DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATION BILL, 2006

MAY 13, 2005.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

Mr. TAYLOR of North Carolina, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted the following

REPORT

together with

ADDITIONAL VIEWS

[To accompany H.R. 2361]

The Committee on Appropriations submits the following report in explanation of the accompanying bill making appropriations for the Department of the Interior, Environmental Protection Agency, and Related Agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2006. The bill provides regular annual appropriations for the Department of the Interior (except the Bureau of Reclamation), the Environmental Protection Agency, and for other related agencies, including the Forest Service, the Indian Health Service, the Smithsonian Institution, and the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities.

CONTENTS

	rage nu	ımoer
	Bill	Report
Title I—Department of the Interior:		
Bureau of Land Management	2	9
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	10	21
National Park Service	19	33
U.S. Geological Survey	26	49
Minerals Management Service	29	54
Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement	31	58
Bureau of Indian Affairs	34	63
Departmental Offices	42	73
Department of the Interior General Provisions	50	85
00 574		

	Page ni	imber
	Bill	Report
Title II—Environmental Protection Agency:		
Science and Technology	63	88
Environmental Programs Management	64	95
Office of Inspector General	64	106
Buildings and Facilities	65	109
Hazardous Substance Superfund	65	111
Leaking Underground Storage Tanks	66	117
Oil Spill Response	66	119
State and Tribal Assistance Grants	66	121
Title III—Related Agencies:		
Forest Service, USDA	74	127
Indian Health Service, DHHS	87	152
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences	95	157
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry	96	158
Council on Environmental Quality and Office of Environmental		
Quality	97	158
Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board	98	159
Office of Navajo and Hopi Indian Relocation	99	159
Institute of American Indian and Alaska Native Culture and Arts		
Development	100	160
Smithsonian Institution	100	160
National Gallery of Art	103	162
John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts	105	165
Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars	105	167
National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities	106	168
Commission of Fine Arts	108	172
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation	109	172
National Capital Planning Commission	109	173
United States Holocaust Memorial Museum	109	173
Presidio Trust	110	174
White House Commission on the National Moment of Remem-		
brance	110	174
Title IV—General Provisions	110	174

COMPARISON WITH BUDGET RESOLUTION

Section 308(a)(1)(A) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344), as amended, requires that the report accompanying a bill providing new budget authority contain a Statement detailing how the authority compares with the reports submitted under section 302 of the Act for the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget for the fiscal year. This information follows:

[In millions of dollars]

	Sec. 30	2(b)	This bill	_
	Discretionary	Mandatory	Discretionary	Mandatory
Budget authority	26,107	54	26,107	54
Outlays	27,500	60	27,496	60

SUMMARY OF THE BILL

The Committee has conducted hearings on the programs and projects provided for in the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations bill for 2006. The hearings are contained in 9 published volumes totaling nearly 10,000 pages.

During the course of the hearings, testimony was taken at 10 hearings on 8 days, not only from agencies which come under the jurisdiction of the Interior Subcommittee, but also, in written form, from Members of Congress, State and local government officials, and private citizens.

The bill that is recommended for fiscal year 2006 has been developed after careful consideration of all the facts and details available to the Committee.

BUDGET AUTHORITY RECOMMENDED IN BILL BY TITLE

Activity	Budget estimates, fiscal year 2006	Committee bill, fiscal year 2006	Committee bill compared with budget estimates
Title I, Department of the Interior: New Budget (obligational) authority Title II, Environmental Protection Agency: New Budget	\$9,792,069,000	\$9,808,693,000	+\$16,624,000
(obligational) authority	7,520,600,000	7,708,027,000	+187,427,000
Title III, related agencies: New Budget (obligational) authority	8,411,659,000	8,642,405,000	+230,746,000
Grand total, New Budget (obligational) authority	25,724,328,000	26,159,125,000	+434,797,000

TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, AND RELATED AGENCIES

In addition to the amounts in the accompanying bill, which are reflected in the table above, permanent legislation authorizes the continuation of certain government activities without consideration by the Congress during the annual appropriations process.

by the Congress during the annual appropriations process.

Details of these activities are listed in tables at the end of this report. In fiscal year 2005, these activities are estimated to total \$3,568,891,000. The estimate for fiscal year 2006 is \$3,658,910,000.

The following table reflects the total budget (obligational) author-

The following table reflects the total budget (obligational) authority contained both in this bill and in permanent appropriations for fiscal years 2005 and 2006.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, AND RELATED AGENCIES TOTAL BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEARS 2005–2006

Item	Fiscal year 2005	Fiscal year 2006	Change
Interior, Environment, and related agencies appropriations bill	\$26,982,234,000 2,985,066,000 583,825,000	\$26,159,125,000 3,047,966,000 610,944,000	-\$823,109,000 +62,900,000 +27,119,000
Total budget authority	30,551,125,000	29,818,035,000	-733,090,000

REVENUE GENERATED BY AGENCIES IN BILL

The following tabulation indicates total new obligational authority to date for fiscal years 2004 and 2005, and the amount recommended in the bill for fiscal year 2006. It compares receipts generated by activities in this bill on an actual basis for fiscal year 2004 and on an estimated basis for fiscal years 2005 and 2006. The programs in this bill are estimated to generate \$13.9 billion in revenues for the Federal Government in fiscal year 2006. Therefore, the expenditures in this bill will contribute to economic stability rather than inflation.

Hann		Fiscal year—	
ltem	2004	2005	2006
New obligational authority	\$27,316,209,000	\$26,982,234,000	\$26,159,125,000
Department of the InteriorForest Service	9,643,359,000 445,533,000	12,497,212,000 439,106,000	13,418,547,000 447,050,000
Total receipts	10,088,892,000	12,936,318,000	13,865,597,000

APPLICATION OF GENERAL REDUCTIONS

The level at which sequestration reductions shall be taken pursuant to the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, if such reductions are required in fiscal year 2006, is defined by the Committee as follows:

As provided for by section 256(1)(2) of Public Law 99–177, as amended, and for the purpose of a Presidential Order issued pursuant to section 254 of said Act, the term "program, project, and activity" for items under the jurisdiction of the Appropriations Subcommittees on the Department of the Interior, Environmental Protection Agency, and Related Agencies of the House of Representatives and the Senate is defined as (1) any item specifically identified in tables or written material set forth in the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, or accompanying committee reports or the conference report and accompanying joint explanatory statement of the managers of the committee of conference; (2) any Government-owned or Government-operated facility; and (3) management units, such as National parks, National forests, National fish hatcheries, National wildlife refuges, research units, regional, State and other administrative units and the like, for which funds are provided in fiscal year 2006.

The Committee emphasizes that any item for which a specific dollar amount is mentioned in any accompanying report, including all increases over the budget estimate approved by the Committee, shall be subject to a percentage reduction no greater or less than the percentage reduction applied to all domestic discretionary accounts.

FEDERAL FUNDING OF INDIAN PROGRAMS

The Committee recommends appropriations of new budget authority aggregating \$5.9 billion for Indian programs in fiscal year 2006. This is an increase of \$108 million above the budget request and an increase of \$108 million above the amount appropriated for fiscal year 2005. Spending for Indian services by the Federal Government in total is included in the following table.

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FEDERAL FUNDING FOR NATIVE AMERICAN PROGRAMS [In thousands of dollars]

	FY 2004 actual	FY 2005 enacted	FY 2006 Pres. bud	Change from FY05
Department of Agriculture	798,812	877,371	899,771	22,400
Army Corps of Engineers	34,490	41,376	22,829	-18,547
Department of Commerce	20,945	21,668	20,657	-1,011
Department of Defense	18,000	18,000	0	-18,000
Department of Education	2,438,510	2,524,650	2,550,101	25,451
Department of Health & Human Services	4,263,144	4,359,999	4,456,322	96,323

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FEDERAL FUNDING FOR NATIVE AMERICAN PROGRAMS—Continued [In thousands of dollars]

	FY 2004 actual	FY 2005 enacted	FY 2006 Pres. bud	Change from FY05
Department of Housing & Urban Development	733,085	650,970	590,796	- 60,174
Department of the Interior	2,887,399	3,030,079	2,984,840	-45,239
Department of Justice	234,594	232,016	245,185	13,169
Department of Labor	69,602	69,032	68,488	- 544
Department of Transportation	274,861	329,491	329,581	90
Department of Veterans Affairs	571	567	580	13
Environmental Protection Agency	243,895	239,004	205,560	-33,443
Small Business Administration	1,979	987	0	- 987
Smithsonian Institution	51,630	45,925	45,792	-133
Department of the Treasury	4,000	4,000	0	-4,000
Other Agencies & Independent Agencies	96,924	101,594	39,582	-62,012
Grand Total	12,172,441	12,546,729	12,460,084	- 86,644

CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY

Clause 3(d)(1) of rule XIII of the House of Representatives states that:

Each report of a committee on a bill or joint resolution of a public character, shall include a statement citing the specific powers granted to the Congress in the Constitution to enact the law proposed by the bill or joint resolution.

The Committee on Appropriations bases its authority to report this legislation from Clause 7 of Section 9 of Article I of the Constitution of the United States of America which states: "No money shall be drawn from the Treasury but in consequence of Appropriations made by law. * * * "

Appropriations contained in this Act are made pursuant to this specific power granted by the Constitution.

REPROGRAMMING GUIDELINES

The Committee has revised the reprogramming guidelines to add an exception for certain Environmental Protection Agency grants (section 3(b)) and to delete certain instructions to the Forest Service dealing with boundary adjustments and transfer of funds.

The following are the procedures governing reprogramming actions for programs and activities funded in the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act:

1. Definition.—"Reprogramming," as defined in these procedures, includes the reallocation of funds from one budget activity to another. In cases where either Committee report displays an allocation of an appropriation below the activity level, that more detailed level shall be the basis for reprogramming. For construction accounts, a reprogramming constitutes the reallocation of funds from one construction project (identified in the justification or Committee report) to another. A reprogramming shall also consist of any significant departure from the program described in the agency's budget justifications. This includes proposed reorganizations even without a change in funding.

2. Guidelines for Reprogramming.—(a) A reprogramming should be made only when an unforeseen situation arises; and then only if postponement of the project or the activity until the next appropriation year would result in actual loss or damage. Mere convenience or desire should not be factors for consideration.

- (b) Any project or activity, which may be deferred through reprogramming, shall not later be accomplished by means of further reprogramming; but, instead, funds should again be sought for the deferred project or activity through the regular appropriations proc-
- (c) Reprogramming should not be employed to initiate new programs or to change allocations specifically denied, limited or increased by the Congress in the Act or the report. In cases where unforeseen events or conditions are deemed to require such changes, proposals shall be submitted in advance to the Committee, regardless of amounts involved, and be fully explained and justified.
- (d) Reprogramming proposals submitted to the Committee for approval shall be considered approved 30 calendar days after receipt if the Committee has posed no objection. However, agencies will be expected to extend the approval deadline if specifically requested by either Committee.

(e) Proposed changes to estimated working capital fund bills and estimated overhead charges, deductions, reserves or holdbacks, as such estimates were presented in annual budget justifications,

shall be submitted through the reprogramming process.

3. Criteria and Exceptions.—Any proposed reprogramming must be submitted to the Committee in writing prior to implementation if it exceeds \$500,000 annually or results in an increase or decrease of more than 10 percent annually in affected programs, with the

following exceptions:

(a) With regard to the tribal priority allocations activity of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, Operations of Indian Programs account, there is no restriction on reprogrammings among the programs within this activity. However, the Bureau shall report on all reprogrammings made during the first six months of the fiscal year by no later than May 1 of each year, and shall provide a final report of all reprogrammings for the previous fiscal year by no later than November 1 of each year.

(b) With regard to the Environmental Protection Agency, State and Tribal Assistance Grants account, reprogramming requests associated with States and Tribes applying for partnership grants do not need to be submitted to the Committee for approval should such grants exceed the normal reprogramming limitations. In addition, the Agency need not submit a request to move funds between wastewater and drinking water objectives for those grants targeted

to specific communities.

4. Quarterly Reports.—(a) All reprogrammings shall be reported to the Committee quarterly and shall include cumulative totals. (b) Any significant shifts of funding among object classifications also

should be reported to the Committee.

5. Administrative Overhead Accounts.—For all appropriations where costs of overhead administrative expenses are funded in part from "assessments" of various budget activities within an appropriation, the assessments shall be shown in justifications under the discussion of administrative expenses.

6. Contingency Accounts.—For all appropriations where assessments are made against various budget activities or allocations for

contingencies, the Committee expects a full explanation, separate from the justifications. The explanation shall show the amount of the assessment, the activities assessed, and the purpose of the fund. The Committee expects reports each year detailing the use of these funds. In no case shall a fund be used to finance projects and activities disapproved or limited by Congress or to finance new permanent positions or to finance programs or activities that could be foreseen and included in the normal budget review process. Contingency funds shall not be used to initiate new programs.

7. Declarations of Taking.—The Committee directs the Bureau of Land Management, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the National Park Service, and the Forest Service to seek Committee approval in advance of filing declarations of taking.

8. Report Language.—Any limitation, directive, or earmarking contained in either the House or Senate report which is not contradicted by the other report nor specifically denied in the conference report shall be considered as having been approved by both Houses of Congress.

9. Assessments.—No assessments shall be levied against any program, budget activity, subactivity, or project funded by the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act unless such assessments and the basis therefore are presented to the Committees on Appropriations and are approved by such Committees, in

compliance with these procedures.

10. Land Acquisitions and Forest Legacy.—Lands shall not be acquired for more than the approved appraised value (as addressed in section 301(3) of Public Law 91–646) except for condemnations and declarations of taking, unless such acquisitions are submitted to the Committees on Appropriations for approval in compliance with these procedures.

11. Land Exchanges.—Land exchanges, wherein the estimated value of the Federal lands to be exchanged is greater than \$500,000, shall not be consummated until the Committees on Appropriations have had a 30-day period in which to examine the pro-

posed exchange.

12. Appropriations Structure.—The appropriation structure for any agency shall not be altered without advance approval of the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

FUNDING FIXED COSTS

The Committee commends the Administration for funding the full amount for anticipated pay cost and fixed cost increases for most bureaus and programs. The Committee has been concerned that the base operational capability of the programs funded in this bill has been declining due to unmet pay and fixed costs. The Committee urges the Administration to continue to include full uncontrollable costs in future budget submissions.

Allocating Congressional Funding Priorities

The Committee continues to be concerned that the agencies funded by this Act are not following a standard methodology for allocating appropriated funds to the field where Congressional funding priorities are concerned. When Congressional instructions are provided, the Committee expects these instructions to be closely monitored and followed. The Committee directs that earmarks for Congressional funding priorities be first allocated to the receiving units, and then all remaining funds should be allocated to the field based on established procedures. Field units or programs should not have their allocations reduced because of earmarks for Congressional priorities without direction from or advance approval of the Committee.

FOCUSING ON CORE PROGRAMS

The Committee's fiscal year 2006 budget recommendations reflect the necessity to stay within a constrained allocation in this time of conflict in Iraq and homeland security concerns. The recommendations are also sensitive to the need to address the budget deficit. The Committee's recommendations reflect the belief that: (1) proposed cuts to many core programs are unacceptable; (2) large increases for grant programs are unrealistic; (3) reductions to Indian health, welfare and education programs are unacceptable; (4) critical forest health programs must be continued; (5) untested and unproven grant programs and new land acquisition are a low priority; and (6) large, expensive partnership projects that have not been approved in advance by the Committee are unacceptable because they result in additional operational costs and displace critical backlog maintenance requirements.

Reductions to programs in Indian Country, including education grants, welfare programs, and Indian school and hospital construction funding have been restored to the maximum extent possible given the overall funding available in the Committee's recommendations for fiscal year 2006. We must maintain our commitments to American Indian and Alaska Natives and critically needed education and health programs are central to our ability to meet those commitments.

Wildfire management efforts and forest health programs are some of the most critically important core programs on which the Committee has focused scarce resources. The Committee recommendation increases funding for wildland fire management by \$351 million above the request and \$146 million above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level, including a total of \$492 million for hazardous fuels reduction. In addition, the Committee has maintained funding for critical and essential forest health management programs and for national fire plan support. Without these funds, we will not be able to protect communities and natural resources and we will have ever-increasing wildfire suppression costs in the future and the number and severity of large fire events will grow.

The Committee believes strongly that the agencies funded in the Interior and Related Agencies bill need to more effectively manage the funds they have. Travel costs need to be closely monitored and controlled. The number, size, and cost of government-sponsored conferences also should be reduced.

The Committee expects the Departments and agencies funded in this bill to make maximum use of low cost airfares, consistent with General Services Administration guidelines. The GSA permits the use of lower fares, available to the general public, offered by noncontract carriers, if such use will result in a lower total trip cost. Consistent with GSA guidelines, the Committee expects each Department and agency to determine if such lower fares are available

and, if so, those lower fares should be used unless the contract carrier that would have otherwise been used will provide a comparable fare. This direction applies to all official travel funded in this bill.

Major new construction projects should not be initiated at the expense of critical operations and maintenance requirements. Likewise, no new construction project should be initiated without a thorough analysis of the future staffing, operations, and maintenance costs that will result, and the Committee should be consulted at the earliest possible stage when a major construction project is under consideration. This has been a particular problem in the National Park Service.

The Committee appreciates the need for information technology improvements, enterprise services networks, and implementing portions of the President's management agenda. However, to date, a lot of funding has been dedicated to these initiatives without a well thought-out and reasonable approach to addressing requirements. Commercially available systems, through the private sector, should be used to the maximum extent possible rather than building customized new systems. Likewise, the Committee does not endorse the practice of assessing costs against programs to build bigger administrative bureaucracies in response to new administrative and technology requirements or the practice of reducing program budgets on the basis of presumed future savings. These costs should be clearly justified and requested under administrative accounts and any future savings associated with administrative improvements should be demonstrated before budget reductions are proposed. While portions of the Administration's management agenda may indeed be useful, funds should not be taken from all agencies to provide centralized funding for the various lead agencies. If funding is needed for government wide initiatives, it should be requested and managed by each lead agency.

The Committee has made difficult choices in formulating its fiscal year 2006 budget recommendations. Each agency funded in the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies bill needs to examine its way of doing business in these constrained fiscal times and focus on its core, proven programs and on better management of

resources.

TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

The Committee has been unable to provide funds for the Cooperative Conservation Initiative challenge cost share program because of severe fiscal constraints. However, the Committee remains supportive of the concept and has continued the traditional agency challenge cost share program. The Committee has no objection to broadening the scope of the ongoing program to encompass resource protection activities.

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

The Bureau of Land Management is responsible for the multiple use management, protection, and development of a full range of natural resources, including minerals, timber, rangeland, fish and wildlife habitat, and wilderness on about 261 million acres of the Nation's public lands and for management of 700 million additional acres of Federally-owned subsurface mineral rights. The Bureau is

the second largest supplier of public outdoor recreation in the Western United States.

Under the multiple-use and ecosystem management concept the Bureau administers more than 18,000 grazing permits and leases and nearly 13 million livestock animal unit months on 214 million acres of public rangeland, and manages rangelands and facilities for 56,000 wild horses and burros, 261 million acres of wildlife habitat, and over 117,000 miles of fisheries habitat. Grazing receipts are estimated to be about \$12.2 million in fiscal year 2006, the same as the estimate for fiscal year 2005 and actual receipts of \$11.8 million in fiscal year 2004. The Bureau also administers about 55 million acres of commercial forests and woodlands through the "Management of Lands and Resources" and "Oregon and California Grant Lands" appropriations. Timber receipts (including salvage) are estimated to be \$55.4 million in fiscal year 2006 compared to estimated receipts of \$33.0 million in fiscal year 2005 and actual receipts of \$13.5 million in fiscal year 2004. The Bureau has an active program of soil and watershed management on 175 million acres in the lower 48 States and 86 million acres in Alaska. Practices such as revegetation, protective fencing, and water development are designed to conserve, enhance, and develop public land, soil, and watershed resources. The Bureau is also responsible for fire protection on the public lands and on all Department of the Interior managed lands in Alaska, and for the suppression of wildfires on the public lands in Alaska and the western States.

MANAGEMENT OF LANDS AND RESOURCES

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$836,826,000
Budget estimate, 2006	850,177,000
Recommended, 2006	845,783,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	+8,957,000
Budget estimate, 2006	-4,394,000

The Committee recommends \$845,783,000 for management of lands and resources, \$4,394,000 below the budget request and \$8,957,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level.

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

		op)	(dollars in thousands)	ands)	
	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Request	Recommended		Recommended versus nacted Request
Management of Lands and Resources	1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1 1 1 1 1 1
Land Resources Soil water and air management	34 738	578 58	34 443	. 205	100
Range management	69,183	69.212	70,068	+885	+856
Forestry management	8,895	10,559	10,559	+1,664	;
Riparian management	21,228	21,704	22,704	+1,476	+1,000
Cultural resources management	14,925	15,240	15,240	+315	
Wild horse and burro management	39,045	36,905	36,905	-2,140	!
Subtotal, Land Resources	188,014	186,963	189,919	+1,905	+2,956
Wildlife and Fisheries		0	6	6	
wildile management	25,063	78, 58/	78,587	+3,524	:
Fisheries management	11,884	12,497	12,497	+613	:
Subtotal, Wildlife and Fisheries	36,947	41,084	41,084	+4,137	
Threatened and endangered species	21,144	21,572	21,572	+428	r F
Recreation Management Wilderness management	16.431	16.806	16,806	+375	!
Recreation resources management	44,158	47,798	48,798	+4,640	+1,000
Subtotal, Recreation Management	60,589	64,604	65,604	+5,015	+1,000

	FY 2005	(do FY 2006		ands) Recommended versus	ed versus
	Enacted	Request	Recommended	Enacted	Request
Energy and Minerals Oil and gas	87,360 9,311 9,960	87,291 9,296 10,185	89,291 9,296 10,185	+1,931 -15 +225	+2,000
Subtotal, Energy and Minerals	106,631	106,772	108,772	+2,141	+2,000
Alaska minerals	3,944	2,297	2,297	-1,647	1 1 1
Realty and Ownership Management Alaska conveyance	41,975 15,590 35,059	33,599 13,866 33,681	33,599 13,866 33,681	-8,376 -1,724 -1,378	
Subtotal, Realty and Ownership Management	92,624	81,146	81,146	-11,478	: : : : : : : : :
Resource Protection and Maintenance Resource management planning Resource protection and law enforcement	48,863 16,788 15,850	49,516 17,974 16,126	50,516 19,224 16,126	+1,653 +2,436 +276	+1,000
Subtotal, Resource Protection and Maintenance	81,501	83,616	85,866	+4,365	+2,250
Transportation and Facilities Maintenance OperationsAnnual maintenance	6,057 30,564 41,192	6,271 31,293 38,727	6,271 31,293 38,727	+214 +729 -2,465	
Subtotal, Transportation/Facilities Maintenance.	77,813	76,291	76,291	-1,522	1
Land and resources information systems	18,062	18,217	18,217	+155	;

	FY 2005 Enacted	(dc FY 2006 Request	(dollars in thousands) 306 est Recommended E		Recommended versus nacted Request
Mining Law Administration Administration	32,696 -32,696	32,696 -32,696	32,696 -32,696	! !	1 1
Subtotal, Mining Law Administration			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
Workforce and Organizational Support Information systems operations	19,651 50,164 72,346	21,455 51,437 74,727	21,455 51,437 74,727	+1,804 +1,273 +2,381	!!!
Subtotal, Workforce and Organizational Support	142,161	147,619	147,619	+5,458	
Challenge cost share	7,396	13,996 6,000	7,396	! !	000'9-
Subtotal, Challenge Cost Share					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	11 11 11 11 11 11 11				
Total, Management of Lands and Resources	836,826	850,177	845,783	+8,957	-4,394

Land Resources.—The Committee recommends \$189,919,000 for nd resources, \$2,956,000 above the budget request and land resources, \$1,905,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. Changes from the budget request include increases of \$1,000,000 for the San Pedro Partnership and \$1,100,000 for Santa Ana River conservation and land management programs, \$156,000 for accelerated soil surveys in Wyoming, \$1,000,000 for the Idaho strategic plan for noxious weed control, and a decrease of \$300,000 for rangeland res-

The increase provided for the Upper San Pedro Partnership shall be used only for water conservation and retention projects within

the Upper San Pedro river region.

Wildlife and Fisheries.—The Committee recommends \$41,048,000 for wildlife and fisheries, the same as the budget request and

\$4,137,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level.

Threatened and Endangered Species.—The Committee recommends \$21,572,000 for threatened and endangered species, the same as the budget request and \$428,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level.

Recreation Management.—The Committee recommends \$64,604,000 for recreation management, \$1,000,000 above the budget request and \$5,015,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. The change from the budget request is an increase of \$1,000,000 for the San Jacinto and Santa Rosa National Monument plan implementation.

The managers urge the Bureau to comply with the provisions of the Steens Act and allow landowner, lessee and inholder access to their property within the boundary of the Steens Mountain Cooperative Management and Protection Area. Unless funding is provided for land acquisition or exchanges, landowners should be afforded

full access to their property.

Minerals.—The andCommittee recommends \$110,069,000 for energy and minerals including Alaska minerals, \$2,000,000 above the budget request and \$494,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. The change from the budget request is an

increase of \$2,000,000 for oil and gas management.

The Committee believes that U.S. oil shale development is an important domestic energy resource. This energy source has the potential to help reduce America's growing dependence on foreign oil imports, provided that such oil shale development, for both research and development as well as large scale commercial projects, is conducted in an environmentally acceptable and economically feasible manner. The Committee directs the Bureau to report by December 31, 2005, on the administrative, regulatory, and statutory steps that may be necessary to proceed with oil shale development, including, but not limited to, acreage limitations on leases and permitting measures needed to stimulate oil shale research and commercial development.

Realty and Ownership Management.—The Committee recommends \$81,146,000 for realty and ownership management, the same as the budget request and \$11,478,000 below the fiscal year

2005 enacted level.

Resource Protection and Maintenance.—The Committee recommends \$85,866,000 for resource protection and maintenance, \$2,250,000 above the budget request and \$4,365,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. Changes from the request include increases of \$1,250,000 for the law enforcement initiative and \$1,000,000 for California desert conservation area plans. The increase for law enforcement activities should be directed to increasing law enforcement presence on the Southwestern border in New Mexico, Arizona, and California.

Transportation and Facilities Maintenance.—The Committee recommends \$76,291,000 for transportation and facilities maintenance, the same as the budget request and \$1,522,000 below the

fiscal year 2005 enacted level.

Land and Resource Information Systems.—The Committee recommends \$18,217,000 for land and resource information systems, the same as the budget request and \$155,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level.

Mining Law Administration.—The Committee recommends \$32,696,000 for mining law administration. Offsetting fees are equal to the amount made available to support this activity.

Workforce and Organizational Support.—The Committee recommends \$147,619,000 for workforce and organizational support, the same as the budget request and \$5,458,000 above the fiscal

year 2005 enacted level.

Challenge Cost Share.—The Committee recommends \$7,396,000 for the Bureau's traditional challenge cost share program, \$12,600,000 below the budget request and the same as the fiscal vear 2005 enacted level.

Bill Language.—Language is included in Title IV—General Provisions concerning e government initiatives, competitive sourcing studies and the Service First initiative.

WILDLAND FIRE MANAGEMENT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriation enacted, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006 Recommended, 2006	\$831,295,000 756,564,000 761,564,000
Comparison: Appropriation, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006	-69,731,000 +5,000,000

The Committee recommends \$761,564,000 for wildland fire management, \$5,000,000 above the budget request and \$69,731,000 below the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. When adjusted for emergency appropriations there is an increase of \$28,880,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level.

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

	FY 2005 Enacted	(dc FY 2006 Request	(dollars in thousands) 06 st Recommended E		Recommended versus nacted Request
Wildland Fire Management					
Preparedness	258,939	272,852 7,849 6,000	272,852	+13,913	 -7,849 -6,000
Subtotal, Preparedness	258,939	286,701	272,852	+13,913	-13,849
Fire suppression operations	218,445 98,611	234,167	234,167	+15,722	: :
Subtotal, Fire suppression operations	317,056	234,167	234,167	-82,889	
Other operations Hazardous fuels reduction. Burned area rehabilitation. State and local fire assistance. Fire facilities. Joint fire science.	201,409 23,939 9,861 12,202 7,889	211,220 24,476	211,220 24,476 5,000 7,849 6,000	+9,811 +537 -4,861 -4,353	+5,000 +7,849 +6,000
Subtotal, Other operations	255,300	235,696	254,545	-755	+18,849
Total, Wildland Fire Management	831,295	756,564	831,295 756,564 761,564 -69,731 +5,000	-69,731	+5,000

The appropriation includes \$272,852,000 for preparedness, \$234,167,000 for fire suppression operations, \$211,220,000 for hazardous fuels reduction, \$24,476,000 for burned area rehabilitation, \$5,000,000 for rural fire assistance, \$7,849,000 for deferred maintenance and capital improvement and \$6,000,000 for the joint fire

science program.

The Committee has provided the requested funds for the hazardous fuels program but wants to ensure that these funds are used to address the Nation's highest priority fuels projects. The Committee continues to stress that the Department should be coordinating hazardous fuels activities with the Department of Agriculture, State fire agencies and community wildfire protection

The Committee does not approve the new budget alignment within wildland fire management. Including joint fire science and facilities activities in the preparedness budget artificially inflates the preparedness budget and makes it difficult to compare to previous readiness levels. The Committee sees no compelling reason to include any other activities in the preparedness or suppression budg-

The Committee remains concerned about the high costs of large fire incidents. The Department of the Interior, along with the Forest Service should ensure that cost containment is an important priority when suppressing wildland fires. Therefore, the Committee directs the Department of the Interior and the Forest Service to continue reports directed previously and to examine, using independent panels, any individual wildfire incident which results in

expenses greater than \$10,000,000.

The Committee has partially restored the rural fire assistance program. The Administration's rationale for the reduction was that similar funding was available within the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) for rural fire assistance. The Committee is skeptical that the Department of the Interior will receive commensurate funding from FEMA and will be able retain the same flexibility in directing the funding to the highest priority needs. The Committee directs the Department to report, no later than December 31, 2005, with detailed information on how the FEMA funding will be received and allocated.

Bill Language.—Language is included under the wildland fire management account allowing the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture to transfer not more than \$9,000,000 between the two Departments for wildland fire management programs and projects. Language is also included allowing the use of wildfire suppression funds in support of Federal emergency re-

sponse actions.

CONSTRUCTION

Appropriation enacted, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006 Recommended, 2006	\$11,340,000 6,476,000 11.476,000
Comparison:	11,470,000
Appropriation, 2005	+136,000
Budget estimate, 2006	+5.000.000

The Committee recommends \$11.476,000 for construction. \$5,000,000 above the budget request and \$136,000 above the 2005 enacted level. The increase above the budget request is to address

LAND ACQUISITION

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$11,192,000 13,350,000 3,817,000
Comparison: Appropriation, 2005	$-7,\!375,\!000$
Budget estimate, 2006	-9.533.000

The Committee recommends \$3,817,000 for land acquisition, a decrease of \$9,533,000 below the budget request and \$7,375,000 below the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. This amount includes \$1,500,000 for emergencies and hardships, and \$2,317,000 for acquisition management.

OREGON AND CALIFORNIA GRANT LANDS

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$107,497,000
Budget estimate, 2006	110,070,000
Recommended, 2006	110,070,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	+2,573,000
Budget estimate, 2006	0

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

		op)	(dollars in thousands)	ands)	
	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Request	FY 2006 Request Recommended	Recommended versus Enacted Regues	Versus
					- !
Oregon and California Grant Lands					
Western Oregon resources management	88,775	96,692	96,692	+7,917	;
Western Oregon information and resource data systems	2,151	2,173	2,173	+22	;
Western Oregon transportation & facilities maintenance	10,619	10,903	10,903	+284	:
Western Oregon construction and acquisition	291	302	302	+11	:
Jobs in the woods	5,661	!	;	-5,661	;
•	*************				
Total, Oregon and California Grant Lands	107,497	110,070	110,070	+2,573	:

The Committee recommends \$110,070,000 for the Oregon and California grant lands, the same as the budget request and \$2,573,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level.

RANGE IMPROVEMENTS

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$10,000,000 10,000,000
Recommended, 2006	10,000,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	0
Budget estimate, 2006	0

The Committee recommends an indefinite appropriation of not less than \$10,000,000 to be derived from public lands receipts and Bankhead-Jones Farm Tenant Act lands grazing receipts. Receipts are used for construction, purchase, and maintenance of range improvements, such as seeding, fence construction, weed control, water development, fish and wildlife habitat improvement, and planning and design of these projects.

SERVICE CHARGES, DEPOSITS AND FORFEITURES

The Committee recommends an indefinite appropriation estimated to be \$32,940,000, the budget request, for service charges, deposits, and forfeitures. This appropriation is offset with fees collected under specified sections of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 and other Acts to pay for reasonable administrative and other costs in connection with rights-of-way applications from the private sector, miscellaneous cost-recoverable realty cases, timber contract expenses, repair of damaged lands, the adopt-a-horse program, and the provision of copies of official public land documents.

MISCELLANEOUS TRUST FUNDS

Appropriation enacted, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006 Recommended, 2006	\$12,405,000 12,405,000 12,405,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	0
Budget estimate, 2006	0

The Committee recommends an indefinite appropriation estimated to be \$12,405,000, the budget request, for miscellaneous trust funds. The Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 provides for the receipt and expenditure of moneys received as donations or gifts (section 307). Funds in this trust fund are derived from the administrative and survey costs paid by applicants for conveyance of omitted lands (lands fraudulently or erroneously omitted from original cadastral surveys), from advances for other types of surveys requested by individuals, and from contributions made by users of Federal rangelands. Amounts received from the sale of Alaska town lots are also available for expenses of sale and maintenance of town sites. Revenue from unsurveyed lands, and surveys of omitted lands, administrative costs of conveyance, and gifts and donations must be appropriated before it can be used.

UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

The mission of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is to conserve, protect and enhance fish and wildlife and their habitats for the continuing benefit of people. The Service has responsibility for migratory birds, threatened and endangered species, certain marine mammals, and land under Service control.

The Service manages nearly 96 million acres across the United States, encompassing a 545-unit National Wildlife Refuge System, additional wildlife and wetlands areas, and 69 National Fish Hatcheries. A network of law enforcement agents and port inspectors enforce Federal laws for the protection of fish and wildlife. In 2003, the Service celebrated the 100th anniversary of the establishment of the National Wildlife Refuge System.

RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$962,940,000 985,563,000
Recommended, 2006	1,005,225,000
Comparison:	40.005.000
Appropriation, 2005	+42,285,000
Budget estimate, 2006	+19,662,000

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

	FY 2005	(do FY 2006	(dollars in thousands) 06	ands) Recommended versus	ed versus
	Enacted	Request	Recommended	Enacted	Request
Resource Management					
Ecological Services Endangered species Candidate conservation	0 255	8 252	α 0.τα	-403	009+
Listing	15,960	18,130	18,130	+2,170	3 :
ConsultationRecovery	48,129 69,870	49,484 64,243	49,484 70,443	+1,355 +573	+6,200
Subtotal, Endangered species	143,214	140,109	146,909	+3,695	+6,800
Habitat conservationEnvironmental contaminants	94,457 10,901	101,978 8,486	102,798 11,186	+8,341 +285	+820 +2,700
Subtotal, Ecological Services	248,572	250,573	260,893	+12,321	+10,320
Refuges and Wildlife Refuge operations and maintenance Migratory bird management	381,019 35,451 55,615	393,894 41,635 57,612	394,394 38,152 57,812	+13,375 +2,701 +2,197	+500 -3,483 +200
Subtotal, Refuges and Wildlife	472,085	493,141	490,358	+18,273	-2,783
Fisheries Hatchery operations and maintenance	56,755 58,418	57,971 49,685	58,871 59,885	+2,116	+900+
Subtotal, Fisheries	115,173	107,656	118,756	+3,583	+11,100

Thousands) Recommended versus Jed Enacted Request		707+ 75		+2,025	36 +5,111			t9 +589 +200	394	18 +8,108 +1,025	
(dollars in thousands) 106 9st Recommended E	500	27,827	40,807	;	30,736	7,77	17,829	9,749	:	135,218	ii 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
(do FY 2006 Request	2,000	27,827	40,807	-2,025	30,736	7,470	17,829	9,549		134,193	
FY 2005 Enacted	;	27,120	39,992	:	25,625	7,761	17,058	9,160	394	127,110	
	General Administration Science excellence	Central office administration	Regional office administration	Administrative efficiencies	Servicewide administrative support	National Fish and Wildlife Foundation	National Conservation Training Center	International affairs	Caddo Lake Ramsar Center	Subtotal, General Administration	

The Committee recommends \$1,005,225,000 for resource management, an increase of \$42,285,000 above the budget request and \$19,662,000 above the fiscal year 2005 level. Changes to the budget request are detailed below.

Ecological Services.—The Committee recommends \$260,893,000 for ecological services, an increase of \$10,320,000 above the budget

request.

Increases for endangered species candidate conservation programs include \$300,000 for Idaho sage grouse and \$300,000 for the

Fisher (Martes pennanti).

Increases for recovery programs include \$1,000,000 to restore the Platte River recovery program, \$1,500,000 for wolf monitoring in Idaho, \$200,000 for the Gabbro soils inventory in California, \$2,000,000 for Pacific salmon grants to be administered through the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation, \$700,000 to restore funding for the Upper Colorado River recovery program, \$500,000 for Florida manatee protection and recovery, and \$300,000 for Northern Aplomado falcon recovery efforts through the Peregrine Fund.

For the Partners for Fish and Wildlife program, there is a decrease of \$4,000,000 to the proposed program expansion of the Upper Klamath Basin restoration program, and increases of \$1,400,000 for Washington regional fisheries enhancement groups, \$700,000 for environmental data quality and access for the Washington salmon recovery effort, \$180,000 for technical assistance at the New Jersey Meadowlands; \$750,000 for restoration in the Tunkhannock, Bentley, and Bowman's Creek watersheds in Pennsylvania, \$500,000 for fish passage in the west branch of the Susquehanna River, \$500,000 for Georgia streambank restoration, \$500,000 for nutria eradication at the Blackwater NWR, MD, \$500,000 for Susquehanna River headwaters multiple use wetlands, and \$500,000 for a study of Colorado River flow and aquatic habitats from Longhorn Dam to Matagorda Bay.

In project planning, increases include \$270,000 to restore the FERC review/relicensing program, \$550,000 for the Middle Rio Grande initiative, \$100,000 to continue operations at the Cedar City, UT ecological services office, and \$170,000 to restore the base

program.

In coastal programs there are increases of \$200,000 for the Hood Canal Salmon Enhancement Group, \$200,000 for Long Live the Kings, and \$300,000 to restore funding for the Tampa and Florida panhandle field offices, and a decrease of \$2,500,000 to the proposed coastal program expansion.

In the environmental contaminants program, there is an increase of \$2,700,000. The Committee rejects the budget proposal to reduce

this account.

Refuges and wildlife.—The Committee recommends \$490,358,000 for refuges and wildlife, a decrease of \$2,783,000 below the budget

request.

In refuge operations, there is a net increase of \$500,000. For wildlife and habitat management, there is a \$7,600,000 decrease for the departmental challenge cost share program, and increases of \$1,400,000 to restore the spartina grass control program at the Willapa NWR, WA, \$1,000,000 for cooperative projects with friends groups on invasive species control, \$1,100,000 to restore the base

budget for general operations, and \$2,000,000 to continue "minimum staffing" implementation using an updated refuge operating needs system. For refuge visitor services, there are increases of \$2,000,000 to restore the visitor facility enhancements program and \$600,000 to restore the base budget for general operations.

In migratory bird management, there is a decrease of \$3,483,000. For conservation and monitoring decreases include \$750,000 for focal species management, \$200,000 for survey and monitoring, and \$200,000 for population and habitat assessment. For the joint ventures program, decreases include \$1,433,000 for new joint ventures, \$800,000 for existing joint ventures, and \$100,000 for national administration. The Committee is very supportive of the migratory bird management program and has retained some of the increases proposed in the budget request.

In law enforcement operations, there is an increase of \$200,000 to restore partially the law enforcement vehicle replacement pro-

gram.

Fisheries.—The Committee recommends \$118,756,000 for fisheries, an increase of \$11,100,000 above the budget request. For hatchery operations, there is a decrease of \$600,000. For hatchery maintenance, there is an increase of \$1,500,000 to restore partially whirling disease research. For fish and wildlife management, increases include \$1,150,000 to restore the proposed general reduction, \$1,750,000 for the national fish habitat initiative, \$1,700,000 to restore the fish passage program, \$500,000 to restore the Great Lakes fish and wildlife restoration program, \$2,000,000 for Washington State salmon mass marking of hatchery fish, \$500,000 for Washington hatchery improvement to be divided equally between the Hatchery Scientific Review Group and Long Live the Kings, \$250,000 for the Regional Mark Processing Center, and \$350,000 for Yukon River Salmon Treaty programs. There is also an increase of \$2,000,000 to restore the marine mammals program.

General Administration.—The Committee recommends \$135,218,000 for general administration, a net increase of \$1,025,000 above the budget request. In general operations, there is an increase of \$2,025,000 to restore the proposed reduction for unidentified management efficiencies. For the National Conservation Training Center, there is a decrease of \$375,000 for operations and an increase of \$375,000 for maintenance. For the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation, there is an increase of \$300,000 to restore the base program. For the science excellence initiative, there is a decrease of \$1,500,000. In international affairs, there is a decrease of \$200,000 for the international wildlife trade program and an increase of \$400,000 to restore base funding for the wildlife without

borders program.

Bill Language.—The Committee recommends continuing bill language earmarking funding for the endangered species listing program. A total of \$18,130,000, as requested, is earmarked for listing, of which \$12,852,000 is earmarked for critical habitat designation.

The Committee agrees to the following:

1. Funds provided for wolf monitoring in Idaho include \$460,000 for the Nez Perce Tribe, \$940,000 for the Idaho Office of Species Conservation, and \$100,000 for the Service's Snake River Basin Office.

2. Funds for the Gabbro soils inventory are to conduct a survey to evaluate the degree of protection afforded five plant species rel-

ative to recovery plan targets.

3. The Committee has retained the proposed general increase for the Endangered Species Act consultation program and expects the Service to use this increase to address the backlog of critical consultation needs such as those associated with the American burying beetle in Oklahoma and the extraordinary needs in California.

- 4. Last year the Committee urged the Service and the Department not to abandon their commitment to addressing the critical operations and maintenance backlog needs of the National Wildlife Refuge System now that 100th anniversary of the refuge system has passed. The Service was directed to update its minimum staffing analysis, which forms the basis for tier one of the Refuge Operating Needs System, no later than January 15, 2005. The Committee has not received that updated analysis and expects the Service to comply with that requirement prior to conference action on the fiscal year 2006 appropriations bill.
- 5. In fulfilling its responsibilities for the operation of Vieques NWR, the Service should continue to consult with the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.
- 6. The increase above the 2005 level for migratory bird management should be used mainly to fill the large number of critical staff vacancies.
- 7. The Peregrine Fund should be funded at \$700,000 in fiscal year 2006, which includes \$300,000 for Northern Aplomado Falcon recovery activities.
- 8. The Service should continue and intensify its efforts to collect reimbursements for fisheries mitigation efforts and use those funds to address habitat restoration and conservation. The fiscal year 2007 budget justification should include an update on the Service's efforts in this area.
- 9. The Committee commends the extensive efforts of the fisheries program over the past year to collect, correct, and standardize data on program spending and to identify critical operational shortfalls caused by base budget erosion. The Committee-recommended increases to the base budget are based on those data. The Committee expects the fisheries program to address inequities in field station funding when allocating base budget increases; to include consideration of reimbursable funding; to incorporate the results of the recent workforce planning effort; and to maintain salary and benefit costs, as a percent of total budget, at the same levels for each field station.
- 10. The Committee is pleased with the development of the National Fish Habitat Initiative, and believes that this model has the potential to be a very effective tool for reversing the declines of aquatic species caused largely by habitat degradation. The Committee recommends \$1,750,000 for the National Fish Habitat Initiative. Of the amount provided, 80% should be used to implement on-the-ground, cost-shared habitat restoration projects, identified in the Fisheries Operational Needs System and in direct support of fish habitat partnerships and pilot joint ventures, and 20% should be used to support continued development of the National Fish Habitat Plan.

11. The Committee is concerned about the number of depleted populations of Federal trust species; particularly those lacking adequate population assessments and management plans. Many of these species are indicators of larger ecosystem and human health issues and regular monitoring of the health of these populations is necessary. The Committee is pleased with the efforts of the fisheries program to address this need, and expects that a portion of the recommended base budget increase will be used for this purpose. The Committee further encourages the Service to support this effort in future budget requests.

12. The Committee continues to believe that the Service's science initiative needs to be closely coordinated with, and jointly funded by, the U.S. Geological Survey and has provided \$500,000 for the

Service and \$200,000 for the Survey for the initiative.

