION SYS (I'MT	0		AIR & MSL DEFENSE PLANNING & CONTROL SYS (AMD FORWARD ENTRY DEVICE/LIGHTWEIGHT FED (FED/L
		Line	60	01	65	99	62	8 6	88		4 7	ر د ب	9 9	° &	8 2	8	83	84	82	98	87	8	83	8	3 5	6	33	94	95	96

+8,000	+5,000 +2,000			+146,900		+ 15,000	+ 6,400	+ 5,000	
2,236 1,827 32,416 16,376 11,363 29,136 54,581	5,377 151,184 50,467	1,801 1,624 2,298	434	2,462,204	3,863	34,137 32,360	6,906 3,023 2,001 1,038 12,670 7,080	17,554 2,020 12,275	
2,236 1,827 24,416 16,376 12,587 11,363 29,136 54,581	5,377 146,184 48,467	1,801 1,624 2,298	434	2,315,304	3,863	34,137	6,906 3,023 2,001 1,038 12,670 680	17,554 2,020 7,275	
KNIGHT FAMILY LIFE CYCLE SOFTW LOGITECH TO AIMS II	ELECT EQUIP—AUTOMAI ARMY TRAINING M AUTOMATED DATA RESERVE COMPON	ELECT EQUIP—AUDIO VISUAL SYS (AAV): 2 AFRTS 3 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (AAV) 4 ITEMS LESS THAN \$50M (SURVEYING EQUIPMENT)	ELECT EQUIP—SUPPORT: 5 PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (C-E)	TOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT	OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT: CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT: 6 SMOKE & OBSCURANT FAMILY: SOF (NON AAO ITEM)	BRIDGING EQUIPMENT. 7 TACTICAL BRIDGING TACTICAL BRIDGING TACTICAL BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON	ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) EQUIPMENT: HANDHELD STANDOFF MINEFIELD DETECTION SYS—HST KIT, STANDARD TELEOPERATING GRND STANDOFF MINE DETECTION SYSTEM (GSTAMIDS H ROBOTIC COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM (ROSD) SE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQPMT (EOD EQPMT) ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M, COUNTERMINE EQUIPMENT	COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT: 7 HEATERS AND EQU'S 8 LAUNDRIES, SHOWERS AND LATRINES. 10 SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT	
98 100 101 104 105 106	109	112 113 114	115		116	117	121 122 123 124 125 126	127 128 130	

									-						
	Change from	Budget estimate	+ 10,000		+4 500			+ 10,000			-	+ 10,000	+2,000	+4,000	+ 6,000
	Cha	Oth.													
	Committee	recommen- dation	10,030	20,063	14,288 6,546 4 500	38,091	12,581	21,743	9,427	5,863	3,812 8,675	7,192	2,000	58,397	1,315
		Otty.													
	10000	estimate	30	20,063	14,288	38,091	12,581	11,743	9,427	5,863	3,812 8,675	5,310 7,192	3,465	54,397	1,315
		Qty.													
Isonias minoraginas		Item	LIGHTWEIGHT MAINTENANCE ENCLOSURE (LME)	FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT	AIK DRUP PROUSANG MEMORY SEAD		WATER EQUIPMENT: WATER PURIFICATION SYSTEMS	Medical equipment: Combat support medical	Maintenance Equipment: Shop eq contact maintenance trk mtd (MyP) Items less than \$5.0m (Maint Eq)	CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT: MISSION MODULES—ENGINEERING LOADERS	CYANES HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER EXCAVATOR (HMEE)	CUNS EQUIP EST TIEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CONST EQUIP)	RAIL FLOAT CONTAINERIZATION EQUIPMENT: LOGISTIC SUPPORT VESSEL (LSV)	GENERATORS. GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIP	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT: ALL TERRAIN LIFTING ARMY SYSTEM TIEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MHE)
		Line	131	135	137	140	142	143	144 146	149	158	160	161 164	165	167 169

	TRAINING EQUIPMENT:			
170	ENTERS (CTC) SUPPORT	86,421	86,421	
171		241,946	300,846	+ 58,900
172	tical trainer	61,811	61,811	
173		40.803	55,803	+15,000
	TEST MEASURE AND DIG EQUIPMENT (TMD):			
175		4,054	4,054	
176	DERNIZATION (TEMOD)	5,214	5,214	
	OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT:			
178	SOLDIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	13,510	13,510	
179	Y SYSTEMS (OPA3)	68,044	78,044	+10,000
180		7,197	7,197	
181	N-SVC EQUIPMENT (0PA-3)	10,457	10,457	
182	UPPORT (OTH)	2,655	2,655	
183		9,905	9,905	
184		2,447	2,447	
	TOTAL, OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	890,326	1,047,126	+ 156,800
	SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS:			
185	INTIAL SPARES—C&E	44,102	 44,102	
186	INITIAL SPARES—OTHER SUPPORT EQUIP	1,260	1,260	
	TOTAL, SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS	45,362	45,362	
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	9,354	9,354	
	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY	 4,240,896	4,685,846	+ 444,950

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommen- dation	Change from budget estimate
1	TACTICAL TRAILERS/DOLLY SETS	11,940	10,440	- 1,500 - 1.500
5	TRUCK, DUMP, 20T (CCE) Heli-Basket Module Technology for the National Guard		4,500	+ 4,500 + 4,500
6	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH [FMTV]	505,664	507,664	+ 2,000 + 2,000
7	FIRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT Tactical Fire Fighting Equipment for Army National Guard and Reserve	2,198	18,448	+ 16,250 + 16,250
8	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES [FHTV] HEMTT & Palletized Load System [PLS]	84,038	189,038	+ 105,000 + 75,000
10	Movement Tracking System [MTS] TRUCK, TRACTOR, LINE HAUL, M915/M916 M915A3 Family of Military Vehicles	15,314	30,314	$+30,000 \\ +15,000 \\ +15.000$
22	DEFENSE SAT COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM (SPACE) Satellite-based Interoperable Network Communications System, MO Prototype	99,775	105,175	+ 5,400 + 5,400
23	SHF TERM Unjustified cost growth	30,621	26,621	- 4,000 - 4,000
25	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE) Defense Advanced GPS Receiver [DAGR] program	40,067	48,067	+ 8,000 + 5,000 + 3.000
26	PRC-112D Search and Rescue Upgrade Kits	73,354	71,654	+ 3,000 - 1,700 - 1,700
32	ARMY DATA DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (DATA RADIO) Procurement of Enhanced Position Location System [EPLRS]	34,435	41,435	+ 7,000 + 7,000
33	JTRS CLUSTER 1	121,452	111,452	- 10,000 - 10,000
35	SINCGARS FAMILY	48,614	63,014	+ 14,400 + 4,400
48	SINCGARS Radios for the Army National Guard BASE SUPPORT COMMUNICATIONS PACMERS PACMERS	40,564	69,864	+ 10,000 + 29,300 + 9,500
	USARPAC C4 Information Infrastructure Pacific Deployable C4 package Alaska Land Mobile Radio			+ 1,000 + 1,000 + 13,800
52	Emergency Response System—Rock Island ArsenalINFORMATION SYSTEMSPalmtop Emergency Action for Chemical [PEAC] Tool	268,940	275,940	+ 4,000 + 7,000 + 7,000
60	PROPHET GROUND (TIARA) Prophet Block I Enhancements	17,692	20,692	+ 3,000 + 3,000
61	TUAV	100,456	149,056	+ 48,600 + 25,600 + 11,000
	RQ-5A Hunter Unmanned Aerial Vehicle [UAV] Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Common Data Link Shadow TUAV components			+ 5,000 + 2,000 + 5,000
65	TACTICAL EXPLOITATION SYSTEM (TIARA) Tacticomp	14,094	15,094	+ 1,000 + 1,000
70	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (TIARA) National Guard virtual, low-cost infrastructure pilot program	4,287	7,287	+ 3,000 + 3,000
75	NIGHT VISION DEVICES Mini-IR MX2 for the 18th Airborne Corps	102,325	110,325	+ 8,000 + 1,000
	Small Tactical Optical Rifle Mounted Micro-LASER Range Find- ing SystemANPEM—1 LASER Borelight System [LBS]			+ 5,000 + 2,000
83	PROFILER	4,963	8,363	+ 3,400

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommen- dation	Change from budget estimate
84	Meteorological Measuring Set-Profiler (Profiler) MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (TAC SURV) AN/TPQ-36(V)8 Radar Processor Replacement	17,444	21,444	+ 3,400 + 4,000 + 4.000
100	LOGTECH	24,416	32,416	+ 8,000 + 8,000
104	JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM [JNMS] Joint Force Wireless Redundant Communications for the National Guard	12,587	18,087	+ 5,500
110	AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP Standard Army Retail Supply System In-Transit Visibility	146,184	151,184	+ 5,500 + 5,000 + 5,000
111	RESERVE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS [RCAS]Regional Medical Distributive Learning	48,467	50,467	+ 2,000 + 2.000
118	TACTICAL BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON Common Bridge Transporter for the Army National Guard	17,360	32,360	+ 15,000 + 15.000
126	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M, COUNTERMINE EQUIPMENT Buffalo Mine Clearance Vehicles	680	7,080	+ 6,400 + 6,400
130	SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT Keylar Helmet retro fit suspension system	7,275	12,275	+ 5,000 + 5,000
131	LIGHTWEIGHT MAINTENANCE ENCLOSURE [LME] LIME	30	10,030	+ 10,000 + 10,000
138	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CSS EQ)		4,500	+ 4,500 + 4,500
143	RI-2200 and RI-2400 Long Arm High Intensity Flashlights	11,743	21,743	+10,000
159	Chitosan Hemorrhage Control Dressing—A CONST EQUIP ESP	5,310	15,310	+ 10,000 + 10,000
161	CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT SLEP—ARMOJOSAR LOGISTIC SUPPORT VESSEL [LSV] Logistics Support Vessel (LSV M11200) Service Life Extension Program Program		2,000	+ 10,000 + 2,000 + 2.000
165	GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIP 100kW Generators	54,397	58,397	+ 4,000 + 4,000
169	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MHE) Modular Causeway System		6,000	+ 6,000 + 6,000
171	TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM Laser Markmanship Training System	241,946	300,846	+ 58,900 + 5,000
	MOUT Instrumentation—Fort Bragg Combat Arms Training System for the Army National Guard			+ 2,400 + 7,500
	172nd SIB range improvement planUSARPAC SBCT C4 infrastructure			$+15,000 \\ +6,000$
	USARPAC live instrumented training Call For Fire Trainer/Joint Fires and Effects Trainer System			+ 3,000 + 10,000
173	Non-systems Training Devices for Up-Armored HMMWV and Tac- tical Truck Trainers	40.803	55,803	+ 10,000 + 15,000
	U.S. Army Aviation Combined Arms Tactical Trainer			+ 15,000
179	PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OPA3) East Battlefield Anti-Intrusion System [BAIS]	68,044	78,044	+ 10,000 + 10,000

Joint Tactical Radio System [JTRS].—It is currently the policy of the Department of Defense that any radio purchased including those necessary to go to war, will require a JTRS waiver. The continued enforcement of this policy, in the absence of any JTRS solutions, has made it very difficult for commanders to appropriately equip deploying units with essential communications in a timely manner. The Committee believes that the JTRS waiver process adds a significant and unnecessary burden to the purchase of urgently required mission equipment.

gently required mission equipment.

While the Committee understands the rationale for issuing a blanket policy with regard to JTRS communications equipment, the Committee notes the bottleneck that this policy has caused. There-

fore, the Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Networks and Information Integration to report back to the congressional defense committees no later than January 3, 2005 on a new plan which establishes and describes a process which allows the rapid fielding of radios, terminals, or other communications systems which are not JTRS compliant. Further, this report should address the option of suspending the JTRS waiver process until JTRS solutions are available for fielding.

Additionally, the Committee is concerned that the Joint Tactical Radio System program support costs have been assigned to several different accounts within the Army, and in doing so have escalated to an excessive level. Therefore, the Committee recommends a reduction of \$10,000,000 from the procurement line due to excessive

management costs.

SINCGARS Power Alternatives.—The wide scale use of SINCGARS communications platforms has created a significant power supply problem for deployed units in both Iraq and Afghanistan. The dependence of SINCGARS radios on battery power has resulted in tremendous operational costs as a result of constantly replacing disposable batteries and corresponding battery shortages.

The Committee is aware of low cost, lightweight AC power supplies for SINCGARS that have been purchased by various units in the field to address this systemic problem. The Committee recommends that the Secretary of the Army conduct a comprehensive review of the costs associated with an over reliance on batteries and whether or not the Army would be better served by supplementing units using SINCGARS with AC power supply solutions to reduce battery usage and increase SINCGARS availability.

Maintenance Support Device.—The Committee is concerned by recent decisions made by the Army to terminate funding for the Maintenance Support Device, which is a vital Test Measurement Diagnostic Equipment [TMDE] asset providing a comprehensive maintenance and diagnostic system to our warfighters and maintainers in the field. This action could have a substantially negative impact on force readiness.

Calibration Sets Equipment Modernization.—The Committee notes, with concern, that the President's budget request contains no funding for Calibration Sets [CALSETS], and that the Army has not completed the procurement and fielding of CALSETS. The Committee further notes that this decision places a particular burden on the Guard and Reserve forces, and given the increased reliance on the Army Total Force to support worldwide contingency operations, it is imperative that these critical assets be available across the entire force for both training and deployment. The Committee directs the Army to report back to the congressional defense committees no later than January 3, 2005 on the Army plan to ensure that there are adequate resources to continue fielding this equipment across the Army Total Force.

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2004	\$9,110,848,000
Budget estimate, 2005	8,767,867,000
Committee recommendation	8,899,367,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$8,899,367,000. This is \$131,500,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

						Chai	Change from	
	ltom	ŧ	2005 budget	ŧ	Committee			
<u> </u>	IIAII	original ori	estimate	ouy.	dation	Qty.	Budget estimate	
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY							
	COMBAT AIRCRAFT:							
-	AV-8B (V/STOL) HARRIER (MYP)		\$4,659		\$4,659			
2			8,243		8,243			
က	F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP)	42	2.907,456	42	2,907,456			
4			78,306		78,306			
5	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT)	∞	846,571	∞	846,571			
9	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) (AP-CY)		71,490		71,490			
7		6	241,792	6	241,792			
∞	MH-60S (MYP)	15	295,595	15	295,595			
6	MH-60S (MYP) (AP-CY)		105,159		105,159			
10		∞	338,491	∞	338,491			
Ξ			70,604		70,604			
12	E-2G (FAR) Y WARNING) HAWKEYF (MYP)	2	211.537	2	211.537			• -
13	E-2C (EARLY WARNING) HAWKEYE (WYP) (AP-CY)		36,413		36,413			-
	TOTAL, COMBAT AIRCRAFT		5,216,316		5,216,316			
	A BLIFT A BCBAFT:							
14					16,000	+	+ \$16,000	
15	C-40A	-	65,224		65,224	į		
16		-	53,331	2	106,631	+	+53,300	
	TOTAL, AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT		118,555		187,855		+ 69,300	
	Traner archaft.							
17		-	52,435			-1	-52,435	
28		∞	253,589	10	306,024	+2	+ 52,435	
19	PAIS		2,534		14,534		+ 12,000	
	TOTAL, TRAINER AIRCRAFT		308,558		320,558		+ 12,000	
	OTHER AIRCRAFT:							
20		4	278,686	4	278,686			
21	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (45,531		45,531			

22	F-5	6	4,487	6	4,487	
	TOTAL, OTHER AIRCRAFT		328,704		328,704	
8	MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT:		, c			0
23.2	EA-6 SERIESAVLR SERIES		165,702		1/5,402	+ + 6,700
56	ADVERSARY		5,465		5.465	-
27	F-18 SFRES		412.495		412,495	
58 58	H-46 SERIES		71.179		71.179	
53	AH-IW SERIES		2,153		7,153	+5.000
30	H-53 SERIES		9,835		21,835	+ 12,000
31	SH-60 SERIES		11,655		11,655	
32			3,488		8,488	+ 5,000
33	EP-3 SERIES		28,339		28,339	
34			134,970		139,970	+5,000
35	S-3 SERIES		1,861	-	1,861	
36	E-2 SERIES		15,124		15,124	
37	Trainer A/C series		14,040		14,040	
38	C-2A		29,564		29,564	
39			15,419		20,419	+ 5.000
40	FEWSG		579		579	
41	CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES		8.285		8.285	
42	E-6 SERIES		19,721		19,721	
43	EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES		21.800		21,800	
4	SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT		12,415		12,415	
45			44,190		44,190	
46	POWER PLANT CHANGES		24,409		24,409	
47	JPATS SERIES		648		648	
48	2		7,364		7,364	
49	_		43,163		43,163	
20	COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES		167,504		167,504	
51	ID SYSTEMS		1,575		1,575	
25	V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACH) OSPREY		3,448		3,448	
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT		1,297,198		1,344,898	+ 47,700
53	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS.		005 013		0.05 0.13	
S	STANES AND NETHIN FANTS		520,013		570,075	
54	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES: COMMON GROIIND FOIIIPMENT		474.521		474.521	
,						

+131,500+2,500 Budget estimate Change from œ. 16,115 11,570 9,883 61,553 1,581 8,899,367 575,223 Committee recommen-dation Ę, 16,115 9,070 9,883 61,553 1,581 572,723 8,767,867 2005 budget estimate ŒŶ. Item TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY 55 56 57 58 58 Line

[Dollar amounts in thousands]

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee: $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Committee}}$

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
14	UC-35		16,000	+ 16,000 + 16,000
16	C-37Additional aircraft	53,331	106,631	+ 53,300 + 53.300
17	T-48 (T-39 REPLACEMENT) Program postponed	52,435		- 52,435 - 52,435
18	T-45TS (TRAINER) GOSHAWK Additional aircraft	253,589	306,024	+ 52,435 + 52,435
19	JPATS	2,534	14,534	+ 12,000 + 12.000
23	EA-6 Series EA-6B ICAP III Weapons System Trainer	165,702	175,402	+ 9,700 + 9.700
24	AV-8 Series Litening Pod Downlink Development	20,808	26,808	+ 6,000 + 6.000
29	AH-1W Series Super Cobra Night Targeting System	2,153	l	+ 5,000 + 5.000
30	H–53 Series CH–53 IMD–HUMS	9,835	21,835	+ 12,000 + 12,000
32	H-1 Series UH-1N Navigation Thermal Imaging System	3,488	8,488	+ 5,000 + 5,000
34	P-3 Series P-3C AIP Tactical Common Data Link upgrade	134,970	139,970	+ 5,000 + 5,000
39	C-130 Series Electronic Propeller Control System	15,419	20,149	+ 5,000 + 5,000 + 5.000
56	WAR CONSUMABLES	9,070		+ 2,500
	Navy Aerial Refueling Store Advanced Power System [ARSIPS]			+2,500

WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2004	\$2,095,784,000
Budget estimate, 2005	2,101,529,000
Committee recommendation	2.222.620.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,222,620,000. This is \$121,091,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

PATISTIC MISSUES Committee Change from Estimate Change from								70	6									
NEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY NEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY SUBJECT OF MISSILES NEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY NEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY SUBJECT OF MISSILES NEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY SUBJECT OF MISSILES NEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY SUBJECT OF MISSILES SUBJECT OF MISSILES NAVIOLE COMMITTEE ON. C	nge from	Budget estimate						+ \$37,000		-5,000	+5,000			+ 20,000		+ 37,000		+ 90,200
NEAPONS PROCIREMENT, NAVY NUCLIFIES. NUC	Chai	Qty.						+ 23										
Need to broke the composition of the composition	Committee	recommen- dation		\$73.067	695,555	1,334	769,956	293,196 80,313		28,914	144,407	150,098	72.686	20,000	51,790	41,037	56,073	1,027,688
Near Table Nea		Qty.		Ľ	> :			316		46	389	75	0.6					
NEW	900c bd.20	zoos budget estimate		\$73.067	695,555	1,334	769,956	256,196 80,313		33,914	139,407	150,098	72.686	10,385	51,790	4,037	56,073	937,488
DS		Qty.		ıc	> :			293		46	389	75	G.					
e 1 1 2 2 2 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Item	WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY	BALLISTIC MISSILES:	TRIDENT II MODS	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILI' MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILI'	S	OTHER MISSILES: STRATEGIC MISSILES: TOMAHAWK ESSM	TACTICAL MISSILES.					DRONES AND DECOYS	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES: STANDARD MISSILES MODS	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES: WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT: ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	

8	TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT: TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP:				
ASW	ASW TARGETS	23,973	23,973		
MOD OF WK MK	MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP: MK-4G TORPEDO MODS MK-4B TORPEDO ADCAP MODS QUICKSTRIKE MINE	61,413 61,185 2,981	61,413 61,185 2,981		
SUPPOR TC AS	Support Equipment: Torpedo Support Equipment Asw range Support	23,401 12,935	23,401 12,935		
DESTIN	DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION: FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	3,123	3,123		
	TOTAL, TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT	189,011	189,011		
OTHER WEAPONS: GUNS AND G SMALL COAST	r Weapons: Guns and Gun Mounts: Small arms and Weapons Coast Guard Weapons	2,195	2,195	-5,349	
MODIF	Modification of Guns and Gun Mounts: CIWS Mods Gun Mount Mods	86,128 25,858	106,128 60,858	+ 20,000 + 35,000	
OTHER: TA CR	r: Tactical uav—pioneer Cruiser modernization	8,775	8,775	-8,760	
	TOTAL, OTHER WEAPONS	137,065	177,956	+ 40,891	
PARES AND	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	68,009	58,009	-10,000	
TOTA	TOTAL, WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY	2,101,529	2,222,620	+121,091	

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
4	TOMAHAWK	256,196	293,196	+ 37,000
	Additional Missiles			+ 37,000
6	AMRAAM	33,914	28,914	- 5,000
	Production Support continuity with USAF			- 5,000
7	SIDEWINDER	35,177	31,377	- 3,800
	Accounting/Pricing Inconsistencies			- 3,800
8	JSOW	139,407	144,407	+ 5,000
	JSOW-C			+ 5,000
13	DRONES AND DECOYS		20,000	+ 20,000
	ITALD			+ 20,000
18	WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	4,037	41,037	+ 37,000
	ABL Facility Restoration			+ 34,500
	Bacchus Naval Industrial Plant			+ 2,500
28	COAST GUARD WEAPONS	5,349		- 5,349
	Lack of Justification			- 5,349
30	CIWS MODS	86,128	106,128	+ 20,000
	Additional BLK 1B Kits			+ 20,000
32	GUN MOUNT MODS	25,858	60,858	+ 35,000
	Mk 45 Mod 4 5 inch Gun Upgrades			+ 10,000
	57mm Gun Qualification and Test			+ 15,000
	Minor Caliber Gun			+ 10,000
34	CRUISER MODERNIZATION WEAPONS	8,760		- 8,760
	Program Reduction			- 8,760
36	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	68,009	58,009	-10,000
	Unjustified Growth			-10,000

Phalanx Close-In Weapons System [CIWS].—The Committee recommends an increase of \$20,000,000 for procurement of CIWS Block 1B upgrade kits. The Committee is concerned that the Navy significantly reduced its procurement request for CIWS in fiscal year 2005 and changed the installation plans for the system. The Committee is concerned that the revised installation plans will only partially outfit ships with the Block 1B upgrade, that is, not upgrade all a ship's CIWS simultaneously but rather in an incremental fashion. The Committee believes this plan to be inefficient and provides additional funding to facilitate the full outfitting of ships with the CIWS Block 1B capability.

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2004	\$934,905,000
Budget estimate, 2005	858,640,000
Committee recommendation	881,140,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$881,140,000. This is \$22,500,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

			1000c		Committee	Cha	Change from
Line	ltem	Qty.	estimate	Oth.	recommen- dation	Qtb.	Budget estimate
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS						
	PROC AMMO, NAVY: NAVY AMMINITION.						
_	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS		\$181,452		\$181,452		
2	"ЮАМ	6,620	151,189	6,620	151,189		
m •	AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES		34,151		34,151		6
4 1	MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION		53,577	:	53,674		+ \$4,000
9	CARTRIDGES & CART ACTUATED DEVICES		26,182		26,182		
7			10,735		10,735		
∞ 0	AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES		48,674		48,674		
D .	JA 102		4,502		4,502		
1 =	S INCHOS4 GUN AMMUNITUM		19,749		19,749		
12	ZAMINGE MANUE GOIDED MONTIONS (ENGIN)		1.153		1.153		
13	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION		19,199		19,199		
14	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO		23,235		23,235		
15	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION		10,133		10,133		
×1	AMMUNITUN LESS THAN \$3 MILLIUN		3,135		3,135		
	TOTAL, PROC AMMO, NAVY		613,240		617,240		+4,000
	PROC AMMO, MC:						
5	MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION:	,	100		100		
2 5	3.30 MM, ALL TYPES 7 62 MM ALI TYPES		802,52		821,28		
21	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES		10,286		20,286		+ 10,000
22	.50 CALIBER		1,898		1,898		
23	40 MM, ALL TYPES		23,614		25,614		+2,000
24	60MM, ALL TYPES		10,446		12,946		+2,500
2 92	01MM, ALL TIFES		15,365		15,365		+ 1,000
27			3,749		3,749		
78	9 MM ALL TYPES		7,644		7,644		

+22,500+18,500+3,000 Budget estimate Change from g. 5,042 17,050 55,599 2,474 3,270 13,816 1,145 7,123 881,140 263,900 Committee recommen-dation Ę, 5,042 14,050 55,599 2,474 3,270 13,816 1,145 7,123 245,400 858,640 2005 budget estimate Ġ. TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS Item GRENADES, ALL TYPES
ROCKETS, ALL TYPES
ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES
EXPEDITIONARY FIGHTING VEHICLE
DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES
FUZE, ALL TYPES
NON LETHALS
AMMO MODERNIZATION
ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION TOTAL, PROC AMMO, MC 29 31 32 33 34 35 35 37 38 Line

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
4	MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION Cartridge, 20 mm, Linkless, PGU–28A/B	25,674	29,674	+ 4,000 + 4.000
21	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPESAnti-Personnel Obstacle Breaching System [APOBS]	10,286	20,286	+ 10,000 + 6,000
	Charge, Demolition, High Explosive [HE], Linear, M58A4 Charge, Demolition, High Explosive [HE], Linear, M59A1			+ 2,000 + 2,000
23	40 MM, ALL TYPESCartridge, 40 mm, HEDP, Linked, M430/M430A1	23,614	25,614	+ 2,000 + 2,000
24	60 MM, ALL TYPESCartridge, Mortar, 60 mm, High Explosive, M720A1	10,446	12,946	+ 2,500 + 2,500
25	81 MM, ALL TYPES	24,319	25,319	+ 1,000 + 1,000
31	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES	14,050	17,050	+ 3,000 + 3,000

SHIPBUILDING AND CONVERSION, NAVY

Appropriations, 2004	\$11,467,623,000
Budget estimate, 2005	9,962,027,000
Committee recommendation	10,171,643,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$10,171,643,000. This is \$209,616,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

			2006 hud 204		Committee	Cha	Change from
Line	Item	Oth.	estimate	Qty.	recommen- dation	Oth.	Budget estimate
	SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY						
	OTHER WARSHIPS:						
7	CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM (AP-CY)	-	\$626,084	-	\$485,184		-\$140,900
‡ rc	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE	-	871.864	-	871.864		
9	SSGN CONVERSION	-	469.226		469.226		
7	SSGN CONVERSION (AP-CY)		48,000		48,000		
6	CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS (AP-CY)		333,061		223,061		-110,000
Ξ:	SSN ERO (AP-CY)	-	19,368	-	19,368		
71	SOBIL END CAD CAD	-	262,229	-	262,229		
2 4	335N ERU (AF-U1)	~	7 7,17 1	. ~	3 444 950		
148	DD(X) (AP-CY)	2	6 :	2	320,516		+ 320,516
	TOTAL, OTHER WARSHIPS		7,728,096		7,797,712		+69,616
	AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS:						
15	LHD-1 AMPHIBIOUS ASSAULT SHIP		236,018		236,018		
158	LHA (R) (AP-CY)				175,000		+ 175,000
16	[P0=1/	-	966,559	-	966,559		
	TOTAL, AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS		1,202,577		1,377,577		+175,000
	ALIVITABLES CRAFT AND DRIOR VEAR DEACHEAM COSTS.						
~	AUNIENTES, CIVIL AND LINE		25 048		25 048		
13	OUTRITING	'	399,327	1	349,327		- 50,000
20	SERVICE CRAFT		32,099		32,099		
21		2	90,490	2	90,490		
24 24A			484,390		484,390		+ 15.000
	TOTAL, AUXILIARIES, CRAFT, AND PRIOR-YEAR PROGRAM		1,031,354		996,354		-35,000
	TOTAL, SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY		9,962,027		10,171,643		+ 209,616

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM (FY05 for 07)	626,084	485,184	- 140,900 - 140,900
9	CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS AP (FY05 for 06)	333,061	223,061	- 110,000 - 110.000
14 B	DD(X) (AP)		320,516	+ 320,516
	Transfer from R&D, Navy			+ 221,116
	DD(X) (FY07)			+ 99,400
15 B	LHA-R (AP)		175,000	+ 175,000
19	OUTFITTING	399,327	349,327	-50,000
	Program Reduction			- 50,000
24 A	Power Unit Assembly Facility		15,000	+ 15,000

DD(X) Destroyer Program.—The Committee recommends supporting the President's budget request for the DD(X) Destroyer program but holds that construction of the ship should be funded within the shipbuilding and conversion account in a manner consistent with prior shipbuilding programs. The Committee is encouraged by the Navy's willingness to propose nontraditional means of overcoming the enormous financial burden that ship cost overruns and prior year bills place upon the shipbuilding budget, but finds that such costs would not be eliminated but rather obscured by funding ship construction in the research and development account. Therefore, the Committee recommends transferring \$221,116,000 of research and development funding to the Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy account and directs the Navy to fund future ship construction programs within the shipbuilding and conversion account. In addition, the Committee recommends providing \$99,400,000 in advance procurement funding for the second DD(X) ship to be constructed at a second source shipyard.

LHA(R).—The Committee is aware of the Navy and Marine Corps team's desire to accelerate the current fiscal year 2008 build plan for the next generation large deck amphibious assault ship. The Committee's understanding is that the recently signed requirements plan calls for the construction of LHA(R) Flight Zero or an affordable variant of the LHD Class that is designed to support increased air operations and fuel capacity. The Committee recommends \$175,000,000 in funding for LHA(R) Flight Zero with the unwavering expectation that the Navy will include follow-on funding for the ship in its fiscal year 2006 budget request. Further, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to submit a detailed report to the congressional defense committees on the acquisition strategy and overall program plan for the LHA(R) by March 31, 2005.

OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Appropriations, 2004	\$4,941,098,000
Budget estimate, 2005	4,834,278,000
Committee recommendation	4,797,025,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,797,025,000. This is \$37,253,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

Line			100				
	Item	Oty.	estimate	Qty.	recommen- dation	Oth.	Budget estimate
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY						
	SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT: SHIP PROPULISION EQUIPMENT:						
- 1	RRINF		\$9,009		\$9,009		
1	PROPELLERS.		1,1		1		
က	SUBMARINE PROPELLERS				2,000		+ \$7,000
4	navigation equipment: Other navigation equipment		16,180		16,180		
2	UNDERWAY REPLENISHMENT EQUIPMENT: UNDERWAY REPLENISHMENT EQUIPMENT		1,530		1,530		
9	PERISCOPES. Sub Periscopes & Imaging Equip	5	62,050	22	62,050		
-	OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT:						
_	FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT		24,731		24,731		
» σ	COMMAND AND CONTROL SWITCHBOARD		3,768		3,768		
2	T FOUIPMENT		21,012		26.181		+5.000
==			56,051		56,051		
12	Submarine Batteries		26,077		26,077		
13	8		55,166		55,166		
1 5	DSSF EQUIPMENT GG-MADFENIZATION		21,131		21,131		- 114 139
16	LCAC		8,365		8,365		
17	Minesweeping equipment		8,046		8,046		
8 9			148,637		161,537		+12,900
70 70 70	CHEMICAL WARFARE DE LECTURS		4,725 13,940		4,725		
- 12	REACTOR PLANT EQUIPMENT: BEACTOR POWIEP INITS		356 379		356 372		
22	REACTOR COMPONENTS		217,175		217,175		

			1000c		Committee	Cha	Change from	
	Item	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommen- dation	Qty.	Budget estimate	
	OCEAN ENGINEERING: DIVING AND SALVAGE EQUIPMENT		8,875		8,875			
	SMALL BOATS: STAMDARD BOATS		18,328		18,328			
10	Tranning Equipment: Other Ships training Equipment		8,848		8,848			
.0	Production Facilities Equipment: Operating Forces IPE		22,384		26,384		+4,000	
	OTHER SHIP SUPPORT: NUCLEAR ALTERATIONS		133,999		133,999			
	TOTAL, SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		1,425,590		1,340,351		- 85,239	00
6	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT: SHIP RADARS: SPQ-9B RADAR		3,584		10,584		+7,000	'
	SHIP SONARS: SSN ACOUSTICS		225,028		228,028		+3,000	
4 7 9	uuv program Undersea warfare support equipment Sonar Switches and Transducers		61,253 14,116 13,330		19,116 13,330		-61,253 + 5,000	
	ASW ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT: SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEM		20,857		20,857			
0 0 0 1	FIXED STRYELLANCE SYSTEM SURTASS. ASW OPERATIONS CENTER		55,273 55,325 7,166 5,100		55,273 55,325 7,166 5,100			
3 2	ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT: AN/SLQ-32 INFORMATION WARFARE SYSTEMS		18,728		18,728			

86

reconnaissance equipment: Shipboard IW exploit Submarine surveillance equipment:
OTHER SHIP ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT: COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY
GOOSTIN ENTRIENT MAY TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM (NTCSS)
ATOLS
ARMED FORCES RADIO AND TV
Strategic Platform Support equip Other training equipment
2
MAICALS
antibuand ain traffic continul
AIR STATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT
ID SYSTEMS.
PLANNING SYS(TAMPS)
other shore electronic equipment:
DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONT
COMMON IMAGERY GROUND SURFACE SYSTEMS
RADIAC
GPEIC STATE AND THE TOTAL TOTA
INTER COMPAN INSTRUMENTATION
TEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION
ATIONS
SHIPBOARD TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS
SHIP CUMMUNICATIONS AUTOMATION COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS UNDER \$5M

		get ate	+ 10,000		+7,500	00			33,053		+8,000 +18,500 -4,400
	Change from	Budget estimate									
	ch	Qty.									
	Committee	recommen- dation	17,802 104,533	130,564	3,023 1,291 289 7,500 57,066	88,418	26,111	7,638	1,688,051	50,081	52,643 7,527 11,667 21,275 20,134 1,438 37,540 68,681
	,	Otty.									
	2005 hudget	estimate	17,802 94,533	130,564	3,023 1,291 289 57,066	88,418	26,111	7,638	1,721,104	50,081	44,643 7,527 11,667 21,275 20,134 1,438 19,040 73,081
		Otty.									
[Dollar amounts in thousands]		Nem	SUBMARINE COMMUNICATIONS: SHORE LEYVE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS: SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	SHORE COMMUNICATIONS. JCS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT LECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS NSIPS JEDMICS NAVAL SHORE COMMUNICATIONS	CRYPTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMEN INFO SYSTEMS SECUF	CRYPTOLOGIC EQUIPMENT: CRYPTOLOGIC COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP	OTHER ELECTRONIC SUPPORT: COAST GUARD EQUIPMENT	TOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT	AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT: SONOBUOYS. SONOBUOYS—ALL TYPES	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPN WEAPONS RANGE SUF EXPEDITIONARY AIRFI AIRCRAFT REARMING AIRC
		Line	78 79	80	85 42 83 83 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85	98	87	88		06	91 92 93 95 95 97 98

				(59			
	+ 22,100	-4,761	+4,000		+8,500	+4,700	+ 11,100	+ 38,539
16,433 6,157	293,576	2,849	25,453 26,968 42,130 66,517 69,732 5,469 9,829	102,073	147,481 4,849 13,039 7,175	29,758	57,653 6,347 45,405	678,245 1,507 2,321 25,197 12,345
16,433 6,157	271,476	7,610 11,481	25,453 22,968 42,130 57,517 69,732 5,469 9,829	102,073	147,481 4,849 4,539 7,175	25,058	46,553 6,347 39,405	639,706 1,507 2,321 19,197 12,345
LAMPS MK III SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT OTHER AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	TOTAL, AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	Ordnance Support Equipment: Ship Gun System equipment: Naval Fires Control System Gun Fire Control Equipment	SHIP MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT: NATO SEASPARROW RAM GMIS. SHIP SELF DEFENSE SYSTEM AGIS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SURACE TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT VERTICAL LAUNCH SYSTEMS	FBM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT: Strategic missile systems equip	ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT: SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS SUBMARINE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SURFACE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT ASW RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 I	OTHER EXPENDABLE ORDIN ANTI-SHIP MISSILE DE SURFACE TRAINING SUBMARINE TRAINING	TOTAL, ORDWANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT: PASSENGER CARRYING YEHICLES GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS CONSIRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT
99		102	104 105 106 107 108 110	111	112 113 114 115	116	118 119 120	122 123 124 125

					90						
Change from Budget	estimate	+ 30,900	+ 36,900	+ 8,000	+8,000		+ 10,000	+2,000	-36,500	- 24,500	-37,253
	Œŷ.										
Committee recommen-	dation	61,826 11,607 11,396 13,686 13,686	141,010	12,754 19,523 5,578 82,158	120,013	18,756	30,658	9,826	27,582 13,155 157,714 18,646	290,303	4,797,025
Othy.											
2005 budget estimate		30,926 11,607 11,396 13,686 1,125	104,110	12,754 11,523 5,578 82,158	112,013	18,756	20,658	8,459	27,582 13,155 194,214 18,646	314,803 245,476	4,834,278
Oty.											
Item		Tactical Vehicles Amphibous Equipment Pollution Control Equipment ITems under \$5 million Physical Security Vehicles	TOTAL, CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	Supply support equipment: Materials handling equipment Other supply support equipment First destination transportation Special purpose supply systems	TOTAL, SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT: TRAINING DEVICES: TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT: COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT EDUCATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	MEDICAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	MUBILE SENSOR PLATORM ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	TOTAL, PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY
Line		126 127 128 129 130		131 132 133 134		135	136 137	138	141 142 143 144	148	

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
3	SUBMARINE PROPELLERS		7,000	+7,000
	CVN Propeller Replacement Program			+7,000
10	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	21,181	26,181	+ 5,000
	High Performance Brush Program			+ 5,000
15	CG-MODERNIZATION	114,139		- 114,139
10	Cruiser Modernization Reduction	140.007	101 507	-114,139
18	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	148,637	161,537	+ 12,900
	Carrier Weapons Elevators			+ 4,900 + 2,000
	Fuel and Engine Maintenance Savings Systems [FEMSS] Integrated Condition Assessment Systems [ICAS]			+ 2,000
	Machinery Control Surveillance System for Gas Turbine			T 2,000
	Ships			+ 4,000
26	OPERATING FORCES IPE	22,384	26,384	+ 4,000
	IPDE Enhancement and PDM Interoperability	22,001	20,001	+ 4,000
29	SPQ-9B RADAR	3,584	10,584	+ 7,000
	AN/SPQ-9B Shipboard Radar Transmitter Upgrade			+ 7,000
33	SSN ACOUSTICS	225,028	228,028	+3,000
	Common Depth Sounder			+3,000
34	UUV PROGRAM	61,253		- 61,253
	LMRS Program Restructure			- 61,253
35	UNDERSEA WARFARE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	14,116	19,116	+ 5,000
	Surface Sonar Windows and Domes			+ 5,000
45	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROGRAM	78,968	88,968	+ 10,000
	AN/BLQ-10 Radar Narrow Band ESM Technology Re-			
	fresh			+ 10,000
51	MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT	77,956	68,656	- 9,300
	Mine Countermeasures Sea Bottom Mapping Project			+ 2,400
69	RMS—Buying Ahead of Need		AF 170	-11,700
69	COMMON IMAGERY GROUND SURFACE SYSTEMS	53,173	45,173	- 8,000
	Joint Service Imagery Processing System—Migration to Converged Architecture			- 8.000
77	COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS UNDER \$5M	11.921	14.921	- 8,000 + 3,000
11	Shipboard Communications Upgrade	11,521	14,521	+ 3,000
79	SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT	94.533	104.533	+ 10.000
13	Submarine High Data Rate Antennas	34,333	104,555	+ 10,000
84	JEDMICS		7.500	+ 7,500
01	PACOM Agile Coalition Environment		7,000	+ 7,500
91	WEAPONS RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	44.643	52.643	+ 8,000
	Joint Threat Emitter		,	+ 3,000
	PMRF Equipment			+ 5,000
97	AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT	19,040	37,540	+ 18,500
	Joint Technical Data Integration/Automated Maintenance	· ·	,	,
	Equipment			+10,000
	Mask Breathing Unit (MBU-23/P) Oxygen Masks			+ 3,500
	Multi-Climate Protection Clothing System			+ 5,000
98	AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES	73,081	68,681	- 4,400
	AN-AQS-20 Minehunting Sonar			+ 3,000
	AN-AQS-20A-Buying Ahead of Need			- 7,400
102	NAVAL FIRES CONTROL SYSTEM	7,610	2,849	-4,761
	Joint Fires Network—Migration to Converged Architec-			4 701
105	ture		00.000	- 4,761
105	RAM GMLS	22,968	26,968	+ 4,000
107	SeaRAM System			+ 4,000
107	AEGIS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	57,517	66,517	+ 9,000
114	Integrated Bridge SystemSURFACE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	4,539	13,039	+ 9,000 + 8,500
114	MK 32 Surface Vessel Torpedo Tubes Remanufacture	4,559	13,039	+ 8,500 + 8,500
116	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP	25,058	29,758	+ 4,700
110	SCOUT High Pressure Air System			+ 4,700
	. Joseph Ingli i loodalo fiii dyatalii			. 17,700

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
118	ANTI-SHIP MISSILE DECOY SYSTEM	46,553	57,653	+11,100
	NULKA Decoys			+ 11,100
120	SUBMARINE TRAINING DEVICE MODS	39,405	45,405	+6,000
	INTERLOCKS Development Tool			+6,000
124	CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT	19,197	25,197	+6,000
	Earthmoving Equipment—Naval Construction Force			+6,000
126	TACTICAL VEHICLES	30,926	61,826	+ 30,900
	Medium Tactical Vehicle Replacement [MTVR]—Naval			
	Construction Force			+ 30,900
132	OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	11,523	19,523	+ 8,000
	Serial Number Tracking System			+ 8,000
136	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	20,658	30,658	+ 10,000
	Man Overboard Identification System			+ 10,000
140	OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	7,826	9,826	+ 2,000
	Envelope Protective Covers for Weapon Systems			+ 2,000
143	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	194,214	157,714	- 36,500
	Sea Fox Remote Controlled Surface Vessel			+ 3,500
	Unjustified Program Growth			- 40,000
		ı	1	1

Cruiser Modernization.—The budget request includes \$165,999,000 for the Cruiser Modernization program. The intent of the program is to increase the service life of AEGIS Cruisers and to upgrade and modernize their combat capabilities. The fiscal year 2004 Defense Appropriations bill (Public Law 108–87) provided \$102,700,000 to modernize a Baseline IV vessel, one of the newest ships in the fleet, as the first ship of the program. While the conference agreement provided funds to initiate the program, the conferees expressed concern over the Navy's proposal to upgrade one of the newest and most modern Cruisers in the fleet before upgrading legacy assets. The conferees, therefore, directed the Navy to revise its funding strategy to provide for an earlier modernization of legacy Baseline II ships and fully fund this revised strategy in the fiscal year 2005 budget request.