13. The Committee has recommended bill language in Title IV—General provisions prohibiting the use of funds for Safecom and Disaster.gov activities. Funds requested for these activities should be reprogrammed to cover equitably program shortfalls not funded in the budget request (associated with the underestimates of administrative costs when the Cost Allocation Methodology funds

were realigned to programs in fiscal year 2005)

14. Since enactment of the Captive Wildlife Safety Act, the Service has worked to craft the required regulations. The Service is pursuing this effort, in consultation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, and is committed to providing an effective and enforceable framework for implementing this law. The Committee expects the Service to publish a proposed rule as soon as possible and to report to the Committee on implementation requirements (funding and staffing) associated with the rule no later than November 1, 2005.

CONSTRUCTION

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$93,210,000 19,676,000 41,206,000
Comparison: Appropriation, 2005	-52.004.000
Budget estimate. 2006	+21.530.000

The Committee recommends \$41,206,000 for construction, a decrease of \$52,004,000 below the fiscal year 2005 level and an increase of \$21,530,000 above the budget request.

The Committee agrees to the following distribution of funds:

[Dollars in thousands]

Project	Description	Budget re- quest	Committee rec- ommenda- tion	Difference
Allegheny NFH, PA	Water Supply Improvements [cc]	0	725	725
Clark R. Bavin Forensics Laboratory, OR	Renovation/Upgrade Facility—Phase II [cc].	0	3,355	3,355
Crab Orchard NWR, IL	Visitor Center Dam Rehabilitation [cc]	2,625	2,625	0
Big Oaks NWR, IN	Old Timbers Lake Dam Rehabilitation— Phase II [d/cc].	150	150	0
Balcones Canyonlands NWR, TX	Martin Lake and Martin West Dams [p/d/cc].	500	500	0
Kenai NWR, AK	Visitor Center/Water and Sewer Lines [cc]	0	500	500
Klamath Basin NWR Complex, CA	Water Supply and Management—Phase V [cc].	0	2,500	2,500

28

[Dollars in thousands]

Project	Description	Budget re- quest	Committee rec- ommenda- tion	Difference
Kofa NWR, AZ	Structural Replacement of Four Build- ings—Phase II [cc].	1,515	1,515	0
Craig Brook NFH, ME	Wastewater Treatment Compliance— Phase III [cc].	2,480	2,480	0
Division of Safety, Security and Aviation	Replacement of Survey Aircraft—Phase III.	1,500	1,500	0
Fish Springs NWR, UT	Seismic Safety/Rehabilitation of 6 Buildings [cc].	0	300	300
Hanford Reach NM/Saddle Mountain NWR, WA.	Visitor Center [cc]	0	2,250	2,250
Northwest Power Planning Area	Fish Screens, etc	0	3,000	3,000
Servicewide	Bridge Safety Inspections	570	570	0
Servicewide	Dam Safety Programs & Inspections	720	720	0
Servicewide	Visitor Contact Facilities	0	5.000	5,000
Tualatin NWR, OR	Visitor Center and Administration Building [cc].	0	3,900	3,900
Subtotal, Line Item Construction		10,060	31,590	21,530
Nationwide Engineering Services:				
Cost Allocation Methodology		2,456	2,456	0
Environmental Compliance		1,000	1,000	0
Other, non-project specific Nation- wide Engineering Services.		5,900	5,900	0
Seismic Safety Program		130	130	0
Waste Prevention, Recycling, Envi- ronmental Management.		130	130	0
Subtotal, Nationwide Engineering Services.		9,616	9,616	0
Total		19,676	41,206	21,530

The Service should continue to use a standardized design approach for visitor centers and should request funding for visitor centers on the priority list. The Committee has provided funding to complete several projects that are currently underway. The budget request was irresponsible in not including construction funds for construction projects in process.

LAND ACQUISITION

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$37,005,000
Budget estimate, 2006	40,992,000
Recommended, 2006	14,937,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	-22,068,000
Budget estimate, 2006	-26,055,000

The Committee recommends \$14,937,000 for land acquisition, a decrease of \$26,055,000 below the budget request and \$22,068,000 below the enacted level. This amount includes \$1,750,000 for inholdings, \$1,750,000 for emergencies and hardships, \$1,724,000 for exchanges, \$7,893,000 for acquisition management, and \$1,820,000 for cost allocation methodology.

LANDOWNER INCENTIVE PROGRAM

The landowner incentive program provides funds to States, territories and tribes for matching, competitively awarded grants to es-

tablish or supplement landowner incentive programs that provide technical and financial assistance to private landowners. The purpose of these incentive programs is to restore and protect habitat of Federally listed, proposed, and candidate species under the Endangered Species Act and other at risk species on private lands. Eligible grantees include the States, the District of Columbia, Indian Tribes, Puerto Rico, Guam, the U.S. Virgin Islands, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, and American Samoa.

Appropriation enacted, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006 Recommended, 2006	$$21,694,000 \\ 40,000,000 \\ 23,700,000$
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	+2,006,000
Budget estimate, 2006	-16,300,000

The Committee recommends \$23,700,000 for the landowner incentive program, an increase of \$2,006,000 above the fiscal year 2005 level and \$16,300,000 below the budget request.

Given the constrained allocation for fiscal year 2006, the Committee has focused on restoring funding for the core, proven, mission-essential programs of the Service. The Committee does not object to new programs, but these grant programs should only be funded in addition to, and not at the expense of, mission-essential programs including proven, cost-shared, partnership programs.

PRIVATE STEWARDSHIP GRANTS

The private stewardship grants program provides grants and other assistance to individuals and groups engaged in local, private, and voluntary conservation efforts that benefit federally listed, proposed, and candidate species, and other at risk species.

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$6,903,000
Budget estimate, 2006	10,000,000
Recommended, 2006	7,386,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	+483,000
Budget estimate, 2006	-2,614,000

The committee recommends \$7,386,000 for private stewardship grants, an increase of \$483,000 above the fiscal year 2005 level and \$2,614,000 below the budget request.

COOPERATIVE ENDANGERED SPECIES CONSERVATION FUND

Eighty percent of the habitat for more than half of the listed endangered and threatened species is on private land. The Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund provides grants to States and territories for endangered species recovery actions on non-Federal lands and provides funds for non-Federal land acquisition to facilitate habitat protection. Individual States and territories provide 25 percent of grant project costs. Cost sharing is reduced to 10 percent when two or more States or territories are involved in a project.

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$80,462,000
Budget estimate, 2006	80,000,000
Recommended, 2006	84,400,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	+3,938,000
Budget estimate, 2006	+4,400,000

The Committee recommends \$84,400,000 for the cooperative endangered species conservation fund, an increase of \$3,938,000 above the fiscal year 2005 level and \$4,400,000 above the budget request. The increase to the budget request is for habitat conservation plan land acquisition.

Bill Language.—Language is included deriving only the species recovery land acquisition and HCP land acquisition portions of this account from the Land and Water Conservation Fund, instead of deriving the entire funding from the LWCF as proposed in the budget request.

NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE FUND

Through this program the Service makes payments to counties in which Service lands are located, based on their fair market value. Payments to counties are estimated to be \$20,914,000 in fiscal year 2006 with \$14,414,000 derived from this appropriation and \$6,500,000 from net refuge receipts estimated to be collected in fiscal year 2005.

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$14,214,000 14,414,000
Recommended, 2006	14,414,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	+200,000
Budget estimate, 2006	0

The Committee recommends \$14,414,000, the budget request, for the National wildlife refuge fund, an increase of \$200,000 above the fiscal year 2005 funding level.

NORTH AMERICAN WETLANDS CONSERVATION FUND

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, through the North American Wetlands Conservation Fund, leverages partner contributions for wetlands conservation. Projects to date have been in 50 States, 13 Canadian provinces, 25 Mexican states, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. In addition to this appropriation, the Service receives funding from receipts in the Federal Aid in Wildlife Restoration account from taxes on firearms, ammunition, archery equipment, pistols, and revolvers, and from the Sport Fish Restoration account from taxes on fishing tackle and equipment, electric trolling motors and fish finders, and certain marine gasoline taxes. By law, sport fish restoration receipts are used for coastal wetlands in States bordering the Pacific and Atlantic Oceans, States bordering the Great Lakes, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, the freely associated States in the Pacific, and American Samoa.

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$37,472,000
Budget estimate, 2006	49,949,000
Recommended, 2006	40,000,000
Comparison:	, ,
Appropriation, 2005	+2,528,000
Budget estimate, 2005	-9,949,000

The Committee recommends \$40,000,000 for the North American wetlands conservation fund, an increase of \$2,528,000 above the fiscal year 2005 level and \$9,949,000 below the budget request. Decreases to the budget request include \$9,549,000 for wetlands conservation grants and \$400,000 for program administration.

NEOTROPICAL MIGRATORY BIRD CONSERVATION

The Neotropical Migratory Bird Conservation Act of 2000 authorizes grants for the conservation of neotropical migratory birds in the United States, Latin America and the Caribbean, with 75 percent of the amounts available to be expended on projects outside the U.S. There is a three to one matching requirement under this program.

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$3,944,000
Budget estimate, 2006	0
Recommended, 2006	4,000,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	+56,000
Budget estimate, 2005	+4,000,000

The Committee recommends \$4,000,000 for the neotropical migratory bird conservation program, an increase of \$56,000 above the fiscal year 2005 level and \$4,000,000 above the budget request. The Administration proposed \$4,000,000 for this program as part of the multinational species conservation fund.

This program provides critically needed resources for conservation of neotropical migratory birds. The Committee expects the Service to coordinate closely with the Service's international program on neotropical migratory bird conservation program implementation.

MULTINATIONAL SPECIES CONSERVATION FUND

This account combines funding for programs under the former rewards and operations (African elephant) account, the former rhinoceros and tiger conservation account, the Asian elephant conservation program, and the great ape conservation program.

The African Elephant Act of 1988 established a fund for assisting

The African Elephant Act of 1988 established a fund for assisting nations and organizations involved with conservation of African elephants. The Service provides grants to African nations and to qualified organizations and individuals to protect and manage critical populations of these elephants.

The Rhinoceros and Tiger Conservation Act of 1994 authorized programs to enhance compliance with the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES) and U.S. or foreign laws prohibiting the taking or trade of rhinoceros, tigers, or their habitat.

The Asian Elephant Conservation Act of 1997 authorized a grant program, similar to the African elephant program, to enable cooperators from regional and range country agencies and organizations to address Asian elephant conservation problems. The world's surviving populations of wild Asian elephants are found in 13 south and southeastern Asian countries.

The Great Ape Conservation Act of 2000 authorized grants to foreign governments, the CITES secretariat, and non-governmental organizations for the conservation of great apes.

Appropriation enacted, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006 Recommended, 2005	\$5,719,000 8,300,000 5,900,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	+181,000
Budget estimate, 2005	-2,400,000

The Committee recommends \$5,900,000 for the multinational species conservation fund, an increase of \$181,000 above the fiscal year 2005 level and \$2,400,000 below the budget request. Changes to the budget request include a decrease of \$4,000,000 for neotropical migratory birds (which is funded in a separate account) and increases of \$400,000 for African elephant conservation, \$400,000 for Asian elephant conservation, \$300,000 for rhinoceros and tiger conservation and \$500,000 for great ape conservation. The Committee expects these funds to be matched by non-Federal funding to leverage private contributions to the maximum extent possible.

STATE AND TRIBAL WILDLIFE GRANTS

The State and tribal wildlife grants program provides funds for States to develop and implement wildlife management and habitat restoration for the most critical wildlife needs in each State. States are required to develop comprehensive wildlife conservation plans to be eligible for grants and to provide at least a 25 percent cost share for planning grants and at least a 50 percent cost share for implementation grants.

Appropriation enacted, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006 Recommended, 2006	\$69,028,000 74,000,000 65,000,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	-4,028,000
Budget estimate, 2006	-9,000,000

The Committee recommends \$65,000,000 for State and tribal wildlife grants, a decrease of \$4,028,000 below the fiscal year 2005 level and \$9,000,000 below the budget request. Within the amount provided, \$6,000,000 is for competitively awarded grants to Indian tribes.

Bill Language.—Bill language is continued specifying that each State or eligible entity has two years to enter into specific grant agreements. If fiscal year 2006 funds remain unobligated at the end of fiscal year 2007, the unobligated funds will be reapportioned to all States and eligible entities, together with any new appropriations provided in fiscal year 2008. Bill language also is included providing direction on redistributing funds for States with disapproved plans.

The Committee agrees to the following:

1. Not more than 3 percent of the appropriated amount may be used for Federal administration of the program. Administrative costs for each grantee should also be held to a minimum so that the maximum amount of funding is used for on-the-ground projects.

2. Funds made available under this account should be added to revenues from existing State sources and not serve as a substitute for revenues from such sources.

3. Priority for the use of these funds should be placed on those species with the greatest conservation need. Funds should be used to address the habitat requirements of species identified in State wildlife plans/strategies in order to preclude the need to list more species as threatened or endangered under the Endangered Species Act.

The Committee reiterates its expectation that each State and other participating entity in the formula grant program will submit its comprehensive wildlife conservation plan on time. The Service should notify each State or other entity, as soon as possible after receipt of its plan, whether the plan is approved, conditionally approved, or disapproved. If a plan is conditionally approved, the submitting entity should be given a limited but reasonable amount of time to address the Service's concerns and submit a revised plan for approval. Such extension of time should not exceed 6 months. If a plan is disapproved, the submitting entity is no longer entitled to receive funds from the program. Should an entity with a disapproved plan elect to submit a revised plan in the future, it may do so but, until a plan is approved, that entity will not be entitled to receive any funds from the program.

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

The mission of the National Park Service is to preserve unimpaired the natural and cultural resources and values of the national park system for the enjoyment, education, and inspiration of this and future generations. The National Park Service cooperates with partners to extend the benefits of natural and cultural resource conservation and outdoor recreation throughout this coun-

try and the world.

The National Park Service, established in 1916, has stewardship responsibilities for the protection and preservation of the heritage resources of the national park system. The system, consisting of 388 separate and distinct units, is recognized globally as a leader in park management and resource preservation. The national park system represents much of the finest the Nation has to offer in terms of scenery, historical and archeological relics, and cultural heritage. Through its varied sites, the National Park Service attempts to explain America's history, interpret its culture, preserve examples of its natural ecosystems, and provide recreational and educational opportunities for U.S. citizens and visitors from all over the world. In addition, the National Park Service provides support to tribal, local, and State governments to preserve culturally significant, ecologically important, and public recreational lands.

OPERATION OF THE NATIONAL PARK SYSTEM

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$1,683,564,000 1,734,053,000 1,754,199,000
Comparison: Appropriation, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006	+70,635,000 +20,146,000

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

Recommended versus nacted Request		;	:	006-	-8,954	+30'000	+20,146		+20,146
- 111 1		+6,080	+7,727	+11,947	+8,259	+30,000	+64,013	+6,622	+70,635
(dollars in thousands) FY 2006 Request Recommended B		354,116	346,181	594,686	298,659	30,000	1,623,642	130,557	1,754,199
(do FY 2006 Request		354,116	346,181	595,586	307,613	1 1 1	1,603,496	123,935 130,557	1,734,053
FY 2005 Enacted		348,036	338,454	582,739	290,400	1	1,559,629	123,935	1,683,564
	Operation of the National Park System	Park Management Resource stewardship	Visitor services	Maintenance	Park support	Park base increase	Subtotal, Park Management	External administrative costs	Total, Operation of the National Park System 1,683,564 1,734,053 1,754,199

The Committee recommends \$1,754,199,000 for operation of the National Park System, an increase of \$70,635,000 above the enacted level and \$20,146,000 above the budget request. The Committee has redirected funds to provide for a \$30,000,000 base increase for the parks. This is in addition to the budget request which provides for pay and uncontrollable expenses. These funds are to be distributed proportionately to all park units and to remain in park base budgets.

Resource Stewardship.—The Committee recommends \$354,116,000 for resources stewardship, the same as the budget re-

quest and \$6,080,000 above the enacted level.

Included in this amount are increases above the enacted level of \$4,931,000 for natural resources challenge vital signs. The Committee accepts the following reductions in the budget: \$648,000 for fleet management reform, and \$3,931,000 for the Natural Resource Preservation Program. Also included is \$5,728,000 for uncontrollable expenses.

Visitor Services.—The Committee recommends \$346,181,000 for visitor services, the same as the budget request and \$7,727,000 above the enacted level. Included in this amount is an increase above the enacted level of \$119,000 for fee metrics/data analysis. The Committee accepts the following reductions in the budget: \$129,000 for fleet management reform, and \$986,000 for the Presidential Inaugural activities. Also included is \$8,723,000 for uncon-

trollable expenses.

Maintenance.—The Committee recommends \$594,686,000 for maintenance, a reduction of \$900,000 below the budget request and \$11,947,000 above the enacted level. Included in this amount is an increase of \$2,500,000 for repair and rehabilitation of historic structures. The Committee accepts a reduction of \$388,000 for fleet management reform. Also included is \$9,835,000 for uncontrollable expenses. Within the total amount provided for repair and rehabilitation, there is \$80,000 for campground rehabilitation at Ozark National Scenic Riverways, \$200,000 for historic landscaping at Gettysburg NMP, \$200,000 for Alice Ferguson (Wareham Lodge), \$497,000 for Indiana Dunes NL (West Beach), \$206,000 for Indiana Dunes NL (Dunbar Beach), \$300,000 for Death Valley NP (Cow Creek), \$140,000 for San Juan NHS sewer repairs, and \$243,000 for El Morro restrooms.

Park Support.—The Committee recommends \$298,659,000 for park support, a reduction of \$8,594,000 from the budget request, and \$8,259,000 above the enacted level. Included in this amount are increases of \$400,000 for Jamestown 2007, \$578,000 for IT intrusion detection, \$525,000 to establish an IT test lab, \$750,000 for an IT security plan review, \$500,000 for IT upgrade equipment, \$1,725,000 for an IT active directory and \$1,235,000 for the enterprise services network. The Committee accepts the following reductions in the budget: \$129,000 for fleet management reform, \$1,416,000 for management efficiencies, \$644,000 for IT certification and accreditation, \$87,000 for E-Government initiatives, \$247,000 for wild and Scenic Rivers and \$2,427,000 for Lewis and Clark challenge cost share. Also included is \$7,496,000 for uncontrollable expenses. The Committee expects the Service to continue to allocate one-third of the funds provided for the challenge cost share program to the National Trails System.

The Committee has been unable to provide funds for the cooperative conservation challenge cost share program because of fiscal constraints. However, the Committee remains supportive of the concept and has provided funds to continue the traditional agency challenge cost share program and has no objection to broadening the scope of the ongoing program to encompass resource protection activities.

External Administrative Costs.—The Committee recommends \$130,557,000 for external administrative costs, the same as the budget request and \$6,622,000 above the enacted level. The Committee accepts the reduction of \$1,337,000 for central office consolidation (GSA space rental). Also included is \$7,959,000 for uncon-

trollable expenses.

South Florida Initiative.—The Committee continues its support for the restoration of the Everglades and the protection and preservation of the national parks and national wildlife refuges located in the region. Since this initiative began, the Committee has provided over \$1 billion in funding to the Department of the Interior and its bureaus for restoration projects and activities. Restoration programs funded by the Committee include land acquisition for Federal and State areas, water quality improvements, science, the South Florida Ecosystem Restoration Task Force, Modified Water Deliveries, and the Department's participation in implementing the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan. The Committee recognizes that although progress has been made in the last decade, challenges remain. These challenges must be addressed to ensure that the Everglades is preserved and restored and that the Federal investment in the Everglades is protected. To this end, it is imperative that overall Everglades restoration goals, as established by the South Florida Ecosystem Restoration Task Force, as well as individual project restoration goals, be achieved.

The Committee remains deeply concerned over efforts to improve water quality. Without clean water, the Everglades will be irretrievably altered and its unique habitat will be degraded. This will further frustrate Everglades restoration efforts now underway. The Committee remains troubled that the State of Florida is not fully achieving its obligations under the 1992 Consent Decree entered in United States v. South Florida Water Management District. The Committee understands that the interim levels for total phosphorus concentration at A.R.M. Loxahatchee National Wildlife Refuge, which remain in effect until more protective and stringent levels are required at the beginning of 2007, were exceeded in 2002 and again in 2004. This trend is troubling. The Committee understands that the U.S. District Court, which retains jurisdiction over the Consent Decree, is considering whether exceeding these interim levels should be deemed a violation of the Consent Decree. Notwithstanding the outcome of the court proceedings, the Committee directs the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to keep the Committee fully apprised of its effort to establish additional water quality monitoring and modeling at A.R.M. Loxahatchee National Wildlife Refuge. The Committee directs that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service provide the Committee with the refuge's annual and quarterly reports summarizing the implementation of the additional monitoring and modeling at the refuge. Additionally, the Committee expects that the annual report required by P.L. 108-108 and

prepared jointly by the Departments of the Interior, Justice, and Army and the Environmental Protection Agency summarizing the status of the water entering A.R.M. Loxahatchee National Wildlife Refuge and Everglades National Park will be submitted expeditiously and on-time in the future as the report is long over-due. In the interim, the Committee expects that the Department will continue its work with its State and Federal partners to ensure that discharges to A.R.M. Loxahatchee National Wildlife Refuge and Everglades National Park achieve the levels and limits specified in the Consent Decree and do not cause adverse impact to these im-

portant Federal resources.

The Committee is also concerned that the Everglades restoration program may not be proceeding in a manner that guarantees the achievement of the primary Federal interest, the restoration of the Everglades. The strong intergovernmental partnership that is necessary for restoration success is not apparent. Although the Committee appreciates the State of Florida's announcement of its Acceler8 program and its intention to provide up-front funding to implement a number of Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan projects within the next five years, the Committee has heard concerns expressed by some stakeholders that the Acceler8 program was developed without adequate collaboration and that the projects being implemented are largely water storage projects and do not provide the anticipated natural system benefits. Given these concerns, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Interior, in consultation with the Secretary of the Army, to submit a report by December 31, 2005 on the status of the Everglades restoration projects now underway. The report should summarize the status of the projects, the anticipated environmental benefits of each project, and whether the projects being implemented by the State and the Army Corps together provide for natural system restoration. To the extent that changes in the sequencing of projects are necessary to achieve natural system restoration, the Committee directs that the report recommend such changes.

Additionally, the Committee has heard concerns expressed by some stakeholders that some non-Federal lands may need to be acquired to fully achieve natural system restoration. In response to questions posed by the Committee, the Department agrees that this is a valid concern. Given that the South Florida Ecosystem Restoration Task Force has developed a land acquisition strategy that identifies the lands that remain to be acquired, as well as tools that may be available to assist in the acquisition of these lands, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Interior, as Chair of the South Florida Ecosystem Restoration Task Force (Task Force), to provide a more detailed report to the Committee from the Task Force identifying and prioritizing for acquisition the lands that are necessary to achieve natural system restoration goals. The report should identify funding strategies involving innovative partnerships, as well as timeframes, as to when the necessary lands will be acquired so that they will be available for restoration purposes. The Committee directs that the Secretary of the Interior submit the Task Force report no later than December 31, 2005.

The Committee is pleased with the improvements in coordination that the Department has made to its science programs supporting Everglades restoration activities. The Department's Science Plan provides a road-map to ensure that the science that is being conducted supports the needs of the land managing agencies and the decisions that will affect Interior-managed resources. Similar to last year, the Committee directs that the Department submit a report by December 31, 2005 describing the scientific research projects to be funded in the National Park Service and the U.S. Geological Survey with the fiscal year 2006 appropriations. The report should provide details for each research project, including how each research project is consistent with the Department's Science Plan, as well as how the project is filling gaps in scientific information

and supporting the decisions that need to be made.

National Park Foundation.—Last year, the Committee directed the National Park Service to work cooperatively with the National Park Foundation to implement fully the GAO recommendations regarding improving communications, documentation and strategic focus of activities. These recommendations also addressed ways to strengthen the Proud Partner program that would ensure transparency and accountability. The Committee appreciates the level of cooperation by both the Service and the new leadership of the National Park Foundation. The National Park Service Director has assured the Committee all GAO recommendations have been implemented and that the Service will have full access to the details of all future and renewal Proud Partners agreements and will be a signatory to those agreements.

The Service is reminded that existing agency policies, as well as sound financial management practices, require that all fundraising that benefits the National Park Service, including activities of the Foundation, require written agreements and approved fundraising

plans.

Other.—The Committee continues to support the decision by Ozark National Scenic Riverways to retain the carpentry and maintenance positions at the park. The Committee recognizes the urgent needs at ONSR for these skilled personnel. The Committee

expects that these positions will be retained.

The Committee understands that the Service is working closely with the Town of Blowing Rock, North Carolina to resolve permanently, through administrative means or, if necessary, a land exchange, the long standing issue of the access to water as part of the town's municipal water supply. The Committee expects the Service to allow the town of Blowing Rock to continue its water usage while moving forward with the needed steps to provide a permanent remedy, including facilitating and funding the necessary compliance effort. The Committee requests a status report no later than March 1, 2006.

The Committee expects the Service to provide the same level of funding as provided in fiscal year 2005 for the Johnstown Area Heritage Association Museum, as well as the Ice Age National Scientific Reserve, and continue this funding in the operations account in future years.

The Committee strongly encourages the National Park Service to complete the management plan for the Cedar Creek and Belle Grove National Historical Park no later than September 1, 2007.

Bill language is included under this account specifying how the additional park base increase should be allocated.

UNITED STATES PARK POLICE

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$80,076,000
Budget estimate, 2006	80,411,000
Recommended, 2006	82,411,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	+2,335,000
Budget estimate, 2006	+2,000,000

The Committee recommends \$82,411,000 for the U.S. Park Police, an increase of \$2,000,000 above the budget request and \$2,335,000 above the enacted level. Increases from the enacted level are for uncontrollable expenses and for new recruit classes. Decreases from the enacted level totaling \$986,000 are for Inauguration activities.

The Committee directs the U.S. Park Police to submit a final report no later than January 15, 2006, detailing how it has implemented each recommendation in the original National Academy of Public Administration (NAPA) report. If certain recommendations have not been agreed to, a full explanation is required. This process has taken nearly four years and three NAPA studies to complete. The Committee expects final resolution by the above men-

NATIONAL RECREATION AND PRESERVATION

tioned date.

The National recreation and preservation appropriation provides for outdoor recreation planning, preservation of cultural and National heritage resources, technical assistance to Federal, State and local agencies, and administration of Historic Preservation Fund grants.

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$60,973,000 36,777,000 48,997,000
Comparison: Appropriation, 2005	-11,976,000
Budget estimate, 2006	+12,220,000

The Committee recommends \$48,997,000 for national recreation and preservation, an increase of \$12,220,000 above the budget request and a reduction of \$11,976,000 below the enacted level. The Committee has agreed with the budget request and has eliminated the Statutory or Contractual Aid category. Critical elements of this account have been moved to the operations account.

	FY 2005 Enacted	(do FY 2006 Request	(dollars in thousands) 06 st Recommended		Recommended versus nacted Request
National Recreation and Preservation					
Recreation programs	543	554	554	+	:
Natural programs	10,865	9,545	9,545	-1,320	!
Cultural programs	19,933	17,722	19,953	+20	+2,231
International park affairs	1,593	1,618	1,618	+25	
Environmental and compliance review	391	399	399	4	
Grant administration	1,866	1,913	1,913	+47	!
Heritage Partnership Programs	9			i d	9
Now Is an thorsand proper	13,860	4,804	14,693	126+	808.8+
Newly authorized areas	493	:	1	-493	:
Administrative support	120	122	122	+5	1
Subtotal, Heritage Partnership Programs	14,579	5,026	15,015	+436	686'6+
Statutory or Contractual Aid					
Alaska National Parks	740	;	;	-740	:
Benjamin Franklin Tercentenary Commission	247		:	-247	:
Black Jack Battlefield trust	88	:	:	-88	-
Brown Foundation	247	;	:	-247	
Chesapeake Bay Gateway	2,465	1	:	-2,465	:
Flight 93 Memorial (Sommerset)	247		:	-247	
George Washington Memorial Bridge (Gravely Point) Ft. Mandan, Ft. Lincoln, & Northern Plains	66	:	:	66-	1
Foundation	616	1	1	-616	:
Ice Age National Scientific Reserve	785	;	:	-785	:
Jamestown 2007	394	;	:	-394	:
Johnstown Area Heritage Association	48	;	:	-48	:
Keweenaw NHP (Main Street)	789	-	;	- 789	:
Lamprey Kiver	887	!	;	-887	:

Recommended versus nacted Request	:	:	;	;	;	:	!		11 13 10 10 11 11 11	+12,220
sands) Recommer Enacted	-247	-740	069-	-148	-740	-394	-592	-11,203		
(dollars in thousands) FY 2006 Request Recommended E	;	:	:	:	:	;	!	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		48,997
(do FY 2006 Request	1	1	:	;	;	;	:			60,973 36,777 48,997 -11,976
FY 2005 Enacted	247	740	069	148	740	394	265	11,203		60,973
	Lower Eastside Tenement Museum	Mississippi Museum of Natural Science	Mt. Ranier National Park (study)	Natchez NHP (Forks of the Road)	Native Hawaiian culture and arts program	Sewall-Belmont House	Sleeping Rainbow Ranch, Capitol Reef NP	Subtotal, Statutory or Contractual Aid		Total, National Recreation and Preservation

Recreation Programs.—The Committee recommends \$554,000 for recreation programs, the same as the budget request and an increase of \$11,000 above the enacted level. The increase is for un-

controllable expenses.

Natural Programs.—The Committee recommends \$9,545,000 for natural programs, the same as the budget request and a decrease of \$1,320,000 below the enacted level. Programmatic decreases include \$512,000 for rivers and trails studies, \$500,000 for rivers, trails, and conservation assistance and \$495,000 for national natural landmarks. An increase of \$187,000 is for uncontrollable expenses.

Cultural Programs.—The Committee recommends \$19,953,000 for cultural programs, an increase of \$2,231,000 above the budget request and \$200,000 below the enacted level. The programmatic increase includes the restoration of \$1,931,000 for the National Center for Preservation Technology and Training in Louisiana. The Committee strongly urges the Service to continue to provide fund-

ing for this purpose in future budget submissions.

In addition, \$300,000 is provided to produce a digitization design plan for a long range project to digitize archival records consisting of over 80,000 listings of buildings, districts, sites, structures, and objects in the National Register of Historic Places. In designing this plan, the Service should be creative in working with entities such as the Library of Congress both for technical assistance and to avoid duplication of systems. While the Committee understands the critical need and importance of this project, the Service must prioritize its needs in future budget requests.

Decreases to cultural programs include \$99,000 for Gettysburg, \$100,000 for Creole Heritage Center, and \$296,000 for underground railroad grants. An increase of \$215,000 is provided for uncontrollable expenses. Within available funds, the Service is directed to initiate planning authorized in the American Revolution Commemoration Act. The Service is strongly encouraged to provide

funds in the fiscal year 2007 budget for this activity.

International Park Affairs.—The Committee recommends \$1,618,000 for international park affairs, the same as the budget request and \$25,000 above the enacted level. This increase is for uncontrollable expenses.

Environmental and Compliance Review.—The Committee recommends \$399,000 for environmental and compliance review, the same as the budget request and \$8,000 above the enacted level.

This increase is for uncontrollable expenses.

Grant Administration.—The Committee recommends \$1,913,000 for grant administration, the same as the budget request and \$47,000 above the enacted level. his increase is for uncontrollable

expenses.

The Committee has not provided funds for the Chesapeake Bay Gateways and Water Trail initiative. Since fiscal year 2000, this Committee has provided \$9,500,000 for this effort. A routine oversight program review conducted by the House Appropriations Committee's Surveys and Investigation staff uncovered several problems. The report indicates that there is a deficiency of responsible program management including, but not limited to, a lack of scrutiny of the matching fund requirements, use of grant funds to subsidize operations at grant sites, and an inappropriate use of grant

funds with third party non-profit organizations. The review con-

runds with third party non-profit organizations. The review concluded that in addition to poor management, there was clearly too much funding chasing too few eligible projects.

Heritage Partnership Program.—The Committee recommends \$15,015,000 for heritage partnerships, an increase of \$9,989,000 above the budget request and \$436,000 above the enacted level. The Committee recommends the following distribution of funds:

Project	Amount
America's Agricultural Heritage Partnership (Silos & Smokestacks)	\$750,000
Augusta Canal National Heritage Area	400,000
Automobile National Heritage Area	500,000
Blue Ridge National Heritage Area	900,000
Cane River National Heritage Area	900,000
Delaware and Lehigh National Heritage Corridor	800,000
Erie Canalway National Corridor	700,000
Essex National Heritage Area	900,000
Hudson River Valley National Heritage Area	500,000
John H. Chafee Blackstone River Valley National Heritage Cor-	
ridor	845,000
Lackawanna Valley National Heritage Area	650,000
Mississippi Gulf National Heritage Area	175,000
National Aviation Heritage Area	200,000
National Coal Heritage Area	123,000
Ohio and Erie Canal National Heritage Corridor	900,000
Oil Region National Heritage Area	200,000
Quinnebaug and Shetucket Rivers Valley National Heritage Cor-	
ridor	850,000
Rivers of Steel National Heritage Area	900,000
Schuylkill River Valley National Heritage Area	500,000
Shenandoah Valley Battlefields National Historic District	500,000
South Carolina National Heritage Corridor	900,000
Tennessee Civil War Heritage Area	500,000
Wheeling National Heritage Area	900,000
Yuma Crossing National Heritage Area	400,000
Subtotal, Projects	14,893,000
Administration	122,000
Total	\$15,015,000

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND

The Historic Preservation Fund supports the State historic preservation offices to perform a variety of functions, including State management and administration of existing grant obligations; review and advice on Federal projects and actions, determinations, and nominations to the National Register; Tax Act certifications; and technical preservation services. The States also review properties to develop data for planning use.

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$71,739,000 66,205,000 72,705,000
Appropriation, 2005	+966,000 +6.500,000

		op)	(dollars in thousands)	ands)	
	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Request	FY 2006 Request Recommended	Recommen Enacted	Recommended versus inacted Request
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
Historic Preservation Fund					
State historic preservation offices	35,500	35,500	36,000	+500	+200
Tribal grants	3,205	3,205	3,205	:	;
Save America's Treasures	29,583	15,000	30,000	+417	+15,000
Preserve America	;	12,500	:	!	-12,500
HBCUs	3,451	1	3,500	+49	+3,500
					#######################################
Total, Historic Preservation Fund	71,739	66,205	72,705	996+	+6,500

The Committee recommends \$72,705,000 for historic preservation fund programs, an increase of \$6,500,000 above the budget re-

quest and \$966,000 above the enacted level.

The Committee recommendation provides \$36,000,000 for State historic preservation offices, \$3,205,000 for tribal grants, \$30,000,000 for Save America's Treasure grants, and \$3,500,000 for Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCU). The HBCU program is a competitive program administered by the Service. The cost share on this program is 70 percent Federal and, 30 percent private. In addition, there are HBCU unexpended balances of \$6,300,000 that have been available since 2000. Because of budget constraints the Committee has not agreed to fund a new Preserve constraints, the Committee has not agreed to fund a new Preserve America initiative.

CONSTRUCTION

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$352,982,000
Budget estimate, 2006	307,362,000
Recommended, 2006	291,230,000
Comparison:	, ,
Appropriation, 2005	-61,752,000
Budget estimate, 2006	-16,132,000

The Committee recommends \$291,230,000 for construction, a decrease of \$16,132,000 below the budget request and \$61,752,000 below the enacted level.

The Committee recommends the following distribution of funds:

Amount	Amistad National Recreation Area, TX \$1,003,000 Big Bend National Park, TX (curatorial) 2,100,000 Blue Ridge Parkway, NC 804,000 Chaco Culture National Historical Park, NM 4,238,000 Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park, DC/MD/WV 1,847,000 Cumberland Island National Seashore, GA (Plum Orchard) 3,247,000 Cuyahoga Valley National Park, OH (rehabilitation) 2,500,000 Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dunbar Plaza) 450,000 Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Portage National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Homestead National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Homestead National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independ	Amistad National Recreation Area, TX \$1,003,000 Big Bend National Park, TX (curatorial) 2,100,000 Blue Ridge Parkway, NC 804,000 Blue Ridge Parkway, NC (visitor center) 3,500,000 Chaco Culture National Historical Park, NM 4,238,000 Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park, DC/MD/WV 1,847,000 Cumberland Island National Seashore, GA (Plum Orchard) 3,247,000 Cuyahoga Valley National Park, OH (rehabilitation) 2,500,000 Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dunbar Plaza) 450,000 Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Homestead National Historics Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Ho	The committee recommends the following distribution	or raniab.
Big Bend National Park, TX (curatorial) 2,100,000 Blue Ridge Parkway, NC 804,000 Blue Ridge Parkway, NC (visitor center) 3,500,000 Chaco Culture National Historical Park, NM 4,238,000 Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park, DC/MD/WV 1,847,000 Cumberland Island National Seashore, GA (Plum Orchard) 3,247,000 Cuyahoga Valley National Park, OH (rehabilitation) 2,500,000 Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dunbar Plaza) 450,000 Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National	Big Bend National Park, TX (curatorial) 2,100,000 Blue Ridge Parkway, NC 804,000 Blue Ridge Parkway, NC (visitor center) 3,500,000 Chaco Culture National Historical Park, NM 4,238,000 Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park, DC/MD/WV 1,847,000 Cumberland Island National Seashore, GA (Plum Orchard) 3,247,000 Cuyahoga Valley National Park, OH (rehabilitation) 2,500,000 Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dunbar Plaza) 450,000 Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Portage National Historics Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Homestead National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000	Big Bend National Park, TX (curatorial) 2,100,000 Blue Ridge Parkway, NC 804,000 Blue Ridge Parkway, NC (visitor center) 3,500,000 Chaco Culture National Historical Park, NM 4,238,000 Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park, DC/MD/WV 1,847,000 Cumberland Island National Seashore, GA (Plum Orchard) 3,247,000 Cuyahoga Valley National Park, OH (rehabilitation) 2,500,000 Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dunbar Plaza) 450,000 Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Portage National Historics Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Homestead National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000	Project	Amount
Blue Ridge Parkway, NC 804,000 Blue Ridge Parkway, NC (visitor center) 3,500,000 Chaco Culture National Historical Park, NM 4,238,000 Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park, DC/MD/WV 1,847,000 Cumberland Island National Seashore, GA (Plum Orchard) 3,247,000 Cuyahoga Valley National Park, OH (rehabilitation) 2,500,000 Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dumbar Plaza) 450,000 Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ 2,871,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 I	Blue Ridge Parkway, NC 804,000 Ridge Parkway, NC (visitor center) 3,500,000 Ridge Parkway, NC (visitor center) 3,500,000 Chaco Culture National Historical Park, NM 4,238,000 Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park, DC/MD/WV 1,847,000 Cuyahoga Valley National Park, OH (rehabilitation) 2,500,000 Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dunbar Plaza) 450,000 Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Gulf Islands National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Ridependence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Pinnacles National Hark, WA 7,900,000 Pinnacles National Park, CA 2,169,000 Pinnacles National Park, CA 2,169,000 Pinnacles National Park, CA	Blue Ridge Parkway, NC 804,000 Ridge Parkway, NC (visitor center) 3,500,000 Ridge Parkway, NC (visitor center) 3,500,000 Chaco Culture National Historical Park, NM 4,238,000 Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park, DC/MD/WV 1,847,000 Cuyahoga Valley National Park, OH (rehabilitation) 2,500,000 Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dunbar Plaza) 450,000 Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Gulf Islands National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Ridependence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Pinnacles National Hark, WA 7,900,000 Pinnacles National Park, CA 2,169,000 Pinnacles National Park, CA 2,169,000 Pinnacles National Park, CA		\$1,003,000
Chaco Culture National Historical Park, NM 4,238,000 Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park, DC/MD/WV Cumberland Island National Seashore, GA (Plum Orchard) 3,247,000 Cuyahoga Valley National Park, OH (rehabilitation) 2,500,000 Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dunbar Plaza) 450,000 Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ 2,871,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000	Chaco Culture National Historical Park, NM 4,238,000 Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park, DC/MD/WV 1,847,000 Cumberland Island National Seashore, GA (Plum Orchard) 3,247,000 Cuyahoga Valley National Park, OH (rehabilitation) 2,500,000 Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dunbar Plaza) 450,000 Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ 2,871,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Historics Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Homestead National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, MI 3,779,000 </td <td>Chaco Culture National Historical Park, NM 4,238,000 Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park, DC/MD/WV 1,847,000 Cumberland Island National Seashore, GA (Plum Orchard) 3,247,000 Cuyahoga Valley National Park, OH (rehabilitation) 2,500,000 Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dunbar Plaza) 450,000 Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ 2,871,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Historics Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Homestead National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, MI 3,779,000<!--</td--><td>Big Bend National Park, TX (curatorial)</td><td></td></td>	Chaco Culture National Historical Park, NM 4,238,000 Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park, DC/MD/WV 1,847,000 Cumberland Island National Seashore, GA (Plum Orchard) 3,247,000 Cuyahoga Valley National Park, OH (rehabilitation) 2,500,000 Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dunbar Plaza) 450,000 Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ 2,871,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Historics Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Homestead National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, MI 3,779,000 </td <td>Big Bend National Park, TX (curatorial)</td> <td></td>	Big Bend National Park, TX (curatorial)	
Chaco Culture National Historical Park, NM 4,238,000 Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park, DC/MD/WV Cumberland Island National Seashore, GA (Plum Orchard) 3,247,000 Cuyahoga Valley National Park, OH (rehabilitation) 2,500,000 Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dunbar Plaza) 450,000 Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ 2,871,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000	Chaco Culture National Historical Park, NM 4,238,000 Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park, DC/MD/WV 1,847,000 Cumberland Island National Seashore, GA (Plum Orchard) 3,247,000 Cuyahoga Valley National Park, OH (rehabilitation) 2,500,000 Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dunbar Plaza) 450,000 Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ 2,871,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Historics Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Homestead National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, MI 3,779,000 </td <td>Chaco Culture National Historical Park, NM 4,238,000 Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park, DC/MD/WV 1,847,000 Cumberland Island National Seashore, GA (Plum Orchard) 3,247,000 Cuyahoga Valley National Park, OH (rehabilitation) 2,500,000 Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dunbar Plaza) 450,000 Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ 2,871,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Historics Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Homestead National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, MI 3,779,000<!--</td--><td>Blue Ridge Parkway, NC</td><td>804,000</td></td>	Chaco Culture National Historical Park, NM 4,238,000 Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park, DC/MD/WV 1,847,000 Cumberland Island National Seashore, GA (Plum Orchard) 3,247,000 Cuyahoga Valley National Park, OH (rehabilitation) 2,500,000 Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dunbar Plaza) 450,000 Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ 2,871,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Historics Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Homestead National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, MI 3,779,000 </td <td>Blue Ridge Parkway, NC</td> <td>804,000</td>	Blue Ridge Parkway, NC	804,000
Chaco Culture National Historical Park, NM 4,238,000 Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park, DC/MD/WV Cumberland Island National Seashore, GA (Plum Orchard) 3,247,000 Cuyahoga Valley National Park, OH (rehabilitation) 2,500,000 Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dunbar Plaza) 450,000 Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ 2,871,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000	Chaco Culture National Historical Park, NM 4,238,000 Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park, DC/MD/WV 1,847,000 Cumberland Island National Seashore, GA (Plum Orchard) 3,247,000 Cuyahoga Valley National Park, OH (rehabilitation) 2,500,000 Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dunbar Plaza) 450,000 Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ 2,871,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Historics Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Homestead National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, MI 3,779,000 </td <td>Chaco Culture National Historical Park, NM 4,238,000 Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park, DC/MD/WV 1,847,000 Cumberland Island National Seashore, GA (Plum Orchard) 3,247,000 Cuyahoga Valley National Park, OH (rehabilitation) 2,500,000 Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dunbar Plaza) 450,000 Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ 2,871,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Historics Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Homestead National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, MI 3,779,000<!--</td--><td>Blue Ridge Parkway, NC (visitor center)</td><td></td></td>	Chaco Culture National Historical Park, NM 4,238,000 Chesapeake and Ohio Canal National Historical Park, DC/MD/WV 1,847,000 Cumberland Island National Seashore, GA (Plum Orchard) 3,247,000 Cuyahoga Valley National Park, OH (rehabilitation) 2,500,000 Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dunbar Plaza) 450,000 Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ 2,871,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Historics Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Homestead National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, MI 3,779,000 </td <td>Blue Ridge Parkway, NC (visitor center)</td> <td></td>	Blue Ridge Parkway, NC (visitor center)	
Cumberland Island National Seashore, GA (Plum Orchard) 3,247,000 Cuyahoga Valley National Park, OH (rehabilitation) 2,500,000 Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dunbar Plaza) 450,000 Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ 2,871,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000	Cumberland Island National Seashore, GA (Plum Orchard) 3,247,000 Cuyahoga Valley National Park, OH (rehabilitation) 2,500,000 Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dunbar Plaza) 450,000 Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ 2,871,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 2,169,000 Point Reyes National Monument, CA 2,169,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000	Cumberland Island National Seashore, GA (Plum Orchard) 3,247,000 Cuyahoga Valley National Park, OH (rehabilitation) 2,500,000 Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dunbar Plaza) 450,000 Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ 2,871,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 2,169,000 Point Reyes National Monument, CA 2,169,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000	Chaco Culture National Historical Park, NM	
Cuyahoga Valley National Park, OH (rehabilitation)2,500,000Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dunbar Plaza)450,000Death Valley National Park, CA5,791,000Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins)700,000Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ2,871,000Everglades National Park, FL25,000,000Fire Island National Seashore, NY764,000George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House)1,251,000George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance)400,000Grand Portage National Monument, MN4,000,000Grand Portage National Monument, MN4,000,000Grand Teton National Park, WY1,673,000Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS971,000Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH389,000Hot Springs National Park, AR6,059,000Independence National Historical Park, PA3,932,000Independence National Historical Park, PA3,932,000Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI3,779,000Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla)1,650,000Lincoln Library, IL4,000,000Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion)2,000,000Mount Rainier National Park, WA14,307,000Mount Rainier National Park, WA14,307,000Olympic National Park, WA10,098,000	Cuyahoga Valley National Park, OH (rehabilitation) Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dunbar Plaza) Death Valley National Park, CA Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ Delaware Water Gap National Park, WY Delaware Water Gap National Parkway, VA (Arlington House) Delaware Water Gap National Parkway, VA (Arlington House) Delaware Water Gap National Parkway, VA (Maintenance) Delaware Water Gap National Parkway, VA (Maintenance) Delaware Water Gap National Monument, MN Delaware National Park, WY Delaware National Park, WY Delaware National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) Delaware Vater Gap National Park, VA Delaware National Historical Park, PA Delaware Vater Gap National Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) Delaware Water Gap National Park, WA Delaware National Park, WA Delaware Vater Gap National Park, WA Delaware National Park, WA Delaware Vater Gap National Park Vater Called Vater Calle	Cuyahoga Valley National Park, OH (rehabilitation) Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dunbar Plaza) Death Valley National Park, CA Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ Delaware Water Gap National Park, WY Delaware Water Gap National Parkway, VA (Arlington House) Delaware Water Gap National Parkway, VA (Arlington House) Delaware Water Gap National Parkway, VA (Maintenance) Delaware Water Gap National Parkway, VA (Maintenance) Delaware Water Gap National Monument, MN Delaware National Park, WY Delaware National Park, WY Delaware National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) Delaware Vater Gap National Park, VA Delaware National Historical Park, PA Delaware Vater Gap National Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) Delaware Water Gap National Park, WA Delaware National Park, WA Delaware Vater Gap National Park, WA Delaware National Park, WA Delaware Vater Gap National Park Vater Called Vater Calle		
Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dunbar Plaza)450,000Death Valley National Park, CA5,791,000Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins)700,000Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ2,871,000Everglades National Park, FL25,000,000Fire Island National Seashore, NY764,000George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House)1,251,000George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance)400,000Glacier National Park, MT758,000Grand Portage National Monument, MN4,000,000Grand Teton National Park, WY1,673,000Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS971,000Homestead National Historics Site, NE (visitor center)1,000,000Hot Springs National Park, AR6,059,000Independence National Historical Park, PA3,932,000Independence National Historical Park, PA3,932,000Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI3,779,000Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla)1,650,000Lincoln Library, IL4,000,000Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion)2,000,000Mount Rainier National Park, WA14,307,000Mount Rainier National Park, WA7,900,000Olympic National Park, WA110,098,000	Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dunbar Plaza) Death Valley National Park, CA Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) To0,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) Grand Portage National Monument, MN Grand Portage National Park, WY Homestead National Park, WY Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) Homestead National Historical Park, OH Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, PA Independence National Historical Park, PA Independence National Historical Park, HI Saya,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI Saya,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) Lincoln Library, IL Homestead National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 2,169,000 Point Reyes National Monument, CA 2,169,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000	Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright Dunbar Plaza) Death Valley National Park, CA Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) To0,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) Grand Portage National Monument, MN Grand Portage National Park, WY Homestead National Park, WY Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) Homestead National Historical Park, OH Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, PA Independence National Historical Park, PA Independence National Historical Park, HI Saya,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI Saya,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) Lincoln Library, IL Homestead National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 2,169,000 Point Reyes National Monument, CA 2,169,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000		
Dunbar Plaza) 450,000 Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ 2,871,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 </td <td>Dunbar Plaza)450,000Death Valley National Park, CA5,791,000Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins)700,000Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ2,871,000Everglades National Park, FL25,000,000Fire Island National Seashore, NY764,000George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House)1,251,000George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance)400,000Glacier National Park, MT758,000Grand Portage National Monument, MN4,000,000Grand Teton National Park, WY1,673,000Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS971,000Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center)1,000,000Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH389,000Hot Springs National Park, AR6,059,000Independence National Historical Park, PA3,932,000Independence National Historical Park, HI3,779,000Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla)1,650,000Lincoln Library, IL4,000,000Mount Rainier National Park, WA14,307,000Mount Rainier National Park, WA14,307,000Olympic National Park, WA10,098,000Pinnacles National Monument, CA4,794,000Point Reyes National Monument, CA2,169,000Redwood National Park, CA2,169,000</td> <td>Dunbar Plaza)450,000Death Valley National Park, CA5,791,000Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins)700,000Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ2,871,000Everglades National Park, FL25,000,000Fire Island National Seashore, NY764,000George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House)1,251,000George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance)400,000Glacier National Park, MT758,000Grand Portage National Monument, MN4,000,000Grand Teton National Park, WY1,673,000Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS971,000Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center)1,000,000Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH389,000Hot Springs National Park, AR6,059,000Independence National Historical Park, PA3,932,000Independence National Historical Park, HI3,779,000Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla)1,650,000Lincoln Library, IL4,000,000Mount Rainier National Park, WA14,307,000Mount Rainier National Park, WA14,307,000Olympic National Park, WA10,098,000Pinnacles National Monument, CA4,794,000Point Reyes National Monument, CA2,169,000Redwood National Park, CA2,169,000</td> <td></td> <td>2,500,000</td>	Dunbar Plaza)450,000Death Valley National Park, CA5,791,000Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins)700,000Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ2,871,000Everglades National Park, FL25,000,000Fire Island National Seashore, NY764,000George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House)1,251,000George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance)400,000Glacier National Park, MT758,000Grand Portage National Monument, MN4,000,000Grand Teton National Park, WY1,673,000Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS971,000Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center)1,000,000Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH389,000Hot Springs National Park, AR6,059,000Independence National Historical Park, PA3,932,000Independence National Historical Park, HI3,779,000Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla)1,650,000Lincoln Library, IL4,000,000Mount Rainier National Park, WA14,307,000Mount Rainier National Park, WA14,307,000Olympic National Park, WA10,098,000Pinnacles National Monument, CA4,794,000Point Reyes National Monument, CA2,169,000Redwood National Park, CA2,169,000	Dunbar Plaza)450,000Death Valley National Park, CA5,791,000Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins)700,000Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ2,871,000Everglades National Park, FL25,000,000Fire Island National Seashore, NY764,000George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House)1,251,000George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance)400,000Glacier National Park, MT758,000Grand Portage National Monument, MN4,000,000Grand Teton National Park, WY1,673,000Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS971,000Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center)1,000,000Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH389,000Hot Springs National Park, AR6,059,000Independence National Historical Park, PA3,932,000Independence National Historical Park, HI3,779,000Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla)1,650,000Lincoln Library, IL4,000,000Mount Rainier National Park, WA14,307,000Mount Rainier National Park, WA14,307,000Olympic National Park, WA10,098,000Pinnacles National Monument, CA4,794,000Point Reyes National Monument, CA2,169,000Redwood National Park, CA2,169,000		2,500,000
Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ 2,871,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA	Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ 2,871,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historics Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 <	Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ 2,871,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historics Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 <	Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, OH (Wright	
Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ 2,871,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA	Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ 2,871,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historics Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 <	Death Valley National Park, CA 5,791,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, PA (cabins) 700,000 Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ 2,871,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historics Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 <	Dunbar Plaza)	
Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ 2,871,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, OH 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 <t< td=""><td>Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ 2,871,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 P</td><td>Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ 2,871,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 P</td><td>Death Valley National Park, CA</td><td></td></t<>	Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ 2,871,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 P	Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area, NJ 2,871,000 Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 P	Death Valley National Park, CA	
Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000	Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historics Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 2,160,000 Redwood National P	Everglades National Park, FL 25,000,000 Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historics Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 2,160,000 Redwood National P		
Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000	Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Park, WY 971,000 Homestead National Historics NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Homestead National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4,794,000 Point Reyes National Seashore, CA 2,169,000 Redwood National Park, CA	Fire Island National Seashore, NY 764,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Park, WY 971,000 Homestead National Historics NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Homestead National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4,794,000 Point Reyes National Seashore, CA 2,169,000 Redwood National Park, CA		
George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000	George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4,794,000 Point Reyes National Seashore, CA 2,169,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000	George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House) 1,251,000 George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4,794,000 Point Reyes National Seashore, CA 2,169,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000	Everglades National Park, FL	
George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000	George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4,794,000 Point Reyes National Seashore, CA 2,169,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000	George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4,794,000 Point Reyes National Seashore, CA 2,169,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000	Fire Island National Seashore, NY	
George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000	George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4,794,000 Point Reyes National Seashore, CA 2,169,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000	George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance) 400,000 Glacier National Park, MT 758,000 Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4,794,000 Point Reyes National Seashore, CA 2,169,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000	George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (Arlington House)	
Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000	Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historics Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Olympic National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4,794,000 Point Reyes National Seashore, CA 2,169,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000	Grand Portage National Monument, MN 4,000,000 Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historics Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Olympic National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4,794,000 Point Reyes National Seashore, CA 2,169,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000	George Washington Memorial Parkway, VA (maintenance)	
Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000	Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historics Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4,794,000 Point Reyes National Seashore, CA 2,169,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000	Grand Teton National Park, WY 1,673,000 Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historics Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4,794,000 Point Reyes National Seashore, CA 2,169,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000	Glacier National Park, MT	
Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historics Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000	Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4,794,000 Point Reyes National Seashore, CA 2,160,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000	Gulf Islands National Seashore, FL/MS 971,000 Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4,794,000 Point Reyes National Seashore, CA 2,160,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000	Grand Portage National Monument, MN	
Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000 Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000	Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000	Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center) 1,000,000	Grand Teton National Park, WY	
Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000	Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Olympic National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4,794,000 Point Reyes National Seashore, CA 2,160,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000	Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH 389,000 Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Olympic National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4,794,000 Point Reyes National Seashore, CA 2,160,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000		
Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000	Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4,794,000 Point Reyes National Seashore, CA 2,160,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000	Hot Springs National Park, AR 6,059,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4,794,000 Point Reyes National Seashore, CA 2,160,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000	Homestead National Historic Site, NE (visitor center)	
Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000	Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4,794,000 Point Reyes National Seashore, CA 2,160,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000	Independence National Historical Park, PA 3,932,000 Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4,794,000 Point Reyes National Seashore, CA 2,160,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000	Hopewell Culture National Historical Park, OH	
Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000	Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4,794,000 Point Reyes National Seashore, CA 2,160,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000	Independence National Historical Park, PA 2,000,000 Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4,794,000 Point Reyes National Seashore, CA 2,160,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000	Hot Springs National Park, AR	
Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet-Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000	Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4,794,000 Point Reyes National Seashore, CA 2,160,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000	Kalaupapa National Historical Park, HI 3,779,000 Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4,794,000 Point Reyes National Seashore, CA 2,160,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000		
Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000	Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4,794,000 Point Reyes National Seashore, CA 2,160,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000	Keweenaw National Historical Park, MI (Calumet- Hecla) 1,650,000 Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4,794,000 Point Reyes National Seashore, CA 2,160,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000		
Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000	Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4,794,000 Point Reyes National Seashore, CA 2,160,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000	Lincoln Library, IL 4,000,000 Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion) 2,000,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 14,307,000 Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000 Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4,794,000 Point Reyes National Seashore, CA 2,160,000 Redwood National Park, CA 2,169,000		
Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion)2,000,000Mount Rainier National Park, WA14,307,000Mount Rainier National Park, WA7,900,000Olympic National Park, WA10,098,000	Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion)2,000,000Mount Rainier National Park, WA14,307,000Mount Rainier National Park, WA7,900,000Olympic National Park, WA10,098,000Pinnacles National Monument, CA4,794,000Point Reyes National Seashore, CA2,160,000Redwood National Park, CA2.169,000	Moccasin Bend NAD, TN (erosion)2,000,000Mount Rainier National Park, WA14,307,000Mount Rainier National Park, WA7,900,000Olympic National Park, WA10,098,000Pinnacles National Monument, CA4,794,000Point Reyes National Seashore, CA2,160,000Redwood National Park, CA2.169,000		
Mount Rainier National Park, WA	Mount Rainier National Park, WA14,307,000Mount Rainier National Park, WA7,900,000Olympic National Park, WA10,098,000Pinnacles National Monument, CA4,794,000Point Reyes National Seashore, CA2,160,000Redwood National Park, CA2.169,000	Mount Rainier National Park, WA14,307,000Mount Rainier National Park, WA7,900,000Olympic National Park, WA10,098,000Pinnacles National Monument, CA4,794,000Point Reyes National Seashore, CA2,160,000Redwood National Park, CA2.169,000	Lincoln Library, IL	
Mount Rainier National Park, WA 7,900,000 Olympic National Park, WA 10,098,000	Mount Rainier National Park, WA7,900,000Olympic National Park, WA10,098,000Pinnacles National Monument, CA4,794,000Point Reyes National Seashore, CA2,160,000Redwood National Park, CA2.169,000	Mount Rainier National Park, WA7,900,000Olympic National Park, WA10,098,000Pinnacles National Monument, CA4,794,000Point Reyes National Seashore, CA2,160,000Redwood National Park, CA2.169,000		
Olympic National Park, WA	Olympic National Park, WA10,098,000Pinnacles National Monument, CA4,794,000Point Reyes National Seashore, CA2,160,000Redwood National Park, CA2.169,000	Olympic National Park, WA10,098,000Pinnacles National Monument, CA4,794,000Point Reyes National Seashore, CA2,160,000Redwood National Park, CA2.169,000		
Olympic National Park, WA	Pinnacles National Monument, CA	Pinnacles National Monument, CA	Mount Rainier National Park, WA	
Pinnacles National Monument, CA 4 794 000	Point Reyes National Seashore, CA	Point Reyes National Seashore, CA	Olympic National Park, WA	
1 innacios i tational monament, cri	Redwood National Park, CA	Redwood National Park, CA	Pinnacles National Monument, CA	
Point Reyes National Seashore, CA	Redwood National Park, CA	Redwood National Park, CA	Point Reyes National Seashore, CA	
Dadamad Makimad Daula CA 0.100.000		Rosie the Riveter National Historical Park, CA (planning)	Redwood National Park, CA	
Redwood National Park, CA	Rosie the Riveter National Historical Park, CA (planning)	, ,	Rosie the Riveter National Historical Park, CA (planning)	200,000

n · · ·	
Project San Francisco Maritime National Historical Park, CA	$\substack{Amount\\4,350,000}$
Saratoga National Historical Park, NY (Victory Woods)	310,000
Saugus Iron Works National Historic Site, MA	3,078,000
Changed National Dark VA	
Shenandoah National Park, VASouthwest Pennsylvania Heritage Commission, PA	4,835,000
Status of Liberty/Ellis Island National Manuscrite NI	2,500,000
Statue of Liberty/Ellis Island National Monuments, NJ	8,452,000
Stones River National Battlefield, TN (tour)	610,000
Tuskegee Airmen National Historic Site, AL	6,767,000
White House, DC	6,523,000
Wind Cave National Park, SD	4,928,000
Wolf Trap National Park, VA	3,000,000
Yellowstone National Park, WY	11,118,000
Yellowstone National Park, WY	4,114,000
Yellowstone National Park, WY	11,175,000
Yosemite National Park, CA	2,176,000
Project Total Emergency/Unscheduled	204,241,000
Emergency/Unscheduled	3,944,000
Housing	7,889,000
Equipment replacement	26,900,000
Planning, construction	19,925,000
General management plans	13,754,000
Construction program management	28,605,000
Dam safety	2,662,000
Managed partnership projects	310,000
Subtotal	103,989,000
Subtotal (before use of prior year funds)	308,230,000
Use of prior year balances	-17,000,000
Total Construction	\$291,230,000
	T = 5 = , = 0 0 , 0 0 0

The Committee commends the Service for the quality of its construction budget in recent years. The focus on critical backlog maintenance over the last ten years has made a significant impact on the most serious projects and the impact of the Choosing By Advantage system is very evident on small but significant projects that had not competed well in the past. In addition, the Committee is pleased at the progress made on the condition assessments as well as the new asset management program that is focused on lifecycle costs. Hopefully, this will help prevent reoccurrence of a large deferred maintenance backlog in the future.

The Committee believes that keeping up with backlog maintenance needs should remain a high priority. However, the Service should begin to integrate the most critical, new construction requirements into future budget submissions. The Committee continues to be concerned that, each year, the same parks seem to appear in the line item construction request. In many cases, there are multiple projects for one park unit. It is obvious that certain parks have been competing well within the system, however, professional judgment needs to be applied to ensure fairness and equity to all park units. The Committee strongly encourages the Service to make adjustments in future budget requests.

Although the Committee understands that much of the unobligated balances in construction are tied to large projects, and acknowledges the progress made to expend these funds, the Committee continues to encourage the Service to reduce these balances to a more reasonable level.

Partnership Construction Projects.—The Committee is pleased with the recent progress that has been made to get large partnership construction projects under control. However, the Committee reminds the Service that most of the 44 projects should not be forwarded to Congress for funding and those that do complete the new

process, and are considered high priorities, should be included in annual budget requests when appropriate. With the Director's personal assurance, the Committee understands that there will be no surprises in the future and that all parks will adhere to the long-standing bill language requiring Committee notification and approval prior to any commitment on projects in excess of \$5,000,000.

The Committee expects that Director's order 21 will be finalized no later than July 15, 2005. Any future modifications to this agree-

ment should be forwarded to the Committee.

Curatorial Facilities.—The Committee has noticed a growing number of parks requesting curatorial facilities. The Committee acknowledges that many collections in the parks are not stored under ideal circumstances. The Service has three separate facilities to deal with this issue; the Midwest Archeological Center, the Northeast Cultural Resources Center and the new Western Archeological Center, which has significant storage space remaining.

It is obvious that decisions on these individual park facilities are being made ad hoc without any Service-wide analysis or plan. The Committee directs the Associate Director for Cultural Resources to work with the Associate Director for Park Planning, Facilities, and Lands to study the issue of collection storage in the parks and report findings and recommendations to the Committee by September 2006. Any future funding requirements should be weighed against other Service-wide priorities.

Other.—The Committee has included \$2,100,000 to complete the curatorial facility at Big Bend National Park; \$3,500,000 to continue work on the Blue Ridge Parkway destination center; \$3,247,000 to complete rehabilitation work on the Cumberland Island National Seashore, Plum Orchard home, and \$2,500,000 for rehabilitation work at Cuyahoga Valley National Park in Ohio.

Funding in the amount of \$450,000 is provided to complete work on the Wright Dunbar Plaza, part of Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park; \$700,000 is to continue cabin replacement at the Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area; \$400,000 is for maintenance projects along the George Washington Parkway in Virginia, and \$1,000,000 is to continue work on the Homestead National Historic Site visitor center.

The Committee has provided \$1,650,000 to complete work on the Calumet-Hecla House in Keweenaw National Historical Park; \$4,000,000 for the Lincoln Library; \$2,000,000 for erosion work at Moccasin Bend NAD; \$200,000 to initiate planning for the Rosie the Riveter National Historical Park, and \$310,000 to complete work on the Saratoga National Historical Park, Victory Woods project. In addition, \$2,500,000 is provided for the Southwest Pennsylvania Heritage Commission and \$610,000 is for planning the Stones River National Battlefield driving tour. The Committee encourages the park to seek non-Federal assistance to help complete its project.

The Committee has provided \$175,000 to initiate planning for a museum concept plan for the Wilson's Creek NB Sweeney museum collection. The region should consider this a high priority project and continue to provide funds in future budget requests for this effort. Also provided is \$250,000 to complete planning associated

with the Manhattan Project Sites study.

The Committee has provided \$3,000,000 to undertake the most essential deferred maintenance requirements at Wolf Trap National Park for the Performing Arts. The Committee cautions the Service that prior to beginning any expenditure of these funds, the Service should develop a planned program of expenditures, in priority order, focused on health and safety improvements, code compliance, and utility upgrades. The Committee expects the plan to represent a stand-alone set of work that can be accomplished within the funds provided and that is not dependent on subsequent appropriations. If additional enhancements at the park are deemed a priority to accomplish the mission, the Service and the Wolf Trap Foundation should consider a capital improvement partnership agreement, in accordance with the new partnership construction process. The Committee should be consulted prior to any agreements being signed.

The Committee has not provided funds for two Lake Mead NRA projects included in the budget request. These projects should be funded with the receipts from the Southern Nevada Public Lands Management Act.

The Committee is aware of proposals by Gateway National Recreation Area to improve the recreation playing fields and comfort stations at Miller Field and Great Kills. The Committee is supportive of improving the outdoor recreation opportunities at this park and directs the Service to develop a plan for phased implementation of the most critical improvements needed. Once a plan is developed, the Committee will be able to consider the funding requirements needed to initiate site-specific pre-design.

The Committee is aware of the significant interest in greater New Bedford in the plan to initiate a comprehensive ethnographic study of the park and its surroundings in order to develop a better understanding of the roles that the area's various communities and neighborhoods played in the history of the whaling industry. The Service is directed to initiate this study within available funds.

The Committee expects planning for restoration of the Bodie Island Lighthouse to be completed toward the end of fiscal year 2006. The Service should request construction funds when appropriate.

LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND

(RESCISSION)

Appropriation enacted, 2005	$-\$30,000,000 \\ -30,000,000 \\ -30,000,000$
Comparison:	_
Appropriation, 2005	0
Budget estimate, 2006	0

The Committee recommends the rescission of \$30,000,000 in the annual contract authority provided by 16 U.S.C. 460l–10a. This authority has not been used in years, and there are no plans to use it in fiscal year 2006.

LAND ACQUISITION AND STATE ASSISTANCE

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$146,349,000
Budget estimate, 2006	54,467,000
Recommended, 2006	9,421,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	-136,928,000
Budget estimate, 2006	-45,046,000

The Committee recommends \$9,421,000 for land acquisition and State assistance, a decrease of \$45,046,000 below the budget request and \$136,928,000 below the enacted level. Within the funds provided, \$1,587,000 is for assistance to States for administrative expenses, and \$7,834,000 is for Federal land acquisition program activities, including \$4,000,000 for emergencies and hardships, \$9,749,000 for acquisition management, and \$4,000,000 for inholdings. The Committee recommendation includes the use of \$9,915,000 in prior year funds from the Cat Island project at Gulf Islands National Seashore. Negotiations for this acquisition have been ongoing since March 2002. Funds were appropriated in fiscal years 2003 and 2004, and the Service, the property owner, and the Trust for Public Land have been unable to reach agreement on the terms and conditions of the acquisition or on an appraisal, both necessary precursors to an agreement on valuation and cost. Because of these delays, the Committee is redirecting these funds to urgently needed park base increases.

UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

The United States Geological Survey was established by an act of Congress on March 3, 1879 to provide a permanent Federal agency to conduct the systematic and scientific "classification of the public lands, and examination of the geological structure, mineral resources, and products of the National domain". The USGS is the Federal government's largest earth-science research agency, the Nation's largest civilian mapmaking agency, and the primary source of data on the Nation's surface and ground water resources. Its activities include conducting detailed assessments of the energy and mineral potential of the Nation's land and offshore areas; investigating and issuing warnings of earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, landslides, and other geologic and hydrologic hazards; research on the geologic structure of the Nation; studies of the geologic features, structure, processes, and history of other planets of our solar system; topographic surveys of the Nation and preparation of topographic and thematic maps and related cartographic products; development and production of digital cartographic databases and products; collection on a routine basis of data on the quantity, quality, and use of surface and ground water; research in hydraulics and hydrology; the coordination of all Federal water data acquisition; the scientific understanding and technologies needed to support the sound management and conservation of our Nation's biological resources; and the application of remotely sensed data to the development of new cartographic, geologic, and hydrologic research techniques for natural resources planning and management, surveys, investigations, and research.

SURVEYS, INVESTIGATIONS, AND RESEARCH

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$936,464,000 933,515,000 974.586,000
Comparison: Appropriation, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006	+38,122,000 +41,071,000

	2000	op)	(dollars in thousands)		
	FY ZUUS Enacted	ri zuub Request	Recommended	Enacted	Recommended versus nacted Request
United States Geological Survey	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	: : : : : : : : :	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	1 1 5 1 1 1 1 1
Surveys, Investigations, and Research					
Mapping, Remote Sensing, and Geographic Investigations Cooperative topographic mapping	71,393	71,882	71,882	+489	; ;
Land remote sensing	32,730 14,628	46,396 15,175	46,396 14,925	+13,666 +297	
Subtotal, National Mapping Program	118,751	133,453	133,203	+14,452	-250
Geologic Hazards, Resource and Processes Geologic hazards assessments	75,979 76,253	82,209 77,228	82,209 78,726	+6,230	+1,498
Geologic resource assessments	77,014	48,699	78,311	+1,297	+29,612
Subtotal, Geologic Hazards, Resource & Processes	229,246	208,136	239,246	+10,000	+31,110
Water Resources Investigations Hydrologic monitoring, assessments and research					
Ground water resources program	6,998	7,417	7,417	+419	;
Toxic substances hydrology	14,476	13,120	13,350	-1,126	+230
Hydrologic research and development	15,997	14,428	15,278	-719	+820
National streamflow information program	13,814 29,524	14,152 28,152	14,152 28,152	+338 -1,372	1 1
Subtotal, Hydrologic monitoring, assessments and research	142,454	140,401	141,481	-973	+1,080
Federal-State program	62,337	63,770	63,770	+1,433	;

	FY 2005 Enacted	(do FY 2006 Request	(dollars in thousands) 106 st Recommended E	ands) Recommended versus Enacted Reques	ed versus Request
Water resources research institutes	6,409	:	6,500	+91	+6,500
Subtotal, Water Resources Investigations	211,200	204,171	211,751	+551	+7,580
Biological Research Biological research and monitoring Biological information management and delivery Cooperative research units	133,130 23,999 14,570	134,348 24,149 14,428	135, 788 24, 149 14, 828	+2,658 +150 +258	+1,440
Subtotal, Biological Research	171,699	172,925	174,765	+3,066	+1,840
Enterprise Information Enterprise information security and technology Enterprise information resources	22,714 16,989 4,670	25,237 17,153 5,377	25,237 17,153 4,697	+2,523 +164 +27	089-
Subtotal, Enterprise Information	44,373	47,767	47,087	+2,714	-680
Science support	65,584 94,611 1,000	72,337 94,726	72,337 96,197 	+6,753 +1,586 -1,000	+1,471
Total, United States Geological Survey	936,464	933,515	974,586	+38,122	+41,071

The Committee recommends \$974,586,000 for surveys, investigations, and research, an increase of \$41,071,000 above the budget request and \$38,122,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level.

National Mapping Program.—The Committee recommends \$133,203,000 for the national mapping program, \$250,000 below the budget request and \$14,452,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. The change to the request is a reduction of \$250,000 for the science impact proposal.

The Committee commends the Survey and the Administration for finally providing a detailed proposal to continue existing Landsat satellite operations and implement the Landsat Data Continuity Mission, which will place the next generation Landsat sensor in orbit. Long-term remote sensing data are vital to many aspects of the government and private sector and are strongly supported by this Committee.

Geologic Hazards, Resources and Processes.—The Committee recommends \$239,246,000 for geologic hazards, resources, and processes, \$31,110,000 above the budget request and \$8,752,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. Changes from the request include increases of \$250,000 for the global dust study, \$1,248,000 for Florida shelf research, \$28,478,000 for mineral research and assessments and \$1,134,000 for Alaska mineral resource assess-

The Committee strongly disagrees with the proposed reduction in the Survey's mineral resources program. Minerals and mineral products are important to the U.S. economy, with processed minerals adding billions of dollars to the economy. Mineral commodities are essential to both national security and infrastructure development. Mineral resources research and assessments are a core responsibility of the Survey. The Committee does not agree that objective data on mineral commodities can be generated in the private sector.

Water Resources Investigations.—The Committee recommends \$211,751,000 for water resources investigations, \$7,580,000 above the budget request and \$551,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. Changes from the request include \$230,000 for the base toxic hydrology program, \$100,000 for the Hood Canal dissolved oxygen study, \$750,000 for the San Pedro partnership, and \$6,500,000 for the water resource research institutes.

The Committee is concerned with reports that suggest that the Water Resource Division (WRD) of the Survey is providing or seeking to provide a variety of commercial services to Federal and non-Federal entities in direct competition with the private sector. The Committee strongly discourages WRD from providing commercially available services to Federal and non-Federal entities through its cooperative water program unless these services are performed by a private sector firm under contract with the Survey or the entity with which the Survey has entered into a cooperative agreement. The Committee encourages the Survey to focus its efforts on carrying out its important mission of serving as a national database for hydrologic data, theory, and research. The Survey should submit a report to the House Committee on Appropriations by December 31, 2005, regarding its past, present and future efforts to avoid competing with the private sector.

The Committee agrees with the proposed increase for the water availability project. The Committee expects the Survey to continue with this important program and establish a second pilot project, as outlined in the Survey's November 2003 implementation plan. The Committee urges the Administration to request funding in future budgets to expand this program for other areas of the Country

Biological Research.—The Committee recommends \$174,765,000 for biological research, \$1,840,000 above the budget request and \$3,066,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. Changes to the request include increases of \$1,430,000 for the Great Lakes Science Center for safety needs associated with the docking of the research vessel Kiyi, \$150,000 for invasive species database coordination with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, \$500,000 for manatee research, \$335,000 for equipment at the anadromous fish lab, \$250,000 for the Tunison lab, \$175,000 for the Potomac snakehead program, \$200,000 for the Upper Midwest Environmental Science Center, \$400,000 to restore funding for the Nebraska Fish and Wildlife Cooperative Unit, and decreases of \$550,000 for the science on the DOI landscape initiative, \$750,000 for Glen Canyon adaptive management, and \$300,000 for invasive species.

Enterprise Information.—The Committee recommends \$47,087,000 for enterprise information, \$680,000 below the budget request, and \$2,714,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. The change to the budget request is a decrease of \$680,000 for the

"disaster.gov" initiative.

Science Support.—The Committee recommends \$72,337,000 for science support, the same as the budget request and \$6,753,000

above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level.

Facilities.—The Committee recommends \$96,197,000 for facilities, \$1,471,000 above the budget request and \$1,586,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. The change to the request is an increase of \$1,471,000 to restore rental payments associated with the mineral assessments program.

Bill Language.—Language is included in Title IV—General Provisions concerning egovernment initiatives and competitive

sourcing studies.

MINERALS MANAGEMENT SERVICE

The Minerals Management Service is responsible for collecting, distributing, accounting and auditing revenues from mineral leases on Federal and Indian lands. In fiscal year 2005, MMS expects to collect and distribute about \$9.5 billion from active Federal and Indian leases. The MMS also manages the offshore energy and mineral resources on the Nation's outer continental shelf (OCS). To date, the OCS program has been focused primarily on oil and gas leasing. Over the past several years, MMS has been exploring the possible development of other marine mineral resources, especially sand and gravel. With the passage of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, MMS assumed increased responsibility for oil spill research, including the promotion of increased oil spill response capabilities, and for oil spill financial responsibility certifications of offshore platforms and pipelines. The MMS also operates the Interior Franchise Fund: the entrepreneurial GovWorks enterprise provides important procurement services to a variety of governmental agencies.

ROYALTY AND OFFSHORE MINERALS MANAGEMENT

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$166,820,000
Budget estimate, 2006	160,416,000
Recommended, 2006	152,676,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	-14,144,000
Budget estimate, 2006	-7,740,000

		op)	(dollars in thousands)	_	
	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Request	Recommended	Recommend Enacted	Recommended versus nacted Requesi
Royalty and Offshore Minerals Management					
OCS Lands Leasing and environmental program	37.224	37.768	37,968	+744	+200
Resource evaluation	29, 566	28,682	28,882	-684	+200
Regulatory program	51,516	51,766	52,166	+650	+400
Information management program	29,972	30,125	30,525	+553	+400
Subtotal, OCS Lands	148,278	148,341	149,541	+1,263	+1,200
Royalty Management Compliance and asset management	41,550	51,903	42.103	+553	-9,800
Revenue and operations	33,867	35,426	35,426	+1,559	
Subtotal, Royalty Management	75,417	87,329	77,529	+2,112	008'6-
General Administration					
Executive direction	2,057	2,086	2,116	+29	+30
Policy and management improvement	4,132	4,216	4,246	+114	+30
Administrative operations	16,964	17,025	17,525	+561	+200
General support services	23,702	24,149	24,449	+747	+300
Subtotal, General Administration	46,855	47,476	48,336	+1,481	1860
Subtotal (gross)	270,550	283,146	275.406	+4,856	-7,740

Recommended versus nacted Request		-7,740
sands) Recomme Enacted	.19,000	-14,144
(dollars in thousands) FY 2006 Request Recommended E	-122,730	152,676
(do FY 2006 Request	-103,730 -122,730 -122,730	160,416
FY 2005 Enacted	-103,730	166,820 160,416
	Use of receipts	Total, Royalty and Offshore Minerals Management. 166,820

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$152,676,000 for royalty and offshore minerals management, a decrease of \$7,740,000 below the budget request and \$14,144,000 below the 2005 enacted level. In addition, the Committee recommends use of \$122,730,000 in receipts, which agrees with the Administration request to increase receipts and fees by \$19,000,000. The Committee recommendation provides for the requested activities with two exceptions noted below, and provides small increases for fixed costs. The Committee has recommended bill language which allows the royalty-in-kind (RIK) program to continue hereafter; this allows the MMS to recover transportation costs, salaries, and other administrative costs directly related to the royalty-in-kind program. Therefore, the \$9,800,000 requested for the Strategic Petroleum Reserve to the RIK conversion initiative and the CAM program funding initiative are not included in the appropriation. The Committee encourages the MMS to establish multi-year agreements for RIK transportation and processing, if advantageous to the Federal government.

Bill Language.—Language is included earmarking \$77,529,000 for royalty management activities, a decrease of \$9,800,000 below the request and an increase of \$2,112,000 above the enacted level.

OIL SPILL RESEARCH

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$7,006,000
Budget estimate, 2006	7,006,000
Recommended, 2006	7,006,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	0
Budget estimate, 2006	0

The Committee recommends \$7,006,000 to be derived from the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund, to conduct oil spill research and financial responsibility and inspection activities associated with the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, Public Law 101–380. The Committee recommendation is equal to the budget request and the fiscal year 2005 level.

OFFICE OF SURFACE MINING RECLAMATION AND ENFORCEMENT

The Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM), through its regulation and technology account, regulates surface coal mining operations to ensure that the environment is protected during those operations and that the land is adequately reclaimed once mining is completed. The OSM accomplishes this mission by providing grants to those States that maintain their own regulatory and reclamation programs and by conducting oversight of State programs. Further, the OSM administers the regulatory programs in the States that do not have their own programs and on Federal and Tribal lands. Through its abandoned mine land (AML) reclamation fund account, the OSM provides environmental restoration at abandoned coal mines using tonnage-based fees collected from current coal production operations. In their unreclaimed condition these abandoned sites may endanger public health and safety or prevent the beneficial use of land and water resources.

REGULATION AND TECHNOLOGY

Appropriation enacted, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006 Recommended, 2006	\$108,368,000 110,535,000 110,535,000
Comparison: Appropriation, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006	+2,167,000

Recommended versus nacted Request		;	;	:	:	:	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		108,368 110,535 110,535 +2,167
Recomme Recomme Enacted		+	-255	+1,826	+3	+591	+2,166		+2,167
(dollars in thousands) FY 2006 Request Recommended E		159	79,566	15,126	488	15,096	110,435	100	110,535
(do FY 2006 Request		159	79,566	15,126	488	15,096	110,435		110,535
(dollars in thousands) FY 2005 FY 2006 Recommended versus Enacted Request Recommended Enacted Request		158	79,821	13,300	485	14,505	108,269	66	108,368
	Regulation and Technology	Environmental restoration	Environmental protection	Technology development and transfer	Financial management	Executive direction	Subtotal, Regulation and Technology	Civil penalties	Total, Regulation and Technology

The Committee recommends \$110,535,000, the budget request, for regulation and technology, including the use of \$100,000 in civil penalty collections. This is \$2,167,000 above the 2005 level. The increase is to offset partially increases in uncontrollable costs for States and the OSM and for other requested activities.

ABANDONED MINE RECLAMATION FUND

Appropriation enacted, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006 Recommended, 2006	\$188,205,000 246,014,000 188,014,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	-191,000
Budget estimate, 2006	-58,000,000

ds) Recommended versus Enacted Request		+2,251	58,000	-557	-2,210	+325	-191 -58,000	
(dollars in thousands) FY 2006 Request Recommended Er		170,112 +2	::	3,922	6,234 -2	7,746	188,014 -191	
FY 20 Requi		170,112	58,000	3,922	6,234	7,746	246,014	
FY 2005 Enacted		167,861	:	4,479	8,444	7,421	188,205	
	Abandoned Mine Reclamation Fund	Environmental restoration	Legislative proposal	Technology development and transfer	Financial management	Executive direction	Total, Abandoned Mine Reclamation Fund	

The Committee recommends \$188,014,000 for the abandoned mine reclamation fund, \$58,000,000 below the budget request and \$191,000 below the fiscal year 2005 level. The recommendation does not include the requested allocation of \$58,000,000 to implement the Administration's legislative proposal which would return the State share balances to certified States. The recommendation includes other aspects of the Administration request under this heading. The Committee has retained language, as in past years, which limits funding for minimum program States to \$1,500,000. The Committee recommendation does not include the special authority for Maryland grants.

The Committee has included language which transfers the balance in the fund for the rural abandoned mine program (RAMP), which has not been used for 10 years, to the Federal share fund, so the funds could be used in the future for emergencies and other

Federal obligations.

The Committee sees merit in the Administration's previous legislative proposal to extend and modify the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act (SMCRA). The Committee notes that legislative action is still pending, so funds are not included at this time for its implementation. The Committee encourages the authorizing committees to act on this reasonable legislative proposal, which would increase the rate at which dangerous abandoned sites would be reclaimed; do so at a lower cost; and provide a fair and reasonable method of compensating Wyoming and other governments, which have completed abandoned coal mine reclamation. Absent legislative action, existing law will allow continued distribution of AML funds to States in a manner similar to that which occurred in fiscal year 2005.

BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS

The Bureau of Indian Affairs was created in 1824. Its mission is founded on a government-to-government relationship and trust responsibility that results from treaties with Native groups. The Bureau delivers services to over 1.5 million Native Americans through 12 regional offices and 83 agency offices. In addition, the Bureau provides education programs to Native Americans through the operation of 118 day schools, 52 boarding schools, and 14 dormitories. The Bureau administers more than 45 million acres of tribally owned land, 10 million acres of individually owned land, and over 309,000 acres of Federally owned land, which is held in trust status.

OPERATION OF INDIAN PROGRAMS

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$1,926,091,000
Budget estimate, 2006	1,924,230,000
Recommended, 2006	1,992,737,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	+66,646,000
Budget estimate, 2006	+68,507,000

	FY 2005 Enacted	(do FY 2006 Request	(dollars in chousands) FY 2006 Request Recommended	ands) Recommended versus Fnacted Redues	ed versus Request
Operation of Indian Programs					
Tribal Budget System					
Tribal Priority Allocations Tribal government	389 183	394 558	394 558	+5 375	;
Human services.	147,387	141,561	149,481	+2,094	+7,920
Education	48,300	39,466	48,304	+	+8,838
Public safety and justice	1,222	:	1,162	09-	+1,162
Community development	40,412	40,789	40,789	+377	;
Resources management	61,999	63,149	63,149	+1,150	:
Trust services	56,115	56,038	56,038	-77	:
General administration	24,925	24,588	24,588	-337	:
Subtotal, Tribal Priority Allocations	769,543	760,149	690'822	+8,526	+17,920
Other Recurring Programs Education					
School operations Forward-funded	449,721 67,926	454,725 66,908	478,085 66,908	+28,364	+23,360
. !					
Subtotal, School operations	517,647	521,633	544,993	+27,346	+23,360
Continuing education	53,141	43,375	43,375	-9,766	:
Subtotal, Education	570,788	565,008	588,368	+17,580	+23,360

	FY 2005	(dc FY 2006	(dollars in thousands)	_	Recommended versus
	Enacted	Request	Request Recommended	Enacted	Request
Resources management	42,131	37,293	47,969	+5,838	+10,676
Subtotal, Other Recurring Programs	612,919	602,301	636,337	+23,418	+34,036
Non-Recurring Programs Community developmentResources management	3,452 36,225 36,308	32,348 32,977	32,977	-3,452 -1,511 -3,331	+2,366
Subtotal, Non-Recurring Programs	75,985	65,325	67,691	-8,294	+2,366
Total, Tribal Budget System	1,458,447	1,427,775	1,482,097	+23,650	+54,322
BIA Operations					
Central Office Operations Tribal government Human services. Community development. Resources management Trust services.	2,248 887 3,416	2,288 912 500 3,044	2,288 912 500 3,044	+40 +25 +500 -372 +8 098	!!!!!
		22.1	22.1.1)	

	FY 2005 Enacted	(do FY 2006 Request	(dollars in thousands) FY 2006 Request Recommended	ands) Recommended versus Enacted Reques	d versus Request
General administration Education program managementPersonnel services	2,348 5,863 106,188	2,411 8,378 106,832	2,411 8,378 106,832	+63 +2,515 +644	111
Subtotal, General administration	114,399	117,621	117,621	+3,222	1 1
Subtotal, Central Office Operations	140,021	151,534	151,534	+11,513	
Regional Office Operations Tribal government	1,095	1,323	1,323	+228	1
Human services	3,038	3,019	3,019	-19	!
Community developmentResources management	778 5.319	966 5.403	966 5.403	+188 +84	: :
Trust services	24,049	27,376	27,376	+3,327	1
General administration	7,083	3,503	3,503	-3,580	:
Subtotal, Regional Office Operations	41,362	41,590	41,590	+228	:

Tr 2003		7	ο ρ)	(dollars in thousands)	sands)	1
. " "		Enacted	Request	Recommended	Enacted	ed versus Request
" "	Education	16,336	17,499	17,499	+1,163	;
,,	Public safety and justice	180,063	192,265	200,765	+20,702	+8,500
" "	Community development	8,102	1	5,685	-2,417	+5,685
	Resources management	1,269	1,269	1,269	;	:
	General administration	80,491	92,298	92,298	+11,807	:
467,644 496,455 510,640 +42,996 +14,185	Subtotal, Special Programs and Pooled Overhead	286,261	303,331	317,516	+31,255	+14,185
1,926,091 1,924,230 1,992,737 +66,646 +68,507	Total, BIA Operations	467,644	496,455	510,640	+42,996	+14,185
1,926,091 1,924,230 1,992,737 +66,646 +68,507	ii					ii
	ii : : :	1,926,091	1,924,230	1,992,737	+66,646	+68,507

The Committee recommends \$1,992,737,000 for the operation of Indian programs, \$68,507,000 above the budget request and

\$66,646,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level.

The Committee agrees with the Bureau that an alternative budget structure for the operation of Indian programs is badly needed. The current budget structure is confusing and complex and offers little opportunity to review funding levels and assess performance on a programmatic level. However, the Committee is concerned that there was inadequate consultation with Tribes when preparing this new budget structure. The Committee is also concerned that the process of making budgetary data available to Tribes is inadequate.

The Committee directs the Bureau to do the following:

1. Consult with Tribal leaders on an alternative budget structure that is: (1) aligned programmatically, (2) provides full transparency for Tribal priority allocations funding, (3) increases accountability for Bureau programs and program managers, and (4) clearly delineates funding levels of the central and regional offices. The Committee expects a progress report by October 31, 2005. The Committee directs the Bureau to submit a revised budget structure as a part of the fiscal year 2007 budget justification.

2. Develop an internet website, hosted by the Office of the Secretary, that: (1) allows Tribes to access Bureau of Indian Affairs and Office of Special Trustee budget information, (2) displays the distribution of funding that affects Indian country, and (3) contains information and links to all Federal grant programs that provide

funding for Indian country.

3. Submit a report, by December 31, 2005, outlining the Bureau of Indian Affairs current process for consulting Tribes and Tribal leaders on administrative, funding, and operational changes to pro-

grams and projects.

The Bureau's regulations prescribe detailed procedures for placing land into trust, including consideration of the impact on local tax revenues and jurisdictional conflicts that may arise. The Committee directs the Government Accountability Office (GAO) to conduct a study of Bureau procedures and practices in implementing these regulations, including the role played by Tribes that contract with BIA to manage real estate service programs. The GAO should report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations no later than May 1, 2006. The Committee is aware that GAO studies can take time, and directs the GAO to undertake this study as soon as the Interior Appropriations bill passes the House floor.

Tribal Priority Allocations.—The Committee recommends \$778,609,000 for Tribal priority allocations, \$17,920,000 above the request and \$8,526,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. Changes from the budget request include increases of \$1,500,000 for Indian Child Welfare Act activities, \$6,420,000 for welfare assistance, \$8,838,000 for Johnson O'Malley assistance grants, and

\$1,162,000 for community fire protection.

The funding increase for Indian Child Welfare Act activities should be used for counseling and after-school care programs for atrisk children.

The Committee has restored the proposed reductions to the welfare assistance program, the Johnson O'Malley assistance grants, and the community fire protection program within the Tribal pri-

ority allocations. The Committee feels that the justification for the reductions—that there are other programs in the government that could provide these funds—is completely unfounded. The budget request provided no information to support claims that other funding sources are readily available to offset the reductions in this budget.