The Committee is disappointed that the current budget request includes funds for modernization of another Baseline IV ship. In addition, the Committee is concerned that the Navy has not identified which Baseline IV ship will be upgraded with the requested funds. The failure to identify a ship makes the fiscal year 2005 budget estimates questionable as the cost to modernize each Cruiser differs based on the configuration of the ship. Further, the cost estimates are questionable as production rates differ regionally and the Navy has not determined where the work will be completed. Finally, the Committee is troubled by the Navy's decision to reduce program scope to compensate for program cost growth. As a result, the program no longer promises to provide several of the key combat capability upgrades originally planned. Due to the questionable cost estimates, the cost growth which led to termination of key program upgrades, and the Navy's request to once again modernize one of the newest ships in the fleet prior to legacy assets, the Committee denies the budget request and rescinds \$89,939,000 from prior year funds available for rescission. The fiscal year 2005 reductions are distributed as follows:

Account	Amount
Other Procurement, Navy	\$114,139,000 8,760,000 43,100,000
Total	165,999,000

Surface Sonar Windows and Domes.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$5,000,000 to complete the re-design and fabrication of a second composite bow dome prototype for at-sea testing.

MK-53 NULKA Anti-Ship Missile Decoy System.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$11,100,000 for NULKA Decoys. This funding procures an additional 25 rounds to reduce the Navy's operational shortfall of anti-ship missile decoys. This funding also supports ordnance alteration kits for NULKA round subassemblies.

Man Overboard Identification System.—The Committee recommends an additional \$10,000,000 to procure Man Overboard Identification Systems. These systems immediately alert Navy ships of a man-overboard incident and also provide precision location of an individual in water, thereby reducing death and injury from such incidents.

Littoral Combat Ship [LCS] Mission Packages.—A central feature of the LCS design is modular Mission Packages. The planned Mission Packages may consist of a combination of modules, manned and unmanned off-board vehicles, deployable sensors, and other support equipment. The Navy plans to begin funding Mission Modules, which will be procured independently from Seaframe development, in the fiscal year 2006 budget request under the "Other Procurement, Navy" account. The Committee feels strongly about creating an appropriate level of visibility to ensure an accurate accounting of total program costs. The Committee, therefore, directs the Navy to establish a "LCS Mission Packages" line within the account and to request all items (modules, vehicles, sensors, etc.) related to the development of LCS Mission Packages in this line as part of the fiscal year 2006 budget request.

Standing Joint Force Headquarters Core Element.—The Committee supports the U.S. Joint Forces Command's plan to create a standing joint force headquarters which will provide a surge capability for contingencies. The Committee recommends that of the funds under this heading, \$6,000,000 be provided for essential command and control equipment and deployment gear required to supply this surge capability.

PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2004	\$1,165,727,000
Budget estimate, 2005	1,190,103,000
Committee recommendation	1 266 803 000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,266,803,000. This is \$76,700,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

			10000		Committee	Cha	Change from
Line	Item	Oty.	estimate	Oţł.	recommen- dation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS						
	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES. THANKED COMBAT VEHICLES.						
_	INFORD DOWNAIN VERIOLES: AAV7A1 PIP	09	\$58,596	97	\$81,796	+37	+ \$23,200
2	AAAV		67,701		52,701		-15,000
w r	LAV PIP		41,588		41,588		
9	MIAI FIREPOWER ENHANCEMENTS		36,873		36,873		
	ARTILLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS.	,		,			
<u> </u>	HIMARS	0 1	16,340	0.7	16,340		
0 0	133MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOWITZER	<i>A</i> 6	3.248	16	3 2 48		
10	MARINE FNHANCEWENT PROGRAM		4 024		4,024		
=	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER \$5 MILLION		4,888		4,888		
12	WEAPONS. MODULAR WEAPON SYSTEM		10,051		10,051		
13	OTHER SUPPORT: OPERATIONS OTHER THAN WAR		1,509		1,509		
	TOTAL, WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES		432,107		440,307		+8,200
-	GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT: GUIDED MISSILES:						
4 7	EAUS WUU		10,314	100	10,314	+100	006 2 +
16	PEDESTAL MOUNTED STINGER (PMS) (MYP) HIMARS ROCKETS		10,004	2	10,004	-	-
19	OTHER SUPPORT: Modification Kits		595		595		
	TOTAL, GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT		22,238		30,138		+7,900

		Budget estimate		_6,000 +4,500		7,500				+ 19,900	+ 12,000
	Change from	Oty.									
		Qt									
	Committee	recommen- dation	998'8	29,933 26,164 2,283 26,009	9,245 4,412	23,323 14,495 2,305	24,466	10,622 15,842 9,551	1,790	46,000	461 61,989 8,144 26,476 26,145
		Oth.									
	2005 budget	estimate	8,866	35,933 21,664 2,283 26,009	9,245 4,412	15,823 14,495 2,305	24,466	10,622 15,842 9,551	1,790	26,100	461 61,989 8,144 14,476 26,145 24,778
		Qty.									
[Dollar amounts in thousands]		Item	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT: VEHICLE MOUNTED RADIOS AND EQUIPMENT: SMALL UNIT REMOTE SCOUTING SYSTEM	O M T 4 5 5 .	IRANSHION SWITCH MODULE. COMPLIMENTARY LOW ALTITUDE WEAPON .	repair and test equipment: Auto test equip sys General purpose electronic test equip Galibration facilities	RADAR + EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL): RADAR SET AN/TPS-59	Intell/comm equipment (non-tel): Tactical remote sensor system Intelligence support equipment Mod Kits (intel)	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL): GENERAL PURPOSE MECHANICAL TMDE	other commelec equipment (non-tel.): Night vision equipment	OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL): ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC) ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM ON FOURCES COMMAND POST SYSTEMS RADIO SYSTEMS COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT
		Line	20	23 23 24 24	72 78	27 28 29	30	31 32 33	35	36	37 38 39 40 42 42

2 SYSTEMS 3 SYST	+6,500	+ 44,400							+3,300						+3,500
NS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT 388 099 44 11,211 10,215 11,211 11,2															
10,290 10,290 10,215 10,227 1	7,484 10,290 1,211 10,215	432,499	1,096 11,563	131,276 3,343 942	3,598	151,818	2,869	4,621	8,519	10,822	4,724 5,307	15,771	4,979	21,190 5,715	9,527
IFMS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT															
IEMS AS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT INS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT INS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT INS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT INS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT ENTER IN EQUIP	984 10,290 1,211 10,215	388,099	1,096 11,563	131,276 3,343 942	3,598	151,818	2,869	4,621	5,219	3,422	4,724 5,307	15,771	4,979 10,927	21,190 5,715	6,027
MOD KITS MAGIF C41 AR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS INTELLIGENCE C2 SYSTEMS FIRE SUPPORT VEHICLES. COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES. COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES. COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES. SAT TRUCK HAMMAV (MYP) LOGISTICS VEHICLE SYSTEM REP FAUT TRUCK HAMMAV (MYP) TOTAL, SUPPORT VEHICLES. SAT TRUCK HAMMAV (MYP) TOTAL, SUPPORT VEHICLES. SAT TRUCK HAMMAV (MYP) TOTAL, SUPPORT VEHICLES. ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT. ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT. ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT. ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT. TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS DEMOLITION SUPPORT YSTEMS FAMILY OF DEQUIPMENT. PAYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT. PAYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT. FAMILY OF DEMOLITION TRANSPORTATION GENERAL RODER TY. FILE MADICAL EQUIPMENT. FILE MADICAL EQUIPMENT. FILE DEDICAL EQUIPMENT.			37	1,830											
44444 4454 446 447 447 448 448 449 449 449 449 449 449 449 449	MOD KITS MAGTF C41 AIR OPERATIONS C2 S' INTELLIGENCE C2 SYS' FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM	, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT F VEHICLES.	COMMERCIAL PASSEN COMMERCIAL CARGO	TACTICAL VEHICLES: 5/4T TRUCK HMMNV (MYP) LOGISTICS VEHICLE SYSTEM FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILE	OTHER SUPPORT: ITEMS LESS THAN \$5	VEHICLES	ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPME ENGINEER AND OTHER EQ ENVIRONMENTAL CO	COMBAT BREACHER BULK LIQUID FOUIPA		DEMOLITION SUPPOR POWER EQUIPMENT /	FAMILY OF EOD EQUI BRIDGE BOATS	MATE	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT GARRISON MOBILE ENGR EQUIP	MAIERIAL HANDLING EQUIPFIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	EQUIPMENT

Change from	Budget estimate					+ 16,200		+ 76,700
٥	Oth.							
Committee	recommen- dation	5,244 15,067	2,804	2,901	5,713	185,095	26,946	1,266,803
	Oth.							
2005 hudget	estimate	5,244	2,804	2,901	5,713	168,895	26,946	1,190,103
	Offy.							
	Item	CONTAINER FAMILY FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT	OTHER SUPPORT: FAMILY OF INCIDENT RESPONSE	MODIFICATION KITS	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	TOTAL, ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT	77 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS
	Line	70	73	74	75		77	

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	AAV7A1 PIP	58,596	81,796	+ 23,200
	Assault Amphibious Vehicle [AAV] RAM/RS Upgrade			+ 23,200
2	EXPEDITIONARY FIGHTING VEHICLE	67,701	52,701	- 15,000
	Industrial and Tooling Equipment—Production Facility and	,	,	,
	Execution Delays			-15,000
15	JAVELIN		7,900	+ 7,900
	Additional JAVELIN Anti-Tank Missiles			+ 7,900
21	UNIT OPERATIONS CENTER	35,933	29,933	-6,000
	Baseline Combat Operations Center			+ 2,000
	Support Costs Growth			-8,000
22	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	21,664	26,164	+ 4,500
	GCSS Logistics Modernization			+4,500
27	AUTO TEST EQUIP SYS	15,823	23,323	+7,500
	Digitization of Technical and Operations Manuals			+ 7,500
36	NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT	26,100	46,000	+ 19,900
	AN/PEQ-2A Target Point, Illuminator, Aiming Lights			+ 9,000
	AN/PVS—14 Miniature Night Vision Device			+ 5,800
	AN/PVS-17 Miniature Night Vision Device			+4,100
	Close Quarters Battle Sight			+ 1,000
40	RADIO SYSTEMS	14,476	26,476	+ 12,000
	Lightweight Multiband Satellite Terminals [LMST]			+ 12,000
43	MOD KITS MAGTF C41	984	7,484	+ 6,500
	Communication Emitter Sensing and Attacking System			
	[CESAS]			+ 6,500
57	TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS	5,219	8,519	+ 3,300
	Nitrile Rubber Collapsible Storage Units			+ 3,300
58	DEMOLITION SUPPORT SYSTEMS	3,422	10,822	+7,400
	AN/PSS—14 Handheld Standoff Mine Detection System			+7,400
68	FIELD MEDICAL EQUIPMENT	6,027	9,527	+3,500
	Combat Casualty Care Equipment		l	+ 3,500
69	TRAINING DEVICES	24,214	26,214	+ 2,000
	Live Fire Training Range Improvements			+ 2,000

Expeditionary Fighting Vehicle [EFV].— The Marine Corps is developing the EFV to replace the Amphibious Assault Vehicle, originally fielded in 1972, as its primary combat vehicle for transporting troops from ship to shore and on land. The Committee considers this program a critical component of the Marine Corps' future warfighting capabilities. The Committee, however, is concerned about the current delay in selection of an EFV production site when Low Rate Initial Production [LRIP] of the vehicle is scheduled to begin next year. Also of concern to the Committee is the slow execution of \$97,195,000 appropriated in fiscal year 2004 to procure industrial and special tooling equipment for installation in the EFV production facility. As of May 2004, the Marine Corps had obligated less than 1 percent of this funding. The fiscal year 2005 request includes an additional \$57,318,000 for EFV industrial and special tooling equipment. Due to the delays in execution of fiscal year 2004 funding and in the production site selection process, the Committee reduces the request for industrial equipment by \$15,000,000, and directs the Marine Corps to inform the Committee when a production site is selected.

Unit Operations Center.—The budget request includes \$11,293,000 for support costs associated with the Unit Operations Center program, an increase of \$10,348,000 above the amount requested in fiscal year 2004. As part of the Committee's budget review, the Marine Corps failed to provide adequate justification for this increase. As a result, the Committee reduces the request by \$8,000,000.

Digitization of Technical and Operations Manuals.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$7,500,000 for the digitization of technical and operations manuals for the Native American Document Conversion Program. This funding shall be available for digitization and the development of hardware, software, and data storage capability in connection with implementation of the Service Data Management report.

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2004	\$12,086,201,000
Budget estimate, 2005	13,163,174,000
Committee recommendation	13,547,524,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$13,547,524,000. This is \$384,350,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

			2006 hudget		Committee	Cha	Change from
Line	ltem	Oţł.	estimate	Qty.	recommen- dation	Otty.	Budget estimate
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE						
	COMBAT AIRCRAFT: TACTICAL FORCES:						
0	F-22 RAPTOR	24	\$3,633,769	24	\$3,603,769		-\$30,000
7			523,187		523,18/		
	TOTAL, COMBAT AIRCRAFT		4,156,956		4,126,956		-30,000
	AIRUFT AIRCRAFT: TACTICAL AIRUFT:						
m <	C-17A (MYP)	14	2,512,479	14	2,512,479		
ۍ 4			945,560		979,560		+ 34,000
7	OTHER AIRLIFT:	Ξ	732 505	Ξ	769 205		1 36 700
~ ∞	PROCUREMENT (CY)	11	186,666	11	149,966		+ 36,700 - 36,700
	TOTAL, AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT		4,759,010		4,793,010		+ 34,000
	Trainer aircraft; Operational trainers:						
9 A6	JPATS INTRO TO FLIGHT/AIRMANSHIP PROGRAMS	23	307,072	53	307,072		+ 880
1 12	RREY RRF (AB-CY)	က	305,581	က	305,581		
: :							
12	CIVIL AIR PAIROL A/C	2/	2,271	27	2,271		
13	other arcraft: Target drones		74,143		71,143		-3,000
16A	MENT		027 700		110,000		+ 110,000
7	MAEUAV	4	1 001,100	4	701,100		

Line 18 22 23 24 24 25 26 26 26 28 29 33 33 33 33 33 33 34 34 34	HAEUAV (AP-CY) PREDATOR UAV TOTAL, OTHER AIRCRAFT: STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT: B-2A B-1B B-2A F-117 TACTICAL AIRCRAFT: A-10 F2 F	2005 budget estimate estimate 171,863 146,609 899,270 825,825 92,216 13,223 36,289 70,087 78 89,144 1409 1,409 1,409	6 6	Committee recommen- dation 71,863 146,609 1,006,270 96,002 8,825 121,116 13,223 337,402 374,289 70,087 78 89,144 1,409 1,409	Oth	Plange from Budget estimate estimate
33 33 33 34 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47	C-37A TRAINER AIRCRAFT. 16 MODIFICATIONS 1 — 41 AIRCRAFT 1 — 43 1 — 43 1 — 43 1 — 43 1 — 43 1 — 41 1 — 42 1 — 43 2 — 20 MODS	3,850 153,677 153,677 899 599 37,314 19,373 449		3,850 153,677 899 599 31,014 19,373 449		- 6,300

C-40			100			
C-40 C-130 C-130 C-130 C-130 C-130 C-230 C-230 C-230 C-240 C-230 C-240 C-250 C-240 C-250 C	+ 24,070 + 12,500 + 12,500	+ 9,500	+ 262,470	-15,000		+ 25,000
C + 40 C + 130						
C-40 C-40 C130 C130 C130 C130 C130 C130 C130 C130 C2-13 C2-24 MPR E-4 E-7 E-7 H-8 H-9 H-1 H-1 H-2 H-3 H-4 H-7 H-8 H-9 OTHER ARCRAT PREBATOR OTHER ARCRAT PREBATOR OTHER MODIFICATION CLASSIED PROJECTS INTIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS INTIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE ARCRAFT ROMANON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT P-2 COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT P-3 C-10 P-2 C-2 C-3 C-3 C-4 C-5 C-6 C-7 C-7 C-7 C-7 C-7 C-7 C-7 <	184,445 36,921 66,4405 15,933 36,025 101,233 36,025 101,818 45,302 6,575 95,088 76,701 31,872	30,380	2,279,318	234,103	11,733 6,801 30,683 19,405 1,229 13,407 11,531 21,082	41,314 334,725 34,464
C-40 C-130 MODS C-234 MODS						
C - 40 C - 130 C - 130 C - 130 C - 130 C - 234 MODS C - 234 MODS D - 245 D - 245 D - 246 D - 247 D - 2	187 110,375 36,921 15,935 101,233 36,025 101,818 45,302 6,575 95,068 7,670 31,873 31,873 31,873	20,880	2,016,848	234,103	11,733 6,801 30,683 19,405 1,229 13,407 11,531 21,082	41,314 309,725 34,464
C-40 C-130 C-130 C-130 C-294 MODS C-294 MODS DARP E-3 E-4 E-8 H-1 H-60 OTHER AIRCRAFT PREDATOR MODS CV-22 MODS OTHER MODIFICATIONS CV-22 MODS OTHER AIRCRAFT PREDATOR WODIFICATIONS OTHER AIRCRAFT SPARE AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PA TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SPARE AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT EP-2A B-2A B-2A B-2A B-2A B-2A B-2A B-2A B						
	C-40 C-130 C1301 MODS C1350 MODS C-294 MODS DARP E-3 E-4 E-8 H-1 H-0 OTHER AIRCRAFT PREDATOR MODS CV-22 MODS	OTHER MODIFICATIONS: CLASSIFIED PROJECTS	TOTAL, MODIFICATI AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR I INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PA	TOT AIRCRAFT S	POST	WAR CONSUMABLES: WAR CONSUMABLES

+10,000+384,350Change from œy. 799,915 64,941 13,547,524 Committee recommen-dation œ. 789,915 64,941 13,163,174 2005 budget estimate œ, TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES Item TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE ... CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS:
DARP 77 Line

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	F–22 RAPTOR	3,633,769	3,603,769	- 30,000
5	Execution	945,560	979,560	- 30,000 + 34,000 + 34,000
7	C—17 Maintenance Training System	732,505	769,205	+ 34,000 + 36,700 + 36,700
8	C-130JTransfer to APAF, line 7	186,666	149,966	- 36,700 - 36,700 - 36,700
9A	Trainser to AFAF, line 7 Intro to Flight/Airmanship Programs Trainer aircraft		880	- 36,700 + 880 + 880
13	TARGET DRONES	74,143	71,143	- 3,000 - 3,000
16a	Tanker replacement Costs Advance procurement		110,000	+ 110,000 + 110,000
23	B-52 B-52 Attrition Reserve	92,216	121,116	+ 28,900 + 28,900
26	F-15	181,602	337,402	+ 155,800 + 5.800
	Advance procurement for F-15F-100 engine E-Kit upgrade for ANG F-15 fleet			+ 120,000 + 20,000 + 10,000
27	F-16ANG F-16 Block 42 Engine Upgrade Program	336,289	374,289	+ 38,000 + 30,000
41	F-16 Slimline V On-board Oxygen Generation System KC-10A (ATCA)	37,314	31,014	+ 8,000 - 6,300
47	GATM program termination	110,375	134,445	- 6,300 + 24,070
	Senior Scout—Intelligent Communications Exploitation Senior Scout—Directional Finding and Location Up-			+ 5,000
	grades SENIOR SCOUT—ANG Boise, Idaho APN—241 Radar ANG C-13—H2			+ 7,500 + 2,000 + 6,570 + 3,000
49	T56-A-15 Engine Upgrades for the C-130E	51,905	64,405	+ 12,500 + 5,500
60	SCATHE Chief	20,880	30,380	+ 7,000 + 9,500 + 9.500
62	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT Unjustified program growth	223,600	208,600	- 15,000 - 15.000
72	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES LITENING AT Precision Attack Targeting Pod for AFRC	309,725	334,725	+ 25,000 + 10.000
	LITENING AT Precision Attack Targeting Pod for ANG			+ 15,000

F-117 Nighthawk.—The Committee concurs with Section 132 of the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2005, regarding the prohibition of retirement of F-117 aircraft.

Heavy Outsized Airlift Capacity.—The Committee is aware of the on-going Mobility Capabilities Study and the probability that the current 54.5 MTM/day requirement will increase. The Committee, like the Air Force, recognizes the value of filling whatever heavy, outsized lift requirement is validated with the most cost effective fleet structure to include better use of the Civilian Reserve Air Fleet [CRAF].

The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide the congressional defense committees with a report assessing any and all options to introduce a U.S.-owned, heavy, outsized airlift capability into the CRAF based on potential commercial uses of commercialized versions of U.S. heavy outsized cargo aircraft without the need for government investment or substantial involvement.

MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2004	\$4,165,633,000
Budget estimate, 2005	4,718,313,000
Committee recommendation	4,609,213,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,609,213,000. This is \$109,100,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

	(Dollar amounts in thousands)						
	:		2005 hudget		Committee	Cha	Change from
Line	ltem	Otty.	estimate	Qty.	recommen- dation	Otb.	Budget estimate
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE						
-	Ballistic missiles. Missile replacement equipment—ballistic. Lgm-30f/g minuteman II/II		\$30,143		\$23,643		-\$6,500
	OTHER MISSILES: TACTICAL:						
ന	WINDER (AIM-9X)	360	148,161	360	148,161		
9		202	107,354	202	107,354		
~ 8	Predator Hellfire Missile Small Dameter Bomb	235	20,017 29,257	235	20,017 29,257		
6	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES: INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS/POL PREVENTION		2,084		2,084		
	TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES		359,468		359,468		
	MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES:						
10	ANCED CRUISE IN		4,094		4,094		
13	mm III wodifications Agm-65D mayerick		640,760		650,760		+ 10,000
14	MISSILE		21,154		21,154		
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES		666,230		676,230		+ 10,000
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS. OTHER AIRCRAFT:						
16	ADVANCED CRUISE MISSILE		8,020		8,020		
3 8	AIW-/E STARKOW		1,030		1,030		
19	\simeq		1,759		1,759		
20	AGM-130 POWERED GBU-15		368		368		
52			12,866		12,866		

AGM-65D MAVERICK
AGM—65D MAVERICK
8 4 10 10 7 8 4 10 10 7 8 10 4

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	LGM-30F/G MINUTEMAN II/III	30,143	23,643	- 6,500 - 6,500
12	MM III MODIFICATIONS	640,760	650,760	+ 10,000 + 10,000
36	Titan Space Boosters (Space)	74,290	71,290	-3,000 $-3,000$
37	Evolved Expendable Launch Veh	610,997	510,997	-100,000 $-100,000$
38	Medium Launch Vehicle	102,872	97,872	- 5,000 - 5.000
44	Special Update Programs	130,809	126,209	- 4,600 - 4,600

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2004	\$1,262,725,000
Budget estimate, 2005	1,396,457,000
Committee recommendation	1.353.859.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,353,859,000. This is \$42,598,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

4 4 7 7 7 9

8 9 11 11 12 13 14 15 16

110

Line

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
4	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS MAU-169H/B Program Growth	266,489	241,489	- 25,000 - 25,000
6	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION	521,782	516,782	- 5,000 - 5,000
14	FLARES LUU-1 Program Delay	123,830	119,530	-4,300 -3,000
15	MJU-39/40 Unit Cost Savings	36,507	28,209	-1,300 -8,298
	FMU-139			- 8,298

Joint Direct Attack Munition [JDAM] Engineering Change Orders.—The budget request includes \$14,684,000 for JDAM engineering change orders, an increase of \$8,480,000 above the fiscal year 2004 level. During the past 2 fiscal years, the Air Force has executed approximately 25 percent of the amounts provided for JDAM engineering change orders on its intended purpose. Based on this prior year execution, the Committee reduces the budget request by \$5,000,000.

OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2004	\$11,558,799,000
Budget estimate, 2005	13,283,557,000
Committee recommendation	13,284,897,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$13,284,897,000. This is \$1,340,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

	Committee Change from	recommen- dation Qty. Budget estimate		\$250 11,873	8,342 13,415	7,855 9,062	4,166		14,080 802 24,734	5,775		549	6,953		43,737 +4,898	16,158 8,372	7,408
		Qty.		1 284													
	1000	zuus budget estimate		\$250 11,873	8,342 13,415	7,855 9,062	4,166 15,332	7,555	24.734	5,775	14,642	549	6,953	6,820	38,839	16,158 8,372	7,408 18,588
		Qty.		1 284													
[course amounts in consoning		Item	OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT: PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES: ARMORED VEHICLE PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLE	CARGO + UTILITY VEHICI TRUCK, STAKE/PLATI TRUCK, CARGO-UTIL		TRUCK CARRYALL FAMILY MEDIUM TAC	HIGH MOBILITY VEHICLE (MYP)	TROOR INVELOR, VIEW 31 CAP VEHICLES TENS THAN \$5M	SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICI TRUCK, TANK, 1200	TRUCK TANK FUEL F	TRUCK, REFUSE	Himwy, Up-armored Tractor a/c tow MB-4	TRACTOR, TOW, FLIGHTLINE	ITEMS LESS THAN \$	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMEN TRUCK CRASH P-19 ITEMS LESS THAN \$	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT: I TRUCK, F/L 5000 LB
		Line		9	∞ ೧	11	12	14	16 17	18	19	21	22	25	27	28	30

[Dollar amounts in thousands]

AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS: BASE INFORMATION INFRASTRUCTURE USCENTCOM DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM (DMS) DISA PROGRAMS: NAVSTAR GPS SPACE NUDET DETECTION SYS (NDS) SPA AF SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE MILSATCOM SPACE SPACE MILSATCOM SPACE SPACE

[Dollar amounts in thousands]

88	DEPOT PLANT + MATERIALS HANDLING EQ. MECHANIZED MATERIAL HANDLING	16,155	24,155	+ 8,000
91	ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT: FLOODLIGHTS ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M	5,882	5,882	
93	BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT: BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT MEDICAL/DENTAL EQUIPMENT	8,401	13,401	+ 5,000
98		5,432 1,424 5,475	5,432 1,424 5,475	
99 100 101	MUBILITY EQUIPMENT AIR CONDITIONERS ITENS LESS THAN \$5M	320,116 1,452 18,811	320,116 1,452 18,811	
103		4,034	4,034	
105	DARP, MRIGS SELECTED ACTIVITIES	320,218 10,195,797	320,218 10,201,297	+5,500
107 108 109		224,988 14,264 195	224,988 14,264 195	
110	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION TOTAL OTHER DAKE MAINTENANCE AND CUIDDOPT FOULD	5,767	5,767	00000
111	SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS. SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS. REPLENISHMENT SPARES	 41,097	41,097	000,00
	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	13,283,557	13,284,897	+1,340

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
26	TRUCK HYDRANT FUEL	45	147	+ 102
	Transfer from Line 27			+ 102
27	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000	38.839	43.737	+ 4.898
	Transfer to Line 26			-102
	GL-1800 AP Truck Mounted Aircraft De-icers			+ 5,000
44	COMSEC EQUIPMENT	46,867	34,637	-12,230
	KIV-7M-Unjustified Request			-12,230
51	WEATHER OBSERVE/FORECAST	32,366	28,236	-4,130
	Digital Ionospheric Sounder System			-4,130
56	GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	99,862	111,862	+12,000
	Eagle Vision			+ 5,000
	Science and Engineering Lab Data Integration [SELDI]			+7,000
60	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES	38,142	25,942	-12,200
	Joint Threat Emitter—Air National Guard			+ 7,500
	Joint Simulator Upgrades			-19,700
66	BASE INFORMATION INFRASTRUCTURE	423,972	400,972	-23,000
	Alaska Land Mobile Radio			+ 12,000
	Information Transport Systems Program Growth			-35,000
73	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE	101,458	106,458	+ 5,000
	National Range High Frequency Sustainment Program			+ 5,000
74	MILSATCOM SPACE	19,176	15,076	-4,100
	GBS Receive Suites			-4,100
78	RADIO EQUIPMENT	8,777	13,777	+ 5,000
	SCOPE Command High Frequency Communications Net-			·
	work			+ 5,000
88	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000	12,997	24,497	+11,500
	Aircrew Laser Eye Protection			+ 2,700
	Aircrew Survival Radio Test Sets			+4,000
	Fixed Aircrew Standardized Seat			+ 4,800
89	MECHANIZED MATERIAL HANDLING	16,155	24,155	+ 8,000
	Point of Maintenance/Combat Ammunition System [POMX/	· ·	,	,
	CAS] Initiative			+ 8,000
93	BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT	8,401	13,401	+ 5,000
	Combat Arms Training System—Air National Guard			+ 5,000
106	SELECTED ACTIVITIES	10,195,797	10,201,297	+ 5,500
	Classified Adjustment			+ 5,500
	<u>'</u>			,,,,,

Cryptographic Modernization.—The budget request includes funds to procure the KIV-7M, a cryptographic device designed specifically for secure data communications and to transfer the encryption electronic key. Subsequent to the budget submission, the Air Force revealed the request for this item was made erroneously. The Committee, therefore, reduces the budget request by \$12,229,000

Digital Ionospheric Sounder System [DISS].—The Committee denies the budget request of \$4,130,000 for the DISS. The Air Force has experienced technological difficulties in meeting system requirements and determined near term solutions may not be readily available. In addition, the Air Force has identified two other options to meet the DISS requirements. Both options are commercial-off-the-shelf solutions, which are not expected to be technologically mature for at least another year.

Joint Simulator Upgrades.—The budget request includes \$19,700,000 to procure equipment in support of the Joint National

Training Capability [JNTC], which is a U.S. Joint Forces Command program. Serving as the DoD Executive Agent for the U.S. Joint Forces Command, the Navy is responsible for prioritizing, presenting, and defending the command's budgetary requirements. Under this authority, the Navy's fiscal year 2005 request includes \$12,000,000 in the "Other Procurement, Navy" account for JNTC equipment. This is \$5,000,000 above last year's level. The Committee denies the request of \$19,700,000 in this account and directs the Department to fund future JNTC equipment requirements in the "Other Procurement, Navy" account.

Point of Maintenance/Combat Ammunition System Initiative.—

Point of Maintenance/Combat Ammunition System Initiative.— The Committee recommends an additional \$8,000,000 to continue implementation of the Point of Maintenance/Combat Ammunition System Initiative at priority Air Force facilities. The initiative inserts modern commercial-off-the-shelf automatic identification and data collection technologies into Air Force maintenance and muni-

tions management programs.

Combat Arms Training System [CATS].—The Committee understands the need to modernize small arms training systems for the Air National Guard to meet their Homeland Defense and combat training requirements. There is currently a shortfall of 184 CATS in the Air National Guard. The Committee believes these systems enhance mission readiness and recommends an additional \$5,000,000 to procure CATS for the Air National Guard.

PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2004	\$3,709,926,000
Budget estimate, 2005	2,883,302,000
Committee recommendation	2,867,303,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,867,303,000. This is \$15,999,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

			1000		Committee	Cha	Change from
Line	ltem	Qty.	estimate	Qty.	recommen- dation	Otty.	Budget estimate
	PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE						
	MAOR EQUIPMENT:						
-	MAJOK EQUIPMEN, OSD/WHS:		01/\$	-	0/\$		
2	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD	1	125,320	1	125,320		
က			23,324		23,324		
2	INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM		10,487		30,487		+ \$20,000
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA:						
6;	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY		44,827		44,827		
1 2	DUELINS MISSAGE SYSTEM OF DAY COMMAND AND CONTROL SYS		4,261		4,261		
13	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL STS		2,10/		2,10/		
1 4	TELEPORTS		42,710		42.710		
15	_				12,000		+ 12.000
16			38,217		38,217		
	MAIOR FOUIDMENT DIA:						
22	MAJOR EQUIPMENT		7,874		7,874		
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCAA:			-			
23	Major equipment items Less than \$5M		1,496		1,496		
	Major Equipment, 13S.						
24	Major Equipment, TJS		47,633		47,633		
0	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DHRA:		1		1		
97			/,18/		/,18/		
00	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY:		0		6		
79	OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT		23,772		23,772		
	MAIOR FOILIDMENT AFIS.						
31	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, AFIS.		6,977		6,977		
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DODDE:						
32	AUTOMATION/EDUCATIONAL SUPPORT & LOGISTICS		2,965		2,965		

[Dollar amounts in thousands]

								19				
			+ 32,000	- 242,253 + 71,718	+152,835 + 5,000	-11,000	- 74,005	+ 30,505	- 34,921	- 500	+4,000	+ 10,000
18,945	628	348	446,917	205,019 71,718	152,835 54,192	82,079 115,083	10,243	30,505	5,864	34,380 11,666	42,434 16,946 21,221	16,184 7,297 7,297 8,369 10,493 3,449
						က						
18,945	628	348	414,917	447,272	49,192	82,079 126,083	10,243 110,666	387	5,864 34,921 1,768	34,380 12,166	38,434 16,946 8,221	16,184 7,297 8,369 493 3,449
						3						
MAJOR EQUIPMENT DEMA: 33 MAJOR EQUIPMENT	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DTSA: 34 MAJOR EQUIPMENT	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, NDU: 36 NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY	TOTAL, MAJOR EQUIPMENT	SPECIAL OF			AC-130 GUNSHIP AC C-130 MODIFICATIONS	MH-130H AIR KEFUELING SYSTEM AIRCRAFT SUPPORT	SHIPBUILDING: 44 ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYS	AMMUNITION PROGRAMS. 47 SOF ORDNANCE REPLENISHMENT	OTHER PROCUREMENT PRO COMM EQUIPMENT & SOF INTELLIGENCE SY SOF SMALL ARMS & 1 MARTIME FOILIDMEN & 1	SE SPECIAL APPLICATIONS FOR CONTINGENCIES SE SOF COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS ST SPARTS AND REPARTS AS SECOND SOF CONTINGENCIES SE STATICAL VEHICLES CONTINUE CONTINUENT
က်	က်	n		37 37A	, s	κ4	44	47 4	444	44	4 0 0 0	<u>യ്</u> വവവവ

				120	
cnange rrom	Budget estimate	+4,310	- 103,711	+ 15,000 + 24,200	+ 41,200 + 14,512 - 15,999
Cha	Qty.				
Committee	recommen- dation	21,140 192 201,232 18,388	1,181,541 104,935 133,926	11,284 101,097 33,394 294,305	678,941 559,904 2,867,303
	Qty.				
2005 budget	estimate	16,830 192 233,632 18,388	1,285,252 104,935 131,926	11,284 101,097 18,394 270,105	637,741 545,392 2,883,302
	Qty.				
	Item	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT SOF PLANNING AND REHEARSAL SYSTEM SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS PSYOP EQUIPMENT	TOTAL, SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE: CBDP: INSTALLATION FORCE PROTECTION INDIVIDUAL PROTECTION	DECONTAMINATION JOINT BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM COLLECTIVE PROTECTION CONTAMINATION AVOIDANCE	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS
	Line	62 63 64 65	99	68 69 70 71	666

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommen- dation	Change from budget estimate
5	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM [ISSP]	10,487	30,487	+ 20,000
ŭ	Secure Wireless Communications			+ 20,000
15	GLOBAL INFORMATION GRID		12.000	+ 12.000
37	ROTARY WING UPGRADES AND SUSTAINMENT	447,272	205,019	- 242.253
	MH-60 SLEP Transfer to New Line			- 94,418
	MH-47 SLEP Transfer to New Line			-152,835
	MH-47 Infrared [IR] Suppressors			+ 5,000
37A	MH-60 SLEP		71,718	+71,718
	Transfer from Line 37—Create New Line			+ 94,418
	NRE and Publications			- 5,000
	Flight Test and Installation			-17,700
37B	MH-47 SLEP		152,835	+ 152,835
	Transfer from Line 37—Create New Line			+ 152,835
38	SOF TRAINING SYSTEMS	49,192	54,192	+ 5,000
	HH-60G Weapon System Trainer [WST]/Operation Flight Trainer			+ 5,000
40	CV-22 SOF MOD	126,083	115,083	-11,000
	ILS Reduction			- 11,000
42	C-130 MODIFICATIONS	110,666	36,661	- 74,005
	MC—103H Air Refueling System Funding Transfer to New Line			- 30,505
	Low Band Jammer			- 13,900
	Towed Decoy			- 15,200
42A	Basic LAMP DIRCM—ILS		20 505	- 14,400
4ZA	MC—130H Air Refueling System		30,505	+ 30,505
45	Line	04.001		+ 30,505
45	ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYSTEM [ASDS] (AP)	34,921		- 34,921
40	Program Restructure	10.100	11.000	- 34,921
48	SOF ORDNANCE ACQUISITION	12,166	11,666	- 500
49	SLAMCOMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT AND ELECTRONICS	38.434	42.434	- 500 + 4.000
49	Automatic Equipment ID	30,434	42,434	+ 4,000 + 4,000
51	SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS	8.221	21.221	+ 13.000
31	M4 Mod Kits (Weapons Shot Counter)	0,221	21,221	+ 13,000
	MK47 Mod O Striker			+ 10,000
59	TACTICAL VEHICLES	493	10.493	+ 10,000
55	Lightweight Tactical All Terrain Vehicle		10,435	+ 10,000
62	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT	16,830	21,140	+4,310
	AN/PVS-21 Low Profile Night Vision Goggles		21,1.0	+ 3,000
	Odor Signature Reduction Products for Special Operations			,
64	ForcesSOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	233.632	201 222	+ 1,310
04		,	201,232	- 32,400
	Special Operations Logistics Support Craft			+ 4,600 - 37.000
67	INDIVIDUAL PROTECTION	131.926	122.026	. ,
07		. ,	133,926	+ 2,000
70	Individual Protection Masks	18.394	33.394	+ 2,000 + 15.000
70	Chem-Bio Collective Protection	10,394	33,394	+ 15,000
	Chemical Biological Protective Shelters			+ 10,000
71	CONTAMINATION AVOIDANCE	270.105	294.305	+ 10,000 + 24,200
/ 1	JCAD Cancellation	270,103	294,303	+ 24,200 - 10,000
	Contamination Avoidance Equipment			- 10,000 + 1,000
	M-22 Automatic Chemical Agent Alarm [ACADA]			+ 1,000 + 15,000
	WMD-CST Equipment			+ 15,000
	minu-001 Equipilicit			+ 10,200

Special Operations Procurement Funding.—The Committee recommends \$181,541,000 for procurement of equipment for Special Operations Forces. The Committee applauds the Command and its

forces for their leadership and appreciates the sacrifices made in the Global War on Terrorism. The Committee strongly supports the unique budget authority afforded to the Command and is sensitive to the need for financial flexibility during wartime, however, finds the number of below threshold reprogramming [BTR] actions executed by the Command unacceptable. The Congress provides the Department the flexibility to move funding among programs for unanticipated and extraordinary needs, not for basic program financial management. The benefit of flexibility should not be used to inhibit the Congress' ability to track a program's financial health or

circumvent the intent for which funds are appropriated.

The Committee has examined the Command's fiscal year 2005 budget request and finds the level of financial flexibility afforded by the current construction of the Command's budget concerning. Thus, the Committee recommends modifying the current budget structure by increasing the number of line items for procurement. The recommended changes are made in a manner consistent with the Service budget presentations as illustrated by the separation of the MH–47 and MH–60 program budgets. While the Committee has created new lines specifically for the two aircraft Service Life Extension Programs [SLEP], it directs the Command to further separate the funding provided in the Rotary Wing Upgrade line by program in future budget submissions. The Committee further directs the Command to follow the recommended budgetary line item construction in the future.

Advanced SEAL Delivery System [ASDS].—In the report accompanying Public Law 108–87 the conferees directed that none of the funds provided for the ASDS could be obligated or expended until a successful Milestone C decision and a revised cost estimate validated by the Cost Analysis and Improvement Group [CAIG] was provided to the congressional defense committees. The Committee has recently been notified that the Milestone C decision has been delayed until the fall of 2005. As a positive Milestone C decision is required to initiate procurement of the second ASDS, the fiscal year 2004 and 2005 funding for advance procurement is no longer required. As such, the Committee recommends rescinding the fiscal year 2004 and reducing fiscal year 2005 funding for this effort. The Committee commends the Command for making the practical program management decision to revise the schedule and hopes the postponement of the Milestone C decision will allow the ASDS to overcome the deficiencies identified in the Operational Test and Evaluation report, undergo the full range of acoustic testing, and incorporate the projected technology improvements. In order to facilitate these efforts, the Committee recommends \$14,000,000 for the ASDS in the Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide appropriation.

NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT

Appropriations, 2004	\$400,000,000
Budget estimate, 2005	
Committee recommendation	500.000.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$500,000,000. This is \$500,000,000 above the budget estimate.

The appropriation includes direction for each Reserve and National Guard component commander to submit to the congressional defense committees a detailed assessment of that component's modernization priorities.

The Committee maintains that the Reserve and National Guard component commanders should exercise control of funds provided for their modernization in this account. The separate submission of these assessments, directly from the Reserve component commanders and the National Guard Directors to the committees will ensure that Reserve and National Guard priorities are addressed in the allocation of this appropriation.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The control of the							1	24				
Total, National Guardine Total, National Gua	nge from	Budget estimate		+\$50,000	+ 50,000	+ 50,000	+ 50,000	+ 200,000	+ 150,000	+ 150,000	+ 300,000	+ 500,000
RESERVE EQUIPMENT	Chai	Qty.										
RESERVE EQUIPMENT: ARRY RESERVE EQUIPMENT: ANY RESERVE: MASCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT MATIONAL GUARD: MASCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT	Committee	recommen- dation		\$50,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	200,000	150,000	150,000	300,000	500,000
Item		Otty.										
RESERVE EQUIPMENT: ARMY RESERVE. MASCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT MARINE CORPS RESERVE MARINE CORPS RESERVE: MASCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT TOTAL, RESERVE: MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT TOTAL, RESERVE MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT ARR FORCE RESERVE: MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD: MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD: MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD & RESERVE EQUIPMENT	2006 budget	estimate										
RESERVE EQUIPMENT: ARMY RESERVE: MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT MARINE CORPS RESERVE: MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT AIR FORCE RESERVE: MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT TOTAL, RESERVE EQUIPMENT ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD		Otty.										
Line 2 2 1 6 5 6 6		Item	NATIONAL GUARD & RESERVE EQUIPMENT	EOUS EQUIPMENT	VEOUS EQUIPMENT	JIPMENT	EQUIPMENT	TOTAL, RESERVE EQUIPMENT	NATIONAL GUARD EQUIPMENT: ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT	EQUIPMENT		
		Line		1	2	က	4			9		

ARMY RESERVE

Miscellaneous Equipment.—The Committee recommends \$50,000,000 for the procurement of unspecified miscellaneous equipment to improve the readiness ratings of Army Reserve units.

NAVY RESERVE

Miscellaneous Equipment.—The Committee recommends \$50,000,000 for the procurement of unspecified miscellaneous equipment to improve the readiness ratings of Navy Reserve units.

MARINE CORPS RESERVE

Miscellaneous Equipment.—The Committee recommends \$50,000,000 for the procurement of unspecified miscellaneous equipment to improve the readiness ratings of Marine Corps Reserve units.

AIR FORCE RESERVE

Miscellaneous Equipment.—The Committee recommends \$50,000,000 for the procurement of unspecified miscellaneous equipment to improve the readiness ratings of Air Force Reserve units.

ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Miscellaneous Equipment.—The Committee recommends \$150,000,000 for the procurement of unspecified miscellaneous equipment to improve the readiness ratings of Army National Guard units.

AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Miscellaneous Equipment.—The Committee recommends \$150,000,000 for the procurement of unspecified miscellaneous equipment to improve the readiness ratings of Air National Guard units.

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

The Committee agrees that the National Guard and Reserve equipment program shall be executed by the heads of the Guard and Reserve components with priority consideration for miscellaneous equipment appropriations given to the following items: COTS Surveillance System, MTVR, Virtual Emergency Response Training System, HMMWV Convoy/Trainer, Tactical Fire Fighting Equipment, High Mobility Multipurpose Wheeled Vehicle [HMMWV], Army M249 5.56 Squad Automatic Weapon, National Guard—Paul Revere Command Information System, Life Support for Trauma and Transport [LSTAT], M—COFT XXI Program, AB—FIST Non-Systems Training Devices, Army Live Fire Ranges, Combat Arms Training System—Army National Guard, Calibration Sets Equipment Modernization, Mobile Operational Simulators [MOS], Modern Burner Unit, Litening Targeting Pods, LAIRCM, SINCGARS, AN/PVS—14, UH—60L/M, HEMTT, Small Arms, Jav-

elin, AN/PAS-13, Movement Tracking System, EPLRS, Shortstop, TUAV, M22 Chemical Agent Alarm [ACADA], Prophet, C-130G2 APN-241 Radar, F-15 JHMCS, JSTARS AMSTE, F-16 APG-68[V]9, F-16 Color Displays, A-10 TDL, HH-60 TDL, Pararescue TDL, HC-130 TDL, C/EC-130 TDL, HH-60G 200 Gallon Internal Fuel Tank, HH-60G PNVG, F-15E Engine Kits, WMD Response Equipment, Halverson Loader, Table Top Trainers, Tactical Airborne Reconnaissance System [TARS], and FMTV.

Defense Production Act Purchases

Appropriations, 2004	\$78,016,000
Budget estimate, 2005	9,015,000
Committee recommendation	42,515,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$42,515,000. This is \$33,500,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

			2005 hudget		Committee	Chai	hange from
Line	Item	Oţ.	estimate	Qţy.	recommen- dation	Qty.	Budget estimate
	DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES						
1	DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES		\$9,015		\$42,515		+ \$33,500
	Total, Defense Production Act Purchases		9,015		42,515		+ 33,500

128

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES Affordable rigid rod polymeric materials initiative	9,015	42,515	+ 33,500 + 12,000 + 3,000 + 1,000 + 5,000 + 5,000 + 7,000

TITLE IV

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

Funds appropriated under this title provide the resources required to conduct a program of research, development, test and evaluation, including research in basic science, applied research, advanced technology development, demonstration and validation, engineering and manufacturing development, and operational systems development.

The President's fiscal year 2005 budget requests a total of \$67,772,288,000 for research, development, test and evaluation appropriations.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION

The Committee recommends research, development, test and evaluation appropriations totaling \$68,768,845,000 for fiscal year 2005. This is \$996,557,000 above the budget estimate.

Committee recommended research, development, test and evaluation appropriations for fiscal year 2005 are summarized below:

SUMMARY OF RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION APPROPRIATIONS

[In thousands of dollars]

Account	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation:			
Army	9,266,258	10,308,804	+1,042,546
Navy	16,346,391	16,748,035	+ 401,644
Air Force	21,114,667	21,002,308	- 112,359
Defense-Wide	20,739,837	20,404,563	- 335,274
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	305,135	305,135	
Total	67,772,288	68,768,845	+ 996,557

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

The Committee has displayed recommended adjustments in tables presented under each appropriation account.

These adjustments reflect the following Committee actions: elimination of funds requested for programs which are lower priority, duplicative, or not supported by firm requirements in out year development or procurement appropriations; deletion of excess funds based on program delays or slow execution; addition of funds to reflect congressional priorities and to rectify shortfalls in the budget estimate; and implementation of recommendations in the Senate bill authorizing activities of the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2005.

The Committee directs that the funding increases outlined in these tables shall be provided only for the specific purposes indicated in the table.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2004	\$10,363,941,000
Budget estimate, 2005	9,266,258,000
Committee recommendation	10,308,804,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$10,308,804,000. This is \$1,042,546,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

[ln	thousands	۸f	441	lorol	

	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY			
	BASIC RESEARCH:			
1	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	23,971	23,971	
2	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	131,206	163,806	+ 32,600
3	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	75,133	80,533	+ 5,400
4	UNIVERSITY AND INDUSTRY RESEARCH CENTERS	77,658	100,734	+ 23,076
5	FORCE HEALTH PROTECTION	9,538	10,538	+ 1,000
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH	317,506	379,582	+ 62,076
	APPLIED RESEARCH:			
6	MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY	15,385	51,885	+ 36,500
7	SENSORS AND ELECTRONIC SURVIVABILITY	25,629	38,129	+12,500
8	TRACTOR HIP	6,627	6,627	
9	AVIATION TECHNOLOGY	41,629	46,629	+5,000
10	EW TECHNOLOGY	18,034	19,534	+1,500
11	MISSILE TECHNOLOGY	51,993	107,293	+ 55,300
12	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	16,641	23,641	+7,000
13	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION	15,041	26,841	+11,800
14	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY	69,638	103,138	+33,500
15	BALLISTICS TECHNOLOGY	51,301	54,101	+ 2,800
16	CHEMICAL, SMOKE AND EQUIPMENT DEFEATING TECH- NOLOGY	3.476	10.576	+7,100
17	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	5.739	5,739	,===
18	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY	44,666	71,666	+ 27,000
19	ELECTRONICS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES	41,236	88,536	+ 47,300
20	NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY	22,617	22,617	
21	COUNTERMINE SYSTEMS	20,547	24,547	+4,000
22	HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	16,899	16,899	
23	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY	17,026	24,526	+7,500
24	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	18,604	20,604	+2,000
25	COMPUTER AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY	3,982	3,982	
26	MILITARY ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	47,152	53,152	+6,000
27	MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY	15,322	16,322	+1,000
28	WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY	21,131	58,531	+ 37,400
29	MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY	60,877	117,377	+ 56,500
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH	651,192	1,012,892	+ 361,700
	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT:			
30	WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	68,034	59,534	-8,500
31	MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	38,404	164,404	+ 126,000
32	AVIATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	69,549	102,749	+ 33,200
33	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	67,622	79,122	+11,500

131

	[In thousands of dollars]			
	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
34	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE ADVANCED TECH-			
35	NOLOGY COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS ADVANCED	203,126	263,326	+ 60,200
36	TECHNOLOGY MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADVANCED TECH-	9,946	9,946	
	NOLOGY	7,288	8,788	+1,500
37	ELECTRONIC WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	41,760	68,760	+ 27,000
38	TRACTOR HIKE	8,035	8,035	
39	NEXT GENERATION TRAINING & SIMULATION SYSTEMS	18,072	30,072	+ 12,000
40	TRACTOR ROSE	4,736	4,736	. 11 400
41 42	EXPLOSIVES DEMILITARIZATION TECHNOLOGY	9,706	21,106	+ 11,400
42	MILITARY HIV RESEARCH COMBATING TERRORISM, TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	6,641 3,383	6,641 9,583	+ 6,200
44	GLOBAL SURVEILLANCE/AIR DEFENSE/PRECISION STRIKE		,	·
45	TECHN EW TECHNOLOGY	10,721	10,721 16,382	+ 7,000
46	MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	9,382 92,800	118,300	+ 25,500
47	TRACTOR CAGE	13,312	13,312	+ 25,500
48	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER ADVANCED TECH-			
49	NOLOGY	25,577	32,577	+ 7,000
51	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	5,968 50,071	11,868 88,371	+ 5,900 + 38,300
52	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRA-	30,071	00,371	7 30,300
02	TIONS	14,666	14,666	
53	MILITARY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	3,865	27,065	+ 23,200
54	ADVANCED TACTICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE & SENSOR	2,222		
	TECHNOLOGY	31,951	31,951	
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	814,615	1,202,015	+ 387,400
	DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION:			
55 56	ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATIONARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION (DEM/	53,509	116,909	+ 63,400
	VAL)	4,871	35,971	+ 31,100
57	AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	91,713	122,713	+ 31,000
58 59	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER—ADV DEVSMOKE, OBSCURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYS—ADV	11,634	18,634	+ 7,000
	DEV	6,249	11,249	+ 5,000
60	TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION	39,697	9,697	- 30,000
62	ADVANCED TANK ARMAMENT SYSTEM (ATAS)	51,892	51,892	
63 64	SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITYTACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM—ADV	13,810	13,810	
	DEV	15,441	15,441	
65	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	14,047	19,047	+ 5,000
66	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY	9,356	24,356	+ 15,000
67	WARFIGHTER INFORMATION NETWORK—TACTICAL (DEM/			
	VAL)	99,645	99,645	
68	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	4,801	4,801	
69 70	AVIATION—ADV DEV WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS—ADV DEV	12,113 2,382	18,613 2,382	+ 6,500
71	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ADV DEV	10,485	16,485	+ 6,000
72	COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT CONTROL SYSTEM EVALUA-			1 0,000
	TION	6,366	6,366	
73	MEDICAL SYSTEMS—ADV DEV	10,258	22,758	+ 12,500
74 76	Integrated Broadcast Service (JMIP/DISTP) Scamp Block II (Dem/Val)	4,356	4,356	
76 77	MEDIUM EXTENDED AIR DEFENSE SYSTEM (MEADS) CON-	10,221	10,221	
,,	CEPTS	264,527	264,527	
	TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION	737,373	889,873	+ 152,500
	ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT:			
78	AIRCRAFT AVIONICS	68,857	80,827	+ 11,970
79 91	ARMED, DEPLOYABLE OH—58D	20,000	15,000	- 5,000
81	EW DEVELOPMENT	16,879	16,879	l

132

	[In thousands of dollars]				
	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate	
82	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO	121,400	121,400		
83	ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM	5,346	5,346		
84	TRACTOR CAGE	14,149	14,149		
85	COMMON MISSILE	152,381	152,381		
86	INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS	28,187	38,187	+10,000	
87	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES	2,854	5,854	+ 3,000	
88	SMOKE, OBSCURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYS—ENG				
	DEV	3,798	3,798		
89	JAVELIN	944	944		
90	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES	2,479	27,479	+ 25,000	
91	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	2,088	2,088		
93	LIGHT TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLES		10,000	+ 10,000	
94	ARMORED SYSTEMS MODERNIZATION (ASM)-ENG DEV	2,700,455	2,127,018	- 573,437	
94A	NON LINE OF SIGHT LAUNCH SYSTEMS	407.040	64,500	+ 64,500	
95	NON-LINE OF SIGHT CANNON	497,643	841,580	+ 343,937	
97	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS—ENG DEV	24,693	24,693		
98 99	COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES—ENG DEV	115,093	115,093		
100	TERRAIN INFORMATION—ENG DEV	51,694 3,199	51,694 3,199		
100	INTEGRATED METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT SYSTEM	2,485	2,485		
101	AIR DEFENSE COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE—	2,403	2,403		
103	ENG DEV	27,376	27,376		
104	CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	42,869	42,869		
105	AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT	4,713	7,213	+ 2,500	
106	DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS (DIS) -ENGINE-	1,710	7,210	1 2,000	
100	ER	26,985	26,985		
107	TACTICAL SURVEILLANCE SYSTEMS—ENG DEV	21,821	21,821		
108	BRILLIANT ANTI-ARMOR SUBMUNITION (BAT)	21	2,521	+ 2,500	
110	POSITIONING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT (SPACE)	2.048	2,048		
111	COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (CATT) CORE	23,849	23,849		
112	JOINT NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	10,726	10,726		
113	AVIATION—ENG DEV	2,378	3,378	+1,000	
114	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS—ENG DEV	125,885	149,885	+ 24,000	
115	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ENG DEV	89,151	96,151	+7,000	
116	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS—ENG				
	DEV	219,790	227,790	+ 8,000	
117	MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIP-				
	MENT	11,727	15,727	+ 4,000	
118	LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER—ENG DEV	51,045	51,045		
119	ARTILLERY MUNITIONS—EMD	133,297	133,297		
120	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION	6,994	14,994	+ 8,000	
121	ARMY TACTICAL COMMAND & CONTROL HARDWARE &	CO 110	CO 110		
122	SOFTWARE	68,110	68,110		
122	LOSATRADAR DEVELOPMENT	22,628	22,628 6,107		
123	FIREFINDER	6,107 18,516	24,516	+ 6,000	
125	ARTILLERY SYSTEMS—EMD	9,550	12,550	+ 3,000	
126	PATRIOT PAC-3 THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE ACQUISI-	3,330	12,330	7 3,000	
120	TION	64,178	64,178		
127	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	95,261	95,261		
127	IN ONIMATION PEOPLOGGE DEVELOT MENT	30,201	50,201		
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOP-				
	MENT	4,919,649	4,875,619	- 44,030	
128	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT: THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	22 101	21 701	10000	
		22,101	31,701	+ 9,600	
129 130	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENTMAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	11,017	11,017		
130	RAND ARROYO CENTER	57,987 20,012	57,987 24,012	+ 4.000	
132	ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL	143,921	24,012 143.921	+ 4,000	
133	CONCEPTS EXPERIMENTATION PROGRAM	22,727	24,727	+ 2,000	
135	ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIES	181,114	201,114	+ 20,000	
136	ARMY TECHNICAL TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND TAR-	101,114	201,114	1 20,000	
100	GETS	52,433	61,933	+ 9,500	
		52,.50	02,000	. 5,550	

133

	Item	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
137	SURVIVABILITY/LETHALITY ANALYSIS	44,648	50,648	+ 6,000
138	DOD HIGH ENERGY LASER TEST FACILITY	15,725	15,725	
139	AIRCRAFT CERTIFICATION	3,485	3,485	
140	METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT TO RDT&E ACTIVITIES	8,711	8,711	
141	MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS	18,000	18,000	
141	EXPLOITATION OF FOREIGN ITEMS	'	,	
		4,740	4,740	
143	SUPPORT OF OPERATIONAL TESTING	71,239	71,239	
144	ARMY EVALUATION CENTER	62,209	62,209	
145	SIMULATION & MODELING FOR ACQ, RQTS, & TNG			
	(SMART)	1,935	1,935	
146	PROGRAMWIDE ACTIVITIES	59,368	59,368	
147	TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES	27,713	30,713	+ 3,000
148	MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFE-			
	ΤΥ	14,611	36,111	+ 21,500
149	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY MGMT SUPPORT	4,527	4,527	
150	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (RESEARCH AND DEVELOP-			
	MENT)	11,575	11,575	
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	859,798	935,398	+ 75,600
150	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT:	07.400	07.400	
152	MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	97,422	97,422	
153	AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT OFFICE	81,514	81,514	
154	DOMESTIC PREPAREDNESS AGAINST WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCT		5,000	+ 5,000
155	ADV FIELD ARTILLERY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM	17,994	17,994	l
156	COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	15,952	15,952	
157	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM	24,753	24,753	
158	AIRCRAFT MODIFICATIONS/PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PRO-			
159	GRAMS AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PRO-	242,853	222,853	- 20,000
	GRAM	2,427	12,427	+ 10,000
160	DIGITIZATION	24,506	24,506	
161	FORCE XXI BATTLE COMMAND, BRIGADE AND BELOW (FBCB2)	23,510	23,510	
162	MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PRO- GRAM	31,690	31,690	
100				
163	OTHER MISSILE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	4,863	4,863	
164	TRACTOR RUT	3,321	3,321	
165	TRACTOR CARD	9,023	9,023	
166	JOINT TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS PROGRAM (TRI-TAC)	18,177	18,177	
167	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND SYSTEM	9,967	9,967	
171	SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES		4,000	+ 4,000
172	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	24,725	30,725	+ 6,000
173	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	94,215	94,215	
174	SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT (SPACE)	51,959	51,959	
175	WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	19,204	19,204	
177	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	45,627	55,127	+ 9,500
178	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	5,128	11,328	+ 6,200
179	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEMS	43,254	50,254	+ 7,000
180	AVIONICS COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	997	997	
181	END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES	67,236	86,836	+ 19,600
182	NATO JOINT STARS	595	595	
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	960,912	1,008,212	+ 47,300
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	5,213	5,213	
	TOTAL DECEADOR DEVELOPMENT TEST 0 FVAL	*	•	
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY	9,266,258	10,308,804	+ 1,042,546

134

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	131,206	163,806	+ 32,600
	Desert Terrain Analysis for Enhancing Military Opera-	, , , ,	,	,,,,,,
	tions			+4,000
	Low Temperature Research			+2,000
	Advanced Research and Technology Initiative			+4,000
	Functionally Integrated Reactive Surface Technology Pro-			. 1 000
	gram [FIRST]			$+1,000 \\ +1.000$
	Bioterrorists Agents in Military Drinking Water Systems Advanced Deployable Nano-Sensors			+ 1,000 + 1,000
	Prometheus Spectrometer			+7,000
	Optical Technologies Research			+ 3,000
	Prediction of Land-Atmosphere Interactions			+2,000
	Knowledge Management Research			+1,000
	Brain Imaging research			+5,600
	PASIS (Perpetually Available and Secure Information Sys-			
	tems Research)			+1,000
3	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH SCIENCES (H)	75,133	80,533	+ 5,400
	Army force protection			+ 3,000
4	Bioinformatics research	77.658	100.734	+ 2,400 + 23,076
4	National Infotonics research	77,036	100,734	+ 5,000
	Small Trailer Corrosion Prevention Program			+ 750
	NOLES Composite Materials			+ 3,000
	Partnership for a Next Generation of Vehicles/TACOM			+ 1,000
	Composite Materials research			+ 826
	Ferroelectric Electronic-Photonic Nanodevices research			+3,000
	Rapidly Deployable Visualization for Training and Simula-			
	tion in Urban Terrains			+1,000
	Next Generation Joining Technology Research Initiative			+2,000
	Info Assurance Research			+1,500
-	University Based Automotive Research	0.500	10.520	+ 5,000
5	FORCE HEALTH PROTECTION	9,538	10,538	+ 1,000
6	Gulf War Illness and Chemical Agent Exposure Program MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY	15,385	51,885	+ 1,000 + 36,500
U	Spinel Tactical Armor Manufacturing Technology	13,363	31,003	+ 30,300
	[STAMT]			+4,000
	Materials Joining for Army Weapons Systems			+ 4,000
	Advanced Materials Processing for Future Combat Sys-			,000
	tems			+7,000
	Design and Manufacturing Process Technology for High			
	Performance Polymer Nano-composites			+2,000
	Future Affordable Multi-Utility [FAMU] Materials for the			
	Army FCS			+ 2,500
	Composite Materials Technology for FCS			+4,000
	On-Demand Micro Electronics Manufacturing and Quali-			. 4.000
	fication			+4,000
	Engineered Surfaces for Weapons Systems Life Extension			+4,000
	Advanced Materials for Mine Detection and Blast Mitiga-			₹4,000
	tion			+5,000
7	SENSORS AND ELECTRONIC SURVIVABILITY	25,629	38,129	+ 12,500
•	Portable Chemical-Biological Agent Detection System	20,020		+ 3,500
	Digital Radio Frequency Tags [DRaFT]			+ 6,000
	Small Airship Surveillance System—Lite Remotely Piloted			
	System			+3,000
9	AVIATION TECHNOLOGY	41,629	46,629	+5,000
	Silver Fox UAV			+ 5,000
10	EW TECHNOLOGY	18,034	19,534	+ 1,500
	Biometric Signatures Research	l		+1,500

135

Line Item 2005 budget estimate Committee recommendation 11 MISSILE TECHNOLOGY 51,993 107,29 Mariah II Hypersonic Wind Tunnel Army Flight Test Unmanned Systems Initiative at AMRDEC Hypersonic Army Missile Technology	3 + 55,300 + 15,000
Mariah II Hypersonic Wind Tunnel	+ 15,000
Army Flight Test	
Unmanned Systems Initiative at AMRDEC	+2.000
TODESONIC ACTIVITY INSSITE TECHNOLOGY	.,
Maneuver Air Defense System [MADS]	
LENS X Hypervelocity Ground Testing	
12 ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	
Rapid Target Acquisition & Tracking System	
13 ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION 15,041 26,84	
Joint Unmanned Systems Test and Research [JOUSTER]	
Boston University Photonics research	
14 COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY	
Multipurpose Utility Vehicle—Reconfigurable	
Flexible JP–8 (Single Battlefield Fuel) Pilot Plant Program	1 2,000
Phase III	+ 6,000
Advanced Electric Drive	+ 3,000
Rapid Prototyping	+ 2,000
Advanced High Power Rechargeable Stored Energy Tech-	
nology	
Unmanned Vehicle Control Technologies	
Advanced Energy and Manufacturing Technology	.
Structural Reliability of Electronic Components for Muni-	1 7 2,000
tions & Lt Wt Structures	+ 2,800
16 CHEMICAL, SMOKE AND EQUIPMENT DEFEATING TECHNOLOGY 3,476 10,57	
Rapid Response Deployable Vaporous Hydrogen Peroxide	
Bio-Chem	
18 WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY 44,666 71,66	
Army Excellence in Acoustics research	
RangeSafe Technology Demonstration Initiative	.,
Green Armaments Technology Initiative [GAT]	
Deep Digger	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Electroconversion of Energetic Materials	='
Advanced Technology Lightweight Armament System—	
Rarefaction Wave Gun	+ 2,000
Amorphous Metal Manufacturing Technology for Military	
Applications	
Micro-Laminate Ceramic Armor	
Soldier Portable Fuel Cell Power	
Flexible Display Initative [FDI] Displays for Military Appli-	1 0,000
cations	+ 8,000
Battery Returns in Error Advanced Vehicle Battery Man-	
agement Program (Phase II)	
Rapid recharge, lithium-ion battery pack	
ONAMI Miniature Tactical Energy Systems Development	
High Power Solid State Lasers	
PEM Fuel Cell Quiet Tactical Generators	+ 1,000
tion Systems	+ 1,000
Universal Radio Frequency Identification [RFID] Monitoring	
Device	+ 1,000
Transcritical CO2 Environmental Control Unit	+ 5,500
Software Defined Radio Communications Interoperability	
Initiative	+ 3,000
Rechargeable Cylindrical Cell Systems—Lithium Ion/Nick-	. 1 000
el Metal Hydride	
Metal Oxide Cathode—1.5 Volt Alkaline	0,500
State of Charge Battery Life Indicator	
Direct Diode Electro-Optical Source	=

136

Line	Item	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
21	COUNTERMINE SYSTEMS	20,547	24,547	+ 4,000
00	Polymer Based Landmine Detection	17.000	04.500	+ 4,000
23	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY	17,026	24,526	+ 7,500
	Hawthorne Army Depot			+ 6,000
24	Biological/Chemical Materials Environmental Modeling	18,604	20,604	+ 1,500 + 2,000
24	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	10,004	20,004	+ 2,000
26	MILITARY ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	47,152	53,152	+ 6,000
	University Partnership for Operational Support			+ 3,000
	Geosciences/Atmospheric Research			+ 3,000
27	MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY	15,322	16,322	+1,000
	Dermal phase meter			+1,000
28	LOGISTICS TECHNOLOGY	21,131	58,531	+37,400
	Self-Decontaminating Selectively Permeable Membranes			+3,500
	Flexible Monolithically Integrated Solar Panels on a Poly-			
	mer Substrate			+ 3,200
	Next Generation Chemical/Biological Agent Protective Gar-			. 0 500
	ment			+ 2,500
	Supplemental body armor research			+7,000
	Integrated, Unbreakable, Flexible Visible and Infared Lighting Surfaces			+ 3.500
	Warfighter technology			+ 3,200
	U.S. Army research on advanced structures and compos-			1 0,200
	ites in construction			+ 5,000
	Improved Shelf-Life in fresh fruits and vegetables			+ 5,000
	NBC Integrated Protection Membrane—Shelters [NBCIPM—			,
	S]			+4,500
29	MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY	60,877	117,377	+56,500
	Predictive Tools for Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder			
	[PTSD]			+ 2,000
	Chitosan Hemorrhage Control Dressing			+ 5,000
	USAMRIID Anthrax Research			+ 3,000
	National Behaviorial Genomics Research			+ 2,000 + 4,000
	Tissue Replacement and Repair for Battlefield Injuries Combat Casualty Care for Battlefield Wounds			+ 4,000
	Texas Training and Technology for Trauma and Terror-			1 4,000
	ism			+11,000
	Transportable Pathogen Reduction and Blood Safety Sys-			,
	tem			+3,500
	Reserve Component Medical Skills Readiness Training			+3,000
	Comprehensive Bioactive Products Program for Breast			
	Cancer			+1,000
	Automated Medical Emergency Intravascular Access			
	(AuthCath)			+ 2,000
	Shock Trauma Research			+ 3,000
	International Rehabilitation Network			+ 5,000
	Military Biomaterials Research [CEMBR] Computational Proteomics			+ 5,000 + 3.000
	HSDI			+ 5,000
	Authorized reduction			- 5,000 - 5,000
30	WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	68,034	59,534	- 8,500
00	Ration Packaging Materials & Systems for MRE's			+ 2,000
	Antimicrobial/Medical Baselayer Garment Technology			+1,000
	Multifunctional Protective Packaging Technology			+ 1,500
	Technology and Human Systems Integration Program			+ 2,000
	Land Warrior/Future Force Warrior consolidation			- 15,000
31	MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	38,404	164,404	+ 126,000
	Mobile I.V. system			+ 3,000
	Advanced Clinical Education Institute/Surgery Interactive			. 0.000
	System Matropley Comprehensive Medical Imaging receases			+ 2,000
	Metroplex Comprehensive Medical Imaging research National Bioterrorism Civilian Medical Response			+7,000
	National Bioterrorism Civilian Medical Response [CIMERC]			+ 2,000
	Operating Room of the Future			+ 4,500

137

ine	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimat
	National Tissue Engineering Center			+ 2,50
	Orphan Disease Drug Discovery			+ 2,00
	Medical Modeling and Simulation through Synthetic Dig-			. 2 50
	ital GenesFort Detrick Technology Transfer Initiative			+ 2,50 + 1,00
	Prostate Disease Research (WRAMC)			+ 5,00
	Military Low Vision Research			+ 4,00
	Fibrinogen Bandages for Battlefield Wounds			+ 7,00
	Brain, Biology and Machines			+ 6,00
	Untethered Health Care Pennington Biomedical			+ 2,00 + 4.00
	Smart Shelf Chain of Custody and Control of Medical Records			+ 4,00
	SEAmed Oral Health Project			+ 2,50
	Human Polymerized Pyridoxilated Hemoglobin-Based Oxy-			1 2,00
	gen Carriers			+ 2,00
	Technologies for Metabolic Monitoring Accelerated Diagnosis-Digital Imaging Pattern Recogni-			+ 1,00
	tion			+ 4,00
	Study of Human Operator Performance [C—SHOP] Light Based Self Treatment for Pseudofolliculitis Barbae			+ 5,00
	[PFB]Soldier-mounted Eye-Tracking and Control Systems			+ 3,00 + 2,00
	Genomic Medicine and Gene Therapy Project			+ 2,5
	Vascular Graft Research for Combat Settings			+ 2,6
	Leishmaniasis Prevention, Treatment & Diagnosis			+ 10,0
	Malaria Prevention, Treatment & Diagnosis Weight Measurements and Standards for Military Per-			+ 10,0
	Sonnel			+ 3,00 + 1,50
	Minimally Invasive Surgery Modeling and Simulation Electronic Textiles for Combat Casualty Care			+ 1,5
	Extra corporeal membrane oxygenation at Tripler			+ 6,0
	Tissue development on elastin biomatrixes			+ 5,6
	Tripler Army Medical Center elCU remote critical care			+ 4,0
	Authorized reduction			- 5,0
2	AVIATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	69,549	102,749	+ 33,2
	Excaliber Tact UCAV			+ 8,0
	Reconfigurable Tooling Systems Process Technologies for Replacement Part Production			+ 1,7 + 6,0
	Wiring Traceout for Joint Aviation Technical Data Integra- tion			+ 2,0
	UAV and Micro Air Vehicle Dynamometer			+ 7,0
	VTDP Compound Helicopter Technology Flight Demonstra-			,-
	tion Improved Lung Cancer Management—Advanced Imaging			+ 5,5
	Technology			+ 3,0
3	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	67,622	79,122	+11,5
	Tungsten Penetrator			+4,0
	Main Rotor and Anti-Torque Blade Erosion Resistant Ce-			. 2 5
	ramic Coating Electromagnetic Gun Initiative			+ 3,5 + 1,0
	Compressor Blades Wear-Resistant Ceramic Coating			+ 3,0
4	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	203,126	263,326	+ 60,2
	CAV Technology Transition			+ 5,00
	for M-Gators			+ 2,10
	Rotary, Multi-Fuel, Auxiliary Power Unit [RMF-FPU]			+ 3,0
	Fuel Cell Ground Support Equipment Demonstration			+6,10
	IMPACT—Concept Modeling Tool Suite Development/Sen-			. 1 0
	sitivity Analysis for Military Trucks [CMTSD/SAMT] Advanced Army Modular Composite Bridge			+ 1,0 + 8,0
	Next Generation Non-Tactical Vehicle Propulsion			+ 8,0 + 3,5
	Electrochromic Material Windows			+ 4,0
	Armored Composite Cab Development Program			+ 5,0

138

	[In thousands of dollars]				
Line	Item	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate	
	Military Vehicle Technologies			+4,000	
	Army Lightweight Structures Initiative [ALSI]			+4,000	
	Innovative Materials for Infrastructure Security			+3,000	
	Digital Humans and Virtual Reality			+1,000	
	Tactical Vehicle Design Tools			+2,000	
	N-STEP Enabled Manufacturing Cell for FCS			+5,000	
	Advanced Thermal Management Controls			+3,500	
	Fastening and Joining Research			+2,000	
	Pacific Rim Corrosion Research Program			+2,000	
	Modular logistics transport technology			+1,000	
	Future Tactical Truck			-5,000	
36	MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADVANCED TECH-				
	NOLOGY	7,288	8,788	+1,500	
	Battle Command Team Training [BCTT] Program			+1,500	
37	ELECTRONIC WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	41,760	68,760	+27,000	
	Applied Communications and Information Networking				
	[ACIN]			+12,000	
	Rapid Optimization of Commercial Knowledge			+5,000	
	Networking Environment for Command, Control and Com-				
	munications			+8,000	
	Portable and Mobile Emergency Broadband Systems			+2,000	
39	NEXT GENERATION TRAINING & SIMULATION SYSTEMS	18,072	30,072	+12,000	
	Institute for Creative Technologies—joint fires and ef-		,	,	
	fects training system			+ 5,000	
	CAVE Automatic Virtual Environment			+ 7,000	
41	EXPLOSIVE DEMILITARIZATION TECHNOLOGY	9,706	21,106	+11,400	
	Missile Recycling			+ 2,000	
	Munitions demilitarization			+ 2,000	
	Sierra Army Depot Cryofracture/Plasma Arc Demilitariza-			1 2,000	
	tion Program			+5,000	
	Thin Layer Chromatography			+ 2.400	
43	COMBATING TERRORISM, TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT FOR	3,383	9,583	+ 6,200	
40	Advanced Mobile Micro Grid Program	3,303	3,303	+ 6,200	
45	EW TECHNOLOGY	9,382	16,382	+ 7,000	
70	Ground Combat Vehicle Laser Warning	3,302	10,002	+ 2,000	
	Shortstop Electronic Protection System			+ 5,000	
46	MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	92,800	118,300	+ 25,500	
40	Long Range Aviation Missile [LRAM]	32,000	110,000	+ 2,000	
	Warfighter Protection and Homeland Security Lab			+ 5,000	
	Missile Simulation Technology			+ 11,000	
	Close-in Active Protection Systems [CIAPS]			+ 7,500	
48	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	25,577	32,577	+ 7,000 + 7,000	
40	Light-Weight Rapidly Deployable Modular Hardened Shel-	25,511	32,377	+ 1,000	
	ters			+5,000	
	EDIT Advanced Landmine Detection			+ 2,000	
49		E 000	11 000		
49	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	5,968	11,868	+ 5,900	
E 1	Advanced Demining Technology	E0 071	00 271	+ 5,900	
51	NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	50,071	88,371	+ 38,300	
	Warfighter/Firefighter Position, Location, and Tracking				
	[PLT] Sensor			+ 4,000	
	Cost Effective Targeting System [CETS]			+ 4,800	
	Advanced Passive Millimeter Wave Imager			+ 6,000	
	Buster backpack small UAV			+ 12,500	
	Soldier Mobility and Rifle Targeting System [SMaRTS]			+ 1,000	
	IED locating low-cost, long-endurance UAVs			+ 5,000	
F.0	Night Vision Fusion Research and Development	2.005	07.005	+ 5,000	
53	MILITARY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	3,865	27,065	+ 23,200	
	Ramgen/Fuel Cell Hybrid System			+ 5,000	
	U.S. Army Advanced Structures and Composites in Con-				
	struction research			+5,000	
	Solid Oxide Fuel Cell [SOFC] Development for Defense Ap-				
	plications			+ 5,000	
	Battlefield Production of Hydrogen for Fuel Cell Vehicles			+1,000	
	Advanced Mobile Microgrid Program			+6,200	

139

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Defense Applications for Thermo-Electric Power Generation			
	Devices		110.000	+ 1,000
55	ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	53,509	116,909	+ 63,400
	Nanoscience Initiative			+ 4,000
	NGPS—Next Generation Passive sensors			+ 3,000
	P3 Power Systems			+ 3,000
	Low Cost Avionics Vertical Integration for Missile Surveillance data			+ 1,000 + 1,000
				+ 4,900
	Advanced Strap-Down Seeker [ASDS]			+ 4,500
	Carbon Foam, Missile Defense Agency Modelling and Simulation Activities			,
	Mobile Tactical High Energy Laser [MTHEL]			+ 3,000 + 15,000
	Anti-Stealth Research—Passive Surveillance System			+ 2.000
	Integrated Composite Airframe Structure Program			+ 5,000
	Eagle Eyes Nuclear Detection Program			+ 1,000
	Next Generation Hardware-in-the-loop [HWIL] Tool			1 1,000
	[NGHT]			+ 15,000
	Global Infrasound Monitoring of the Atmosphere			+ 2,000
56	ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION [DEM/VAL]	4,871	35,971	+ 31,100
JU	Low Cost Interceptor [LCI]	4,071	33,371	+ 15,000
	Radar Power Technology Research Program			+ 1,000
	Telecommunication upgrades at Kodiak Launch Com-			± 1,000
	plexplex			+ 9,300
	Kodiak Range upgrades—KLC safety upgrades			+ 5,800
57	AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	91,713	122.713	+ 31,000
JI	Geospatial Information Decision Support	31,713	122,713	+ 2,000
	E-STRIKE Technology Enhancement for the Maneuver Air			± 2,000
	Defense Capability			. 10 000
	Air, Space and Missile Defense System of Systems Hard-			+ 10,000
	ware-in-the-loop			+ 4,000
	AMD Architecture Analysis [A3] Program			+ 6,000
	SituSpace Single Integrated Space Picture			+ 9,000
58	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER—ADV DEV	11,634	18,634	+ 7,000
J0	MVMNT Program for Simulation Based Operation	11,034		+ 7,000
59	SMOKE, OBSCURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYS—ADV DEV	6,249	11,249	+ 5,000
JJ	Advanced Laser Electric Program	0,243	11,243	+ 1,000
	Thermo-Acoustic Piezo Energy Conversion			+ 1,000
	Standoff Hazardous Agent Detection and Evaluation Sys-			T 1,000
	tem Research			+ 3,000
60	TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION	39,697	9,697	- 30,000
00	MRM			- 30,000 - 30,000
65	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	14,047	19,047	+ 5,000
00	Night Vision Systems Advanced Development (FIRRE)	14,047	13,047	+ 5,000
66	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY DEM/VAL	9,356	24,356	+ 15,000
00	Casting Emission Reduction Program [CERP]	3,330	24,330	+ 3,500
	Waste Minimization and Pollution Prevention			+ 1,000
	Vanadium Technology Program			+ 3,000
	Wellhead Treatment of Perchlorate Contaminated Wells			+ 5,000
	Environmental Management System Pilot in the Defense			± 3,000
	Department			+ 2,500
69	AVIATION—ADV DEV	12,113	18,613	+ 6.500
UJ	Virtual Cockpit Optimization Program [VCOP]		10,013	+ 5,500
	Rapid Response Chem-Bio Decon, Liquid and Dry (Decon			± 3,300
				. 1 000
71	Green)	10.495	16.485	+ 1,000
71	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ADV DEV	10,485	.,	+ 6,000 + 6,000
73	Mobile Parts Hospital MEDICAL SYSTEMS—ADV DEV	10,258	22,758	+ 0,000 + 12,500
13	Future Medical Shelter System	10,238		
				+ 7,500 + 5,000
	Combat Medical Material—Advanced Development AIRCRAFT AVIONICS	69 957	90 927	+ 5,000
70		68,857	80,827	+ 11,970
78	Transfer from line 100 PDDW			
	Transfer from line 199, RDDW	20.000	15 000	+ 11,970
78 79	Transfer from line 199, RDDWARMED, DEPLOYABLE OH-58D	20,000	15,000	+ 11,970 - 5,000 - 5,000

140

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	XM312 .50 caliber Advanced Crew Served Weapon			+ 10,000
87	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES	2,854	5,854	+ 3,000
00	FMTV Weight/Cost Reduction Initiative	0.470	07.470	+ 3,000
90	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLESHEMTT A3 SDD	2,479	27,479	+ 25,000 + 10.000
	Heavy Equipment Transport System [HETS] recap pro-			+ 10,000
	gram			+ 3,000
	Palletized Load System [PLS] recap program			+ 2,000
	21st Century Truck and Future Tactical Truck System			+10,000
93	LIGHT TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLES		10,000	+ 10,000
94	HMMWV Block Improvement Program	2 700 455	2 127 010	+ 10,000
94	ARMORED SYSTEMS MODERNIZATION [ASM]—ENG. DEV Transfer to line 94A	2,700,455	2,127,018	- 573,437 - 79,500
	Excess management reserve			-150.000
	Transfer to line 95			- 343,937
94A	NON LINE OF SIGHT LAUNCH SYSTEMS		64,500	+64,500
	Transfer from line 94			+79,500
0.5	LAM	407.040		- 15,000
95	NON LINE OF SIGHT CANNON	497,643	841,580	+ 343,937
105	Transfer from line 94AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT	4,713	7,213	+ 343,937 + 2,500
105	Integrated Family of Test Equipment—Base Shop Test	4,713	7,213	+ 2,500
108	BRILLIANT ANTI-ARMOR SUBMUNITION [BAT]	21	2,521	+ 2,500
	Viper Strike			+ 2,500
113	AVIATION—ENG DEV	2,378	3,378	+1,000
	Advanced Ballistic Protection			+ 1,000
114	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS—ENG DEV	125,885	149,885	+ 24,000
	Distributed Aperture Semi Active Laser Seeker [DASALS] Common Remotely-Operated Weapons Station [CROWS]			+ 5,000 + 5,000
	Precision Guided Mortar Munition [PGMM]			+ 10,000
	Mortar Anti-Personnel-Anti-Material [MAPAM]			+ 4,000
115	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ENG DEV	89,151	96,151	+ 7,000
	Rapidly Installed Fuel Transfer System [RIFTS]			+ 7,000
116	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS—ENG DEV	219,790	227,790	+8,000
117	Standoff Sensor for Radionuclide ID [SSRID]	11 707	15 707	+ 8,000
117	MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPM	11,727	15,727	+ 4,000 + 2,500
	Chitosan bandage component			+ 1,500
120	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION	6,994	14,994	+ 8,000
	Integrated Battlefield Combat Situational Awareness			+ 8,000
124	FIREFINDER	18,516	24,516	+6,000
	AN/TPQ 47 Phoenix			+6,000
125	ARTILLERY SYSTEMS—EMD	9,550	12,550	+ 3,000
128	Paladin/Excaliber IntegrationTHREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	22,101	31,701	+ 3,000 + 9,600
120	RF/SAM Threat Simulator Program	22,101	31,701	+ 9.600
131	RAND ARROYO CENTER	20,012	24,012	+ 4,000
	RAND Arroyo Center			+4,000
133	CONCEPTS EXPERIMENTATION	22,727	24,727	+ 2,000
	Technology Management and Collaboration Initiative			
105	(TMCI)	101 114		+ 2,000
135	ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIESCold Regions Test Center—hybrid electric infrastruc-	181,114	201,114	+ 20,000
	ture			+10,000
	Big Crow Program Office [BCPO]			+ 10,000
136	ARMY TECHNICAL TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND TARGETS	52,433	61,933	+ 9,500
	Chemical-Biological Defense Material Test and Evaluation	'	, ,	,
	Initiative			+1,500
	Advanced Digital Radar System [ADRS]			+ 3,000
127	White Sands Missile Range Film Elimination		E0 C40	+ 5,000
137	SURVIVABILITY/LETHALITY ANALYSIS Decision Related Structures	44,648	50,648	+ 6,000 + 6,000
147	TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES	27,713	30,713	+ 3,000
	Army High Performance Computing Research	27,715		+ 3,000

141

Line	Item	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
148	MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFET Nanotechnology Technologies for Defense Applications Excaliber Life Cycle Improvements Omega 60 Battle Effects Simulator	14,611	36,111	+ 21,500 + 2,000 + 5,000 + 500
	Advanced Cluster Energetics [ACE] Manufacturing Tech- nology			+ 3,000
	Micro Electrical Mechanical Systems [MEMS] Technology Applications			+ 1,000
	nitions			+ 5,000 + 5.000
154	DOMESTIC PREPAREDNESS AGAINST WEAPONS OF MASS DEST Chemical-Biological Casualty Treatment		5,000	+ 5,000 + 5,000
157	AIRCRAFT MODIFICATIONS/PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM IMD_HUMS, UH_60L Army Demonstration	242,853	222,853	- 20,000 + 30,000 - 50,000
158	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	2,427	12,427	+ 10,000
171	SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES		4,000	+ 10,000 + 4,000 + 4,000
172	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM Biometrics—testing of technology	24,725	30,725	+ 6,000 + 6,000
177	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	45,627	55,127	+ 9,500
178	ligence [COMINT] AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE ADV DEVELOPMENT Hyperspectral Longwave Imager for the Tactical Environment [HYLITE]	5,128	11,328	+ 9,500 + 6,200 + 6,200
179	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS (JMIP) Intelligence Data Exchange for Execution and Planning	43,254	50,254	+ 7,000
181	[I-DEEP] DCGS END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES Manufacturing Metrology for Weapon Sys Production &	67,236	86,836	+ 7,000 + 19,600
	Sustainment Free Form Low Cost Fabrication using titanium Laser System Development for Turbine Engine Applica-			+ 1,600 + 2,000
	tionsVirtual Parts			+ 3,000 + 3,000
	Qualification Manufacturing Systems Demonstration Packaging and Interconnection Technology			+ 2,500 + 2,500 + 5,000

Titanium Extraction, Mining, and Process Engineering Research [TEMPER] Initiative.—The Committee is aware of technologies which will identify and develop new extraction and mining technologies that will significantly reduce the cost of titanium, reduce the reliance on foreign titanium ore and build the lowest cost processing stream for titanium components. The fiscal year 2004 program has demonstrated the feasibility of using Montana ore and through the technology resources of various industry partners, a target low-cost extraction process has been identified. Additional funds in fiscal year 2005 will extend the existing technology consortium and ensure the success of the program. This academic/industry consortium will demonstrate the lowest cost processing stream that uses domestic ores, a new extraction process and melting and non-melting technologies to produce material. This approach will benchmark a new "Titanium Mini-mill" concept, thus establishing a new industry standard in the titanium community. This is an ini-

tiative that will directly benefit the U.S. Army and will be validated on weapon systems under development by U.S. Army ARDEC. The Committee encourages the Department of the Army to continue this program within funds available in the research

and development account.

MARIAH II.—The Committee notes that hypersonic ground test capability is necessary to launch the next generation of air-breathing hypersonic systems such as missiles, reusable space vehicles and global reach aircraft. To date, the MARIAH II program has been developing the key enabling technologies required for a MACH 8 to 15, one second run time large-scale hypersonic wind tunnel. These technologies include ultra-high pressure, electronic beam energy addition and magneto hydrodynamics [MHD] acceleration technology. The Committee has provided \$15,000,000 in PE

0602303A to continue this development.

In fiscal year 2004, Congress directed the transfer of MARIAH II from the Air Force, which had failed to include MARIAH II in its budget requests, to the Army Aviation and Missile Command. The Army identified a near-term need for hypersonic ground test capability to support several advanced missile development programs. The Army program calls for a MACH 10, one second run time wind tunnel to be operational within 5 years. The Committee believes the MARIAH II program to be of vital interest to national security and America's continued leadership in aerospace. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army, in conjunction with the Director of Defense Research and Engineering to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by March 3, 2005, which lays out a plan to fund MARIAH II in the Future Years' Defense Program so that operational capability may be achieved by fiscal year 2009.

Army Aviation Collaborative Engineering and Integration Testbed.—The Committee supports the Army's decision to terminate the Comanche helicopter program. However, the Committee notes that with the cancellation of Comanche, the Army is left with significant technologies and developed components that should be utilized to benefit existing aviation systems. For example, Comanche sensors [EOSS], Integrated Communication Navigation Identification Avionics, Comanche Portable Cockpit, revolutionary flight controls and helmet mounted display technology, all slated for the

Comanche program should continue to be evaluated.

In an effort to capitalize on technologies such as these, and to insure that Army aviation remains at the forefront of technological advancement, the Committee encourages the Army to develop an Aviation Collaborative Engineering and Integration Testbed. The goal of such an effort should be to provide a critical link between the Government-managed Aviation Research Development and Engineering Center [RDEC] at Redstone Arsenal and the Original Equipment Manufacturer [OEM] technical experts and provide a badly-needed environment in which to evaluate the transfer of Comanche-developed components and other advanced technologies to Army aviation systems in direct support of emerging and future war fighter needs.

A further goal of this initiative should be to facilitate the transfer of Comanche program and other emerging aviation systems

technologies to a broader Rapid Equipment and Fielding Facility [REFF] co-located with the PEO Aviation, PEO Tactical Missiles, and Aviation and Missile Command, leveraging several existing integration facilities, laboratories, and engineering centers. This concept represents an open architecture, airframe independent, system integration testbed that will connect avionics, sensors, weapons, airframe and test assets into an integrated design and performance assessment tool. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by January 3, 2005 which provides a basic framework to move forward with this initiative.

Land Warrior/Objective Force Warrior.—The Committee notes with great concern that the Land Warrior program has been in ex-

istence for over 10 years and to date, has not been fielded.

The Committee notes with great encouragement, however, that with the transfer of this program to PEO Soldier, the Army has dedicated the necessary resources and attention to this technology in the past year to make a much more realistic program which uses

soldiers as its main focus.

The Committee remains concerned that the Land Warrior and the Future Force Warrior are becoming two programs on separate paths, never to cross with the soldier, those who are the intended recipient of their technological advances. Therefore, the Committee directs the Army to submit to the congressional defense committees a plan to consolidate both programs into a single program, benefiting from the efficiencies of each. The Committee also recommends a reduction to the Future Force Warrior program of \$15,000,000 as a result of anticipated efficiencies gained through consolidation of these two programs. The consolidated program should take on the focus of the Army's Future Combat System and provide the Army with a FCS dismounted capability on the individual soldier.

Further, the Committee understands that it is possible to field a dismounted capability immediately to the Stryker Brigades currently deployed in combat. Therefore, the Committee recommends that the Land Warrior program re-focus its procurement strategy to incorporate these emerging capabilities, such as the Commanders Digital Assistant [CDA] and hand-held EPLRS capabili-

ties, into the Stryker brigades immediately.

Fibrinogen Bandages Using Non-mammalian Clotting Proteins.— The Committee is encouraged by recent developments in medical technologies using clotting proteins in blood to develop bandages. These modern bandages appear to have shown promise in traumatic injuries. Further, the Committee recommends that the Army consider the use of non-mammalian proteins as an additional source of protein in the manufacture of Fibrinogen bandages.

Malaria Research and Vaccine Development.—The Committee notes that Department of Defense research has led some of the most promising malaria research and vaccine development efforts in the world. The Committee encourages the Army and Navy to find alternative means to fund administrative costs so that contributions from the Malaria Vaccine Initiative can all be directed to research and development programs. In an effort to boost the Military Infectious Disease Research Program, the Committee pro-

vides an additional \$10,000,000 for malaria research and vaccine development. The Committee directs that these funds be used for the malaria research and vaccine development efforts led by the Walter Reed Army Institute of Research [WRAIR] and the Naval Medical Research Center [NMRC]. The Committee further encourages the Department of Defense and the Department of the Army to provide funding through NMRC for Plasmodium Falciparum irradiated sporozoite malaria vaccine development, since this re-

search has the potential to yield results quickly.

NAD Synthetase Inhibitors.—The Committee is aware of the work being done by the Department of the Army in the area of force health protection, specifically the development of novel pharmaceuticals that hold excellent promise for the prophylactic and/or therapeutic control of anthrax by employing NAD synthetase inhibitors. This important research should also reduce the side effects associated with current treatments. The Committee understands the concern over the prevention and treatment of malaria and other tropical diseases, especially leishmania. The Committee encourages the Department to evaluate whether antimicrobial medical peptides [AMPs] are suitable candidates for further clinical development.

Army Missile and Space Technology Initiative.—The Committee is concerned about the lack of Applied Research investments in support of the Army's ballistic defense systems. Today, the Ballistic Missile Defense [BMD] technology development budget has no Applied Research funds and its Advanced Technology Research funding accounts for only a portion of the overall Missile Defense Agency [MDA] budget with the vast majority of funds being dedicated to acquiring and fielding near term systems. This lack of funding for Applied Research by MDA and the U.S. Army leaves a gap in critical technology development for addressing the advancing threat. To compound the problem, the speeds and distances involved in intercepting a ballistic missile mean that the technologies needed to advance our Nation's missile defense capability are highly unique to the missile defense mission and cannot necessarily leverage other basic and applied research in the Services. Therefore, the Committee notes that there is an urgent need for a coherent, focused applied research program in the U.S. Army for BMD research, specifically dedicated to our Nation's Ballistic Missile Defense System.

Armored Systems Modernization.—The President's fiscal year 2005 budget submission contains \$2,700,455,000 for Armored Systems Modernization and an additional \$497,643,000 for the Nonline of Sight Cannon [NLOS-C]. These two budget lines make up

the entire Future Combat System funding in the Army.

The Committee recognizes that the Future Combat System represents the single greatest modernization program in the Army's budget. This development program has seen an evolution since its announcement, and the Committee supports the Army's efforts to ensure that this weapon system is the most modern, most effective weapon system in the Army inventory. The Committee recognizes that the development of the Future Combat System, along with Modularity, is an opportunity to modernize the way the United States Army conducts land warfare in the future.

In accordance with the discussions held between the Army and this Committee, and in an effort to provide a greater detailed level of oversight, the Committee directs that the funds made available within Program Element 0604645A, Armored Systems Modernization, be allocated within the following projects:

[In millions of dollars]

	Amount
SoS Engineering & Program Management	364.0
FoS Analysis & Integration	255.0
Command and Control (Network Software)	218.0
Systems Integration Platforms Management	
SoS Test & Evaluation	25.4
Logistics Support & Training	151.8
UAV Recon and Sensors	77.1
Unmanned Ground Vehicles	70.5
Government Costs	453.3
Contract Costs	453.3
Manned Ground Vehicles	189.3
Unattended Ground Sensors	19.4
TOTAL PE 0604645A	2,277.1
NLOS-C	841.5 79.5
TOTAL PES 0604646A/0604647A	921.0
TOTAL FCS	3,198.1

As part of the Future Combat System, the Committee recommends the creation of a new program element, 0604646A, Non Line of Sight—Launch Systems, and recommends the transfer of \$79,500,000 from program element 0604545A to establish this line. Additionally, the Committee recommends the transfer of \$343,937,000 to the Non Line-of-Sight Cannon and Resupply Vehicle [NLOS-C], for a total of \$841,580,000, as per the amended justification materials submitted to the Committee. The Committee believes that these individual projects will provide the transparency necessary for Congress to perform its oversight role. In addition, the Committee directs that each project within the Armored Systems Modernization line be designated as a special interest item subject to prior approval reprogramming procedures as described elsewhere in this report.

Electronics Commodity Project.—The Committee was informed by the Department of Defense that \$1,000,000 was included in the fiscal year 2005 President's budget request for the Electronic Commodity Project, in line 127 of the Army research and development account. The Committee is pleased that the project was included in the budget and directs the Department to use the funding to continue this effort.

Land Mine Alternatives.—The Committee supports the non-self-destruct landmine alternatives and the Intelligent Munitions System. The intent in initiating these programs was to develop technologies to replace anti-personnel landmines that cannot distinguish between an innocent civilian and an enemy combatant. For this reason, the Committee believes it is essential that these systems be designed to utilize a man-in-the-loop, discriminating capa-

bility. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to submit a report by January 3, 2005 describing its plans for incorporating

this technology.

SCANJACK Mine Clearing System.—The Committee understands the U.S. Army has a requirement for area mine clearance sets, and that it is evaluating various systems. The Committee urges the Army to acquire at least one commercial-off-the-shelf [COTS] SCANJACK Mine Clearing System that can be used for test and evaluation and, if required, for deployment in a military

or humanitarian contingency.

Enterprise Resource Planning for Army Combat Logistics.—Army logistics systems arm, fuel, repair, move, and sustain combat forces. The Army's goals for modernization of its legacy logistics systems include improved sustainment of combat forces using fewer soldiers, increased readiness and operational availability, while significantly lowering cost. Enterprise resource planning systems provide essential information for timely decision-making and accurate performance-measurement. They use no custom software code and only commercial off-the-shelf computer technology. They are webbased with a robust telecommunications infrastructure, and have been tested at thousands of sites outside the Army. The Committee encourages the Army to use funds within the Global Combat Support System [GCSS] account to complete the blueprinting and accelerate fielding of the system by up to 2 years, replacing 20 year old systems which question their ability to support combat operations.

Skid-steer Loaders.—The Committee understands that operational experience in Iraq and Afghanistan has demonstrated that skid-steer loaders add significantly to the survivability, mobility, and productivity of Army combat engineers. The Committee encourages the Army to pursue the acquisition of additional skid-steer loaders for engineering units supporting operations in Iraq

and Afghanistan.

Small Mobile Weapons Systems For Force Protection.—The United States Army is being transformed to a lighter and more lethal force. This transformation leads to a more dispersed force with fewer soldiers armed with highly sophisticated assets under their direct control. Unmanned Aerial Vehicles have already shown great advantage as an extension of the soldier for Reconnaissance, Surveillance & Target Acquisition missions. Small Mobile Weapons Systems are now becoming available to provide a critical multiplier of the firepower of the transformed force. Additional resources for this effort will enable the development and implementation of the already field tested first generation designs. The Small Mobile Weapons Systems program will focus on weight reduction, ruggedization, improved survivability and cost reduction. The Committee encourages the Army to use funds within its fiscal year 2005 research and development funding to pursue this technology.

Micro Liquid Crystal Displays.—The Committee understands that a broad range of military programs now utilize the next generation of enhanced micro-image displays that provide wide field displays, reduced power, and enhanced resolution and brightness. The Committee encourages the Department of the Army to use

funds within its fiscal year 2005 research and development funding to pursue enhanced micro-image displays.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY

Appropriations, 2004	\$15,146,383,000
Budget estimate, 2005	
Committee recommendation	16.748.035.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$16,748,035,000. This is \$401,644,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY			
1 2 3	BASIC RESEARCH: UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	83,508 17,664 375,812	87,008 20,164 380,312	+ 3,500 + 2,500 + 4,500
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH	476,984	487,484	+10,500
4 5 6 10 11 12 13 14 15	APPLIED RESEARCH: POWER PROJECTION APPLIED RESEARCH	98,831 96,269 35,398 60,134 63,726 49,151 48,482 64,060	127,831 150,269 36,898 97,634 112,526 65,151 55,482 84,060	+ 29,000 + 54,000 + 1,500 + 37,500 + 48,800 + 16,000 + 7,000 + 20,000
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH	564,067	777,867	+ 213,800
16 17 18 19 20 21	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT: POWER PROJECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY COMMON PICTURE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY RF SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY MARINE CORPS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION	92,359 82,130 79,521 61,103 44,046	125,359 135,480 83,521 91,103 63,046	+ 33,000 + 53,350 + 4,000 + 30,000 + 19,000
23 24 25 26	(ATD) NAVY TECHNICAL INFORMATION PRESENTATION SYSTEM WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY UNDERSEA WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY JOINT WARFARE EXPERIMENTS	58,222 167,626 16,719 26,515 26	79,222 167,626 29,319 33,015 26	+ 21,000 + 12,600 + 6,500
27 28	NAVY WARFIGHTING EXPERIMENTS AND DEMONSTRA- TIONS	16,006 32,899	16,006 32,899	
		,	·	
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	677,172	856,622	+ 179,450
30 31 32 33	DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION: AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS AVIATION SURVIVABILITY DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	24,431 10,820 42,394 4,541	24,431 31,820 42,394 15,541	+ 21,000

148

	Item	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
34	TACTICAL AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE	6,448	6,448	
35	ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEA-	67,605	67,605	
	SURES	103,308	94,841	- 8,467
37	SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE	46,896	50,896	+4,000
8	CARRIER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	157,479	162,479	+5,000
39	SHIPBOARD SYSTEM COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT	18,993	41,993	+23,000
40	PILOT FISH	78,223	78,223	
11	RETRACT LARCH	82,532	82,532	
12	RETRACT JUNIPER	36,915	36,915	
43	RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL	946	946	
14	SURFACE ASW	17,633	21,433	+ 3,800
45	SSGN CONVERSION	19,970	19,970	
46	ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	81,160	93,160	+ 12,000
17	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEMS	5,957	5,957	
18	SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN	3,723	21,723	+ 18,000
50	ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	169,733	169,733	
52 53	CHALK EAGLE	47,786	47,786	
	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS)	352,089	352,089	. 21 700
54	COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	80,840	112,540	+ 31,700
55	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES	34,151	34,151	. 12 000
56 57	MARINE CORPS MINE/COUNTERMEASURES SYSTEMS—ADV	236,969	249,969	+ 13,000
"	DEV	4,522	4,522	
58	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM	22,440	39,140	+ 16.700
9	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	18,047	18,047	T 10,700
50	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT	103,452	103,452	
1	OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	26,232	26,232	
2	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	24.641	27,641	+ 3,000
33	NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM	1,494	9,494	+ 8,000
34	FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT	1,621	7,621	+ 6,000
55	CHALK CORAL	58,467	58,467	
66	NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY	7,421	7,421	
67	RETRACT MAPLE	275,407	275,407	
8	LINK PLUMERIA	112,997	112,997	
69	RETRACT ELM	48,130	48,130	
0	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (DEM/VAL)	9,493	9,493	
1	LINK EVERGREEN	63,346	63,346	
72	SPECIAL PROCESSES	44,232	44,232	
3	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	10,151	10,151	
74	LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY	82,049	73,386	-8,663
75	NONLETHAL WEAPONS (DEM/VAL)	43,321	43,321	
76	ALL SERVICE COMBAT IDENTIFICATION EVALUATION			
7	TEAM JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS	13,626	13,626	
,8	(DEM/VAL)	32,391	32,391	
	NEER	20,252	20,252	
79	COUNTERDRUG RDT&E PROJECTS		3,000	+3,000
80	TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES		.,	.,,,,
	(TAD		7,000	+7,000
31	SPACE & ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) ARCHITECTURE/			
	ENGINEERING	25,943	25,943	
2	JOINT WARFARE TRANSFORMATION PROGRAMS	22,450	22,450	
	TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION	2,803,667	2,972,737	+ 169,070
	ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT:			
3	OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT	186,970	186,970	
34	AV-8B AIRCRAFT-ENG DEV	12,284	12,284	
85	STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT	57,675	57,675	
36	MULTI-MISSION HELICOPTER UPGRADE DEVELOPMENT	78,757	78,757	
88	AIR/OCEAN EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING	4,506	4,506	
9	P-3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	9,554	10,554	+1,000

149

	[In thousands of dollars]					
	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate		
90	WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEM	5,201	5,201			
91	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM	49,180	49,180			
92	E-2C RADAR MODERNIZATION	597,015	597,015			
93	H-1 UPGRADES	90,389	132,389	+ 42,000		
94	ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS	13,363	13,363	7.000		
95 96	V-22AAIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	304,164 8,838	297,164 12,838	- 7,000 + 4,000		
97	EA-18	357,502	357,502	T 4,000		
98	EW DEVELOPMENT	48,956	51,456	+ 2,500		
99	VHXX EXECUTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT	777,398	557,398	- 220,000		
100	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM—NAVY (JTRS-NAVY)	78,624	78,624			
101	SC-21 TOTAL SHIP SYSTEM ENGINEERING	1,431,585	1,210,469	- 221,116		
102	SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING	146,463	146,463			
103	LPD-17 CLASS SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	8,988	8,988			
104	TRI-SERVICE STANDOFF ATTACK MISSILE	27,047	27,047			
105 106	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)STANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS	9,961 99,022	9,961 112,022	+ 13,000		
100	AIRBORNE MCM	50,514	50,514	+ 13,000		
107	SSN-688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION	75,359	84,959	+ 9,600		
109	AIR CONTROL	13,102	20,102	+ 7,000		
110	ENHANCED MODULAR SIGNAL PROCESSOR	1,075	1,075			
111	SHIPBOARD AVIATION SYSTEMS	28,631	30,131	+ 1,500		
112	COMBAT INFORMATION CENTER CONVERSION	8,228	8,228			
113	NEW DESIGN SSN	143,270	208,370	+ 65,100		
114	SSN-21 DEVELOPMENTS	3,020	3,020			
115	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM	43,404	49,404	+ 6,000		
116 117	SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/ LIVE FIRE T&E NAVY TACTICAL COMPUTER RESOURCES	130,908	148,908 2,381	+ 18,000		
117	MINE DEVELOPMENT	2,381 6,123	6,123			
120	LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT	9,965	12,965	+ 3,000		
122	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	8,081	8,081			
123	PERSONNEL, TRAINING, SIMULATION, AND HUMAN FACTORS	3,005	3,005			
125	BATTLE GROUP PASSIVE HORIZON EXTENSION SYSTEM	17,981	19,481	+1,500		
126	JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON SYSTEMS	9,531	9,531			
127	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (DETECT & CONTROL)	48,154	68,154	+ 20,000		
128	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL)	51,213	51,213			
129	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW)	28,233	36,233	+ 8,000		
130	MEDICAL DEVELOPMENTNAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM	6,942	32,942	+ 26,000		
131 132	DISTRIBUTED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	28,104 7,776	28,104 13,776	+ 6,000		
133	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)—EMD	2,264,507	2,264,507	1 0,000		
134	SMART CARD	695	695			
135	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	9,301	9,301			
136	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	109,543	106,293	- 3,250		
138	MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT (MMA)	496,029	496,029			
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOP-	8,008,517	7,791,351	-217,166		
	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT:					
140	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	23,866	23,866			
141	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	35,677	35,677			
142	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	39,787	42,787	+ 3,000		
143	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT—NAVY	2,183	2,183			
144	CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES	43,982	43,982			
145	FLEET TACTICAL DEVELOPMENT	2,338	2,338			
147	TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES	696	20,696	+ 20,000		
148	MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL & INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	31,407	31,407			
149 150	STRATEGIC TECHNICAL SUPPORTRDT&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT	3,493	3,493 62,317	- 3.800		
150	RDT&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENTRDT&E INSTRUMENTATION MODERNIZATION	66,117 19,370	62,317 19,370	- 3,800		
152	RDT&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT	81,308	81,308			
153	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT		255,926			
_00		_00,020				

150

	[In thousands of dollars]				
	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate	
154	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY	13,044	13,044		
155	NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) SUP-		,		
	PORT	2,941	2,941		
156	SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT	12,160	12,160		
157	MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT	19,701	27,701	+ 8,000	
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	653,996	681,196	+ 27,200	
	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT:				
161	STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT	108,782	107,782	-1,000	
162	SSBN SECURITY TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	43,408	43,408		
163	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	8,453	8,453		
164	NAVY STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS	31,391	31,391		
165	RAPID TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION (RTT)	14,630	14,630		
166	F/A-18 SQUADRONS	134,580	136,580	+ 2,000	
167	E-2 SQUADRONS	6,055	16,055	+ 10,000	
168 169	FLEET TELECOMMUNICATIONS (TACTICAL)	19,784	21,284	+ 1,500	
109	TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING CENTER (TMPC)	28,776	36,776	+ 8,000	
170	INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	16,965	21,965	+ 5,000	
171	AMPHIBIOUS TACTICAL SUPPORT UNITS	2,604	2,604		
172	CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	21,644	21,644		
173	CRYPTOLOGIC DIRECT SUPPORT	1,460	1,460		
174	ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) READINESS SUPPORT	12,139	12,139		
175	HARM IMPROVEMENT	163.371	163,371		
176	TACTICAL DATA LINKS	18,977	18,977		
177	SURFACE ASW COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	10.612	13,612	+ 3,000	
178	MK-48 ADCAP	21,620	21,620		
179	AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS	62,635	64,635	+ 2,000	
180	NAVY SCIENCE ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	3,821	7,821	+ 4,000	
181	OPERATIONAL NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	64,554	64,554		
182	MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	268,638	267,278	-1,360	
183	Marine corps ground combat/supporting arms Systems	44,828	48,978	+ 4,150	
184	MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT	10,731	10,731		
185	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	4,061	4,061		
186	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM)	9,085	9,085		
190	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS (SPACE)	573,092	473,092	-100,000	
191	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	18,676	30,676	+ 12,000	
193	COBRA JUDY	80,694	93,694	+13,000	
194	NAVY METEOROLOGICAL AND OCEAN SENSORS—SPACE				
	(METOC)	4,215	4,215		
195	JOINT C4ISR BATTLE CENTER (JBC)	43,569	43,569		
196	JOINT MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS	4,746	4,746		
197	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	53,439	83,439	+ 30,000	
198	ENDURANCE UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	113,438	113,438		
199	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	10,191	14,191	+ 4,000	
200	MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	20,203	20,203		
201	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEMS	3,635	6,635	+ 3,000	
203	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS) (JMIP)	24,909	24,909	. 17.500	
204 206	MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORTINDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	7,262	24,762	+ 17,500	
206	MARITIME TECHNOLOGY (MARITECH)	56,565 10,265	58,565 10,265	+ 2,000	
207		10,203	10,203		
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	2,158,503	2,177,293	+ 18,790	
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	1,003,485	1,003,485		
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY	16,346,391	16,748,035	+ 401,644	