Recurring Programs.—The Committee recommends \$636,337,000 for other recurring programs, \$34,036,000 above the budget request and \$23,418,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. Changes from the budget request include increases of \$15,000,000 for Indian school equalization program (ISEP) formula funds, \$3,360,000 to restore the early childhood development program, \$5,000,000 for student transportation, \$1,200,000 for irrigation operations and maintenance, \$3,750,000 to partially restore the Washington timber-fish-wildlife program, \$1,806,000 for the Chippewa/Ottawa Resource Authority (CORA), \$3,000,000 for the inter-tribal bison council, \$320,000 for the Upper Columbia United Tribes, and \$600,000 for the circle of flight program.

The funding increase provided for the ISEP should be directed to

basic educational programs, with one exception. The Committee directs the Bureau to provide \$2,000,000 to the FOCUS program for assisting at-risk students, encouraging more parental participation in schools, and encouraging participation in after-school activities. The Committee directs the Bureau to report, by December 31,

2005, on the allocation and use of FOCUS funds.

The funding increase in the irrigation operations and maintenance program is to upgrade irrigation systems for the Navajo Agriculture Products Industry. This funding is in addition to the base funding increase of \$750,000 proposed in the budget for the Navajo irrigation project.

Within the funding provided for the Washington timber-fish-wildlife program, \$1,000,000 should be used for the mass marking of

salmon.

Committee NonRecurring Programs.—The recommends \$67,691,000 for nonrecurring programs, \$2,366,000 above the budget request and \$8,294,000 below the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. The increases above the budget request are \$396,000 for Seminole-Florida Everglades restoration and \$1,970,000 to restore reductions to the endangered species program.

CentralOffice Operations.—The Committee recommends \$151,534,000 for central office operations, the same as the budget request and \$11,513,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. The Committee agrees with the requested increase for trust serv-

ices to address the probate backlog.

*Regional Office Operations.—The Committee recommends \$41,590,000 for regional office operations, the same as the budget request and \$228,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level.

Special Programs and Pooled Overhead.—The Committee recommends \$317,516,000 for special programs and pooled overhead, \$14,185,000 above the budget request and \$31,255,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. Changes from the budget request include increases of \$8,500,000 for law enforcement activities, \$3,451,000 for the United Tribes Technical College, \$1,726,000 for Crownpoint Institute and \$508,000 for the National ironworkers training program.

The funding increases provided for law enforcement should be used for high priority law enforcement needs in Indian country including, but not limited to, community policing programs and drug enforcement. None of these funds should be retained by the central or regional offices for administrative activities. The Bureau should provide the Committee with a report detailing the use of these funds by December 31, 2005.

The Committee believes that the United Tribes Technical College and Crownpoint Institute are institutions of higher learning that provide an educational benefit to Indian country. The continued reduction of funding for these institutions in budget requests is of great concern. The Committee urges the Department and the Office of Management and Budget to give these colleges full consideration in future budget requests and to work with these institutions to resolve concerns over funding formulas.

CONSTRUCTION

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$319,129,000
Budget estimate, 2006	232,137,000
Recommended, 2006	284,137,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	-34,992,000
Budget estimate, 2006	+52,000,000

, ب		7.	L				n 11
d versus Request		+52,000	;	:		:	+52,000
ands) Recommended versus Enacted Request		-37,497	+4,396	-2,017	+10	+116	-34,992
(dollars in thousands) FY 2006 Request Recommended E		225,875	11,777	38,272	2,136	6,077	284,137
(do FY 2006 Request		173,875	11,777	38,272	2,136	6,077	232,137
FY 2005 Enacted		263,372	7,381	40,289	2,126	5,961	319,129
	Construction	Education	Public safety and justice	Resources management	General administration	Construction management	Total, Construction

The Committee recommends \$284,137,000 for construction, \$52,000,000 above the budget request and \$34,992,000 below the fiscal year 2005 enacted level.

Education.—The Committee recommends \$225,875,000 for education construction, \$52,000,000 above the budget request and \$37,497,000 below the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. Changes from the budget request include increases of \$32,000,000 for replacement school construction, \$1,000,000 for employee housing, and

\$19,000,000 for facilities improvement and repair.

The Committee is concerned about the reduction to Indian school construction and repair. This Committee has made substantial progress in replacing Indian schools, but much remains to be done. The Committee does not agree that the Bureau needs to reduce funding for new schools to finish ongoing projects. The Committee has restored a portion of the funding and directs the Bureau to proceed with the construction of the next schools on the Bureau's priority list. The Committee has also included an increase to the school maintenance and repair program that should be used to address the most immediate health and safety maintenance needs in Bureau schools.

Public Safety and Justice.—The Committee recommends \$11,777,000 for public safety and justice construction, the same as the budget request and \$4,396,000 above the 2005 enacted level.

Resources Management.—The Committee recommends \$38,272,000 for resources management construction, the same as the budget request and \$2,017,000 below the 2005 enacted level.

General Administration and Construction Management.—The Committee recommends \$8,213,000 for general administration and construction management, the same as the budget request and \$126,000 above the 2005 enacted level.

INDIAN LAND AND WATER CLAIM SETTLEMENTS AND MISCELLANEOUS PAYMENTS TO INDIANS

Appropriation enacted, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006 Recommended, 2006	\$44,150,000 24,754,000 34,754,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	-9,396,000
Budget estimate, 2006	+10.000.000

The Committee recommends \$34,754,000 for Indian land and water claim settlements and miscellaneous payments to Indians, \$10,000,000 above the budget request and \$9,396,000 below the 2005 enacted level. Funding includes \$634,000 for the White Earth land settlement, \$254,000 for the Hoopa-Yurok, \$144,000 for Pyramid Lake, \$8,111,000 for Colorado Ute, \$10,167,000 for Cherokee, Choctaw and Chickasaw settlement, \$10,000,000 for the Quinault settlement, and \$5,444,000 for the Zuni Water settlement.

Bill Language.—Language is included under Indian Land and Water Claims Settlements providing \$10,000,000 for payment to the Quinault Indian Nation for the north boundary settlement agreement.

INDIAN GUARANTEED LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Appropriation enacted, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006 Recommended, 2006	\$6,332,000 6,348,000 6,348,000
Comparison: Appropriation, 2005	+16,000
Budget estimate, 2006	0

The Committee recommends \$6,348,000 for the Indian guaranteed loan program account, the same as the budget request and \$16,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level.

DEPARTMENTAL OFFICES

INSULAR AFFAIRS

The Office of Insular Affairs (OIA) was established on August 4, 1995, through Secretarial Order No. 3191, which also abolished the former Office of Territorial and International Affairs. The OIA has important responsibilities to help the United States government fulfill its responsibilities to the four U.S. territories of Guam, American Samoa (AS), U.S. Virgin Islands and the Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas Islands (CNMI) and also the three freely associated States: the Federated States of Micronesia (FSM), the Republic of the Marshall Islands (RMI) and the Republic of Palau. The permanent and trust fund payments to the territories and the compact nations provide substantial financial resources to these governments. During fiscal year 2004 new financial arrangements for the Compacts of Free Association with the FSM and the RMI were implemented; this also included mandatory payments for certain activities previously provided in discretionary appropriations as well as Compact impact payments of \$30,000,000 per year split among Guam, CNMI, AS, and Hawaii.

ASSISTANCE TO TERRITORIES

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$75,581,000
Budget estimate, 2006	74,263,000
Recommended, 2006	76,563,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	+982,000
Budget estimate, 2006	+2,300,000

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

Recommended versus nacted Request		+500	+1,800	:	:	:	;	1	+2,300	;		+2,300
Recomme Enacted		606+	-1,355	+32	+37	+21	2 +	+1,000	+651	+331		+982
(dollars in thousands) FY 2006 Request Recommended Ei		7,381	10,361	2,300	2,700	1,491	200	1,000	25,733	23,110	27,720	76,563
(do) FY 2006 Request		6,881	8,561	2,300	2,700	1,491	200	1,000	23,433	23,110	27,720	74,263
FY 2005 Enacted		6,472	11,716	2,268	2,663	1,470	493	!	25,082	22,779	27,720	75,581
	Assistance to Territories	Territorial Assistance Office of Insular Affairs	Technical assistance	Maintenance assistance fund	Brown tree snake	Insular management controls	Coral reef initiative	Water and wastewater projects	Subtotal, Territorial Assistance	American Samoa Operations grants	Northern Marianas Covenant grants	Total, Assistance to Territories

The Committee recommends \$76,563,000 for assistance to territories, \$982,000 above the fiscal year 2005 level and \$2,300,000

above the budget request.

Territorial Assistance.—The Committee recommends \$25,733,000 for territorial assistance, \$651,000 above the fiscal year 2005 level and \$2,300,000 above the budget request. Increases to the budget request include \$500,000 for additional oversight of the implementation of the Compacts of Free Association, \$800,000 for payments to replace the Prior Service Trust Fund, and \$1,000,000 to continue health care programs in the RMI. The Committee directs that technical assistance grant support to the Pacific Basin Development Commission be maintained at no less than the fiscal year 2005

The Committee recommends an additional \$500,000 to the Office of Insular Affairs subactivity to support oversight of the implementation of the Compacts of Free Association, including at least one additional position and increased oversight travel funds for the Honolulu Field Office and additional support at headquarters. The Committee notes that the field office is charged with managing over \$114,000,000 in Compact sector grant funds, and was given an additional responsibility of managing the \$18,000,000 Supplemental Education Grant program which replaced Federal programs. Although the Office of Insular Affairs is working diligently with five Honolulu-based and two in-country grant managers, the Committee has determined that additional funding for oversight and management is essential. This is especially true for the education sector, which currently has one manager to oversee \$57,000,000 in grants to both the FSM and RMI. The Committee expects the Department to continue reviewing funding and staffing levels for Compact oversight so the Department can assure the Congress and the American public that all compact grants funds are used appropriately for high priority needs.

The Committee reiterates its support for the agreement among the pension systems of the Republic of Palau, the CNMI, the RMI, and the FSM to assume responsibilities for the enrollees of the Prior Service Benefits Trust Fund. The Committee recommendation includes \$800,000 for distribution among the pension systems for payments to the enrollees, provided the agreement is fully implemented by each jurisdiction. The Committee directs the Department to continue to work with the Board of Directors of the Prior Service Benefits Trust Fund and the directors of each pension system to ensure that the agreements are implemented and that prior service benefits can be paid to the enrollees. The Committee also directs that this funding be reprogrammed for general technical assistance uses if there is a failure to fully implement the new agree-

The Committee has also included \$1,000,000 for continuation of health care programs in the RMI. The funds shall be used first to provide primary health care to members of the Enewetak, Bikini, Rongelap, and Utrik communities residing on Enewetak Atoll, Kili Island, Mejetto Island, Rongelap Atoll following resettlement, and Utrik Atoll. Such primary medical care shall consist of a clinic with at least one doctor and an assistant, necessary supplies, and logistical support.

The Committee notes that the OIA spent \$655,000 on two business development conferences in Los Angeles. This required about 4,000 OIA FTE hours and extensive contractor involvement; 13 staff from DC and OIA field offices in American Samoa and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands traveled to Los Angeles for the conference. The Committee directs the OIA to provide the House and Senate Appropriations Committees project cost plans before and after similar future conferences, as well as for the future "Business Opportunities Missions". It is imperative that these economic development efforts be reasonable and cost effective given the great need for technical assistance in the territories.

American Samoa.—The Committee recommends \$23,110,000 for American Samoa operations as requested, an increase of \$331,000 above the fiscal year 2005 level.

The Committee has reviewed recent work by the GAO concerning accountability for key Federal grants to American Samoa. Through Presidential delegation, the Secretary of the Interior exercises ultimate control and responsibility for, and has authority to take a proactive role in, the administration of the Territory of American Samoa. The Committee urges the Secretary to use this authority to coordinate with all Federal agencies that award funds to American Samoa and to encourage the other agencies to consider designating American Samoa a high-risk grantee under the Grants Management Common Rule. A coordinated approach to designation could include a common, basic set of special conditions to be applied by all Federal grant awarding agencies to grants to American Samoa. The designation could also include a basic set of corrective actions that American Samoa must take, including earning clean single audit opinions for two consecutive years before the special conditions are removed.

The Committee also notes that the Lyndon B. Johnson Tropical Medical Center's poor physical infrastructure weakens its ability to deliver a minimum standard of care to the population of American Samoa. The Committee urges the Secretary to coordinate with other Federal agencies to resolve infrastructure and safety deficiencies at this Center to ensure continued Federal funding for the island's only medical service provider. The Committee directs the Secretary to designate an additional \$2,000,000 to the Center's base budget from the total operations grants made available to the American Samoa Government, to be used to help alleviate the shortages of medicines and medical supplies at the Center. The Committee also urges the Secretary to clearly assign staff responsibility for coordinating Federal activities in American Samoa.

Northern Mariana Islands/Covenant Grants.—The Committee recommends \$27,720,000 for CNMI covenant grants, the same as the budget request and the fiscal year 2005 level. The Committee directs the Office of Insular Affairs to implement the allocations presented in the budget request, however the Secretary may use discretion to modify the Covenant funding formula to address court-ordered infrastructure projects in the respective territories.

COMPACT OF FREE ASSOCIATION

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$5,450,000 4,862,000 5,362,000
Appropriation, 2005	$-88,000 \\ +500,000$

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

Recommended versus nacted		!		+200	5,450 4,862 5,362 -88 +500
usands) Recomme Enacted		-95	:	Z +	-88
(dollars in thousands) FY 2006 Request Recommended E		2,862	2,000	200	5,362
(do FY 2006 Request		2,862	2,000	:	4,862
FY 2005 Enacted		2,957	2,000	493	5,450
(dollars in thousands) FY 2005 FY 2006 Recommended versus Enacted Request Recommended Enacted Request	Compact of Free Association	Compact of Free Association - Federal services	Mandatory payments - program grant assistance	Enewetak support	Total, Compact of Free Association

The Committee recommends \$5,362,000 for the compact of free association, \$500,000 above the budget request and \$88,000 below the fiscal year 2005 level. The Committee recommendation continues Enewetak support.

DEPARTMENTAL MANAGEMENT

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$95,821,000 120,155,000 118,755,000
Comparison: Appropriation, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006	+22,934,000 -1,400,000

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

		ğ)	(dollars in thousands)	ands)	
	FY 2005	FY 2006	7	Recommended versus	d versus
	Enacted	reduest	recommended	Enacted	rednest
Jepartmental Management					
Departmental direction	13,358	13,591	13,591	+233	;
Management and coordination	28,554	30,298	29,598	+1,044	-700
Hearings and appeals	7,919	8,068	8,068	+149	:
Central services	27,696	34,387	34,187	+6,491	-200
workers compensation/unemployment	629	653	653	+24	:
Take Pride in America	490	1,000	200	+10	-500
usiness management system	14,160	23,555	23,555	+9,395	:
Crafts Board	1,042	1,162	1,162	+120	
County, Illinois	4,931	:	:	-4,931	•
General reduction	-2,958	:	::	+2,958	
Appraisal services	-	7,441		+7,441	:
•					
Total, Departmental Management	95,821	120,155	118,755	+22,934	-1,400

The Committee recommends \$118,755,000 for salaries and expenses for departmental management, a decrease of \$1,400,000 below the budget request and \$22,934,000 above the 2005 enacted level. Changes from the budget request include decreases of \$500,000 for Take Pride in America, \$700,000 for law enforcement and security, and \$200,000 for partnership training. Departmental programs that are denied requested increases in this appropriation should not be augmented with staffing and funds from individual bureaus or any other source to achieve the requested level of activity.

Land Appraisal Consolidation.—The Committee has gone along with the consolidation of bureau-level appraisal offices into a central, Department-level office. However, the Committee was led to believe that this consolidation would result in significant cost savings. The Committee approves the budget request increase of \$7,441,000 for appraisal services, but expects future budget requests to contain increases for fixed costs only.

quests to contain increases for fixed costs only.

Partnership Training.—The Committee has denied funding for

partnership training.

Bill Language.—Language is included in General Provisions, Department of the Interior, which limits the number of FTEs to 34 and detailees to 8 in the Office of Law Enforcement and Security. Since the tragedy of September 11, 2001, the Committee has responded to the need for stronger emergency response and coordination among the Interior Department's bureaus. Significant funds have been provided to the individual bureaus to supplement their security needs. The Committee believes that the level specified in the bill language is sufficient, given tight fiscal constraints, to deal with security needs.

PAYMENTS IN LIEU OF TAXES

Payments in Lieu of Taxes (PILT) provides for payments to local units of government containing certain federally owned lands. These payments are designed to supplement other Federal land receipt sharing payments that governments may be receiving. The recipients may use payments received for any governmental purpose.

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$226,805,000
Budget estimate, 2006	200,000,000
Recommended, 2006	230,000,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	+3,195,000
Budget estimate, 2006	+30,000,000

The Committee recommends \$230,000,000 for PILT, \$30,000,000 above the budget request and \$3,195,000 above the fiscal year 2005 level.

CENTRAL HAZARDOUS MATERIALS FUND

The Central Hazardous Materials Fund was established to include funding for remedial investigations/feasibility studies and cleanup of hazardous waste sites for which the Department of the Interior is liable pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act. The fund includes sums

recovered from or paid by a party as reimbursement for remedial action or response activities.

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$9,855,000 9,855,000 9,855,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	0
Budget estimate, 2006	0

The Committee recommends \$9,855,000, the same as the budget request and the fiscal year 2005 enacted level, for the central hazardous materials fund. This account was previously located in the Bureau of Land Management.

OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$51,656,000
Budget estimate, 2006	55,752,000
Recommended, 2006	55,340,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	+3,684,000
Budget estimate, 2006	-412,000

The Committee recommends \$55,340,000 for salaries and expenses of the Office of the Solicitor, a decrease of \$412,000 below the budget request and an increase of \$3,684,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. Changes from the budget request include decreases of \$207,000 for support for legal staff, \$200,000 for two additional FOIA appeals support positions, and \$5,000 for training, audit, and evaluation. Departmental programs that are denied requested increases in this appropriation should not be augmented with staffing and funds from individual bureaus or any other source to achieve the requested level of activity.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$37,275,000 40,999,000 39,566,000
Appropriation, 2005	+2,291,000
Budget estimate, 2006	-1.433.000

The Committee recommends \$39,566,000 for salaries and expenses of the Office of Inspector General, a decrease of \$1,433,000 below the budget request and an increase of \$2,291,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. Changes from the budget request include decreases of \$470,000 for two FTEs and PCS moves for the Office of Evaluations and Quick Response, \$750,000 for five FTEs for investigations, \$100,000 for IT standardization, accreditation, and equipment, \$59,000 for security clearances, and \$54,000 for mentor program training. Departmental programs that are denied

requested increases in this appropriation should not be augmented with staffing and funds from individual bureaus or any other source to achieve the requested level of activity.

OFFICE OF SPECIAL TRUSTEE FOR AMERICAN INDIANS

The Office of Special Trustee for American Indians (OST) was established by the American Indian Trust Fund Management Reform Act of 1994 (Public Law 103–412). The Special Trustee is charged with general oversight of Indian trust asset reform efforts Department-wide to ensure proper and efficient discharge of the Secretary's trust responsibilities to Indian Tribes and individual Indians. The Office of the Special Trustee was created to ensure that the Department of the Interior establishes appropriate policies and procedures, develops necessary systems, and takes affirmative actions to reform the management of Indian trust funds. In carrying out the management and oversight of the Indian trust funds, the Secretary has a responsibility to ensure that trust accounts are properly maintained, invested and reported in accordance with the American Indian Trust Fund Management Reform Act of 1994, Congressional action, and other applicable laws.

The Special Trustee for American Indians also has responsibility for the related financial trust functions including deposit, investment, and disbursement of trust funds. The Department has responsibility for what may be the largest land trust in the world. Indian trust lands today encompass approximately 56 million acres of land—over 10 million acres belonging to individual Indians and nearly 45 million acres owned by Indian Tribes. On these lands, Interior manages over 100,000 leases for individual Indians and Tribes. Leasing, use permits, sale revenues, and interest of approximately \$192 million per year are collected for approximately 245,000 individual Indian money accounts, and about \$414 million per year is collected for about 1,400 Tribal accounts per year. In addition, the trust manages approximately \$3 billion in Tribal funds and \$400 million in individual Indian funds.

FEDERAL TRUST PROGRAMS

Appropriation enacted, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006 Recommended, 2006	269,397,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	-1,947,000
Budget estimate, 2006	-77,804,000

The Committee recommends \$193,593,000 for the Office of Special Trustee for American Indians, \$77,804,000 below the budget request and \$1,947,000 below the fiscal year 2005 enacted level.

Program operations, support, and improvements.—The Committee recommends \$189,361,000 for program operations, support and improvements, \$77,804,000 below the budget request and \$1,963,000 below the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. The reduction is for historical accounting.

The Committee has included the requested funds in the Bureau of Indian Affairs and Office of Special Trustee for trust management reform and improving trust systems, and has continued historical accounting activities at the fiscal year 2005 level. The Committee does not agree to the large increase requested in historical

accounting and has shifted this funding to help offset the reductions proposed in the Administration's request to Indian education and health care.

Executive Direction.—The Committee recommends \$2,232,000 for executive direction, the same as the budget request, and \$16,000 above the 2005 enacted level.

Since 1996, the committee has appropriated hundreds of millions of dollars for activities related to the Cobell v. Norton litigation. The Committee feels very strongly that these funds could have been better used to fund greatly needed health and education programs in Indian country. The Committee believes that this case must be resolved without negatively impacting future funding levels for Indian programs in this bill. The Committee recognizes that, in addition to mediation talks that have taken place in the last year, the House and Senate authorizing committees have made commitments to develop a comprehensive legislative solution to this ongoing problem.

The Committee rejects the notion that, in passing the American Indian Trust Management Reform Act of 1994, Congress had any intent of ordering an historical accounting on the scale of that which continues to be ordered by the Court. Such an undertaking would certainly be a poor use of Federal and trust resources.

Bill Language.—As in fiscal year 2005 and in previous years, the Committee has included bill language under the Office of Special Trustee that limits the amount of funding available for historical accounting to \$58,000,000. The clear intent of the Committee is to definitively limit the amount of funding available to conduct historical accounting activities.

INDIAN LAND CONSOLIDATION

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$34,514,000
Budget estimate, 2006	34,514,000
Recommended, 2006	34,514,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	0
Budget estimate, 2006	0

The Committee recommends \$34,514,000 for Indian land consolidation, the budget request and the fiscal year 2005 enacted level.

NATURAL RESOURCE DAMAGE ASSESSMENT AND RESTORATION

NATURAL RESOURCE DAMAGE ASSESSMENT FUND

The purpose of the Natural Resource Damage Assessment Fund is to provide the basis for claims against responsible parties for the restoration of injured natural resources. Assessments ultimately will lead to the restoration of injured resources and reimbursement for reasonable assessment costs from responsible parties through negotiated settlements or other legal actions.

Operating on a "polluter pays" principle, the program anticipates recovering over \$32 million in receipts in fiscal year 2005, with the vast majority to be used for the restoration of injured resources. The program works to restore sites ranging in size from small town landfills to the Exxon Valdez oil spill of 1989 in Alaska.

Prior to fiscal year 1999, this account was included under the United States Fish and Wildlife Service appropriation. The account

was moved to the Departmental Offices appropriation because its functions relate to several different bureaus within the Department of the Interior.

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$5,737,000
Budget estimate, 2006	6,106,000
Recommended, 2006	6,106,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	+369,000
Budget estimate, 2006	0

The Committee recommends \$6,106,000, the budget request, for the natural resource damage assessment fund, an increase of \$369,000 above the fiscal year 2005 level.

GENERAL PROVISIONS, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Sections 101 and 102 provide for emergency transfer authority with the approval of the Secretary.

Section 103 provides for the use of appropriations for certain services.

Sections 104 through 106 prohibit the expenditure of funds for Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) leasing activities in certain areas. These OCS provisions are addressed under the Minerals Management Service.

Section 107 prohibits the National Park Service from reducing recreation fees for non-local travel through any park unit.

Section 108 permits the transfer of funds between the Bureau of Indian Affairs and the Office of Special Trustee for American Indians

Section 109 continues a provision allowing the hiring of administrative law judges to address the Indian probate backlog.

Section 110 continues a provision permitting the redistribution of tribal priority allocation and tribal base funds to alleviate funding inequities.

Section 111 continues a provision requiring the allocation of Bureau of Indian Affairs postsecondary schools funds consistent with unmet needs.

Section 112 continues a provision permitting the conveyance of the Twin Cities Research Center of the former Bureau of Mines for the benefit of the National Wildlife Refuge System.

Section 113 continues a provision authorizing the Secretary of the Interior to use helicopter or motor vehicles to capture and transport horses and burros at the Sheldon and Hart National Wildlife Refuges.

Section 114 authorizes federal funds for Shenandoah Valley Battlefield NHD and Ice Age NST to be transferred to a State, local government, or other governmental land management entity for acquisition of lands.

Section 115 continues a provision prohibiting the closure of the underground lunchroom at Carlsbad Caverns NP, NM.

Section 116 continues a provision preventing the demolition of a

bridge between New Jersey and Ellis Island.

Section 117 continues a provision limiting compensation for the Special Master and Court Monitor appointed by the Court in Cobell v. Norton to 200 percent of the highest Senior Executive Service rate of pay.

Section 118 continues a provision allowing the Secretary to pay private attorney fees for employees and former employees in connection with Cobell v. Norton.

Section 119 continues a provision dealing with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's responsibilities for mass marking of salmonid stocks.

Section 120 requires the use of Departmental Management funds for operational needs at the Midway Atoll National Wildlife Refuge

airport.

Section 121 prohibits the conduct of gaming under the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act (25 U.S.C. 2701 et seq.) on lands described in section 123 of the Department of the Interior and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2001, or land that is contiguous to that land.

Section 122 continues a provision prohibiting the use of funds to study or implement a plan to drain or reduce water levels in Lake Powell.

Section 123 allows the National Indian Gaming Commission to

collect \$12,000,000 in fees for fiscal year 2006.

Section 124 makes funds appropriated for fiscal year 2006 available to the tribes within the California Tribal Trust Reform Consortium and others on the same basis as funds were distributed in fiscal year 2005, and separates this demonstration project from the Department of the Interior's trust reform reorganization.

Section 125 provides for the renewal of certain grazing permits in the Jarbidge Field office of the Bureau of Land Management.

Section 126 authorizes the acquisition of lands and leases for Ellis Island.

Section 127 permits the Secretary of the Interior to issue grazing permits within the Mojave National Preserve.

Section 128 implements rules concerning winter snowmobile use on Yellowstone National Park.

Section 129 limits the use of funds for staffing for the Department of Interior's Office of Law Enforcement and Security.

TITLE II—ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

The Environmental Protection Agency was created by Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1970, which consolidated nine programs from five different agencies and departments. Major EPA programs include air and water quality, drinking water, hazardous waste, research, pesticides, radiation, toxic substances, enforcement and compliance assurance, pollution prevention, oil spills, Superfund, Brownfields, and the Leaking Underground Storage Tank program. In addition, EPA provides Federal assistance for wastewater treatment, sewer overflow control, drinking water facilities, and other water infrastructure projects. The agency is responsible for conducting research and development, establishing environmental standards through the use of risk assessment and cost-benefit analysis, monitoring pollution conditions, seeking compliance through a variety of means, managing audits and investigations, and providing technical assistance and grant support to States and tribes, which are delegated authority for actual program implementation. Under existing statutory authority, the Agency may contribute to specific homeland security efforts and may participate in some international environmental activities.

Among the statutes for which the Environmental Protection Agency has sole or significant oversight responsibilities are:

National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended.

Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, as amended.

Toxic Substances Control Act, as amended.

Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended. Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, as amended.

Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act of 1972, as amended.

Oil Pollution Act of 1990.

Public Health Service Act (Title XIV), as amended.

Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended.

Clean Air Act, as amended.

Safe Drinking Water Act, as amended.

Great Lakes Legacy Act of 2002.

Bioterrorism Act of 2002.

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), as amended.

Small Business Liability Relief and Brownfields Revitalization Act of 2002 (amending ČERCLA).

Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986.

Pollution Prevention Act of 1990.

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as amended.

Pollution Prosecution Act of 1990.

Pesticide Registration Improvement Act of 2003.

For fiscal year 2006, the Committee recommends \$7,708,027,000 the Environmental Protection Agency, a decrease of \$318,458,000 below the fiscal year 2005 level and \$187,427,000 above the budget request. Changes to the budget request are detailed in each of the appropriation accounts.

- The Committee agrees to the following:
 1. In 2001, the EPA requested that the National Academy of Sciences review the situation regarding the use of human studies. In its 2005 report, the Committee urged EPA to consider the Academy's recommendations on the use of human volunteer studies in its regulatory programs. EPA is currently following the Academy's recommendations on the use of human volunteer studies and, on February 8, 2005, issued a Federal Register notice clarifying its policy. The notice outlines EPA's plans for rulemaking. The Committee commends EPA for its clarification of policy with respect to human studies and will continue to monitor the Agency's efforts in this area.
- 2. The Committee continues to be concerned that unclear regulations, conflicting court decisions, and inadequate scientific information are creating confusion about the extent to which reporting requirements in the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act and the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act cover emissions from poultry, dairy, or livestock operations. Producers want to meet their environ-mental obligations but need clarification from the Environmental Protection Agency on whether these laws apply to their operations. The Committee believes that an expeditious resolution of this mat-
- 3. The Committee expects the EPA to prepare its fiscal year 2007 budget justification in the order specified in the table accom-

panying this report and to delineate clearly the differences between the fiscal year 2006 enacted level and the fiscal year 2007 request for each activity. The Committee recommends discontinuing the annual operating plan beginning in fiscal year 2006. The Committee

has approved the fiscal year 2005 operating plan.

4. The Committee generally has provided funding for fixed cost increases, as requested, including pay costs, rent, utilities, and security. The Committee has also agreed to many of the proposed realignments of programs. EPA should only make further adjustments, consistent with the requirements of the reprogramming guidelines contained in the front of this report. Also, in accordance with the reprogramming guidelines, the Committee should be notified regarding reorganizations of offices, programs, or activities prior to the planned implementation of such reorganizations.

5. The EPA should review the distribution of funds among regions and make adjustments, as needed, to ensure that funding is

strategically aligned to meet the highest priority needs.

6. EPA should establish and enforce, through the Office of Environmental Information, an information technology management policy with an emphasis on standardization across all of EPA.

SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

The Science and Technology account funds all Environmental Protection Agency research (including, by transfer of funds, Hazardous Substances Superfund research activities) carried out through grants, contracts, and cooperative agreements with other Federal agencies, States, universities, and private business, as well as in-house research. This account also funds personnel compensation and benefits, travel, supplies and operating expenses for all Agency research. Research addresses a wide range of environmental and health concerns across all environmental media and encompasses both long-term basic and near-term applied research to provide the scientific knowledge and technologies necessary for preventing, regulating, and abating pollution, and to anticipate emerging environmental issues.

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$744,061,000
Budget estimate, 2006	760,640,000
Recommended, 2006	765,340,000
Comparison:	, ,
Appropriation, 2005	+21,279,000
Budget estimate, 2006	+4,700,000

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

	200	op)	(dollars in thousands)		1
	Enacted	Request	Recommended	Enacted	recommended versus nacted Request
Science and Technology) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	t 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1 1 1 1 1 4 4 6 7 7	1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Air toxics and quality Clean air allowance trading programs	8,734	9,353	9,353	+619	;
Federal support for air quality managementFederal support for air toxics program	10,521	10,016	10,016	-505	; ;
Federal vehicle and fuels standards/certification	57,436	66,567	59,567	+2,131	-7,000
Radiation: ProtectionRadiation: Response preparedness.	3,069	2,121	2,121	-948	: :
Subtotal, Air toxics and quality	84,642	93,898	868,88	+2,256	-7,000
Enforcement Forensics support	13,048	13,737	13,737	689+	;
Climate protection Climate protection program	19,006	17,732	20,032	+1,026	+2,300
Homeland security Homeland security: Critical infrastructure protection Critical infrastructure protection (except water sentinel) Water sentinel and related training	3,495	3,569	3,569 9,000	47+ 49,000	-35,000
Subtotal, Homeland security: Critical infrastructure protection	3,495	47,569	12,569	+9,074	-35,000

	FY 2005	(do FY 2006	(dollars in thousands) 106		Recommended versus
	Enacted	Request	Recommended	Enacted	Request
Homeland security: Preparedness, response, and					
recovery					
Preparedness, response, and recovery (other					
activities)	13,671	14,806	14,806	+1,135	:
Decontamination	13,609	24,710	16,710	+3,101	-8,000
Laboratory preparedness and response	:	900	009	009+	:
Safe buildings	;	4,000	4,000	+4,000	:
(Transfer from Hazardous substance superfund)	(2,071)	(2,000)	(2,000)	(-71)	1
Subtotal, Homeland security: Preparedness, response, and recovery	27,280	44,116	36,116	+8,836	.8,000
Homeland security: Protection of EPA personnel and infrastructure	2,024	2,100	2,100	92+	;
Subtotal, Homeland security	32,799	93,785	50,785	+17,986	-43,000
IT / Data management	4,345	4,251	4,251	-94	;
Indoor air Indoor air: Radon programIndoor air: Schools and Workplace program Reduce risks from indoor air	495 843	442	442	-53 -843 +832	:::
Subtotal, Indoor air	1,338	1,274	1,274	-64	
Operations and administration Facilities infrastructure and operations	8,466	8,716	8,716	+250	;

	FY 2005 Enacted	(do FY 2006 Request	(dollars in thousands) FY 2006 Request Recommended	_ 111	Recommended versus nacted Request
Pesticide licensing Pesticides: Registration of new pesticides	2,466	2,490	2,490	+24	;
Pesticides: Keview/Keregistration of existing pesticides	2,478	2,506	2,506	+28	;
Subtotal, Pesticide licensing	4,944	4,996	4,996	+52	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
Research / Congressional priorities	65,665	÷	40,000	-25,665	+40,000
Research: Clean air	!				
Kesearch: Air toxics	16,956	16,387	16,387	-569	:
Research: Global change	19,5/8	20,534	20,534	+956	!
Research: National ambient air quality standards	:	71,451	71,451	+71,451	
Research: Particulate matter	60,863			-60,863	1
Kesearch: Troposphere ozone	4,041	:	1	-4,041	-
Subtotal, Research: Clean air	101,438	108,372	108,372	+6,934	1
Research: Clean water	9	7			
December Water and 14th	40,000	45,690	45,690	276,2-	:
Marcal Quality	44,990	008,66	006,66	10,907	
Subtotal, Research: Clean water	93,658	101,590	101,590	+7,932	

(dollars in thousands)

	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Request	Recommended	Recommended versus Enacted Requesi	d versus Request
Research: Human health and ecosystems Human health risk assessment	32.723	36.240	36.240	+3.517	! ! ! ! !
(By transfer from Hazardous substance superfund)	(3,559)	(4,022)	(4,022)	(+463)	:
Research: Computational toxicology	11,994	13,832	12,632	+638	-1,200
	10,392	8,705	10,605	+213	+1,900
Research: Fellowships	12,042	8,327	12,027	-15	+3,700
Research: Human health and ecosystems	167,356	169,632	177,632	+10,276	+8,000
Subtotal, Research: Human health and ecosystems	234,507	236,736	249,136	+14,629	+12,400
Research: Land protection					
Research: Land protection and restoration	9,065	13,696	13,696	+4,631	;
(By transfer from Hazardous substance superfund)	(22,994)	(23,099)	(23,099)	(+105)	:
(By transfer from Hazardous substance superfund)	(6,596)	(1,485)	(1,485)	(-5,111)	:
Subtotal, Research: Land protection	9,065	13,696	13,696	+4,631	
Research: Sustainability					
Research: Economics and decision science	;	2,645	2,645	+2,645	:
research: Environmental technology verification (ETV)	2 181	3 203	3 203	CC+	
Research: Pollution prevention	37, 232	27.0	2 :	-37, 232	:
(By transfer from Hazardous substance superfund)	(588)	:	:	(-588)	;
Research: Sustainability (other activities)	. !	23,188	23,188	+23,188	;
Subtotal, Research: Sustainability	40,413	29,036	29,036	-11,377	; ; ; ; ; ;
Toxic research and prevention Research: Pesticides and toxics	27,792	29,753	29,753	+1,961	!

Recommended versus nacted Request	H H H H H H	+4,700
sands) Recomme Enacted	+133	+21,279
(dollars in thousands) FY 2006 Request Recommended E	3,068	765,340 (30,606)
(do FY 2006 Request	3,068	760,640 (30,606)
FY 2005 Enacted	2,935	744,061 (35,808)
(dollars in thousands) FY 2005 FY 2006 Recommended versus Enacted Request Recommended Enacted Reques	Water: Human health protection Drinking water programs	Total, Science and Technology(By transfer from Hazardous substance superfund)

The Committee recommends \$765,340,000 for science and technology, an increase of \$21,279,000 above the fiscal year 2005 level and \$4,700,000 above the budget request. In addition, the Committee recommends that \$30,606,000, as requested, be transferred to this account from the Hazardous Substance Superfund account for ongoing research activities consistent with the intent of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended. Changes to the budget request are detailed below.

Air Toxics and Quality.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$7,000,000 for Federal support for the air toxics program.

Climate Protection Program.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$2,300,000 for the climate protection program. Direction

on the use of these funds is provided below.

Homeland Security.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$35,000,000 for Water Sentinel and related training, and a decrease of \$8,000,000 in preparedness, response, and recovery for the decontamination program. While the amount provided is less than the budget request, there is an increase above the fiscal year 2005 level for these programs.

Research: Congressional Priorities.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$40,000,000 for programs of national and regional significance that have been funded through this program/project in at least 3 of the last 4 years. Direction on the use of these funds

is provided below.

Human Health and Ecosystems.—The Committee recommends a net increase of \$12,400,000 for human health and ecosystems including a decrease of \$1,200,000 for computational toxicology and increases of \$1,900,000 for endocrine disruptor research, \$3,700,000 for fellowships through the Science to Achieve Results program, and \$8,000,000 for other human health and ecosystems research of which \$4,000,000 is for exploratory grants, \$2,900,000 is for ecosystem protection research, \$600,000 is for aggregate risk research, and \$500,000 is for condition assessments of estuaries in the Gulf of Mexico.

The Committee agrees to the following:

1. EPA is encouraged to increase its use of private sector capability in the clean automotive technology program. The increase provided for the climate protection program is to ensure that not less than \$10,000,000 is used for competitively awarded contract research and engineering services and activities. The private sector has significant research capability that is used by EPA through this program, to develop clean, cost effective, highly fuel-efficient engines and powertrain technologies.

2. The EPA should develop clear goals and milestones for the Water Sentinel program, including the use of real-time monitoring; seek the advice of the Science Advisory Board; and justify more clearly the funding request for the program, in the context of the

overall plan, in the fiscal year 2007 budget request.

3. The Committee does not agree with the transfer of research funds to the Office of Air and Radiation, the Office of Water, the Solid Waste and Emergency Response program, and the Prevention, Pesticides, and Toxic Substances program. The Office of Research and Development should coordinate closely with these offices on their research needs. There should be an emphasis on

using the Science to Achieve Results grants program whenever

practicable.

4. The Committee has included \$40,000,000 for Programs of National and Regional Significance with the expectation that the EPA will conduct a competitive solicitation among programs that have been added by the Congress to the Science and Technology account in at least 3 of the last 4 years. The Committee notes that many of these Congressional priorities provide invaluable assistance to the EPA and are performed at a cost substantially less than if EPA were to institute such programs in-house. A competitive solicitation should ensure that the highest priority national and regional programs continue to be funded.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS AND MANAGEMENT

The Environmental Programs and Management account encompasses a broad range of abatement, prevention, and compliance activities, and personnel compensation, benefits, travel, and expenses for all programs of the Agency except Science and Technology, Hazardous Substance Superfund, Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund, Oil Spill Response, and the Office of Inspector General.

Abatement, prevention, and compliance activities include setting environmental standards, issuing permits, monitoring emissions and ambient conditions, and providing technical and legal assistance toward enforcement, compliance, and oversight. In most cases, the States are directly responsible for actual operation of the various environmental programs and the Agency's activities include oversight and assistance.

In addition to program costs, this account funds administrative costs associated with the operating programs of the Agency, including support for executive direction, policy oversight, resources management, general office and building services for program operations, and direct implementation of Agency environmental programs for Headquarters, the ten EPA Regional offices, and all nonresearch field operations.