151

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	83,508	87,008	+ 3,500
	Nanoparticle Materials Research			+ 1,500
	Neural Engineering Research			+ 1,500
	Nanoscience Research			+ 3,000
	Remote Sensing Research			+1,000
	Multifunctional Materials for Naval Structures			+ 2,500
	University Research Instrumentation Program Growth			- 6,000
2	IN HOUSE Laboratory INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	17,664	20,164	+ 2,500
3	Navy S&T outreach	275 010	200.210	+ 2,500
3	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	375,812	380,312	+ 4,500
	Academy for Closing and Avoiding Achievement Gaps UNOLS Research Vessel			+ 1,000 + 3,500
4	POWER PROJECTION APPLIED RESEARCH	98.831	127.831	+ 29.000
7	Integrated Biological Warfare Technology Platform	30,001	127,001	+7,000
	Silver Fox Unmanned Aerial Vehicle [UAV]			+ 5,000
	Aircraft Carrier Surveillance System			+ 4.000
	Combustion Light Gas Gun			+ 5,000
	Thermal Management Systems for High Density Elec-			
	tronics			+ 8,000
5	FORCE PROTECTION APPLIED RESEARCH	96,269	150,269	+ 54,000
	ADPICAS			+ 1,500
	Structural Reliability of FRP Composites in Ship Assem-			
	blies			+1,000
	Hyperspectral Data Fusion			+ 4,000
	Unmanned Sea Surface Vehicles for Maritime Missions			+ 5,000
	Miniature Autonomous Vehicles [MAVs]			+ 3,000
	Integrated Fuel Processor—Fuel Cell System			+ 3,000
	Corrosion Modeling Software Project—NAVAIR			+ 6,000
	Low Cost, Rapid Prototype/Production Technology for Pol-			
	ymeric Aircraft Components Initiative			+ 4,500
	Small Watercraft Propulsion Demonstrator			+ 3,000
	Mark V Patrol Boat Replacement Craft Prototype			+ 3,000
	PMRF Force Protection Lab			+ 8,000
	Project Endeavor			+ 2,000
	tion			+ 3,000
	Lithium Ion Joint Unmanned Combat Air System Bat-			1 3,000
	tery			+ 3,000
	Theater Support Vessel Hull Material Development			+ 4,000
6	MARINE CORPS LANDING FORCE TECHNOLOGY	35,398	36,898	+ 1,500
-	Advanced Lead Acid Battery Development for Military Ve-	11,111	,	
	hicles			+ 1,500
10	COMMON PICTURE APPLIED RESEARCH	60,134	97,634	+ 37,500
	AIREP			+ 12,500
	Testing, Evaluation and Demonstration of Webster			+ 3,000
	M2C2			+7,000
	NAIF			+ 6,000
	Theater Undersea Warfare Initiative			+ 9,000
11	WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT APPLIED RESEARCH	63,726	112,526	+ 48,800
	Biosensors for Defense Applications			+ 3,000
	Coating and Polymeric Films for Naval Applications			+1,400
	Agile Vaccinology Research			+4,000
	Micro-System Fuze/Safe and Arm Devices			+ 2,000
	Titanium Based Liquidmetal Alloy For Advanced Aero-			
	space Applications			+ 2,000
	Titanium Matrix Composites			+ 1,900
	Rapid Detection and Response Systems for Biodefense			+ 3,000
	Optimizing Adaptive Warrior Performance			+ 3,000
	Advanced Materials and Intelligent Processing Center	ll		+ 3,000

152

Line	Item	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Advanced Fouling and Corrosion Control			+ 8,000
	SensorNet			+ 15,000
	Rapid and Highly Sensitive Detector of Biowarfare Agents			+ 2,500
12	RF SYSTEMS APPLIED RESEARCH	49.151	65.151	+ 16,000
12	Advanced Microwave Ferrite Research for RF Systems	45,151		+ 2,000
	Gallium Nitrate RF Power Technology			+ 4,000
	Pacific Theater Data Fusion Testbed			+ 3,000
	High Brightness Electronics			+ 2,000
10	Maritime Synthetic Range	40.400		+ 5,000
13	OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED RESEARCH Southeast Atlantic Coastal Ocean Observing System	48,482	55,482	+7,000
	[SEACOOS]			+6.000
	Integrated Littoral Sensor Network			+ 1,000
14	UNDERSEA WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH	64,060	84,060	+ 20,000
	Micro Electro Mechanical Systems—Inertial Measure-	·		
	ment Units [MEMS-IMU]			+4,000
	Magnetorestrictive Transduction Research			+ 5,000
	Micro-Detonics for Miniature Weapons			+ 4,000
	Acoustic Glider High Powered Ultrasonics/Ship Waste Treatment			+ 5,000 + 2,000
16	POWER PROJECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	92,359	125,359	+ 33,000
	Advanced Lifting Body Research Program			+ 5,000
	Articulated Stable Ocean Platform			+ 1,000
	Quiet High Speed Propulsion			+6,000
	Free Electron Laser			+ 3,000
	Space Surveillance Technology			+ 5,000
	Advanced Electric Drives High Speed Anti-Radiation Demonstration [HSAD]			+ 3,000 + 10,000
17	FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	82,130	135,480	+ 53,350
1,	Agile Port and High Speed Ship Technology			+ 5,000
	High Temperature Superconductor [HTS] AC Synchronous			,,,,,,,
	Navy Propulsion Motor			+7,000
	Development of Wide Bandgap Semiconductor Mater-			
	ials			+ 6,000
	High Performance Sandwich Panel Construction High Speed Permanent Magnet Generator			+ 4,000 + 10,000
	Large Unmanned Undersea Vehicle [LUUV] Test Bed			+ 2,500
	Copper-Ceramic Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Technology			+ 1,000
	Composite Twisted Rudder			+ 1,000
	Braided Reduced Recoil Rope for Hand and Mooring			
	Lines			+ 1,500
	High-Speed Power Node Switching Center			+ 2,000 + 2,000
	Electromagnetic Propulsion Cost Reduction Wave Power Demonstration Project			+ 4,000 + 4,000
	Varicraft			+ 7,350
18	COMMON PICTURE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	79,521	83,521	+ 4,000
	Consolidated Undersea Situational Awareness System			+ 4,000
19	WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	61,103	91,103	+ 30,000
	Ultrasonic Consolidation of Matrix Composites			+ 1,000
	Automatic Container and Cargo Handling System			+ 4,000 + 2,000
	Defense Systems Modernization and Sustainment Initia-			+ 2,000
	tive			+ 5,000
	Expeditionary Logistics for the 21st Century [EXLOG21]			+ 6,000
	Integrated Vehicle Health Management System			+ 3,000
	HEET			+ 5,000
	Vertical Lift Aircraft Repair and Maintenance Technol-			. 4.000
20	Ogy	44.046	62 046	+ 4,000
Z U	RF SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	44,046	63,046	+ 19,000 + 5,000
	Common Affordable Radar Processor			+ 9,000
	Photonics Prototyping Facility			+ 5,000
21	USMC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION		79,222	+ 21,000

153

Line	Item	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Expeditionary Warfare Water Purification Study to Identify and Evaluate Alternative Fixed-Wing			+ 15,000
	Lift Platforms			+ 1,000
	Excaliber Unmanned Tactical Combat Vehicle Project Albert			+ 1,000 + 4,000
24	WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	16,719	29,319	+ 12,600
	Battlefield Pharmaceutical Test			+ 1,000
	Anti-Oxidant Micronutrients Program			+ 600
	Hemostatic Research			+1,000
	Individual Water Purification			+ 3,000
	Tissue and Limb Transplantation Medical Technology Development			+ 5,000
	Blood and Fluid Infusion/Transfusion Technology			+ 2,000
25	UNDERSEA WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	26,515	33,015	+ 6,500
	Hawaii Undersea Vehicle Test and Training Environ-	.,.	,.	,,,,,
	ment			+ 3,000
	Sea Test for Towed Acoustic Arrays			+ 2,000
31	SAUVIM	10.920	21 020	+ 1,500 + 21,000
31	AVIATION SURVIVABILITYIntelligent Control System for SWARM	10,820	31,820	+ 4,500
	Silver Fox Unmanned Aerial Vehicle [UAV]			+ 5,000
	Rotorcraft External Airbag Protection System			+ 5,500
	Integrated Manifold and Tube Ceramic Oxygen Genera-			
	tor			+ 6,000
33	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	4,541	15,541	+ 11,000
	LASH ASW, EPAS, and IRClaymore Marine			+ 5,000 + 6.000
36	SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEASURES	103,308	94,841	- 8,467
••	Surface Navy Integrated Undersea Tactical Technology—	100,000	0.,0.12	0,101
	Mine Warfare			+ 3,000
	Long-Term Mine Reconnaissance System Program Re-			
	structure			- 11,467
37	SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE	46,896	50,896	+ 4,000
38	Anti Torpedo TorpedoCARRIER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	157,479	162,479	+ 4,000 + 5,000
50	Aviation Ship Integration Center		102,473	+ 5,000
39	SHIPBOARD SYSTEM COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT	18,993	41,993	+ 23,000
	Amorphous Metal Permanent Magnet Generator Set			+ 3,000
	Carbon Foam			+ 5,000
	DDX Ship System Power Electronics Technologies			+ 2,000
	Galley Food Waste Disposal System MTTC/IPI and NSTC Shipboard System Component Devel-			+ 1,000
	opment			+ 8,000
	Shipboard Wireless Maintenance Assistant [SWMA]			+ 4,000
44	SURFACE ASW	17,633	21,433	+ 3,800
	Improved Surface Vessel Torpedo Launcher			+ 2,800
	Surface Ship Combat System Warfighting Enhance-			. 1 000
46	ments ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	81,160	93,160	+ 1,000 + 12,000
40	Advanced Composite Structures Program	01,100		+ 2,000
	Submarine Payload and Sensors Program			+ 10,000
48	SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN	3,723	21,723	+ 18,000
	SEALION Cascading Vehicles			+ 13,000
- 4	Integrated Condition Assessment System [ICAS]		110.540	+ 5,000
54	COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATIONTransportable Laser Induced Plasma Channel [LIPC]	80,840	112,540	+ 31,700
	Demonstration System			+ 18,000
	MDETEC			+ 2,000
	Context-adaptable Autonomous and Remote Unmanned			. 2,300
	Sys. Operation			+ 5,000
	Trouble Reporting Information Data Warehouse			+ 2,000
	Unavaleded Ordnance Detection Airborne Cround Pone			
	Unexploded Ordnance Detection Airborne Ground Pene- trating Radar [GPR]		l	+4,700

154 [In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	FLIR Thermal Imager			+ 6,000
го	Regenerative Filtration Technology for EFV	00.440	20.140	+ 7,000
58	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM	22,440	39,140	+ 16,700 + 3,400
	Clearing Facilities with Novel Technology Anti Armor Weapon System—Heavy [AAWS-H]			+ 3,400 + 4,000
	Urban Operations Environmental Laboratory			+ 6,400
	Urban Operations Nonlethal and Scalable Weaponiza-			
62	tion ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	24,641	27.641	+ 2,900
02	Integrated Marine Mammal Monitoring and Protection	, i	27,641	+ 3,000 + 3,000
63	SystemNAVY ENERGY PROGRAM	1,494	9,494	+ 3,000 + 8,000
03	Molten Carbonate Fuel Cell	1,434	3,434	+ 5,000
	Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell Trial			+ 3,000
64	FACILITIES IMPROVEMENTS	1,621	7,621	+ 6,000
01	Playas Instrumentation Network Design & Development		,,021	+ 6,000
74	LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY	82.049	73,386	- 8,663
	Naval Fires Network Transfer to Line 201 (DCGS)			- 8,663
79	COUNTERDRUG RDT&E PROJECTS		3,000	+ 3,000
	Research of Frequency Selective Surfaces and Thermal Signatures—INEEL			+ 3,000
80	TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES			. 0,000
•	[TADIRCM]		7,000	+ 7,000
	Early Operational Assessment			+7,000
89	P-3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	9,554	10,554	+ 1,000
	Personal Digital Assistant Maintenance Application	1,111	,	,
	Project			+1,000
93	H-1 UPGRADES	90,389	132,389	+ 42,000
	H-1 Turned Exhaust			+ 42,000
95	V-22A	304,164	297,164	-7,000
	V-22 Environmental Control System Upgrade			+8,000
	CV-22 Flight Test Program Delay			-15,000
96	AIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	8,838	12,838	+4,000
	Joint Helmet Mounted Cueing System—Quad-Eye			+4,000
98	Electronic Warfare Development	48,956	51,456	+2,500
	IR Signature Reduction to Mitigate Terrorist Missile			
	Threat			+ 2,500
99	VHXX EXECUTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT	777,398	557,398	- 220,000
101	VHXX Executive Helo Program Execution	1 421 505	1.010.400	- 220,000
101	SC-21 TOTAL SHIP SYSTEM ENGINEERING	1,431,585	1,210,469	- 221,116
106	Transfer to SCNSTANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS	99,022	112,022	- 221,116 + 13,000
100	Advanced Missile Data Link	39,022		+ 5,000
	Standard Missile Insensitive Munitions Improvements			+ 5,000
	Mk 41 Vertical Launch System Open Architecture Up-			1 3,000
	grades			+ 3,000
108	SSN-688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION	75,359	84,959	+ 9,600
	Littoral Array	, 0,000		+ 4,600
	Littoral TB-23 Towed Array			+ 5,000
109	AIR CONTROL	13.102	20,102	+ 7,000
	Transportable Transponder Landing System		,	+ 7,000
111	SHIPBOARD AVIATION SYSTEMS	28,631	30,131	+ 1,500
	Synthetic Material Arresting Cable			+ 1,500
113	NEW DESIGN SSN	143,270	208,370	+ 65,100
	Submarine COTS Web Enabled Service Toolkit			+ 5,000
	Submarine Information Assurance			+2,000
	Common Submarine Radio Room			+13,100
	Multi-Mission Module for Virginia Class			+40,000
	Large Aperture Bow Array			+ 5,000
115	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM	43,404	49,404	+6,000
	Submarine Warfare System Strike Weapon Status Con-			
116	trolSHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/LIVE FIRE T&E	130,908	148,908	+ 6,000 + 18,000

155

	[In thousands of dolla	irs]		
Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
120	LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENTMk 54 Torpedo	9,965	12,965	+ 3,000 + 3,000
125	BATTLE GROUP PASSIVE HORIZON EXTENTION SYSTEM	17,981	19,481	+ 1,500
127	Anti-Terrorism Technology Surveillance System SHIP SELF DEFENSE (DETECT & CONTROL)	48,154	68,154	+ 1,500 + 20,000
	[IROS3]Autonomous Unmanned Surface Vessel [AUSV]			+ 12,000 + 3,000
100	Directed Energy User Scrutiny Equipment [DEUSE]			+ 5,000
129	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW) Shipboard Leveraged Electronic Warfare System [SLEWS]	28,233	36,233	+ 8,000 + 5,000
	NULKA			+ 3,000
130	MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT	6,942	32,942	+ 26,000
	[MISTI]			+ 3,000 + 4,000
	Oxygen Carrier			+ 10,000 + 3,000
	Coastal Cancer Prevention			+ 5,000
	Rare Blood Program			+1,000
132	DISTRIBUTED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM Centurion	7,776	13,776	+ 6,000 + 6,000
133	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER [JSF]	2,264,507	2,264,507	[15,000]
136	Joint Strike Fighter STOVL Lift FanINFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	109,543	106,293	- 3,250
	Digital Access of NCIS Records			+1,750
	Enterprise Resource Planning [ERP]SPAWAR Information Technology Center			- 20,000 + 10,000
	Virtual Perimeter Monitoring System			+ 5,000
142	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	39,787	42,787	+ 3,000 + 3,000
147	TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES	696	20,696	+ 20,000
	Pacific Based Joint Information Technology Center HTDV			+ 9,000 + 5,000
	Integrated Manufacturing Enterprise			+ 6,000
150	RDT&E Science and Technology Management	66,117	62,317	-3,800
157	Unjustified Growth	19,701	27,701	$-3,800 \\ +8,000$
	Field Rapid Assay Biological System			+ 5,000
161	Chemical Warfare Agent Detector Badge	108,782	107,782	+ 3,000 - 1,000
	Thin Plate Pure Lead Technology in Submarine Batteries			+7,000
	Advanced Conventional Strike Capability Demonstra-			+ 15,000
	Strategic Propulsion Applications Program Growth			-18,000
100	Radiation Hardened Applications Program Growth	124 500	120 500	- 5,000
166	F/A-18 SQUADRONS	134,580	136,580	+ 2,000 + 2,000
167	E-2 SQUADRONSAirborne Data Terminal Set [DTS] With Embedded	6,055	16,055	+ 10,000
	Encryption Network Centric Warfare Testbed			+ 4,000 + 6,000
168	FLEET TELECOMMUNICATIONS (TACTICAL)	19,784	21,284	+1,500
169	Floating Area Network			+ 1,500
100	[TMPC]	28,776	36,776	+ 8,000
170	Precision Terrain Aided Navigation INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	16,965	21,965	+ 8,000 + 5,000
	Fiber Optic Fixed Surveillance System			+ 5,000
177	SURFACE ASW COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	10,612	13,612	+3,000

156

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
179	Marine Mammal Detection and Mitigation	62,635	64,635	+ 3,000 + 2,000
180	Corrosion Inhibiting Coatings NAVY SCIENCE ASSISTANCE PROGRAM LASH MCM/ISR	3,821	7,821	+ 2,000 + 4,000 + 4,000
182	MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS Critical Infrastructure Protection Center	268,638	267,278	- 1,360 + 3,000
	Coastal Battlefield Reconnaissance and Analysis Improved Ground Based Transportable Radar			- 10,360 + 3,000
183	Advanced Ferrite Antenna [AFA]	44.000		+ 3,000
	TEMS	44,828	.,	+ 4,150 + 3,000 + 1,150
190	Satellite Communications (SPACE) MUOS Execution	573,092	473,092	- 100,000 - 100.000
191	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAMSECUREnet	18,676	30,676	+ 12,000 + 7,000
193	COBRA JUDY	80,694	93,694	+ 5,000 + 13,000
197	Cobra Judy Replacement	53,439	83,439	+ 13,000 + 30,000 + 6,000
199	Advanced Airship Flying Laboratory Fire Scout RQ-8BAIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	10,191	14,191	+ 24,000 + 24,000 + 4,000
201	Modular Upgrades to Airborne Reconnaissance Sensors Distributed Common Ground/Surface Systems	3,635		+ 4,000 + 3,000
	Distributed Common Ground System Tranfer from Line			+ 3,000
204	MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORTGlobal Engineering Methodology Initiative for Naval Inte-	7,262	24,762	+ 17,500
	gration and Interoperability			+ 4,000
	JWARSModeling and Simulation to Support C4ISR Develop- ment			+ 5,500 + 8,000
206	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	56,565	58,565	+ 2,000 + 2,000 + 2,000

PMRF Force Protection Lab.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$8,000,000 for the PMRF force protection lab. The lab will serve as an incubator for force protection and base security technologies and will examine such technologies as active access technologies, rapid response command information systems, common situation displays, ports and cargo secure operations, maritime security and force protection, and advanced communications terminals

Acoustic Technology Program.—The Committee recommends \$5,000,000 for the development of a multi-mission unmanned undersea glider prototype coupled with ISR sensors to demonstrate denied area surveillance through the Acoustic Technology Program.

MTTC/IPI.—The Committee notes MTTC/IPPI's significant contribution to increasing cost savings for the Navy through innovative product development, and encourages the Navy to include funding for this program as part of its annual budget request.

funding for this program as part of its annual budget request.

Littoral Combat Ship.—The Committee supports the budget request for the Littoral Combat Ship [LCS] and consents to the Navy's request to fund construction of the first prototype ship for each of two ship designs in the Research and Development, Navy

account. Approval for funding LCS in the research and development account is strictly based on the acknowledgement of the prototypical nature and high level of technical risk inherent in this program. The Committee finds LCS to be unique and unlike any other shipbuilding program the Navy has previously pursued; and therefore, grants the Navy's request for the increased flexibility that funding within the research and development account affords. However, the Committee directs that all follow-on ships beyond one prototype for each LCS ship design be fully funded in the Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy account. The Committee also believes that substantial testing of the LCS and the associated mission modules is required to evaluate each ship design and validate operational requirements. Therefore, the Committee directs that no funds shall be obligated to prepare a fiscal year 2006 budget request for construction of the second ship of either prototype design. This directive is intended to provide for a "gap" year between the construction of the first prototype ship and second ship of each design, thereby ensuring that design problems discovered during the construction of each ship design are identified and fixed before construction of the follow-on ships. In addition, the consent to build the LCS prototype ships with research and development funding should in no way be interpreted as approval for other ship construction programs to be funded within the Research and Development, Navy account.

The Committee is also concerned that the development of various LCS mission modules, which will be procured independently from the vessel, will obscure the actual cost of the weapon system. Therefore, the Committee directs the Navy to identify LCS mission module funding separately within the Research and Development,

Navy and Other Procurement, Navy accounts.

MDETEC.—The Committee directs the Department of the Navy to conduct a study on the Navy's directed energy and electric weapon requirements for both offensive and defensive uses of such weapons. The study shall examine future Naval force capability gaps, the potential requirements for, employment and testing of directed energy and electric weapons to fill those gaps. The study shall include examination of high-energy laser, high power microwave, particle beam, electronic attack, electromagnetic launch and rail gun technologies. The report shall be delivered not later than June 1, 2005.

DD(X) Destroyer Program.—The Committee supports the budget request for the DD(X) Destroyer program but believes that construction of the ship should be funded within the Shipbuilding and Conversion account in a manner consistent with prior shipbuilding programs. Therefore, the Committee transfers \$221,116,000 of Research and Development funding to the Shipbuilding and Conversion account and directs the Navy to fund future ship construction within the Shipbuilding and Conversion account.

Joint Strike Fighter STOVL Lift Fan.—The Committee directs that in addition to funds currently budget for STOVL Lift Fan technologies, not less than \$15,000,000 of the funds provided for the Joint Strike Fighter program shall be for STOVL Lift Fan

thrust increase studies.

Fire Scout Unmanned Aerial Vehicle.—The Committee has included an additional \$24,000,000 for the Fire Scout unmanned aerial vehicle program. The Committee directs that these funds shall be used for procurement of one additional Fire Scout system consisting of three Fire Scout air vehicles, three Electro-Optical-Infrared/Laser Designator/Laser Rangefinder payloads and embedded radios, one Ground Control Station, and one ship set of automatic

landing equipment.

CCDoTT.—The Committee recognizes that Office of Naval Research sponsored university research into agile port technology and supply networks and sense and respond logistics, holds significant promise in improving strategic mobility, rapid deployment and sustainment, and force protection in support of expeditionary warfare mission objectives. The Committee encourages the Joint Forces Command to support those efforts and participate with the Center for the Commercial Deployment of Transportation Technology and the local logistics airport in conducting joint experiments, desktop and field exercises such as Sea Viking 06 and 08 to demonstrate

and rapidly deploy those advanced concepts of operations.

Emitter Location Technologies.—The Committee is concerned that the Department of Defense has not captured the cost benefits offered by current emitter location technology. For example, the Navy and Air Force continue to pursue dedicated weapon systems to perform the Suppression of Enemy Air Defense [SEAD] and the Destruction of Enemy Air Defense [DEAD] mission areas rather than use new emitter location technology to accurately geolocate targets for current strike systems to suppress or destroy. Emitter location technologies will allow multiple missions to be performed by existing strike weapons systems thereby saving costs and time. The Committee directs the Navy to evaluate the cost benefits offered by the adaptation of current emitter location technology to justify the continued expenditures on new missile systems dedicated to the SEAD and DEAD mission areas. This evaluation should identify the cost and risks associated with upgrading current and planned emitter location technology versus the cost and benefits of using dedicated missile systems.

Western Security Complex.—The Committee understands that extensive informal efforts have been made by the naval facility at China Lake, California, the United States Joint Forces Command, and a number of other facilities to establish cooperative operations among more than 100 bases, laboratories, and government-sponsored research facilities in the western United States. The Committee recognizes the potential value of formalizing these efforts and supports a feasibility study of the Western Security Complex, which would link together and explore cooperative ventures to leverage resources in support of the Global War on Terrorism. The study is to be submitted to congressional defense committees before

the fiscal year 2006 budget submission.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2004	\$20,500,984,000
Budget estimate, 2005	21,114,667,000
Committee recommendation	21,002,308,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$21,002,308,000. This is \$112,359,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

	[III LIIOUSANIUS OI UVIIAIS]					
	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate		
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AF					
	BASIC RESEARCH:					
1	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	217,304	233,304	+ 16,000		
2	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	115,865	134,565	+ 18,700		
3	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH INITIATIVES	12,331	12,331			
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH	345,500	380,200	+ 34,700		
	APPLIED RESEARCH:	0.0,000	555,255			
4	MATERIALS	73,660	105,560	+ 31,900		
5	AEROSPACE VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES	74,679	74,679			
6	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH	71,483	81,283	+ 9,800		
7	AEROSPACE PROPULSION	92,650	106,650	+ 14,000		
8	AEROSPACE SENSORS	78,804	92,804	+ 14,000		
9	MULTI-DISCIPLINARY SPACE TECHNOLOGY	84,581	84,581			
10	SPACE TECHNOLOGY	88,909	111,484	+ 22,575		
11	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	52,251	52,251			
12	DIRECTED ENERGY TECHNOLOGY	36,532	36,532			
13 14	COMMAND CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS DUAL USE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	82,147	84,147	+ 2,000		
15	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH	5,151 45,333	5,151 45,333			
13	HIGH ENERGY FASEN NESEANOH	45,555	45,555			
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH	786,180	880,455	+ 94,275		
	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT:					
16	ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS	34,284	57,784	+ 23,500		
17	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS	30,634	30,634			
19	AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY DEV/DEMO	29,145	35,145	+ 6,000		
20	AEROSPACE PROPULSION AND POWER TECHNOLOGY	79,914	84,914	+ 5,000		
21	CREW SYSTEMS AND PERSONNEL PROTECTION TECH-	22.704	22 704	. 1 000		
22	NOLOGY ELECTRONIC COMBAT TECHNOLOGY	32,794 28.282	33,794 41.282	+ 1,000 + 13.000		
23	BALLISTIC MISSILE TECHNOLOGY	20,202	2,000	+ 13,000		
25	ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY	60.124	101,614	+41,490		
26	MAUI SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM [MSSS]	6,306	50,306	+ 44,000		
27	MULTI-DISCIPLINARY ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT SPACE	0,000	00,000			
	TECHNOLO	51,114	61,114	+10,000		
28	CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	22,398	22,398			
29	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	31,103	47,103	+16,000		
31	C3I ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	28,524	32,524	+ 4,000		
32	SPECIAL PROGRAMS	320,503	320,503			
33	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE	2,294	2,294			
34	HIGH ENERGY LASER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY PRO-	0 5 4 7	0 5 4 7			
35	GRAMADVANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	8,547 12,051	8,547 12,051			
36	AMC COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	6,038	6,038			
37	JOINT NATIONAL TRAINING CENTER	2,939	2,939			
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	786,994	952,984	+ 165,990		
	·	700,334	332,304	T 103,330		
38	DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION:	4 610	A C10			
38 39	INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	4,612	4,612			
39 40	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENTNAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM III	22,640 40,568	22,640 40,568			
41	ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE)					
41	NETATIOLE LIN INICOMITOCHI (OLNOC)	012,043	012,043			

160

	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
42	POLAR MILSATCOM (SPACE)	960	960	
44				
	SPACE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY	15,046	15,046	
45	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY	19,582	19,582	
46	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	3,930	3,930	
47	INTERNATIONAL SPACE COOPERATIVE R&D	552	552	
48	ADVANCED WIDEBAND SYSTEM [AWS] TRANSFORMATIONAL			
	SATCOM	774,836	374,836	- 400,000
49	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE (DEM/VAL)	23,927	23,927	
50	INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE (DEM/VAL)	72,503	70,503	-2,000
51	WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SYSTEM RDT&E (SPACE)	73,499	73,499	
53	SPACE-BASED RADAR (DEM/VAL)	327,732	227,732	- 100,000
54	POLLUTION PREVENTION (DEM/VAL)	2,692	4,192	+ 1,500
55	JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS	2,032	4,132	1 1,500
55		10 205	10 205	
	(DEM/VAL)	18,385	18,385	
57	HARD AND DEEPLY BURIED TARGET DEFEAT SYSTEM			
	[HDBTDS]	6,383	6,383	
59	OPERATIONALLY RESPONSIVE LAUNCH	35,362	5,000	- 30,362
60	COMMON AERO VEHICLE [CAV]	21,610		-21,610
61	NATIONAL POLAR-ORBITING OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL			,
	SATE	307,668	307,668	
	TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION	2,384,536	1,832,064	- 552,472
	ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT:			
62	GLOBAL BROADCAST SERVICE [GBS]	33,447	33,447	
63	JOINT HELMET MOUNTED CUEING SYSTEM [JHMCS]	2,867	2.867	
64			,	
	NUCLEAR WEAPONS SUPPORT	13,301	13,301	l
65	B-1B	59,462	89,462	+ 30,000
66	SPECIALIZED UNDERGRADUATE FLIGHT TRAINING	3,359	3,359	
67	F–22—EMD	210,000	210,000	
68	B-2 ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY BOMBER	245,049	245,049	
69	EW DEVELOPMENT	138,393	157,393	+ 19,000
70	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO	49.856	49,856	
71	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	9,744	9,744	
72	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB) EMD	76,489	76,489	
73		75,863	27,863	- 48,000
	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS	,	,	
75	SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM [SBIRS] HIGH EMD	508,448	508,448	
76	MILSTAR LDR/MDR SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS			
	(SPACE)	1,380	1,380	
77	MUNITIONS DISPENSER DEVELOPMENT	28,048	28,048	
78	ARMAMENT/ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	8,353	8,353	
79	SUBMUNITIONS	4,824	4,824	
80	AGILE COMBAT SUPPORT	10,053	20,053	+ 10,000
82	LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS		6,630	
		6,630		
84	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES	18,714	22,714	+ 4,000
85	INTEGRATED COMMAND & CONTROL APPLICATIONS	050	10.750	10.500
	[IC2A]	258	18,758	+ 18,500
86	INTELLIGENCE EQUIPMENT	1,349	1,349	
88	COMMON LOW OBSERVABLES VERIFICATION SYSTEM [CLO-			
	VERS]	10,303	10,303	
89	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER [JSF]—EMD	2,307,420	2,309,920	+ 2,500
90	INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE—EMD	91,687	91,687	
91	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PROGRAM	31,007	31,007	
31	(SPACE)	27.000	27 000	
00		27,000	27,000	
92	RDT&E FOR AGING AIRCRAFT	15,665	35,665	+ 20,000
94	UNMANNED COMBAT AIR VEHICLE JOINT PROGRAM			
	OFFICE	2,911	2,911	
95	LINK-16 SUPPORT AND SUSTAINMENT	141,012	141,012	
96	FAMILY OF INTEROPERABLE OPERATIONAL PICTURES			
	[FIOP]	44,947	44,947	
97	MULTI-SENSOR C2 AIRCRAFT [MC2A]	538,860	498,860	- 40,000
	FILL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING			
98 100	FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAININGCV-22	5,894 16,439	12,894 16,439	+ 7,000

161

[In thousands of dollars]					
	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate	
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOP-				
	MENT	4,708,025	4,731,025	+ 23,000	
	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT:				
101	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	34,517	34,517		
102	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	58,933	58,933		
103 104	RAND PROJECT AIR FORCERANCH HAND II EPIDEMIOLOGY STUDY	24,970	24,970		
104	INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION	4,813 28,839	4,813 28,839		
107	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	356,266	361,266	+ 5,000	
108	ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE)	7,984	22,984	+ 15,000	
109	SPACE TEST PROGRAM [STP]	44,521	44,521		
110	FACILITIES RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION—TEST &	E0 U3C	50 026		
111	EVAL FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT—TEST AND EVALUATION SUP-	58,936	58,936		
111	PORT	23,067	23,067		
112	GENERAL SKILL TRAINING	323	323		
114	JUDGMENT FUND REIMBURSEMENT	100,000	100,000		
115	INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES	3,945	3,945		
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	747,114	767,114	+ 20,000	
	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT:	,	,	,,,,,	
116	ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY EXECUTIVE AGENCY	7,858	7,858		
117	B-52 SQUADRONS	25,766	25,766		
118	ADVANCED CRUISE MISSILE	7,740	7,740		
119	AIR-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE [ALCM]	11,837	11,837		
120 121	STRAT WAR PLANNING SYSTEM—USSTRATCOM	23,391	18,391 4,987	- 5,000	
121	NIGHT FIST—USSTRATCOMADVANCED STRATEGIC PROGRAMS	4,987 8,393	8,393		
123	REGION/SECTOR OPERATION CONTROL CENTER MOD-	0,000	0,000		
104	ERNIZATION	19,047	19,047		
124	WARFIGHTER RAPID ACQUISITION PROCESS [WRAP] RAPID TRAN	24,935	24,935		
126	A-10 SQUADRONS	22,590	39,590	+ 17,000	
127	F-16 SQUADRONS	99,606	102,606	+3,000	
128	F-15E SQUADRONS	115,246	125,246	+10,000	
129	MANNED DESTRUCTIVE SUPPRESSION	16,976	16,976		
130 131	F-22 SQUADRONS F-117A SQUADRONS	354,528 29,661	354,528 29,661		
132	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	5,558	5,558		
133	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE	0,000	0,000		
	[AMRAAM]	33,266	33,266		
134	COMBAT RESCUE AND RECOVERY	12,342	12,342		
135	AF TENCAP	10,673	15,673	+ 5,000	
136 137	SPECIAL EVALUATION PROGRAMCOMPASS CALL	199,040 3,990	199,040 3,990		
138	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PRO-	3,330	3,330		
	GRAM	165,609	165,609		
139	CSAF INNOVATION PROGRAM	1,879	1,879		
140	JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE [JASSM]	45,777	45,777		
141 142	AEROSPACE OPERATIONS CENTER [AOC] CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER [CRC]	27,695 11,634	27,695 11,634		
143	AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM	11,034	11,054		
	[AWACS]	288,787	288,787		
144	ADVANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	20,066	20,066		
146	ADVANCED PROGRAM TECHNOLOGY	249,391	249,391		
147 148	THEATER BATTLE MANAGEMENT [TBM] C4I	37,210 50,976	37,210 50,976		
149	BOMBER TACTICAL DATA LINK	120,256	120,256		
150	C2ISR TACTICAL DATA LINK	25,441	25,441		
151	MC2C (MULTI-SENSOR COMMAND AND CONTROL CON-				
150	STELLATION)	44,035	44,035		
152	JOINT SURVEILLANCE AND TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYS- TEM	89,247	89,247		
		. 00,277	00,217		

162

SEEK EAGLE		[In thousands of dollars]					
ADVANCED PROGRAM YALUATION		ltem	2005 budget estimate		Change from budget estimate		
ADVANCED PROGRAM YALUATION	153	SFFK FAGIF	23 159	23 159			
155							
156							
157 MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS 136,701 1			.,				
1518 NIFORMATION WARFARE SUPPORT 7,230 7,230 3,000 1616 1616 E4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER INACC 11,172 11,173 1	157						
11.172	158	INFORMATION WARFARE SUPPORT		7,230			
MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NET-WORK	161	NAIC		3,000	+ 3,000		
INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM 79,625 87,625 +8,000 170		MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NET-	,				
170 GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM 3,611 6,111 +2,500 171	100			,			
Clobal Command and Control System							
MILSATCOM TERMINALS			,	,			
176			,				
178							
179							
AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, AND LANDING SYSTEM IATC IATC			'	,			
AERIAL TARGETS SCURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES AIR FORCE TACTICAL MEASUREMENT AND SIGNATURE INTELLIGE T.905 9,905 +2,000	-		16,526	17,526	+ 1,000		
REAL SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES		[ATC	7,371	12,371	+ 5,000		
AIR FORCE TACTICAL MEASUREMENT AND SIGNATURE INTELLIGE NITELLIGE SECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT ACTIVITIES (SPACE) 219,345 219,345 219,345 219,345 340,000 3	180	AERIAL TARGETS	5,178	5,178			
INTELLIGE	181	SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES	484	484			
SPACE Company Compan	183	INTELLIGE	7,905	9,905	+ 2,000		
MENT NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE AND CONTROL) 148,344 148,344 148,344 189 SPACE WARFARE CENTER 411 4		(SPACE)	219,345	219,345			
TROL		MENT)	104,114	104,114			
190	18/		148,344	148,344			
Personnel Security Investigations Program—Air Force	189	SPACE WARFARE CENTER	411	411			
FORCE INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS IL097 IL0			47,253	47,253			
The color of the		FORCE	118,787	118,787			
195		[10]	,				
MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS 13,283 17,783 + 4,500 197			,				
DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEMS 21,232 21,290 21,2907 21,2907 21,242 21,2907 21,242 21,2907 21,242 21,2907 21,242 21,2907 21,242 21,2907 21,242 21,2907 21,242 21,2907 21,242 21,2907 21,242 21,2907 21,242 21,2907 21,242 21,2907 21,242 21,2907 21,242 21,2907 21,242 21,2907 21,242 21,2907 21,29			,				
PREDATOR UAV (IMIP)			,		· '		
199 GLOBAL HAWK UAV (JMIP) 336,159 336,159 200 INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION WARFARE 963 963 963 201 NCMC—TW/AA SYSTEM 64,822 64,822 202 SPACETRACK (SPACE) 161,838 168,538 +6,700 204 NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM (SPACE) 35,398 35,398 35,398 205 SPACE ARCHITECT 12,907 12,907 207 SHARED EARLY WARNING [SEW] 3,345 3,345 208 C-130 AIRLIFT SQUADRON 150,242 152,242 +2,000 209 C-5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS 332,982 332,982 210 C-17 AIRCRAFT 199,692 201,692 +2,000 211 C-130J PROGRAM 36,305 36,305 212 LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES [LAIRCM] 73,684 73,684 73,684 213 KC-135S 1,079 1,079 1,079 214 KC-10S 18,452 -18,452 215 SPECIAL TACTICS/COMBAT CONTROL 1,067 1,067 216 DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF) 1,431 1,431 217 ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT 1,596 1,596 1,596 223 OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES 38,012 44,012 +6,000 221 SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT 50,238 64,238 +14,000 225 CIVILLAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM 7,272 7,272 226 FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPED.							
INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION WARFARE 963			,				
NCMC—TW/AA SYSTEM				,			
SPACETRACK (SPACE)							
NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM (SPACE) 35,398 35,398 205 SPACE ARCHITECT 12,907 12,907 207 SHARED EARLY WARNING [SEW] 3,345 3,345 3,345 208 C-130 AIRLIFT SQUADRON 150,242 152,242 +2,000 209 C-5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS 332,982 332,982 210 C-17 AIRCRAFT 199,692 201,692 +2,000 211 C-130J PROGRAM 36,305 36,305 212 LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES [LAIRCM] 73,684 73,684 73,684 213 KC-135S 1,079 1,079 1,079 214 KC-10S 18,452 -18,452 -18,452 215 SPECIAL TACTICS/COMBAT CONTROL 1,067 1,067 216 DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF) 1,431 1,431 217 ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT 1,596 1,596 1,596 218 INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS 38,012 44,012 +6,000 221 SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT 50,238 64,238 +14,000 225 CIVILLAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM 7,272 7,272 226 FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOP							
205 SPACE ARCHITECT 12,907 12,907 207 207 207 208			,				
SHARED EARLY WARNING [SEW] 3,345 3,345 150,242 152,242 +2,000 150,242 152,242 +2,000 209 C-5 AIRLIFT SQUADRON 332,982 332,982 210 C-17 AIRCRAFT 199,692 201,692 +2,000 211 C-130J PROGRAM 36,305 36,305 36,305 212 LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES [LAIRCM] 73,684 73,684 73,684 213 KC-135S 1,079 1,079 1,079 214 KC-10S 18,452 -18,452 -18,452 215 SPECIAL TACTICS/COMBAT CONTROL 1,067 1,067 1,067 216 DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF) 1,431 1,431 1,431 217 ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT 1,596 1,596 1,596 218 INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS 38,012 44,012 +6,000 221 SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT 50,238 64,238 +14,000 223 OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES 110 110 225 CIVILLAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM 7,272 7,272 226 FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVEL-							
C-130 AIRLIFT SQUADRON 150,242 152,242 +2,000			,		l		
C-5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS 332,982 332,982 210 C-17 AIRCRAFT 199,692 201,692 +2,000 211 C-130J PROGRAM 36,305 36,305 212 LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES [LAIRCM] 73,684 73,684 73,684 213 KC-135S 1,079 1,079 1,079 214 KC-10S 18,452 -18,452 -18,452 215 SPECIAL TACTICS/COMBAT CONTROL 1,067 1,067 216 DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF) 1,431 1,431 1,431 217 ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT 1,596 1,596 1,596 218 INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS 38,012 44,012 +6,000 221 SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT 50,238 64,238 +14,000 223 OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES 110 110 225 CIVILLAIN COMPENSATION PROGRAM 7,272 7,272 226 FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVEL-							
C-17 AIRCRAFT				,	· '		
C-130J PROGRAM 36,305 36,305			,				
212 LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES [LAIRCM] 73,684 73,684 1,079							
Color			,	,			
18,452							
215 SPECIAL TACTICS/COMBAT CONTROL 1,067 1,067 1,067 1,067 1,067 1,067 1,067 1,431 1,596					10 /152		
DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)							
217 ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT 1,596 1							
218 INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS 38,012 44,012 +6,000 221 SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT 50,238 64,238 +14,000 223 OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES 110 110 225 CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM 7,272 7,272 7,272 226 FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVEL-							
221 SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT 50,238 64,238 + 14,000 223 OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES 110 110							
223 OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES							
225 CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM				. ,	· '		
	225	CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM					
	226		15,732	15,732			

163

	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
999	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	5,805,039	5,887,687	+ 82,648
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	5,551,279	5,570,779	+ 19,500
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AF	21,114,667	21,002,308	- 112,359

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommen- dation	Change from budget estimate
1	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	217,304	233,304	+ 16,000
	Human performance			-3,000
	External research programs interface			-2,000
	Nanomaterials Research, Nanomanufacturing for Military Appli-			
	cations			+ 3,000
	Corrosion Protection of Aluminum Alloys Used in Aircraft			+ 2,500
	Read out Integrated Circuit manufacturing Improvement			+ 5,000
	Network, Information and Space Security			+ 5,000
	Quantum Gate			+ 1,000
	Chabot Space and Science Center			+ 2,000
	Non-lethal Stunning/Immobilizing weapons			+ 500
	National Hypersonic research Center			+ 2,000
2	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	115,865	134,565	+ 18,700
	21st Century information Operations Workforce			+ 1,500
	Griffith Observatory programming			+ 1,000
	Graduate fellowships			- 5,000
	Information Security Solutions			+ 3,200
	Strategic Partnership for research in Nanotechnology			+ 15,000
	Agile Response Chameleon Coatings		105 500	+ 3,000
4	MATERIALS	73,660	105,560	+ 31,900
	Titanium Matrix Composites			+ 2,900
	Nanostructured materials for advanced air systems			+ 5,500
	Gallium Nitrate RF Power Technology			+ 5,000
	Thermal sprays for structural protection			+ 3,000
	ONAMI Safer nanomaterials and nanomanufacturing			+ 5,000
	Non-Linear Optical Materials			+ 1,000
	Durable Hybrid Coatings for Aircraft Systems			+ 1,000
	Material Science Laboratory			+ 1,500
				. 2 000
	tures			+ 2,000
	Advanced Manufacturing technologies for Metals, Composites			
6	(UMR)	71 402	81.283	+ 5,000 + 9.800
0		71,483	. ,	.,
7	Solid electrolyte oxygen separator	92.650	106.650	+ 9,800 + 14,000
,	High Powered Electrical Aircraft Capabilities	32,030	100,030	+ 14,000
	Integrated Cooling and Power System with MBTG			+ 4.000
8	AEROSPACE SENSORS	78.804	92,804	+ 14,000
0	Super-resolution Sensor System	70,004	32,004	+ 3,000
	Three Dimensional Packaging			+ 4.000
	Minority LEADERS			+ 7,000
10	SPACE TECHNOLOGY	88.909	111.484	+ 22.575
10	Spacecraft vehicles technology, deployable structures for SBR	00,303	111,404	- 4.000
	Lightweight and novel Structures for Space program			+ 4,500
	Electromagnetic Wave gradiometer			+ 4,000
	USAF Center for National Security research—Signature exploi-			1 4,000
	tation			+ 1.575
	HAARP			+ 6,500

164

Line	Item	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommen- dation	Change from budget estimate
	Foldable Articulated Structures for Next Generation Spacecraft			+ 3,000
	Elastic memory Composite			+ 3,000
	Seismic Monitoring Program			+4,000
13	COMMAND CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS	82,147	84,147	+ 2,000
	Joint Battlespace Infosphere			+ 2,000
16	ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS	34,284	57,784	+ 23,500
	Assessing Aging of Military Aircraft (ISU)			+ 1,000 + 10,000
	Stealth RAM coatings			+ 5,000
	Titanium Matrix Composites			+ 3,500
	Plasma Arc/Waste to energy Production			+ 4,000
19	AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY DEV/DEMO	29,145	35,145	+6,000
	Haleakala Laser Communciations Testbed			+1,000
	Capabilities Planning Support			+ 5,000
20	AEROSPACE PROPULSION AND POWER TECHNOLOGY	79,914	84,914	+ 5,000
01	IHPTET/F—136 JSF Interchangeable Engine	20.704	22.704	+ 5,000
21	CREW SYSTEMS AND PERSONNEL PROTECTION TECHNOLOGY The Logisitcs Institute	32,794	33,794	+ 1,000 + 1,000
22	ELECTRONIC COMBAT TECHNOLOGY	28,282	41,282	+ 13,000
LL	Lightweight Modular Support Jammer		71,202	+ 8,000
	Affordable Visible Missile Warning Systems			+ 5,000
23	BALLISTIC MISSILE TECHNOLOGY		2,000	+ 2,000
	Pacific Ballistic Missile Technology Program			+ 2,000
25	ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY	60,124	101,614	+41,490
	Robust Aerospace Composite materials and structures			+ 4,500
	Thin film amorphous solar arrays			+ 10,000
	Geosynchronous Laser Imaging testbed			+ 7,000
	Intelligent free space optical satelite communications node Hardening technologies for Satellite Protection			+ 3,000 + 5,000
	MRAM Innovative Communciations Materials			+ 1,990
	Radially Segmented launch Vehicle Risk Reduction			+ 5,000
	Vortex Cold Wall Low Cost Rocket Engines			+ 5,000
26	MAUI SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM [MSSS]	6,306	50,306	+44,000
	High Accuracy Network Determination System			+10,000
0.7	MSSS Operations and Research			+ 34,000
27	MULTI-DISCIPLINARY ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT SPACE TECHNOLOGY	51,114	61,114	+ 10,000
29	PanSTARRSADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	31,103	47,103	+ 10,000 + 16,000
23	Wafer integrated semiconductor laser	31,103	47,103	+ 10,000
	Massively parallel optical interconnects for Microsatellite			1 4,000
	Datacom			+ 4,000
	Applications of LIDAR to Vehicles with Analysis [ALVA]			+ 8,000
31	C3I ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	28,524	32,524	+4,000
	Rivet Joint Advanced Wideband Processor			+ 3,000
40	J-P 900 Coal based Jet Fuel	774.000		+ 1,000
48	TRANSFORMATIONAL SATCOM [TSAT]	774,836	374,836	- 400,000
50	Technological risk reductionINTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE	72,503	70,503	- 400,000 - 2,000
30	Long range planning	72,303	70,303	- 2,000 - 2,000
53	SPACE-BASED RADAR	327,732	227,732	-100,000
	Technological risk reduction	027,702	227,702	-100,000
54	POLLUTION PREVENTION	2,692	4,192	+1,500
	O2 Diesel Particulate Emissions Reduction			+1,500
59	OPERATIONALLY RESPONSIVE LAUNCH	35,362	5,000	-30,362
	Program reduction			- 35,362
	Microsatellite development			+ 5,000
60	COMMON AERO VEHICLE [CAV]	21,610		-21,610
C.F.	Program reduction	E0 400		- 21,610
65	B-1B	59,462	89,462	+ 30,000
69	FLIR and datalink upgradesELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	138 303	157 393	+ 30,000 + 19,000
υJ	ALQ-172 airborne electronic attack upgrade	138,393	157,393	+ 19,000 + 9,000
	1/2 andonio oloctionio attack apgrade			+ 8,000

165

	[In thousands of dollars]			
Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommen- dation	Change from budget estimate
	Rapid replacement of Mission Critical EW Components			+ 2,000
73	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS	75,863	27,863	-48,000
	Space Control Test Capablilities system			+ 5,000
	Counter Surveillance Reconnaissance system			- 53,000
80	AGILE COMBAT SUPPORT	10,053	20,053	+ 10,000
	Isolation Units with reactive Nanoparticle materials AERO Medical readiness-water sterilization			+ 6,000 + 4,000
84	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES	18,714	22,714	+ 4,000
04	Virtual teleoperation for unmanned aerial vehicles (ISU)	10,714	22,714	+ 4,000
85	INTEGRATED COMMAND & CONTROL APPLICATIONS [IC2A]	258	18,758	+ 18,500
	Global Awareness Presentation System-IC2A			+ 5,000
	Distributed mission interoperability toolkit			+ 8,000
	Asset Source for Software engineering technology eWing Pro-			
89	gram	2 207 420	2 200 020	+ 5,500
69	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER [JSF]	2,307,420	2,309,920	+ 2,500
	rials			+ 2,500
92	RDT&E FOR AGING AIRCRAFT	15,665	35,665	+ 20,000
	Aging landing gear life extension			+ 7,000
	Academic Center for aging aircraft			+ 6,000
	Fleet readiness			+ 2,500
	Fleet Capability Assessment			+ 2,000
07	LEAN Depot engine repair			+ 2,500
97	MULTI-SENSOR C2 AIRCRAFT (MC2A)	538,860	498,860	- 40,000
98	Reduction—MC2A (late delivery of test bed aircraft) FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING	5,894	12,894	- 40,000 + 7,000
30	F-16 Block 30 MTC for Air National Guard	J,034	12,034	+ 7,000
107	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	356.266	361,266	+ 5,000
	Big Bend Range			+ 5,000
108	ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE)	7,984	22,984	+ 15,000
	Ballistic Missile range Safety technology			+ 15,000
120	STRAT WAR PLANNING SYSTEM—USSTRATCOM	23,391	18,391	- 5,000
126	ISPAN contractor costs	22,590	39,590	- 5,000
120	Three Dimensional Modeling, Design and Engineering Assess-	22,390	39,390	+ 17,000
	ment			+ 7,000
	A—10 Propulsion Modernization			+ 10,000
127	F-16 SQUADRONS	99,606	102,606	+ 3,000
	AN/APG-68(V) 10 radar development			+ 3,000
128	F-15E SQUADRONS	115,246	125,246	+ 10,000
135	AESA radar development	10 672	15 672	+ 10,000 + 5,000
133	GPS Jammer Detection and Location System	10,673	15,673	+ 5,000
161	NATIONAL AIR INTELLIGENCE CENTER		3,000	+ 3,000
	EWIRDB/NGE Data Migration			+ 3,000
169	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	79,625	87,625	+8,000
	Lighthouse cyber security program			+ 5,000
	Center for Infrastructure Assurance and Security			+ 3,000
171	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	3,611	6,111	+ 2,500
177	Applied Research in Computing Enterprise Services	17 022	22,833	+ 2,500 + 5,000
1//	Civil reserve Space Service Initiative	17,833	,	+ 5,000
178	WEATHER SERVICE	16,526	17,526	+ 1,000
170	Pacific Islands Ocean Typhoon Experiment [PILOT]			+ 1,000
179	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, AND LANDING SYSTEM [ATCALS]	7,371	12,371	+ 5,000
	Transportable Transponder Landing System			+ 5,000
183	AIR FORCE TACTICAL MEASUREMENT AND SIGNATURE INTELLIGENCE			
	[MASINT] SYSTEMS/PROGRAM	7,905	9,905	+ 2,000
105	Advanced Remote Ground Unattended Sensor program [ARGUS]			+ 2,000
195	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	55,464	64,864	+ 9,400
196	Software communications architecture compliance	13 283	17,783	+ 9,400 + 4,500
130	Collaborative information operations	13,283	17,765	+ 4,500 + 4,500
				,,,,,,

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommen- dation	Change from budget estimate
202	SPACETRACK (SPACE)	161,838	168,538	+ 6,700
	Block 10 contract savings			-4,000
	S-band upgrade			+ 10,700
208	C-130 AIRLIFT SQUADRON	150,242	152,242	+ 2,000
	Real-time measurement weight and balance system			+ 2,000
210	C-17 AIRCRAFT (IF)	199,692	201,692	+ 2,000
	C-17 Test Flight Data Archive			+ 2,000
214	KC-10s	18,452		- 18,452
	GATM cancellation			-18,452
218	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	38,012	44,012	+ 6,000
	Bipolar Wafer-cell NiMH aircraft battery			+ 2,500
	Advanced Nanomaterials Research, NanoPhotonic Components			+ 2,500
	Supply Chain Optimization Universal tool kit			+1,000
221	SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	50,238	64,238	+ 14,000
	C—5/C—17 Integrated Data Environment Aging Aircraft			+ 5,000
	Heavy duty hybrid electric			+ 3,000
	Common Core Power production program			+ 4,000
	Teleoperated Semi-autonomous Robot for Aging Aircraft Mainte-			
	nance			+ 2,000
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	5,551,279	5,570,779	+ 19,500

Human Performance.—The budget request includes \$7,800,000 for the study of human sensory systems and perceptions, an increase of \$4,400,000 above fiscal year 2004 levels. As part of the Committee's budget review the Air Force failed to provide adequate justification for this increase. The Committee recommends a decrease of \$3,000,000.

External Research Programs Interface.—The budget request includes \$6,000,000 for international study, travel, and liaison missions. As part of the Committee's budget review the Air Force failed to provide adequate justification for this increase. The Committee recommends a decrease of \$2,000,000.

University Research Initiatives.—The budget request included \$39,670,000 for graduate fellowships, an increase of \$5,000,000 above fiscal year 2004 levels. The Committee recommends funding for this program at the fiscal year 2004 level, and recommends a decrease of \$5,000,000.

Quantum Gate Technology.—The Committee recommends \$1,000,000 for the purpose of conducting advanced research in quantum information technology of significance to the Department of Defense.

Composite Materials For UAVs.—Developing and producing composite materials tailored to the unique demands of unmanned aerial vehicles [UAVs] is critical if the Department of Defense is to extract the full operational capability of these systems. Therefore, the Committee provides an additional \$2,000,000 for the purpose of developing and certifying this new class of materials for the J–UCAS, UCAR, and other UAVs.

MSŚS/AMOS.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$34,000,000 above the budget request for sustainment, investment in new technologies, initiatives, and research and development activities at MSSS. The Committee is concerned that the Air Force is applying overhead charges and administrative expenses to MSSS programs at excessive rates for lab overhead. The Committee di-

rects the Air Force to base its overhead charges only on the amount it requests. None of the increases provided here shall be subject to Air Force headquarters or administrative costs unrelated to MSSS. Furthermore, research funds should be allocated by Air Force officials on-site to local programs that offer the greatest potential return and merit. In addition, the Committee directs the Air Force and the Missile Defense Agency to study the advantages of moving MSSS to the Space Vehicles directorate within the Air Force Research Lab or to create a separate directorate for these activities and report by January 1, 2005 on their recommendations.

Long Range Planning.—The budget request included \$7,140,000

Long Range Planning.—The budget request included \$7,140,000 for acquisition planning and an on going Analysis of Alternatives for the land based Strategic Deterrent. This represents an increase of \$2,200,000 above fiscal year 2004 levels. The Air Force has not demonstrated sufficient justification to increase the funding for the studies and planning described above. The Committee recommends

a decrease of \$2,000,000.

Integrated Strategic Planning and Analysis Network [ISPAN].— The budget request included \$23,000,000 for software upgrades and contractor costs related to the ISPAN planning system. The development effort related to modernization of ISPAN is concurrent with operation and maintenance funded sustaining efforts. As part of the Committee's budget review the Air Force was unable to justify the estimated contractor costs assumed in the budget request. The Committee recommends a decrease to requested funding of \$5,000,000.

KC-10 GATM.—The budget request contained \$18,452,000 for KC-10 Global Air Traffic Management development. Subsequent to the submission of the budget request the Air Force decided to cancel the subject program. The Committee recommends a decrease of

\$18,452,000.

Fuel Cells.—The Committee is aware that a broad range of Air Force missions and applications have an immediate need for efficient and robust fuel cell based power sources. Fuel cells are lighter than conventional batteries or generator power supplies. Fuel cells offer the largest potential to reduce vehicle fuel consumption, individual soldier pack load, environmental pollution and enemy ability to detect combat vehicles. The Committee supports the Air Force investments in fuel cell technology and encourages the Air Force to use funds for the prototype scale-up, optimization and demonstration of an advanced solid polymer electrolyte fuel cell

that uses lyotropic LCP based membranes.

JHPSSL.—The Committee is supportive of the Joint High Power Solid State Laser Program [JHPSSL]. This joint high energy laser program, funded by both the Department of the Air Force and the Department of the Army and managed by the High Energy Laser Joint Technology Office is a crucial step towards developing a powerful, lightweight and ultra-precise laser weapon that will increase probability of kill while reducing collateral damage. The Committee recommends that the Air Force continue to fully fund this important JHPSSL program and ensure that this program move forward with at least two of the participants now under contract through the next phase of development, the JHPSSL Follow-On Program, to reduce risk.

SPACE PROGRAMS

The Air Force Research, Development, Test and Evaluation budget request for fiscal year 2005 represents a considerable investment in space technologies and programs. In the context of the overall Defense budget, the totality of these investments is in all probability not sustainable. During fiscal year 2005 the Air Force seeks to nearly double its investment in Space Based Radar from a fiscal year 2004 level of \$172,600,000 to a fiscal year 2005 level of \$328,000,000. Transformational communications, the next generation of satellite communications, more than doubles in fiscal year 2005 to a request level of \$774,000,000. Although funded in another Air Force appropriation, the cost of space launch within the Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle program has more than doubled since the fiscal year 2004 request, reaching a fiscal year 2005 request level of \$638,000,000. According to current plans, each of the above mentioned programs grows by a minimum of 30 percent in fiscal year 2006 absent additional schedule and cost perturbations. Subsequent to the submission of the budget request the Air Force notified the Committee of the latest cost estimates for the Space Based Infrared System [SBIRS] High program. Current estimates are that cost growth for the SBIRS program will approximate \$1,000,000,000 from fiscal year 2004-2013. Due to the conclusion of the Committee that planned investments in space programs are unaffordable, several adjustments to the budget request are recommended below.

Transformational Satellite Communications System.—The budget request included \$774,800,000 for the transformational military satellite [TSAT] communications program. Current plans project a cost increase of over 50 percent during fiscal year 2006, reaching an annual funding level of over \$1,000,000,000.

The Committee supports the efforts of the Air Force to develop significant increases in bandwidth available to the military. TSAT development holds the potential to improve communications capability through the use of lasers, internet protocol packets, and integration with a new ground communications network. Current Air Force estimates of the cost to complete the TSAT program approximate \$12,000,000,000. This estimate is nearly double the estimated cost to complete in the fiscal year 2004 request.

As noted above, the Committee remains acutely concerned that projected investments in Air Force space programs are not sustainable. The technical risks associated with the deployment of a TSAT constellation are considerable. The Committee recommends a decrease of \$400,000,000. Despite the recommended reduction in funds available to the program, sufficient resources remain to support technology development and risk reduction activities. In fact, at the recommended level, available funding increases by 10 percent above the fiscal year 2004 levels.

Space Based Radar.—The budget request includes \$327,732,000 for the Space Based Radar [SBR] program, an increase of \$155,000,000 above funding appropriated for fiscal year 2004. Current life cycle cost estimates for the Space Based Radar program range from \$25,000,000,000 to \$29,000,000,000. In concept, Space Based Radar would provide military commanders and the intel-

ligence community with an overhead capability to: conduct surface moving target tracks; access multiple theaters regardless of weather or visibility; provide rapid revisit radar imaging and terrain

mapping; and, achieve near continuous surveillance.

According to the current plans of the Department of Defense, the first launch of an SBR satellite would occur during fiscal year 2012. To achieve this ambitious objective the Department intends to reach a Milestone B decision during fiscal year 2006, despite the fact that critical technologies such as the moving target indication software, hardware and algorithms and the on board processor are unlikely to have reached technology readiness level 5 until 2006. Although the Department of Defense has completed a Ground Moving Target Indicator Analysis of Alternatives, the Air Force and the Office of the Secretary of Defense are still considering the ideal force structure for the integration of air and space intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance assets. Due to the uncertainties surrounding the affordability of an SBR constellation, and current levels of technological maturity, the Committee recommends a funding decrease of \$100,000,000. This recommended level of funding permits the Air Force to continue technology maturation and further study the relationship and costs concerning SBR dependence on Transformational Communications and TSAT.

The Committee further recommends that each contractor team

narrow their concept development to only lead concepts.

Space Based Space Surveillance.—The budget request includes \$102,000,000 for Block 10 design development and risk reduction costs. Current Air Force estimates for this contractor effort total \$97,100,000 in fiscal year 2005. The Committee recommends a reduction of \$4,000,000.

Spacecraft Vehicle Technologies.—The budget request included \$13,156,000 for the design of deployable structures for Space Based Radar and an eventual flight experiment. This request represents an increase of \$5,800,000 above fiscal year 2004 levels. Due to the delays in the development of Space Based Radar the Committee recommends a decrease of \$4,000,000.

Counterspace Systems.—The budget request included \$53,000,000 for the Counter Surveillance Reconnaissance System. Subsequent to the submission of the budget the Air Force decided to cancel this program. The Committee recommends a decrease of \$53,000,0000.

Global Positioning System.—The Committee notes that the Global Positioning System [GPS] has been an overwhelmingly successful joint military and civil use program providing revolutionary time and navigation accuracy improvements over previous systems. GPS has also created new applications which have improved safety throughout the United States and in other parts of the world. It has been more than two decades since the first GPS satellites were placed in orbit, and other nations now compete with the United States in advancing GPS technology. The Committee believes that Air Force research must focus on efforts to move from an earth centric time-space reference to a system which is decoupled from the planet. This research promises breakthrough improvements to enable the United States to maintain a technological lead over competing programs and provide a crucial component of testing our

missile defense systems which rely on nearly incomprehensible precision.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2004	\$18,900,715,000
Budget estimate, 2005	20,739,837,000
Committee recommendation	20,404,563,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$20,404,563,000. This is \$335,274,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DW			
	BASIC RESEARCH:			
2	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	143,729	150,729	+ 7,000
6	GOVERNMENT/INDUSTRY COSPONSORSHIP OF UNIVERSITY			
_	RESEAR		7,000	+7,000
7	DEFENSE EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM TO STIMULATE COM-	0.500	14.000	4.500
0	PETITIVE	9,590	14,090	+ 4,500
8	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	36,769	59,269	+ 22,500
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH	190,088	231,088	+41,000
	APPLIED RESEARCH:	,	,,,,,	,,,,,
9	MEDICAL FREE ELECTRON LASER	9,668	18,668	+ 9,000
10	HISTORICALLY BLACK & HISPANIC SERVING INSTITU	3,000	10,000	+ 3,000
10	SCIENCES	14,192	17,192	+ 3,000
11	LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM	25,441	25.441	
12	COMPUTING SYSTEMS AND COMMUNICATIONS TECH-	20,111	20,111	
	NOLOGY	342,614		- 342,614
12A	INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY		193,956	+ 193,956
12B	COGNITIVE COMPUTING SYSTEMS		151,158	+ 151,158
14	BIOLOGICAL WARFARE DEFENSE	147,533	156,833	+ 9,300
15	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	104,385	147,139	+ 42,754
16	TACTICAL TECHNOLOGY	339,175	316,575	- 22,600
17	MATERIALS AND ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY	502,044		- 502,044
17A	MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY		253,836	+ 253,836
17B	ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY		215,708	+ 215,708
18	WMD DEFEAT TECHNOLOGY	249,786	249,786	
19	STRATEGIC DEFENSE TECHNOLOGIES	116,113	116,113	
20 22	MEDICAL TECHNOLOGYSPECIAL OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	10,084	14,084	+ 4,000
23	SOF MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	13,109 2,162	13,109 2,162	
23	SUF MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	2,102	2,102	
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH	1,876,306	1,891,760	+ 15,454
	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT:			
24	MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	2.063	3,563	+ 1,500
26	SO/LIC ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	32.682	34.682	+ 2.000
27	COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	46,719	76,719	+ 30,000
28	COUNTERPROLIFERATION ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT TECH-	10,720	. 0,. 10	
	NOLOGIES	74,456	95,456	+ 21,000
29	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY	204,320	232,120	+ 27,800
30	JOINT DOD-DOE MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOP-		•	
	MENT	23,319	26,819	+ 3,500
32	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SYSTEMS	361,067		- 361,067
32A	ADVANCED AEROSPACE	l	87,347	+ 87,347

171

	[III LIIOUSAIIUS OI GOITATS]			
	Item	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
32B	SPACE PROGRAMS		222,220	+ 222,220
33	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—AD-		,	
34	VANCED DEVJOINT UNMANNED COMBAT AIR SYSTEMS (J-UCAS) AD-	117,343	173,843	+ 56,500
37	VANCED TEGENERIC LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRA-	284,617	288,617	+ 4,000
0,	TIONS	27,542	111,042	+ 83,500
38	STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PROGRAM	56,936	56,936	
39	JOINT WARFIGHTING PROGRAM	9,936	10,936	+1,000
40	ADVANCED ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGIES	218,151	225,151	+ 7,000
41 42	ADVANCED CONCEPT TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PRO- GRAM	213,901	217,901	+ 4,000 + 50,100
43	COMMAND. CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	186,666 225,784	236,766 221,784	- 4,000
44	SENSOR AND GUIDANCE TECHNOLOGY	337,117	221,704	- 337,117
44A	SENSOR TECHNOLOGY		194,373	+ 194,373
44B	GUIDANCE TECHNOLOGY		137,244	+ 137,244
46	LAND WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	63,121	63,121	
47	CLASSIFIED DARPA PROGRAMS	238,131	88,131	-150,000
48	NETWORK-CENTRIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	125,124	125,124	
49	DISTRIBUTED LEARNING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVEL-			
	OPMENT	13,756	13,756	
50	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE	21,599	21,599	
52 53	QUICK REACTION SPECIAL PROJECTS JOINT WARGAMING SIMULATION MANAGEMENT OFFICE	64,389 46.017	64,389	
55	TECHNOLOGY LINK	1,934	46,017 2,934	+1,000
56	COUNTERPROLIFERATION SUPPORT	1,958	1,958	+ 1,000
57	SPECIAL OPERATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOP-	1,330	1,330	
07	MENT	48,803	59,803	+ 11,000
		,		
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	3,047,451	3,140,351	+ 92,900
58	DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION: PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT		19,000	+ 19,000
59	JOINT ROBOTICS PROGRAM	11,771	15,771	+ 4,000
60	ADVANCED SENSOR APPLICATIONS PROGRAM	17,581	22,581	+ 5,000
62	ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY TECHNICAL CERTIFICATION			,
64	PROGRAMADVANCED CONCEPTS, EVALUATIONS AND SYSTEMS	32,546 256,159	36,546 256,159	+ 4,000
66	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE SEG-			
67	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEG-	937,748	1,017,748	+ 80,000
CO	MENT	4,384,775	4,548,275	+ 163,500
68 69	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE BOOST DEFENSE SEGMENT CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—DEM/	492,614	497,614	+ 5,000
70	VALBALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSORS	104,195 591,957	112,195 613,457	+ 8,000 + 21,500
71	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM INTERCEPTOR	511,262	259,262	- 252,000
72	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST & TARGETS	713,658	713,658	202,000
73	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE PRODUCTS	418,608	413,608	- 5,000
74	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS CORE	479,764	454,764	- 25,000
76	HUMANITARIAN DEMINING	13,747	13,747	
77	COALITION WARFARE	5,886	5,886	
78	JOINT UNMANNED COMBAT AIR SYSTEMS (J—UCAS) AD- VANCED CO	422,873	222,873	- 200,000
80	REDUCTION OF TOTAL OWNERSHIP COST	27,351		- 27,351
81	JOINT ELECTROMAGNETIC TECHNOLOGY (JET) PROGRAM	6,679	16,679	+ 10,000
	TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT:	9,429,174	9,239,823	- 189,351
82	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—EMD	152,379	145,879	-6,500
83	MANPADS DEFENSE PROGRAM	14,135	22,135	+ 8,000
84	JOINT ROBOTICS PROGRAM—EMD	13,845	29,845	
				,

172

	[In thousands of dollars]					
	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate		
85	ADVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (AITS-					
86	JPO)	18,183	18,183			
00	(JTIDS)	18,515	18,515			
89	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	10,683	10,683			
91	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	52,407	52,407			
92	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT—STANDARD					
00	PROCUREMEN	6,690	6,690	45.000		
93	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	94,767	49,767	- 45,000		
94 95	DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEMINFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	6,623 2,493	6,623 2,493			
96	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	17,867	17,867			
97	JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL PROGRAM (JC2)	3,000	3,000			
98	ELECTRONIC COMMERCE	3,466	3,466			
99	ELECTRONIC COMMERCE	2,345	2,345			
100	BMMP DOMAIN MANAGEMENT AND SYSTEMS INTEGRA-					
	TION	7,472	7,472			
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOP-					
	MENT	424,870	397,370	- 27,500		
	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT:					
101	SPECIAL TECHNICAL SUPPORT	19,274	19,274			
103	TRANSFORMATION INITIATIVES PROGRAM	9,977		- 9,977		
105	DEFENSE READINESS REPORTING SYSTEM (DRRS)	19,691	19,691			
106	JOINT SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT	4,989	4,989			
107 108	THERMAL VICARTECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS	7,263	7,263 30,618			
108	CRITICAL TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	30,618 1,937	1,937			
110	BLACK LIGHT	21,535	21,535			
112	FOREIGN MATERIAL ACQUISITION AND EXPLOITATION	35,572	35,572			
113	INTERAGENCY EXPORT LICENSE AUTOMATION	5,882	5,882			
114	DEFENSE TRAVEL SYSTEM	28,508	28,508			
115	JOINT THEATER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZA-					
	TION	86,409	86,409			
117	FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING	35,633	35,633			
118	SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRA-	11 400	20,000	. 0 200		
119	TION GENERAL SUPPORT TO USD (INTELLIGENCE)	11,490 4,830	20,690 4,830	+ 9,200		
120	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	42,652	37,652	- 5,000		
126	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH/CHALLENGE	12,002	07,002	0,000		
	ADMINISTR	1,999	1,999			
127	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS	7,279	7,279			
128	FORCE TRANSFORMATION DIRECTORATE	19,591	19,591			
129	DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES (DTIC)	45,203	45,203			
130	R&D IN SUPPORT OF DOD ENLISTMENT, TESTING & EVAL-	10.500	10.500			
101	UATION	10,598	10,598			
131 132	DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (RESEARCH & DEVELOP-	8,882	8,882			
132	MENT) DARP	46,689	46,689			
133	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY RAPID ACQUISITION	19,958	+0,000	- 19,958		
134	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS	10,000		10,000		
	(10)	12,878	12,878			
136	PENTAGON RESERVATION	13,884	13,884			
137	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS—MDA	141,923	141,923			
138	IT SOFTWARE DEV INITIATIVES	1,700	1,700			
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	696,844	671,109	- 25,735		
	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT:					
141	PARTNERSHIP FOR PEACE (PFP) INFORMATION MANAGE-					
	MENT SYS	6,995	6,995			
142	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE (OPERATIONAL SYS-					
	TEMS D	2,178	2,178			
143	ISLAND SUN	1,663	1,663	l		

173

Item		(iii tilousanus vi uviiais)					
145		ltem					
145	144	C4I INTEROPERABILITY	41 074	41 074			
153					1		
DEFENSE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE ENGINEERING AND INTEGRATIO I							
INTEGRATIO			1,2.0	1,2.0			
155	20.		2 517	2 517			
MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NET-WORK	155		/ .				
158		MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NET-	,	,			
159	158						
160							
C4 FOR THE WARRIOR				477,040 4 177			
162 GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM					l		
163 JOINT SPECTRUM CENTER 18,941 18,941 164 DEFENSE COLLABORATION TOOL SUITE (DCTS) 8,503 8,503 8,503 165 NET-CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES) 52,059 52,059 10,272 10,27							
Defense Collaboration tool suite (DCTS)					.,		
NET-CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES) 52,059 10,272 10,27							
TELEPORT PROGRAM			.,				
167							
170							
Defense							
MIP 32,939 32,939 32,939 180 NET CENTRICITY 214,222 120,722 -93,500 192 INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS 11,005 25,005 +14,000 193 LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES 11,389			20,021	20,021			
180	2.0		32 939	32 939			
192 INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS 11,005 25,005 + 14,000 193 LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES 11,389 11	180						
193							
MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (OJCS) 22,421 22,421 30,399 30,3		LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES			,		
NATO JOINT STARS 30,399 30,399 30,399 198 SPECIAL OPERATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT 6,000 +6,000 +6,000 199 SPECIAL OPERATIONS TACTICAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT 311,966 67,728 -244,238 1994 CV-22 75,131 +75,131 +75,131 1995 AIRCRAFT DEFENSIVE SYSTEMS 58,041 +58,041 +58,041 40,982 466,982	194	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (OJCS)	22,421				
SPECIAL OPERATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT 6,000	195		30,399	30,399			
199	198	SPECIAL OPERATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOP-	,	,			
199		MENT		6,000	+6,000		
199B	199	SPECIAL OPERATIONS TACTICAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT		67,728	- 244,238		
199C	199A	CV-22		75,131	+ 75,131		
199D	199B	AIRCRAFT DEFENSIVE SYSTEMS		58,041	+ 58,041		
200 SPECIAL OPERATIONS INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOP-MENT 25,015 47,015 + 22,000 202 SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS 57,643 74,643 + 17,000 TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT 1,497,022 1,447,652 - 49,370 999 CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS 3,578,082 3,385,410 - 192,672	199C	AVIATION SYSTEMS ADV DEVELOPMENT			+66,982		
MENT	199D	ASDS		15,614	+ 15,614		
202 SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS 57,643 74,643 + 17,000 TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT 1,497,022 1,447,652 - 49,370 999 CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS 3,578,082 3,385,410 - 192,672	200		25.015	47 015	± 22 000		
999 CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	202						
		TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	1,497,022	1,447,652	- 49,370		
TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DW 20,739,837 20,404,563 -335,274	999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	3,578,082	3,385,410	- 192,672		
		TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DW	20,739,837	20,404,563	- 335,274		