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$2,294,902,000
Budget estimate, 2006	2,353,764,000
Recommended, 2006	2,389,491,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	+94,589,000
Budget estimate, 2006	+35,727,000

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

	7 - 1	200			
	Enacted	Kequest	Kecommended	Enacted	Kequest
		 	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;		
Environmental Programs and Management					
Air toxics and quality	0	3	6	3	
Federal stationary source regulations	21.768	16,234	18,234	+1,361	: :
Federal support for air quality management Federal support for air quality management (except					
clean diesel)	88,192	95,891 15,000	95,891 10,000	+7,699	-2,000
Subtotal, Federal support for air quality management	88,192	110,891	105,891	+17,699	-5,000
Federal support for air toxics program	24.590	25, 431	25.431	+841	;
Radiation: Protection	11,122	11,765	11,765	+643	1
Radiation: Response preparedness	2,624	2,636	2,636	+12	
Stratospheric ozone: Multilateral fund	9,920	13,500	10,500	+136	-3,000
Subtotal, Air toxics and quality	180,102	209,935	203,135	+23,033	-6,800
Brownfields	24,301	29,638	24,638	+337	-5,000
Climate protection program Climate protection program (other activities)	43,910	41,030	41,030	-2,880	;
Energy star	46,700 300	50,500	50,000 500	+3,300	-500
Subtotal, Climate protection	90,910	95,530	91,530	+620	-4,000

	FY 2005	(do FY 2006	(dollars in thousands)		Recommended versus
	Enacted	Request	Recommended	Enacted	Request
Compliance Compliance assistance and centers	26,613 8,963 66,328	29,097 9,622 93,412	29,097 9,622 90,512	+2,484 +659 +24,184	
Subtotal, Compliance	101,904	132,131	129,231	+27,327	-2,900
Enforcement Civil enforcement	112,463 39,101	117,462 37,326	114, 462 36, 326	+1,999	-3,000
Enforcement trainingEnvironmental justice.	3,428	2,499	2,499	-929 -1,903	; ;
NEPA implementation	12,039	12,440	12,440	+401	:
Subtotal, Enforcement	172,914	173,707	169,707	-3,207	-4,000
Environmental protection / Congressional priorities	92,326	:	40,000	-52,326	+40,000
Geographic programs Geographic program: Chesapeake Bay	22,756	20,746	20,746	-2,010	;
Geographic program: Great Lakes	21,287 3,895	21,519	21,519	+232 +573	: :
	2,480	955	2,000	-480	+1,045
program: F	100	; ;	2,000	+2,000	+2,000

		op)	(dollars in thousands)	ands)	
	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Request	Recommended	Recommenc	Recommended versus nacted Request
Geographic program: Other Community action for a renewed environment (CARE). Other activities	1,984 4,923	9,000	3,000	+1,016	000'9-
Subtotal, Geographic program: Other	206'9	13,186	7,186	+279	000'9-
Regional geographic initiatives	7,687	8,862	7,762	+75	-1,100
Subtotal, Geographic programs	67,344	70,213	67,681	+337	-2,532
Homeland security Homeland security: Communication and information Communication and information (other activities) Laboratory preparedness and response	5,133	5,450 1,230	5,450 1,230	+317	! !
Subtotal, Homeland security: Communication and information	5,133	6,680	6,680	+1,547	1
Homeland security: Critical infrastructure protection Critical infrastructure protection (except decontamination)	968'9	6,747	6,747	-149 +100	! !
Subtotal, Homeland security: critical infrastructure protection	968'9	6,847	6,847	-49	;
Homeland security: Preparedness, response, and recovery Decontamination	1,822	3,448	3,448	+1,626	;

		op)	(dollars in thousands)		
	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Request	Recommended	Recommend Enacted	Recommended versus nacted Request
Homeland security: Protection of EPA personnel and infrastructure	6,294	6,403	6,403	+109	;
Subtotal, Homeland security	20,145	23,378	23,378	+3,233	! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
Indoor air Indoor air: Asthma program	10.468	}	;	-10,468	;
Indoor air: Environment tobacco smoke program	2,400	:	;	-2,400	;
Indoor air: Radon program	5,142	5,918	5,918	+776	:
Indoor air: Schools and workplace program	9,326	1	:	-9,326	:
Reduce risks from indoor air	1	23,496	23,496	+23,496	:
Subtotal, Indoor air	27,336	29,414	29,414	+2,078	
Information exchange / Outreach Children and other sensitive populations: Agency					
coordination	5,970	068'9	068'9	+920	:
Congressional, intergovernmental, external relations	48,624	49,753	49,753	+1,129	;
Environmental education	8,957	:	000'6	+43	000'6+
Exchange network	16,361	22,739	18,739	+2,378	-4,000
Small business ombudsman	3,712	3,911	3,911	+199	
Small minority business assistance	2,264	2,348	2,348	+84	
State and local prevention and preparedness	11,855	12,328	12,328	+473	1
Toxics release inventory (TRI) / Right to know	14,310	14,754	14,754	+444	
Tribal - Capacity building	10,640	11,049	11,049	+409	1
 Subtotal, Information exchange / Outreach	122,693	123,772	128,772	+6,079	+5,000

on 3,773 4,210 4,210 1,500 1,787 1,787 5,751 6,450 6,450 1,627 2,806 +11 5,612 5,975 5,975 18,507 21,228 21,228 +2 4,131 3,888 3,888 106,123 105,999 95,999 -10 ecurity 110,254 109,887 -10 4,890 5,109 5,109 931 1,051 1,051 12,119 12,530 12,530 12,555 13,088 13,088 3,245 3,643 3,643 14,821 16,713 16,713 +1 4,881 4,881 4,881	International programs Children and other sensitive populations: Agency coordination	FY 2005 Enacted	(do FY 2006 Request	(dollars in thousands) 06 st Recommended B	_ [ii]	Recommended versus nacted Request
1,500 1,787 1,787 +287 +287	Commission for environmental cooperation	3,773	4,210	4,210	+437	;
5,751 6,450 6,450 +699 mplementation. 1,627 2,806 2,806 +1,179 5,612 5,975 5,975 +363 4,131 3,888 3,888 -243 4,131 3,888 3,888 -243 106,123 105,999 95,999 -10,124 ecurity 110,254 109,887 99,887 -10,367 931 1,051 1,051 +120 12,530 12,530 12,530 +411 34,644 36,314 36,314 +1,670 12,555 13,088 +533 3,245 3,643 3,643 +5,007 1ysis 14,821 16,713 +1,892 4,361 4,881 4,881 +520		1,500	1,787	1,787	+287	
mplementation. 1,627 2,806 2,806 +1,179 +363 5,612 5,975 5,975 +363 +363 4,131 3,888 3,888 -243 106,123 105,999 95,999 -10,124 ecurity 110,254 109,887 99,887 -10,367 4,119 12,530 12,530 +411 6,70 12,555 13,088 13,088 +533 3,245 3,643 3,643 1,670 14,821 16,713 16,713 11,892 4,361 4,881 4,881 4,881 4,881 4,1892	International capacity building	5,751	6,450	6,450	669+	:
5,612 5,975 5,975 +363 18,507 21,228 21,228 +2,721 4,131 3,888 3,888 -243 106,123 105,999 95,999 -10,124 ecurity 110,254 109,887 99,887 -10,367 4,890 5,109 5,109 +219 931 1,051 1,051 +120 12,119 12,530 12,530 +411 34,644 36,314 36,314 +1,670 12,555 13,088 13,088 +533 3,245 3,643 3,643 +398 20,014 25,021 25,021 +5,007 14,821 16,713 16,713 +1,892 4,361 4,881 4,881 +520	Persistent organic pollutants (POPs) implementation.	1,627	2,806	2,806	+1,179	:
4,131 3,888 3,888 -243 106,123 105,999 95,999 -10,124 ecurity 110,254 109,887 -10,367 4,890 5,109 5,109 +219 931 1,051 1,051 +120 12,119 12,530 12,530 +411 34,644 36,314 36,314 +1,670 12,555 13,088 13,088 +533 3,245 3,643 3,643 +398 20,014 25,021 25,021 +5,007 14,821 16,713 16,713 +1,892 4,361 4,881 4,881 4,881		5,612	5,975	5'8'5	+363	:
ecurity 106,123 105,999 95,999 -10,124 106,123 105,999 95,999 -10,124 109,887 109,887 -10,367 10,367 10,51 1,051 1	Subtotal, International programs	18,507	21,228	21,228	+2,721	
ecurity 110,254 109,887 99,887 -10,367 4,890 5,109 5,109 +219 931 1,051 1,051 1,1051 +120 12,530 12,530 12,530 12,555 13,088 13,088 +533 3,245 3,643 3,643 13,088 +533 20,014 25,021 25,021 45,007 14,821 16,713 116,713 +1,892 15,007		4,131 106,123	3,888	3,888 95,999	-243 -10,124	-10,000
4,890 5,109 5,109 +219 931 1,051 1,051 +120 12,119 12,530 12,530 +411 34,644 36,314 36,314 +1,670 12,555 13,088 13,088 +533 3,245 3,643 3,643 +538 20,014 25,021 25,021 +5,007 1ysis 14,821 16,713 +1,892 4,361 4,881 4,881 +520	Subtotal, IT / Data management / Security	110,254	109,887	99,887	-10,367	-10,000
931 1,051 1,051 +120 12,119 12,530 12,530 +411 34,644 36,314 36,314 +1,670 12,555 13,088 13,088 +533 3,245 3,643 3,643 +398 20,014 25,021 25,021 +5,007 14,821 16,713 +1,892 4,361 4,881 4,881 +881	-egal/Science/Regulatory/Economic review Administrative law	4,890	5.109	5,109	+219	;
12,119 12,530 12,530 +411 34,644 36,314 36,314 +1,670 12,555 13,088 13,088 +533 3,245 3,643 3,643 +398 20,014 25,021 25,021 +5,007 14,821 16,713 16,713 +1,892 4,361 4,881 4,881 +520		931	1,051	1,051	+120	;
34,644 36,314 36,314 +1,670 12,555 13,088 13,088 +533 3,245 3,643 3,643 +398 20,014 25,021 25,021 +5,007 14,821 16,713 16,713 +1,892 4,361 4,881 4,881 +520	Civil rights / Title VI compliance	12,119	12,530	12,530	+411	
12,555 13,088 13,088 +533 3,245 3,643 3,643 +398 20,014 25,021 25,021 +5,007 14,821 16,713 16,713 +1,892 4,361 4,881 4,881 +520	Legal advice: Environmental program	34,644	36,314	36,314	+1,670	1
3,245 3,643 3,643 +398 20,014 25,021 25,021 +5,007 14,821 16,713 16,713 +1,892 4,361 4,881 +520		12,555	13,088	13,088	+533	!
20,014 25,021 25,021 +5,007 14,821 16,713 16,713 +1,892 4,361 4,881 4,881 +520		3,245	3,643	3,643	+398	:
14,821 16,713 16,713 +1,892 4,361 4,881 4,881 +520		20,014	25,021	25,021	+5,007	:
4,881 4,881 +520	Regulatory/Economic-management and analysis	14,821	16,713	16,713	+1,892	:
		4,361	4,881	4,881	+520	:

		op)	(dollars in thousands)	_	
	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Request	Recommended	Recommended versus Enacted Requesi	ed versus Request
Operations and administration	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	6 6 7 6 1 1 1 1 1 1	. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	! ! ! ! ! !
Acquisition management	22,714	23,055	23,055	+341	:
Central planning, budgeting, and finance	69,387	72,790	72,790	+3,403	!
Facilities infrastructure and operations	314,614	358,046	353,046	+38,432	-5,000
	20.366	19.916	19.916	-450	;
Human resources management	39,461	38,872	38,872	-589	;
Subtotal, Operations and administration	466,542	512,679	507,679	+41,137	-5,000
Pesticide licensing Pesticides: Field noorgas	24 362	24 683	24 683	+331	
	20,150	44,473	44,000		
	60.	7/1:	7/+,1+	515,21	:
	51,315	57,991	54,950	+3,635	-3,041
Science policy and biotechnology	1,640	1,751	1,751	+111	:
Subtotal, Pesticide licensing	116,476	125,897	122,856	+6,380	-3,041
Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) RCRA: Corrective action.	39.667	42.710	42.710	+3.043	!
	969,99	68,728	68,728	+2,032	:
RCRA: Waste minimization and recycling	11,508	14,376	14,376	+2,868	!
RCRA: General reduction	:	;	-5,000	-5,000	-5,000
Subtotal, Resource Conservation and Recovery Act	117,871	125,814	120,814	+2,943	-5,000

	FY 2005 Enacted	(do FY 2006 Request	(dollars in thousands) FY 2006 Request Recommended	ands) Recommended versus Enacted Reques	ed versus Request
Toxics risk review and prevention Toxic substances: Chemical risk management Toxic substances: Chemical risk review & reduction. Endocrine disruptors	8,341 44,814 8,540 10,970 16,408	9,058 44,523 9,097 10,549 19,990	9,058 45,523 9,097 10,549 17,990	+717 +709 +557 -421 +1,582	+1,000
Subtotal, Toxics risk review and prevention	89,073	93,217	92,217	+3,144	-1,000
Underground storage tanks	7,125	7,719	7,719	+594	;
Water: Ecosystems Great Lakes Legacy Act	22,320 25,065 20,085	50,000 19,446 20,375	28,000 24,446 20,375	+5,680 -619 +290	-22,000 +5,000
Subtotal, Water: Ecosystems	67,470	89,821	72,821	+5,351	-17,000
Water: Human health protection Beach/Fish programs	3,210 93,258	3,264	3,264 98,090 10,000	+54 +4,832 +10,000	-3,000
Subtotal, Water: Human health protection	96,468	104,354	111,354	+14,886	000'2+

	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Request	FY 2006 Request Recommended E		Recommended versus nacted Request
Water quality protection	11,358	12,279	12,279	+921	
Surface water protection Surface water protection (other activities) Water quality monitoring	179,503 6,700	185,501 9,300	185,501 9,300	+5,998 +2,600	: :
Subtotal, Surface Water protection	186,203	194,801	194,801	+8,598	
Subtotal, Water quality protection	197,561	207,080	207,080	+9,519	
Subtotal, Environmental Programs and Management.	2,294,902	2,403,764	2,389,491	+94,589	-14,273
Offsetting receipts from toxics and pesticides fees	: II : II : II : II : II : II : II	-50,000	 ! !! ! !! ! !! !! !!	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	+50,000
Total, Environmental Programs and Management	2,294,902	2,353,764	2,389,491	2,294,902 2,353,764 2,389,491 +94,589 +35,727	+35,727

(dollars in thousands)

The Committee recommends \$2,389,491,000 for environmental programs and management, an increase of \$94,589,000 above the fiscal year 2005 level and \$35,727,000 above the budget request. Changes to the budget request are detailed below.

Brownfields.—The Committee recommends a decrease of

\$5,000,000 for Brownfields support.

Air Toxics and Quality.—The Committee recommends a net decrease of \$6,800,000 for air toxics and quality, including a decrease of \$5,000,000 in Federal support for air quality management for the clean diesel initiative, an increase of \$1,200,000 for stratospheric ozone/domestic programs, and a decrease of \$3,000,000 for stratospheric ozone/multilateral fund.

Climate Protection.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$4,000,000 for climate protection, including decreases of \$500,000 for Energy Star and \$3,500,000 for the methane to markets initia-

tive.

Compliance.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$2,900,000 for compliance monitoring, including decreases of \$1,800,000 to reduce the rescission-related restoration proposed in the budget and \$1,100,000 for regional program support.

Enforcement.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$4,000,000 for enforcement, including decreases of \$3,000.000 for

civil enforcement and \$1,000,000 for criminal enforcement.

Environmental Protection: Congressional Priorities.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$40,000,000 for programs of national and regional significance that have been funded through this program/project in at least 3 of the last 4 years. Direction on the use of these funds is provided below. The Committee notes that the National Rural Water Association program has been moved to the Water: Health Protection/Drinking Water Programs portion of the environmental programs and management account.

Geographic Programs.—The Committee recommends a net decrease of \$2,532,000 for geographic programs, including increases of \$1,045,000 for Lake Champlain, \$1,523,000 for Long Island Sound, and \$2,000,000 for Puget Sound, and decreases of \$6,000,000 for community action for a renewed environment and

\$1,100,000 for regional geographic initiatives.

Information Exchange/Outreach.—The Committee recommends a net increase of \$5,000,000 for information exchange/outreach, including an increase of \$9,000,000 for environmental education and

a decrease of \$4,000,000 for the exchange network.

Information Technology/Data Management.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$10,000,000 for information technology/data management. A large amount of funding for these activities was transferred to the compliance program in the budget request. After accounting for that transfer, the Committee's recommendation provides an increase above the fiscal year 2005 level for data system improvements.

Operations and Administration.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$5,000,000 for facilities infrastructure and operations.

Pesticide Licensing.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$3,041,000 for pesticides: review/reregistration of existing pesticides, which leaves an increase of \$3,635,000 above the enacted level.

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act.—The Committee recommends a general reduction of \$5,000,000 for RCRA activities. The Committee notes that, after this reduction, the Agency will retain an increase of nearly \$3,000,000 above the fiscal year 2005 level. The increase above the enacted level should be used for the highest priority activities.

Toxics Risk Review and Prevention.—The Committee recommends a net decrease of \$1,000,000 for toxics risk review and prevention, including an increase of \$1,000,000 for chemical risk review and a decrease of \$2,000,000 for the pollution prevention

program.

Water: Ecosystems.—The Committee recommends a net decrease of \$17,000,000 for water/ecosystems, including a decrease of \$22,000,000 for Great Lakes Legacy Act programs (which leaves an increase of 25 percent above the fiscal year 2005 level) and an increase of \$5,000,000 for the National Estuary Program. Direction on both of these programs is provided below.

Water: Human Health Protection.—The Committee recommends a net increase of \$7,000,000 for water/human health protection, including a decrease of \$3,000,000 for drinking water programs and an increase of \$10,000,000 for the National Rural Water Associa-

tion.

Receipts from Toxics and Pesticides Fees.—The Administration proposed a \$50,000,000 reduction to the environmental programs and management account under the assumption that legislation would be enacted to increase fees on pesticide registrations and that \$50,000,000 would be made available, as a result, to offset appropriations. The Committee notes that no legislative proposal has been received from the Administration and it is unlikely that these receipts will be available for fiscal year 2006 as explained below. Therefore, the Committee recommends an increase of \$50,000,000 to ensure that critical programs in this area continue. The Committee believes that the budget should not assume the use of receipts that are dependent on the enactment of subsequent legislation unless such legislation is under active consideration by the Congress.

The Committee agrees to the following:

1. The pesticide Safety Education Program should be funded at

\$1,200,000 in fiscal year 2006.

2. EPA has adopted regulations to reduce emissions from on-road heavy-duty diesel vehicles beginning in 2007 and from off-road heavy-duty diesel vehicles beginning in 2010. These regulations will apply to new vehicles and not to the millions of existing vehicles, which will probably not be fully replaced until 2030. Through the clean diesel initiative, EPA is working to retrofit existing vehicles with new emission reduction technologies. These include the accelerated use of new fuels, after-treatment of diesel exhaust with retrofit technology, and replacing and rebuilding older engines with new cleaner engine technology. The Committee has provided \$10,000,000 in support of these efforts.

3. A total of \$24,446,000 is included for the National Estuary Program, which includes \$500,000 for each of the 28 NEP estuaries and \$10,446,000 for other activities in support of the program.

4. The Committee has included \$40,000,000 for Programs of National and Regional Significance with the expectation that the EPA

will conduct a competitive solicitation among special programs that have been added by the Congress to the Environmental Programs and Management account in at least 3 of the last 4 years. The Committee notes that many of these Congressional priorities provide invaluable assistance to the EPA and are performed at a cost substantially less than if EPA were to institute such programs in house. A competitive solicitation should ensure that the highest priority national and regional programs continue to be funded.

5. The EPA needs to develop a clear plan for the Great Lakes Legacy Act implementation and explain in future budget requests how the requested funding for that program supports the plan.

how the requested funding for that program supports the plan.

6. When Congress enacted the Pesticide Registration Improvement Act (PRIA) of 2003 to allow EPA to collect new pesticide registration fees, it specifically prohibited the collection of any new tolerance fees by the EPA. However, the Administration assumed the use of receipts from registration fees as part of its fiscal year 2005 and 2006 budget requests. EPA should not spend time proposing fees and promulgating rules in conflict with PRIA and should use its limited resources on other, more productive pesticide work.

7. The Committee expects EPA to encourage local governments and communities to pursue innovative public-private partnerships, such as the Adopt-A-Waterway program, which, at no additional cost to the taxpayers, help to implement storm water pollution prevention activities, curb urban runoff, and improve water quality. Further, the Committee encourages EPA to work with the States to enter into public-private partnerships, such as Adopt-A-Waterway, to fulfill their public education and outreach responsibilities.

8. The Committee is aware that the Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma has applied for treatment as a State status under the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (commonly known as the "Clean Water Act") and that the issue is currently under litigation. The Committee will watch with interest the resolution of this issue.

9. The Committee is aware of TCE contamination affecting a large number of homes in Endicott and Ithaca, NY, which is due to vapor intrusion of TCE contaminants into the basements of homes. The Committee is further aware that EPA is in the process of finalizing its TCE risk assessment and that his is a preess that is likely to continue over the next two years or more. EPA has indicated that it is currently evaluating a number of interim approaches for screening levels for TCE while awaiting the final assessment. The Committee strongly urges EPA to work with the State of New York to adopt protective interim approaches, as soon as practicable, including consideration of provisional screening levels based upon the 2001 Human Health Risk Assessment. Finally, the Committee expects EPA to keep it informed periodically on progress on the development and implementation of interim procedures and actions at these sites and on completion of the new EPA risk assessment.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The Office of Inspector General (OIG) provides audit, evaluation, and investigation products and advisory services to improve the performance and integrity of EPA programs and operations. This account funds personnel compensation and benefits, travel, and expenses (excluding rent, utilities, and security costs) for the Office

of Inspector General. In addition to the funds provided under this heading, the OIG receives funds by transfer from the Hazardous Substance Superfund account. The IG also holds the position of Inspector General for the U.S. Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board.

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$37,696,000 36,955,000 37,955,000
Appropriation, 2005	+259.000
Budget estimate, 2006	+1,000,000

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

d versus	Request			+1,000	;
ids) Recommended versus	Enacted	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		+259	(+640)
(dollars in thousands) 06	Request Recommended			37,955	(13,536)
(dol FY 2006	Request	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		36,955	(13,536)
FY 2005	Enacted	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		37,696	(12,896)
			Office of Inspector General	Audits, evaluations, and investigations	(By transfer from Hazardous substance superfund)

The Committee recommends \$37,955,000 for the Office of Inspector General, an increase of \$259,000 above the fiscal year 2005 level and \$1,000,000 above the budget request. In addition, the Committee recommends that \$13,536,000, as requested, be transferred to this account from the Hazardous Substance Superfund account. The Committee expects that \$1,000,000 will be used to carry out the duties of Inspector General for the Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board.

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

The Buildings and Facilities account provides for the design and construction of EPA-owned facilities as well as for the repair, extension, alteration, and improvement of facilities used by the Agency. The funds are used to correct unsafe conditions, protect health and safety of employees and Agency visitors, and prevent deterioration of structures and equipment.

Appropriation enacted, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006 Recommended, 2006	\$41,688,000 40,218,000 40,218,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	-1,470,000
Budget estimate, 2006	0

		11	10			
versus Request		!	;	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	: 11	: - - - -
ands) Recommended versus Enacted Reques		+92	+1,438	+1,530	-3,000	41,688 40,218 40,218 -1,470
(dollars in thousands) FY 2006 Request Recommended E		11,500	28,718	40,218	11	40,218
(do FY 2006 Request		11,500	28,718	40,218	:	40,218
FY 2005 Enacted		11,408	27,280	38,688	3,000	41,688
	Buildings and Facilities	Homeland security Homeland security: Protection of EPA personnel and infrastructure	Operations and administration Facilities infrastructure and operations	Subtotal, Buildings and Facilities	Emergency appropriations (P.L. 108-324)	Total, Buildings and Facilities

The Committee recommends \$40,218,000, the budget request, for buildings and facilities, a decrease of \$1,470,000 below the fiscal year 2005 level.

HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE SUPERFUND

(INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

The Hazardous Substance Superfund (Superfund) program was established in 1980 by the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act to clean up emergency hazardous materials, spills, and dangerous, uncontrolled, and/or abandoned hazardous waste sites. The Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA) expanded the program substantially in 1986, authorizing approximately \$8,500,000,000 in revenues over five years. In 1990, the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act extended the program's authorization through 1994 for \$5,100,000,000 with taxing authority through calendar year 1995.

\$5,100,000,000 with taxing authority through calendar year 1995. The Superfund program is operated by EPA subject to annual appropriations from a dedicated trust fund and from general revenues. Enforcement activities are used to identify and induce parties responsible for hazardous waste problems to undertake clean-up actions and pay for EPA oversight of those actions. In addition, responsible parties have been required to cover the cost of fund-financed removal and remedial actions undertaken at spills and waste sites by Federal and State agencies. Transfers from this account are made to the Office of Inspector General and Science and Technology accounts for Superfund-related activities.

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$1,247,477,000 1,279,333,000 1,258,333,000
Appropriation, 2005	+10,856,000 $-21,000,000$

	FY 2005 Enacted	(do) FY 2006 Request	(dollars in thousands) 106 st Recommended		Recommended versus nacted Request
Hazardous Substance Superfund					
Air toxics and quality Radiation: Protection	2,031	2,387	2,387	+356	!
Audits, evaluations, and investigations(Transfer to Office of Inspector General)	12,896 (-12,896)	13,536 (-13,536)	13,536 (-13,536)	+640 (-640)	! !
Enforcement Civil enforcement	122 7,895 822	883 9,504	883 8,504 614	+761 +609	-1,000
Environmental justice. Forensics support. Superfund: Enforcement.	938 4,112 153,266	3,840 164,258	3,840 157,258	-200 -93 -272 +3,992	
Subtotal, Enforcement	10,667	190,185	10,241	-426	-8,000
Compliance Compliance assistance and centers	 145 159	23 168 1,157	23 168 1,157	+23 +23 +998	!!!
Subtotal, Compliance	304	1,348	1,348	+1,044	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Homeland security Homeland security: Communication and information Laboratory preparedness and response	;	300	300	+300	;

	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Request	Recommended	Recommend	Recommended versus nacted Request
Homeland security: Critical infrastructure protection Critical infrastructure protection (except decontamination)	1,923	852 200	852 200	-1,071	11
Subtotal, Homeland security: Critical infrastructure protection	1,923	1,052	1,052	-871	
Homeland security: Preparedness, response, and recovery Decontamination	8,283	12,550 9,500	10,550	+2,267	-2,000
Preparedness, response, and recovery (other activities)	25,996 (-2,071)	26,915 (-2,000)	26,915 (-2,000)	+919 (+71)	: :
Subtotal, Homeland security: Preparedness, response, and recovery	34,279	48,965	37,465	+3,186	-11,500
Homeland security: Protection of EPA personnel and infrastructure	672	009	009	-72	!
Subtotal, Homeland security	36,874	50,917	39,417	+2,543	-11,500
Information exchange / Outreach Congressional, intergovernmental, external relations Exchange network	155 2,235	161 1,676	161 1,676	+6 -559	; ; ; ; ; ;
Subtotal, Information exchange / Outreach	2,390	1,837	1,837	-553	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

(dollars in thousands)

		op)	(dollars in thousands)	ands)	
	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Request	Recommended	Recommended versus Enacted Request	d versus Request
IT / Data management / Security Information securityIT / Data management	406 17,945	409 16,113	409 16,113	+3	: ;
Subtotal, IT / Data management / Security	18,351	16,522	16,522	-1,829	
Legal/Science/Regulatory/Economic review Alternative dispute resolution Legal advice: Environmental program	845 816	985 836	985 836	+140	: :
Subtotal, Legal/Science/Regulatory/Economic review	1,661	1,821	1,821	+160	
Operations and administration Financial assistance grants / Interagency agreements management	2,943	2,579	2,579	-364	;
Facilities infrastructure and operations	67,080	72,726	71,226	+4,146	-1,500
Acquisition management	18,888	20,367	20,367 4 790	+1,479 +414	: ;
Central planning, budgeting, and finance	20,379	22,445	22,445	+2,066	:
Subtotal, Operations and administration	113,666	122,907	121,407	+7,741	-1,500
Research: Human health and ecosystems Human health risk assessment	3,559 (-3,559)	4,022 (-4,022)	4,022	+463 (-463)	: :
Research: Land protection Research: Land protection and restoration	22,994 (-22,994)	23,099	23,099	+105 (-105)	; ;

	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Request	Recommended	Recommended versus Enacted Reques	Reques
Research: Superfund innovative technology (SITE) program	(965'9-) 965'9-)	1,485	1,485	-5,111 (+5,111)	1 1
Subtotal, Research: Land protection	29,590	24,584	24,584	-5,006	
Research: Sustainability Pollution prevention	588 (-588)	!!!	; ;	-588 (+588)	! !
Superfund cleanup Superfund: Emergency response and removal	198,494	198,000	198,000	- 494	;
Superfund: EPA emergency preparedness	10,009	10,507	10,507	+498	;
Superfund: Federal facilities	31,512	31,611	31,611	66+	:
Superfund: Remedial	597,139	599,395	599,395	+2,256	;
Superfund: Support to other Federal agencies	10,591	9,754	9,754	-837	:
Subtotal, Superfund cleanup	847,745	849,267	849,267	+1,522	
		# 			
Total, Hazardous Substance Superfund	1,247,477	1,279,333	1,258,333	+10,856	-21,000
(Transfer to Office of Inspector General)	(-12,896)	(-13,536)	(-13,536)	(-640)	:
(Transfer to Science and Technology)	(-35,808)	(-30,606)	(-30,606)	(+5,202)	:

(dollars in thousands)

The Committee recommends \$1,258,333,000 for hazardous substance superfund, an increase of \$10,856,000 above the fiscal year 2005 level and \$21,000,000 below the budget request. Changes to the budget request are detailed below.

Enforcement.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$8,000,000 for enforcement, including decreases of \$1,000,000 for criminal enforcement and \$7,000,000 for superfund enforcement.

Homeland Security: Preparedness, Response, and Recovery.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$11,500,000 for homeland security: preparedness, response, and recovery, including decreases of \$2,000,000 for decontamination and \$9,500,000 for laboratory preparedness and response.

Operations and Administration.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$1,500,000 for facilities infrastructure and operations. Bill language.—Bill language is included, as requested, transfer-

ring \$13,536,000 to the Office of Inspector General and \$30,606,000

to the Science and Technology account.

The Committee is aware of the Hudson River PCB Superfund Site and the burdens it has placed on the Town of Fort Edward, New York, which will host the dewatering facility for site remediation. The Committee is concerned that the Town of Fort Edward does not have the capacity to alleviate the multi-year impacts of this remediation without assistance. The Committee expects the EPA to provide assistance to the maximum extent possible, including financial and staffing assistance, to the Town of Fort Edward throughout the duration of this project and to maintain a close dialogue with the Town of Fort Edward and the Committee. The Committee also expects the EPA to provide semiannual reports on the Hudson River PCB Superfund project to the Committee.

In 2001, the National Academy of Sciences issued "A Risk-Management Strategy for PCB-Contaminated Sediments" that noted the lack of information on the effectiveness of remedial actions at contaminated sediment sites. The report called for more evaluations of remedial efforts to determine the effectiveness of such remedies, particularly dredging, in achieving projected environmental benefits. Currently, about 140 contaminated sediment sites are in some stage of the Superfund process. A number of these sites are "mega" sites with large potential costs for both public and private parties. The Committee believes that independent experts should take another look at this issue with an emphasis on mega sites. Accordingly, the Committee expects the EPA to enter into an agreement with the National Academy of Sciences to examine whether: (1) actual costs match EPA estimates; (2) EPA estimated risk reduction benefits are being achieved as predicted; (3) such risk reduction benefits will be achieved significantly faster than other less costly remedial alternatives, including source control and natural recovery; (4) EPA is considering remedial alternatives on an equal footing, or dredging is the presumptive remedy; (5) EPA is considering potential adverse consequences of all remedial alternatives consistent with requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act; and (6) EPA regions are following agency sediment guidance and recommendations made by the Academy in its 2001 report. EPA should complete arrangements with the Academy for this study no later than December 1, 2005, and the study should be provided to the Committee no later than December 1, 2006.

LEAKING UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK PROGRAM

Subtitle I of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act, authorized the establishment of a response program for clean-up of releases from leaking underground storage tanks. Owners and operators of facilities with underground tanks must demonstrate financial responsibility and bear initial responsibility for clean-up. The Federal trust fund is funded through the imposition of a motor fuel tax of one-tenth of a cent per gallon, which generates approximately

\$170,000,000 per year.

Most States also have their own leaking underground storage tank programs, including a separate trust fund or other funding mechanism. The Leaking Underground Storage Tank Trust Fund provides additional clean-up resources and may also be used to enforce necessary corrective actions and to recover costs expended from the Fund for clean-up activities. The underground storage tank response program is designed to operate primarily through cooperative agreements with States. However, funds are also used for grants to non-State entities, including Indian tribes, under Section 8001 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act.

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$69,440,000 73,027,000 73,027,000
Comparison: Appropriation, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006	+3,587,000

round Storage Tanks nd centers. 855 774 774 -81 176 178 178 +2 1100 341 346 +5 936 936 +70 170		FY 2005 Enacted	(dc FY 2006 Request	(dollars in thousands) 106 st Recommended E	ands) Recommended versus Enacted Reques	versus Request
centers 855 774 774 -81 n 176 178 178 +2 n 341 346 346 +5 ig, and finance 86 936 936 +70 and operations 872 884 884 +12 and operations 2,082 2,169 2,169 +87 nd administration 624 646 646 +22 nd restoration 624 58,676 58,676 +2,252 storage tanks 65,703 69,260 +3,557 storage tanks 69,440 73,027 73,027 +3,587	Leaking Underground Storage Tanks					
tion 341 346 346 +5 ting, and finance. 866 936 936 +70 872 884 884 +12 873 3 3 3 874 686 936 +70 875 884 884 +12 876 884 884 +12 877 884 884 +12 878 884 884 +12 879 884 884 +12 8884 884 +12 879 884 884 +12 870 884 884 884 +12 870 884 884 884 +12 870 884 884 884 884 884 884 884 884 884 88	Compliance Compliance assistance and centers	855	774	774	-81	1
tion 341 346 346 +5 ting, and finance	II / Data management	176	178	178	+2	1
s and administration	Operations and administration Acquisition management	341 866 872 3	346 936 884 3	346 936 84 3	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	
ts		2,082	2,169	2,169	+87	
softs		624	646	646	+22	;
. 65,703 69,260 69,260 +3,557 . 69,440 73,027 73,027 +3,587	Underground storage tanks Underground storage tanks	9,279 56,424	10,584 58,676	10,584 58,676	+1,305	1 1
. 69,440 73,027 73,027 +3,587	_	65,703	69,260	69,260	+3,557	

The Committee recommends \$73,027,000, the budget request, for the leaking underground storage tank program, an increase of \$3,587,000 above the fiscal year 2005 level.

OIL SPILL RESPONSE

This appropriation, authorized by the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, provides funds to prepare for and prevent releases of oil and other petroleum products in navigable waterways. In addition, EPA is reimbursed for incident specific response costs through the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund managed by the United States Coast Guard.

EPA is responsible for directing all clean-up and removal activities posing a threat to public health and the environment; conducting site inspections; providing a means to achieve cleanup activities by private parties; reviewing containment plans at facilities; reviewing area contingency plans; pursuing cost recovery of fund-financed clean-ups; and conducting research of oil clean-up techniques. Funds for this appropriation are provided through the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund which is composed of fees and collections made through provisions of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, the Comprehensive Oil Pollution Liability and Compensation Act, the Deepwater Port Act of 1974, the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act Amendments of 1978, and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended. Pursuant to law, the Trust Fund is managed by the United States Coast Guard.

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$15,872,000
Budget estimate, 2006	15,863,000
Recommended, 2006	15,863,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	-9,000
Bûdget estimate, 2006	0

	FY 2005 Enacted	(dc FY 2006 Request	(dollars in thousands) FY 2006 Request Recommended E	sands) Recommended versus Enacted Requesi	d versus Request
Oil Spill Response					
Enforcement Civil enforcement	1,742	1,789	1,789	+47	}
Compliance Compliance and centers	274	287	287	+	;
IT / Data management	33	33	33	;	;
Oil spill: Prevention, preparedness and response	12,465	12,344	12,344	-121	:
Operations and administration Facilities infrastructure and operations	463	504	504	+41	!
Research: Land protection Research: Land protection and restoration	895	906	906	11+ 906 906 895	: H : H : H : H
Total, Oil Spill Response	15,872	15,863	15,863	15,872 15,863 15,863 -9	: II : II : II : II

The Committee recommends \$15,863,000, the budget request, for oil spill response, a decrease of \$9,000 below the fiscal year 2005 level.

STATE AND TRIBAL ASSISTANCE GRANTS

(INCLUDING RESCISSIONS OF FUNDS)

The State and Tribal Assistance Grants account provides grant funds for programs operated primarily by State, local, tribal and other governmental partners. The account provides funding for infrastructure projects through the State Revolving Funds, geographic specific projects in rural Alaska and Alaska Native Villages, Puerto Rico, and on the United States-Mexico Border, and other targeted special projects. In addition, the account funds Brownfields assessment and revitalization grants, grants for clean school buses, and miscellaneous other categorical grant programs.

The largest portion of the STAG account consists of State Revolving Funds (SRFs), which provide Federal financial assistance to protect the Nation's water resources. The Clean Water SRFs help eliminate municipal discharge of untreated or inadequately treated pollutants and thereby help maintain or restore the country's water to a swimmable and/or fishable quality. The Clean Water SRFs provide resources for municipal, inter-municipal, State, and interstate agencies and tribal governments to plan, design, and construct wastewater facilities and other projects, including non-point source, estuary, stormwater, and sewer overflow projects. The Safe Drinking Water SRFs finance improvements to community water systems so that they can achieve compliance with the mandates of the Safe Drinking Water Act and continue to protect public health.

Categorical grant programs include non-point source grants under Section 319 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended, Public Water System Supervision grants, Section 106 water quality grants, grants to improve targeted watersheds, Clean Air Act Section 105 and 103 air grants, grants targeted to environmental information, Brownfields cleanup grants, and other grants used by the States, tribes, and others to meet Federal environmental statutory and regulatory requirements.

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$3,575,349,000 2.960.800.000
Recommended, 2006	3,127,800,000
Comparison:	, , ,
Appropriation, 2005	-447,549,000
Budget estimate, 2006	+167,000,000

	FY 2005 Enacted	(do FY 2006 Request	(dollars in thousands) 06 st Recommended	- 11	Recommended versus nacted Request
State and Tribal Assistance Grants					
Air toxics and quality Clean school bus initiative	7,440	10,000	10,000	+2,560	;
Brownfields brojects	89,280	120,500	95,500	+6,220	-25,000
Infrastructure assistance Infrastructure assistance: Alaska Native villages	44,640	15,000	15,000	-29,640	;
Infrastructure assistance: Clean water state revolving fund (SRF)	1,091,200	730,000	750,000	-341,200	+20,000
ose of parametes from expired contracts, grants, and interagency agreements	;	1	100,000	+100,000	+100,000
Subtotal, Clean water state revolving fund	1,091,200	730,000	850,000	-241,200	+120,000
Infrastructure assistance: Drinking water state revolving fund (SRF)	843,200 49,600 3,849	850,000 50,000 4,000	850,000 50,000 4,000	+6,800 +400 +151	
Subtotal, Infrastructure assistance	2,032,489	1,649,000	1,769,000	-263,489	+120,000
STAG infrastructure grants / Congressional priorities.	309,548	1 1	200,000	-109,548	+200,000
Subtotal, State and Tribal Assistance Grants (excluding categorical grants)	2,438,757	1,779,500	2,074,500	-364,257	+295,000

	FY 2005 Enacted	(do FY 2006 Request	(dollars in thousands) 06 st Recommended	- 111 1	Recommended versus nacted Request
Categorical grants Categorical grant: Beaches profection	9 920	10.000	10.000	+80	;
	49,600	60,000	52,000	+2,400	-8,000
grant: grant:	19,344	20,000	20,000	+656	•
:	103,466	104,400	104,400	+934	:
Categorical grant: Homeland security	4,960	5,000	2,000	+40	:
Categorical grant: Lead	13,392	13,700	13,700	+308	;
_	207,328	209,100	209,100	+1,772	:
Categorical grant: Pesticides enforcement	19,344	18,900	18,900	-444	:
regorical glant. Pesticides program implementation	12,896	13,100	13,100	+204	1
Categorical grant: Pollution control (Sec. 106) Pollution control (Sec. 106) (other activities) Water quality monitoring	168,620 39,700	177,900 54,000	169,900 54,000	+1,280	-8,000
Subtotal, Categorical grant: Pollution control (Sec. 106)	208,320	231,900	223,900	+15,580	-8,000
ategorical grant: Pollution prevention	4,960	000'9	5,000	+40	-1,000
	99,746	100,600	100,600	+854	1
	6,944	8,150	8,150	+1,206	!!!
Categorical grant: Sector program	2,232	2,250	2,250	+18	!
orant:	223,200	223,550	223,550	+350	;
; ;	;	23,000	;	:	-23,000
grant:	17,856	15,000	15,000	-2,856	:
Categorical grant: Toxics substances compliance Categorical grant: Tribal air quality management	5,007 10,743	5,150 11,050	5,150 11,050	+143 +307	: :

Categorical grant: Tribal general assistance program. Categorical grant: Underground injection control Categorical grant: Underground injection control Categorical grant: Underground injection control Categorical grant: Underground storage tanks		FY 2005 Enacted	(do FY 2006 Request	(dollars in thousands) FY 2006 Request Recommended E		Recommended versus nacted Request
control 61,504 57,500 57,500 -4,004 control 10,694 11,000 11,000 +306 aining 1,488 11,950 11,950 -1,488 ive						
control 10,694 11,000 11,000 +306 hks 11,904 11,950 11,950 +46 aning 1,488 15,000 -1,864 opment 14,880 20,000 17,000 +2,120 1,136,592 1,181,300 1,153,300 +16,708 3,575,349 2,960,800 3,127,800 -100,000100,000 -100,000 https://doi.org/10.000 -100,000 -100,000 https://doi.org/10.000 -100,000 -10		61,504	57,500	57,500	-4,004	!
hks 11,904 11,950 11,950 +46 aining 1,488 15,000 -1,864 byment 14,880 20,000 17,000 +2,120 1,136,592 1,181,300 1,153,300 +16,708 1,136,592 1,181,300 1,153,300 +16,708 1,00,000 100,000 -100,000 ts)		10,694	11,000	11,000	+306	;
ive 16,864 15,000 -1,864 opment: 15,000 17,000 -1,864 opment: 1,136,592 1,181,300 1,153,300 +16,708 opment: 3,575,349 2,960,800 3,127,800 -447,549 optents: 3,575,349 0ptents: 3,575,349		11,904	11,950	11,950	+46	;
16,864 15,000 -1,864 opment 14,880 20,000 17,000 +2,120 rd. 1,136,592 1,181,300 1,153,300 +16,708 rd. 1,136,592 2,960,800 3,227,800 -100,000 rd. 1,00,000 rd. 1,153,349 2,960,800 3,127,800 -447,549 rds 3,575,349 2,960,800 3,127,800 -447,549 rds		1,488	!	1	-1,488	1
ppment 14,880 20,000 17,000 +2,120 1,136,592 1,181,300 1,153,300 +16,708 3,575,349 2,960,800 3,227,800 -100,000 1s)100,000 -100,000 1ts 3,575,349 2,960,800 3,127,800 -447,549	:	16,864	1 1	15,000	-1,864	+15,000
Srants 3,575,349 2,960,800 3,127,800 -147,549100,000 -100,000 -100,000 -100,000 -100,000 -100,000 -100,000 -100,000 -100,000 -100,000 -100,000 -100,000 -100,000 -100,000 -100,000100,000 -100,000		14,880	20,000	17,000	+2,120	-3,000
Srants 3,575,349 2,960,800 3,227,800 -347,549 (100,000	Subtotal, Categorical grants	1,136,592	1,181,300	1,153,300	+16,708	-28,000
ts) 3,575,349 2,960,800 3,127,800 -447,549	Subtotal, State and Tribal Assistance Grants	3,575,349	2,960,800	3,227,800	-347,549	+267,000
3,575,349 2,960,800 3,127,800 -447,549	ts)			-100,000	-100,000	-100,000
					-447,549	+167,000

The Committee recommends \$3,127,800,000 for State and tribal assistance grants, a decrease of \$447,549,000 below the fiscal year 2005 level and \$167,000,000 above the budget request. Changes to the budget request are detailed below.

Brownfields.—The Committee recommends a decrease of \$25,000,000 for Brownfields projects. The Committee recommended level represents an increase of more than \$6,000,000 above the fis-

cal year 2005 level.

Infrastructure Assistance: Clean Water State Revolving Fund.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$120,000,000 for the clean water State revolving funds, including the use of \$100,000,000 rescinded from expired contracts, grants, and interagency agreements from various EPA appropriation accounts.

State and Tribal Infrastructure Grants/Congressional priorities.—The Committee recommends an increase of \$200,000,000 for targeted STAG infrastructure grants. These specific grants will be designated in conference action on the Interior, Environment, and

Related Agencies Act, 2006.

Categorical Grants.—The Committee recommends a net decrease of \$28,000,000 for categorical grants, including decreases of \$8,000,000 for Brownfields, \$8,000,000 for pollution control (section 106), \$1,000,000 for pollution prevention, \$23,000,000 for a new State and tribal performance fund, and \$3,000,000 for wetlands program development and an increase of \$15,000,000 for water

quality cooperative agreements.

Bill Language.—The Committee recommends bill language stipulating that funds associated with STAG special projects, from fiscal year 2000 or earlier, that have not received an approved grant by the end of fiscal year 2006 will be transferred to the appropriate State's Drinking Water or Clean Water State Revolving Fund. Bill language also provides for the transfer of funds, not needed for STAG projects, to the appropriate State's Drinking Water or Clean Water Revolving Fund (i.e., unused funds from completed projects or funds from projects that are determined to be ineligible for a grant).

The Committee also recommends the rescission of \$100,000,000 in balances from expired contracts, grants, and interagency agreements from various EPA appropriation accounts and the use of these funds, as an additional amount of \$100,000,000, for the Clean Water State Revolving Fund.

The Committee also recommends bill language granting authority to EPA to make technical corrections on special project infra-

structure grants subject to Committee consultation.

The Committee has also included bill language, as requested by the Administration and as carried in previous appropriations acts, to: (1) extend for an additional year the authority for States to transfer funds between the Clean Water SRF and the Drinking Water SRF; (2) waive the one-third of 1 percent cap on the Tribal set aside from non-point source grants; (3) increase to 1.5 percent the cap on the Tribal set-aside for the Clean Water SRF; and (4) require that any funds provided to address the water infrastructure needs of colonias within the United States along the United States-Mexico border be spent only in areas where the local governmental entity has established an enforceable ordinance or rule which pre-

vents additional development within colonias that lack water, wastewater, or other necessary infrastructure.

Bill language has been included stipulating that, consistent with section 603 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended, \$50,000,000 of the \$850,000,000 proposed for the Clean Water SRF program is to be made available by the States for interest-free loans to increase non-point and non-structural, decentralized alternatives and expand the choices available to communities for clean water improvements. The Committee continues to support this program.

While no specific special project grants are identified at this point for fiscal year 2006 as in past years, targeted grants shall be accompanied by a cost-share requirement whereby 45 percent of a project's cost is the responsibility of the community or entity receiving the grant. In those few cases where such cost-share requirement poses a particular financial burden on the recipient community or entity, the Committee supports the Agency's use of its long-standing guidance for financial capability assessments to determine reductions or waivers from this match requirement. Except for the limited instances in which an applicant meets the criteria for a waiver, the Committee has provided no more than 55% of an individual project's cost, regardless of the amount appropriated.

The Committee agrees to the following:

1. No STAG technical correction may be made without advance consultation with the Committee. The EPA should report to the Committee within 30 days of the close of each fiscal year with a list of the technical corrections it has made to STAG special project infrastructure grants during that fiscal year and on funds transferred from projects to the drinking water and clean water SRFs.

2. As in past years, from within the Committee's \$50,000,000 recommendation for the United States-Mexico Border program, the Agency is expected to continue the Brownsville, Texas area water supply project, and the EI Paso, Texas area desalination and water supply project.

3. With respect to financial assistance from State Revolving

3. With respect to financial assistance from State Revolving Funds, States should give priority to projects that use best management practices that provide cost savings and increased efficiency.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

The Committee has included bill language, requested by the Administration and supported by the Science Committee, permitting EPA to hire no more than 5 senior level scientists using expedited procedures. This authority is similar to that provided to the National Institutes of Health.

The Committee has, again this year, included an administrative provision giving the Administrator specific authority, in the absence of an acceptable tribal program, to award cooperative agreements to Federally recognized Indian Tribes or Intertribal consortia so as to properly carry out EPA's environmental programs.

TITLE III—RELATED AGENCIES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

FOREST SERVICE

The U.S. Forest Service manages 193 million acres of public lands for multiple use Nationwide, including lands in 44 States and Puerto Rico, and cooperates with States, other Federal agencies, Tribes and others to sustain the Nation's forests and grasslands. The Forest Service administers a wide variety of programs, including forest and rangeland research, State and private forestry assistance, wildfire suppression and fuels reduction, cooperative forest health programs, and human resource programs. The National Forest System (NFS) includes 155 National forests, 20 National grasslands, 20 National recreation areas, a National tallgrass prairie, 6 National monuments, and 6 land utilization projects. The NFS is managed for multiple use, including timber production, recreation, wilderness, minerals, grazing, fish and wildlife habitat management, and soil and water conservation.

The Committee notes that the Forest Service celebrated its centennial year in 2005. The Forest Service was established on February 1, 1905 when the forest reserves were transferred from the General Land Office in the Department of the Interior to the newly named, U.S. Forest Service in the Department of Agriculture.