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	Defense Research Sciences	143,729	150,729	+ 7,000
	BioInterfaces			-10,000
	Advance Photonic Composites Research			+ 5,000
	Biological Detection of Unexploded Ordnance and Land			
	Mines			+ 2,500
	Molecular Electronics			+ 2,500
	Nano-Photonics Systems Fabrication			+ 4,000
	Photonics Technology Access Program			+ 1,000
	Space Based Active Sensors			+ 2,000
6	Government/Industry Cosponsorship of University Research		7,000	+7,000
	Semiconductor Research—Focus Center Research Pro-			
	gram	l	l	+7,000

174

Line	Item	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
7	Defense Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Re-			
	search	9,590	14,090	+ 4,500
	Additional Funding			+ 4,500
8	Chemical and Biological Defense Program	36,769	59,269	+ 22,500
	Biodefense Research			+ 1,500
	Bug-To-Drug			+ 7,000
	Fluorescence Activated Sensing Technology [FAST] Inte-			+4,000
	grated Threat Management Therapeutic Approaches to Anthrax and Ricin toxins			+ 4,000
	Therapeutic Phosphorodiamidate Morpholino Oligomer			1 4,000
	Approaches			+6,000
9	Medical Free Electron Laser	9,668	18,668	+ 9,000
	Medical Free Electron Laser			+ 9,000
10	Historically Black Colleges and Universities [HBCU]			
	Science	14,192	17,192	+3,000
	Tribal Colleges—Science Lab and Computer Equip-			
	ment			+3,000
12	Computing Systems and Communications Technology	342,614		-342,614
	Funding Transferred to New PEs			- 342,614
12A	Information and Communications Technology		193,956	+ 193,956
	Transfer from PE 0602301E (ST-11, 19, 24, 28, 29)			+ 191,456
100	Secure Group Communications		151 150	+ 2,500
12B	Cognitive Computing Systems		151,158	+ 151,158
14	Transfer from PE 0602301E (ST-30-33)	147 522	156 922	+ 151,158 + 9,300
14	Biological Warfare Defense	147,533	156,833	+ 9,300 + 2,000
	Antimicrobial Research Program			+ 3,000
	BioScience for Informatics			+ 1,300
	Noninvasive Biomodulation			+ 3,000
15	Chemical and Biological Defense Program	104,385	147,139	+ 42,754
	BioTerNet Networking and Strain Tracking			+1,000
	CBRN Countermeasures			+5,000
	Chemical Agent Persistence Models			+2,900
	Chemical Imaging for Food and Water Safety			+5,000
	LSH-SAW Hand-held Biosensor			+ 6,000
	Mustard Gas Antidote Research STIMAL			+ 9,000
	Nanowire Mesh Fabrics for Chemical and Biological			. 1.054
	Agent Defense			+ 1,854
	Novel Viral Biowarfare Agent ID and Treatment Advanced Emergency Medical Response			+ 4,000 + 3,000
	Research on a Molecular Approach to Hazardous Mate-			+ 3,000
	rials Decontamination			+1,000
	Vaccines and Therapeutics to Counter Biological			. 1,000
	Threats			+4,000
16	Tactical Technology	339,175	316,575	-22,600
	Novel Sensors for Force Protection			-6,400
	SIER			-4,000
	Laser Star			-3,000
	Walrus			-10,000
	Combat Zones that See			- 6,200
	CEROS			+ 7,000
17	Materials and Electronics Technology	502,044		- 502,044
171	Funding Transferred to New PEs		252 026	- 502,044
17A	Materials Technology Transfer from PE 0602712E (MPT–01 & 09)		253,836	+ 253,836 + 249 336
	BioFabrication			+ 249,336 - 5,500
	Advanced Materials for Electromagnetic Devices			- 5,500 + 4,000
	Strategic Materials			+ 4,000
	Friction Stir Welding of High Temperature Materials			+ 2,000
17B	Electronics Technology		215,708	+ 215,708
	Transfer from PE 0602712E (MPT-02, 06, 08)			+ 252,708
	Funding from previously cancelled programs			- 50,000
	OptoElectronics and Optical Communications			+3,000

175

ine	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estima
	Characterization, Reliability, and Applications of Micro-			
	Structures			+ 3,00
	Nanoscale Organic Spintronics Program			+ 2,00
	Nanoelectronics Defense and Security Initiative			+ 3,00
	Testing and Evaluation of Advanced Composite Ground			
20	Radomes	10.004	14.004	+ 2,0
20	Medical Technology Hibernation Genomics	10,084	14,084	+ 4,00 + 4,00
24	Medical Advanced Technology	2,063	3,563	+ 1,5
	Integrated Medical IT System	2,000		+ 1,5
26	SO/LIC Advanced Development	32,682	34,682	+ 2,0
	High Rate Packet Inspection "Packet Storm"			+ 2,0
27	Combating Terrorism Technology Support	46,719	76,719	+30,0
	Blast Mitigation			+ 10,0
	CT-ISR			+ 4,0
	Explosive Loading Laboratory Testing			+ 8,0
	TSWG			+ 5,0
28	QR Technology Based Vehicle Bomb Detection Counterproliferation Initiatives—Proliferation Prevention and			+ 3,0
20	Defeat	74,456	95,456	+21,0
	Detective (HPGe) Radio-Isotope Identifier	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		+ 1,0
	Guardian Portable Radiation Search Tool			+ 20,0
29	Ballistic Missile Defense Technology	204,320	232,120	+ 27,8
	Massively Parallel Optical Interconnects for Microsat-			
	ellites			+ 4,5
	Center for Optical Logic Devices			+ 1,0
	Silicon Carbide Wide Band Gap Research			+4,0
	Multiple Target Tracking Optical Sensor Array Tech-			
	nology [MOST]Advanced RF Technology Development			+ 2,0 + 5,0
	SiC Thick Film Mirror Coatings			+ 3,0 + 3.0
	Porous Silicon			+ 3,0
	Next-Again-Generation Radiation Hard CMOS			+ 3,3
	Tulane Missile Defense			+ 2,0
30	Joint DOD—DOE Munitions Technology Development	23,319	26,819	+ 3,5
	Advanced Metallized Gelled Propellants			+ 3,5
32	Advanced Aerospace Systems	361,067		- 361,0
	Funding Transferred to New PEs		07.047	- 361,0
32A	Advanced Aerospace		87,347	+ 87,3
	Transfer from PE 0603285E (ASP-01) Walrus			+ 111,8 10,0
	Canard Rotor Wing			- 10,0 - 14,5
32B	Space Programs and Technology		222,220	+ 222,2
	Transfer from PE 0603285E (ASP-02)		,	+ 249,2
	Orbital Express			-10,0
	RASCAL			-10,0
	Improved Suborbital Operations			+8,0
	CAV			-25,0
22	Joint NASA/DOD Development			+10,0
33	Chemical and Biological Defense Program—Advanced Devel-	117 040	170 040	
	opmentBioadhesion Research to Combat Biological Warfare	117,343	173,843	+ 56,5 + 5,5
	Hand-held Biological Agent Detection [HBAD] system			+ 5,5 + 4,0
	Hi-Int Pulsed Radiation for Chem & BioAgent Defeat			+ 2,0
	Immunochemical Biological/Chemical Threat Agent De-			. 2,0
	tector			+3,5
	LISA Inspector			+6,0
	Oral Adjuvants			+1,5
	Oral Anthrax/Plague Vaccine			+ 4,0
	Plant Vaccine Development			+7,0
	Rapid Response Sensor Networking for Multiple Appli-			
	cations Reactive Air Purification for Individual and Collective			+1,5

176

	Vaccines, Alternative Delivery Methods for Recombinant Protein Vaccines			. 0.22
	Protein Vaccines			
	Water Quality Sensors			+6,00
	Joint Unmanned Combat Air Systems [J–UCAS] Advanced Technology Development and R			+4,00
	Technology Development and R			+ 3,50
37				
37		284,617	288,617	+4,0
37	Lithium Ion Battery for Joint Unmanned Combat Air			
37	System			+4,0
	Generic Logistics R&D Technology Demonstrations	27,542	111,042	+83,5
	Aging Systems Sustainment and Enabling Technologies			
	[ASSET]			+3,0
	Chameleon Miniaturized Wireless System			+11,0
	Diminishing Manufacturing Source [DMS]			+2,0
	Government Industry Data Exchange Program			+3,0
	Manufacturing Extension Partnership-Midwest Consor-			
	tium			+ 2,0
	MicroElectronics Testing, Technology and Obsolescence			
	Program			+ 7,5
	Nano-structured Carbon for Radiation Shielding of			
	Microelectronics			+ 2,0
	New England Manufacturing Supply Chain			+ 8,0
	Next Generation Air Start Cart			+ 2,0
	Smart Scanning RFID Tag Reader			+ 3,0
	Spray Technique Analysis and Research for Defense			+ 2,0
	STAR4D Painting and Coating Pollution Prevention			+1,0
	Ultra-low Power Battlefield Sensor System			+ 30,0
	Vehicle Fuel Cell Program			+ 7,0
39	Joint Warfighting Program	9,936	10,936	+1,0
	Joint Navigational Warfare			+ 1,0
40	Advanced Electronics Technologies	218,151	225,151	+ 7,0
	Advanced Lithography-Thin Film Excellence			+ 5,5
	MIL Tech Extension			+1,5
41	Advanced Concept Technology Demonstrations	213,901	217,901	+ 4,0
	Hardware Encryption Capability (SecureD)			+4.0
42	High Performance Computing Modernization Program	186,666	236,766	+ 50,1
	Army High Performance Computing Research Center			+ 15,0
	High Performance Computing Visualization Initiative			
	[HPCVI]			+ 4,0
	Multithread Architecture [MTA] Upgrade			+4,0
	Simulation Center HPC Upgrade			+ 5,0
	ARSC			+ 6,6
	MHPCC Technology Upgrade			+ 15,5
43	Command, Control and Communications Systems	225,784	221,784	-4,0
	Space Based Networking			- 4,0
44	Sensor and Guidance Technology	337,117		-337,1
	Funding Transferred to New PEs			-337,1
I4A	Sensor Technology		194,373	+194,3
	Transfer from PE 0603762E (SGT-02-04)			+199,8
	ISIS			-5,5
14B	Guidance Technology		137,244	+137,2
	Transfer from PE 0603762E (SGT-01 and CLS)			+137,2
47	Classified DARPA Programs	238,131	88,131	-150,0
	Program Reduction			-150,0
55	Technology Link	1,934	2,934	+1,0
	IEE-Tech Transfer			+1,0
57	Special Operations Advanced Technology Development	48,803	59,803	+11,0
	ANGELFIRE/FCLAS Full Spectrum Active Protection			
	Close-in Layered System			+8,0
	Long Range Biometric Target Identification System			+ 3,0
58	Physical Security Equipment		19,000	+19,0
	Family of Integrated Rapid Response Equipment			+ 10,0
	Persistent Perimeter Security Unmanned Systems			+ 9,0

177

ne	Item	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estima
	National Unmanned Systems Experimentation Environ-			
	ment			+ 4,0
60	Advanced Sensor Applications Program	17,581	22,581	+ 5,0
	Advanced Solid State Dye Laser			+ 3,0
	Secure Airborne Free Space Optical Communications			+ 2,0
62	Environmental Security Technical Certification Program	32,546	36,546	+ 4,0
	Perchlorate Remediation R&D, Rialto-Colton Basin			+ 4,0
66	Ballistic Missile Defense Terminal Defense Segment	937,748	1,017,748	+ 80,0
	Arrow			+ 80,0
67	Ballistic Missile Defense Midcourse Defense Segment	4,384,775	4,548,275	+ 163,5
	Allen Army Airfield Upgrades			+ 22,0
	Fort Greely Power Plant Feasibility Study			+ 2,5
	GMD Enhancements			+ 100,0
	PMRF Upgrades			+ 25,0
	Sensor Data Fusion and Communications			+ 3,0
	Range Mission Tool			+ 3,0
	SHOTS			+ 5,0
	Multi-Frame Blind Deconvolution			+ 3,0
	Kauai Test Facility			[4,0
68	Ballistic Missile Defense Boost Defense Segment	492,614	497,614	+ 5,0
	International Cooperation	104.105		+ 5,0
69	Chemical and Biological Defense Program	104,195	112,195	+ 8,0
	Nano Intelligent Detection System Handheld Biological			
70	Agent Detectors			+ 8,0
70	Ballistic Missile Defense Sensors	591,957	613,457	+ 21,5
	Airborne Infrared Surveillance [AIRS]			+ 15,0
	Improved Materials for Optical Memories			+ 5,5
71	Ground-Based Studies of Rocket Plume Signatures	F11 000	250.262	+ 1,0
71	Ballistic Missile Defense System Interceptor	511,262	259,262	- 252,0
	Kinetic Energy Interceptor			- 227,0
	Near Field Infrared Experiments [NFIRE]			[68,0
72	Block 2012 International Cooperation	410.000	412.000	- 25,0
73	Ballistic Missile Defense Products	418,608	413,608	- 5,0
	ACT—Army Counter-Space Technology			+ 20,0
	Joint National Integration Center			+ 5,0
74	C2BMC National Team Unjustified Program Growth	470.704	AEA 70A	- 30,0
74	Ballistic Missile Defense Systems Core	479,764	454,764	- 25,0
	Corporate Lethality Testing			- 5,0
	System Engineering and Integration National Team Un-			20.0
78	justified Program Growth			- 20,0
70	Joint Unmanned Combat Air Systems [J—UCAS] Advanced	122 972	222 072	200.0
	Component and Prototype Deve	422,873	222,873	- 200,0 - 200,0
80	Program Restructure	27 251		- 200,0 - 27,3
00	Reduction Of Total Ownership Cost Lack of Program Justification	27,351		- 27,3 - 27,3
81	Joint Electromagnetic Technology [JET] Program	6,679	16,679	-27,3 +10,0
01		,		+ 5,0
	Delta Mine Training CenterHIPAS			+ 5,0 + 5.0
82	Chemical and Biological Defense Program	152,379	145,879	- 6,5
02	JCAD—Cancellation	132,373		- 0,3 - 15,0
	Joint Biological Point Detection System [JBPDS]			+ 5,0
	ParallelaVax Rapid Vaccine Testing Technology			+ 3,5
83		14,135	22,135	+ 8,0
03	MANPADS Defense Program		22,133	+ 5,0
	Counter ManPads Airspace Protection System			,
84	Joint Robotics Program	13,845	29,845	+ 3,0 + 16,0
U -1	Unmanned Systems Research			+ 10,0
	Robotics Greenhouse Initiative			+ 4,5 + 2,5
	Joint Robotics			+ 2,3 + 4,0
	Integrated Test Support			+ 4,0 + 5,0
93	Financial Management System Improvements	94,767	49,767	+ 5,0 - 45,0
JJ	BMMP	54,767		- 45,0 - 45,0
103	Transformation Initiatives Program	9,977		- 45,0 - 9,9
LUJ	Transformation initiatives Flogiall	3,311		- 9,9 - 9,9

178

[In thousands of dollars]

	Lin thousands of dollar	-		
Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
118	Support to Networks and Information Integration	11,490	20,690	+ 9,200
	CISA			+ 2,200
100	Pacific Disaster Center	40.050		+ 7,000
120	Chemical and Biological Defense Program	42,652	37,652	- 5,000
133	Management Support Cost GrowthInformation Technology Rapid Acquisition	19,958		- 5,000 - 19,958
155	Program Reduction	13,330		- 19,958
162	Global Command and Control System	43,693	57,293	+ 13,600
	National Information Assurance and Training			+1,600
	Joint Information Technology Project—Alaska			+ 12,000
180	Net Centricity	214,222	120,722	- 93,500
192	Horizontal Fusion Program ReductionIndustrial Preparedness	11.005	25,005	- 93,500 + 14,000
132	Advanced Manufacturing Institute	11,003	23,003	+ 3,000
	Copper-Based Casting Technology [C–BCT]			+ 2,000
	Laser Additive Manufacturing			+ 4,000
	Next Generation Manufacturing Technologies			+ 5,000
198	Special Operations Advanced Technology Development		6,000	+ 6,000
	SOF Experimental Technology Integration			+ 4,000
	Land and Sea Special Operations Mobility Systems [LASSO]			+ 2.000
199	Special Operations Tactical Systems Development	311,966	67.728	- 244,238
133	CV-22 Funding Transfer to New PE	311,300	07,720	- 75.131
	Aircraft Defensive Systems Transfer to New PE			- 58,041
	Aviation Systems Advanced Development Transfer to			
	New PE			-103,982
	ASDS Transfer to New PE			-1,614
	Identify Friend or Foe [IFF] Advanced Target Identifica- tion for AC-130U			+ 2,000
	Covert Waveform Program			+ 4,500
	Fly-by-Wire Program—Transfer to RDA Line 78			-11,970
199A	CV-22		75,131	+ 75,131
	Funding Transfer from PE 1160404BB			+75,131
199B	Aircraft Defensive Systems		58,041	+ 58,041
199C	Funding Transfer from PE 1160404BB Aviation Systems Advanced Development		66,982	+ 58,041 + 66,982
1330	Funding Transfer from PE 1160404BB		00,302	+ 103,982
	CAAP Program			- 37,000
199D	ASDS		15,614	+ 15,614
	Funding Transfer from PE 1160404BB			+ 1,614
200	Budget Realignment	05.015	47.015	+ 14,000
200	Special Operations Intelligence Systems Development Foreign Language Translator	25,015	47,015	+ 22,000 + 2,000
	High Altitude Long Endurance			+ 3,000
	Application Specific Integrated Circuit [ASIC] Develop-			1 3,000
	ment			+ 5,000
	Joint Threat Warning Systems			+7,000
	Wireless Management & Control Project			+ 5,000
202	SOF Operational Enhancements	57,643	74,643	+ 17,000
	B–Band Covert Night Vision System Nano-Technology Research Effort			+ 7,000
	TACTICOMP			+ 8,000 + 2,000
	morrough		••••	1 2,000

DARPA.—The Committee recommends \$2,849,979,000 for Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency [DARPA] programs. DARPA is to be commended for compliance with last year's language that directed the incorporation of more detailed cost, schedule, and performer data in the budget materials. The Committee finds, however, that further information on plans for transitioning DARPA technologies to the Services and/or an acquisition agent is required. Therefore, the Committee directs DARPA to submit a comprehensive transition plan for programs funded with 6.3 funds.

The plan shall include the planned transition agent, i.e. Service, Agency, or future acquisition agent, the expected timing of the technology/program transition, and the expected program costs (unit cost) that will be borne by the agent. The Committee is sensitive to the scale of this endeavor and therefore directs that only 30 percent of 6.3 funded programs shall be required to present a transition plan with the fiscal year 2006 President's budget submission. The Committee grants flexibility in this reporting requirement with the expectation that an even greater portion of programs will submit transition plans in fiscal year 2007 and that all 6.3 funded programs will submit plans with the fiscal year 2008 budget submission.

In addition, the Committee remains concerned about the considerable financial flexibility inherent in DARPA's budget. For example, in any given year the majority of DARPA's programs undergo what is internally termed a "go/no-go" review. This necessary review determines whether the pursued technology is promising, plausible, and relevant to defense. As DARPA's programs are intrinsically and purposefully high risk, it is probable that many of the programs undergoing this review receive "no-go" decisions. As a result, the Director has the budgetary flexibility to redirect resources from all discontinued or "no-go" programs to any other program or programs funded within the same budgetary program element.

In addition, the Committee finds that program expenditures are further obscured by the level of resources requested in each program element. Over half of the Agency's program elements request more than \$200,000,000 in resources and one program element requests over \$500,000,000. Therefore, in order to increase budgetary transparency and clarify the amount of resources requested and ultimately executed on DARPA programs, the Committee recommends increasing the number of budgetary program elements or lines within the DARPA budget. The Committee directs DARPA to follow the Committee's recommended budgetary construction in future budget submissions.

Quadrupole Resonance Technology.—The Committee notes the success of quadrupole resonance technology in explosives detection. Leveraging accomplishments thus far, the Committee recommends an increase of \$3,000,000 to complete this project in fiscal year 2005.

Follow-on RAMOS Program.—The Committee understands that the Missile Defense Agency [MDA] recently terminated the Russian American Observation Satellites [RAMOS] program. This is a cooperative program with the Russian Federation on mutually beneficial research that is missile defense related and provides a foundation for future cooperative efforts. The Committee believes that this collaboration on space-based sensor research and development is meritorious and should continue. Accordingly, the Committee recommends that of the funds available to the MDA, \$5,000,000 be allocated to continue a follow-up cooperative program between the United States and the Russian Federation on missile defense and early warning technologies.

J-UCAS.—The Committee is concerned that the J-UCAS program has not been properly coordinated with the Services, is overly

ambitious with regards to planned technologies and is potentially unaffordable. The Committee is aware that when the Departments of the Navy and Air Force reduced funding for the program in their respective fiscal year 2005 budget proposals, the Office of the Secretary of Defense [OSD] transferred the program to one of its own offices, drastically increased program funding and slated DARPA as the executive agent. The Committee is also aware that since the program was transferred, there has been little coordination and consultation with the Services. As the Services will ultimately be called upon to operate and invest in the J-UCAS, it is prerequisite that they be closely involved in the development of requirements and the acquisition strategy.

In addition, the Committee is concerned that the technologies planned for the common operating system and advanced sensor suite are unnecessarily ambitious and potentially unaffordable. The schedule for these technologies is also unduly aggressive. As such, the Committee recommends a reduction of \$200,000,000 to the budget request and expects OSD to fully coordinate program plans

with the Navy and Air Force in the future.

Lack of Program Justification.—The Committee is concerned about the number of "pilot" programs requested within the Office of the Secretary Defense. These programs include Reducing Total Ownership Costs, Rapid Acquisition Initiatives, Transformation Initiatives, and Horizontal Fusion. The funding requests for these programs fail to outline the activities and projects to be financed, but rather propose that the Congress appropriate "pots" of money for broad programmatic objectives where specific activities are identified after the funding is provided. For example, the fiscal year 2005 budget request for the new start program Reducing Total Ownership Costs broadly describes the program's objective to, "optimize returns on investments that reduce operating and support costs for systems." The Department plans to solicit proposals and make project selections after funding has been granted; thus no defined list of projects is available.

The Committee finds this budgetary practice unacceptable and recommends reducing funding for these programs. The Committee expects the Department to adhere to traditional budget justification practices and provide detailed information on the projects and

activities to be funded with the budget request.

Allen Radio Testbed.—The Committee is aware that technology of the Allen Radio Telescope Array (at the Hat Creek Radio Observatory Site) can be utilized by the Department as a testbed that could lead to the development of a deployable system to detect lowobservable aircraft. The Committee directs the Department to investigate this technology and report to the Committee on its poten-

Perchlorate Contamination.—The Committee is concerned about the potential cost of remediation of perchlorate and provides \$4,000,000 for the Environmental Security Technical Certification Program to conduct research and a demonstration of remediation technologies in the Rialto-Colton Basin.

The Committee believes that the Department should expedite the development of a plan to remediate perchlorate contamination. Once that plan is developed, the Committee believes that the Department should take appropriate action to implement this plan. The Committee supports the reporting requirement on this matter as requested in the fiscal year 2004 Military Construction con-

ference report.

Defense Integrated Military Human Resources System [DIMHRS].—The Committee is aware that accelerating funding for the DIMHRS program may significantly and more quickly improve support to the joint staff and unified combatant commanders to provide a single, fully integrated personnel and pay management system for our service personnel. The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to accelerate funding for the fielding and implementation of DIMHRS. The Committee urges the Department of Defense to maintain the DIMHRS joint program manager co-located with the DIMHRS program office and development staff at the SPAWAR Information Technology Center [SITC].

cated with the DIMHRS program office and development staff at the SPAWAR Information Technology Center [SITC].

Special Operations Research and Development Funding.—The Committee recommends \$506,986,000 for research and development programs for Special Operations Forces. Similar to the position presented in the Procurement, Defense-Wide section of this report regarding the Command's budget structure, the Committee recommends increasing the number of program elements for research and development activities. The Committee hopes these modifications will increase the transparency of the actual level of resources necessary to execute the Command's programs and directs that the Committee's adjustments be adhered to in future

budget submissions.

TACTICOMP.—The Committee is aware of the requirement for advance modules of the TACTICOMP individual personal data assistant and recommends \$2,000,000 for Special Operations Forces for this purpose. The funding should be focused on the continued development of TACTICOMP advanced modules, the laser range finder and Navy dive board units.

OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE

Appropriations, 2004	\$305,861,000
Budget estimate, 2005	305,135,000
Committee recommendation	305.135.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$305,135,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In	thousands	of	dollars]	
-----	-----------	----	----------	--

	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATIONAL TEST & EVAL, DEFENSE			
	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT:			
1	TEST & EVALUATION SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	16,295	14,795	-1,500
	TOTAL. ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	16.295	14.795	- 1.500

182

	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
2	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT: CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT DEVELOP-			
	MENT [CT	123,562	130,562	+7,000
3	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION	42,390	42,390	
4	LIVE FIRE TESTING	10,209	10,209	
5	DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION	112,679	107,179	- 5,500
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	288,840	290,340	+ 1,500
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL TEST & EVAL, DEFENSE	305,135	305,135	

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	TEST & EVALUATION SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	16,295	14,795	- 1,500 - 1,500
2	[CTEIP]	123,562	130,562	+7,000
	Unmanned systems testbed project/Pathfinder demo UAV Systems and Operations Validation Facility Film Elimination Project			+ 5,000 + 7,000 + 1.000
5	Resource Enhancement Project DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION	112,679	107,179	- 6,000 - 5,500
	JTCG/METhreat Systems			- 3,000 - 2,500

TITLE V

REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS

DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS

Appropriations, 2004	\$1,641,507,000
Budget estimate, 2005	1,685,886,000
Committee recommendation	1,685,886,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,685,886,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

The Committee recommended appropriation is distributed as follows:

[In thousands of dollars]

ltem	Committee rec- ommendation
Working Capital Fund, Army Working Capital Fund, Navy Working Capital Fund, Air Force Working Capital Fund, Defense-Wide Working Capital Fund, Defense Commissary Agency	184,056 65,385 81,089 180,417 1,174,939
Total, Defense Working Capital Funds	1,685,886

NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND

Appropriations, 2004	\$1,066,462,000
Budget estimate, 2005	1,269,252,000
Committee recommendation	441,936,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$441,936,000.

This is \$827,316,000 below the budget estimate.

The Committee remains concerned about the status of construction of the T-AKE ships. While construction of the fiscal year 2000 appropriated ship has recently commenced, the Navy reports that the program has slipped an additional 6 months. The status of the production design is particularly concerning as only 2 of the 22 zones necessary for ship fabrication are complete. Further, the Committee notes that approximately \$2,000,000,000 of \$2,300,000,000 provided by the Congress since fiscal year 2000 for the program remains unexpended. As such, the Committee recommends reducing the budget request for the T-AKE until sufficient construction progress has been made on previously appropriated ships.

TITLE VI

OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS

DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM

Appropriations, 2004	\$15,730,013,000
Budget estimate, 2005	17,640,411,000
Committee recommendation	18.064.811.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$18,064,811,000.

This is \$424,400,000 above the budget estimate.

The Committee remains concerned by the Department's efforts to fund shortfalls in the contractor provided private sector care account from direct care funding for military treatment facilities [MTFs]. The Committee believes that such actions will adversely impact the ability of the MTFs to provide quality health care to military beneficiaries. Therefore, the Committee recommends that up to \$8,953,494,000 may be available for contractor provided medical services within TRICARE, and directs the Department to follow established prior approval reprogramming procedures before transferring funds from the direct care account to the private sector care account.

Third-Party Collections at Military Treatment Facilities.—The Committee is concerned by the findings of the General Accounting Office regarding the collection of third-party payments at military treatment facilities as outlined in the GAO report 04–322R of February 2004. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to make the necessary business process improvement to ensure that Military Treatment Facilities are collecting all appropriate third-party payments. The Committee believes that these collections are critical to maximizing health care delivery and preventing the further degradation of medical facilities

Enhanced Amputee Care.—The advent of body armor and substantial improvements in combat casualty care have contributed to the lowest "died of wounds rate" in recorded military warfare. However, the Global War on Terrorism has produced a surge in complex combat injuries involving the amputation of major limbs. Historically, 3 percent of those wounded in action required some amputation. Today that rate has jumped to 6 percent in Iraq. The Committee believes military amputees deserve the highest level of specialized care, and therefore recommends an additional \$18,400,000 to ensure military amputee patients are returned to the highest level of physical function and to active duty if possible. Of the funds provided, \$7,800,000 will contribute to improved operations at the Amputee Center at Walter Reed Army Medical Center. The Committee recommends \$9,000,000 for research into improved prosthetic care, limb development and rehabilitation, and

\$1,600,000 of procurement funding is provided for support equipment to furnish a new amputee center facility at Walter Reed Army Medical Center.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

Operation and Maintenance

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	Committee recommendation
Alaska Federal Health Care Network	+ 2,500
Amputee Center Operations at Walter Reed Army Medical Center	+ 7,800
Automated Clinical Practice Guidelines	+ 6,500
Brown Tree Snakes	+ 1,000
Center for Disaster Humanitarian Assistance Medicine [USUHS]	+1,000
Digital Access and Analysis of Historic Records at AFIP	+ 20,000
Defense and Veterans Head Injury Program	+7,000
Graduate School of Nursing	+ 2,500
Health Study at the Iowa Army Ammunition Plant	+1,000
Madigan Army Medical Trauma Unit	+ 2,000
Pacific Island Health Care Referral	+ 5,000
Special Operations Injury Prevention Program	+ 2,200
Tripler Cancer Care	+ 10,000
Tri-Service Nursing Research Program	+6,000
Walter Reed Army Medical Center SRM	+ 10,000
Walter Reed Army Medical Center Cancer Screening and Diagnostics	+11,500
Total adjustments	+ 96,000

Amputee Center Operations at Walter Reed Army Medical Center.—The Committee recommends an additional \$7,800,000 to fund operations at the Walter Reed Amputee Center. These funds are in addition to amounts currently budgeted for the Amputee Center.

Center for Disaster and Humanitarian Assistance Medicine.—The Committee recommends \$1,000,000 for the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences [USUHS] Center for Disaster and Humanitarian Assistance Medicine [CDHAM]. The Committee encourages USUHS to provide funding for the Casualty Care Research Center [CCRC] and the Center for the Study of Traumatic Stress [CSTS].

Defense and Veterans Head Injury Program.—The Committee recommends an additional \$7,000,000 for the Defense and Veterans Head Injury Program to support enhanced medical care for active duty servicemembers who have sustained brain trauma.

Walter Reed Army Medical Center.—The Committee recommends \$10,000,000 for the Walter Reed Army Medical Center to fund facilities sustainment, restoration, and modernization projects. These funds are in addition to amounts currently budgeted for Walter Reed Army Medical Center.

Procurement

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	Committee recommendation
Support Equipment for Amputee Center at Walter Reed Army Medical Center	+ 1,600
Total adjustments	+ 1,600

Support Equipment for the Walter Reed Amputee Center.—The Committee recommends an additional \$1,600,000 for support equipment to outfit a new Amputee Center facility at Walter Reed Army Medical Center. The Committee understands that design and construction of the facility will be funded within existing resources.

Research, Development, Test and Evaluation

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Item	Committee recommendation
Peer Reviewed Cancer Research Program	+ 200,000
Peer Reviewed Medical Research Program	+ 50,000
Aircrew Laser Eye Protection [ALEP]	+ 6,500
Alliance for NanoHealth	+ 1.000
Clinical Coupler Integration	+ 4.000
Gulf War Illnesses	+ 5,000
Hawaii Federal Health Care Network	+ 25,000
Integrative Healing Practices for Veterans	+ 2.000
Manganese Health Research	+ 3,000
Military Complementary and Alternative Medicine	+ 3.000
Medical Error Reduction Initiative	+ 1.000
Muscle Research Consortium	+ 1,000
National Prion Research Program	+ 2.000
Preventive Medicine Research for Prostate Cancer	+ 2.000
Prosthetic Limb Development at Walter Reed Amputee Center	+ 9.000
Rapid Identification and Treatment for AFSOC Forces	+ 7,500
Telerobotic and Minimally Invasive Surgery at WRAMC	+ 4,800
Total adjustments	+ 326,800

Peer Reviewed Cancer Research Program.—The Committee has provided \$200,000,000 for a Peer Reviewed Cancer Research Program. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in conjunction with the service Surgeons General, to select cancer research projects of clear scientific merit and direct relevance to military health. The projects being considered should include breast cancer, blood related cancers, ovarian cancer, prostate cancer, providence cancer research project, and targeted nano-therapeutics for advanced breast and prostate cancer. The Secretary should use existing peer review procedures for selecting projects for funding in order to optimize the resources available for cancer research.

Peer Reviewed Medical Research Program.—The Committee has provided \$50,000,000 for a Peer Reviewed Medical Research Program. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in conjunction with the service Surgeons General, to select medical research projects of clear scientific merit and direct relevance to military health.

Such projects could include: amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; alcoholism research; anti-radiation drug development; childhood asth-

ma; chronic pain and fatigue research; conjugate vaccines to prevent shigellosis; diabetes research; duchenne's disease research; epilepsy research; lupus; neurofibromatosis; the neurotoxin expoprogram; treatment orthopaedic extremity osteoporosis and related bone diseases; Paget's Disease; post traumatic stress disorders; social work research; tuberous sclerosis complex; Volume Angio CAT [VAC] research; and autoimmune diseases such as scleroderma and sjogren's syndrome.

The Committee directs the Department to provide a report by March 1, 2005, on the status of these Peer Reviewed Cancer Re-

search and Medical Research Programs.

Clinical Coupler Integration.—The Committee commends the Department for beginning the deployment of CHCSII, the electronic medical record that will improve the quality of care and documentation for servicemembers. The Committee recommends \$4,000,000 to continue integrating clinical decision support tools that use coupling technologies into CHCSII.

Alcoholism Research.—The Committee remains concerned about excessive alcohol consumption among military members. Alcoholism is a significant factor in suicide and accidental deaths, as well as lost productivity and health problems. Research holds the promise of developing more effective prevention programs and new and better methods for the treatment of alcoholism. For example, the development of effective drug therapies for alcoholism requires an improved understanding of how alcohol changes brain function to produce craving, loss of control, tolerance, and the alcohol withdrawal syndrome.

ADDITIONAL ITEMS OF INTEREST

Chiropractic Health Care Benefits Advisory Committee.—The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to convene a chiropractic health care benefits advisory committee to provide advice and recommendations regarding the continued development and implementation of chiropractic health care benefits for active duty military personnel. The committee recommends that the advisory committee meet no fewer than three times in each fiscal year beginning in fiscal year 2005.

Health Professions Loan Repayment Program.—The Committee strongly encourages the Department to continue to utilize programs for loan repayment that are separate from the Health Professions Scholarship and the Financial Assistance Programs. The Committee understands that dentists, nurses, pharmacists, psychologists, and optometrists all indicate that greater targeting of funds for loan repayment enhances recruitment and retention ef-

forts.

Integrated Pharmacy Programs.—The Committee is pleased with the efforts of the Tripler Army Medical Center [TAMC] and the Spark M. Matsunaga VA Medical Center to integrate their pharmacy services and directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs), in cooperation with the Department of Veterans Affairs, to prepare a report on progress in this critical area. The report should include the status of the pharmacy data systems bidirectional interface at Tripler Army Medical Center and plans to complete the project. In addition, the report should also detail efforts to integrate pharmacies beyond data systems integration at TAMC/Matsunaga VA. This report is to be submitted to the congressional defense committees before the presentation of the fiscal

year 2006 budget estimate.

Nurse Officers-to-Nurse Faculty.—The Committee recognizes that the current nursing shortage is having an effect on the quality and efficacy of the entire health care system. The Committee encourages the creation of a Nurse Officers-to-Educators Program, modeled after the successful Troops-to-Teachers Program at the Department of Defense, to place qualified nurse military veterans into educator positions in accredited schools of nursing.

Post-Doctoral Education.—The Committee continues to be supportive of postdoctoral training in health psychology and notes the successes and merits of the progress being made at Tripler Army Medical Center. The Department of Defense is encouraged to consolidate postdoctoral training efforts for psychologists in those military medical centers where independent departments of psychology exist and to lengthen it to a 2-year program, where appropriate.

exist and to lengthen it to a 2-year program, where appropriate.

Graduate Professional Education.—The Committee continues its strong support of equitable distribution of Department of Defense funds for graduate professional education to all health professions,

including nursing.

Nurse Accession Bonus.—The Committee remains concerned that despite the nursing shortage, the Department has yet to increase accession bonuses for nurses in the services, which currently is the lowest of any of the health professions at \$5,000. In order to attract the best and the brightest, this amount must be increased, and the Committee urges the Department to remedy this inequity.

Certified Registered Nurse Anesthetists.—The Committee reiterates its strong support of the current scope of practice of military Certified Registered Nurse Anesthetists [CRNAs] to practice as li-

censed independent providers of anesthesia care.

Pediatric Emergency Medical Services.—The Committee expresses its strong support for pediatric-specific EMS training and equipment related to hospital preparedness. The Committee urges the Secretary of Defense to ensure that Military Treatment Facilities maintain these initiatives in recognition of the unique emer-

gency medical needs of children.

Behavioral Research in the Military Service Laboratories.—The Committee recognizes that psychological scientists address a broad range of important issues and problems vital to our national security through the military research laboratories. Given the increasingly complex demands on our military personnel, psychological research on leadership, decision-making under stress, cognitive readiness, training, and human-technology interactions have become even more mission-critical. The Committee strongly encourages the service laboratories to reverse cuts made to their behavioral research programs.

Tobacco Use Cessation.—Tobacco use cost the Defense Department hundreds of millions of dollars every year in medical cost and lost productivity. While the Department has established ambitious goals to decrease the use of tobacco products, it has not aggressively pursued suggested pilot programs and not yet incorporated smoking cessation in TRICARE Prime benefits even though such

preventative care saves money. The Committee urges the Department to expedite availability of tobacco use prevention and cessation programs to all personnel.

CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2004	\$1,500,261,000
Budget estimate, 2005	1,371,990,000
Committee recommendation	1.373.990.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,373,990,000. This is \$2,000,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In	thousands	of	dol	lars]	
-----	-----------	----	-----	-------	--

Line	ltem	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommen- dation	Change from budget estimate
1	Operation and maintenance	1,138,801	1,088,801	- 50,000 - 50,000
2	Procurement	78,980	78,980	
3	Research, development, test and evaluation	154,209	206,209	+ 52,000
	ACWA, Pueblo, Colorado			+ 50,000
	Chemical Agent Detectors for Bluegrass			+ 2,000

The budget request included \$1,371,990,000 for the Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Army appropriation. This is a decrease of \$128,271,000 below the fiscal year 2004 appropriated level

The Department of Defense elected to reduce overall funding for the chemical demilitarization program despite the increased activities associated with the commencement of GB agent operations at Pine Bluff Chemical Agent Disposal Facility and Umatilla Chemical Agent Disposal Facility later this year. In addition to the additional activities planned for the Chemical Stockpile Disposal Project [CSDP], contractor labor costs at CDSP sites increase by \$534,000,000. To finance partially the CSDP cost growth the Office of the Secretary of Defense reduced by \$147,000,000 planned fiscal year 2005 funding for Assembled Chemical Weapons Alternatives [ACWA] program activities at the Pueblo Chemical Agent Destruction Pilot Plant. The decision to reduce the funding requested for ACWA was made despite the fact that in 2002 the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics directed the Army and ACWA to accelerate destruction of the chemical weapons stockpile at Pueblo. Estimated carryover for the Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Army appropriation from fiscal year 2004 to 2005 should exceed \$140,000,000. The Committee recommends a decrease of \$50,000,000 for operation and maintenance carryover.

The ACWA program remains a priority of the Committee. During fiscal year 2005 the Department is expected to complete the design and earliest stage of construction at Pueblo. To restore this facility to its original schedule, the Committee recommends additional funding of \$50,000,000 under research and development for Pueblo, Colorado.

DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE

Appropriations, 2004	\$835,616,000
Budget estimate, 2005	852,697,000
Committee recommendation	908,797,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$908,797,000. This is \$56,100,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

ltem	Committee recommendation
Alaska National Guard Counterdrug Program	+ 3.000
Hawaii National Guard Counterdrug Program	+ 3.000
Appalachia High Intensity Trafficking Area	+ 1.500
West Virginia National Guard Counterdrug Program	+ 3.000
Kentucky National Guard Counterdrug and HIDTA Program	+ 3,600
Nevada National Guard CD RAID Program	+ 3.000
New Mexico National Guard Counterdrug Program	+ 2.000
Regional Counterdrug Training Academy, Mississippi	+ 3.000
Northeast Regional Counterdrug Training Center	+ 4.000
Midwest Regional Counterdrug Training Center	+ 5,000
National Guard Counterdrug Support	+ 25,000
TOTAL	+ 56,100

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

Appropriations, 2004	\$162,449,000
Budget estimate, 2005	244,562,000
Committee recommendation	244,562,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of $\$244,\!562,\!000$. This is equal to the budget estimate.

TITLE VII

RELATED AGENCIES

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY RETIREMENT AND DISABILITY SYSTEM FUND

Appropriations, 2004	\$226,400,000
Budget estimate, 2005	239,400,000
Committee recommendation	239,400,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$239,400,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT

Appropriations, 2004	\$175,113,000
Budget estimate, 2005	304,355,000
Committee recommendation	319,355,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$319,355,000. This is \$15,000,000 above the budget estimate.

NATIONAL SECURITY EDUCATION TRUST FUND

Appropriations, 2004	\$8,000,000
Budget estimate, 2005	8,000,000
Committee recommendation	8,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$8,000,000. This

is equal to the budget estimate.

The Committee has reviewed the Department of Defense report (required by Senate Report 108–87) on the long term solvency of the National Security Education Trust Fund, and remains very concerned about the National Security Education Program's [NSEP] funding status.

The Committee agrees with the report's conclusion that the Trust Fund will be depleted after fiscal year 2005, and that NSEP should be funded with a direct appropriation from the general fund starting in fiscal year 2006. It is appropriate for NSEP to be funded under the National Defense University line in the Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide account.

The Committee directs the Department of Defense to request a direct appropriation from the general fund for NSEP with the fiscal year 2006 budget estimate, and to submit any required legislative

language dissolving the Trust Fund.

Finally, the Committee is aware of the Department's language training transformation initiatives, and directs the Department to develop a comprehensive strategic plan for these efforts. This plan should include a detailed analysis of NSEP's role in any such transformation, including the congressionally authorized National Flagship Language Initiative, and should set forth the full budgetary requirements for the Department's language transformation

efforts in the out-years. Further, the Committee directs the Department to report on this plan in writing to the congressional defense committees before presentation of the fiscal year 2006 budget estimate.

TITLE VIII

GENERAL PROVISIONS

The following lists general provisions proposed by the Committee. The Committee recommends inclusion of several proposals which have been incorporated in previous appropriations acts, provisions requested for inclusion by the Defense Department, and new provisions. The Committee recommendations are as follows:

SEC. 8001. Publicity/Propaganda Limitation.—Retains a provi-

sion carried in previous years.

Sec. 8002. Compensation/Employment of Foreign Nationals.— Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8003. Obligation Rate of Appropriations.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8004. Obligations in Last 2 Months of Fiscal Year.—Retains

a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8005. *Transfers*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8006. Working Capital Fund Cash Disbursements.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8007. Special Access Programs Notification.—Retains a pro-

vision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8008. Multiyear Procurement Authority.—The Committee includes multiyear contract authority for programs as noted in the section.

SEC. 8009. *Humanitarian and Civic Assistance*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8010. Civilian Personnel Ceilings.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8011. Overseas Installation Notification.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8012. *Lobbying*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8013. Educational Benefits and Bonuses.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8014. Organizational Analysis/Contracting Out.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8015. *Mentor-Protégé Program*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8016. Anchor Chains.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8017. CHAMPUS/TRICARE Mental Health Benefits.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8018. Residual Value Negotiations.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8019. Demilitarization of Surplus Firearms.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8020. Relocations Into the NCR.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8021. Indian Financing Act Incentives.—Retains a provision

carried in previous years.

Sec. 8022. A–76 Študies.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8023. American Forces Information Service.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8024. Wage Rate—Civilian Health.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8025. Funding for Grants.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8026. *Native Allotments*.—Includes a new provision regarding native allotments.

Sec. 8027. Burden Sharing.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8028. Civil Air Patrol.—Retains and modifies a provision for the Civil Air Patrol.

SEC. 8029. Federally Funded Research and Development Centers.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8030. Carbon, Alloy, or Armor Steel Plate.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8031. Congressional Defense Committees Definition.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8032. Depot Maintenance Competition.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8033. Reciprocal Trade Agreements.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8034. Energy Cost Savings.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8035. Non-Excess Property Leases.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8036. Army Corps of Engineers.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8037. Young Marines Program.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8038. Overseas Military Facility Investment.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8039. Walking Shield.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8040. *Investment Item Unit Cost.*—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8041. Defense Working Capital Fund/Investment Item.—Retains a provision made in previous years.

Sec. 8042. CIA Availability of Funds.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8043. *GDIP Information System*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8044. *Indian Tribes Environmental Impact*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8045. Compliance With the Buy America Act.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8046. Competition for Consultants and Studies Programs.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8047. *Field Operating Agencies*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8048. T-AGOS Transfer.—Includes a new provision regard-

ing the transfer of T-AGOS vessels.

SEC. 8049. Rescissions.—The Committee recommends a general provision rescinding funds from prior years as displayed below:

	Amount
2002 Appropriations:	
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy: Cruiser Conversion	\$20,444,000
2004 Appropriations:	
Weapons Procurement, Navy: Cruiser Modernization	15,157,000
Other Procurement, Navy: Cruiser Modernization	54,338,000
Procurement, Defense-Wide: ASDS	23,571,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army: MEADS	25,000,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide:	
Computing and Communications Technology-Language Translation	6,800,000
Operational Systems Development—Management Headquarters	3,300,000
Total rescissions	148,610,000

Sec. 8050. Civilian Technicians Reductions.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8051. Prohibition on Assistance to North Korea.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8052. National Guard/Duty Compensation.—Retains a pro-

vision carried in previous years.

SEC 8053 Reimbursement for Rei

Sec. 8053. Reimbursement for Reserve Component Intelligence Personnel.—Retains a provision carried in previous years. Sec. 8054. Civilian Medical Personnel Reductions.—Retains a

SEC. 8054. Civilian Medical Personnel Reductions.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8055. Pentagon Renovation Costs.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8056. Environmental Contracting.—Retains a provision car-

ried in previous years.

SEC. 8057. Counter-Drug Activities Transfer.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

sion carried in previous years.

SEC. 8058. Energy and Water Efficiency.—Retains a provision

carried in previous years.

SEC. 8059. Ball and Roller Bearings.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8060. American Samoa Transfer.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8061. Buy American Computers.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8062. Savings Reductions.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8063. Local Hire in Noncontiguous States.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8064. Transfer to Other Agencies.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8065. Restrictions on Transfer of Equipment and Supplies.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8066. Export Loan Guarantee Program.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8067. Contractor Bonuses Due to Business Restructuring.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8068. Restriction of Funds for Diplomatic Facilities.—Re-

tains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8069. Reserve Peacetime Support to Active Duty and Civilian Activities.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8070. Expired Obligations and Unexpended Balances.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8071. Facilities Maintenance and Repair.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8072. National Guard Distance Learning.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8073. Heating Plants in Europe.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8074. End-Item Procurement.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8075. Sale of F-22 to Foreign Nations.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8076. Buy Åmerican Waivers.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8077. Training of Security Forces of a Foreign Country.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8078. Surplus Dental Equipment.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8079. *T-AKE*.—Retai̇́ns a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8080. *RPM Family Housing*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8081. ACTD Project.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8082. Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8083. Transportation Working Capital Fund Reductions.— Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8084. *Information Technology Systems*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8085. Support to Other Government Agencies.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8086. Armor Piercing Ammo.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8087. Leasing Authority for National Guard Bureau.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8088. Alcoholic Beverages.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8089. *PMRF Infrastructure*.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8090. GPS.—Retains a provision carried in previous years. SEC. 8091. O&M, R&D Defense-Wide Transfers.—Retains and modifies a provision facilitating the transfer of funds.

SEC. 8092. Disbursements.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8093. *Littoral Combat Ship*.—Includes a new provision restricting the use of funds for the Littoral Combat Ship.

SEC. 8094. Advisory and Assistance Services.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8095. Arrow.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in years.

SEC. 8096. Coast Guard Aircraft.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8097. *Prior Year Shipbuilding*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8098. Assignment of Forces.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8099. Special Pay.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8100. *Intelligence Authorization*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8101. Local School Funding/Special Needs Funding.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8102. *New Start Authority*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8103. Working Capital Fund Cash Balance Reductions.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8104. Stryker Brigades.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8105. *B*–52's.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8106. *Elmendorf Air Force Base*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8107. Army Tooele Depot.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8108. OCOTF Report.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8109. Ship Cost Adjustment.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8110. OCOTF Budget Justification.—Retains a provision carried in previous years

Sec. 8111. Nuclear Armed Interceptors.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8112. Buy America Seafood Waiver.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8113. Counter-Terrorism Fellowship Program.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8114. 53rd Weather Reconnaissance Squadron.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8115. Terrorism Information Awareness Program.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8116. *Travel Reduction*.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 8117. Contingent Emergency.—Includes a provision that designates certain amounts provided as a contingent emergency requirement.

SEC. 8118. *Naval Magazine Lualualei*.—Includes a new provision relating to drainage and flood control.

SEC. 8119. EHIME MARU.—Retains a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 8120. Naval Military Academy High School.—Includes a new provision relating to the Naval Military Academy High School.

TITLE IX

CONTINGENT EMERGENCY RESERVE FUND

IRAQ FREEDOM FUND

Budget estimate, 2005	\$25,000,000,000
Committee recommendation	25,000,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$25,000,000,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In	thousands	۸f	dollara	1

Item	2005 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Military personnel Operation and maintenance Procurement Defense Health Program		504,600 17,595,400 2,304,000 746,000	+ 504,600 + 17,595,400 + 2,304,000 + 746,000
Classified programs Iraqi Captured Enemy Ammunition Iraq Freedom Fund Total, Title IX		1,250,000 100,000 2,500,000 25.000.000	+ 1,250,000 + 100,000 - 22,500,000

OVERVIEW

On May 12, 2004 the President submitted a budget amendment for the Department of Defense, requesting \$25,000,000,000 in the Iraq Freedom Fund as a contingent emergency reserve. The Department of Defense developed this recommendation following discussions with our commanders in Iraq and Afghanistan. Developments on the ground and uncertainty when Congress would be in session to consider a fiscal year 2005 supplemental indicated that the availability of a reserve fund may be necessary to ensure there is no disruption in funding and resources for our armed forces.

This contingent emergency reserve fund would be available only after a subsequent request by the President that designates all or some of the funds as an emergency and essential to operations in Iraq and Afghanistan. The cost of continued operations of the next year cannot be estimated with precision at this time. The contingent emergency reserve fund would be accessed should there be a need for additional resources. The Committee understands that the Administration plans to submit a full supplemental request in early 2005 when the Department of Defense will have better information on required resources. Until then, the contingent emergency

reserve fund ensures that our troops will have the necessary resources when they need them. Funds spent from the reserve would reduce the cost of a full fiscal year $200\overline{5}$ supplemental request.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee fully supports the President's request for a \$25,000,000,000 contingent emergency reserve fund, and commends the Administration for submitting this request. Some level of contingency operations funding is clearly required early in fiscal year 2005, to avoid absorbing the incremental costs of increased military operations by disrupting base programs and forcing the cancella-

tion or deferral of planned operational activities.

The Committee recommends providing \$25,000,000,000, available upon enactment, as a contingent emergency reserve in the Iraq Freedom Fund [IFF]. The Iraq Freedom Fund, a transfer account created by the Congress in the Emergency Wartime Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2003 (Public Law 108–11) provides necessary financial flexibility and ensures proper congressional oversight. By initially providing resources in the IFF, instead of providing resources in established appropriation accounts, the Committee seeks to be consistent with the Administration's request for a contingent emergency reserve fund that would be used only as needed.

After a budget request and required emergency designation by the President, the Committee recommendation would, by directed transfer from the IFF, provide \$22,500,000,000 to established ap-

propriation accounts.

The Committee has maintained a statutory requirements for 5day advance Congressional notification to Congress before making any transfer from the IFF, and a quarterly reporting requirement on the use of funds.

MILITARY PERSONNEL

The Committee recommends \$504,600,000 for the military personnel accounts. Of this amount, the Committee recommends \$126,600,000 for the purpose of increasing Family Separation Allowance from \$100 to \$250 per month and Imminent Danger Pay from \$150 to \$225 per month. In addition, the Committee recommends \$378,000,000 to support increased Army end strength as provided in S. 2400, National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005. Further, the Committee provides \$227,000,000 within this title to support operation and maintenance costs associated with increased Army end strength.

The following table provides details of the recommendation for the military personnel accounts:

	Amount
Military Personnel, Army:	
End strength increase	378,000
Family Separation Allowance increase	32,800
Imminent Danger Pay increase	4,900
Subtotal	415,700

	Amount
Military Personnel, Navy: Family Separation Allowance increase Imminent Danger Pay increase	27,000 700
Subtotal	27,700
Military Personnel, Marine Corps: Family Separation Allowance increase Imminent Danger Pay increase	13,800 2,400
	16,200
Military Personnel, Air Force: Family Separation Allowance increase Imminent Danger Pay increase	28,500 16,500
Subtotal	45,000
Total, Military personnel	504,600

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The Committee recommends \$17,595,400,000 for the operation and maintenance accounts. Of the total funding in Title IX, the Committee has provided almost three-quarters of the funding in the various operation and maintenance accounts, as these are the accounts most under strain when financing contingency military operations.

These funds are available to fund military operations by the services and Special Operations Forces to include financing flying hours, ship steaming days, ground operations, special airlift missions, increased ship and aircraft maintenance, logistics support, fuel purchases, base support, civilian personnel, personnel support costs, overseas transportation, communications support, facility management and other operation and maintenance requirements.

The following table provides details of the recommendation for the operation and maintenance accounts:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Amount
Operation & Maintenance, Army:	
Incremental wartime operating costs	13,033,100
Rapid Fielding Initiative (RFI)	390,300
Interceptor Body Armor	295,000
End strength increase Upper body deltoid protection	227,000
Upper body deltoid protection	39,600
Family Readiness Program	15,000
Subtotal	14,000,000
Operation & Maintenance. Naw:	
Incremental wartime operating costs	500,000
Subtotal	500,000
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps:	
Incremental wartime operating costs	2,100,000
Interceptor Body Armor	100,000

	Amount
Subtotal	2,200,000
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force:	200.000
Subtotal	200,000
Operation & Maintenance, Defense-Wide: Military operations costs	150,000 545,400
Subtotal	695,400
Total, Operation & maintenance	17,595,400

Reservists Pay Security Act of 2004.—The Committee recognizes that S. 2400, National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005, includes the Reservists Pay Security Act of 2004. This provides for a nonreduction in pay while a Federal employee is mobilized to perform active service as a member of the National Guard or Reserve. Should this provision be adopted in the conference on the defense authorization bill, the Committee directs the Department of Defense to absorb the costs of this new civilian personnel benefit from within funds provided.

PROCUREMENT

The Committee recommends \$2,304,000,000 for the procurement accounts. Of this amount, the Committee recommends \$1,750,000,000 to fund the Army's most urgently required procurement needs, including improving force protection, accelerating Army modularity, and procuring essential equipment and munitions. In addition, the Committee recommends \$554,000,000 to fund the Marine Corps' most urgently required procurement needs, including improving force protection, and procuring essential equipment and munitions.

The following table provides details of the recommendation for the procurement accounts:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Amount
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army:	
Rapid Fielding Initiative WTCV, Modularity XM-8 Assault Weapon, Modularity	150,000
WICV, Modularity	125,000 13,000
Weapons, Modularity	25,000
Subtotal	313,000
Procurement of Ammunition, Army: Small arms ammunition expended	150,000
Subtotal	150,000
Other Procurement, Army:	
Rapid Response Force Protection Initiative Joint Network Nodes	865,000
Joint Network Nodes	247,000 122.000

203

	Amount
EOD Robots REF Improvised Explosive Device (IED) Initiative Predator SATCOM upgrades	25,000 25,000 3,000
Subtotal	1,287,000
Subtotal, Army procurement	1,750,000
Aircraft Procurement, Navy: CH-53 T64 engine government reliability improvement Aircraft Survivability Equipment EA-6B readiness and sustainment CH-46 engine reliability improvement program (ERIP)	40,000 34,000 25,000 5,000
Subtotal	104,000
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy & Marine Corps: Ammunition expended	109,000 11,000 120,000
Procurement, Marine Corps: Vehicle hardening Unfunded requirements	240,000 90,000
Subtotal	330,000
Subtotal, Marine Corps procurement	554,000
Total, Procurement	2,304,000

Rapid Response Force Protection Initiative.—The Committee notes with great interest the recent increase in spending for Up Armored High Mobility Multi-purpose Wheeled Vehicles [UAHs]. The Committee also believes that an increased buy of UAHs is not the only solution to increased protection for our deployed soldiers in the field. In the interest of expanding force protection options at the disposal of theater commanders, the Committee strongly encourages the Army to explore increased flexibility with regard to force protection. For example, individual situations may warrant different responses in the way of armored protection for deployed soldiers. Additional convoy protection may be best served by not only one single variant of armored vehicle, but by a combination of both heavy and light vehicles. These vehicles may include UAHs, armored personnel carriers (M-113A2/3) with armor kits, bolt-on armor kits, applied up-armoring systems, armored cabs, or armored security vehicles [ASV]. Therefore, the Committee recommends an additional \$865,000,000 to be used by the Army for the purpose of rapid response to force protection. It is the intent of the Committee that this appropriation shall be used to purchase and modify such vehicles as are needed to respond rapidly and dynamically to the threat presented due to IEDs and mortar attacks currently experienced by deployed U.S. forces. Such procurements shall include UAHs, armor bolt-on kits for M-113A2/3 armored personnel carriers, bolt-on armor kits, applied up-armoring systems, armored cabs, or armored security vehicles. The Secretary of the Army shall

provide a report to the congressional defense committees detailing all expenditures made using these funds.

DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM

The Committee recommends \$746,000,000 for the Defense Health Program. These funds are for incremental wartime operating expenses in the military medical system, including additional health care costs that result from mobilizing members of the reserve components. Also, the recommendation provides funds for 5 months of enhanced TRICARE benefits for members of the National Guard and Reserve as included in S. 2400, National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005. These enhanced benefits include the extension of pre-deployment and post-deployment eligibility for TRICARE, and the enrollment of non-active duty status reservists into TRICARE on a cost sharing basis.

CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS

The Committee recommends \$1,250,000,000 for classified programs, described in further detail in the classified annex.

IRAQI CAPTURED ENEMY AMMUNITION

The Committee recommends \$100,000,000 for the purpose of se-

curing and destroying conventional munitions in Iraq.

As of June 11, 2004, approximately 340,000 tons of enemy ammunition have been discovered in Iraq and Coalition Forces are discovering additional weapons caches on almost a daily basis. Currently, captured ammunition is stored at 58 sites throughout the country. Of these sites, there are 15 secured sites and 43 partially secured sites. The Committee is extremely concerned about reports that weapons, ammunition and explosives at many of the partially secured sites are easily accessible to enemy combatants that have the means to load and transport them. To address this serious issue, the Committee provides \$100,000,000 to consolidate, secure, demilitarize and account for Captured Enemy Ammunition [CEA] in Iraq. The Department of Defense should consult with the Iraqi Interim Government to ensure that this initiative is fully coordinated between the two countries. In addition, the Committee directs the Director of the Office of Management in Budget [OMB] to conduct an inter-agency review to assess and establish the best approaches and identify innovative technology solutions for accomplishing the goal of securing and demilitarizing CEA in Iraq. This review is to be conducted in consultation with the Chairman of Joint Chiefs of Staff and other Executive Branch Agencies. Further, the Committee directs OMB to report the findings of this review to the congressional defense committees no later than November 15, 2004.

FUNDING SHORTFALLS

The Committee understands the tremendous financial burden the Global War on Terrorism has placed upon the Department and recommends that of the funds provided in Title IX up to \$740,000,000 may be used for the Defense Working Capital Funds to address increased fuel costs, up to \$100,000,000 may be used to purchase equipment for the National Guard and Reserve, and up to \$100,000,000 may be used for United States Coast Guard operations in support of the Department of Defense in Iraq and Afghanistan.

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The Committee is disappointed by the responsiveness of the Defense Department in reports required under Public Law 108–11, Public Law 108–106, and Public Law 108–87. While recent reporting has been better, on balance, the reports lack details and arrive significantly later than required. Many are still outstanding. Reports such as the quarterly reports for the Iraq Freedom Fund and quarterly reports for the Commanders Emergency Response Fund have lacked sufficient detail for the Committees' oversight responsibilities.

Therefore, the Committee directs the Department to include meaningful detail beyond the appropriation account and sub-activity group to describe the purposes and specific use of funding in all reports submitted to the Committee. The Committee expects that these reports will be completed and delivered to the Committee by the proposed due dates and the reports will detail the projects, operations, equipment, personnel, and benefits funded through these accounts.

GENERAL PROVISIONS, TITLE IX

SEC. 9001. Funds for Support of Coalition Forces.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

Sec. 9002. Funds for Assistance to Iraqi and Afghan Armies.—

Modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9003. Commander's Emergency Response Program.—Retains a provision that provides authority for the CERP.

Sec. 9004. Afghan Freedom Support Act.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

SEC. 9005. New Starts.—Retains and modifies a provision carried in previous years.

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7, RULE XVI, OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 7 of rule XVI requires that Committee reports on general appropriations bills identify each Committee amendment to the House bill "which proposes an item of appropriation which is not made to carry out the provisions of an existing law, a treaty stipulation, or an act or resolution previously passed by the Senate during that session."

At the point when the Committee reports this measure, the Congress has not completed action on the Fiscal Year 2005 Defense Authorization Act. As a consequence, the Committee has acted in good faith in its attempt to comply fully with requirements stipulated under paragraph 7, rule XVI.

The Committee anticipates that Congress will authorize the

amounts appropriated in this act.

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7(C), RULE XXVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Pursuant to paragraph 7(c) of rule XXVI, on June 22, 2004, the Committee ordered reported S. 2559, an original bill making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2005, subject to amendment, by a unanimous voice vote, a quorum being present.

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 12, RULE XXVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 12 of rule XXVI requires that Committee reports on a bill or joint resolution repealing or amending any statute or part of any statute include "(a) the text of the statute or part thereof which is proposed to be repealed; and (b) a comparative print of that part of the bill or joint resolution making the amendment and of the statute or part thereof proposed to be amended, showing by stricken-through type and italics, parallel columns, or other appropriate typographical devices the omissions and insertions which would be made by the bill or joint resolution if enacted in the form recommended by the committee."

With respect to this bill, it is the opinion of the Committee that it is necessary to dispense with these requirements in order to expedite the business of the Senate.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2004 AND BUDGET ESTIMATES AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005
[In thousands of dollars]

	207	
recommendation (+ or -) Budget estimate	- 265,675 - 129,786 - 28,134 - 345,800 - 58,200 - 39,200 - 39,000 - 37,400 - 37,400 - 2,550	- 942,145 - 428,302 - 197,511 - 14,642 - 189,100 + 58,238 - 29,090 + 4,800 - 39,000 - 68,250 + 31,400
Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -) 2004 Budget estima	+ 1,210,130 + 1,112,873 + 595,871 + 1,254,143 + 106,665 + 129,705 + 129,705 + 170,562 + 412,960 + 412,960 + 369,294	+ 5,415,732 + 675,763 + 1,445,021 + 1,71,150 + 1,325,473 - 19,571 + 11,917 + 11,917
Committee recommendation	29,457,797 24,330,171 9,567,768 24,165,011 3,675,390 2,132,43 624,973 1,486,50 5,913,329 2,543,892	25,705,109 29,591,679 3,617,473 28,282,160 17,552,314 1,979,038 1,247,838 1,248,388 1,246,338 4,372,436 4,454,238
Budget estimate	29,723,472 24,459,597 9,595,902 24,510,811 3,733,590 2,171,632 654,973 1,464,973 1,464,973 2,546,442	26,133,411 29,789,190 3,622,115 28,471,260 17,494,076 2,008,128 1,240,038 1,240,038 1,240,038 1,240,038 1,240,038
2004 a ppropria tion	28,247,667 23,217,298 8,917,897 22,910,868 3,568,725 2,002,727 5,174 1,288,088 5,500,369 2,174,598	25,029,346 28,146,658 3,440,323 26,904,731 16,226,841 1,72921 1,72,921 1,72,921 1,72,921 1,73,922 2,179,388 4,340,581 4,340,581
ltem	Military Personnel, Army Military Personnel, Army Military Personnel, Marine Corps Military Personnel, Arrive Reserve Personnel, Arrive Reserve Personnel, Arrive Corps Reserve Personnel, Marive Corps National Guard Personnel, Arrive	Total, title I, Military Personnel DePERATION AND MAINTENANCE Operation and Maintenance, Army I Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard I Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard I Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard I

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005—Continued
FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005—Continued

	2	808	
recommendation (+ or -) Budget estimate	+ 166,000 + 181,000 + 60,000	- 464,457	-5,000 +20,000 +39,000 +449,600 +444,960 +131,500 +121,091 +203,500 +203,500 +384,330 -109,100 -142,598 +14,700 +203,616
Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -) 2004 Budget estimates	+ 25,000 + 492 + 170,930 + 191,667 + 13,061 - 397 - 8,103	+5,495,255	+ 499,206 - 127,141 - 158,359 + 218,743 - 88,606 - 211,481 + 126,386 - 137,65 - 129,980 + 101,076 + 1461,323 + 1461,323 + 1461,323 + 1461,323 + 1461,323 + 17,26,098
Committee recommendation	30,000 10,825 566,948 447,820 397,368 23,884 26,516 59,000 409,200	121,410,132	2,653,241 1,378,321 1,606,502 4,685,846 8,899,367 2,222,620 881,140 10,171,64 4,797,025 1,266,803 1,353,889 1,353,889
Budget estimate	30,000 10,825 400,948 266,820 397,368 23,684 216,516 59,000 409,200	121,874,589	2,658,241 1,388,321 1,565,902 1,565,902 4,240,896 8,767,867 2,101,529 8,56,400 9,952,007 4,834,278 1,199,103 1,199,103 1,396,457 1,396,457
2004 appropriation	5,000 10,333 366,018 266,153 384,307 24,081 284,619 59,000 450,800	115,914,877	2,154,035 1,505,462 1,857,054 1,387,705 4,774,452 9,110,848 2,095,784 2,095,784 9,941,098 1,165,727 12,086,201 4,165,633 1,265,735
ltem	Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces Environmental Restoration, Army Environmental Restoration, Air Force Environmental Restoration, Air Force Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide Environmental Restoration, Permery Used Defense Sites Overseas Humanitarian, Dissaster, and Civic Aid Former Soviet Union Threat Reduction	Total, title II, Operation and maintenance	Aircraft Procurement, Army¹ Missile Procurement, Army¹ Missile Procurement, Army¹ Procurement of Manunition, Army¹ Aircraft Procurement, Army¹ Aircraft Procurement, Navy Weapons Procurement, Navy Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy Other Procurement, Navy Procurement, Navy Other Procurement, Navy Aircraft Procurement, Navy Other Procurement, Navy Missile Procurement, Navy Other Procurement, Navy Other Procurement, Navy Other Procurement, Navy Aircraft Procurement, Navi Force Procurement, Navi Force Procurement, Navi Force Other Procurement, Air Force Procurement, Air Force

Procurement, Defense-Wide	3,709,926 400,000 78,016	2,883,302	2,867,303 500,000 42,515	$-842,623 \\ +100,000 \\ -35,501$	$\begin{array}{l} -15,999 \\ +500,000 \\ +33,500 \end{array}$
Total, title III, Procurement	74,656,047	74,662,317	76,466,514	+1,810,467	+1,804,197
TITLE IV RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army ¹ Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Frore Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	10,363,941 15,146,383 20,500,984 18,900,715 305,861	9,266,258 16,346,391 21,114,667 20,739,837 305,135	10,308,804 16,748,035 21,002,308 20,404,563 305,135	- 55,137 +1,601,652 +501,324 +1,503,848 - 726	+ 1,042,546 + 401,644 - 112,359 - 335,274
Total, title IV, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation	65,217,884	67,772,288	68,768,845	+3,550,961	+ 996,557
TITLE V REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS Defense Working Capital Funds. Working Capital Fund, Amy Working Capital Fund, And Air Funce Working Capital Fund, Air Force Working Capital Fund, Defense-Wide Working Capital Fund, Defense-Wide Working Capital Fund, Defense-Wide	219,300 130,446 202,515 1,089,246	184,056 65,385 81,089 1180,417 1,174,939	184,056 65,385 81,089 180,417 1,174,939	- 35,244 - 65,081 + 81,089 - 22,098 + 85,693	
Total, Defense Working Capital Funds	1,641,507 1,066,462	1,685,886	1,685,886	+ 44,379 - 624,526	-827,316
Total, title V, Revolving and Management Funds	2,707,969	2,955,138	2,127,822	-580,147	-827,316
TITLE VI Defense Health Program: Operation and maintenance Procurement Procurement Tests and evaluation Research, development, test and evaluation	14,914,816 328,826 486,371	17,203,369 364,635 72,407	17,299,369 366,235 399,207	+2,384,553 +37,409 -87,164	+ 96,000 + 1,600 + 326,800

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005—CONTINUED
FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005—Continued

ı	l e	0.	0 :0		210		0		JI
recommendation (+ or -)	Budget estimate	+ 424,400	- 50,000 + 52,000	+2,000 +56,100			+ 482,500	+ 15,000	
Senate Committee recommendation compared with (+ or -)	2004 appropriation	+2,334,798	- 80,367 232 45,672	- 126,271 + 73,181	+ 82,313	+ 82,113	+2,363,821	+ 13,000 + 144,242 (- 9,389) - 18,430	
Committee	recommendation	18,064,811	1,088,801 78,980 206,209	1,373,990	242,362 2,100 100	244,562	20,592,160	239,400 319,355 (34,911) 8,000	
-	pudget estimate	17,640,411	1,138,801 78,980 154,209	1,371,990	242,362 2,100 100	244,562	20,109,660	239,400 304,355 (34,911) 8,000	
2004	appropriation	15,730,013	1,169,168 79,212 251,881	1,500,261	160,049 2,100 300	162,449	18,228,339	226,400 175,113 (44,300) 18,430 8,000 427,943	
	IREM	Total, Defense Health Program	Chemical Agents & Munitions Destruction, Army: Operation and maintenance Procurement Research, development, test and evaluation	Total, Chemical Agents ²	Office of the Inspector General: Operation and maintenance Procurement Research, development, test and evaluation	Total, Office of the Inspector General	Total, title VI, Other Department of Defense Programs	RELATED AGENCIES Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund Intelligence Community Management Account Transfer to Department of Justice Payment to Kahoʻolawe Island Conveyance, Remediation, and Environmental Restoration Fund National Security Education Trust Fund Total, title VII, Related agencies	

GENERAL PROVISIONS					
General transfer authority (Sec. 8005)	(2,100,000)	(4,000,000)	(4,000,000)	(+1,900,000)	
Indian Financing Act incentives (Sec. 8021)	8,000		8,000		+8,000
Various grants (Sec. 8025)			33,000	+ 33,000	+ 33,000
Oliktok point acquisition (Sec. 8026)			2,500	+2,500	+2,500
FFRDCs (Sec. 8029)	- 74,200		-74,200		-74,200
\sim	31,000	25,000	25,000	000'9-	
Overseas Mil Fac Invest Recovery (Sec. 8038)	1,331	1,000	1,000	- 331	
Rescissions (Sec. 8049)	-325,560		-148,610	+ 176,950	-148,610
Revised Economic Assumptions (Sec. 8062)	-1,662,000		-835,000	+ 827,000	-835,000
Working Capital Funds Cash Balance (Sec. 8083)	-372,000		- 300,000	+ 72,000	-300,000
Travel Cards	44,000	44,000		- 44,000	-44,000
Intrepid Sea Air Space Foundation	34,950			-34,950	
Fisher House	3,800			-3,800	
Advisory and assistance services (Sec. 8094)	-504,500		- 500,000	+4,500	-500,000
Coast Guard transfer:					
Defense function (Sec. 8096)			40,000	+ 40,000	+ 40,000
IT cost growth reduction	- 200,000			+ 200,000	
Working Capital Funds Excess Garvover	- 44,000			+ 44.000	
Ctr for Mil Recuiting Assessment & Vet Emp	5,500			-5,500	
Transportation Working Capital Fund	- 451,000			+ 451.000	
Special needs students (Sec. 8101)	5,500		5.500		+5.500
Fort Irwin education	17,000			-17,000	
Iraqi freedom fund (rescission)	-3,490,000			+3,490,000	
Contract offsets					
Withholds/Taxes provision					
Ship transfer authority					
Tanker replacement transfer fund					
Unobligated balances (Sec. 8103)			-808,100	-808,100	-808,100
Travel costs (Sec. 8116)			-97,331	-97,331	-97,331
Military Personnel reduction (Sec. 8117)			-7,158,000	-7,158,000	-7,158,000
Military Personnel (contingent emergency appropriations) (Sec. 8117)			7,158,000	+7,158,000	+7,158,000
Total, title VIII, General Provisions	6,972,179	70,000	-2,648,241	+4,323,938	-2,718,241

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005—Continued
FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005—Continued

							212								
	recommendation (+ or -)	Budget estimate													
	Senate Committee recommendation compared with $(+ \text{ or } -)$	2004 appropriation								+ 25,000,000		+25,000,000			
	Committee	recommendation								25,000,000		25,000,000			
	Budget estimate	Duuget estillate								25,000,000		25,000,000			
	2004	appropriation													
ferbillon to contropoliti till	Hem	irean	TITLE IX	CONTINGENT OPERATIONS	Military Personnel	Military Personnel, Army (contingent operations) Military Personnel, Navy (contingent operations) Military Personnel, Marine Corps (contingent ops) Military Personnel, Air Force (contingent operations)	Total, Military Personnel	Operation and Maintenance	Operation & Maintenance, Army (contingent operations) Operation & Maintenance, Navy (contingent operations) Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps (contingent ops) Operation & Maintenance, Air Force (contingent ops) Operation & Maintenance, Defense-Wide (contingent ops)	Irag Freedom Fund: (Contingent emergency) (incl. transfer authority)	Additional transfer authority (contingent emerg)	Total, Operation and Maintenance	Procurement	Missile Procurement, Army (contingent operations)	Procurement of Ammunition, Army (contingent operations) Other Procurement, Army (contingent operations)

Aircraft Procurement, Navy (contingent operations)					
contingent operations)					
Procurement, Marine Corps (contingent operations)					
Other Procurement, Air Force (contingent operations)					
Procurement, Defense-Wide (contingent operations)					
National Guard and Reserve Equipment (contingent opera					
Total, Procurement					
Defense Working Capital Funds (contingent operations)					
Total, Revolving and Management Funds					
Other Department of Defense Programs					
Defense Health Program (contingent operations)					
Total, Other Department of Defense Programs					
Total, Title IX, Contingent Operations ³		25,000,000	25,000,000	+ 25,000,000	
Total for the bill (net)	368,634,561	417,807,305	416,153,400	+47,518,839	-1,653,905
OTHER APPROPRIATIONS					
Emergency Supplemental, Defense, Iraq and Afghanistan, 2004 (Public Law 108–106) Additional transfer authority (sec. 1101) (emerg) Consolidated appropriations (by transfer) (Public Law 108–199) Additional transfer authority (Sec. 114) Rescissions	64,706,554 (3,000,000) (74,600) (120,000) -1,800,000			$\begin{array}{l} -64,706,554 \\ (-3,000,000) \\ (-74,600) \\ (-120,000) \\ +1,800,000 \end{array}$	
Net grand total (including other appropriations)	431,541,115	417,807,305	416,153,400	-15,387,715	-1,653,905

Includes Budget Amendment (H. Doc. 108-170) which realigns \$1,217,538,000 for the termination of the Comanche Helicopter program, of which \$30,000,000 was reallocated to Mil. Construction, Army National Guard.

2 Included in Budget under Procurement title.

3 Includes Budget Amendment (H. Doc. 108-185) which establishes a \$25 billion Contingent Emergency Reserve Fund for operations in Iraq and Afghanistan only 2005.