FOREST AND RANGELAND RESEARCH

Forest and rangeland research and development sponsors basic and applied scientific research. This research provides both credible and relevant knowledge about forests and rangelands and new technologies that can be used to sustain the health, productivity, and diversity of private and public lands to meet the needs of present and future generations. Research is conducted across the U.S. through six research stations, the Forest Products Laboratory, and the International Institute of Tropical Forestry in Puerto Rico as well as cooperative research efforts with many of the Nation's universities. The Committee stresses that this research and development should support all of the Nation's forests and rangelands and that technology transfer and practical applications are vital.

Appropriation enacted, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006 Recommended, 2006	\$276,384,000 285,400,000 285,000,000
Comparison: Appropriation, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006	+8,616,000 -400,000

Recommended versus nacted	1	-6,614 +6,214	- 400
ısands) Recommeı Enacted	 	+6,174	276,384 285,400 285,000 +8,616 -400
(dollars in thousands) FY 2006 Request Recommended E		62,100 222,900	285,000
FY 20 Reque		68,714	285,400
FY 2005 Enacted	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	55,926 220,458	
	Forest and Rangeland Research	Forest inventory and analysisResearch and development programs	Total, Forest and rangeland research

The Committee recommends \$285,000,000 for forest and rangeland research, a decrease of \$400,000 below the budget request and \$8,616,000 above the fiscal year 2005 funding level. For clarity, the funding level for the forest inventory and analysis (FIA) program is displayed as a distinct activity. Funding for FIA under this heading is \$62,100,000, \$6,614,000 below the requested level and \$6,174,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. The Committee notes that an additional \$5,000,000 for the FIA program is provided within the State and private forestry appropriation. There is a total increase of \$6,216,000 for the FIA program above the fiscal

year 2005 enacted level.

The Committee recommendation includes all project funding as stipulated in the budget request and supporting documents, with the following exceptions. The advanced housing research consortium receives \$1,500,000, which is \$218,000 above the request. The adelgid research at the Northeastern station receives \$1,600,000, an increase of \$121,000 above the request. The emerald ash borer research project in Ohio receives \$400,000, \$153,000 above the request. The southern pine beetle initiative receives \$2,400,000, \$428,000 above the request. The Coweeta Hydrologic Lab receives \$200,000 for research on reducing impacts of floods and landslides and \$150,000 for technology transfer. Uncontrollable cost increases receive \$6,177,000 above the request. The Montana State University and New Mexico State University Skeen range research projects and the salvage lumber research at the forest products lab are not funded. Funding for the National agroforestry research center is \$727,000, as requested.

The Committee expects to see detailed work plans, including costs and staffing, for the new Western Wildland Environmental Threat Assessment Center in Oregon and the Eastern Forest Environmental Threat Assessment Center in North Carolina, before funding from any appropriation for these new centers is distributed in fiscal year 2006. The Committee also directs the Forest Service to include explicit funding amounts for these two centers in future budget requests, regardless of the accounts involved. The Committee notes that these centers should, as provided in the Healthy Forest Restoration Act, focus primarily on hardwood forests and the threats from insects and disease which could destroy thousands of valuable acres of timberland and alter landscapes throughout surrounding areas. The centers should rely on emerging remote

sensing technology and geospatial modeling.

Bill Language.—The Committee recommends continuing bill language earmarking a specific allocation, \$62,100,000, for the forest inventory and analysis program.

STATE AND PRIVATE FORESTRY

Through cooperative programs with State and local governments, forest industry, conservation organizations, and non-industrial private forest landowners, the Forest Service supports the protection and management of the nearly 500 million acres of non-Federal forests in the country. Technical and financial assistance is offered to improve wildland fire management and protect communities from wildfire; control insects and disease; improve harvesting and processing of forest products; conserve environmentally important forests; and enhance stewardship of urban and rural forests. The For-

est Service provides special expertise and disease suppression for all Federal and tribal lands, as well as cooperative assistance with the States for State and private lands.

Appropriation enacted, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006 Recommended, 2006	\$341,606,000 253,387,000 254,875,000
Comparison: Appropriation, 2005	-86,731,000
Budget estimate, 2006	+1,488,000

	FY 2005 Fracted	FY 2006 Reguest	06 st Recommended F	Recommended versus	ed versus
State and Private Forestry					
Forest Health Management Federal lands forest health management	54,236 47,629	50,023 22,308	55,000 48,000	+764 +371	+4,977
Subtotal, Forest Health Management	101,865	72,331	103,000	+1,135	+30,669
Cooperative Fire Protection State fire assistance	32,920 5,917	20,919 5,917	35,422 6,000	+2,502 +83	+14,503
Subtotal, Cooperative Fire Protection	38,837	26,836	41,422	+2,585	+14,586
Cooperative Forestry Forest stewardship	32,320 57,134 31,950	37,099 80,000 27,475	37,399 25,000 28,175	+5,079 -32,134 -3,775	+300 -55,000 +700
Economic action programsForest resource information and analysis	19,032 4,958	4,657	7,979 5,000	-11,053 +42	+7,979 +343
Subtotal, Cooperative Forestry	145,394	149,231	103,553	-41,841	-45,678
International program	6,410	4,989	0,900	+490 -49,100 ===================================	+1,911
Total, State and Private Forestry	341,606	253,387	254,875	-86,731	+1,488

The Committee recommends \$254,875,000 for State and private forestry, \$1,488,000 above the budget request and \$86,731,000 below the 2005 funding level. Aspects of the budget request are approved, unless otherwise stated below. Funding levels are presented as changes from the request. All funds requested for the

healthy forests initiative are included.

Forest Health Management.—The Committee recommends \$103,000,000 for forest health management, \$30,669,000 above the request and \$1,135,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. The Committee emphasizes its concern with forest health and does not understand why, with the huge concern nationally for healthy forests, the budget request included such large decreases for these immensely valuable and vital forest health programs. The Committee is also concerned about invasive exotic pests, which have proven to have huge impacts on American forests and trees. Forest health funding provides important programs such as: (1) the slow-the-spread gypsy moth program; (2) control and management of the Asian long-horned beetle, the emerald ash borer, Dutch elm disease and other pests in urban settings; (3) adelgids in the east; and (4) various mountain pine beetles throughout the Rockies and the west.

The Committee recommendation includes \$17,000,000 for southern pine beetle forest health activities, including forest rehabilitation, disease prevention, and education. This consists of \$5,000,000 within the Federal lands activity and \$12,000,000 within the cooperative lands activity to assist State and private forest managers.

Federal Lands Forest Health Management.—The Committee recommends \$55,000,000 for Federal lands forest health management, \$4,977,000 above the request and \$764,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level.

Cooperative Lands Forest Health Management.—The Committee recommends \$48,000,000 for cooperative lands forest health management, \$25,692,000 above the budget request and \$371,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. The cooperative forest health activity includes \$350,000 for the southern Appalachian office of the American Chestnut Foundation.

The Committee encourages the Forest Service and other USDA agencies to work closely with Minnesota on the Dutch elm disease problem; this disease requires a dedicated and time-critical effort to remove infected trees quickly and efficiently, to avoid an esca-

lating bark beetle population.

The Committee strongly encourages the Administration to use the Secretary's authority under Public Law 97–46 to fund the survey, evaluation, control and management of unplanned, emerging pest occurrences from funds available to the agencies or corporations of the Department of Agriculture. This approach has been used in the past for the Forest Service and has been used in previous years for emergency pest projects by the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

Cooperative Fire Protection.—The Committee recommends \$41,422,000 for cooperative fire protection, \$14,586,000 above the request and \$2,585,000 above the fiscal year 2005 funding level. The Committee also notes that the cooperative fire portion of the national fire plan within the wildland fire management account in-

cludes a total of \$41,000,000 for State fire assistance and \$8,000,000 for volunteer fire assistance.

State Fire Assistance.—The Committee recommends \$35,422,000 for State fire assistance, \$14,503,000 above the budget request and \$2,502,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. The increased funding includes \$2,500,000 for use in the vicinity of the San Bernardino NF, CA, where a tremendous forest die-back has produced potentially catastrophic wildfire conditions. The Committee encourages the Forest Service to consider funding community wildfire protection planning projects for northern Arizona and in Colorado.

Volunteer Fire Assistance.—The Committee recommends \$6,000,000 for volunteer fire assistance, an increase of \$83,000 above the request and the enacted level.

Cooperative Forestry.—The Committee recommends \$103,553,000 for cooperative forestry, \$45,678,000 below the budget request and \$41,841,000 below the 2005 enacted level.

Forest Stewardship.—The Committee recommends \$37,399,000 for forest stewardship, \$300,000 above the budget request and \$5,079,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. Within the allocation for forest stewardship, the Committee provides funding of \$500,000 for watershed activities in the New York City watershed, an increase of \$100,000 over the budget request. The remaining increase above the request is \$200,000 for the Northeastern area to work with Forest Service research and university forest scientists to improve land use decision models and forest simulators which can be used by private landowners, public land managers, and educators.

The Committee encourages efforts to better target the delivery of the forest stewardship program to focus on priority resource concerns. This will allow close monitoring and quantification of on-theground accomplishments, more clearly demonstrating program outcomes.

Forest Legacy Program.—The committee recommends \$25,000,000 for the forest legacy program, a decrease of \$55,000,000 below the budget request and \$32,134,000 below the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. This allocation includes \$5,000,000 for program administration and \$20,000,000 for high priority projects.

Urban and Community Forestry.—The Committee recommends \$28,175,000 for urban and community forestry, an increase of \$700,000 above the budget request and \$3,775,000 below the fiscal year 2005 level. This increase above the request is for continued support of the long-standing and successful northeastern Pennsylvania community forestry program.

The Committee has yet to see the final, new allocation methodology and performance based granting which was directed previously for urban and community forestry. The Committee will reconsider funding for this program depending on the results of that process.

Economic Action Programs.—The Committee recommends \$7,979,000 for economic action programs, a decrease of \$11,053,000 below the fiscal year 2005 level. This program was not included in the budget request. The Committee has transferred \$5,000,000 here for biomass grants which was requested as part of the haz-

ardous fuels program. The biomass program has promise, but it is more appropriately funded within State and private forestry. Within the economic action program the Committee also recommends: (1) \$1,000,000 for the Education and Research Consortium (ERC) of Western NC environmental education effort; (2) \$329,000 for the New England value added wood products project, MA; (3) \$250,000 for the Allegheny area, PA tourism effort; (4) \$400,000 for watershed work in the New York City watershed; and (5) \$1,000,000 for economic development grants to Custer County, ID, consistent with the Central Idaho Economic Development and Recreation Act, which shall be distributed upon authorization of that Act. The funds for the ERC are for the on-going educational programs provided by the ERC, including the Pisgah Forest Institute, and for the existing efforts in Pennsylvania and northern California.

Forest Resource Information and Analysis.—The Committee recommends \$5,000,000 for forest resource information and analysis, \$343,000 above the budget request and \$42,000 above the 2005 en-

acted level.

International Program.—The Committee recommends \$6,900,000 for the international program, \$1,911,000 above the request and \$490,000 above the fiscal year 2005 level. The Committee is encouraged by the successful partnerships in the international program and the growing importance of Forest Service expertise, including international support to counter invasive pests harming our forests and efforts to conserve and protect migratory species.

Bill Language.—The Committee recommends continuing bill language deriving forest legacy funds from the Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF) and language requiring notification of the Appropriations Committees before allocating forest legacy project funds. The Committee has not included the Administration's request to also derive funding for the forest stewardship program and the urban and community forestry program from the LWCF.

NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM

Within the National Forest System (NFS), which covers 193 million acres, there are 51 Congressionally designated areas, including 20 National recreation areas, and 7 National scenic areas. The NFS includes a substantial amount of the Nation's softwood inventory. In fiscal year 2002, over 208,000 acres of national forest vegetation was managed through timber sale activities, which produced 1.8 billion board feet of timber products. The NFS hosted over 211 million visits in fiscal year 2002. The NFS includes over 133,000 miles of trails and 25,000 developed facilities, including 4,389 campgrounds, 58 major visitor centers, and about one-half of the Nation's ski-lift capacity. Wilderness areas cover 35 million acres, nearly two-thirds of the wilderness in the contiguous 48 States. The Forest Service also has major habitat management responsibilities for more than 3,000 species of wildlife and fish, and 10,000 plant species and provides important habitat and open space for over 422 threatened or endangered species. Half of the Nation's big game habitat and coldwater fish habitat, including salmon and steelhead, is located on National forest system lands and waters. In addition, in the 16 western States, where the water supply is sometimes critically short, about 55 percent of the total annual yield of water is from National forest system lands.

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$1,392,959,000 1,651,357,000 1,423,920,000
Comparison: Appropriation, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006	+30,961,000 -227,437,000

		Э р)	(dollars in thousands)	usands)	
	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Request	FY 2006 Request Recommended	Recomm	Recommended versus nacted Request
National Forest System			1	; ; ; ; ;	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Land management planning	63,167	59.057	59.057	-4,110	;
Inventory and monitoring	167,302	167,009	169,009	+1,707	+2,000
Recreation, heritage and wilderness	257,343	257,344	265,200	+7,857	+7,856
Wildlife and fish habitat management	134,749	124,951	134,800	+51	+9,849
Grazing management	48,034	44,659	49,000	996+	+4,341
Forest products	273,247	278,297	283,297	+10,050	+5,000
Vegetation and watershed management	189,614	193,774	183,700	-5,914	-10,074
Minerals and geology management	55,747	73,791	85,865	+30,118	+12,074
Landownership management	92,129	84,157	93,000	+871	+8,843
Law enforcement operations	86,014	86,326	91,000	+4,986	+4,674
Valles Caldera National Preserve	3,599	992	992	-2,607	;
Centennial of Service challenge	9,861	1	000'6	-861	000'6+
Hazardous fuels	;	281,000	:	:	-281,000
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 108-324)	12,153	1	:	-12,153	:
Total, National Forest System	1,392,959	1,651,357	1,423,920	+30,961	-227,437

The Committee recommends \$1,423,920,000 for the National forest system, a decrease of \$227,437,000 below the request and \$30,961,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. The Committee has not agreed to include hazardous fuels funding within this account; this is discussed under the wildland fire management heading. The overall NFS funding is \$53,563,000 above the request, if the transfer of \$281,000,000 for the hazardous fuels program is not counted. All funds requested for the healthy forests initiative are included.

Land Management Planning.—The Committee recommends \$59,057,000 for land management planning as requested, a decrease of \$4,110,000 below the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. The Committee expects that, as the new planning regulations are implemented, there should be cost savings.

Inventory and Monitoring.—The Committee recommends \$169,009,000 for inventory and monitoring, \$2,000,000 above the budget request and \$1,707,000 above the fiscal year 2005 level. The increase above the request is to partially offset fixed costs.

Recreation, Heritage and Wilderness.—The Committee recommends \$265,200,000 for recreation, heritage and wilderness, \$7,856,000 above the budget request and \$7,857,000 above the fiscal year 2005 level. Within the increase, the Committee has included \$100,000 for the Ocoee and Hiwasse corridor management plan and \$150,000 for a strategic trails analysis on the Cherokee NF, TN. The remainder of the increase is to partially offset fixed costs.

The Committee encourages Forest Service units to conduct thorough, public analyses of recreation services, but the Service should maintain its tradition of providing pristine backcountry and dispersed recreation, as well as developed recreation. Recreation sites should not be closed or access denied without full public involvement

The Committee also encourages the Forest Service to maintain adequate public and scientific services at the Mt. St. Helens National Volcanic monument.

Wildlife and Fish Habitat Management.—The Committee recommends \$134,800,000 for wildlife and fish habitat management, an increase of \$9,849,000 above the budget request and \$51,000 above the fiscal year 2005 level. The increase above the request is to maintain existing programs. The Committee notes the importance of Forest Service habitat management for the Nation's fish and wildlife, and to many partners. Many habitat projects fulfill common watershed improvement and hazardous fuels reduction goals.

Grazing Management.—The Committee recommends \$49,000,000 for grazing management, \$4,341,000 above the budget request and \$966,000 above the fiscal year 2005 funding level. The increase above the request is to maintain existing programs.

Forest Products.—The Committee recommends \$283,297,000 for forest products, \$5,000,000 above the budget request and \$10,050,000 above the fiscal year 2005 funding level. The increase above the request includes a \$500,000 increase for the base program on the National Forests in North Carolina. The remainder of the increase should be used to maintain existing programs.

Vegetation and Watershed Management.—The Committee recommends \$183,700,000 for vegetation and watershed management, a decrease of \$10,074,000 below the request and a decrease of \$5,914,000 below the fiscal year 2005 funding level. The Committee has agreed to the request to move funding for environmental compliance and protection projects to the minerals and geology management program. This reduces the vegetation and watershed management account \$24,241,000 below the enacted level. Therefore, not counting the environmental compliance program, the recommended funding level for vegetation and watershed management is \$18,327,000 above the enacted level.

The budget request includes an increase above the enacted level of \$21,807,000 for improving and establishing forest vegetation; instead the Committee recommendation agrees to increase these activities by \$11,733,000 above the enacted level, a reduction of \$10,074,000 from the request. The other subactivities are funded at

the requested levels.

The Committee notes the recent GAO report which indicates that the Forest Service has inadequate data to accurately quantify its reforestation needs. The Committee has provided a substantial funding increase for these activities in fiscal year 2006, but the Service needs to standardize guidance for reporting data on reforestation and timber stand improvement needs and improve the data's accuracy before additional increases can be considered. In addition, the Committee expects the Forest Service to provide clear presentations of reforestation needs and accomplishments in future budget justifications, including the specific use and distribution of the mandatory funding from the Reforestation Fund, as well as partner efforts, such as the new American Forests initiative.

Minerals and Geology Management.—The Committee recommends \$85,865,000 for minerals and geology management, an increase of \$12,074,000 above the request and \$30,118,000 above the 2005 funding level. Most of this large increase above the enacted level is due to the transfer of the environmental compliance and restoration subactivites out of the vegetation and watershed activity. The Committee recommendation includes the requested \$25,426,000 for these subactivities which were not funded in min-

erals and geology in fiscal year 2005.

The budget request includes large reductions which are ill-advised for the administration of mineral operations and for the geological services programs. The Committee recommendation fully funds the requested budget for minerals and geology management, plus increases to the request of \$7,876,000 for the administration of mineral operations, \$1,000,000 for processing mineral applications, and \$3,198,000 for the management of geologic resources and hazards. This results in \$1,000,000 increases above the enacted for each of these three subactivites.

Land Ownership Management.—The Committee recommends \$93,000,000 for land ownership management, \$8,843,000 above the budget request and \$871,000 above the 2005 funding level. The increase above the request is to maintain existing programs. The Committee expects the Forest Service to maintain the full-time lands team to work on the Pacific Crest Trail project and other similar projects.

Law Enforcement Operations.—The Committee recommends \$91,000,000 for law enforcement operations, \$4,674,000 above the budget request and \$4,986,000 above the 2005 funding level. The increases above the request include a total of \$1,000,000 for antidrug activities on the Daniel Boone NF, KY, and \$700,000 for work on the Mark Twain NF, MO. The remainder of the increase above the request is for general program delivery.

The Committee agrees with the concept displayed in special exhibit 15 of the budget justification regarding making all programs and activities, other than emergency appropriations, contribute to indirect cost pools. Bill language is included under Forest Service administrative provisions to accomplish a transfer for law enforcement on a one-time basis. The Forest Service should continue this equitable treatment of programs for cost pools in subsequent years.

Centennial of Service Challenge.—The Committee notes that this challenge cost share effort begun in fiscal year 2005 appears to be very successful. More than 230 individual partner projects have been funded in all regions of the Nation. These efforts will bring in more than \$20,000,000 in partner contributions which will enhance the national forest system and improve public services. Therefore, the Committee has provided \$9,000,000 to continue this program, which was not included in the request, a reduction of \$861,000 from the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. These funds should be used in addition to, and in a complimentary fashion with, other challenge cost share programs included in the budget request. The Forest Service should continue to display data on these efforts in subsequent budget justifications.

Other.—The Committee has provided \$992,000, as requested, for management of the Valles Caldera National Preserve, NM, a reduction of \$2,607,000 from the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. The Committee notes that, if there are specific infrastructure needs, such funding should be requested under the capital improvement and maintenance appropriation and compete with other Forest

The Committee recommendation includes the full funding requested by the Administration for the Quincy Library Group project in California and for the Land Between the Lakes National Recreation Area, KY and TN.

WILDLAND FIRE MANAGEMENT

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Appropriation enacted, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006 Recommended, 2006	\$2,098,487,000 1,444,267,000 1,790,506,000
Comparison: Appropriation, 2005	-307,981,000
Budget estimate, 2006	+346.239.000

Wildland Fire Management Preparedness	1 1 1 1 1 1 4 4 4 1	Request	Recommended	Kecommer Enacted	xecommended versus nacted Request
PreparednessFire suppression operations			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
Fire suppression operations	676,470	676,014	691,014	+14,544	+15,000
Additional appropriations, Title IV	648,859 394,443	700,492	700,492	+51,633 -394,443	1 1
Subtotal, Fire suppression operations 1	1,043,302	700,492	700,492	-342,810	
Other operations Hazardous fuels	262,539	!	286.000	+23, 461	+286.000
Rehabilitation	12,819	2,000	9,281	-3,538	+7,281
Fire plan research and development	21,719	16,885	21,719	;	+4,834
Joint fire sciences program	7,889	;	8,000	+111	+8,000
Forest health management (federal lands)	14,792	6,974	15,000	+208	+8,026
Forest health management (co-op lands)	9,861	4,598	10,000	+139	+5,402
State fire assistance	40,179	29,415	41,000	+821	+11,585
Volunteer fire assistance	7,889	7,889	8,000	+111	+111
Subtotal, Other operations	377,687	67,761	399,000	+21,313	+331,239
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 108-324)	1,028	1	:	-1,028	1
Funded in Defense Bill (P.L. 108-287) (sec. 8098)		1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	13 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 -	(-30,000)	, II
Total, Wildland Fire Management		1,444,267		-307.981	+346.239

The Committee recommends \$1,790,506,000 for wildland fire management. This is \$346,239,000 above the budget request and \$307,981,000 below the enacted level, which also included certain emergency appropriations as well as \$394,443,000 for additional, urgent wildfire suppression funds. The hazardous fuels funding is retained under this heading as has been past practice, as discussed below. Hazardous fuels funding was requested in the National forest system account. Increases above the request for other wildfire management activities total \$61,239,000. The overall Committee recommendation for wildland fire management is \$117,490,000 above the 2005 funding level, not counting the urgent wildfire suppression funds and the emergency funds.

The Committee recommendation supports the direction provided by the national fire plan and the healthy forests initiative. All funds requested for the healthy forests initiative are included. In addition, funds are provided for other essential national fire plan related activities which suffered reductions in the request, including forest health management, State fire assistance, fire plan research and development, and restoration and rehabilitation.

Preparedness.—The Committee Wildfire recommends \$691,014,000 for wildfire preparedness, an increase of \$15,000,000 above the budget request and \$14,544,000 above the enacted level. The funding requested within preparedness for the joint fire science program, \$8,000,000, is included under other wildfire operations as has been the past practice and agreement. The Committee sees no compelling reason to include any other funding activities in the preparedness and suppression budget lines, and the Committee directs the Administration not to request funding for joint fire science under preparedness. After transfer of joint fire science, the overall preparedness funding level is \$23,000,000 above the request, which helps offset fixed cost increases. The Committee understands that it is imperative to maintain firefighting readiness so that initial attack has a greater chance of putting fires out while they are small, less destructive, and less expensive to suppress.

The Committee is concerned that the allocation of funds between preparedness and suppression operations may not maintain the levels of readiness needed for public safety that were established in fiscal years 2003 and 2004. The Committee believes that decisive action is necessary to manage escalating fire suppression costs. An important component of reducing such costs is maintaining initial attack capability so that more fires can be contained before they escape and cause serious loss of life and property as well as natural resource damage. Accordingly, the Committee directs the Forest Service to analyze current readiness levels to determine whether maintaining preparedness resources in the field at a level not less than that established in fiscal year 2004 will, based on the best information available, result in lower overall firefighting costs. If the Forest Service makes such a determination, the Committee directs the Forest Service to adjust the levels for preparedness and suppression funding accordingly and report on these adjustments to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations. The Secretary of Agriculture should advise the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations in writing prior to the decision.

Wildfire Suppression Operations.—The Committee recommends \$700,492,000 for wildfire suppression operations as requested, an increase of \$51,633,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted funding level. This funding level is \$45,560,000 above the 10-year average

of actual wildfire suppression expenditures.

The Committee remains concerned about the high costs of large fire incidents. The Forest Service, along with the Department of the Interior, should ensure that cost containment is an important priority when suppressing wildland fires. Therefore, the Committee directs the Forest Service and the Department of the Interior to continue reports directed previously and to continue to examine, using independent panels, any individual wildfire incident which results in expenses greater than \$10,000,000. The Committee directs the Forest Service not to follow the second and fifth bulleted proposals in the appendix to the budget of the U.S. government under the fire operations heading. The Committee insists that a national, interdepartmental approach, with full cooperation of States and other partners, is needed to improve the fire program. The cooperative spirit would be disrupted by requiring regions to hold back funding which may be urgently needed for suppression activities elsewhere in the Nation as instructed in the budget appendix.

The Committee has included bill language which requires the Forest Service to treat wildfire suppression like other non-emergency appropriations with regard to indirect cost pools which support agency administration. All programs in the agency should pay the full cost of operations, including overhead. Accordingly, the Committee directs the Forest Service to charge appropriate cost pool expenses to wildfire suppression in a manner commensurate with the method for assessing other funds and to continue this procedure hereafter. The Committee has provided similar instructions for the law enforcement program. This concept was displayed in

special exhibit 15 of the budget justification.

Hazardous Fuels.—The Committee has provided \$286,000,000 for hazardous fuels reduction work, \$5,000,000 above the budget request (which was in the NFS account) and an increase of \$23,461,000 above the fiscal year 2005 level. The budget request also included \$5,000,000 for biomass grants out of the hazardous fuels funding. The Committee has transferred that funding and program to the economic action program in the State and private forestry account, where it is more appropriately operated. Thus, the overall hazardous fuels program funding is actually \$10,000,000 over the requested level. The recommendation includes no less than \$5,000,000 above the requested funding level to treat the urgent and dangerous situation on the San Bernardino NF, CA, caused by drought and a catastrophic bark beetle outbreak.

The recommendation retains the authority to use up to \$15,000,000 on adjacent, non-Federal lands when hazard reduction

activities are planned on national forest system lands.

The Committee has included new bill language which allows the Chief of the Forest Service to transfer hazardous fuels funding into the national forest system account if, at the Chief's sole discretion, it will be advantageous to the government. If a decision is made to make this transfer, the Forest Service shall notify the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations 30 days in advance of the

transfer. Additionally, 90 days after the end of the fiscal year, the Forest Service shall submit a report to the Committees which details and explains the benefits of this transfer, including steps taken to ensure close coordination of projects with the Department of the Interior and the States, as well as steps taken to help implement completed community wildfire protection plans. Included in this report, the Forest Service, in conjunction with the Department of the Interior, should detail the methods used to prioritize fuels projects. A common project prioritization method should be used by both departments to assure the American public that all funds, regardless of funding source, are used for the highest priority fuels reduction projects.

Rehabilitation.—The Committee has restored \$9,281,000 for the burned area rehabilitation and restoration program, \$7,281,000 above the budget request and \$3,538,000 below the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. As presented in the budget request, the Committee expects the Forest Service, in close partnership with the Department of the Interior, to continue the native plant materials program at the fiscal year 2005 level. The increase above the request

is for general program delivery.

Fire Plan Research and Development.—The Committee recommendation includes \$21,719,000 for research and development, \$4,834,000 above the budget request and equal to the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. The increase above the request is to support important research efforts nationwide. Considering the multi-billion dollar efforts supported for Federal and State wildfire management and hazardous fuels treatments, it is imperative that research and development be retained to guide future applications and develop technologies which may help reduce costs, save lives, and protect natural resources.

Joint Fire Sciences Program.—The Committee has provided \$8,000,000 for the joint fire science program, an increase of \$111,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. The budget request included funding for this important program in the preparedness activity, as discussed above. This program is producing important scientific and technical information, often in collaboration with the Nation's forestry schools, that is needed to support the large effort concerning hazardous fuels and other fire management issues.

Forest Health Management, Federal Lands and Co-op Lands.— The Committee has provided \$25,000,000 for the forest health portion of the national fire plan, including \$15,000,000 for Federal lands and \$10,000,000 for cooperative efforts with the States and others. This funding level is \$13,428,000 above the request and \$347,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. The increase above the request is for general program delivery. This funding should be used in conjunction with the similar funding in State and private forestry to continue the more integrated approach to forest health, including prevention, and restoration and rehabilitation of forests and rangelands. The Committee expects the Forest Service to focus on major problems, such as southern pine beetles, western mountain bark beetles, adelgids, and other pests and pathogens, as well as invasive plants, which harm forests and subsequently increase wildfire hazards.

The Committee is concerned that the Administration does not recognize forest health management as a vital component of the healthy forests initiative and another tool in reducing risks of catastrophic wildfires. This work is an essential part of the national fire plan, and is vital to the success of the healthy forests initiative as well.

State and Volunteer Fire Assistance.—The Committee has provided \$41,000,000 for State fire assistance, \$11,585,000 above the request and \$821,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. The Committee does not understand why the Administration chose to dramatically cut this successful cooperative conservation and protection program in its request. The program is essential to maintain and enhance the partnership between State foresters and State fire agencies and the Federal wildfire management enterprise. The increase above the request is for general program delivery and \$500,000 is for implementation of community wildfire protection plans in the North Lake Tahoe area. The Committee expects the Forest Service to support and expand the Fire Safe Councils in California and that the Forest Service will use this innovative program as a model for other States. State fire assistance funds should also be used preferentially to support community wildfire protection planning and implementation.

The Committee has also included \$8,000,000 for volunteer fire assistance, an increase of \$111,000 above the request and the enacted level. This brings the volunteer fire funding to a total of \$14,000,000 including funding in State and private forestry.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND MAINTENANCE

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$565,516,000
Budget estimate, 2006	380,792,000
Recommended, 2006	468,260,000
Comparison:	, ,
Appropriation, 2005	-97,256,000
Budget estimate, 2006	+87,468,000

	FY 2005 Enacted	(do FY 2006 Request	(dollars in thousands) 06 st Recommended E		Recommended versus nacted Request
Capital Improvement and Maintenance					
Facilities Maintenance	77,657 121,112	51,522 66,194	78,000 75,761	+343	+26,478
Subtotal, Facilities	198,769	117,716	153,761	-45,008	+36,045
Maintenance	148,066 78,330	131,357 58,202	148,066 77,433		+16,709
Subtotal, Roads	226,396	189,559	225,499	768-	+35,940
Trails Maintenance	41,823 33,884	37,540 26,252	42,000 34,000	+177 +116	+4,460
Subtotal, Trails	75,707	63,792	000'92	+293	+12,208
Infrastructure improvement	13,829	9,725	13,000	-829	+3,275
Subtotal, Capital improvement and maintenance	514,701	380,792	468,260	-46,441	+87,468

Recommended versus nacted Request		;	:	:	:	+87,468
sands) Recomme Enacted	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	-9,195	-33,204	-8,416	(-10,000)	-97,256 +87,468
(dollars in thousands) FY 2006 Request Recommended E	1	:	!		;	
(do FY 2006 Request	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	!	: : :	:	;	565,516 380,792 468,260
FY 2005 Enacted		9,195	33,204	8,416	(10,000)	565,516
	Emergency appropriations (P.L. 108-324):	Facilities	Roads	Trails	Funded in Defense Bill (P.L. 108-287) (sec. 8098)	Total, Capital Improvement and Maintenance

The Committee recommends \$468,260,000 for capital improvement and maintenance, \$87,468,000 above the request and \$97,256,000 below the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. In order to more clearly display and track Forest Service maintenance funding, it has been separated out as separate budget activities this year within the former facilities, roads, and trails activities.

The Committee notes that the Forest Service has a huge backlog in deferred maintenance and failed roads and buildings all over the Nation. However, the budget request this year has huge reductions in maintenance and construction which are unacceptable. Portions of these reductions were to be made up with new legislative procedures.

The Committee notes that no legislative proposal has been received from the Administration and it is unlikely that substantial, additional receipts will be available for fiscal year 2006. Therefore, the Committee recommends an increase of \$47,647,000 to ensure that critical facility, road and trail maintenance funding is maintained close to the fiscal year 2005 levels. The Committee believes that the budget should not assume the use of receipts that are dependent on the enactment of subsequent legislation unless such

legislation is under active consideration by the Congress.

The Administration's concept is to: (1) charge all accounts an across-the-board assessment for facility maintenance, further adding to the indirect cost pools which have hampered delivery of funds to on-the-ground programs; and (2) increase the authority to sell unneeded facilities, without creating inholdings, to generate funds to use for basic maintenance. This Committee notes that it previously started a program to sell unneeded facilities, and this has been a successful pilot to date. However, it has yet to generate substantial sums, and in any case, increased sales authority would not provide immediately needed funds for routine facility maintenance. In some portions of the Nation the Forest Service has excess facilities, and the Service should use all deliberate speed to dispose of these facilities to the benefit of the government. Title IV includes language extending the facility sale authority.

The Committee has used its scarce resources to bring maintenance funding back close to the enacted levels, while accepting a 37% decrease from the fiscal year 2005 enacted level for facilities

construction.

The Committee expects to continue to receive regular updates, and a continued display in the budget justification, on progress in addressing the huge backlog of deferred maintenance and repair, especially as it relates to the activities funded through the road and trails fund, the pilot conveyance authority and the infrastructure improvement funds.

Facilities.—The Committee recommends \$153,761,000 for facilities maintenance and capital improvement, \$36,045,000 above the budget request and \$45,008,000 below the fiscal year 2005 level. The Committee recommendation for facility maintenance is \$343,000 above the enacted and \$26,478,000 above the request. The Committee has funded the capital improvement request with the following changes:

State	Project	Change from request:
CA	San Bernardino NF HQ	\$2,000,000

State	Project	Change from request:
CA	Redwood Sci. lab seismic retrofit	2,000,000
CA	Meeks Bay campground	778,000
CA	Turtle Rock Fire Station relocation	1,200,000
CO	Cayton campground	454,000
FL	Clearwater/Lake Dorr	800,000
ID	Driggs warehouse replacement	800,000
NC	Cheoah Ranger Station	900,000
NC	Santeetlah Lake Area development	1,500,000
OR/WA	Region 6 facility disposal	1,000,000
PA	Allegheny NF recreation & admin. sites	2,600,000
TN	Cherokee NF recreation and admin. sites	2,500,000
WI	Forest Products Lab modernization	-8,000,000
WY/C0	Medicine Bow-Routt storage consolidation	1,035,000

The Committee notes that it has reduced the funding for the construction of new buildings for important wood products research at the Forest Products Lab (FPL), WI. The Committee recommendation includes \$2,000,000 to conduct detailed plans for this effort. As currently proposed, this project will cost over \$40,000,000 and require construction of several new scientific buildings. The Committee supports wood products research, and greatly admires the outstanding record established by the FPL, but it has determined that the Forest Service needs to do additional comprehensive planning and partnering before undertaking such a large effort. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Forest Service, along with its partners and research users, to establish an integrated plan for agency-wide wood products utilization research, including the modernization of the Forest Products lab, and include strategic plans, with staffing cost estimates and a commitment from Administration leadership to pursue adequate staffing for any new facilities which may be needed.

Roads.—The Committee recommends \$225,499,000 for road maintenance and capital improvement, an increase of \$35,940,000 above the budget request and \$897,000 below the fiscal year 2005 level. The Committee has maintained the road decommissioning authority at \$15,000,000. The Committee notes that at even these funding levels, the existence of failed roads continues to increase. The inventory of roads which can be used by passenger cars declines every year, and absent some new infusion of funds, such as from the highway trust fund, continued deterioration is assured.

Trails.—The Committee recommends \$76,000,000 for trails maintenance and capital improvement, \$12,208,000 above the budget request and \$293,000 above the fiscal year 2005 level. The Committee directs continuation of the increased funding amounts provided in fiscal year 2005 for maintenance of the national scenic and historic trails. In addition, within the increase above the request for trails construction, the Committee has included: \$500,000 for construction at the Florida National Scenic Trail; \$1,000,000 for construction at the Pacific Crest National Scenic trail; \$250,000 for the Rio Sabana trail, PR; and \$750,000 for trail construction at the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie, IL. The remainder of the increase above the request is for general program delivery. In addition, the Forest Service should maintain a full time Pacific Crest Trail (PCT) manager; provide funds to work with the Pacific Crest Trail Association; and aid PCT trail relocation reviews. The Forest

Service should make every effort to work with volunteer groups, which contribute work, time, and money to enhance Federal resources.

Infrastructure Improvement.—The Committee recommends \$13,000,000 for infrastructure improvement, \$3,275,000 above the budget request and \$829,000 below the fiscal year 2005 enacted level. The Committee has included \$2,000,000 for the region 6 fish passage program.

LAND ACQUISITION

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$61,007,000
Budget estimate, 2006	40,000,000
Recommended, 2006	15,000,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	-46,007,000
Budget estimate, 2006	$-25,\!000,\!000$

The Committee recommends \$15,000,000 for land acquisition, a decrease of \$25,000,000 below the budget request and \$46,007,000 below the enacted level. This amount includes \$13,000,000 for acquisition management, \$500,000 for cash equalization, and \$1,500,000 for inholdings.

ACQUISITION OF LANDS FOR NATIONAL FORESTS SPECIAL ACTS

Appropriation enacted, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006 Recommended, 2006	\$1,054,000 1,069,000 1,069,000
Comparison:	, ,
Appropriation, 2005	+15,000
Budget estimate, 2006	0

The Committee recommends \$1,069,000 for acquisition of lands for National forests, special acts, as requested. These funds are used pursuant to several special acts, which authorize appropriations from the receipts of specified National forests for the purchase of lands to minimize erosion and flood damage to critical watersheds needing soil stabilization and vegetative cover.

ACQUISITION OF LANDS TO COMPLETE LAND EXCHANGES

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$231,000
Budget estimate, 2006	234,000
Recommended, 2006	234,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	+3,000
Budget estimate, 2006	0

The Committee recommends an indefinite appropriation estimated to be \$234,000, as requested, for acquisition of lands to complete land exchanges under the Act of December 4, 1967 (16 U.S.C. 484a). Under the Act, deposits made by public school districts or public school authorities to provide for cash equalization of certain land exchanges can be appropriated to acquire similar lands suitable for National forest system purposes in the same State as the National forest lands conveyed in the exchanges.

RANGE BETTERMENT FUND

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$3,021,000 2,963,000 2,963,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	-58,000
Budget estimate, 2006	0

The Committee recommends an indefinite appropriation estimated to be \$2,963,000, as requested, for the range betterment fund, to be derived from grazing receipts from the National forests (Public Law 94–579, as amended) and to be used for range rehabilitation, protection, and improvements including seeding, reseeding, fence construction, weed control, water development, and fish and wildlife habitat enhancement in 16 western States.

GIFTS, DONATIONS AND BEQUESTS FOR FOREST AND RANGELAND RESEARCH

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$64,000
Budget estimate, 2006	64,000
Recommended, 2006	64,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	0
Bûdget estimate, 2006	0

The Committee recommends \$64,000, the budget estimate, for gifts, donations and bequests for forest and rangeland research. Authority for the program is contained in Public Law 95–307 (16 U.S.C. 1643, section 4(b)). Amounts appropriated and not needed for current operations may be invested in public debt securities. Both the principal and earnings from the receipts are available to the Forest Service.

MANAGEMENT OF NATIONAL FOREST LANDS FOR SUBSISTENCE USES

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$5,879,000 5,467,000
Recommended, 2006	5,467,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	-412,000
Budget estimate, 2006	0

The Committee recommends \$5,467,000, the same as the budget request and \$412,000 below the enacted level, for the management of national forest lands for subsistence uses in Alaska.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS, FOREST SERVICE

The Committee has continued administrative provisions contained in previous years. The Committee has also continued the wildland fire transfer authority, which allows use of funds from other accounts available to the Forest Service during wildfire emergencies when other wildfire emergency funds are not available. As was the case last year, the first transfer of funds into the wildland fire management account shall include unobligated funds from the land acquisition and the forest legacy accounts.

The Committee limits funding for the working capital fund of the Department of Agriculture to the \$72,646,000 requested in the budget. As discussed under the law enforcement program heading, the Committee has included bill language allowing a transfer of funds consistent with the budget request special exhibit 15.

The Committee continues the authority for transfers to the National Forest Foundation and the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation. The Committee notes that it is acceptable for these foundations to make grants to Federal recipients, including Forest Service offices. The Committee allows \$250,000 in administrative funds to be used by the National Forest Foundation, but encourages the Foundation to work to be independent of this Federal administrative funding support like the National Park and National Fish and Wildlife Foundations.

The Committee remains very concerned about how the Forest Service has implemented the "Competitive Sourcing" initiative. This effort was mismanaged in the past. Accordingly, this issue is addressed once again in bill language, included under Title IV—General Provisions, limiting the use of funds for competitive sourcing efforts and providing certain other guidance. Competitive sourcing efforts may continue, but the cost is limited to \$2,500,000,

a 25% increase over the fiscal year 2005 limitation.

The Committee is concerned that the Forest Service has an inadequate ability to obtain and produce reliable performance data that the Congress and the public can utilize to assess management effectiveness. The Committee notes the recent USDA-OIG audit (March 2005) that assessed the agency's long-term challenges regarding the results act, and presented findings on the lack of adequate performance data. The Committee directs the Forest Service to develop and implement a system of internal controls to ensure improved agency performance data in fiscal year 2006 and to include a presentation on this improvement as part of the next budget justification. As part of this effort, the Chief should implement policies that hold agency line officers accountable for reporting more accurate performance data in 2006 and establishing an independent review process to validate the reported information. Measurable indicators should be maintained for line officer progress on programs and accomplishments. This information should be readily available to Congress and the public.

The Committee recognizes that the Forest Service is engaged in two large efforts to improve administrative functions through detailed and expensive business process reengineering of financial services and human resources. The Committee supports efforts to improve in these areas, but it is concerned that the efforts may not be adequately documented and open to public scrutiny. Therefore, the Committee directs the Forest Service to provide quarterly reports on business process reengineering efforts and transmit these to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations and post them in an easily found location on the agency web-site. The Committee expects the Forest Service, working closely with the Department of Agriculture, to provide adequate Congressional notification at key benchmarks in these processes, and directs the Forest Service to document the funding requirements and accomplishments in

subsequent budget justifications.

The Committee recently completed a detailed review of the use of reserve fund accounts by the land managing agencies. The Committee found that the Forest Service has generally had acceptable use of this fund. The Committee directs the Forest Service to notify the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations before making allocations in excess of \$500,000 from this account. The Com-

mittee also requests that future budget justification displays on this fund include a complete presentation of spending from the fund during the most recent complete fiscal year, with a brief explanation why the uses were indeed important, unanticipated, and appropriate.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE

INDIAN HEALTH SERVICES

The provision of Federal health services to Indians is based on a special relationship between Indian tribes and the U.S. Government first set forth in the 1830s by the U.S. Supreme Court under Chief Justice John Marshall. Numerous treaties, statutes, constitutional provisions, and international law have reconfirmed this relationship. Principal among these is the Snyder Act of 1921, which provides the basic authority for most Indian health services provided by the Federal Government to American Indians and Alaska Natives. The Indian Health Service (IHS) provides direct health care services in 36 hospitals, 59 health centers, 2 school health centers, and 49 health stations. Tribes and tribal groups, through contracts and compacts with the IHS, operate 13 hospitals, 172 health centers, 3 school health centers, and 260 health stations (including 176 Alaska Native village clinics). The IHS, tribes, and tribal groups also operate 9 regional youth substance abuse treatment centers and 2,252 units of staff quarters.

Appropriation enacted, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006 Recommended, 2006	\$2,596,492,000 2,732,298,000 2,732,298,000
Comparison: Appropriation, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006	+135,806,000

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

	, ,	op)	(dollars in thousands)		
	Enacted	Request	rr Zooo Request Recommended	Enacted Reques	ed versus Request
Indian Health Services					
Clinical Services IHS and tribal health delivery					
Hospital and health clinic programs	1,289,418	1,359,541	1,359,541	+70,123	:
Mental health program	55,023	19,489	119,489	+10,466	
Alcohol and substance abuse program	139,073	145,336	145,336	+6,263	:
Contract care	480,318	507,021	507,021	+26,703	:
Catastrophic health emergency fund	17,750	18,000	18,000	+250	:
Subtotal, Clinical Services	2,090,642	2,208,715	2,208,715	+118,073	
Preventive Health	1	;	:		
Public health nursing	45,015	49,690	49,690	+4,675	!
Health education	12,429	13,787	13,787	+1,358	;
Community health representatives program	51,365	53,737	53,737	+2,372	!
Immunization (Alaska)	1,572	1,645	1,645	+73	;
Subtotal, Preventive Health	110,381	118,859	118,859	+8,478	; ; ; ; ; ; ;
Urban health projects	31,816	33,233	33,233	+1,417	;
Indian health professions	30,392	31,503	31,503	+1,111	1
Tribal management	2,343	2,430	2,430	+87	:
Direct operations	61,649	63,123	63,123	+1,474	:
Self-governance	5,586	5,752	5,752	+166	:
Contract support costs	263,683	268,683	268,683	+5,000	1

	FY 2005	(dc FY 2006	(dollars in thousands)		Recommended versus
Enacted Kequest Kecommended Enacted Kequest	Enacted	Kequest	Kequest Kecommended	Enacted	Kequest
Medicare/Medicaid Reimbursements					
Hospital and clinic accreditation (Est. collecting). (598,662)	(598,662)	(648,208)	(648,208) (648,208)	(+49,546)	;
Total, Indian Health Services	2,596,492	2,732,298	2,732,298	+135,806	:
(Non-contract services)	(2,098,424)	(2,207,277)	(2,207,277) (2,207,277)	(+108,853)	
(Contract care)	(480,318)	(507,021)	(507,021)	(+26,703)	1
(Catastrophic health emergency fund)	(17,750)	(18,000)	(18,000)	(+250)	;

The Committee recommends \$2,732,298,000 for Indian health services, the same as the budget request and \$135,806,000 above

the fiscal year 2005 level.

The Committee has retained the requested operational increases in the health services programs, including the increases to mental health and substance abuse programs. The Committee suggests that the IHS direct some of the increased funding to combating youth violence and illicit drug problems in Indian country. The Committee urges the Administration to continue to request increases in these areas in future budget requests. The Committee reiterates that all program funding under this appropriation is to be treated as recurring programs in future years unless expressly stated to the contrary.

Bill Language.—Language is included under Indian Health Services to ensure that all American Indian and Alaska Native children with disabilities have access to the services afforded to them through the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. The Act provides for the creation of a comprehensive system of coordinated services to ensure adequate health and human services for all American Indian and Alaska Native children with disabilities enrolled in Bureau-funded schools and this provision provides the mechanism for identifying these children to ensure that all eligible

children have access to such services.

INDIAN HEALTH FACILITIES

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$388,574,000
Budget estimate, 2006	315,668,000
Recommended, 2006	370,774,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	-17,800,000
Budget estimate, 2006	+55,106,000

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

) (qc	(dollars in thousands)	usands)	
	FY 2005	FY 2006		Recomme	Recommended versus
	Enacted	Request	Request Recommended	Enacted	Request
Indian Health Facilities					
Maintenance and improvement	49,204	49,904	54,904	+5,700	+5,000
Sanitation facilities	91,767	93,519	93,519	+1,752	;
Construction facilities	88,597	3,326	50,132	-38,465	+46,806
Facilities and environmental health support	141,669	150,959	150,959	+9,290	:
Equipment	17,337	17,960	21,260	+3,923	+3,300
Total. Indian Health Facilities	388,574	315,668	370 774	-17 800	+55.106

The Committee recommends \$370,774,000 for Indian health facilities, \$17,800,000 below the fiscal year 2005 level and \$55,106,000 above the budget request. Changes to the request include increases of \$5,000,000 for maintenance and improvements, \$46,806,000 for health care facilities construction and \$3,300,000 for medical equipment.

The Committee agrees to the following distribution of health care facilities construction funds:

Project	2006 request	Committee recommendation
Kayenta, AZ Health Center	0	\$3,878,000
San Carlos, AZ Health Center	0	6,139,000
Fort Belknap, MT quarters	3,326,000	3,326,000
Southern California Regional Treatment Center	0	11,242,000
Northern California Regional Treatment Center	0	11,547,000
Small Ambulatory Facilities	0	10,000,000
Dental Facilities Program	0	4,000,000
Total	3,326,000	50,132,000

The Committee agrees to the following:

1. The Service should continue to apply a cap of \$2,000,000 for any single small ambulatory facility project and most, if not all, projects should be funded substantially below that level.

2. The increase for equipment should be focused on replacing out-

dated medical equipment and should remain in the base budget. The Committee urges the Service and the Office of Management and Budget to request increases in this activity. Existing medical equipment in Indian country is rapidly becoming outdated and

needs are increasing as more hospitals and clinics are built and expanded.

3. Funds for sanitation facilities for new and renovated housing should be used to serve housing provided by the Bureau of Indian Affairs housing improvement program, new homes, and homes renovated to like-new condition. Onsite sanitation facilities may also be provided for homes occupied by the disabled or sick who have physician referrals indicating an immediate medical need for adequate sanitation facilities at home.

4. Sanitation funds should not be used to provide sanitation facilities for new homes funded by the housing programs of the Department of Housing and Urban Development. The HUD should

provide any needed funds to the IHS for that purpose.

5. The IHS may use up to \$5,000,000 in sanitation funding for projects to clean up and replace open dumps on Indian lands pursuant to the Indian Lands Open Dump Cleanup Act of 1994.

NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SCIENCES

The National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, an agency within the National Institutes of Health, was authorized in section 311(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 to conduct certain research and worker training activities associated with the Nation's Hazardous Substance Superfund program.

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$79,842,000
Budget estimate, 2006	80,289,000
Recommended, 2006	80,289,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	+447,000
Budget estimate, 2006	0

The Committee recommends \$80,289,000, the budget request, for the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, an increase of \$447,000 above the fiscal year 2005 level.

AGENCY FOR TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND DISEASE REGISTRY

TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL PUBLIC HEALTH

The Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), an agency of the Public Health Service, was created in section 104(i) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980. The ATSDR's primary mission is to conduct surveys and screening programs to determine relationships between exposure to toxic substances and illness. Other activities include the maintenance and annual update of a list of hazardous substances most commonly found at Superfund sites, the preparation of toxicological profiles on each such hazardous substance, consultations on health issues relating to exposure to hazardous or toxic substances, and the development and implementation of certain research activities related to ATSDR's mission.

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$76,041,000
Budget estimate, 2006	76,024,000
Recommended, 2006	76,024,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	-17,000
Budget estimate, 2006	0

The Committee recommends \$76,024,000, the budget request, for toxic substances and environmental public health, a decrease of \$17,000 below the fiscal year 2005 level.

The Committee expects the Agency to provide periodic updates on its study of the health effects of naturally occurring asbestos, which is due to the Committee by September 30, 2006.

OTHER RELATED AGENCIES

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AND OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) was established by Congress under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA). The Office of Environmental Quality (OEQ), which provides professional and administrative staff for the Council, was established in the Environmental Quality Improvement Act of 1970. The Council on Environmental Policy has statutory responsibility under NEPA for environmental oversight of all Federal agencies and leads interagency decision-making of all environmental matters.

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$3,258,000
Budget estimate, 2006	2,717,000
Recommended, 2006	2,717,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	-541,000
Budget estimate, 2006	0

The Committee recommends \$2,717,000 for the Council on Environmental Quality and Office of Environmental Quality, the same as the budget request and \$541,000 below the enacted level. The Committee commends this office for achieving administrative savings.

CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board was authorized by the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 to investigate accidental releases of certain chemical substances resulting in, or that may cause, serious injury, death, substantial property damage, or serious adverse effects on human health. The Board became operational in fiscal year 1998.

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$9,424,000
Budget estimate, 2006	9,200,000
Recommended, 2006	9,200,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	-224,000
Budget estimate, 2006	0

The Committee recommends \$9,200,000, the budget request, for salaries and expenses of the Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board, a decrease of \$224,000 below the fiscal year 2005 level.

OFFICE OF NAVAJO AND HOPI INDIAN RELOCATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The dispute between the Hopi and Navajo tribes is centuries-old. The Hopi trace their origin on the land back to the Anasazi race whose presence is recorded back to 1150 A.D. Later in the 16th century Navajo settlement led to the isolation of the Hopi Reservation as an island within the area occupied by the Navajo reservation. In 1882, President Arthur issued an Executive Order, which granted the Hopi a 2.5 million acre reservation to be occupied by the Hopi and such other Indians as the Secretary of the Interior saw fit to resettle there. Intertribal problems arose between the Navajo tribe and the Hopi tribe revolving around the question of the ownership of the land as well as cultural differences between the two tribes. Efforts to resolve these conflicts were not successful and led Congress to pass legislation in 1958, which authorized a lawsuit to determine ownership of the land. When attempts at mediation of the dispute as specified in an Act passed in 1974 failed, the district court in Arizona partitioned the Joint Use Area equally between the Navajo and Hopi tribes under a decree that has required the relocation of members of both tribes. Most of those to be relocated are Navajo living on the Hopi partitioned land.

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$4,930,000
Budget estimate, 2006	8,601,000
Recommended, 2006	8,601,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	+3,671,000
Budget estimate, 2006	0

The Committee recommends \$8,601,000 for salaries and expenses of the Office of Navajo and Hopi Indian Relocation, the same as the budget request and \$3,671,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level.

Institute of American Indian and Alaska Native Culture and Arts Development

PAYMENT TO THE INSTITUTE

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$5,916,000
Budget estimate, 2006	6,300,000
Recommended, 2006	6,300,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	+384,000
Budget estimate, 2006	0

The Committee recommends \$6,300,000 for the Institute of American Indian and Alaska Native Culture and Arts Development, the same as the budget request and \$384,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level.

SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION

The Smithsonian Institution is the world's largest museum and research complex, with 17 museums and galleries, the National Zoo, and nine research centers around the world. Funded by both private and Federal sources, the Smithsonian is unique in the Federal establishment. Created by an act of Congress in 1846 to carry out the trust included in James Smithson's will, it has been engaged for more than 150 years in the "increase and diffusion of knowledge." In 2004, the Smithsonian attracted more than 20,000,000 visitors to its museums, galleries, and zoological park. Additional millions also view Smithsonian traveling exhibitions and participate in the annual Folklife Festival on the National Mall. As custodian of the National Collections, the Smithsonian is responsible for more than 140 million art objects, natural history specimens, and artifacts. These scientific and cultural collections are a vital resource for global research and conservation efforts. The collections are displayed for the enjoyment and education of visitors and are available for research by the staff of the Institution and by hundreds of visiting students, scientists, and historians each year.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$489,035,000
Budget estimate, 2006	524,135,000
Recommended, 2006	524,381,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	+35,346,000
Budget estimate, 2006	+246,000

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

		ър)	(dollars in thousands)	usands)	
	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Request	FY 2006 Request Recommended	. ш	Recommended versus nacted Request
Smithsonian Institution					
Salaries and Expenses					
Museum and research institutes	205,646	220,109	219,255	+13,609	-854
Program support and outreach	36,032	36,066	35,566	- 466	-200
Administration	63,903	65,929	65,529	+1,626	-400
Facilities services	183,454	202,031	204,031	+20,577	+2,000
Total, Salaries and expenses, Smithsonian	489,035	524,135	524,381	+35,346	+246

The Committee recommends \$524,381,000 for salaries and expenses, an increase of \$246,000 above the budget request and an increase of \$35,346,000 above the enacted level. The recommendation includes \$300,000 to continue the Tropical Research Institute's work in microorganisms in tropical soil. The Committee notes that the new National Museum of African American History and Culture is just now beginning to hire staff and begin its planning effort, therefore its funding is maintained at the enacted level.

The Committee supports the Smithsonian's effort to focus Federal funding on basic maintenance and facilities operations, hence the recommendation includes the requested increases, above the enacted levels, of \$6,309,000 for facilities maintenance and \$12,268,000 for facilities operations, security and support. In addition, the Committee recommendation redirects into the facilities maintenance account \$500,000 of the funding increase requested for institution-wide programs and \$400,000 from the administration account. This still leaves the administration account with a 2.5% increase above the enacted level. The facilities maintenance account includes other redirected funds and a small program increase, giving it a total funding level of \$47,680,000; this is \$2,000,000 above the request and \$8,309,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level, representing a 21% increase over the enacted.

FACILITIES CAPITAL

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$126,123,000
Budget estimate, 2006	90,900,000
Recommended, 2006	90,900,000
Comparison:	, ,
Appropriation, 2005	-35,223,000
Budget estimate, 2006	. 0

The Committee recommends \$90,900,000 for facilities capital, as requested, a reduction of \$35,223,000 below the enacted level. The Committee recommendation redirects \$1,000,000 of the requested increase for facilities planning and design into the revitalization account for use on the Asia II exhibit at the National Zoological Park. In addition, the Committee directs the Smithsonian to redirect \$8,000,000 of the requested funding for the wetland exhibit into the Asia II exhibit project. It is important that the Asia II exhibit not be delayed; it is a vital part of the park and continued exhibition of elephants in a family group can not be maintained without the new project. The Committee also expects that the comprehensive planning effort currently underway at the zoological park is the appropriate tool to determine the best future concept and scope for any rebuilding of a wetlands exhibit.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS, SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION

The Committee recommendation continues previous bill language included under Administrative Provisions which prohibits the Smithsonian from using funds to purchase any additional buildings without prior consultation with the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART

The National Gallery of Art is one of the world's great galleries. Its magnificent works of art are displayed for the benefit of millions of visitors from across this Nation and from other nations. The National Gallery of Art serves as an example of a successful cooperative endeavor between private individuals and institutions and the Federal Government. The many special exhibitions shown in the Gallery and then throughout the country bring great art treasures to Washington and the Nation. In 1999, the Gallery opened a sculpture garden, which provides a wonderful opportunity for the public to have an outdoor artistic experience in a lovely, contemplative setting.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$91,708,000
Budget estimate, 2006	97,100,000
Recommended, 2006	97,100,000
Comparison:	, ,
Appropriation, 2005	+5,392,000
Budget estimate, 2006	0

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

Recommended versus nacted Request			;	;	:	!	:
- 111			+1,913	+1,310	+1,238	+931	+5,392
(dollars in thousands) FY 2006 Request Recommended			34,023	23,268	20,675	19,134	97,100
(do FY 2006 Request			34,023	23,268	20,675	19,134	97,100
FY 2005 Enacted			32,110	21,958	19,437	18,203	91,708
	National Gallery of Art	Salaries and Expenses	Care and utilization of art collections	Operation and maintenance of buildings and grounds	Protection of buildings, grounds and contents	General administration	Total, Salaries and Expenses

The Committee recommends \$97,100,000, the budget request, for salaries and expenses of the National Gallery of Art, an increase of \$5,392,000 above the fiscal year 2005 level.

REPAIR, RESTORATION AND RENOVATION OF BUILDINGS

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$10,946,000
Budget estimate, 2006	16,200,000
Recommended, 2006	16,200,000
Comparison:	, ,
Appropriation, 2005	+5,254,000
Budget estimate, 2006	0

The Committee recommends \$16,200,000, the budget request, for repair, restoration and renovation of buildings at the National Gallery of Art, an increase of \$5,254,000 above the fiscal year 2005 level.

JOHN F. KENNEDY CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS

The John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts is a living memorial to the late President Kennedy and is the National Center for the Performing Arts. The Center consists of over 1.5 million square feet of usable floor space with visitation averaging 10,000 on a daily basis.

OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

Appropriation enacted, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006 Recommended, 2006	\$16,914,000 17,800,000 17.800.000
Comparison: Appropriation, 2005	+886,000
Budget estimate, 2006	0

The Committee recommends \$17,800,000 for operations and maintenance, the same as the budget request and \$886,000 above the enacted level.

CONSTRUCTION

Appropriation enacted, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006 Recommended, 2006	\$16,107,000 15,200,000 10,000,000
Comparison: Appropriation, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006	$-6,107,000 \\ -5,200,000$

The Committee recommends \$10,000,000 for construction, a decrease of \$6,107,000 below the enacted level and \$5,200,000 below the budget request.

Oversight.—The Committee directed the General Accountability Office (GAO) to conduct an accounting of how the Kennedy Center has managed the \$204 million in construction funds provided since 1995 to deal with life safety issues, ADA compliance issues, and other major construction needs. As in previous reports, the GAO raised concerns about construction management, including significant and consistent cost overruns, unresolved life safety issues, and potential fire safety problems.

In the past, the Committee has given the Kennedy Center great flexibility in how it manages Federal construction funds. However, this fexibility has resulted in incomplete life safety projects and incomplete construction projects, such as the Eisenhower Theatre. Managing taxpayer dollars effectively and efficiently as well as ensuring the safety of its patrons should be the Center's highest priorities.

The Kennedy Center has stated that their priority is to not interrupt performances schedules. This is reasonable only if construction projects are managed to avoid significant cost overruns. If the cost-overrun trend continues, the Center will have to provide the balance of funds needed to complete these projects from non-Federal sources.

In the meantime, the Committee strongly encourages the Kennedy Center to implement the GAO recommendations. In particular, future budgets will be required to tie funds requested to specific projects and timelines, similar to other agencies funded in this bill. The Committee also directs the Kennedy Center to make use of the Smithsonian Inspector General for annual oversight and provide quarterly reports to the Committee on the status of all construction projects.

In an effort to resolve the different approaches between GAO and the Kennedy Center regarding fire safety issues, the Committee directs the Kennedy Center to contract with the General Services Administration for a qualified, third party opinion on the situation at

the Center and report those findings to the Committee.

The GAO recommendations are as follows:

"1. We recommend that the Chairman of the Board of Trustees for the Kennedy Center exercise greater oversight of the Center's management through the Board of Trustees. The Kennedy Center should work with the Smithsonian OIG, or another independent federal government oversight organization, to provide strategic and annual audits, plans for ongoing oversight of the Kennedy Center's use of Federal funds based on an analysis of risk, safety, and vulnerability to internal control weaknesses. These plans should also specify the audits to be provided on a reimbursable basis by the Smithsonian OIG or another independent Federal government oversight organization.

2. To ensure the safety of the Kennedy Center, we recommend that the Chairman of the Board of Trustees direct the President of the Kennedy Center to implement the following two recommenda-

tions:

a. Take steps to better comply with the fire safety code. At a minimum, these steps should include fully implementing the conditions of the modeling study, ensuring that doors in key areas provide adequate separation from fire, and addressing the code deficiencies at the Millennium Stages.

ciencies at the Millennium Stages.

b. Promptly seek peer review by a knowledgeable third party of the egress and fire modeling study used as a substitute for prescriptive code solutions and implement any recommendations. Additionally, consult with recognized experts, such as GSA, to determine whether the Kennedy Center is fully adhering to prevailing professional practices regarding fire life safety issues.

3. To better align the Kennedy Center's management of capital projects, we recommend that the Chairman of the Board of Trustees direct the President of the Kennedy Center to implement the

following five recommendations:

a. Provide more timely and accurate information about capital projects by detailing their budget, scope, cost, and schedule, and

providing to stakeholders an annual reconciliation of the status of all planned, delayed, eliminated, and actual projects.

b. Take steps to control cost growth and schedule changes in future capital projects by setting more flexible schedules and improv-

ing its management of contract modifications.

- c. Strengthen the Kennedy Center's financial management controls by designing and implementing comprehensive contract, financial, and project management policies and procedures in accordance with prescribed Federal guidance. These policies and procedures should ensure that:
- the Project Management Office prepares inspection reports, or similar documents, when services are performed that include a description of the services performed and the date(s) or period of performance and use this information to verify the validity of contractors' invoices;
- complete, up to date costs for construction and other services are recognized and used to prepare quarterly financial reports and manage project costs;
- reasonable efforts are made to match invoices with inspection reports and previously paid invoices to prevent or detect duplicate payments;
- contractors' invoices meet minimum requirements and contain sufficient detailed information to clearly support the accuracy and validity of invoices; and
- for Economy Act transactions, payments to other Federal agencies are for actual costs consistent with the Economy Act agreement.
- d. Establish and enforce a documents retention policy that allows for accountability of the Kennedy Center's Federal funds;
- e. Have relevant Kennedy Center offices develop as built draw-

ings and better track future changes to the Center.

The Kennedy Center should report to the Committee by February 15, 2006, and again on December 15, 2006, on the status of implementing the GAO recommendations.

WOODROW WILSON INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR SCHOLARS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars is a unique institution with a special mission to serve as a living memorial to President Woodrow Wilson. The Center performs this mandate through its role as an international institute for advanced study as well as a facilitator for discussions among scholars, public officials, journalists and business leaders from across the country on major long-term issues facing this Nation and the world.

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$8,863,000
Budget estimate, 2006	9,201,000
Recommended, 2006	9,085,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	+222,000
Budget estimate, 2006	-116,000

The Committee recommends \$9,085,000 for salaries and expenses, \$116,000 below the budget request and \$222,000 above the 2005 enacted level. This increase is 2.5% above the enacted funding level.

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS

GRANTS AND ADMINISTRATION

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$121,264,000
Budget estimate, 2006	121,264,000
Recommended, 2006	121,264,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	0
Budget estimate, 2006	0

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

	2006	op)	(dollars in thousands)	ands)	olionov F
	Enacted	Request	Recommended	Enacted	Request
National Endowment for the Arts	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	! ! ! ! !
Grants and Administration					
Grants					
Direct grants	45,631	45,118	45,118	-513	!
Challenge America grants	12,857	8,966	996'8	-3,891	
National Initiative: American Masterpieces	1,183	4,800	4,800	+3,617	;
State partnerships					
State and regional	23,942	23,691	23,691	-251	:
Underserved set-aside	6,480	6,417	6,417	-63	:
Challenge America grants	8,570	956'5	5,956	-2,614	-
National Initiative: American Masterpieces	789	3,200	3,200	+2,411	:
Subtotal, State partnerships	39,781	39,264	39,264	-517	1 4 4 4 4 6 4 8
Subtotal, Grants	99,452	98,148	98,148	-1,304	
Orozona morroord	4	7	4		
Administration	20.542	21.646	21.646	+1.104	: :
				п	H H H H H H H H H
Total, Arts	121,264	121,264	121,264	;	

The Committee recommends \$121,264,000 for the National Endowment for the Arts, the same as the budget request and the 2005 enacted level.

Bill language is included, under Title IV—General Provisions, retaining provisions in last year's bill regarding restrictions on individual grants, subgranting, and seasonal support; authority to solicit and invest funds; priority for rural and underserved communities; priority for grants that encourage public knowledge, education, understanding, and appreciation of the arts; designation of a category for grants of national significance; and a 15 percent cap on the total amount of grant funds directed to any one State.

NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE HUMANITIES

GRANTS AND ADMINISTRATION

Appropriation enacted, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006 Recommended, 2006	122,605,000
Comparison: Appropriation, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006	+449,000

The amounts recommended by the Committee compared with the budget estimates by activity are shown in the following table:

	1	op)	(dollars in thousands)		
	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Request	FY 2006 Request Recommended	Recommended versus Enacted Reques	Request
National Endowment for the Humanities					
Grants and Administration					
Grants Federal/State bartnership	31.387	31 387	31.387	}	: :
Preservation and access	18,643	18,643	18,643	;	1 1
Public programs	12,932	12,566	12,566	-366	1
Research programs	12,881	12,881	12,881	;	!
Education programs	12,449	12,449	12,449	;	!
Program development	392	381	381	-11	:
We The People Initiative grants	11,217	11,217	11,217	!	:
Subtotal, Grants	99,901	99,524	99,524	-377	! ! ! ! ! ! !
Administrative Areas Administration	22,255	23,081	23,081	+826	
Total, Grants and Administration	122,156				}
ii					

The Committee recommends \$122,605,000 for grants and administration, the same as the budget request and \$449,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level.

MATCHING GRANTS

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$15,898,000
Budget estimate, 2006	15,449,000
Recommended, 2006	15,449,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	-449,000
Budget estimate, 2006	0

The Committee recommends \$15,449,000 for matching grants, the same as the budget request and the fiscal year 2005 level.

COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS

The Commission of Fine Arts was established in 1910 to meet the need for a permanent body to advise the government on matters pertaining to the arts, and particularly, to guide the architectural development of Washington, DC. Over the years the Commission's scope has been expanded to include advice on areas such as plans for parks, public buildings, location of national monuments and development of public squares. As a result, the Commission annually reviews approximately 500 projects. In fiscal year 1988 the Commission was given responsibility for the National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs program.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$1,768,000
Budget estimate, 2006	1,893,000
Recommended, 2006	1,893,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	+125,000
Budget estimate, 2006	0

The Committee recommends \$1,893,000 for salaries and expenses of the Commission of Fine Arts, as requested, an increase of \$125,000 over the enacted funding level.

NATIONAL CAPITAL ARTS AND CULTURAL AFFAIRS

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$6,902,000
Budget estimate, 2006	7,000,000
Recommended, 2006	7,000,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	+98,000
Budget estimate, 2006	0

The National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs program was established in Public Law 99–190 to support artistic and cultural programs in the Nation's Capital. The Committee recommends \$7,000,000, an increase of \$98,000 above the 2005 level and the same as the budget request. The Committee accepts the Administration's proposal to limit grants to \$400,000 in a single year.

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 established the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. The Council was reauthorized as part of the Omnibus Parks and Public Lands Management Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–333). The Council's mandate is to further the national policy of preserving historic and cultural resources for the benefit of present and future generations. The Council advises the President and Congress on preservation matters and provides consultation on historic properties threatened by Federal action.

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$4,536,000 4,988,000 4,860,000
Appropriation, 2005	+324.000
Budget estimate, 2006	-128.000

The Committee recommends \$4,860,000 for salaries and expenses of the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, a decrease of \$128,000 below the budget request and \$324,000 above the enacted level.

NATIONAL CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

The National Capital Planning Act of 1952 designated the National Capital Planning Commission as the central planning agency for the Federal government in the National Capital Region. The three major functions of the Commission are to prepare and adopt the Federal elements of the National Capital Comprehensive Plan, prepare an annual report on a five-year projection of the Federal Capital Improvement Program, and review plans and proposals submitted to the Commission.

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$7,888,000
Budget estimate, 2006	8,344,000
Recommended, 2006	8,177,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	+289,000
Budget estimate, 2006	-167,000

The Committee recommends \$8,177,000, for salaries and expenses of the National Capital Planning Commission, a decrease of \$167,000 below the budget request and an increase of \$289,000 above the enacted level. The Committee has included bill language allowing the use of up to one-quarter of one percent of funding for official representational activities to be used only when hosting international visitors associated with the international capitals working group.

UNITED STATES HOLOCAUST MEMORIAL MUSEUM

HOLOCAUST MEMORIAL MUSEUM

In 1980, Congress passed legislation creating a 65 member Holocaust Memorial Council with the mandate to create and oversee a living memorial/museum to victims of holocausts. The museum opened in April 1993. Construction costs for the museum came solely from donated funds raised by the U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum Campaign and appropriated funds were used for planning and development of programmatic components, overall administrative support, and annual commemorative observances. Since the

opening of the museum, appropriated funds have been provided to pay for the ongoing operating costs of the museum as authorized by Public Law 102–529 and Public Law 106–292.

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$40,858,000
Budget estimate, 2006	43,233,000
Recommended, 2006	41,880,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	+1,022,000
Budget estimate, 2006	-1,353,000

The Committee recommends \$41,880,000 for the Holocaust Memorial Museum, a decrease of \$1,353,000 below the budget request and \$1,022,000 above the enacted level. This increase is 2.5% above the enacted funding level. The Committee encourages the Council to keep the Committee informed of substantive work plan changes and to inform the Committee if there is a need to move maintenance funds to repair damages to the Ross office building.

Presidio Trust

PRESIDIO TRUST FUND

Appropriation enacted, 2005	\$19,722,000
Budget estimate, 2006	20,000,000
Recommended, 2006	20,000,000
Comparison:	
Appropriation, 2005	+278,000
Budget estimate, 2006	0

The Committee recommends \$20,000,000 for the Presidio Trust fund, the same as the budget request and \$278,000 above the enacted level.

WHITE HOUSE COMMISSION ON THE NATIONAL MOMENT OF REMEMBRANCE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Appropriation enacted, 2005 Budget estimate, 2006 Recommended, 2006	$$248,000 \\ 250,000 \\ 250,000$
Comparison:	250,000
Appropriation, 2005	+2,000
Budget estimate, 2006	. 0

The White House Commission on the National Moment of Remembrance, established by Public Law 106–579, was created to (1) sustain the American spirit through acts of remembrance, not only on Memorial Day, but throughout the year; (2) institutionalize the National Moment of Remembrance; and (3) to enhance the commemoration and understanding of Memorial Day. The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$250,000, an increase of \$2,000 above the fiscal year 2005 enacted level and the same as the level requested by the President.

TITLE IV—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Section 401 continues a provision providing for public availability of information on consulting services contracts.

Section 402 continues a provision prohibiting activities to promote public support or opposition to legislative proposals.

Section 403 continues a provision providing for annual appropriations unless expressly provided otherwise in this Act.

Section 404 continues a provision limiting the use of personal

cooks, chauffeurs or servants.

Section 405 provides for restrictions on departmental assessments unless approved by the Committees on Appropriations.

Section 406 continues a provision limiting the sale of giant se-

quoia.

Section 407 continues a limitation on accepting and processing applications for patents and on the patenting of Federal lands; permits processing of grandfathered applications; and permits third-party contractors to process grandfathered applications.

Section 408 continues a provision limiting payments for contract support costs in past years to the funds available in law and accompanying report language in those years for the Bureau of In-

dian Affairs and the Indian Health Service.

Section 409 continues a provision specifying reforms and limita-

tions dealing with the National Endowment for the Arts.

Section 410 continues a provision permitting the collection and use of private funds by the National Endowment for the Arts and the National Endowment for the Humanities.

Section 411 continues direction to the National Endowment for

the Arts on funding distribution.

Section 412 continues a limitation on completing and issuing the five-year program under the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act.

Section 413 continues a provision prohibiting the use of funds to support government-wide administrative functions unless they are justified in the budget process and approved by the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

Section 414 continues a provision permitting the Forest Service to use the roads and trails fund for backlog maintenance and pri-

ority forest health treatments.

Section 415 continues a provision limiting the use of answering machines during core business hours except in case of emergency and requires an option of talking to a person. The American tax-payer deserves to receive personal attention from public servants.

Section 416 continues a provision clarifying the Forest Service

land management planning revision requirements.

Section 417 continues a provision limiting preleasing, leasing, and related activities within the boundaries of National monuments.

Section 418 extends the Forest Service Conveyances Pilot Program.

Section 419 continues a provision providing the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture the authority to enter into reciprocal agreements with foreign nations concerning the personal liability of firefighters.

Section 420 continues a provision prohibiting the transfer of

funds to other agencies other than provided in this Act.

Section 421 continues a provision authorizing the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture to give consideration to rural communities, local and non-profit groups, and disadvantaged workers in entering into contracts for hazardous fuels and watershed projects. Section 422 continues a provision limiting the use of funds for filing declarations of takings or condemnations. This provision does not apply to the Everglades National Park Protection and Environmental Act.

Section 423 provides guidance on competitive sourcing activities and clarifies annual reporting requirements to specify the reporting of the full costs associated with sourcing studies and related activities. Language is also included concerning the Forest Service so the problems associated with the previous, faulty competitive sourcing studies are not repeated in the future.

Section 424 requires overhead charges, deductions, reserves or holdbacks to be presented in annual budget justifications, with changes presented to the Appropriations Committees for approval.

Section 425 prohibits the expenditure of funds on Safecom and Disaster Management.

Section 426 limits contracts for the operation of the National Recreational Reservation Center.

Section 427 enhances Forest Service administration of rights-ofway and land uses.

Section 428 extends the authorization for the Service First program.

Section 429 allows the Secretary of Agriculture to complete an exchange of a leasehold interest at the San Bernardino International Airport for lands and buildings located adjacent to the former Norton Air Force Base in California. This exchange will allow the Secretary to relocate the forest supervisor's office of the San Bernardino National Forest into buildings owned by the United States, which will result in lease cost savings and improved service to the public.

Section 430 requires a report of the expenditure of funds pursuant to the Southern Nevada Public Lands Management Act.

Section 431 continues a legislative provision limiting funds for oil and gas leasing or permitting on the Finger Lakes National Forest, NY.

RESCISSIONS

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(2), rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following table is submitted describing the rescissions recommended in the accompanying bill:

	Amounts recommended for
Department and activity	rescission
Department of the Interior: Land and Water Conservation Fund	
(contract authority)	\$30,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency: various accounts (rescissions are	
under State and Tribal Assistance Grants heading)	100,000,000

TRANSFERS OF FUNDS

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(2), rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following table is submitted describing the transfers of funds provided in the accompanying bill.

APPROPRIATION TRANSFERS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL

Account from which transfer is to be made	Amount	Account to which transfer is to be made	Amount
Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Wildland Fire Management.	\$9,000,000	Department of Agriculture, U.S. Forest Service, Wildland Fire Management.	\$9,000,000
Environmental Protection Agency, Hazardous Substance Superfund.	13,536,000	Office of Inspector General	13,536,000
Environmental Protection Agency, Hazardous Substance Superfund.	30,605,000	Science and Technology	30,605,000
Department of Agriculture, U.S. Forest Service, Wildland Fire Management.	9,000,000	Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Wildland Fire Management.	9,000,000

CHANGES IN APPLICATION OF EXISTING LAW

Pursuant to clause 3, rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following Statements are submitted describing the effect of provisions in the accompanying bill, which directly or indirectly change the application of existing law. In most instances these provisions have been included in prior appropriations Acts.

The Bill includes the following changes in application of existing law:

Overall Bill

Providing that certain appropriations remain available until expended or extends the availability of funds beyond the fiscal year where programs or projects are continuing but for which legislation does not specifically authorize such extended availability. This authority tends to result in savings by preventing the practice of committing funds on low priority projects at the end of the fiscal year to avoid losing the funds.

Limiting, in certain instances, the obligation of funds for particular functions or programs. These limitations include restrictions on the obligation of funds for administrative expenses, travel expenses, the use of consultants, and programmatic areas within the overall jurisdiction of a particular agency.

Limiting official entertainment or reception and representation expenses for selected agencies in the bill.

Continuing ongoing activities of those Federal agencies, which require annual authorization or additional legislation, which has not been enacted.

TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

MANAGEMENT OF LANDS AND RESOURCES

Permitting the use of receipts from the Land and Water Conservation Act of 1965.

Providing funds to the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation under certain conditions.

Permitting the use of fees from communication site rentals.

Permitting the collection of fees for processing mining applications and for certain public land uses.

Permitting the use of mining fee collections for program operations.

Providing for a Youth Conservation Corp.

WILDLAND FIRE MANAGEMENT

Permitting the use of funds from other accounts for firefighting. Permitting the use of funds for lodging and subsistence of firefighters.

Permitting the acceptance and use of funds for firefighting.

Permitting the use of grants, contracts and cooperative agreements for hazardous fuels reduction, including cost-sharing and local assistance.

Permitting reimbursement to the Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Marine Fisheries Service for consultation activities under the Endangered Species Act.

Permitting the use of firefighting funds for the leasing of properties or the construction of facilities.

Providing for the transfer of funds between the Department of the Interior and the Department of Agriculture.

Providing funds for support of Federal emergency response actions.

OREGON AND CALIFORNIA GRANT LANDS

Authorizing the transfer of collections from the Oregon and California Land Grants Fund to the Treasury.

FOREST ECOSYSTEMS HEALTH AND RECOVERY FUND

Permitting the use of salvage timber receipts in the forest ecosystems health and recovery fund.

RANGE IMPROVEMENT FUND

Providing for the use of receipts for the range improvement fund.

SERVICE CHARGES, DEPOSITS, AND FORFEITURES

Allowing the use of service charges, deposits and forfeitures funds on any damaged public lands.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

Permitting the payment of rewards for information on violations of law on Bureau lands

Providing for costsharing arrangements for printing services.

UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

Allowing for the maintenance of the herd of longhorned cattle on the Wichita Mountains Wildlife Refuge. Without this language, the longhorned cattle would have to be removed from the refuge.

Providing for the Natural Communities Conservation Planning program and for a Youth Conservation Corps.

Limiting funding for certain Endangered Species Act listing programs.

Permitting payment for information or rewards in the law enforcement program.

Earmarking funds for contaminant analysis.

LANDOWNER INCENTIVE PROGRAM

Providing matching landowner incentive grants to States and territories.

PRIVATE STEWARDSHIP GRANTS PROGRAM

Providing private stewardship grants for private conservation efforts.

STATE TRIBAL WILDLIFE GRANTS

Specifying the State and Tribal Wildlife grants distribution formula, the planning and cost-sharing requirements, requiring that funds unobligated after two years be reapportioned, and limiting administrative costs.

CONSTRUCTION

Providing for repair of damage to public roads.

LAND ACQUISITION

Providing options for the purchase of land not to exceed \$1. Prohibiting the use of land acquisition project funds for certain administrative expenses.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

Providing for installation of certain recreation facilities.

Permitting the maintenance and improvement of aquaria and other facilities.

Permitting costshared arrangements for printing services.

Permitting the use of funds for employment related legal services.

Permitting the acceptance of donated aircraft.

Limiting the use of funds for establishing new refuges.

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

OPERATION OF THE NATIONAL PARK SYSTEM

Allowing road maintenance service to trucking permitees reimbursable basis. This provision has been included in in annual appropriations Acts since 1954.

Providing for a Youth Conservation Corps program.

UNITED STATES PARK POLICE

Permitting reimbursement to the Park Police for special events under limited circumstances.

NATIONAL RECREATION AND PRESERVATION

Prohibiting the use of cooperative agreements and any form of cash grant for the rivers, trail, and conservation assistance program.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND

Providing grants for Save America's Treasures to be matched by non-Federal funds, that individual projects are only eligible for one grant and are subject to prior approval, and that funds for Federal projects are available by transfer to individual agencies.

CONSTRUCTION

Limiting funds for Park Service Partnership projects with certain exceptions.

Limiting donation or services associated with new facilities.

Limiting funds for certain facilities at the Washington Monument.

Providing funds for modified water deliveries to Everglades National Park with certain restrictions.

Limiting funds for Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park.

LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND

Rescinding \$30,000,000 in land and water conservation fund contract authority.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

Limiting funds for grants and contracts that don't include the text of 18 U.S.C. 1913.

Preventing the implementation of an agreement for the redevelopment of the southern end of Ellis Island.

Allowing funds to be used to maintain certain parts of the District of Columbia near the White House.

Limiting the use of funds for the United Nation's Biodiversity Convention.

Permitting the use of funds for workplace safety needs.

Authorizing reimbursable agreements in advance of receipt of funds.

Allowing the Secretary of the Interior to appeal value determinations.

Allowing certain franchise fees to be available for expenditure without further appropriation to extinguish or reduce liability for certain possessory interests.

UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

SURVEYS, INVESTIGATIONS, AND RESEARCH

Providing for two-year availability of funds for biological research and for the operations of cooperative research units.

62. Prohibiting the conduct of new surveys on private property without permission.

Requiring cost sharing for cooperative topographic mapping and water resource data collection activities.

Permitting reimbursement of funds to the General Services Administration for security services.

Permitting contracting for certain mapping and surveys.

Permitting construction of facilities.

Permitting acquisition of land for certain uses.

Allowing payment of expenses for the National Committee on Geology.

Permitting payments to interstate compact negotiators.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

Permitting the use of certain contracts, grants, and cooperative agreements.

Recognizing students and recent graduates as Federal employees for the purposes of travel and work injury compensation.

MINERALS MANAGEMENT SERVICE

ROYALTY AND OFFSHORE MINERALS MANAGEMENT

Permitting the use of excess receipts from Outer Continental Shelf leasing activities.

Providing for reasonable expenses related to volunteer beach and marine cleanup activities.

Providing for refunds for overpayments on Indian allottee leases. Providing for collecting royalties and late payment interest on amounts received in settlements associated with Federal and Indian leases.

Permitting the use of revenues from a royalty-in-kind program. Providing that royalty-in-kind be equal to, or greater than, royalty-in-value.

OFFICE OF SURFACE MINING RECLAMATION AND ENFORCEMENT

REGULATION AND TECHNOLOGY

Permitting the use of moneys collected pursuant to assessment of civil penalties to reclaim lands affected by coal mining after August 3, 1977.

Permitting payment to State and tribal personnel for travel and per diem expenses for training.

ABANDONED MINE RECLAMATION FUND

Earmarking Abandoned Mine Reclamation funds for acid mine drainage.

Limiting grants to minimum program States.

Allowing the use of debt recovery to pay for debt collection.

Reallocates amounts in the Abandoned Mine Land Reclamation fund dedicated to the rural program (collected under section 402(g)(2) of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977), which has not been used in 10 years, to the federal share portion of the fund (section 402(g)(3)).

Allowing funds to be used for travel expenses while attending training.

BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS

OPERATION OF INDIAN PROGRAMS

Limiting funds for contract support costs and for administrative cost grants for schools.

Permitting the use of tribal priority allocations for general assistance payments to individuals, for contract support costs, and for repair and replacement of schools.

Providing for an Indian self-determination fund. Allowing the transfer of certain forestry funds.

CONSTRUCTION

Providing that six percent of Federal Highway Trust Fund contract authority may be used for construction management costs.

Providing Safety of Dams funds on a nonreimbursable basis.

Providing for the transfer of Navajo irrigation project funds to the Bureau of Reclamation.

Requiring the use of administrative and cost accounting principles for certain school construction projects and exempting such projects from certain requirements.

Requiring conformance with building codes and health and safety

standards.

Specifying the procedure for dispute resolution.

Allowing the Secretary to assume control of a construction project under certain conditions.

Allowing reimbursement of construction costs from the Office of Special Trustee.

MISCELLANEOUS PAYMENTS TO INDIANS

Permitting funding for the Quinault Indian Nation boundary settlement.

INDIAN GUARANTEED LOAN PROGRAM

Limiting Indian guaranteed loan program funds for loans under certain circumstances and providing administrative expenses.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

Allowing contracting for the San Carlos Irrigation Project.

Limiting the use of funds for contracts, grants and cooperative agreements.

Allowing tribes to return appropriated funds for distribution to other tribes.

Prohibiting funding of Alaska schools.

Limiting the number of schools and the expansion of grade levels in individual schools.

DEPARTMENTAL OFFICES

INSULAR AFFAIRS, ASSISTANCE TO TERRITORIES

Requiring audits of the financial transactions of the Territorial governments by the GAO.

Providing grant funding under certain terms of the Agreement of the Special Representatives on Future United States Financial Assistance for the Northern Mariana Islands.

Allowing grants for the Pacific Basin Development Council.

Providing a grant to the Close Up foundation.

Providing for capital infrastructure in various Territories.

Allowing appropriations for disaster assistance to be used as non-Federal matching funds for hazard mitigation grants.

DEPARTMENTAL MANAGEMENT, SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Permitting payments to former Bureau of Mines workers.

Limiting the establishment of additional reserves in the working capital fund.

PAYMENTS IN LIEU OF TAXES

Excluding any payment pursuant to the Payments in Lieu of Taxes that is less than \$100.

CENTRAL HAZARDOUS MATERIALS FUND

Providing that sums received from a party for remedial actions shall be credited to the account, and defining nonmonetary payments.

OFFICE OF SPECIAL TRUSTEE FOR AMERICAN INDIANS, FEDERAL TRUST PROGRAMS

Limiting the amount of funding available for the historical accounting of Indian trust fund accounts.

Specifying that the statute of limitations shall not commence on any claim resulting from trust funds losses.

Exempting quarterly statements for Indian trust accounts less than \$1.

Requiring annual statements and records maintenance for Indian trust accounts.

Limiting use of funds to correct administrative errors in Indian trust accounts.

Permitting the use of recoveries from erroneous payments pursuant to Indian trust accounts.

OFFICE OF SPECIAL TRUSTEE FOR AMERICAN INDIANS, INDIAN LAND CONSOLIDATION

Permitting transfers of funds from Indian land consolidation for administrative expenses.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

Allowing the sale of existing aircraft with proceeds used to offset the purchase price of replacement aircraft.

Prohibiting the use of working capital or consolidated working funds to augment certain offices

Requiring description of working capital fund charges in annual budget justifications.

Requiring Committee approval of departures from Working Capital Fund estimates.

Requiring reports on National Business Center activities.

GENERAL PROVISIONS, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Allowing transfer of funds in certain emergency situations and requiring replacement with a supplemental appropriation request. Permitting the Department to consolidate and receive reimburse-

ment for services.

Restricting various oil and gas preleasing, leasing, exploration and drilling activities within the Outer Continental Shelf in the Georges Bank North Atlantic planning area, Mid Atlantic and South Atlantic planning areas, Eastern Gulf of Mexico planning area, North Aleutian Basin planning area, Northern, Southern and Central California planning areas, and Washington/Oregon planning area.

Prohibiting fee exemptions for non-local traffic through National Parks.

Permitting the transfer of funds between the Bureau of Indian Affairs and the Office of Special Trustee for American Indians.

Providing for administrative law judges to handle Indian probate issues.

Permitting the redistribution of certain Indian funds with limitations.

Directing allocation of funds for Bureau of Indian Affairs funded postsecondary schools.

Permitting the conveyance of the Twin Cities Research Center. Allowing the use of helicopters and motor vehicles on Sheldon and Hart National Wildlife Refuges.

Authorizing funding transfers for Shenandoah Valley Battlefield NHD and Ice Age NST.

Prohibiting the closure of the underground lunchroom at Carlsbad Caverns NP.

Prohibiting demolition of the bridge between New Jersey and Ellis Island.

Limiting compensation for the Special Master and Court Monitor for the Cobell v. Norton litigation.

Allowing payment of attorney fees for Federal employees related to the Cobell v. Norton litigation.

Requiring the Fish and Wildlife Service to mark hatchery salmon

Allowing for the transfer of certain Departmental Management funds to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for the Midway Island refuge airport.

Addressing the use of certain Indian lands for gaming purposes. Preventing funds to study or reduce the water level at Lake Powell.

Limiting the amount of fees that may be collected by the National Indian Gaming Commission.

Providing for a tribal trust demonstration program.

Providing for the renewal of certain grazing permits in the Jardbidge Field office of the Bureau of Land Management.

Authorizing the acquisition of lands and leases for Ellis Island. Permitting the Secretary of the Interior to issue grazing permits within the Mojave National Preserve.

Implementing rules concerning winter snowmobile use at Yellowstone National Park.

Limiting staff and funding for the Department of the Interior, Office of Law Enforcement and Security.

TITLE II—ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE SUPERFUND

Providing for the allocation of funds to other Federal agencies under certain circumstances.

Providing for the transfer of funds within certain agency accounts.

STATE AND TRIBAL ASSISTANCE GRANTS

Providing for grants to State, Tribal, and local governments for school bus services, pollution prevention, particulate matter monitoring, and for environmental information exchange grants.

Providing for State authority under Public Law 104–182.

Exempting limitations on State administration expenses at the discretion of the Administrator.

Providing for administrative expenses for the State Revolving Fund.

Limiting funding for certain United States—Mexico border programs under certain conditions.

Providing for the transfer of special project funds, unawarded after 7 years, to the appropriate State Revolving Funds.

Providing that excess funds from completed special projects or from projects determined to be ineligible for a grant be deposited in State Revolving Funds.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

Allowing awards of grants to federally recognized Indian tribes. Authorizing the collection of pesticide registration service fees.

Providing funds for grants and loans under CERCLA.

Permitting the Administrator to make up to five scientist appointments to the Office of Research and Development.

TITLE III—RELATED AGENCIES

FOREST SERVICE

STATE AND PRIVATE FORESTRY

Deriving forest legacy funding from the Land and Water Conservation Fund.

Requiring notification to the House and Senate Appropriations Committee before releasing forest legacy project funds.

NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM

Allowing 50 percent of the fees collected under the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act to remain available until expended. Requiring the budget justification to display unobligated bal-

ances available at the start of fiscal year.

WILDLAND FIRE MANAGEMENT

Allowing the use of wildland fire funds to repay advances from other accounts.

Allowing reimbursement of States for certain wildfire emergency activities.

Requiring 50 percent of any unobligated balances remaining at the end of fiscal year 2005, except hazardous fuels funding, to be transferred to the Knutson-Vandenberg Fund as repayment for past advances.

Permitting the use of funds for the joint fire science program.

Permitting the use of forest and rangeland research funds for fire science research.

Permitting the use of funds for emergency rehabilitation and restoration and hazardous fuels reduction to support emergency response and wildfire suppression.

Providing for grants and cooperative agreements with local communities for wildland fires.

Requiring Committee approval for funding transfers.

Providing for the transfer of hazardous fuels funding to the National Forest System.

Providing for use of funds on adjacent, non-Federal lands for hazard reduction.

Providing that funds for wildfire suppression shall be assessed for indirect costs.

Providing for the transfer of wildland fire funds between the Department of the Interior and the Department of Agriculture.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND MAINTENANCE

Allowing capital improvement and maintenance funds to be used for road decommissioning.

Requiring that no road decommissioning be funded until notice and an opportunity for public comment has been provided.

RANGE BETTERMENT FUND

Providing that six percent of range betterment funds may be used for administrative expenses.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

Providing that proceeds from the sale of aircraft may be used to purchase replacement aircraft.

Allowing funds for certain employment contracts.

Allowing funds to be used for purchase and alteration of build-

Allowing for acquisition of certain lands and interests.

Allowing expenses for certain volunteer activities.

Providing for the cost of uniforms.

Providing for debt collections on certain contracts.

Prohibiting the demolition or closing of regional offices.

Permitting the transfer of funds for emergency firefighting from other forest service accounts under certain circumstances.

Providing that the first transfer of funds for emergency firefighting shall include land acquisition and forest legacy funds.

Allowing funds to be used through the Agency for International Development and the Foreign Agricultural Service for work in foreign countries and to support other forestry activities outside of the United States.

Prohibiting the transfer of funds under the Department of Agriculture transfer authority under certain conditions.

Prohibiting reprogramming of funds without approval.

Limiting funds to be transferred to the USDA Working Capital Fund.

Providing for a Youth Conservation Corps program.

Providing for matching funds and administrative expenses for the National Forest Foundation and matching funds for the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation.

Providing funds for sustainable rural development.

Allowing the limited use of funds for law enforcement emergencies.

Providing Federal employee status for certain individuals employed under the Older American Act of 1965.

Permitting the use of funds for education of dependents of personnel stationed in Puerto Rico.

Indian Health Service

INDIAN HEALTH SERVICES

Providing that certain contracts and grants may be performed in two fiscal years.

Exempting certain Tribal funding from fiscal year constraints.

Limiting funds for catastrophic care, loan repayment and certain

Limiting contract support cost spending.

Providing for use of collections and reporting of collections under Title IV of the Indian Health Care Improvement Act.

Permitting the use of Indian Health Care Improvement Fund monies for facilities improvement.

Providing for the collection of individually identifiable health information relating to the Americans with Disabilities Act by the Bureau of Indian Affairs.

Limiting the use of funds for tribal courts.

Limiting the use of funds for overhead expenses.

INDIAN HEALTH FACILITIES

Providing that facilities funds may be used to purchase land,

modular buildings and trailers.

Providing for TRANSAM equipment to be purchased from the Department of Defense.

Prohibiting the use of funds for sanitation facilities for new homes funded by the Department of Housing and Urban Develop-

Allowing for the purchase of ambulances.

Providing authority for contracts for small ambulatory facilities.

Providing for land purchases for facilities in Alaska.

Providing for certain purchases and for a demolition fund.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

Providing for payments for telephone service in private residences in the field, purchase of motor vehicles, aircraft and reprints.

Providing for purchase and erection of portable buildings.

Providing funds for uniforms.

Allowing funding for attendance at professional meetings.

Providing that health care may be extended to non-Indians at Indian Health Service facilities.

Providing that funds are not available for assessments by the Department of Health and Human Services.

Allowing deobligation and reobligation of funds applied to selfgovernance funding agreements.

Exempting certain activities from Federal transportation limitations.

Providing a limitation on the number of personnel at certain facilities.

Prohibiting the expenditure of funds to implement new eligibility regulations.

Providing that reimbursements for training provide total costs.

Providing that funds be apportioned only in the appropriation structure in this Act.

Prohibiting changing the appropriations structure without approval of the Appropriations Committees.

AGENCY FOR TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND DISEASE REGISTRY

TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL PUBLIC HEALTH

Providing for the conduct of health studies, testing, and monitoring.

Providing deadlines for health assessments and studies.

Limiting the number of toxicological profiles.

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AND OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Authorizing the appointment and duties of the chairman.

CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Limiting the number of senior level positions.

Authorizing the appointment of the inspector general of the board.

Limiting the appointment of individuals to positions within the board.

OFFICE OF NAVAJO AND HOPI INDIAN RELOCATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Defining eligible relocatees.

Prohibiting movement of any single Navajo or Navajo family unless a new or replacement home is available.

Limiting relocatees to one new or replacement home.

Establishing a priority for relocation of Navajos to those certified eligible who have selected and received homesites on the Navajo reservation or selected a replacement residence off the Navajo reservation.

SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Providing that funds may be used to support American overseas research centers.

Allowing for advance payments to independent contractors performing research services or participating in official Smithsonian presentations.

Permitting the use of certain funds for the Victor Building.

FACILITIES CAPITAL

Permitting the Smithsonian Institution to select contractors for certain purposes on the basis of contractor qualifications as well as price.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

Precluding any changes to the Smithsonian science program without prior approval of the Board of Regents.

Limiting the design or expansion of current space or facilities without prior approval of the Committee.

Limiting the use of funds for the Holt House.

Limiting reprogramming of funds.

Prohibiting purchase of buildings without prior consultation.

NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Allowing payment in advance for membership in library, museum, and art associations or societies.

Providing uniform allowances and for restoration and repair of works of art by contract without advertising.

Providing no-year availability of funds for special exhibitions.

REPAIR, RESTORATION, AND RENOVATION OF BUILDINGS

Permitting the Gallery to perform work by contract or otherwise and to select contractors for certain purposes on the basis of contractor qualifications as well as price.

Permitting the Gallery to issue a single procurement for the full scope of the Work Area #3 contract.

NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS, GRANTS AND ADMINISTRATION

Permitting transfer of funds within certain accounts.

NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE HUMANITIES, MATCHING GRANTS

Allowing obligation of National Endowment for the Humanities current and prior year funds from gifts, bequests, and devises of money for which equal amounts have not previously been appropriated.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

Limiting the use of funds for grants and contracts which do not include the text of 18 U.S.C. 1913; requiring certain language in contracts and grants; and permitting the use of nonappropriated funds for reception expenses.

Prohibiting the use of funds for official reception and representa-

Allowing the chairperson of the National Endowment for the Arts to approve small grants under limited circumstances.

COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Permitting the charging and use of fees for its publications.

NATIONAL CAPITAL ARTS AND CULTURAL AFFAIRS

Limiting the amount of grants awarded to an organization in a single year.

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Restricting hiring at Executive Level V or higher.

NATIONAL CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Allowing certain funds to be used for official representation expenses.

TITLE IV—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Providing for availability of information on consulting services contracts.

Prohibiting the use of funds to distribute literature either to promote or oppose legislative proposals on which Congressional action is incomplete.

Specifying that funds are for one year unless provided otherwise. Prohibiting the use of funds to provide personal cooks, chauffeurs or other personal servants to any office or employee.

Prohibiting assessments against programs funded in this bill.

Prohibiting the sale of giant sequoia trees in a manner different from 2004.

Continuing a limitation on accepting and processing applications for patents and on the patenting of Federal lands; permitting processing of grandfathered applications; and permitting third-party contractors to process grandfathered applications.

Limiting the use of funds for contract support costs on Indian contracts.

Making reforms in the National Endowment for the Arts, including funding distribution reforms.

Permitting the National Endowments for the Arts and the Humanities to collect, invest and use private donations.

Limiting funds for completing or issuing the five-year program under the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act.

Limiting the use of funds for any government-wide administrative functions.

Permitting the use of forest service road and trail funds for maintenance and forest health.

Limiting the use of telephone answering machines.

Clarifying the forest service land management planning revision requirements.

Limiting leasing and preleasing activities within National Monuments.

Extending and expanding the pilot program allowing the forest service to dispose of certain excess structures and reinvest the proceeds for maintenance and rehabilitation.

Providing the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture the authority to enter into reciprocal agreements with foreign nations concerning the personal liability of firefighters.

Prohibiting the transfer of funds to other agencies other than

provided in this Act.

Providing contracting and grant authority for hazardous fuel

projects in forest-dependent rural communities.

Providing certain limitation of funds for Federal land takings excluding those under the Everglades National Park Protection and Expansion Act.

Limiting the use of funds for competitive sourcing studies.

Requiring display of certain information for government-wide activities in budget justifications.

Limiting contracts for the operation of the National Recreational Reservation Service.

Prohibiting use of funds for certain government-wide activities.

Enhancing forest service administration of rights-of-way and land uses.

Extending the authorization for the Service First program.

Providing for the exchange of lands in San Bernardino, California, to relocate the forest supervisor's office.

Requiring a report on the expenditure of funds pursuant to the Southern Nevada Public Lands Management Act.

Limiting the use of funds to prepare or issue permits or leases for oil and gas drilling in the Finger Lakes National Forest, NY.

APPROPRIATIONS NOT AUTHORIZED BY LAW

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following table lists the appropriations in the accompanying bill which are not authorized by law:

[Dollars in thousands]

	Last year of authorization	Authorization level	Appropriations in last year of authorization	Appropriations in this bill
Bureau of Land Management: All discretionary programs	2002	Such sums as may be necessary	\$1,681,437	\$1,755,115
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service				
Resource Management:				
Endangered Species Act Amend- ments of 1988.	1992	\$41,500	35,721	146,909
Great Lakes Fish & Wildlife Res- toration Grants.	2004	4,000	498	500
Marine Mammal Protection Act Amendments of 1994.	1999	10,296	2,008	4,485
Fisheries Restoration Irrigation Mitiga- tion Act	2005	25,000	2,000	3,000
Great Ape Conservation	2005	5,000	1,381	1,400
Neotropical Migratory Birds	2005	5,000	3,944	4,000
Environmental Protection Agency				
Hazardous Substance Superfund State and Tribal Assistance Grants:	1994	5,100,000	1,480,853	1,258,333
Alaska Native Villages	1979	2,000	NA	15,000
Clean Water SRF	1992	1,800,000	2,400,000	850,000
Drinking Water SRF	2003	1,000,000	844,500	850,000

[Dollars in thousands]

	Last year of authorization	Authorization level	Appropriations in last year of authorization	Appropriations in this bill
Clean Air Act	1997	Such sums as may be necessary	167,230	234,600
Radon Abatement Act	1991	10,000	9,000	8,150
Clean Water Act (FWPCA)	1991		NA	426,000
BEACH Act	2005	30,000	9,920	10,000
Safe Drinking Water Act	2003	115,000	108,343	116,600
Solid Waste Disposal Act (RCRA)	1988	70,000	71,391	104,400
Toxic Substances Control Act	1983	1,500	5,100	18,850
Pollution Prevention Act	1993	8,000	6,800	5,000
Indian Environmental General Assistance Program Act.	1998	Such sums as may be necessary	38,585	57,500
LUST Trust Fund	1988	10,000	14,400	11,950
National Forest Foundation	1998	1,000	1,000	3,000
National Endowment for the Arts	1993	Such sums as may be necessary	174,460	121,264
National Endowment for the Human- ities.	1993	Such sums as may be necessary	177,403	138,054

The Committee notes that authorizing legislation for many of these programs is in various stages of the legislative process and expects these authorizations to be enacted into law.

STATEMENT OF GENERAL PERFORMANCE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

Pursuant to clause 3(c)(4) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following is a statement of general performance goals and objectives for which this measure authorizes funding:

The Committee on Appropriations considers program performance, including a program's success in developing and attaining outcome-related goals and objectives, in developing funding recommendations.

FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call vote on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those voting against, are printed below:

There were no rollcall votes in full committee.

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XIII, CL. 3(e) (RAMSEYER RULE)

In compliance with clause 3(e) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, changes in existing law made by the bill, as reported, are shown as follows (existing law proposed to be omitted is enclosed in black brackets, new matter is printed in italics, existing law in which no change is proposed is shown in roman):

SECTION 331, SUBSECTIONS (a) AND (b), OF THE DE-PARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR AND RELATED AGEN-CIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2000 (AS CONTAINED IN DIVISION C OF PUBLIC LAW 106-113)

SEC. 331. Enhancing Forest Service Administration of Rights-ofway and Land Uses. (a) The Secretary of Agriculture shall develop and implement a pilot program for the purpose of enhancing forest service administration of rights-of-way and other land uses. The authority for this program shall be for fiscal years 2000 through [2005] 2009. Prior to the expiration of the authority for this pilot program, the Secretary shall submit a report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate and the Committee on Resources of the House of Representatives that evaluates whether the use of funds under this section resulted in more expeditious approval of rights-of-way and special use authorizations. This report shall include the Secretary's recommendation for statutory or regulatory changes to reduce the average processing time for rights-of-

way and special use permit applications.

(b) Deposit of Fees.—Subject to subsections (a) and (f), during fiscal years 2000 through [2005] 2009, the Secretary of Agriculture shall deposit into a special account established in the Treasury all fees collected by the Secretary to recover the costs of processing applications for, and monitoring compliance with, authorizations to use and occupy National Forest System lands pursuant to section 28(1) of the Mineral Leasing Act (30 U.S.C. 185(1)), section 504(g) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C.1764(g)), section 9701 of title 31, United States Code, and section 110(g) of the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470h-2(g)).

SECTION 329 OF THE DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2002, AS AMENDED (16 U.S.C. 580 NOTE; PUBLIC LAW 107-63, AS AMENDED)

Sec. 329. (a) Pilot Program Authorizing Conveyance of Ex-CESS FOREST SERVICE STRUCTURES.—The Secretary of Agriculture may convey, by sale or exchange, any or all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to excess buildings and other structures located on National Forest System lands and under the jurisdiction of the Forest Service. The conveyance may include the land on which the building or other structure is located and such other land immediately adjacent to the building or structure as the Secretary considers necessary.

(b) LIMITATION.—Conveyances on not more than [40] 60 sites may be made under the authority of this section, and the Secretary of Agriculture shall obtain the concurrence of the Committee on Appropriations of the House Representatives and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate in advance of each conveyance.

- (c) Use of Proceeds.—The proceeds derived from the sale of a building or other structure under this section shall be retained by the Secretary of Agriculture and shall be available to the Secretary, without further appropriation until expended, for maintenance and rehabilitation activities within the Forest Service Region in which the building or structure is located. Additionally, proceeds from the sale of conveyances on no more than [13] 25 sites shall be available for construction of replacement facilities.
- (d) DURATION OF AUTHORITY.—The authority provided by this section expires on September 30, [2008] 2009.

SECTION 330 OF THE DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2001, (PUBLIC LAW 106–291, 114 STAT. 996)

Sec. 330. In fiscal years 2001 through [2005] 2008, the Secretaries of the Interior and Agriculture may pilot test agency-wide joint permitting and leasing programs, subject to annual review of Congress, and promulgate special rules as needed to test the feasibility of issuing unified permits, applications, and leases. The Secretaries of the Interior and Agriculture may make reciprocal delegations of their respective authorities, duties and responsibilities in support of the "Service First" initiative agency-wide to promote customer service and efficiency. Nothing herein shall alter, expand or limit the applicability of any public law or regulation to lands administered by the Bureau of Land Management, National Park Service, Fish and Wildlife Service or the Forest Service. To facilitate the sharing of resources under the Service First initiative, the Secretaries of the Interior and Agriculture may make transfers of funds and reimbursement of funds on an annual basis among the land management agencies referred to in this section, except that this authority may not be used to circumvent requirements and limitations imposed on the use of funds.

FIVE-YEAR PROJECTION OF OUTLAYS

In compliance with section 308(a)(1)(B) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344), as amended, the following table contains five-year projections associated with the budget authority provided in the accompanying bill:

[In millions

Budget authority (discretionary)	\$26,107
Outlays:	
Fiscal year 2006	27,496
Fiscal year 2007	27,496 $16,037$
Fiscal year 2008	5,609
Fiscal year 2009	2,469 1,258
Fiscal year 2010	1,258

ASSISTANCE TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

In accordance with section 308(a)(1)(C) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344), as amended, the financial assistance to State and local governments is as follows:

[In millions]

New budget authority	\$5,534
Fiscal year 2006 outlays resulting therefrom	2,241

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2005
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2006
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Request	8111	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
TITLE I - DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR					
Bureau of Land Management					
Management of lands and resources	836,826	850,177	845,783	+8,957	-4,394
Wildland fire management:	258,939	286,701	272,852	+13,913	-13,849
Fire suppression operationsAdditional appropriations (Title IV)	218,445 98,611 255,300	234,167 235,696	234, 167 254, 545	+15,722 -98,611 -755	 +18,849
Subtotal	831,295	756,564	761,564	-69,731	000'5+
= -	9,855) ; e	1 1	-9,855	;
Construction	13,500	6.476	11,476	+13,500	+5,000
Land acquisition	11,192	13,350	3,817	-7,375	-9,533
Oregon and California grant lands	107,497	110,070	110,070	+2,573	:
Range improvements (indefinite)	10,000	10,000	10,000	+12 885	: !
Offsetting fee collections	-20,055	-32,940	-32,940	-12,885	1
Miscellaneous trust funds (indefinite)	12,405	12,405	12,405	1	:
Total, Bureau of Land Management	1,816,910	1,759,042	1,755,115	-61,795	-3,927
United States Fish and Wildlife Service					
Resource management	962,940	985,563	1,005,225	+42,285	+19,662

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2005 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2006 (Amounts in thousands)

Bill vs.

Bill vs.

FY 2006

FY 2005

	Enacted	Request	Bill	Enacted	Request
					. ,
Construction	52,658	19,676	41,206	-11,452	+21,530
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 108-324)	40,552	:	:	-40,552	:
Land acquisition	37,005	40,992	14,937	-22,068	-26,055
Landowner incentive program	21,694	40,000	23,700	+2,006	-16,300
Private stewardship grants	6,903	10,000	7,386	+483	-2,614
Cooperative endangered species conservation fund	80,462	80,000	84,400	+3,938	+4,400
National wildlife refuge fund	14,214	14,414	14,414	+200	1 1
North American wetlands conservation fund	37,472	49,949	40,000	+2,528	-9,949
Neotropical migratory birds conservation fund	3,944	:	4,000	+26	+4,000
Multinational species conservation fund	5,719	8,300	5,900	+181	-2,400
State wildlife grants	69,028	74,000	65,000	-4,028	000'6-
Total, United States Fish and Wildlife Service	1,332,591	1,322,894	1,306,168	-26,423	-16,726
National Park Service					
Operation of the national park system	1,683,564	1,734,053	1,754,199	+70,635	+20,146
United States Park Police	80,076	80,411	82,411	+2,335	+2,000
National recreation and preservation	60,973	36,777	48,997	-11,976	+12,220
Historic preservation fund	71,739	66,205	72,705	996+	+6,500
Construction	302,180	307,362	291,230	-10,950	-16,132
s (P.L. 108-324).	50,802	:	:	-50,802	;
Land and water conservation fund (rescission of	000	000	000		
contract authority)	-30,000	-30,000	-30,000	:	:
Land acquisition and state assistance	146,349	54,467	9,421	-136,928	-45,046
Total, National Park Service (net)	2,365,683	2,249,275	2,228,963	-136,720	-20,312

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2005
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2006
(Amounts in thousands)

Bill vs. Request -7,740 -7,740 -+41,071 Bill vs. Enacted +4,856 -19,000 +39,122 +2,167 -14,144 +2,166 Ŧ Bi11 275,406 -122,730 7,006 159,682 974,586 110,435 100 110,535 FY 2006 Request 283,146 -122,730 7,006 110,535 167,422 933,515 100 110,435 (Amounts in thousands) FY 2005 Enacted 270,550 -103,730 7,006 935,464 1,000 173,826 66 108,269 108,368 Total, Minerals Management Service..... Surveys, investigations, and research................ Emergency appropriations (P.L. 108-324)....... Royalty and offshore minerals management..... Use of receipts..... Regulation and technology.....Receipts from performance bond forfeitures Subtotal..... 0il spill research..... Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement United States Geological Survey Minerals Management Service

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2005 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2006

Bill vs. Request -58,000 +68,507 +52,000 -58,000 -58,000 +10,000 +130,507 +2,300 +2,300 Bill vs. Enacted -9,396 +16 +66,646 +982 -191 -191 +1,976 +22,274 Bi]] 1,992,737 284,137 34,754 6,348 2,317,976 48,843 27,720 188,014 188,014 298,549 76,563 FY 2006 Request 74,263 1,924,230 232,137 188,014 58,000 24,754 6,348 2,187,469 46,543 27,720 356,549 246,014 (Amounts in thousands) FY 2005 Enacted 1,926,091 319,129 188,205 44,150 6,332 2,295,702 47,861 27,720 188,205 296,573 75,581 Total, Bureau of Indian Affairs..... Assistance to Territories..... Operation of Indian programs..... Subtotal.... miscellaneous payments to Indians...... Subtotal..... Enforcement..... Indian guaranteed loan program account....... Northern Marianas..... Total, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Bureau of Indian Affairs Departmental Offices Insular Affairs:

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2005 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2006 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Compact of Free Association	3,450 2,000	2,862	3,362 2,000	88-	+500
Subtotal	5,450	4,862	5,362	88	005+
Total, Insular Affairs	81,031	79,125	81,925	+894	+2,800
Departmental management	95,821	120,155	118,755	+22,934	-1,400
Subtotal, Departmental management	95,821	120,155	118,755	+22,934	-1,400
Payments in lieu of taxes	226,805	200,000	230,000	+3,195	+30,000
Central hazardous materials fund	51,656	9,855 55,752	9,855 55,340	+9,855	-412
Office of Inspector General	37,275	40,999	39,566	+2,291	-1,433
Office of Special Trustee for American Indians					
Federal trust programsIndian land consolidation	193,540 34,514	269,397 34,514	191,593 34,514	-1,947	-77,804
Total, Office of Special Trustee for American Indians	228,054	303,911	226,107	-1,947	-77,804

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2005

6 6 6		FY 2006 Request 6,106 815,903 815,903 9,792,069 (9,822,069) (-30,000)	6,106 767,654 9,808,693 (9,838,693) (-30,000)		Bill vs. Request
			6,106 767,654 ========= 9,808,693 9,838,693) 9,838,693)	+369	-48,249
			767,654 ====================================	+41,275	. 48,249
			9,808,693 9,838,693)	-135,435	116 624
				(-43,081) (-92,354) 	(+16,624)
	744.061	760.640	765.340	+21.279	+4.700
(By transfer from Hazardous substance superfund) (35,	(35,808)	(30,602)	(30,606)	(-5,202)	(+1)
2,	1,902	2,353,764	2,389,491	+94,589	+35, 727
ees (legislative proposal)	:	(20,000)	:	:	(-20,000)
	37,696	36,955	37,955	+259	+1,000
	(12,896)	(13,536)	(13,536)	(+640)	:
Buildings and facilities	38,688	40,218	40,218	+1,530	!
:	3,000	1 1	;	-3,000	;
:	, 247, 477	1,279,333	1,258,333	+10,856	-21,000
:	(-12,896)	(-13,536)	(-13,536)	(-640)	1
· :	-35,808)	(-30,605)	(-30,606)	(+5,202)	(-1)
	69,440	73,027	73,027	+3,587	;
	15,872	15,863	15,863	6-	1 1
ion fund	19,245	12,000	15,000	-4,245	+3,000
ion fees	-19,245	-12,000	-15,000	+4,245	-3,000

	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Request	8111	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
State and tribal assistance grantsCategorical grantsRescissions (various EPA accounts)	2,438,758	1,779,500	2,074,500 1,153,300 -100,000	-364,258 +16,709 -100,000	+295,000 -28,000 -100,000
Subtotal, State and tribal assistance grants	3,575,349	2,960,800	3,127,800	-447,549	+167,000
<u></u>					
Agency: New budget (obligational) authority Appropriations	8,026,485 (8,023,485)	7,520,600 (7,520,600)	7,708,027 (7,808,027)	-318,458 (-215,458)	+187,427 (+287,427)
Emergency appropriationsRescissions	(3,000)	1 1	(-100,000)	(-3,000) (-100,000)	(-100,000)
(Transfer out)(By transfer)	(-48,704) (48,704)	(-44,141) (44,141)	(-44,142) (44,142)	(+4,562) (-4,562)	(+ 1)
= TITLE III - RELATED AGENCIES					
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE					
Forest Service					
Forest and rangeland research	276,384	285,400	285,000	+8,616	-400
State and private forestry	292,506	253,387	254,875	-37,631	+1,488
National forest system	1,380,806	1,651,357	1,423,920	+43,114	-227,437
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 108-324)	12,153	1	1	-12,153	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2005 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2006 (Amounts in thousands)

Bill vs. Enacted FY 2006 Request FY 2005 Enacted

Bill vs. Request

Wildland fire management:					
Preparedness	676,470	676,014	691,014	+14,544	+15,000
Fire suppression operations	648,859	700,492	700,492	+51,633	;
Additional appropriations (Title IV)	394,443	:		-394,443	:
Other operations	377,687	67,761	399,000	+21,313	+331,239
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 108-324)	1,028	;	::-	-1,028	1
Funded in Defense Bill (P.L. 108-287) (sec. 8098).	(30,000)	1	:	(-30,000)	;
Subtotal	2,098,487	1,444,267	1,790,506	-307,981	+346,239
Capital improvement and maintenance	514,701	380,792	468,260	-46,441	+87,468
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 108-324)	50,815	:	;	-50,815	;
Funded in Defense Bill (P.L. 108-287) (sec. 8098).	(10,000)	;	:	(-10,000)	;
Land acquisition	61,007	40,000	15,000	-46,007	-25,000
Acquisition of lands for national forests, special					
acts	1,054	1,069	1,069	+15	1
Acquisition of lands to complete land exchanges					
(indefinite)	231	234	234	£	1
Range betterment fund (indefinite)	3,021	2,963	2,963	-58	:
Gifts, donations and bequests for forest and rangeland					
research	64	64	64	;	:
Management of national forest lands for subsistence					
uses	5,879	5,467	5,467	-412	1 1 2
Total, Forest Service	4,746,208	4,065,000	4,247,358	-498,850	+182,358

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2005 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2006 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Request	Bi111	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES					
Indian Health Service					
Indian health services: Non-contract services	2,098,424	2,207,277 507,021	2,207,277	+108,853 +26,703	; ;
Catastrophic health emergency fund	17,750	18,000	18,000	+250	1 1
Total, Indian health services	2,596,492	2,732,298	2,732,298	+135,806	1
Indian health facilities	388,574	315,668	370,774	-17,800	+55,106
Total, Indian Health Service	2,985,066	3,047,966	3,103,072	+118,006	+55,106
National Institute of Health					
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences	79,842	80,289	80,289	+447	\$ 1 2
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry					
Toxic substances and environmental public health	76,041	76,024	76,024	-17	1
Total, Department of Health and Human Services	3,140,949	3,140,949 3,204,279	3,259,385	3,259,385 +118,436 +55,106	+55,106

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2005
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2006
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Request	Bi11	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
OTHER RELATED AGENCIES					
Executive Office of the President					
Council on Environmental Quality and Office of Environmental Quality	3,258	2,717	2,717	- 541	i t
Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board					
Salaries and expensesEmergency fund	9,027 397	9,200	9,200	+173 -397	
Total, Chemical Safety and Hazard	9,424	9,200	9,200	-224	1 1 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
Office of Navajo and Hopi Indian Relocation					
Salaries and expenses	4,930	8,601	8,601	+3,671	;
Institute of American Indian and Alaska Native Culture and Arts Development					
Payment to the Institute	5,916	6,300	6,300	+384	
Smithsonian Institution	! ! ! ! ! !	 			
Salaries and expenses	489,035	524,135	524,381	+35,346	+246

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2005
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2006
(Amounts in thousands)

(Amo	(Amounts in thousands)	(spu			
	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Facilities capital	126,123	006,06	006'06	-35,223	;
Total, Smithsonian Institution				+123	+246
== National Gallery of Art					
Salaries and expenses	91,708 10,946	97,100 16,200	97,100 16,200	+5,392 +5,254	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Total, National Gallery of Art	102,654	113,300	113,300	+10,646	+10,646
John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts	men mink war and effe kilok twa was ond etgs seed todd - 1456 del			Ì	
Operations and maintenance	16,914 16,107	17,800 15,200	17,800	+886	-5,200
Total, John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts	33,021	33,000	27,800	-5,221	-5,200
Salaries and expenses	8,863	9,201	9,085	+222	-116
National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities					
National Endowment for the Arts					
Grants and administration 1/	121,264	121,264	121,264	† • •	;

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2005 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2006 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Request	Bi11	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
National Endowment for the Humanities	1 5 6 1 1 1 1 4 4 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 4 4 4 4 1 4 4 1 4			
Grants and administration	122,156 15,898	122,605 15,449	122,605 15,449	+449	!!
Total, National Endowment for the Humanities	138,054	138,054	138,054	1 2 4 2 2 4 2 4 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	2
Total, National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities	259,318	259,318	259,318		
Commission of Fine Arts					
Salaries and expenses	1,768	1,893	1,893	+125	;
National Capital Arts and Cultural Affairs					
Grants	6,902	7,000	7,000	86+	;
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation					
Salaries and expenses	4,536	4,988	4,860	+324	-128
National Capital Planning Commission					
Salaries and expenses	7,888	8,344	8,177	+289	-167

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2005 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2006 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2005 Enacted	FY 2006 Request	Bi11	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
United States Holocaust Memorial Museum					
Holocaust Memorial Museum	40,858	43,233	41,880	+1,022	-1,353
Presidio Trust					
Presidio trust fund	19,722	20,000	20,000	+278	i i
White House Commission on the National Moment of Remembrance					
Operations	248	250	248 250 250	+5	+2
Total, title III, related agencies: New budget (obligational) authority (net) Appropriations	9,011,621 (8,898,525) (113,096)	8,411,659 (8,411,659)	8,642,405	-369,216 (-256,120) (-113,096)	+230,746 (+230,746)
Grand total: New budget (obligational) authority (net) Appropriations Emergency appropriations Rescissions (Transfer out)	26, 982, 234 (26, 803, 784) (208, 450) (-30, 000) (-48, 704) (48, 704)	26,982,234 25,724,328 (26,803,784) (25,754,328) (208,450) (-30,000) (-30,000) (-30,000) (-48,704) (-44,141) (48,704) (44,141)	26,159,125 (26,289,125) (-130,000) (-44,142) (44,142)	-823,109 (-514,659) (-208,450) (-100,000) (+4,562) (-4,562)	+434,797 (+534,797) (-100,000) (-1)

ADDITIONAL VIEWS OF THE HONORABLE DAVID OBEY

As the Ranking Minority Member of the Appropriations Committee, I cannot fault the fairness of the process followed by our Committee in producing the fiscal year 2006 Interior Appropriations bill. Minority Members were consulted throughout the process and the bill reflects our input in a number of important areas. But a fair process by itself does not produce an acceptable product. This bill's principal responsibility is to provide for the environmental and conservation needs of America's people and its natural resources. Notwithstanding increases in a few critical areas, the FY 2006 Interior bill as currently presented simply does not fulfill that responsibility. Because of these failures, American families will be exposed unnecessarily to dirtier water and air and to the poisons of toxic Superfund sites. Because of its failures, many of America's pristine natural landscapes and historic structures, as well as the variety of its wildlife, may be lost to future generations.

The Interior bill's failings did not occur by accident. The overall lack of funds to address national needs is the direct and inevitable result of the vote cast last month to approve a Republican Budget Resolution for 2006 that provides \$11.7 billion less than the amount necessary just to maintain current service levels for domestic programs. As Majority Leader Tom Delay pointed out last month during debate on the Conference Report on the Budget Res-

olution,

This is the budget that the American people voted for when they returned a Republican House, a Republican Senate and a Republican White House last November.

After Republicans voted 218–12 in favor of a Budget Resolution with inadequate resources for domestic programs, I believe it is disingenuous for them to defend the Interior appropriations bill by saying, "We did the best we could with an inadequate allocation." The Republican Members had a choice and they voted for the discretionary spending total which they now say forces these destructive choices. Not one Democrat voted for the current Budget Resolution because we understood the damage to essential services which it would cause. The 2006 Interior bill now presented to the House epitomizes the draconian results of the Republican fiscal philosophy which espouses super-sized tax cuts for the most well-off over critical priorities like protecting the environment.

Among the many failings of the Interior bill reported by the Committee, the most destructive are its severe reductions in funding for the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). I am especially disturbed that the Interior Subcommittee, without a single hearing, has recommended cutting the Clean Water State Revolving Fund by \$242 million below the 2005 funding level. This program serves every state and almost every community in this country. But, without a word of testimony by the EPA or affected communities, the Committee has cut the Clean Water Fund by more than 20 percent this year and by almost 40 percent over the last two years. If the Interior bill is approved as currently drafted, the \$850 million provided in 2006 will be the lowest level of new capital assistance for this revolving fund since 1989. Majority Leader Delay was right.

This is the budget that the American people voted for when they returned a Republican House, a Republican Senate and a Republican White House last November.

The need for investment in this country's water systems is well documented and enormous. Two years ago EPA Administrator Whitman issued a formal report, entitled the "Water Gap Analysis," which estimated the twenty-year fiscal shortfall between what we are currently spending and what is required at \$388 billion. Everyone agrees that the Clean Water SRF program works. Over the last 16 years \$21 billion of appropriations for the Clean Water SRF have generated \$52 billion of construction projects in every state and in literally thousands of communities.

The impact of the cut to the SRF recommended in the current bill on local communities will be very visible. Projects that have already been approved by State water authorities for future funding will, inevitably, be rejected, scaled back, or substantially delayed. A table showing the impact of these cuts to each state is included at the end of these remarks. As Members review this table for its impact on their own states, they should remember Majority Leader

Delay's prescient statement last month,

This is the budget that the American people voted for when they returned a Republican House, a Republican Senate and a Republican White House last November.

I am also very concerned by the decision reflected in this bill to reduce funding for environmental enforcement activities of the EPA by \$12 million. I wish that every private company, every public utility company and every community water and sewer authority would willingly comply with the Clean Air Act and the Clean Water Act. I wish every industrial polluter who had dumped toxic PCB's and other chemicals into our rivers or buried them in dumps outside their factories would enthusiastically clean up their Superfund sites. Unfortunately, 35 years of experience has taught us that aggressive enforcement is needed if we are to get compliance with our environmental laws. Enforcement has resulted in settlements with coal burning power plants that have cut emissions of sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides by nearly a million tons, reducing asthma attacks, lung disease and acid rain. Compliance agreements or enforcement orders with water and sewer authorities in cities across the United States have prevented billions of gallons of raw sewage from seeping into water supplies by requiring installation of upgrades at treatment plants. Members should not be surprised by these cutbacks in important environmental enforcement activities because Majority Delay was candid when he told us,

This is the budget that the American people voted for when they returned a Republican House, a Republican Senate and a Republican White House last November.

Not all the cuts in this bill are an artifact of it's allocation. Some reflect ideological positions of the Subcommittee Chairman with which I very much disagree. In my opinion, the Chairman's recommendation to eliminate \$190 million of Land and Water Conservation funding, including funding for all new federal land acquisitions as well as all assistance to States, is a mistake for the country and for the Congress. The American people recognize the need

to preserve the remaining natural landscapes of this country for future generations. Those of us who visit our national parks and refuges know how precious they are. Five years ago 315 members of the House voted to make these programs an entitlement under the CARA bill because Congress didn't keep its word to adequately fund conservation programs. The Subcommittee Chairman certainly has a right to his sincerely held views regarding land conservation programs, but I do not believe that his recommendation to eliminate all funding for the Land and Water Conservation Fund, as reflected in this bill, represents the will of the House.

As I have noted throughout these remarks, these failings did not occur by accident, The Majority Leader of the House, Tom Delay, explained the reason for these cuts last month on the floor when

the House adopted the Budget Resolution for 2006.

This is the budget that the American people voted for when they returned a Republican House, a Republican Senate and a Republican White House last November.

The FY 2006 Interior bill as reported to the House is not a bill that I believe Members of Congress can go home and tell people with a straight face, "We did the right thing."

I will not vote for it.

Allocations for \$1.35 billion, \$1.09 billion, and \$850 million in Clean Water State Revolving Fund Appropriations

May 4, 2005

State or Region	Under Current Formula(1)	FY 04 \$1.35 Billion	FY05 \$1.09 Billion	FY 06 Committee Mark \$850 million	FY 06 Committee	FY 06 Committee vs. FY 04
Vabama	1.15	15,057,642	12,183,016	9,489,462	-2,693,554	-5,568,180
Naska	0.62	8,059,414	6,520,807	5,079,116	-1,441,691	-2,980,298
Arizona	69.0	9,095,301	7,358,935	5,731,941	-1,626,994	-3,363,360
Arkansas	0.67	8,809,034	7,127,318	5,551,533	-1,575,785	-3,257,501
California	7.36	96,309,528	77,923,261	60,695,136	-17,228,125	-35,614,392
Colorado	0.82	10,771,627	8,715,236	6,788,377	-1,926,860	-3,983,250
Connecticut	1.26	16,496,966	13,347,562	10,396,537	-2,951,025	-6,100,429
Delaware	0.51	6,610,770	5,348,721	4,166,167	-1,182,553	-2,444,603
District of Columbia	0.51	6,610,770	5,348,721	4,166,167	-1,182,553	-2,444,603
-lorida	3.47	45,455,200	36,777,435	28,646,278	-8,131,157	-16,808,922
Georgia	1.74	22,768,210	18,421,575	14,348,732	-4,072,843	-8,419,478
Hawaii	08.0	10,429,438	8,438,374	6,572,726	-1,865,648	-3,856,712
daho	0.51	6,610,770	5,348,721	4,166,167	-1,182,553	-2,444,603
Ilinois	4.65	60,902,964	49,276,096	38,381,599	-10,894,497	-22,521,365
ndiana	2.48	32,453,354	26,257,746	20,452,397	-5,805,349	-12,000,957
owa	1.39	18,225,220	14,745,878	11,485,699	-3,260,180	-6,739,521
(ansas	0.93	12,155,029	9,834,536	7,660,209	-2,174,326	-4,494,820
Kentucky	1.31	17,138,737	13,866,814	10,800,987	-3,065,826	-6,337,750
ouisiana	1.13	14,803,331	11,977,255	9,329,193	-2,648,062	-5,474,138
Maine	08:0	10,424,112	8,434,065	6,569,370	-1,864,695	-3,854,742
Maryland	2.49	32,569,192	26,351,470	20,525,400	-5,826,070	-12,043,792
Massachusetts	3.49	45,720,163	36,991,814	28,813,260	-8,178,554	-16,906,903
Michigan	4.42	57,901,821	46,847,895	36,490,252	-10,357,644	-21,411,569
Minnesota	1.89	24,750,775	20,025,652	15,598,162	-4,427,490	-9,152,613
Vississippi	0.93	12,132,393	9,816,221	7,645,944	-2,170,277	-4,486,449
Missouri	2.85	37,330,544	30,203,841	23,526,047	-6,677,795	-13,804,497
Montana	0.51	6,610,770	5,348,721	4,166,167	-1,182,553	-2,444,603
Vebraska	0.53	6,887,716	5,572,795	4,340,701	-1,232,094	-2,547,015
Vevada	0.51	6,610,770	5,348,721	4,166,167	-1,182,553	-2,444,603
New Hampshire	1.03	13,457,210	10,888,120	8,480,856	-2,407,264	-4,976,354
i oroni	00.1	55,008,500	AA 522 115	34 679 459	0 8/3 656	20 349 041

Allocations for \$1.35 billion, \$1.09 billion, and \$850 million in Clean Water State Revolving Fund Appropriations May 4, 2005

																						Percent Change FY 06 Committee vs. FY 04 -37%	
FY 06 Committee vs. FY 04		-2,444,603	-54,963,927	-8,987,177	-2,444,603	-28,033,415	-4,023,132	-5,625,294	1				-7,233,858	-22,760,163	-2,623,824	-2,444,603	-10,191,015	-8,659,753	-7,762,660	-13,462,302	-2,444,603	-483,996,891	
FY 06 Committee vs FY 05		-1,182,553	-26,588,279	-4,347,462	-1,182,553	-13,560,899	-1,946,152	-2,721,183	-9,541,884	-1,617,466				`•	-1,269,250	-1,182,553	-4,929,807	-4,189,073	-3,755,113	-6,512,261	-1,182,553	-234,128,910	
FY 06 Committee Mark \$850 million		4,166,167	93,671,207	15,316,223	4,166,167	47,775,403	6,856,344	9,586,799	33,616,310	5,698,377	8,693,989	4,166,167	12,328,162	38,788,566	4,471,602	4,166,167	17,367,839	14,758,216	13,229,363	22,942,867	4,166,167	824,842,310	
FY05 \$1.09 Billion	***************************************	5,348,721	120,259,486	19,663,685	5,348,721	61,336,302	8,802,496	12,307,981	43,158,194	7,315,843	11,161,751	5,348,721	15,827,472	49,798,579	5,740,852	5,348,721	22,297,646	18,947,289	16,984,476	29,455,128	5,348,721	1,058,971,219	
FY 04 \$1.35 Billion		6,610,770	148,635,134	24,303,400	6,610,770	75,808,818	10,879,476	15,212,093	53,341,522	9,042,042	13,795,405	6,610,770	19,562,020	61,548,729	7,095,426	6,610,770	27,558,854	23,417,969	20,992,023	36,405,169	6,610,770	1,308,839,201	
Percent (%) Under Current Formula(1)		0.51	11.36	1.86	0.51	5.79	0.83	1.16	4.08	69.0	1.05	0.51	1.49	4.70	0.54	0.51	2.11	1.79	1.60	2.78	0.51	100.00	
State or Region		New Mexico	New York	North Carolina	North Dakota	Ohio	Oklahoma	Oregon	Pennsylvania	Rhode Island	South Carolina	South Dakota	Tennessee	Texas	Utah	Vermont	Virginia	Washington	West Virginia	Wisconsin	Wyoming	U.S. Total (2)	

(1) The percentages listed for each state's share of program funding are based on program dollars for the states only and thus will differ slightly from shares calculated based on total program funding for the states, indian Tribes, Puerto Rico, and the territories.

(2) U.S. totals do not include amounts for Indian Tribes, Puerto Rico, or the territories, which account for about 3.05 percent of the total appropriations. The totals include 604(b) funds for water management planning. U.S. total for the \$1.06 billion level assumes a \$1.1 billion appropriation and an across the board reduction in federal spending overall of 0.83%, for a total of \$1.09 billion Source: American Rivers calculations based on data from Northeast-Midwest Institute and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Office of Wastewater Management, March 2002.